Suzaku Monitoring of the Iron K Emission Line in the Type 1 Active Galactic Nucleus Ngc 5548

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

SUZAKU MONITORING OF THE IRON K EMISSION LINE IN THE TYPE 1 ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEUS NGC 5548

Yuan Liu[1,2], Martin Elvis[1], Ian M. McHardy[3], Dirk Grupe[4], Belinda J. Wilkes[1], James Reeves[5], Nancy Brickhouse[1], Yair Krongold[6], Smita Mathur[7], Takeo Minezaki[8], Fabrizio Nicastro[1], Yuzuru Yoshii[8], and Shuang Nan Zhang[2,9,10]

[1] Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA; yliu@cfa.harvard.edu, elvis@cfa.harvard.edu
[2] Physics Department and Center for Astrophysics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China; yuan-liu@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn
[3] School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK
[4] Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, 525 Davey Lab, University Park, PA 16 802, USA
[5] Astrophysics Group, School of Physical and Geographical Sciences, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK
[6] Instituto de Astronomia, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Apartado Postal 70-264, 04510 Mexico DF, Mexico
[7] Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, 140 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43 210, USA
[8] Institute of Astronomy, School of Science, University of Tokyo, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-0015, Japan
[9] Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 918-3, Beijing 100049, China
[10] Physics Department, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL 35899, USA
Received 2009 August 6; accepted 2010 January 6; published 2010 January 28

ABSTRACT

We present seven sequential weekly observations of NGC 5548 conducted in 2007 with the *Suzaku* X-ray Imaging Spectrometer (XIS) in the 0.2–12 keV band and Hard X-ray Detector (HXD) in the 10–600 keV band. The iron Kα line is well detected in all seven observations and Kβ line is also detected in four observations. In this paper, we investigate the origin of the Fe K lines using both the width of the line and the reverberation mapping method. With the co-added XIS and HXD spectra, we identify Fe Kα and Kβ line at $6.396^{+0.009}_{-0.007}$ keV and $7.08^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ keV, respectively. The width of line obtained from the co-added spectra is 38^{+16}_{-18} eV (FWHM = 4200^{+1800}_{-2000} km s^{-1}) which corresponds to a radius of 20^{+50}_{-10} light days, for the virial production of 1.220×10^7 $M_\odot$ in NGC 5548. To quantitatively investigate the origin of the narrow Fe line by the reverberation mapping method, we compare the observed light curves of Fe Kα line with the predicted ones, which are obtained by convolving the continuum light curve with the transfer functions in a thin shell and an inclined disk. The best-fit result is given by the disk case with $i = 30°$ which is better than a fit to a constant flux of the Fe K line at the 92.7% level (*F*-test). However, the results with other geometries are also acceptable ($P > 50\%$). We find that the emitting radius obtained from the light curve is 25–37 light days, which is consistent with the radius derived from the Fe K line width. Combining the results of the line width and variation, the most likely site for the origin of the narrow iron lines is 20–40 light days away from the central engine, though other possibilities are not completely ruled out. This radius is larger than the Hβ emitting parts of the broad-line region at 6–10 light days (obtained by the simultaneous optical observation), and smaller than the inner radius of the hot dust in NGC 5548 (at about 50 light days).

Key words: galaxies: active – galaxies: individual (NGC 5548) – galaxies: Seyfert – quasars: emission lines – X-rays: galaxies

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

The neutral, photoionized iron K emission line commonly found in the X-ray spectra of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) can be decomposed into a narrow core around 6.4 keV and a broad redshifted component, though sometimes only one of them is present (Fabian et al. 2000; Yaqoob et al. 2001; Nandra et al. 1997, 2007). The broad, relativistic component could be modeled as being emitted from the vicinity of the central black hole and used to determine the parameters of the black hole (e.g., Brenneman & Reynolds 2006). An alternative explanation of this feature is the complex absorption origin (Miller et al. 2008). However, the origin of the narrow component is still unclear, but could be critical to understanding the central structure of AGNs. The narrow width (FWHM ∼ several thousand km s^{-1} or less; e.g., Yaqoob & Padmanabhan 2004) suggests an origin well outside the continuum producing region. One of the proposed sites is the putative "obscuring torus" (e.g., Nandra 2006) at ∼0.1–1 pc. The evaporation radius of the dust can be estimated by $r = 1.3 L^{1/2}_{\mathrm{uv},46} T^{-2.8}_{1500}$ pc (Barvainis 1987), where $L_{\mathrm{uv},46}$ is the ultraviolet luminosity in units of 10^{46} erg s^{-1} and

T_{1500} is the evaporation temperature in units of 1500 K. For NGC 5548, since $L_{\mathrm{uv},46} \sim 0.01$ and assuming $T_{1500} = 1$, the evaporation radius is about 0.1 pc. However, the broad emission lines have similar line widths, so the more compact broad-line region (BLR) is another possible location which cannot be ruled out simply. A BLR origin is supported by the quasi-simultaneous optical spectroscopic observation with *Chandra* observation of NGC 7213 (Bianchi et al. 2008), which shows consistent Fe K and Hβ line widths, and by the rapid N_H changes seen in several AGNs (Elvis et al. 2004; Puccetti et al. 2007; Risaliti et al. 2002), which require a BLR-like radius for the $N_H \gtrsim 10^{23}$ cm^{-2} absorbers. Seen from another angle, these absorbers must re-emit in Fe K.

The lag between the variation of the flux of the continuum and the line can be used to measure the location of an emission line region (Blandford & McKee 1982) and this "reverberation mapping" methodology has been applied to the optical and UV broad emission lines (BELs) with great success (Peterson et al. 2004). However, until now the 10% or greater error on the flux of the 6.4 keV Fe K line (compared with the usual 1%–5% error on the flux of BELs), and the low sampling

Table 1
Suzaku Observation Log

Sequence Number	Obs. ID	Start Date and Time	Exposure Time (ks)	3–10 keV Count Rate (10^{-3} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1})
1	702042010	2007 Jun 18 UT 22:28:15	31.1	0.772
2	702042020	2007 Jun 24 UT 21:53:31	35.9	1.29
3	702042040	2007 Jul 08 UT 10:02:55	30.7	2.35
4	702042050	2007 Jul 15 UT 13:57:39	30.0	1.62
5	702042060	2007 Jul 22 UT 10:40:25	28.9	3.05
6	702042070	2007 Jul 29 UT 04:20:44	31.8	2.04
7	702042080	2007 Aug 05 UT 00:37:46	38.8	1.12

frequency of the X-ray observations, have made it hard to apply this method to determine lags for Fe K lines, especially on relatively short timescale expected (∼10 days for a BLR origin). For example, Chiang et al. (2000) found that the flux of the iron K line in NGC 5548 was consistent with being constant using the four simultaneous observations of *ASCA* and *Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer* (*RXTE*) within 25 days and another observation after about half a year. However, some response of the Fe K line to the continuum changes has been found. Markowitz et al. (2003) tried this method, analyzing the long-term *RXTE* spectra for seven sources. Although they found no evidence for correlated variability between the line and continuum, comparable systematic long-term (∼3–4 years) decreases in the line and continuum were present in NGC 5548.

We report here on a series of seven sequential X-ray observations of NGC 5548 by *Suzaku*, spaced roughly weekly. This observing campaign was designed, among other goals, to constrain the flux of the iron line to about 10% in each observation. This allows us, for the first time, to apply the reverberation mapping technique to Fe K to try to distinguish different geometries of the Fe K emitting region. NGC 5548 is the source best studied by the optical reverberation mapping technique (e.g., Peterson & Wandel 1999) and so has the best determined radial structure. Therefore, it is easy to determine the relative location of the Fe K line emitting region. In previous observations, only the Fe Kα line was detected. As we will present in this paper, the Kβ line is also well detected in the *Suzaku* observations. Although very weak in some observations, Kβ is useful to constrain the ionization state of iron.

The iron line in NGC 5548 has been observed by several X-ray satellites: *ASCA* spectra suggested a relativistic broad iron Kα line in NGC 5548 with $\sigma = 340^{+190}_{-120}$ eV (68% error for four interesting parameters; Nandra et al. 1997) and $\sigma \sim 400$ eV (Chiang et al. 2000). However, less than two years later, only the narrow Kα line was detected in a *Chandra* observation with much higher energy resolution (38 eV versus 160 eV) but lower signal-to-noise ratio (S/N; Yaqoob et al. 2001). An *XMM-Newton* EPIC CCD observation confirmed the *Chandra* result (Pounds et al. 2003).

In Section 2, we describe the observations and the procedure of the data reduction. In this paper, we intend to investigate the origin of the Fe K line using the reverberation mapping method and the width of the line. Therefore, in Section 3, we first fit the spectra of each observation to determine the flux of the continuum and the iron line. We then fit the co-added spectra in Section 3.2 to determine the mean parameters, especially the width of the iron line. In Sections 4.2 and 4.3, we calculate the transfer functions in different geometries and investigate the possible emitting region of iron line. In Section 5.1, we discuss the possible origin of the iron line. In Section 5.3, we briefly

discuss the implications of the intensity and the equivalent width of iron line. In Section 6, we give our conclusions. Throughout this paper we adopt the redshift of NGC 5548 obtained from 21 cm H I measurements, i.e., $z = 0.017175$ (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991). The errors quoted in this paper correspond to 90% confidence level ($\Delta\chi^2 = 2.706$; Avni 1976) if not otherwise specified.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. Observations

During 2007 June to 2007 August, NGC 5548 was observed by the CCD X-ray Imaging Spectrometers (XIS 0, 1, and 3) in the 0.2–12 keV band (Koyama et al. 2007) and by the Hard X-ray Detector (HXD) in the 10–600 keV band (Takahashi et al. 2007) on *Suzaku* (Mitsuda et al. 2007) seven times for 28.9 ks–38.7 ks each. We denote these as observations 1–7. The details of the observations are summarized in Table 1.

2.2. Data Reduction

Following the standard procedures outlined in the "Suzaku Data Reduction (ABC) Guide (ver. 2),[11]" we used the updated Charge Transfer Inefficiency (CTI) calibration (Suzaku XIS CALDB 20081110) and screened the events using the `xispi` (Ftools 6.5) and `xselect` scripts provided by *Suzaku* team (we adopted the standard criterion in xis_event.sel and xis_mkf.sel),[12] respectively.

X-ray spectra were extracted using `xselect`[13] from all the XISs with a circular extraction region of radius 260 arcsec centered on NGC 5548 ($\alpha = 14^h17^m59^s.5$, $\delta = +25^d08^m12^s.4$, J2000). Background spectra were obtained from a larger annulus around the source (but avoiding the calibration sources on the corners of the chips). Response matrices (`rmf`) and effective area (`arf`) files were generated with the `xisrmfgen`[14] and `xissimarfgen`[15] (estepfile = dense and num_photon=300000), respectively. We then combined the spectra, background, `rmf`, and `arf` files from the two front-side illuminated CCDs, XIS 0 and 3, for each observation with `addascaspec`[16] (we denote the combined spectra by "XIS03"). The spectra of XIS 1 are considered separately, as this detector uses a back-side illuminated CCDs.

Figure 1. Best fit model (solid lines) and the added spectra of XIS03 (plus). The inset (a) is the residual of the spectra of XIS03 to the best fit of a single power law. The inset (b) is the added spectra of Mn Kα line of the calibration sources on XIS03.

NGC 5548 was detected by the silicon diode PIN instrument of the HXD, but below the sensitivity limit of the GSO crystal scintillator instrument. We downloaded the tuned non-X-ray background (NXB, ver. 2.0) files from the *Suzaku* Guest Observer Facility (GOF)[17] and then merged the good-time interval (GTI) of the NXB with that of the screened event files to produce a common GTI using `mgtime`. Then the spectra of the source and the NXB were extracted by `xselect` using the common GTI. And the dead time of the source spectra was corrected by `hxddtcor`. Since the event rate in the PIN background event file is 10 times higher than the real background to suppress the Poisson errors, the exposure time of the spectra of NXB was increased by a factor of 10. The cosmic X-ray background (CXB) was not taken into account in the NXB file, therefore we also added a CXB component in the spectral fitting using the model given by the ABC guide (see Section 7.3.3 of the guide[11]). The response file `ae_hxd_pinxinome3_20080129.rsp` was used for observations 1–5, while the response file `ae_hxd_pinxinome4_20080129.rsp` was used for observations 6 and 7 due to the changes in instrumental settings of *Suzaku* during different observation epochs. The PIN spectra were multiplied by a constant cross-normalization factor of 1.16 to account for the differences in calibration between XIS and PIN.[18]

3. SPECTRAL FITTING

To perform the reverberation mapping calculation, we should first determine the flux of the continuum and the Fe K line. In order to avoid the influence of the complex absorption below 3 keV (Detmers et al. 2008; Steenbrugge et al. 2003), we only analyze the XIS spectra in 3–10 keV band. The warm absorber seen in the <3 keV spectra is presented by Krongold et al. (2010). In this paper, we will focus on the property and origin

of the iron emission line. The result of a global fit to the entire *Suzaku* spectral band is very similar to that obtained in this paper, and it will be presented in a subsequent paper.

For each observation, we fitted the spectra of XIS03 simultaneously with the spectra of XIS 1 in XSPEC (ver. 12.4). Although both of the energy resolution and the effective area of XIS 1 are lower than XIS 0, 3 in the 4–10 keV band (the background level of XIS 1 is also higher than XIS 0, 3 in this band), it is still useful to reduce the error of the intensity of the Fe K line. We fixed the Galactic absorption column at 1.63×10^{20} cm^{-2} (Murphy et al. 1996), and fitted the spectra with a single power law. The continua were well described by a single power law except for the region of the iron Kα and Kβ lines around 6.4 keV and 7.0 keV, respectively (see Figure 1). Therefore, we added two Gaussian lines to describe these features. It is important to construct a self-consistent model to describe all the components of the continuum and theoretically predict the strength of the Fe K line, as in Murphy & Yaqoob (2009). However, this is not the purpose of this paper. Our purpose is only to simply model the Fe K line using a Gaussian line and determine the flux and width of it and then to perform the reverberation calculation. A Kα line is required by all the seven spectra ($>6\sigma$), while Kβ line is only required by four observations ($>2\sigma$ for 1, 2, 4, and 7). The 90% upper limit of the flux of the Kβ line is determined in observations 3, 5, and 6. Since the Kβ line is weak, we fixed the width of Kβ to be the same as that of Kα. Fe Kβ line was also detected in other sources, e.g., NGC 2992 (Yaqoob et al. 2007) and Mrk 3 (Awaki et al. 2008). The detailed result of the fitting is given in Table 2, though we are mainly concerned about the flux of the continuum and the intensity of the Fe K line. Due to the weak reflection component in NGC 5548 (e.g., Pounds et al. 2003), if we simultaneously fit the continua in the XIS and PIN spectra of each observation using the `pexrav` model instead of the power-law model, the intensity of the Fe K line will systematically decrease by 10% for each observation (the strength of the reflection component $R \sim 0.2$–1.5). However, this change will not influence the reverberation mapping

---
[17] ftp://legacy.gsfc.nasa.gov/suzaku/data/background/pinnxb_ver2.0_tuned/
[18] http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/suzaku/analysis/watchout.html

Table 2
Derived Parameters from the Spectral Fits of the XIS Data of Each Observation (With Error Corresponding to 90% Confidence Level Except Flux and Intensity)

Sequence Number	Continuum Γ^a	Continuum Flux[b]	$K\alpha$ E^c	$K\alpha$ σ^d	$K\alpha$ I^e	$K\alpha$ EW[f]	$K\beta$ E^c	$K\beta$ I^g	$K\beta$ EW[f]	χ^2/d.o.f.	$\Delta\chi_1^{2h}$	$\Delta\chi_2^{2i}$
1	$1.41^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	$6.89^{+0.24}_{-0.34}$	$6.405^{+0.016}_{-0.017}$	44^{+32}_{-44}	$2.02^{+0.23}_{-0.24}$	215^{+83}_{-77}	$7.00^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	$5.6^{+1.7}_{-1.8}$	68^{+72}_{-68}	78.9/114	10.2	122.4
2	$1.55^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$11.28^{+0.23}_{-0.30}$	$6.384^{+0.017}_{-0.016}$	46^{+29}_{-46}	$2.40^{+0.25}_{-0.29}$	155^{+58}_{-56}	$7.08^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	$5.5^{+2.0}_{-2.0}$	42^{+51}_{-42}	197.7/177	7.4	122.8
3	$1.68^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$20.25^{+0.13}_{-0.16}$	$6.374^{+0.027}_{-0.025}$	62^{+31}_{-37}	$2.16^{+0.30}_{-0.31}$	77^{+39}_{-38}	7.04^j	<5.5	<40	355.1/338	$\cdots$	65.7
4	$1.53^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	$14.24^{+0.24}_{-0.27}$	$6.380^{+0.019}_{-0.018}$	34^{+31}_{-34}	$2.21^{+0.28}_{-0.27}$	112^{+48}_{-48}	$7.05^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	$7.5^{+2.2}_{-2.1}$	44^{+44}_{-44}	227.3/214	11.7	98.9
5	$1.61^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$26.46^{+0.14}_{-0.16}$	$6.401^{+0.029}_{-0.031}$	62^{+49}_{-62}	$2.25^{+0.43}_{-0.36}$	61^{+34}_{-34}	7.04^j	<5.3	<31	427.9/406	$\cdots$	54.6
6	$1.57^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$17.80^{+0.18}_{-0.22}$	$6.393^{+0.015}_{-0.015}$	34^{+29}_{-34}	$2.62^{+0.29}_{-0.26}$	106^{+39}_{-39}	7.04^j	<7.0	<50	303.2/308	$\cdots$	124.1
7	$1.53^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	$9.85^{+0.20}_{-0.24}$	$6.416^{+0.012}_{-0.012}$	23^{+28}_{-23}	$2.17^{+0.19}_{-0.21}$	162^{+52}_{-53}	$6.97^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	$4.0^{+1.5}_{-1.6}$	34^{+43}_{-34}	205.0/216	6.9	166.1

Notes.
[a] Photon index.
[b] Observed flux in 3–10 keV band (10^{-12} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}) with 68% error.
[c] Rest energy of line (keV).
[d] Intrinsic width of line (eV).
[e] Observed intensity of line (10^{-5} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}) with 68% error.
[f] Equivalent width of line (eV). 90% upper limit is shown if the Kβ line is very weak.
[g] Observed intensity of line (10^{-6} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}) with 68% error. 90% upper limit is shown if the Kβ line is very weak.
[h] The increase of χ^2 after removing Kβ line from the best-fit model.
[i] The increase of χ^2 after removing Kα and Kβ lines from the best-fit model.
[j] The rest energy of line is fixed at the value obtained by the co-add spectra, since the Kβ line is very weak in this observation.

result in Section 4.3, since it degenerates with the normalization of the transfer function (see the detail of the transfer function in Section 4.2). The PIN data are also not helpful to reduce the error of the flux of the Fe K line due to the additional uncertainty introduced by the reflection component and large error in the PIN spectra. The detailed discussion about the variation of the X-ray continuum and simultaneous UV/optical data will be presented in another paper.

3.1. Continuum Light Curve

Having fitted the spectra, we calculated the observed flux of the continuum in the 3–10 keV band. The resulting light curve is shown in Figure 3(a). To better sample the continuum light curve, we also utilized one observation of the continuum from the simultaneous *Swift* campaign. Since the observation times and the flux of other *Swift* data are quite similar to that of the *Suzaku* data, we will not include them in this paper. The details of the *Swift* campaign will be discussed in D. Grupe et al. (2010, in preparation). Twenty eight observations of the continuum with Proportional Counter Array (PCA) on *RXTE* before the *Suzaku* campaign are also used, since we are looking for the lag between the variation of continuum and line. However, due to the short exposure time, we cannot determine the flux of the line in any of these additional observations. The details of the *RXTE* and *Swift* observations are summarized in Table 3.

3.2. Narrow Iron Lines

To determine the mean parameters of the Fe Kα and Kβ lines more accurately, especially the width of the line, we added the spectra from the seven observations together using `addascaspec` (the XIS03, XIS1, and PIN spectra were added separately and then fitted simultaneously). The net counts of the source in 3–10 keV band in the total XIS03 and XIS1 spectra are 160,349 and 75,332, respectively. The net counts of the source in the 12–35 keV band in the total PIN spectra is 22,243. We found that the XIS1 spectra could not provide any useful constraint on the width of the Fe K line, since the σ of the Gaussian line

is pegged at 0 eV (the 90% upper limit is 30 eV). Therefore, we will only utilize the co-added XIS 03 and PIN spectra to determine the width of the Fe K line.

If we only fitted the co-added XIS03 spectra using the model in Section 3, i.e., a power law and two Gaussian lines, the width is $\sigma = 50^{+14}_{-15}$ eV. However, since the weak reflection component could influence the width of the Fe K line, we then simultaneously fitted the co-added XIS 03 and PIN spectra using the `pexrav` model and two Gaussian lines. The derived parameters are given in Table 4, where the strength of the reflection component ($R \sim 0.8$) could explain the Fe K line. As shown in Figure 1, only a narrow iron line is clearly present in the spectra, with a width, $\sigma = 38^{+16}_{-18}$ eV. This value is consistent with previous results: i.e., $\sigma = 41^{+32}_{-24}$ eV obtained by HEG+MEG on *Chandra* (Yaqoob et al. 2001) and $\sigma = 40^{+40}_{-40}$ eV (MOS) and 64^{+24}_{-24} eV (PN) obtained by *XMM-Newton* (Pounds et al. 2003).

Since the peak energies of the line and the parameters of the continua are somewhat different for each observation, we simultaneously fitted the spectra of all observations in XSPEC to test whether the line was broadened artificially in the co-added process. We required the width of line to be the same for all observations and kept other parameters free. The obtained width is only slightly smaller than that from the co-added spectra by about 2 eV, which implies the co-added method has not significantly broadened the width.

The width obtained by the co-added spectra is inconsistent with zero at 2.2σ and corresponds to FWHM= 4200 km s^{-1} and a radius of 5.2×10^{16} cm or $5.2 \times 10^3 r_g$ ($r_g = GM/c^2$), for the $6.71 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ black hole in NGC 5548 (Peterson et al. 2004). In Section 5.1, we will estimate the location of the emitting material of the line and discuss the origin of the line.

To test the presence of the broad, relativistic Fe Kα line found by *ASCA*, we tried the `diskline` model in XSPEC to fit the Kα line. We fixed the inner and outer disk radii at $6r_g$ and $1000r_g$ ($r_g = GM/c^2$), respectively. The index of the power-law emissivity was frozen at -2.5 (the mean value obtained in

Table 3
RXTE and *Swift* Observation Logs

Obs. ID	Start Date and Time	Exposure Time (s)	3–10 keV Flux (10^{-12} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1})
		RXTE	
92113-07-40-00	2006 Dec 05 UT 03:35:48	919	12.0 ± 0.9
92113-07-41-00	2006 Dec 11 UT 12:59:06	885	18.1 ± 1.0
92113-07-42-00	2006 Dec 21 UT 16:34:27	961	12.2 ± 0.9
92113-07-43-00	2006 Dec 23 UT 11:08:04	830	15.8 ± 1.0
92113-07-44-00	2007 Jan 02 UT 13:09:22	914	24.0 ± 1.0
92113-07-45-00	2007 Jan 10 UT 10:09:27	1259	43.6 ± 1.0
92113-07-46-00	2007 Jan 14 UT 21:15:16	926	29.3 ± 1.1
92113-07-47-00	2007 Jan 21 UT 16:35:22	913	28.3 ± 1.0
92113-07-48-00	2007 Jan 29 UT 17:19:33	948	21.5 ± 0.9
92113-07-49-00	2007 Feb 05 UT 20:22:13	1171	20.4 ± 0.9
92113-07-50-00	2007 Feb 13 UT 12:12:30	895	36.0 ± 1.1
92113-07-51-00	2007 Feb 19 UT 08:05:31	896	41.9 ± 1.1
92113-07-52-00	2007 Feb 26 UT 07:25:07	1002	30.2 ± 1.1
92113-07-53-00	2007 Mar 04 UT 07:48:42	1354	39.6 ± 0.9
92113-07-54-00	2007 Mar 13 UT 11:30:51	614	23.2 ± 1.2
92113-07-55-00	2007 Mar 18 UT 18:56:43	572	26.4 ± 1.3
92113-07-56-00	2007 Mar 26 UT 13:58:42	994	19.1 ± 0.9
92113-07-57-00	2007 Apr 01 UT 16:10:48	982	15.7 ± 1.0
92113-07-58-00	2007 Apr 09 UT 04:12:03	893	19.0 ± 1.0
92113-07-59-00	2007 Apr 16 UT 03:23:00	955	14.2 ± 1.1
92113-07-60-00	2007 Apr 23 UT 06:05:57	961	16.3 ± 0.9
92113-07-61-00	2007 Apr 29 UT 12:54:16	1041	10.7 ± 0.8
92113-07-62-00	2007 May 07 UT 17:14:43	928	9.4 ± 0.9
92113-07-63-00	2007 May 14 UT 11:42:04	950	15.5 ± 0.9
92113-07-64-00	2007 May 21 UT 11:48:48	922	13.3 ± 0.9
92113-07-65-00	2007 May 28 UT 03:30:13	931	15.9 ± 1.0
92113-07-66-00	2007 Jun 04 UT 01:59:35	949	22.7 ± 1.0
92113-07-67-00	2007 Jun 11 UT 07:33:05	888	18.3 ± 1.1
		Swift	
00030022061	2007 Jul 01 UT20:25:01	2503.18	10.5

Table 4
Derived Parameters from the Spectral Fits of the Co-added XIS03 and PIN Data (With Error Corresponding to 90% Confidence Level Except Flux and Intensity)

Sequence Number	Continuum[a]				Kα				Kβ			χ^2/d.o.f.[b]	$\Delta\chi_1^2$ [b]	$\Delta\chi_2^2$ [b]
	Γ[b]	E_{cutoff}[c]	R[d]	Flux[b]	E[b]	σ[b]	I[b]	EW[b]	E[b]	I[b]	EW[b]			
1	$1.59^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	75^{+85}_{-15}	$0.79^{+0.35}_{-0.32}$	$15.1^{+0.1}_{-0.3}$	$6.396^{+0.009}_{-0.007}$	38^{+16}_{-18}	$2.02^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$	95^{+21}_{-19}	$7.08^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$3.4^{+0.9}_{-0.9}$	19^{+17}_{-17}	423.8/396	14.7	405.5

Notes.
[a] The cosine of the disk inclination was fixed at 0.87.
[b] The meaning is the same as that in Table 2.
[c] Energy cutoff (keV).
[d] Reflection parameter of cold matter; $R = \Omega/2\pi$.

Nandra et al. 1997). If we thaw the index, it will be pegged at the positive upper limit in XSPEC, i.e., the flux of the line is dominated by the outer disk and therefore it is not a disk line at all. It was found that the `diskline` model cannot describe the Kα line alone, since χ^2 was higher by 128 than for a narrow line with the same number of parameters. The same conclusion was also obtained by Yaqoob et al. (2001).

Next, we investigated the result if we fit the Kα line with a disk line and a Gaussian line. Besides the constraint on the `diskline` model mentioned above, we also fixed the value of the inclination angle at 31 deg, which is the best-constrained value from the *ASCA* data (Yaqoob et al. 2001). We found the central value of the intensity of the disk line is pegged at 0 and the 90% upper limit is 4×10^{-6} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}.

Therefore, any broad component must be > 5 times weaker than the narrow component and we will not include this component in the following discussion.

We show the confidence regions for the peak energies of Kα and Kβ in Figure 2(a). The expected values of different iron ionization states (Palmeri et al. 2003; Mendoza et al. 2004; Yaqoob et al. 2007) are also shown. Since the peak energy may be influenced by the residuals in the energy scale calibration, we extracted the spectra of the ^{55}Fe calibration sources on the corners of the CCDs and added them together (see the inset in Figure 1(b)). Using a Gaussian line to fit the Mn Kα line, we found the peak energies are $5.892^{+0.001}_{-0.001}$ keV and $5.893^{+0.002}_{-0.001}$ keV for XIS03 and XIS1, respectively. The expected value of the Mn Kα line is 5.895 keV. This result is well within the accuracy of

Figure 2. Confidence region of the peak energies (a) and intensities (b) of Kα and Kβ obtained from the fitting of the co-added XIS03 and PIN spectra. The contours from inner to outer correspond to $\Delta\chi^2 = 1.00$, 2.71, and 6.63 (68%, 90%, 99%), respectively. The crosses in (a) are the predicted values of the peak energies in different ionization states of iron. The lower and upper dashed lines in (b) correspond to the I(Kβ)/I(Kα) ratios of 0.12 and 0.20, respectively.


Figure 3. (a) Light curve of the flux of the continuum in the 3–10 keV band. The small error bar of the flux of the *Suzaku* observation is omitted. (b) The light curve of the flux of Kα line. The solid line in (b) is the result of the constant fitting (see the text in Section 4.1).

the absolute XIS energy scale given by the *Suzaku* Technical Description[19] as 0.2%.[20] The fit widths of Mn Kα line are 12^{+3}_{-5} eV and 16^{+5}_{-8} eV for XIS03 and XIS1, respectively. Therefore, the peak energy obtained by the spectral fitting is reliable and we could conclude that the iron emission line in NGC 5548 is most likely to be dominated by relatively low ionization states, <Fe XIII (99% confidence). Actually, the observed Fe K line could be a blend of several ionization states.

The confidence regions of the intensities of the Fe Kα and Kβ lines are shown in Figure 2(b). The expected ratio of I(Kβ) to I(Kα) varies from 0.12 to 0.20 for different ionization states (dashed lines in Figure 2(b); Palmeri et al. 2003; Mendoza et al. 2004). Our result is fully consistent with the expected value. However, due to the weakness of Kβ, the error is still too large to constrain the ionization state precisely.

---

4. TIME VARIABILITY ANALYSIS

4.1. Fe Kα Line Light Curves

The light curve of Fe Kα line is shown in Figure 3(b) each being determined to ~10%. As Kβ is weak in some observations, we will only discuss the result for Kα below. As shown in Figure 3(a), the flux of the continuum changed strongly during the seven observations. The highest value (2.65×10^{-11} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}, for observation 5) is about four times that of the lowest (6.89×10^{-12} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}, for observation 1). However, perhaps due to the relatively large error, the flux of the line is consistent with being constant, $\chi^2_{\min} = 3.05$ ($P = 80\%$) and the value of the corresponding line constant flux is 2.24×10^{-5} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}.

A constant Fe Kα flux is the simplest solution to the Fe Kα light curve, and it requires the emitting region should be far from the central engine to smooth out the variation in short timescale. According to the result in Section 4.3 and Figure 6(b), it should be larger than 100 light days. However, as shown in Figures 1 and 2 in Markowitz et al. (2003), the presence of the variation of the Fe K flux in timescale shorter than 100 days indicates the emitting region must be smaller than 100 light

days. The emitting region required by the constant flux fitting is also inconsistent with that required by the line width (see Section 5.1). Therefore, we have investigated whether there is a better and more self-consistent solution than the constant flux fitting. To quantitatively access the emitting location of the Fe Kα line, we should perform the reverberation calculation. The cross-correlation function (CCF) is usually used in the optical reverberation mapping to determine the lag between the continuum and the emission line. However, due to the very few data points of the light curve of the Fe K line and the large error of them, we did not find any significant peak in CCF. Therefore, we will approach this problem in a different way. To do so we first calculate the transfer function for Fe Kα in Section 4.2.

4.2. Transfer Function

As in the case of the optical broad emission lines, we calculate a transfer function for Fe Kα, i.e., the response of the flux of the line to a δ function change in the continuum. We consider two cases: (1) a spherical region and (2) an inclined disk.

1. We assume the emitting material is spherically distributed around the center with an inner (r_{min}) and outer (r_{max}) radius. The transfer function in this model is then simply a constant between 0 and $2r_{min}/c$, and then decays to 0 at $2r_{max}/c$ (see Figure 4(a) and Peterson 1993).
2. For a thin disk, the general form of the transfer function is two-peaked (Welsh & Horne 1991). Figure 4(b) shows the result for different values of the inclination angle i (the angle between the normal of the disk and the line of sight).

The shape of the transfer function depends on the form of the "responsivity" $\varepsilon(r)$ (see Figure 4(a)), which combines the effects of the distributions of the number density of clouds and the emissivity per cloud. We assumed that the responsivity is a power law $\varepsilon(r) \propto r^{-\alpha}$ and the normalization is adjusted to fit the observed light curve. The power-law form is simple and somewhat arbitrary. However, since we only consider the thin shell case (i.e., $\Delta r \ll r$, or equivalent to the locally optimized clouds model), the result is not sensitive to the detailed form of the responsivity nor the index of the power law (see Figure 6(a)). $\alpha = 3$ is adopted in the calculation in Section 4.3.

We could then obtain the predicted light curve of the line by convolving the transfer function with the light curve of the continuum flux. In the thin spherical shell and disk cases discussed in Section 4.3, it can be proved that the lag time, τ, obtained by the CCF just corresponds to the radius of the emitting region, i.e., $\tau = r/c$ (Koratkar & Gaskell 1991).

4.3. Comparison with the Observed Light Curve

Since the observation data of the continuum are still too few to produce a complete light curve, we performed linear interpolation between data points to convolve the light curve with the transfer function.

We used the light curve of the continuum in 3–10 keV band in order to have precision measurements. Similar bands (e.g., 2–10 keV) have been adopted in the previous attempts to determine the lag between the variation of the continuum and the Fe K line (e.g., Markowitz et al. 2003, 2009), although only photons from above the ionization threshold can actually lead the emission of an Fe K photon.[21] As shown in Figure 5, the flux in the 3–6 keV band is tightly and nearly proportionally


Figure 4. Transfer functions for the spherical (a) and disk cases (b). We choose $r_{min} = 10$ light days and $r_{max} = 20$ light days for all curves, which are normalized to 1 at the maximum. (a) $\alpha = 2$ (blue), $\alpha = 3$ (red), and $\alpha = 4$ (green). (b) $\alpha = 3$ for all curves. $i = 30°$ (blue), $i = 45°$ (red), and $i = 60°$ (green).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

correlated with the flux in the 8–10 keV band. Any possible effects due to rapidly changing N_H (Risaliti et al. 2005) must therefore be small. As a result, the results of the following calculations will not be sensitive to the adopted energy band of the continuum. The change of the continuum slope is also related to the total amount of the ionization flux. However, this is a minor factor compared with the change of the normalization of the continuum. Specially, this effect should be even small for NGC 5548, since, e.g., Sobolewska & Papadakis (2009) showed that the continuum slope is nearly independent of the flux. We find the same lack of dependence (Table 2).

We fixed the width of the emitting region at 0.1 light days, substantially smaller than the likely radius of the Fe K emitting region, and varied r_{min}. After convolving the light curve of the continuum with the transfer functions in different geometries and r_{min}, we compared the predicted light curve of the line with the observed one to find the minimum value of χ^2 (since the error on the flux is asymmetric, we conservatively adopt the larger one to calculate χ^2). Figure 6(b) shows χ^2 versus r_{min}. All the curves show pronounced minima (somewhat surprisingly, given the weak structure in the Fe Kα light curve) and finally

---

[21] A similar approximation is used in reverberation mapping of AGNs (e.g., Peterson et al. 2002; Bentz et al. 2007).

Figure 5. Top panel shows the correlation between the flux in the 3–6 keV band and that in the 8–10 keV band of all seven observations. The solid line is the best-fitting straight line across the origin. The error bars of the fluxes are omitted, since they are smaller than the symbols. The bottom panel shows the ratios between the flux in the 8–10 keV band and that in the 3–6 keV band.

decrease toward the result of the constant fitting (the horizontal dashed line in Figure 6(b)) with increasing r_{min}, as the result of the significant smoothing effect with large r_{min}. The values of minimum χ^2 in the spherical thin shell case and disk case with $i = 15°$ and $i = 30°$ are well below that of the constant fitting, and we will only discuss these three cases below. With the smallest value of χ^2, the best-fitting light curve in the disk with $i = 30°$ is improved at 92.7% level compared with the constant fitting. We show the predicted light curves corresponding to the minima of χ^2 in these three cases in Figure 7. Due to the few data points, we cannot tightly constrain the inclination angle. Except for the disk case with $i = 15°$, the positions of the minimum χ^2 in the spherical case (27^{+22}_{-7} light days) and the disk case with $i = 30°$ (37^{+7}_{-5} light days) are similar, and they are smaller than the inner radius of the dust (47–53 light days, see the discussion in Section 5.1). The value of the best-fitting r_{min} in the disk case with $i = 15°$ is 61^{+6}_{-7} light days, which is beyond the inner radius of the dust of NGC 5548 (such optically thick region will significantly absorb the photons of Fe K lines) and only marginally consistent with the small tail of the 90% confidence interval of the emitting region inferred from the widths of Fe Kα line (see the error bar in Figure 9 and it should be noted that the error bar is quite asymmetric). In addition, $i = 15°$ is also well smaller than the best-fitting inclination angle of the disk line in the *ASCA* ($i = 31°.5 \pm 6°.5$; Yaqoob et al. 2001), though it is not very reliable, due to the absence of the broad component of the Fe K line in the following *Chandra*, *XMM-Newton*, and our *Suzaku* observations. Therefore, the disk case with $i = 15°$ is quite unlikely, and we will only focus on the spherical case and the disk case with $i = 30°$ when discussing the origin of the narrow Fe Kα line in detail in Section 5.1.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Location of the Fe K Emitting Region

Assuming the geometry and dynamics of the emitting region of the Fe K line are the same as that of the Hβ line, and using the virial relation for the Hβ line, $\sigma^2 r / G = 1.220 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$

(Peterson et al. 2004), the radius of the Fe K emitting region can be derived using the Fe Kα width, σ, obtained in Section 3.2. The derived radius using the width obtained by the co-added XIS03 and PIN spectra is 20^{+50}_{-10} light days.[22]

In Figure 8, we plot the line width size against r_{min} from the reverberation analysis (Figure 6(b)) for both the spherical thin shell case and the disk case with $i = 30°$ with 90% confidence intervals for both quantities. The disk case with $i = 30°$ and the spherical case are consistent with the width of the co-added Fe Kα line.[23] Combining the above results, we conclude that the origin of the narrow iron line in NGC 5548 is likely to be ~ 20–40 light days away from the continuum source, for the geometries considered. However, the other possible origins are not completely ruled out due to the incompleteness of the light curves, our model-dependent method, and the sizeable error on the width of the Fe K line.

Since NGC 5548 is one of the best-studied AGNs with reverberation mapping, the locations of the BELs are well-determined, especially for the Hβ line. The lag between the flux of Hβ line and the continuum varies from 6.5 light days to 26.5 light day depending on the luminosity of the continuum (Bentz et al. 2007; Cackett & Horne 2006). Since the correlation between the lag time and the continuum flux is more significant than the correlation between the lag time and the width of Hβ line (Bentz et al. 2007), and the broad component of the Hβ line is weak during the *Suzaku* campaign, we will utilize the continuum flux to predict the radius of the Hβ line region.

From the simultaneous optical spectra of NGC 5548 from FLWO FAST spectrograph (2007 June 19–23), we measured

---

[22] Since the virial production is an observed quantity and the radius derived from width will be compared with that also obtained from the reverberation mapping method, no additional geometry factor is required.
[23] The calibration residual in the width of Mn Kα line derived in Section 3.2 (12^{+3}_{-5} eV and 16^{+5}_{-8} eV for XIS03 and XIS1, respectively) is partly due to the systematic error on the calibration of the non-Gaussian response function of XIS (Koyama et al. 2007). The energy resolution at the center of the CCD chip is also slightly better than that at the corner, but this difference is smaller than the systematic error on the calibration. Therefore, the true width of the Fe K line could be smaller than the observed one by a few eVs due to the above factors. However, these effects could not be accurately corrected simply.

Figure 6. (a) Comparison with different values of α in the spherical case. $\alpha = 2$ (blue), $\alpha = 3$ (red), and $\alpha = 4$ (green). Since the original curves are nearly identical, the curves of $\alpha = 2$ and $\alpha = 4$ are vertically shifted by -0.5 and 0.5, respectively. (b) The curves of χ^2 with different r_{min} for spherical and disk cases. Spherical (black), disk $i = 15°$ (blue), $i = 30°$ (red), $i = 45°$ (green), and $i = 60°$ (yellow). The horizontal dashed line is the χ^2 of the constant fitting (see the text). (c) Enlarged plot of (b). The error bar at the bottom is the 90% confidence interval inferred from the line width obtained by the simultaneous fitting of all spectra (see Figure 8).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 7. Comparison between the observed and predicted light curve of the flux of the line with the minimum of χ^2 in different cases, i.e., (a) spherical case, (b) disk case with $i = 15°$, and (c) disk case with $i = 30°$.


Figure 8. Relationship between σ of the line and the radius of the emitting region inferred from the black hole mass. The vertical dashed and solid lines correspond the central value and the 90% confidence intervals of the line width obtained by the co-added XIS 03 and PIN spectra, respectively. The horizontal lines correspond to the 90% confidence intervals of the emitting region inferred from the light curve in the spherical case (solid) and the disk case with $i = 30°$ (dashed, see Figure 6(c)).

the monochromatic flux at 5100 Å and used this flux to estimate the radius of the Hβ emitting region at the time of the *Suzaku* campaign. After calibration with a standard star, the optical spectra were put on an absolute calibration scale assuming a constant flux of $F([O\,\textsc{iii}]\,5007)_{standard} = 5.58 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} (Peterson et al. 1991) and found the monochromatic flux at 5100 Å, $F(5100\,\text{Å})_{observed} = 5.13 \times 10^{-15}$ erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} Å^{-1}. For the aperture size of 5 arcsec $\times$ 7.5 arcsec, the contribution of the host galaxy at 5100 Å is 4.47×10^{-15} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} Å^{-1} (Bentz et al. 2006). To account for the effect of the aperture

SUZAKU MONITORING OF THE IRON K EMISSION LINE

Figure 9. Summary of the location of the Fe Kα line emitting region. We show intervals derived from the variation of the flux and the width of the Fe K line, with the locations of Hβ and the inner radius of the dust for comparison. The solid and dashed error bars correspond to 68% and 90% confidence intervals, respectively.

and the difference between telescopes, the flux measured by FLWO should be converted by the coefficients given in Peterson et al. (2002), i.e., $F(5100 \text{ Å})_{\text{true}} = \varphi F(5100 \text{ Å})_{\text{observed}} - G$. We averaged the results using the different coefficients (i.e., φ and G) determined in years 8 and 9–13 of the campaign (see details in Peterson et al. 2002), and then excluded the flux of the host galaxy (4.47×10^{-15} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} Å^{-1}, Bentz et al. 2006). The final 5100 Å flux of the AGN in NGC 5548 is $(1.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-15}$ erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} Å^{-1} during the *Suzaku* campaign. With the relation between the emitting region of Hβ line and $F(5100 \text{ Å})$ (Figure 5 in Bentz et al. 2007), we found $r(\text{H}\beta) = 8 \pm 2$ light days during the *Suzaku* observations.

From the result of dust reverberation of NGC 5548, we also know the inner radius of the hot dust in this source is 47–53 light days (from the lag of the K band relative to the V band; Suganuma et al. 2006).

We plot the radial locations of Fe Kα, Hβ and the inner dust in Figure 9 (note that this is a linear plot). It is likely that the location of the Fe K emitting region is closer in than the inner radius of the dust, but slightly farther out than Hβ, i.e., the origin of the narrow iron line lies in the outer part of the BLR ($(0.5–1.0) \times 10^4 \, r_g$). This result is consistent with the region emitting the optical Fe II emission lines, which is less than several light weeks from the continuum emitter (Vestergaard & Peterson 2005). This region is also consistent with the intermediate-line region proposed by Zhu et al. (2009) and the radius of the low ionization, low-velocity component of the warm absorber in NGC 5548 ($r < 3$ pc, Krongold et al. 2010). Despite the uncertainty of the column density of the Fe K emitting region, it is surely much higher (see Section 5.3) than that of the warm absorber found in NGC 5548 (10^{21}–10^{22} cm^{-2}, Krongold et al. 2010). Therefore, it clearly does not lie along our line of sight.

5.2. Physical Condition

We can use the location derived above to estimate the density of the emitting region from the ionization parameter and the distance. Kallman et al. (2004) investigated the dependence of the line profile of Kα and Kβ on the ionization parameter. The

peak energies of Kα and Kβ in NGC 5548 (see Figure 2(a)) prefer a relatively low ionization parameter, i.e., $\log \xi = -1 \pm 1$, where $\xi = L/nR^2$ (erg s^{-1} cm), L is the Fe K ionizing luminosity of the continuum source (erg s^{-1}), n is the density of the emitting region (cm^{-3}), and R is the distance to the continuum source (cm). With $\log L = 43.7 \pm 0.3$, $\log \xi = -1 \pm 1$, and $R = 30 \pm 10$ light days, we obtain $\log n = 10.9 \pm 1.1$, which is comparable with the density of the gas in the BLR of NGC 5548 (Ferland et al. 1992; Goad & Koratkar 1998; Kaspi & Netzer 1999).

5.3. Theoretical Intensity of the Fe Line

The intensity and the equivalent width of the iron line can be estimated theoretically (Krolik & Kallman 1987; Yaqoob et al. 2001). We found the column density $N_H > 10^{23}$ cm^{-2} is required to produce the observed intensity and the equivalent width of the Fe Kα line in our *Suzaku* observations. However, as pointed out by Miller et al. (2009) and Yaqoob et al. (2010), due to the self-absorption effect and the Compton scattering, for $N_H > 10^{23}$ cm^{-2}, the relation between the intensity of the Fe K line and N_H or the abundance of iron is quite nonlinear, and the intensity of the Fe K line also significantly depends on the geometry of the emitting region and the observing angle. Since the detailed investigation of the geometry, N_H, and the abundance of the emitting region of Fe K lines is much beyond the scope of this paper, we will not further discuss the constraints obtained from the intensity and the equivalent width of the Fe K lines.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We analyzed the iron Kα and Kβ lines in spectra of NGC 5548 obtained by *Suzaku* XIS and summarize our results as follows.

1. The iron Kα line was well detected ($> 6\sigma$) in all seven observations and the Kβ line was also detected ($> 2\sigma$) in four observations (1, 2, 4, and 7).
2. Only a narrow iron line was found in the spectra. The line width obtained by the added spectra is 38^{+16}_{-18} eV, which is consistent with the results of *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton*. Assuming the same virial relation as that of the Hβ line, this width corresponds to a radius of 20^{+50}_{-10} light days. Any relativistically broadened disk line must be a factor of 5 weaker than the narrow component in flux at 90% confidence level.
3. We compared the observed peak energies and intensity ratios of Kα and Kβ lines with the expected value and found they are consistent with the low ionization states of iron, i.e., lower than Fe XIII, at the 99% confidence level.
4. The Fe Kα line is consistent with being constant over the 50 days of the *Suzaku* campaign, although the 3–10 keV continuum varies by a factor of 4. It is shown that a location at >100 light days is consistent with the data (see the discussion in Section 4.3 and Figure 6(b)), but this is not a unique result.
5. To further access the location of the iron lines using the light curve, we calculated the transfer functions in spherical and disk geometries, and compared the predicted light curves with the observed one. The value of χ^2 is smallest in the disk case with $i = 30°$, which is better than the constant fitting at the 92.7% level. The spherical thin shell case is also acceptable ($P = 81\%$). The inferred emitting radii are 27^{+22}_{-7} light days in the spherical case and 37^{+7}_{-5} light days in

the disk case with $i = 30°$, which are consistent with that obtained from the width of iron lines.

6. Combining the *Suzaku* constraints, the most likely origin of the narrow iron lines is about 20–40 light days away from the central engine, i.e., the outer part of BLR (5.2×10^3–$1.0 \times 10^4 \ r_g$). However, we could not completely rule out other possible origins.

The approaches used in this paper offer a valuable tool for determining the size and structure of the inner regions of AGNs, although we stress again this method is model dependent. The constraint on the emitting region of the narrow Fe K line obtained by the width will be greatly improved by upcoming calorimeters, which have >10 time better energy resolution, of only a few eV. If future X-ray satellites (e.g., *Astro-H*, *IXO*, and *Gen-X*) with larger effective area could reduce the error of the flux of the Fe K emission line by even a factor of 2, then it will be possible to distinguish different geometries from the constant flux fitting. Higher sampling frequency campaign, preferably over a longer baseline, is also desirable to obtain a cross-correlation function with a quality comparable to or better than current optical observations.

REFERENCES

Avni, Y. 1976, ApJ, 210, 642
Awaki, H., et al. 2008, PASJ, 60, S293
Barvainis, R. 1987, ApJ, 320, 537
Bentz, M. C., Peterson, B. M., Pogge, R. W., Vestergaard, M., & Onken, C. A. 2006, ApJ, 644, 133
Bentz, M. C., et al. 2007, ApJ, 662, 205
Bianchi, S., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 389, L52
Blandford, R. D., & McKee, C. F. 1982, ApJ, 255, 419
Brenneman, L. W., & Reynolds, C. S. 2006, ApJ, 652, 1028

Cackett, E. M., & Horne, K. 2006, MNRAS, 365, 1180
Chiang, J., et al. 2000, ApJ, 528, 292
Detmers, R. G., et al. 2008, A&A, 488, 67
de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., Corwin, H. G., Jr, Buta, R. J., P., & Paturel, G. 1991, Third Reference Fouque, Catalogue of Bright Galaxies, Vol. 3.9 (New York: Springer)
Elvis, M., Risaliti, G., Nicastro, F., Miller, J., & Puccetti, S. 2004, ApJ, 635, L25
Fabian, A. C., et al. 2000, PASP, 112, 1145
Ferland, G. J., Peterson, B. M., Horne, K., Welsh, W. F., & Nahar, S. N. 1992, ApJ, 387, 95
Goad, M., & Koratkar, A. 1998, ApJ, 495, 718
Kallman, T. R., et al. 2004, ApJS, 155, 675
Kaspi, S., & Netzer, H. 1999, ApJ, 524, 71
Koratkar, A. P., & Gaskell, C. M. 1991, ApJS, 75, 719
Koyama, K., et al. 2007, PASJ, 59, S23
Krolik, J. H., & Kallman, T. 1987, ApJ, 320, L5
Krongold, Y., et al. 2010, ApJ, in press (arXiv:1001.1339)
Markowitz, A., Edelson, R., & Vaughan, S. 2003, ApJ, 598, 935
Markowitz, A., et al. 2009, ApJ, 691, 922
Mendoza, C., Kallman, T. R., Bautista, M. A., & Palmeri, P. 2004, A&A, 414, 377
Miller, L., Turner, T. J., & Reeves, J. N. 2008, A&A, 483, 437
Miller, L., Turner, T. J., & Reeves, J. N. 2009, MNRAS, 399, L69
Mitsuda, K., et al. 2007, PASJ, 59, S1
Murphy, K. D., & Yaqoob, T. 2009, MNRAS, 397, 1549
Murphy, E. M., et al. 1996, ApJS, 105, 369
Nandra, K. 2006, MNRAS, 368, L62
Nandra, K., O'Neill, P. M., George, I. M., & Reeves, J. N. 2007, MNRAS, 382, 194
Nandra, K., et al. 1997, ApJ, 477, 602
Palmeri, P., et al. 2003, A&A, 410, 359
Peterson, B. M. 1993, PASP, 105, 247
Peterson, B. M., & Wandel, A. 1999, ApJ, 521, L95
Peterson, B. M., et al. 1991, ApJ, 368, 119
Peterson, B. M., et al. 2002, ApJ, 581, 197
Peterson, B. M., et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 682
Pounds, K. A., et al. 2003, MNRAS, 341, 953
Puccetti, S., et al. 2007, MNRAS, 377, 607
Risaliti, G., Elvis, M., Fabbiano, G., Baldi, A., & Zezas, A. 2005, ApJ, 623, L93
Risaliti, G., Elvis, M., & Nicastro, F. 2002, ApJ, 571, 234
Sobolewska, M. A., & Papadakis, I. E. 2009, MNRAS, 399, 1597
Steenbrugge, K. C., et al. 2003, A&A, 402, 477
Suganuma, M., et al. 2006, ApJ, 639, 46
Takahashi, T., et al. 2007, PASJ, 59, S35
Vestergaard, M., & Peterson, B. M. 2005, ApJ, 625, 688
Welsh, W. F., & Horne, K. 1991, ApJ, 379, 586
Yaqoob, T., Murphy, K. D., Miller, L., & Turner, T. J. 2010, MNRAS, 401, 411
Yaqoob, T., & Padmanabhan, U. 2004, ApJ, 604, 63
Yaqoob, T., et al. 2001, ApJ, 546, 759
Yaqoob, T., et al. 2007, PASJ, 59, S283
Zhu, L., Zhang, S. N., & Tang, S. M. 2009, ApJ, 700, 1173