

DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD DASH.HARVARD.EDU



Fistula in sub-Saharan Africa – Authors' reply

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. <u>Please share</u> how this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	Maheu-Giroux, Mathieu, Véronique Filippi, Sékou Samadoulougou, Marcia C Castro, Nathalie Maulet, Nicolas Meda, and Fati Kirakoya-Samadoulougou. 2015. "Fistula in Sub-Saharan Africa – Authors' Reply." The Lancet Global Health 3 (8) (August): e442. doi:10.1016/s2214-109x(15)00030-3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S2214-109X(15)00030-3.
Published Version	doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00030-3
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:29048922
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http:// nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of- use#LAA

Authors' reply

We wholeheartedly agree with Karen Ballard and colleagues that selfreports of vaginal fistula symptoms do not have the accuracy of the gold standard of pelvic examinations. For this reason, we corrected our prevalence estimates for the imperfect sensitivity and specificity of the survey questionnaires. As noted in our paper,¹ the difference between the uncorrected and corrected estimates suggests that, indeed, an important proportion of self-reports could be false positives due to confusion with incontinence symptoms. Ballard and colleagues used information about the age and parity of women reporting fistula symptoms to conclude that there was "an obvious error" in our data. It was not possible to correct these characteristics for misclassification using the Bayesian latent class model employed to obtain the prevalence estimates. As such, it would be misguided to judge the validity of our prevalence estimates on the basis of these unadjusted data. Besides, these characteristics are not entirely unexpected since they refer to lifetime prevalence and not incidence.

Tunçalp and colleagues² assessed the validity of the Demographic and Health Survey fistula module among a subpopulation of Nigerian women with perceived fistula-like symptoms. Comparing self-reports to the gold standard of medical examinations among women reporting symptoms, this study estimated the sensitivity and specificity of the module at 92% and 83%, respectively. This finding should reassure our critics that we are in fact not merely estimating "urinary incontinence symptoms", since the inclusion of women without perceived fistula-like symptoms would have considerably improved specificity.

As for the Ethiopian findings, we cannot comment on them based on the scarce information provided by Ballard and colleagues. We will point out, however, that national-level estimates of prevalence are expected to diverge from regional-level or district-level estimates depending on levels of within-country heterogeneity and the studies' design. In addition, the Ethiopian survey on which our inferences are based was conducted in 2005. It would have been surprising if prevalence had not decreased after close to a decade of maternal health improvements in sub-Saharan Africa.

The number of studies of vaginal fistula prevalence that used diagnostic confirmation in sub-Saharan Africa is extremely small, with only two published community-based surveys,³ and this situation is unlikely to change in the short term. Self-reports of vaginal fistula symptoms from nationally representative surveys can provide better representation and have been conducted in multiple countries.⁴ Adjusting for the imperfect sensitivity and specificity, as we have done in our analyses, directly addressed the concern raised by Ballard and colleagues and provided similar results to those of a metaanalysis that only considered studies with diagnostic confirmation for vaginal fistula.3

We declare no competing interests.

Copyright © Maheu-Giroux et al. Open Access article distributed under the terms of CC BY.

Mathieu Maheu-Giroux, Véronique Filippi, Sékou Samadoulougou, Marcia C Castro, Nathalie Maulet, Nicolas Meda, *Fati Kirakoya-Samadoulougou fati.kirakoya@lshtm.ac.uk

Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Imperial College London, London, UK (MM-G); Department of Global Health & Population, Harvard T H Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA (MCC); London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (VF, FK-S); Pôle Epidémiologie et Biostatistique, Institut de Recherche Expérimentale et Clinique, Faculté de Santé Publique (SS), Institute of Health and Society (NM), Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium; Centre Muraz, Ministry of Health, Bobo-dioulasso, Burkina Faso (NM); and Université de Ouagadougou, Ouagadouqou, Burkina Faso (NM)

Maheu-Giroux M, Filippi V, Samadoulougou S, et al. Prevalence of symptoms of vaginal fistula in 19 sub-Saharan Africa countries: a metaanalysis of national household survey data. Lancet Glob Health 2015; **3**: e271–78. Tunçalp Ö, Isah A, Landry E, Stanton CK. Community-based screening for obstetric fistula in Nigeria: a novel approach. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2014; **14:** 44.

2

- 3 Adler AJ, Ronsmans C, Calvert C, Filippi V. Estimating the prevalence of obstetric fistula: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2013; 13: 246.
- 4 Tunçalp Ö, Tripathi V, Landry E, Stanton CK, Ahmed S. Measuring the incidence and prevalence of obstetric fistula: approaches, needs and recommendations. Bull World Health Organ 2015; 93: 60–62.

