



Reproducibility of slice-interleaved T1 (STONE) mapping sequence

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. <u>Please share</u> how this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	Bellm, Steven, Tamer Basha, Long Ngo, Sophie Berg, Kraig V Kissinger, Beth Goddu, Warren J Manning, and Reza Nezafat. 2016. "Reproducibility of slice-interleaved T1 (STONE) mapping sequence." Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance 18 (Suppl 1): P51. doi:10.1186/1532-429X-18-S1-P51. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1186/1532-429X-18-S1-P51.
Published Version	doi:10.1186/1532-429X-18-S1-P51
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:29407604
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http:// nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of- use#LAA



POSTER PRESENTATION



Reproducibility of slice-interleaved T₁ (STONE) mapping sequence

Steven Bellm^{1*}, Tamer Basha², Long Ngo², Sophie Berg², Kraig V Kissinger², Beth Goddu², Warren J Manning^{2,1}, Reza Nezafat²

From 19th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions Los Angeles, CA, USA. 27-30 January 2016

Background

Slice interleaved T_1 mapping sequence (*STONE*) allows quantification of native T_1 of the entire ventricle in a single free-breathing scan. Using this sequence, data acquisition for different slices are interleaved, allowing longer recovery time after the inversion pulse, which could result in improved accuracy and precision. However, measurement reproducibility of the STONE sequence has not been previously studied. In this study, we sought to assess native T_1 measurement reproducibility a) within session, b) between sessions and c) between days.

Methods

Eleven healthy subjects $(33 \pm 16 \text{ years}, 6 \text{ male})$ underwent non-contrast CMR imaging on 2 different days. Figure 1 shows the study design. Each subject was imaged twice with identical imaging protocol. After image prescription, the subjects were imaged using *STONE SSFP* with the following imaging parameters: In-plane resolution = $2.1 \times$ 2.1 mm^2 , slice thickness = 8 mm, slice gap = 4 mm, Field of View = $320 \times 320 \text{ mm}^2$, TR/TE/ α = 2.8 msec. / 1.38 msec. /70°, SENSE-rate = 2, linear ordering, 10 linear ramp-up pulses and acquisition window = 218.8 msec, bandwidth = 1879.7 Hz/pixel. STONE GRE sequence was acquired with following parameters: In-plane resolution = 2×2 mm2, slice thickness = 8 mm, slice gap = 4 mm, Field of View = 300×300 mm2, TR/TE/ α = 3.9 msec. / 1.94 msec. /90°, SENSE-rate = 2.5, linear ordering, 10 linear ramp-up pulses and acquisition window = 166.6 msec., bandwidth= 1315.8 Hz/pixel. Imaging was repeated twice for each sequence. Subsequently, subjects were removed from the scanner and repositioned, followed by the same scan protocol. The same imaging protocol was repeated on a second day of scan. All imaging was performed in a 1.5T CMR scanner (Philips Achieva) using a 32-channel cardiac receiver coil array. T1 maps were created by voxelwise fitting using a 2-parameter fit model after motion



¹Radiology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2016 Bellm et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.



correction. The epicardial and endocardial contours in the left ventricle were manually drawn in 5 short axis-slices to calculate global and slice-based myocardial T_1 values. Coefficient of variation analysis for each slice was generated to assess the variability within each session, between the sessions, between different days and for each subject.

Results

Figure 2 shows mean T_1 values for different imaging sessions, averaged over all subjects for STONE-SSFP and STONE-GRE. The CVs for all slices and *subjects* (figure 2) showed low variability for STONE-SSFP (2.4 ± 1.3%) and for STONE-GRE (1.65 ± 0.95%). The CVs for all slices and *days* showed a mean of 2.1 ± 1.45% for STONE SSFP and a mean of 1.5 ± 1.1% for Stone GRE. The CVs for all slices and *sessions* showed a mean of 1.7 ± 1.95% for STONE SSFP and a mean of 1.2 ± 1.3% for STONE GRE.

Conclusions

Native myocardial T_1 measurements by STONE-GRE and STONE-SSFP are very reproducible. These data suggest that STONE-SSFP and STONE-GRE should be considered for longitudinal studies to assess potential temporal changes in native T_1 values for disease monitoring and/or during therapy.

Authors' details

¹Radiology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA.
²Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

Published: 27 January 2016

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-18-S1-P51

Cite this article as: Bellm *et al.*: **Reproducibility of slice-interleaved T**₁ **(STONE) mapping sequence.** *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2016 **18**(Suppl 1):P51.