



Parental psychopathology and the risk of suicidal behavior in their offspring: results from the World Mental Health surveys

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	Gureje, O, B Oladeji, I Hwang, W T Chiu, R C Kessler, N A Sampson, J Alonso, et al. 2010. "Parental Psychopathology and the Risk of Suicidal Behavior in Their Offspring: Results from the World Mental Health Surveys." Molecular Psychiatry 16 (12) (November 16): 1221–1233. doi:10.1038/mp.2010.111.
Published Version	10.1038/mp.2010.111
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:33461088
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Mol Psychiatry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Mol Psychiatry. 2011 December; 16(12): 1221–1233. doi:10.1038/mp.2010.111.

Parental Psychopathology and the Risk of Suicidal Behavior in their Offspring: Results from the World Mental Health Surveys

Oye Gureje, MD, PhD, DSc¹, Bibilola Oladeji, MD¹, Irving Hwang, MA², Wai Tat Chiu, AM², Ronald C. Kessler, PhD², Nancy A. Sampson², Jordi Alonso, MD, MPH, PhD³, Laura Helena Andrade, MD, PhD⁴, Annette Beautrais, PhD⁵, Guilherme Borges, MSc, DrSc⁶, Evelyn Bromet, PhD⁷, Ronny Bruffaerts, PhD⁸, Giovanni de Girolamo, MD⁹, Ron de Graaf, PhD¹⁰, Gilad Gal, PhD¹¹, Yanling He, MD¹², Chiyi Hu, MD, PhD¹³, Noboru Iwata, PhD¹⁴, Elie G. Karam, MD¹⁵, Viviane Kovess-Masféty, MD, PhD¹⁶, Herbert Matschinger, PhD¹⁷, Mona Victoria Moldovan, PhD¹⁸, Jose Posada-Villa, MD¹⁹, Rajesh Sagar, MD²⁰, Paolo Scocco, MD²¹, Soraya Seedat, MBChB, FCPsych, PhD²², Toma Tomov, PhD²³, and Matthew K. Nock, PhD²⁴

²Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA ³Health Services Research Unit, Institut Municipal d'Investigació Mèdica (IMIM-Hospital del Mar); CIBER en Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain ⁴Department & Institute of Psychiatry, University of Sao Paulo, School of Medicine, Sao Paulo, Brazil 5University of Otago, Christchurch, Public Health and General Practice, Christchurch, New Zealand ⁶Instituto Nacional de Psiquiatria Ramon de la Fuente, Mexico ⁷Department of Psychiatry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, USA 8Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium 9IRCCS Centro S. Giovanni di Dio Fatebenefratelli, Bologna, Italy 10 Netherlands Institute of Mental, Health and Addiction, Utrecht, The Netherlands ¹¹Mental Health Epidemiology and Psychosocial Aspects of Illness, The Gertner Institute for Epidemiology and Health Policy Research, Sheba Medical Center, Israel ¹²Shanghai Mental Health Center, Shanghai, China ¹³Shenzhen Institute of Mental Health, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China ¹⁴Hiroshima International University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Japan ¹⁵Dept. of Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology Institute for Development, Research, Advocacy, and Applied Care (IDRAAC), St. George Hospital University Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon ¹⁶EA4069 Université Paris Descartes, Paris, France ¹⁷Clinic of Psychiatry, University of Leipzig, -Leipzig, Germany 18 National School of Public Health and Health Services Management, Bucharest, Romania 19 Saldarriaga Concha Foundation, Bogota, Colombia 20 All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi, India ²¹Department of Mental Health, Padua, Italy ²²MRC Research Unit on Anxiety and Stress Disorder, Cape Town, South Africa ²³New Bulgarian University, Sofia, Bulgaria ²⁴Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

Users may view, print, copy, download and text and data- mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use: http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

¹Corresponding author: Department of Psychiatry, University College Hospital, Ibadan PMB 5116 ,Nigeria, P: +(234) 803 346 4284. F: +(234) 224 101 46, ogureje@comui.edu.ng.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST Dr. Kessler has been a consultant for GlaxoSmithKline Inc., Kaiser Permanente, Pfizer Inc., Sanofi-Aventis, Shire Pharmaceuticals, and Wyeth-Ayerst; has served on advisory boards for Eli Lilly & Company and Wyeth-Ayerst; and has had research support for his epidemiological studies from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly & Company, GlaxoSmithKline, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceuticals, Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceuticals Inc., Pfizer Inc., and Sanofi-Aventis. The remaining authors report no financial or other relationship relevant to the subject of this article.

Abstract

Prior research suggests that parental psychopathology predicts suicidal behavior among offspring; however, the more fine-grained associations between specific parental disorders and distinct stages of the pathway to suicide are not well-understood. We set out to test the hypothesis that parental disorders associated with negative mood would predict offspring suicide ideation, whereas disorders characterized by impulsive-aggression (e,g., antisocial personality) and anxiety/ agitation (e.g., panic disorder) would predict which offspring act on their suicide ideation and make a suicide attempt. Data were collected during face-to-face interviews conducted on nationally representative samples (N=55,299; age 18+) from 21 countries around the world. We tested the associations between a range of parental disorders and the onset and persistence over time (i.e., time-since-most-recent-episode controlling for age-of-onset and time-since-onset) of subsequent suicidal behavior (suicide ideation, plans, and attempts) among offspring. Analyses tested bivariate and multivariate associations between each parental disorder and distinct forms of suicidal behavior. Results revealed that each parental disorder examined increased the risk of suicide ideation among offspring, parental generalized anxiety and depression emerged as the only predictors of the onset and persistence (respectively) of suicide plans among offspring with ideation, whereas parental anti-social personality and anxiety disorders emerged as the only predictors of the onset and persistence of suicide attempts among ideators. A dose-response relation between parental disorders and respondent risk of suicide ideation and attempt also was found. Parental death by suicide was a particularly strong predictor of persistence of suicide attempts among offspring. These associations remained significant after controlling for comorbidity of parental disorders and for the presence of mental disorders among offspring. These findings should inform future explorations of the mechanisms of inter-generational transmission of suicidal behavior.

Keywords

suicide; parent and family history; intergenerational transmission

INTRODUCTION

Suicide and suicidal behavior (i.e., suicide ideation, plans and attempts) are major public health problems and are reportedly on the increase worldwide especially among young people.1, 2 Suicide was the 14th leading cause of death globally in the year 2002 and accounts for 1.5% of all deaths.2 It is projected to increase by as much as 50% from 2002 to 2030 and become the 12th leading cause of death by 2030.3, 4 Despite the public health impact of suicidal behaviors, our understanding of the factors that lead to suicide is still limited. Even though suicide and suicidal behavior have been shown to run in families,5 the mechanism through which this risk is transmitted remains poorly understood.6-10

Family, adoption, and twin studies have demonstrated higher rates of suicidal behavior in biological relatives of persons with suicidal behavior suggesting a genetic component to this increased risk.5, 6, 11 There is accumulating evidence that part of this elevated risk can be accounted for by increased familial rates of psychiatric disorders; however, only a few studies have been able to examine which parental or familial mental disorders are associated

with suicidal behavior.8, 12-17 Importantly, prior studies suggest that a family history of psychopathology is predictive of suicide ideation among offspring, but not of which offspring with ideation go on to make a suicide attempt.6, 11 It has been suggested that the transition from suicide ideation to attempt may be predicted by a familial history of impulsive-aggressive traits;18, 19 however, such a model has not been tested. Indeed, carefully testing the unique associations among multiple forms of familial psychopathology and different stages in the pathway to suicide requires very large samples not available in most studies. The current study was designed to test the effect of different parental psychiatric psychopathology on distinct stages of the pathway to suicide.

Guiding such efforts, recent research has shown that when carefully examined in multivariate analyses, different mental disorders predict distinct stages in the pathway to suicide. For instance, several studies have shown that although major depression reliably predicts the onset of suicide ideation, it does not consistently predict which people with ideation go on to make a suicide attempt. Instead, it is disorders characterized by poor impulse control (e.g., bipolar, conduct and substance use disorders) and anxiety/agitation (e.g., panic and posttraumatic stress disorders [PTSD]) that predict this transition.20, 21 In line with this, we hypothesized that parental disorders characterized by depressive symptoms will more likely predict the onset and persistence of suicidal thinking among offspring while disorders characterized by impulsive and anxious traits will better predict suicide attempts. Such a finding would point toward distinct mechanisms through which the tendency to engage in different forms of suicidal behavior are transmitted across generations.

The current study is designed to examine: (a) the associations between specific forms of parental psychopathology and distinct steps in the suicidal behavior pathway, and (b) the associations between parental psychopathology and the persistence of suicidal behavior. The specific forms of parental psychopathology examined are major depression, panic disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, substance dependence, and antisocial behavior (e.g., illegal behavior, arrest, imprisonment), as well as parental suicide attempt or suicide death; and the steps in the suicidal pathway are suicidal attempt, suicidal ideation, suicidal plan, and both planned and unplanned (i.e., impulsive) suicide attempts. We examined these associations in the World Mental Health (WMH) surveys, a coordinated series of large-scale community-based studies conducted in 21 countries around the world. The size and scope of the WMH surveys provide a unique opportunity to explore in great detail the specific aspects of parental psychopathology that are most strongly predictive of offspring suicidal behavior and to examine the extent to which the accumulation of parental disorders may have a bearing on the links.

METHOD

Respondent samples

The WMH surveys were carried out in 21 countries in: Africa (Nigeria; South Africa), the Americas (Brazil; Colombia; Mexico; United States), Asia and the Pacific (India; Japan; New Zealand; Beijing, Shanghai, and Shenzhen in the Peoples Republic of China), Europe (Belgium; Bulgaria; France; Germany; Italy; the Netherlands; Romania; Spain; Ukraine),

and the Middle East (Israel; Lebanon). The World Bank22 classifies Belgium, France, Germany, Israel, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Spain, and the United States as high income; Brazil, Bulgaria, Lebanon, Mexico, Romania, and South Africa as uppermiddle income (hereafter "middle income"); and China, Colombia, India, Nigeria, and Ukraine as low and lower-middle income (hereafter "low income") countries (Table 1). Respondents were selected in most WMH countries using a stratified multistage clustered-area probability sampling strategy in which samples of areas equivalent to counties or municipalities in the US were selected in the first stage followed by one or more subsequent stages of geographic sampling (e.g., towns within counties, blocks within towns, households within blocks) to arrive at a sample of households, in each of which a listing of household members was created and one person was selected from this listing to be interviewed. No substitution was allowed when the originally sampled household resident could not be interviewed. The total sample size was 109,381, with individual country sample sizes ranging from 2,357 in Romania to 12,790 in New Zealand. The weighted average response rate across all countries was 73.3%.

Procedures

Assessment consisted of face-to-face household interviews conducted by trained lay interviewers. Interviews were administered in two parts in all countries except Israel, Romania and South Africa, where all respondents were administered the entire survey. The Part I interview was administered to all respondents and it assessed the presence of DSM-IV mental disorders and suicidal behaviors (see below). Part II assessed potential correlates of mental disorders and suicidal behavior. It was administered to a probability sub-sample of Part I respondents that included 100% of those who met lifetime criteria for any mental disorder and a probability sub-sample of approximately 25% of other respondents. Internal sub-sampling was used to reduce respondent burden and average interview time and cost by dividing the interview into two parts. Part II respondents were weighted by the inverse of their probability of selection for Part II of the interview to adjust for differential sampling. Analyses in this article were based on the weighted Part II sub-sample (*N*=55,302). Additional weights were used to adjust for differential probabilities of selection within households, to adjust for non-response, and to match the samples to population sociodemographic distributions. A fuller description of these procedures is provided elsewhere. 23-25 In each survey, only people who provided informed consent were interviewed. All surveys sought and obtained relevant institutional ethical approvals before commencement.

Assessment of mental disorders and suicidal behavior

Lifetime mental disorders as well as suicidal behaviour were assessed using the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview version 3.0 (CIDI).26, 27 The Suicidality Module of the CIDI includes an assessment of the lifetime occurrence and age-of-first-onset of suicide ideation, plan, and attempt. For the purpose of the present report, data are provided on the following five dated lifetime history of suicidal behaviors: (i) *suicide ideation* (defined as seriously thinking about committing suicide) in the total sample; (ii) *suicide attempt* in the total sample; (iii) *suicide plan* (i.e. made a plan to commit suicide) among respondents with ideation; (iv) suicide attempt among respondents

with ideation who made a plan (*planned attempt*); and (v) suicide attempt among respondents with ideation but who made no plan (*unplanned* or *impulsive attempt*).

Parental psychopathology

We assessed parental psychopathology with the expanded version of the Family History Research Diagnostic Criteria Interview. 28, 29 Five different forms of possible parental psychopathology during respondents' childhood are the focus of the present report: major depression, panic disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, substance dependence, and antisocial behavior (e.g., illegal behavior, arrest, imprisonment), as well as parental suicide attempt or suicide death. A parental psychiatric disorder was rated present if the respondent gave a positive response to questions on the core symptoms of that particular disorder occurring in the mother or the father. Thus for example, for the diagnosis of depression in the mother, the respondent was asked if their mother ever had periods lasting 2 weeks or more when she was sad or depressed for most of the time; whether, at the time her depression was at its worst, she also had other symptoms like low energy, changes in sleep or appetite and problems with concentration; whether she ever got professional treatment for her depression; and whether her depression interfered a lot with her life or activities. Missing values on parental psychopathology variables were estimated using multiple imputation.30 Logistic regression modeling was conducted to impute values for missing variables using other available parental variables. We chose to impute missing data rather than drop these cases because even though the dataset is large, missing values affect some countries and some disorders more than others.

Analysis methods

We first examined the prevalence of parental psychopathology among respondents with each of the five suicidal outcomes using cross-tabulations. The associations between parental psychopathology and suicidal behavior were estimated in a series of discrete-time survival models that were bivariate (in which each type of parental psychopathology was considered individually) as well as multivariate (in which all parental disorders were considered simultaneously) in predicting each suicidal behavior. Next, we estimated models testing the relationship between the number of parental disorders with the likelihood of each suicidal behavior. We then estimated a series of multivariate models in which both the type and number of parental disorders were included in order to examine the unique contribution of both specific forms of parental psychopathology and the total number of parental disorders. These predictive associations were all tested using discrete-time survival models in which person-year was the unit of analysis.31 To aid interpretation, these results are presented in the form of odds ratios (ORs) and their standard errors were generated by exponentiating the survival coefficients.

We next conducted a series of analyses to test the associations between parental psychopathology and the persistence of suicidal behavior over time. We used a special class of survival models called backward recurrence models for this purpose.32-34 These models use a person-year survival approach (consistent with the models described above in which years preceding the event of interest are coded 0, the year of the event is coded 1, and all years following the event are censored). However, rather than predicting the occurrence of a

future event, we predicted the most recent episode of the event of interest (e.g., age at most recent suicide ideation) among those who ever had an initial event looking backwards in time from the year of interview. For instance, a person who had suicide ideation for the first time at age 18, for the last time at age 25, and who is currently 30 years-old would have six years in their data file coded: 1 (year 25) and 0, 0, 0, 0 (years 26, 27, 28, 29, 30). A person who experienced suicide ideation for the first time at age 18, never had another episode, and currently is 30 years-old would have 12 time-since-onset (TSO) person-years in their data file all coded 0. In these models, age of onset (AOO) and TSO are statistically controlled and the models provide an indirect estimate of the persistence of each outcome of interest. The literature comparing results from backward recurrence models with prospective time-to-next-event survival models suggests that backward recurrence models provide generally good approximations of the coefficients obtained in prospective models.33

To adjust for the weighting and clustering of the sample design, standard errors of prevalence estimates (i.e. estimates of both of the parental disorders and of the suicidal behaviors) and survival coefficients were estimated in all the analyses with the Taylor series method35 using SUDAAN software.36 Multivariate significance was evaluated with Wald χ^2 tests based on design-corrected coefficient variance—covariance matrices. All significance tests were evaluated using .05 level two-sided tests.

RESULTS

Preliminary analyses

As a preliminary step, we first explored the possibility that the association between parental psychopathology and suicidal behavior in the offspring was different depending on which parent (mother, father, or both) had the disorder. Using bivariate survival models to predict each suicidal behavior, our results showed that neither the type of parent (mother vs. father) nor the number of parents with the disorder (one vs. both) bore a consistent relationship with suicidal behavior in the respondent, with one exception. Parental suicide was associated with respondent suicidal behavior only when parent and respondent gender were the same. Specifically, a history of paternal suicide was associated with significantly increased odds of respondent suicidal behavior among male respondents (OR=3.4 [95% CI: 1.4-8.1]) but not female respondents (OR=1.4 [0.5-3.9]), whereas maternal suicide was associated with significantly increased odds of suicidal behavior among female (OR=2.8 [1.2-6.6]) but not male (OR=0.0 [0.0-0.0]) respondents. Therefore, subsequent analyses test the effects of the presence versus absence of psychopathology in either parent, but parental suicide is considered present only if it occurred in the parent of the same gender (i.e., fathers among male respondents and mothers among female respondents).

Prevalence of parental psychopathology in the suicidal groups

The prevalence of each parental disorder among respondents with each suicidal behavior is presented in Table 2. There was a clear trend for parents of respondents who were positive for each of the suicidal outcomes to have a higher prevalence of mental disorders than the parents of respondents without each suicidal outcome. This observation is similar for both type of psychopathology and number of disorders.

Associations between parental psychopathology and suicidal behavior

Bivariate survival models revealed that each form of parental psychopathology examined is significantly associated with increased odds of the subsequent first onset of both suicide ideation and attempt (Table 3). In bivariate models, only parental GAD predicted the development of a plan among those with suicide ideation, whereas parental panic and GAD predicted both planned and unplanned suicide attempt among those with ideation (although the association was negative in the case of GAD and unplanned attempts among ideators). Parental antisocial behavior also predicted unplanned (i.e., impulsive) attempts. Multivariate analyses, in which each form of parental psychopathology was entered simultaneously, produced similar but slightly attenuated results to those of bivariate analyses. In these models, parental GAD remained protective against planned attempts among ideators, parental panic remained the only positive predictor of planned attempts among ideators, and parental antisocial behavior emerged as the only predictor of impulsive attempts.

Number of parental disorders and suicidal behavior

The associations between the number of parental disorders present and the risk of suicidal behavior among offspring are presented in Table 4. The results show a dose-response relationship between number of parental disorders and suicide ideation and attempt. A similar, albeit less consistent, pattern is seen for suicide plans and attempts among ideators.

Associations between type and number of parental disorders and suicidal behavior

We next conducted multivariate analyses in which both type and number of parental disorders were included in the model and in which we also controlled for the presence of any mental disorder in the offspring (Table 5). Even after taking into account the number of parental disorders present, each form of parental psychopathology continued to predict suicide ideation and attempts. Parental GAD remained protective against suicide attempt among those with a plan, and was joined by parental substance abuse in this regard. Parental antisocial behavior remained a significant predictor of impulsive attempt, and was joined by parental panic disorder and GAD in this regard.

As shown, the multivariate model revealed sub-additive effects of increasing number of parental disorders such that, as the number of parental disorders increases, the relative-odds of respondent suicidal behavior increase but with some dampening of the trend. For example, the odds of lifetime attempt is 1.5 times as great for respondents with parental history of depression and 2.0 times as great for respondents with parental history of panic, but for respondents with parental history of both depression and panic the odds would be 70% of the product of the odds of the two disorders. For those with parental history of depression, panic, and antisocial personality the odds would be 30% of the product of all three odds.

Associations between parental psychopathology and the persistence of suicidal behavior

A final multivariate model estimated the risk of persistence of suicidal behavior given the occurrence of specific types of parental psychopathology as well as the co-occurrence of parental disorders. The model also controlled for respondent with mental disorders. The results show that parental depression predicts the persistence of suicide ideation and

offspring plan (Table 6). Parental panic and suicidal behavior both predict the persistence of offspring suicide attempt overall, as well as attempt among ideators with a plan. Even though parental death by suicide was not a significant predictor of suicidal ideation, it was a particularly strong predictor of suicidal plan and attempt among ideators.

DISCUSSION

This study provides new information about the relationship between parental psychopathology and the risk of suicidal behavior among offspring. Specifically, the study examines the effect of different forms of parental psychopathology on distinct stages of the pathway to suicide. We tested the hypothesis that parental disorders characterized by depressive symptoms would predict the onset of suicide ideation among offspring whereas disorders characterized by impulsive and anxious/agitated traits would predict suicide attempts. Using data from a coordinated series of nationally representative surveys conducted in 21 different countries around the world, the primary findings from this study were that: (1) each parental disorder examined increased the risk of suicide ideation among offspring; (2) parental generalized anxiety and depression emerged as the only predictors of the onset and persistence, respectively, of suicide plans among offspring with ideation; and (3) parental anti-social personality and anxiety disorders (specifically GAD and panic) emerged as the only predictors of the onset and persistence of suicide attempts among ideators. These findings were strengthened by the demonstration that most associations remained significant even after controlling for the presence of other parental disorders, the number of parental disorders, and the presence of mental disorders among offspring themselves.

Our findings should be considered in the context of several study limitations. First, all of the information relating to parental disorders and suicidal behavior was obtained with retrospective self-report provided by offspring, and so could be affected by recall bias or forgetting. Even though there is evidence suggesting that past events can be recalled with sufficient accuracy to support their validity, 37 the data presented here must still be considered as open to some degree of bias. Second, our assessment of parental psychopathology did not include all possible and potentially relevant disorders (e.g., psychotic disorders, borderline personality disorder). Third, we did not account for the chronicity or severity of parental disorders, a factor that could have led to our underestimating the associations between parental disorders and respondent suicidal behavior. Fourth and finally, in view of the cross-sectional design of the surveys, we were unable to provide a direct measure of the persistence of each episode of suicidal behaviors. Instead, our estimate of persistence used the time from first onset to most recent occurrence of each type of suicidal behavior. This information provides an advance over prior studies given that virtually nothing is known about the persistence of suicidal behaviors; however, future studies are needed to provide a direct measure of the persistence of suicidal behaviors.

Notwithstanding these limitations, these results advance understanding of suicidal behavior. Although prior research has suggested a trend for suicidal behavior to run in families, an understanding of the mode of transmission has been limited.11 Our results provide empirical support for prior suggestions that while parental depression is the strongest predictor of

suicide ideation among offspring, a history of parental impulse-control problems and anxious arousal may better predict suicide attempts.6, 11, 38 The current findings suggest that different parts of the pathway to suicide may have different genetic origins and that studies of suicide may be more fruitfully conducted with this understanding. The observation that parental depression and GAD had similar association with offspring suicidal outcomes whereas the effect of parental panic was manifest at a different point in the suicidal behavior pathway supports the suggestion that depression and GAD overlap, and that GAD belongs to a different cluster of disorders than panic disorder39 and, if replicated, may provide another lead for examining the genetic basis of these phenotypes. The observation that parental GAD protected against progression from plan to attempt while parental panic predicted progression from plan to attempt seems to further underscore a fundamental difference in the nature of these two disorders which had traditionally been classified as anxiety disorders.

One interpretation of these findings is that whereas all disorders are associated with increased distress that may lead to suicidal thinking, it is the persistent negative thinking and ruminative style—characteristic of depression and GAD—that lead people to plan to kill themselves. The high degree of worry among those with GAD may actually decrease the likelihood of carrying out the planned behavior, which is why we observed consistently that GAD is associated with higher odds of suicide planning, but lower odds of planned attempts. Acting on one's suicidal thoughts may require some degree of impulsiveness or fearlessness as well as high emotional arousal (e.g., fight or flight response) such as that seen in those with antisocial behavior or anxiety disorders. This may help to explain why a parental history of antisocial behavior and panic disorder consistently emerged as strong predictors of suicide attempts among those with suicide ideation. This interpretation is speculative, but consistent with prior research and the current findings. Future studies should advance on this work by examining the associations between individual traits/symptoms and suicidal behavior to further test the proposed associations. The complexity of the association between parental psychopathology and offspring suicidal behavior is shown by the observation that parental suicide was associated with suicidal behavior only in the offspring of the same sex. It could be that parental suicide is different from other forms of psychopathology in that it carries with it not only the possibility of genetic predisposition in the offspring but also a risk of some form of gender-related learning.

The observed dose-response relation between parental disorders and respondent risk of suicide ideation and attempt is a novel and important finding. It suggests that parental comorbidity itself increases the risk of suicidal behavior among offspring, even after controlling for type of parental disorder and for the presence of mental disorders among offspring themselves. Regarding this last point, it is notable that the associations between parental psychopathology and offspring suicidal behavior more broadly were not fully explained by the presence of offspring mental disorders. This may be because it is not the disorders themselves that are important in explaining offspring suicidal behavior, but the traits—transmitted intergenerationally—that underlie them. Future studies should incorporate the use of measures of the psychological traits proposed to lead to suicidal behavior, which do not map perfectly onto psychiatric diagnoses, in order to test the explanatory power of these constructs.

Our findings are relevant for future efforts to understand the genetic basis of suicidal behavior and may help to focus research in this area more appropriately. These results suggest that explorations of the genetics of suicide spectrum behavior need to target different psychopathological phenotypes that have strong associations with distinct suicidal outcomes. Thus, genetic studies of suicide ideation and plans may be better focused on the possibility of some genetic link between depression and anxious rumination, while studies on the progression from suicidal ideation to attempt may be enhanced by the possibility of its genetic link with traits of high sympathetic arousal and impulse dyscontrol. This shift in focus from the effects of psychiatric disorders to the traits that underlie them may offer new insights in the factors that contribute to this leading cause of death around the world.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was carried out in conjunction with the World Health Organization World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative. We thank the WMH staff for assistance with instrumentation, fieldwork, and data analysis. These activities were supported by the United States National Institute of Mental Health (R01MH070884, R01MH077883), the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, the Pfizer Foundation, the US Public Health Service (R13-MH066849, R01-MH069864, and R01 DA016558), the Fogarty International Center (FIRCA R03-TW006481), the Pan American Health Organization, the Eli Lilly & Company Foundation, Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc., GlaxoSmithKline, and Bristol-Myers Squibb. A complete list of WMH publications can be found at http://www.hcp.med.harvard.edu/wmh/. The São Paulo Megacity Mental Health Survey is supported by the State of São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) Thematic Project Grant 03/00204-3. The Bulgarian Epidemiological Study of common mental disorders EPIBUL is supported by the Ministry of Health and the National Center for Public Health Protection. The Chinese World Mental Health Survey Initiative is supported by the Pfizer Foundation. The Shenzhen Mental Health Survey is supported by the Shenzhen Bureau of Health and the Shenzhen Bureau of Science, Technology, and Information. The Colombian National Study of Mental Health (NSMH) is supported by the Ministry of Social Protection. The ESEMeD project is funded by the European Commission (Contracts QLG5-1999-01042; SANCO 2004123), the Piedmont Region (Italy), Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain (FIS 00/0028), Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología, Spain (SAF 2000-158-CE), Departament de Salut, Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain, Instituto de Salud Carlos III (CIBER CB06/02/0046, RETICS RD06/0011 REM-TAP), and other local agencies and by an unrestricted educational grant from GlaxoSmithKline. The WMHI was funded by WHO (India) and helped by Dr. R Chandrasekaran, JIPMER. The Israel National Health Survey is funded by the Ministry of Health with support from the Israel National Institute for Health Policy and Health Services Research and the National Insurance Institute of Israel. The World Mental Health Japan (WMHJ) Survey is supported by the Grant for Research on Psychiatric and Neurological Diseases and Mental Health (H13-SHOGAI-023, H14-TOKUBETSU-026, H16-KOKORO-013) from the Japan Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. The Lebanese National Mental Health Survey (LEBANON) is supported by the Lebanese Ministry of Public Health, the WHO (Lebanon), Fogarty International, Act for Lebanon, anonymous private donations to IDRAAC, Lebanon, and unrestricted grants from Janssen Cilag, Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, Roche, and Novartis. The Mexican National Comorbidity Survey (MNCS) is supported by The National Institute of Psychiatry Ramon de la Fuente (INPRFMDIES 4280) and by the National Council on Science and Technology (CONACyT-G30544- H), with supplemental support from the PanAmerican Health Organization (PAHO). Te Rau Hinengaro: The New Zealand Mental Health Survey (NZMHS) is supported by the New Zealand Ministry of Health, Alcohol Advisory Council, and the Health Research Council. The Nigerian Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing (NSMHW) is supported by the WHO (Geneva), the WHO (Nigeria), and the Federal Ministry of Health, Abuja, Nigeria. The Romania WMH study projects "Policies in Mental Health Area" and "National Study regarding Mental Health and Services Use" were carried out by National School of Public Health & Health Services Management (former National Institute for Research & Development in Health), with technical support of Metro Media Transilvania, the National Institute of Statistics-National Centre for Training in Statistics, SC. Cheyenne Services SRL, Statistics Netherlands and were funded by Ministry of Public Health (former Ministry of Health) with supplemental support of Eli Lilly Romania SRL. The South Africa Stress and Health Study (SASH) is supported by the US National Institute of Mental Health (R01-MH059575) and National Institute of Drug Abuse with supplemental funding from the South African Department of Health and the University of Michigan. The Ukraine Comorbid Mental Disorders during Periods of Social Disruption (CMDPSD) study is funded by the US National Institute of Mental Health (RO1-MH61905). The US National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R) is supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH; U01-MH60220) with supplemental support from the National Institute of Drug Abuse (NIDA), the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF; Grant 044708), and the John W. Alden Trust.

REFERENCES

 Bertolte JM, Fleishmann A, De Leo D, Bolhari J, Botega N, De Silva D, et al. Suicide attempts, plans, and ideation in culturally diverse sites: the WHO SUPRE-MISS community survey. Psychol Med. 2005; 35:1457–1465. [PubMed: 16164769]

- 2. Nock K, Borges G, Bromet EJ, Cha CB, Kessler RC, Lee S. Suicide and suicidal behavior. Epidemiol Rev. 2008; 30:133–154. [PubMed: 18653727]
- 3. Üstün TB, Ayuso-Mateos JL, Chatterj S, Mathers C, Murray CJL. Global burden of depressive disorder in the year 2000. Br J Psychiatry. 2004; 184:386–392. [PubMed: 15123501]
- World Health Organization. UNAIDS. UNICEF., editor. Towards universal access. Scaling up priority HIV/AIDS interventions in the health sector Progress Report. WHO; Geneva, Switzerland: 2007
- Balderssarini RJ, Hennen J. Genetics of suicide: an overview. Harv Rev Psychiatry. 2004; 12:1–13.
 [PubMed: 14965851]
- Brent DA, Bridge J, Johnson BA, Connolly J. Suicidal behavior runs in families. A controlled family study of adolescent suicide victims. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1996; 53:1145–1152. [PubMed: 8956681]
- 7. Melhem NM, Brent DA, Ziegler M, Iyengar S, Kolko D, Oquendo M, et al. Familial pathways to early-onset suicidal behavior: familial and individual antecedents of suicidal behavior. Am J Psychiatry. 2007; 164:1364–1370. [PubMed: 17728421]
- Qin P, Agerbo E, Mortensen PB. Suicide risk in relation to family history of completed suicide and psychiatric disorders: a nested case-control study based on longitudinal registers. Lancet. 2002; 360:1126–1130. [PubMed: 12387960]
- Runeson B, Asberg M. Family history of suicide among suicide victims. Am J Psychiatry. 2003; 160:1525–1526. [PubMed: 12900320]
- Sorensen HJ, Mortensen EL, Wang AG, Juel K, Silverton L, Mednick SA. Suicide and mental illness in parents and risk of suicide in offspring: a birth cohort study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2009; 44:748–751. [PubMed: 19169611]
- 11. Brent DA, Mann JJ. Family genetic studies, suicide, and suicidal behavior. Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet. 2005; 133C:13–24. [PubMed: 15648081]
- 12. Agerbo E, Nordentoft M, Mortensen PB. Familial, psychiatric, and socioeconomic risk factors for suicide in young people: nested case-control study. BMJ. 2002; 325:74–77. [PubMed: 12114236]
- 13. Krakowski MI, Czobor P. Psychosocial risk factors associated with suicide attempts and violence among psychiatric inpatients. Psychiatr Serv. 2004; 55:1414–1419. [PubMed: 15572570]
- 14. Mittendorfer-Rutz E, Rasmussen F, Wasserman D. Familial clustering of suicidal behaviour and psychopathology in young suicide attempters. A register-based nested case control study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2008; 43:28–36. [PubMed: 17934681]
- Pfeffer CR, Hurt SW, Kakuma T, Peskin JR, Siefker CA, Nagabhairava S. Suicidal children grow up: suicidal episodes and effects of treatment during follow-up. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1994; 33:225–230. [PubMed: 8150794]
- Pfeffer CR, Normandin L, Kakuma T. Suicidal children grow up: relations between family psychopathology and adolescents' lifetime suicidal behavior. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1998; 186:269– 275. [PubMed: 9612443]
- 17. Stenager K, Qin P. Individual and parental psychiatric history and risk for suicide among adolescents and young adults in Denmark: a population-based study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2008; 43:920–926. [PubMed: 18574540]
- 18. Brent DA, Oquendo M, Birmaher B, Greenhill L, Kolko D, Stanley B, et al. Familial pathways to early-onset suicide attempt: risk for suicidal behavior in offspring of mood-disordered suicide attempters. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2002; 59:801–807. [PubMed: 12215079]
- Brent DA, Oquendo M, Birmaher B, Greenhill L, Kolko D, Stanley B, et al. Peripubertal suicide attempts in ofspring of sicide atempters wth siblings concordant for suicidal behavior. Am J Psychiatry. 2003; 160:1486–1493. [PubMed: 12900312]

20. Nock MK, Hwang I, Sampson NA, Kessler RC. Mental disorders, comorbidity and suicidal behavior: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Mol Psychiatry. Mar 31.2009 [Epub ahead of print].

- 21. Nock MK, Hwang I, Sampson NA, Kessler RC, Angermeyer M, Beautrais A, et al. Cross-national analysis of the associations among mental disorders and suicidal behavior: findings from the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. PLoS Med. 2009; 6:e1000123. [PubMed: 19668361]
- 22. The World Bank. [cited 2008 September 17] Data and Statistics. 2008. Available from:
- 23. Harkness, J.; Pennell, BE.; Villar, A.; Gebler, N.; Aguilar-Gaxiola, S.; Bilgen, I. Translation procedures and translation assessment in the World Mental Health Survey Initiative. In: Kessler, RC.; Üstün, TB., editors. The WHO World Mental Health Surveys: Global Perspectives on the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders. Cambridge University Press; New York, NY: 2008. p. 91-113.
- 24. Heeringa, S.; Wells, JE.; Hubbard, F.; Mneimneh, Z.; Chiu, WT.; Sampson, N., et al. Sample designs and sampling procedures. In: Kessler, RC.; Üstün, TB., editors. The WHO World Mental Health Surveys: Global Perspectives on the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders. Cambridge University Press; New York, NY: 2008. p. 14-32.
- 25. Pennell, B-E.; Mneimneh, Z.; Bowers, A.; Chardoul, S.; Wells, JE.; Viana, MC., et al. Implementation of the World Mental Health Surveys. In: Kessler, RC.; Üstün, TB., editors. The WHO World Mental Health Surveys: Global Perspectives on the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders. Cambridge University Press; New York, NY: 2008. p. 33-57.
- 26. Kessler RC, Üstün TB. The World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative Version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI). Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2004; 13:93–121. [PubMed: 15297906]
- 27. Kessler, RC.; Üstün, TB. The World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview. In: Kessler, RC.; Üstün, TB., editors. The WHO World Mental Health Surveys: Global Perspectives in the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders. Cambridge University Press; New York, NY: 2008.
- 28. Andreasen NC, Endicott J, Spitzer RL, Winokur G. The family history method using diagnostic criteria: reliability and validity. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1977; 34:1229–1235. [PubMed: 911222]
- Kendler KS, Davis CG, Kessler RC. The family aggregation of common psychiatric and substance use disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey: a family history study. Br J Psychiatry. 1997; 170:541–548. [PubMed: 9330021]
- 30. Rubin DB. Multiple imputation after 18 years. J Am Stat Assoc. 1996; 91:473–489.
- Efron B. Logistic regression, survival analysis, and the Kaplan Meier curve. JAMA. 1988; 83:414–425.
- 32. Allison PD. Survival analysis of backward recurrence times. J Am Stat Assoc. 1984; 80:315–322.
- 33. van Es B, Klaassen CAJ, Oudshoorn K. Survival analysis under cross-sectional sampling: length bias and multiplicative censoring. J Stat Plan Inference. 2000; 91:295–312.
- 34. Yamaguchi K. Accelerated failure-time mover-stayer regression models for the analysis of last episode data. Sociol Methodol. 2003; 33:81–110.
- 35. Wolter, K. Introduction to Variance Estimation. Springer-Verlag; New York, NY: 1985.
- 36. Research Triangle Institute. SUDAAN: Professional Software fro Survey data Analysis (computer program). Version 8.0.1. Research Triangle Institute; Research Triangle Park, NC: 2002.
- 37. Hardt J, Rutter M. Validity of adult retrospective reports of adverse childhood experiences: review of the evidence. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2004; 45:260–273. [PubMed: 14982240]
- 38. Wender PH, Kety SS, Rosenthal D, Schulsinger F, Ortmann J, Lunde I. Psychiatric disorders in the biological and adoptive families of adopted individuals with affective disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1986; 43:923–929. [PubMed: 3753159]
- 39. Krueger RF. The structure of common mental disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1999; 56:921–926. [PubMed: 10530634]

Author Manuscript

Table 1

WMH sample characteristics by World Bank income categories

Country by income	Survey ²	Sample Characteristics ³	Field Dates	Age Range		Sample Size	24	Response Rate ⁴
					Part I	Part II	Part II and Age 44 ⁵	
Low and Lower-middle								
Colombia	NSMH	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents in all urban areas of the country (approximately 73% of the total national population).	2003	18-65	4426	2381	1731	87.7
India	WMHI	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents in Pondicherry region. Nationally Representative (NR)	2003-5	18+	2992	1373	642	98.8
Nigeria	NSMHW	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of households in 21 of the 36 states in the country, representing 57% of the national population. The surveys were conducted in Yoruba, Igbo, Hausa and Efik languages.	2002-3	18+	6752	2143	1203	79.3
PRC	B-WMH S-WMH	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents in the Beijing and Shanghai metropolitan areas.	2002-3	+81	5201	1628	570	74.7
PRC	Shenzhen	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents and temporary residents in the Shenzhen area.	2006-7	18+	7134	2476	1993	80.0
Ukraine	CMDPSD	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents. NR	2002	18+	4725	1720	541	78.3
Opper-uniume Brazil	São Paulo Megacity	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents in the São Paulo metropolitan area.	2005-7	18+	5037	2942	ı	81.3
Bulgaria	SHSN	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents. NR	2003-7	18+	5318	2233	741	72.0
Lebanon	LEBANON	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents. NR	2002-3	18+	2857	1031	595	70.0
Mexico	M-NCS	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents in all urban areas of the country (approximately 75% of the total national population).	2001-2	18-65	5782	2362	1736	76.6
Romania	RMHS	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents. NR	2005-6	18+	2357	2357	;	70.9
South Africa	SASH	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents. NR	2003-4	18+	4351	4351	ŀ	87.1
High								
Belgium	ESEMeD	Stratified multistage clustered probability sample of individuals residing in households from the national register of Belgium residents. NR	2001-2	+81	2419	1043	486	50.6
France	ЕЅЕМеD	Stratified multistage clustered sample of working telephone numbers merged with a reverse directory (for listed numbers). Initial recruitment was by telephone, with supplemental in-person recruitment in households with listed numbers. NR	2001-2	18+	2894	1436	727	45.9
Germany	ESEMeD	Stratified multistage clustered probability sample of individuals from community resident registries. NR	2002-3	18+	3555	1323	621	57.8

Author Manuscript

Country by income	Survey ²	Sample Characteristics ³	Field Dates	Age Range		Sample Size	Response Rate ⁴
caregory					Part I	Part I Part II and Age 44 ⁵	7.
Israel	NHS	Straiffied multistage clustered area probability sample of individuals from a national resident register. NR	2002-4	21+	4859	4859	72.6
Italy	ESEMeD	Stratified multistage clustered probability sample of individuals from municipality resident registries. NR	2001-2 18+	18+	4712	1779 853	71.3
Japan	WMHJ2002 -2006	Un-clustered two-stage probability sample of individuals residing in households in nine metropolitan areas (Fukiage, Higashi-ichiki, Ichiki, Kushikino, Nagasaki, Okayama, Sano, Tamano, Tendo, and Tochigi).	2002-6 20+	20+	3417	1305 425	59.2
Netherlands	ESEMeD	Stratified multistage clustered probability sample of individuals residing in households that are listed in municipal postal registries. NR	2002-3 18+	18+	2372	1094 516	56.4
New Zealand	NZMHS	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents. NR	2003-4 16+	16+	12992	7435	73.3
Spain	ESEMeD	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents. NR	2001-2	18+	5473	2121 960	78.6
United States	NCS-R	Stratified multistage clustered area probability sample of household residents. NR	2002-3 18+	18+	9282	5692 3197	70.9

Definition: Income group: Economies are divided according to 2007 GNI per capita, calculated using the World Bank Atlas method. The groups are: low income, \$935 or less; lower middle income, \$936 - \$3,705; upper middle income, \$3,706 - \$11,455; and high income, \$11,456 or more. http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/DATASTATISTICS//0,,content/MDK:20420458~menuPK. 64133156~pagePK:64133150~piPK:64133175~theSitePK:239419,00.html

²NSMH (The Colombian National Study of Mental Health); WMHI (World Mental Health India); NSMHW (The Nigerian Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing); B-WMH (The Beijing World Mental (South Africa Health Survey); ESEMED (The European Study Of The Epidemiology Of Mental Disorders); NHS (Israel National Health Survey); WMHJ2002-2006 (World Mental Health Japan Survey); Stress); LEBANON (Lebanese Evaluation of the Burden of Ailments and Needs of the Nation); M-NCS (The Mexico National Comorbidity Survey); RMHS (Romania Mental Health Survey); SASH Health Survey); S-WMH (The Shanghai World Mental Health Survey); CMDPSD (Comorbid Mental Disorders during Periods of Social Disruption); NSHS (Bulgaria National Survey of Health and NZMHS (New Zealand Mental Health Survey); NCS-R (The US National Comorbidity Survey Replication).

stage followed by one or more subsequent stages of geographic sampling (e.g., towns within counties, blocks within towns, households within blocks) to arrive at a sample of households, in each of which a interviewed. These household samples were selected from Census area data in all countries other than France (where telephone directories were used to select households) and the Netherlands (where postal listing of household members was created and one or two people were selected from this listing to be interviewed. No substitution was allowed when the originally sampled household resident could not be registries were used to select households). Several WMH surveys (Belgium, Germany, Italy) used municipal registries to select respondents without listing households. The Japanese sample is the only totally un-clustered sample, with households randomly selected in each of the four sample areas and one random respondent selected in each sample household. Sixteen of the 21 surveys are based on 3 Most WMH surveys are based on stratified multistage clustered area probability household samples in which samples of areas equivalent to counties or municipalities in the US were selected in the first nationally representative (NR) household samples, while two others are based on nationally representative household samples in urbanized areas (Colombia, Mexico).

known not to be eligible either because of being vacant at the time of initial contact or because the residents were unable to speak the designated languages of the survey. The weighted average response rate The response rate is calculated as the ratio of the number of households in which an interview was completed to the number of households originally sampled, excluding from the denominator households

⁵ Brazil, Israel, New Zealand, Romania, and South Africa did not have an age restricted Part II sample. All other countries, with the exception of India, Nigeria, People's Republic of China, and Ukraine (which were age restricted to 39) were age restricted to 44.

⁶ New Zealand interviewed respondents 16+ but for the purposes of cross-national comparisons we limit the sample to those 18+.

Table 2

Prevalence of parental psychopathology among suicidality outcomes

	disorder among the total sample	disorder among the total sample	disorder among the total sample	mong the	disorder among ideators	rder among ideators	disorde	disorder among ideators with a plan	disorder ar	disorder among ideators without a plan
Type of parental disorders	Attempt	No attempt	Ideation	No ideation	Plan	No plan	Attempt	No attempt	Attempt	No attempt
Depression	6.2 (0.6)	1.6 (0.1)	4.6 (0.3)	1.6 (0.1)	6.0 (0.5)	4.0 (0.4)	6.1 (0.7)	7.1 (0.9)	6.0 (1.1)	3.3 (0.4)
Panic	15.8 (0.9)	4.7 (0.1)	11.6 (0.5)	4.6 (0.1)	14.5 (0.8)	10.4 (0.7)	15.7 (1.1)	12.7 (1.4)	15.1 (1.5)	8.8 (0.7)
Generalized anxiety disorder	6.8 (0.6)	1.6 (0.1)	5.1 (0.4)	1.5 (0.1)	(9.0) (2.9)	4.0 (0.4)	6.4 (0.6)	9.2 (1.4)	7.2 (1.2)	3.3 (0.4)
Substance abuse	13.5 (0.8)	4.1 (0.1)	11.0 (0.4)	3.9 (0.1)	13.0 (0.8)	9.9 (0.7)	13.6 (1.1)	12.7 (1.5)	13.7 (1.4)	(2.0) 6.8
Antisocial behavior	7.1 (0.6)	1.6 (0.1)	4.7 (0.3)	1.5 (0.1)	5.8 (0.5)	3.7 (0.4)	(2.0) 6.9	4.9 (0.9)	8.3 (1.3)	2.9 (0.4)
Suicide I	0.9 (0.2)	0.5 (0.0)	0.7 (0.1)	0.5 (0.0)	0.9 (0.2)	0.7 (0.3)	1.1 (0.4)	0.5 (0.2)	0.6 (0.3)	0.8 (0.4)
Number of parental disorders 2										
1	14.2 (0.8)	5.6 (0.1)	12.1 (0.5)	5.5 (0.1)	12.8 (0.8)	11.7 (0.7)	13.3 (1.0)	12.7 (1.5)	15.6 (1.5)	11.1 (0.8)
2	9.0 (0.7)	2.4 (0.1)	6.8 (0.4)	2.3 (0.1)	9.0 (0.7)	6.0 (0.5)	9.2 (0.9)	8.7 (1.2)	8.3 (1.0)	5.1 (0.5)
3	2.2 (0.4)	0.5 (0.0)	2.0 (0.2)	0.5 (0.0)	2.5 (0.3)	1.7 (0.3)	2.2 (0.4)	3.1 (0.5)	4.8 (1.0)	2.0 (0.3)
4	2.7 (0.4)	0.5 (0.0)	0.8 (0.1)	0.4 (0.0)	2.0 (0.3)	0.9 (0.2)	2.7 (0.4)	1.8 (0.5)		
5+			0.5 (0.1)	0.1 (0.0)						
	(2831)	(52468)	(8382)	(46917)	(3324)	(5058)	(1894)	(1430)	(937)	(4121)

^{&#}x27;Mother only if respondent is female, or father only if respondent is male.

For number of parental disorders, the last prevalence shown represents the prevalence of the number or more. For example, for lifetime attempt, 4 represents 4 or more (i.e., 4+).

³ represents the percentage of people with the parent disorder among the cases with the outcome variable indicated in the column header. For example: the first cell is the % of those with parent depression among those with ideation.

Author Manuscript

Table 3

Bivariate and multivariate associations between parental psychopathology and lifetime suicidality¹

Type of parental	Suicide	Suicide attempt	Suicide ideation	ideation	Suicide plan a ideators	Suicide plan among ideators	Suicide attempt amo ideators with plan	Suicide attempt among ideators with plan	Suicide attempt among ideators without plan	mpt among thout plan
disorders	Bivariate OR(95%CI)	Multivariate OR(95%CI)	Bivariate OR(95%CI)	Multivariate OR(95%CI)	Bivariate OR(95%CI)	Bivariate Multivariate OR(95%CI)	Bivariate Multivariate Bivariate Multivariate OR(95%CI) OR(95%CI) OR(95%CI)	Multivariate OR(95%CI)	Bivariate OR(95%CI)	Multivariate OR(95%CI)
Depression	3.2*(2.6-4.0)		3.0*(2.6-3.6)	$1.2(0.9-1.6) 3.0^*(2.6-3.6) 1.3^*(1.1-1.6) 1.3(1.0-1.7) 1.1(0.8-1.5) 0.8(0.6-1.2) 1.1(0.6-1.8) 1.4(0.9-2.2) 0.9(0.5-1.6) $	1.3(1.0-1.7)	1.1(0.8-1.5)	0.8(0.6-1.2)	1.1(0.6-1.8)	1.4(0.9-2.2)	0.9(0.5-1.6)
Panic	3.0*(2.5-3.5)	2.2	2.3*(2.0-2.6)	$(1.8-2.6) 2.3^*(2.0-2.6) 1.7^*(1.5-1.9) 1.2(1.0-1.5) 1.2(1.0-1.5) 1.5^*(1.0-2.1) 1.6^*(1.1-2.2) 1.5^*(1.1-2.0) 1.3(1.0-1.8)$	1.2(1.0-1.5)	1.2(1.0-1.5)	1.5*(1.0-2.1)	1.6*(1.1-2.2)	1.5*(1.1-2.0)	1.3(1.0-1.8)
Generalized anxiety disorder 3.3*(2.6-4.2) 1.5*	3.3*(2.6-4.2)		3.2*(2.7-3.7)	$(1.2-2.0) 3.2^*(2.7-3.7) 1.5^*(1.3-1.9) 1.3^*(1.0-1.8) 1.2(0.9-1.7) 0.6^*(0.4-0.8) 0.5^*(0.3-0.8) 1.8^*(1.1-2.7) 1.5(0.8-2.7)$	1.3*(1.0-1.8)	1.2(0.9-1.7)	0.6*(0.4-0.8)	0.5*(0.3-0.8)	1.8*(1.1-2.7)	1.5(0.8-2.7)
Substance abuse	2.2*(1.9-2.7)	1.3*	2.1*(1.8-2.4)	$(1.1-1.6) 2.1^*(1.8-2.4) 1.5^*(1.3-1.7) 1.0(0.8-1.3) 1.0(0.8-1.2) 0.9(0.6-1.3) 0.7(0.5-1.1) 1.3(0.9-1.9) 1.0(0.7-1.4)$	1.0(0.8-1.3)	1.0(0.8-1.2)	0.9(0.6-1.3)	0.7(0.5-1.1)	1.3(0.9-1.9)	1.0(0.7-1.4)
Antisocial behavior	3.5*(2.9-4.2)	2.1	2.8*(2.5-3.3)	$(1.6-2.6) 2.8^{*}(2.5-3.3) 1.6^{*}(1.4-1.9) 1.1(0.8-1.5) 1.0(0.8-1.4) 1.1(0.7-1.7) 1.4(0.9-2.2) 2.0^{*}(1.3-3.2) 1.8^{*}(1.1-3.0$	1.1(0.8-1.5)	1.0(0.8-1.4)	1.1(0.7-1.7)	1.4(0.9-2.2)	2.0*(1.3-3.2)	1.8*(1.1-3.0)
Suicide	2.8*(1.4-5.3)		2.8*(1.7-4.4)	$1.2(0.6-2.3) 2.8^*(1.7-4.4) 1.1(0.7-1.8) 0.6(0.2-1.4) 0.7(0.3-1.6) 2.5(0.2-26.6) 2.9(0.4-19.2) 0.4(0.1-2.0) 0.5(0.1-2.1)$	0.6(0.2-1.4)	0.7(0.3-1.6)	2.5(0.2-26.6)	2.9(0.4-19.2)	0.4(0.1-2.0)	0.5(0.1-2.1)

*
OR Significant at the .05 level, two-sided test

I Assessed in Part II sample due to having Part II controls. Models control for person years and demographic variables, and also the significant interaction terms between person years and demographic variables.

Table 4

Multivariate associations between number of parental psychopathology disorders and lifetime suicidality I

Number of parental disorders ²	Suicide attempt	Suicide ideation	Suicide plan among ideators	Suicide attempt among ideators with plan	Suicide attempt among ideators without plan
	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)
1	2.4*(2.1-2.7)	2.1*(1.9-2.3)	1.0(0.8-1.2)	0.9(0.7-1.3)	1.4*(1.1-1.8)
2	3.2*(2.7-3.8)	2.7*(2.4-3.1)	1.4*(1.1-1.8)	1.0(0.7-1.5)	1.5*(1.0-2.1)
3	3.2*(2.3-4.6)	3.5*(2.8-4.4)	(6.1-6.0)	0.7(0.4-1.1)	1.7*(1.1-2.8)
4	6.2*(4.5-8.7)	2.5*(1.7-3.6)	1.4(0.8-2.5)	1.3(0.6-2.6)	-
5+	-	15.4*(10.3-23.0)	-	-	ı

OR Significant at the .05 level, two-sided test

I Assessed in Part II sample due to having Part II controls. Models control for person years and demographic variables, and also the significant interaction terms between person years and demographic variables.

For number of parental disorders, the last odds ratio represents the odd of the number or more. For example, for lifetime attempt, 4 represents 4 or more (i.e., 4+).

Author Manuscript

Table 5

Final multivariate model for associations between parental psychopathology and lifetime suicidality I

	Suicide attempt	Suicide ideation	Suicide plan among ideators	Suicide attempt among ideators with plan	Suicide attempt among ideators without plan
Type of parental disorders	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)
Depression	1.5*(1.1-2.1)	1.8*(1.4-2.3)	0.9(0.6-1.3)	0.7(0.4-1.3)	1.3(0.7-2.5)
Panic	2.0*(1.6-2.4)	1.7*(1.5-1.9)	1.0(0.8-1.4)	1.4(0.9-2.2)	1.6*(1.1-2.3)
Generalized anxiety disorder	$1.6^*(1.2-2.0)$	1.9*(1.5-2.3)	1.0(0.6-1.5)	0.4*(0.2-0.7)	2.2*(1.1-4.2)
Substance abuse	1.4*(1.2-1.8)	1.7*(1.5-2.0)	0.9(0.6-1.2)	0.6*(0.4-1.0)	1.2(0.8-1.9)
Antisocial behavior	1.9*(1.4-2.6)	1.8*(1.5-2.2)	0.9(0.6-1.3)	1.0(0.6-1.7)	2.2*(1.2-4.1)
Suicide	2.0*(1.0-4.2)	2.2*(1.4-3.4)	0.6(0.3-1.5)	1.7(0.3-11.3)	0.6(0.2-2.6)
Number of parental disorders ²					
2	0.7*(0.5-1.0)	0.6*(0.5-0.8)	1.5(0.9-2.5)	1.3(0.7-2.5)	0.6(0.3-1.1)
3	0.3*(0.2-0.6)	$0.4^*(0.3-0.5)$	1.4(0.6-3.0)	1.5(0.5-4.5)	0.3*(0.1-0.9)
4	$0.3^*(0.1-0.6)$	0.1*(0.1-0.2)	1.9(0.6-6.0)	3.9(0.9-17.5)	-
5+	-	0.2*(0.1-0.4)	-	-	-

OR Significant at the .05 level, two-sided test

Page 18

I Assessed in Part II sample due to having Part II controls. Models control for person years and demographic variables, significant interaction terms between person years and demographic variables, as well as for mental disorders in the offspring.

For number of parental disorders, the last odds ratio represents the odd of the number or more. For example, for lifetime attempt, 4 represents 4 or more (i.e., 4+).

Gureje et al.

Table 6

Multivariate association between type and number of parental psychopathology with persistence of suicidal behavior¹

	Suicide attempt among ideators	Suicide ideation	Suicide plan among ideators	Suicide attempt among ideators with plan	Suicide attempt among ideators without plan
Type of parental disorders	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)	OR(95%CI)
Depression	1.1(0.6-2.2)	1.4*(1.1-1.8)	$1.6^*(1.0-2.6)$	1.6(0.8-3.4)	0.6(0.1-2.8)
Panic	1.6*(1.1-2.3)	1.2*(1.0-1.4)	1.3(0.9-1.7)	1.9*(1.3-2.7)	1.2(0.6-2.2)
Generalized anxiety disorder	0.9(0.5-1.4)	1.0(0.7-1.3)	0.9(0.6-1.3)	1.1(0.6-2.1)	0.7(0.2-1.9)
Substance abuse	0.9(0.7-1.3)	1.0(0.8-1.2)	0.9(0.7-1.3)	1.0(0.7-1.6)	1.1(0.5-2.4)
Antisocial behavior	0.9(0.5-1.5)	1.1(0.8-1.6)	1.0(0.6-1.5)	1.0(0.5-2.0)	1.0(0.3-3.3)
Suicide	3.4*(1.0-11.5)	1.2(0.6-2.2)	2.9*(1.0-8.3)	5.4*(1.5-19.8)	-
Number of parental disorders ²					
2	1.2(0.6-2.1)	1.0(0.7-1.3)	0.8(0.5-1.4)	0.8(0.4-1.5)	2.0(0.6-6.4)
3	0.7(0.2-2.2)	0.8(0.5-1.4)	0.5(0.2-1.2)	0.4(0.1-1.7)	1.5(0.2-12.7)
4	0.9(0.2-3.1)	0.9(0.4-1.8)	0.7(0.2-2.1)	0.4(0.1-1.6)	-
5+	-	0.5(0.2-1.2)	_	-	-

OR significant at 0.05 level, two-sided test

Page 19

Models control for country differences, a set of age related variables (i.e., age, onset and time since onset), sex, educational attainment, marriage and mental disorders in the offspring.

² For number of parental disorders, the last odds ratio represents the odd of the number or more. For example, for suicide attempt among ideators, 4 represents 4 or more (i.e., 4+).