



HARVARD LIBRARY Office for Scholarly Communication

## Reconstitution of Peptidoglycan Cross-Linking Leads to Improved Fluorescent Probes of Cell Wall Synthesis

# The Harvard community has made this article openly available. <u>Please share</u> how this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	Lebar, Matthew D., Janine M. May, Alexander J. Meeske, Sara A. Leiman, Tania J. Lupoli, Hirokazu Tsukamoto, Richard Losick, David Z. Rudner, Suzanne Walker, and Daniel Kahne. 2014. "Reconstitution of Peptidoglycan Cross-Linking Leads to Improved Fluorescent Probes of Cell Wall Synthesis." Journal of the American Chemical Society 136 (31): 10874-10877. doi:10.1021/ja505668f. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja505668f.
Published Version	doi:10.1021/ja505668f
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:17820656
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http:// nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of- use#LAA





### Reconstitution of Peptidoglycan Cross-Linking Leads to Improved Fluorescent Probes of Cell Wall Synthesis

Matthew D. Lebar,<sup>†,⊥</sup> Janine M. May,<sup>†,⊥</sup> Alexander J. Meeske,<sup>‡</sup> Sara A. Leiman,<sup>§</sup> Tania J. Lupoli,<sup>†,‡</sup> Hirokazu Tsukamoto,<sup>†</sup> Richard Losick,<sup>§</sup> David Z. Rudner,<sup>‡</sup> Suzanne Walker,<sup>\*,‡</sup> and Daniel Kahne<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States <sup>‡</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, United States <sup>§</sup>Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States

#### **Supporting Information**

ABSTRACT: The peptidoglycan precursor, Lipid II, produced in the model Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis differs from Lipid II found in Gram-negative bacteria such as Escherichia coli by a single amidation on the peptide side chain. How this difference affects the cross-linking activity of penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) that assemble peptidoglycan in cells has not been investigated because B. subtilis Lipid II was not previously available. Here we report the synthesis of B. subtilis Lipid II and its use by purified B. subtilis PBP1 and E. coli PBP1A. While enzymes from both organisms assembled B. subtilis Lipid II into glycan strands, only the B. subtilis enzyme cross-linked the strands. Furthermore, B. subtilis PBP1 catalyzed the exchange of both D-amino acids and D-amino carboxamides into nascent peptidoglycan, but the E. coli enzyme only exchanged D-amino acids. We exploited these observations to design a fluorescent D-amino carboxamide probe to label B. subtilis PG in vivo and found that this probe labels the cell wall dramatically better than existing reagents.

**B** acterial cells are surrounded by a cell wall composed of layers of peptidoglycan (PG). This mesh-like macromolecule stabilizes cell membranes against high internal osmotic pressures and is essential for survival. Peptidoglycan is assembled from the lipid-linked disaccharide pentapeptide precursor Lipid II (Figure 1a, 1).<sup>1</sup> Peptidoglycan glycosyltransferases polymerize Lipid II into glycan strands and enzymes known as penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) crosslink and process the peptide side chains to produce mature PG.<sup>2</sup> Because PG is highly conserved and essential for cell survival, it is a target for antibiotics. Indeed, the most successful class of antibiotics in history, the  $\beta$ -lactams, inhibits the transpeptidases (TPs) that cross-link the carbohydrate strands of PG.<sup>3</sup>

TPs contain a conserved active-site serine that attacks the terminal D-Ala-D-Ala amide bond of the pentapeptide attached to the glycan polymer, forming a covalent enzyme—substrate (E-S) intermediate and releasing D-Ala (Figure 1b, activation step). An amino group on the peptide side chain of another glycan strand can then attack the E-S intermediate to form a cross-link and regenerate the enzyme (Figure 1b, cross-linking



**Figure 1.** Reactions catalyzed by transpeptidases (TPs) that cross-link peptidoglycan. (a) Structures of Lipid II used by *E. coli* and *B. subtilis.*<sup>4</sup> (b) Reactions catalyzed by TPs proceed via an enzyme–substrate intermediate that can be resolved by water to generate a tetrapeptide side chain, by a side chain on another glycan strand to yield a cross-link, or by a D-amino acid to give a pentapeptide with a new terminal amino acid.

step). While the structure of Lipid II is highly conserved, the identity of the amino acid containing the reactive amino group

**Received:** June 5, 2014 **Published:** July 18, 2014 varies by species.<sup>1a,5</sup> As the Lipid II substrate is difficult to obtain,<sup>6,7</sup> it is not well understood how these differences in the stem peptide affect TP cross-linking chemistry.<sup>8,9</sup>

The canonical Lipid II used by Gram-negative bacteria, including *Escherichia coli*, contains the pentapeptide L-Ala- $\gamma$ -D-Glu-*m*-DAP-D-Ala-D-Ala (1).<sup>10</sup> The third residue, *m*-DAP, which functions as the nucleophile in the cross-linking reaction, has a D-stereocenter in the side chain. *Bacillus subtilis* Lipid II is similar but contains a carboxamide instead of a carboxylic acid on the *m*-DAP side chain (2).<sup>11,12</sup> Cell wall precursor 1 has been synthesized previously.<sup>8d</sup> We synthesized the *B. subtilis* Lipid II substrate 2 in 32 steps by adapting the synthesis of Gram-negative Lipid II<sup>8d,13</sup> to introduce the carboxamide-containing *m*-DAP residue.<sup>14</sup>

Access to both 1 and 2 has allowed us to investigate the substrate preferences of TPs from E. coli and B. subtilis. E. coli PBP1A and B. subtilis PBP1<sup>15</sup> were purified as described in the Supporting Information, and each enzyme was then incubated with substrate 1 or substrate 2. These enzymes contain an Nterminal glycosyltransferase domain that polymerizes disaccharide subunits and a C-terminal TP domain that cross-links polymeric glycan strands. To assess cross-linking, we treated reaction mixtures with the glycosylhydrolase mutanolysin followed by NaBH4 and then used LC/MS to identify the products (Figure 2a).<sup>8d,16</sup> As expected, each enzyme is able to polymerize and cross-link its native substrate (Figure 2b, traces i and ii). In addition, B. subtilis PBP1 is able to cross-link the canonical Gram-negative Lipid II (1, Figure 2b, trace iii), but E. coli PBP1A is not able to cross-link B. subtilis Lipid II (2, Figure 2b, trace iv), implying that the *E. coli* PBP discriminates against the carboxamide on the *m*-DAP.

We have previously shown that *E. coli* PBP1A can exchange the terminal D-Ala in cell wall precursors with other D-amino acids during in vitro PG synthesis.<sup>13a,16,17</sup> To further assess the substrate scope of the *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* enzymes, we examined their ability to incorporate either D-Phe or D-Phe carboxamide (D-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub>) into synthetic PG (Figure 3a). *E. coli* PBP1A incorporated D-Phe but not D-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub> (Figure 3b, traces i and ii), whereas *B. subtilis* PBP1 incorporated both (Figure 3b, traces iii and iv).<sup>18</sup> Hence, the cross-linking and Damino acid incorporation experiments are consistent in showing that the *E. coli* PBP1A TP domain discriminates against carboxamide substrates but the *B. subtilis* PBP1 TP domain does not.

A variety of D-amino acid probes have recently been developed to fluorescently label PG in living cells,<sup>19</sup> but labeling is poor in *B. subtilis* unless the cell wall hydrolase DacA, which removes terminal D-amino acids from PG, is absent.<sup>19a</sup> To determine if D-amino carboxamides can be stably incorporated into PG during B. subtilis growth, we grew cells to early log phase in medium supplemented with 500  $\mu$ M D-Phe or D-Phe-NH2 and then analyzed the composition of the pentapeptide in PG fragments following enzymatic degradation. LC/MS analysis showed that D-Phe and D-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub> were incorporated exclusively at the fifth position of the stem peptide, as observed previously for D-amino acids,<sup>20</sup> but the levels of D-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub> were much higher (Figure S1). These results led us to examine the utility of fluorescent D-amino carboxamide probes for imaging cell wall biosynthesis in B. subtilis.

We prepared previously reported fluorescent probe 3  $(FDL)^{19a}$  as well as new probe 4  $(FDL-NH_2)$  by appending fluorescein to D-Lys and D-Lys carboxamide, respectively



**Figure 2.** *B. subtilis* transpeptidases (TPs) can cross-link amidated peptidoglycan precursors in vitro. (a) Protocol for detecting cross-linking by *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* TPs in vitro. Fragments A/A' are derived from unmodified polymer; the remaining products are derived from polymer that has been acted on by a TP. (b) LC/MS-extracted ion chromatograms showing unprocessed (A/A'), hydrolyzed (B/B'), and cross-linked products (C/C', D/D') formed in the presence of *E. coli* PBP1A (i,iv) or *B. subtilis* PBP1 (ii,iii) and substrate 1 (i,iii) or 2 (ii,iv).

(Figure 4a). Wild-type *B. subtilis* (dacA+) was grown in medium supplemented with 100  $\mu$ M of either 3 or 4 for approximately four generations, and cells were then examined by fluorescence microscopy. FDL-NH<sub>2</sub> 4 efficiently labeled both new septa and the cylindrical walls of the cells. By contrast, FDL 3 inefficiently labeled the cells (Figure 4b). Even FDL-NH<sub>2</sub> concentrations as low as 10  $\mu$ M yielded strong labeling (Figure S2). Use of the fluorescent carboxamide probe FDL-NH<sub>2</sub> may be preferable for imaging cell wall synthesis, as it does not require mutations (e.g., *dacA* deletion) that perturb peptidoglycan processing.

To test whether D-amino carboxamides label PG in *E. coli*, we grew cells to early log phase in medium with 500  $\mu$ M of either D-Phe or D-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub>, harvested the PG, and analyzed the PG fragments using LC/MS as before. As reported previously for D-amino acids,<sup>20b,21</sup> D-Phe incorporation was detected exclusively in the fourth position of the stem peptide (Figure S3b, trace ii). Strikingly, almost no D-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub> incorporation was observed



**Figure 3.** *B. subtilis* TPs can incorporate D-amino carboxamides into PG in vitro. (a) Protocol for detecting TP-mediated D-amino acid or carboxamide exchange in vitro. (b) LC/MS-extracted ion chromatograms of products generated by reaction of *E. coli* PBP1A (i,ii) or *B. subtilis* PBP1 (iii,iv) with Lipid II and 1 mM D-Phe (i,iii) or D-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub> (ii,iv). Products E/E' contain D-Phe; product F' contains D-Phe-NH<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure 4.** Fluorescent D-amino carboxamide probes dramatically improve detection of PG synthesis. (a) Structures of fluorescent probes. (b) *B. subtilis* was grown with 100  $\mu$ M probe to mid log phase. Images were adjusted to the same intensity scale to allow comparison. Scale bars: 1  $\mu$ m.

(Figure S3b, trace iii). It has previously been suggested that another subset of TPs called the L,D-transpeptidases are responsible for fourth-position incorporation,<sup>20b</sup> and these data suggest that, like the *E. coli* PBPs, *E. coli* L,D-transpeptidases discriminate against carboxamides. Likewise, *E. coli* grown with 500  $\mu$ M of either probe 3 or 4 for four generations incorporated 3 but not 4 (Figure S4), indicating that the ability to incorporate D-amino carboxamides may be specific to organisms like *B. subtilis* that cross-link substrates containing an amino group alpha to a carboxamide.

The ability of enzymes from *B. subtilis* to incorporate both Damino acids and D-amino carboxamides suggests that there may

be two trajectories for nucleophiles to interact with the B. subtilis transpeptidase enzyme-substrate intermediate: one for D-amino acids, which may constitute the reverse of the activation step in which a D-amino acid is the leaving group, and the other for forming cross-links to incoming m-DAP-NH<sub>2</sub> side chains as well as D-amino carboxamides that resemble these side chains. For E. coli, the incoming side chain is essentially a D-amino acid, and other modifications are not well tolerated. The dramatically increased efficiency of incorporation of Damino carboxamides in B. subtilis cells could be due to more efficient incorporation of this nucleophile, less efficient removal by endogenous PG hydrolases once installed, or both. D-amino carboxamide probes may also be useful for studying pathogenic bacteria that contain an amidated m-DAP residue in their peptidoglycan, such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Cor*ynebacterium diphtheriae.*<sup>195,22</sup> Using this general strategy, it may be possible to design probes that mimic cross-linking residues for other bacteria.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, synthesis of substrates and compound analysis, protein purification protocols, and LC/MS analysis of PG polymers. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Authors**

suzanne\_walker@hms.harvard.edu kahne@chemistry.harvard.edu

#### **Author Contributions**

<sup>⊥</sup>M.D.L. and J.M.M. contributed equally.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the NIH (R01 GM076710 to S.W.; R01 GM066174 to D.K.; R01 GM073831 to D.Z.R; R01 GM18568 to R.L.; CETR (U19 AI109764) to D.K., S.W., and D.Z.R.; F32 GM103056 to M.D.L.) and NSF (DGE-1144152 to J.M.M.). All high-resolution LC/MS data were acquired on an Agilent 6520 Q-TOF, spectrometer supported by the Taplin Funds for Discovery Program (P.I.: S.W.).

#### REFERENCES

(1) (a) Vollmer, W.; Blanot, D.; de Pedro, M. A. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2008, 32, 149–167. (b) Lovering, A. L.; Safadi, S. S.; Strynadka, N. C. J. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2012, 81, 451–478. (c) Typas, A.; Banzhaf, M.; Gross, C. A.; Vollmer, W. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2012, 10, 123–136.

(2) Sauvage, E.; Kerff, F.; Terrak, M.; Ayala, J. A.; Charlier, P. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2008, *32*, 234–258.

(3) (a) Waxman, D. J.; Strominger, J. L. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 1983, 52, 825–869. (b) Walsh, C. Antibiotics: Actions, Origins, Resistance; ASM Press: Washington, DC, 2003.

(4) A heptaprenyl lipid chain was used rather than the most commonly found natural lipid (undecaprenyl) because the former does not aggregate as extensively and thus is a better substrate for biochemical studies (ref 7d).

(5) Bouhss, A.; Trunkfield, A. E.; Bugg, T. D. H.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2008, 32, 208–233.

(6) (a) Anderson, J. S.; Matsuhashi, M.; Haskin, M. A.; Strominger, J. L. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1965**, *53*, 881–889. (b) Breukink, E.; van Heusden, H. E.; Vollmerhaus, P. J.; Swiezewska, E.; Brunner, L.;

#### Journal of the American Chemical Society

Walker, S.; Heck, A. J.; de Kruijff, B. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 19898– 19903. (c) El Ghachi, M.; Bouhss, A.; Barreteau, H.; Touzé, T.; Auger, G.; Blanot, D.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D. J. Biol. Chem. 2006, 281, 22761– 22772. (d) Lloyd, A. J.; Gilbey, A. M.; Blewett, A. M.; De Pascale, G.; El Zoeiby, A.; Levesque, R. C.; Catherwood, A. C.; Tomasz, A.; Bugg, T. D. H.; Roper, D. I.; Dowson, C. G. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 6402– 6417. (e) Patin, D.; Barreteau, H.; Auger, G.; Magnet, S.; Crouvoisier, M.; Bouhss, A.; Touzé, T.; Arthur, M.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D.; Blanot, D. Biochimie 2012, 94, 985–990.

(7) (a) Men, H.; Park, P.; Ge, M.; Walker, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 2484–2485. (b) Ha, S.; Chang, E.; Lo, M.-C.; Men, H.; Park, P.; Ge, M.; Walker, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 8415–8426. (c) Schwartz, B.; Markwalder, J. A.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 11638–11643. (d) Ye, X.-Y.; Lo, M.-C.; Brunner, L.; Walker, D.; Kahne, D.; Walker, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 3155–3156. (e) Vannieuwenhze, M. S.; Mauldin, S. C.; Zia-Ebrahimi, M.; Winger, B. E.; Hornback, W. J.; Saha, S. L.; Aikins, J. A.; Blaszczak, L. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 3656–3660. (f) Shih, H.-W.; Chang, Y.-F.; Li, W.-J.; Meng, F.-C.; Huang, C.-Y.; Ma, C.; Cheng, T.-J. R.; Wong, C.-H.; Cheng, W.-C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, 51, 10123–10126.

(8) Reconstitution of cross-linking has only been reported with enzymes from *E. coli* and *S. pneumoniae*, see: (a) Bertsche, U.; Breukink, E.; Kast, T.; Vollmer, W. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, 280, 38096– 38101. (b) Born, P.; Breukink, E.; Vollmer, W. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2006**, 281, 26985–26993. (c) Banzhaf, M.; van den Berg van Saparoea, B.; Terrak, M.; Fraipont, C.; Egan, A.; Philippe, J.; Zapun, A.; Breukink, E.; Nguyen-Distèche, M.; den Blaauwen, T.; Vollmer, W. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2012**, 85, 179–194. (d) Lebar, M. D.; Lupoli, T. J.; Tsukamoto, H.; May, J. M.; Walker, S.; Kahne, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 4632–4635. (e) Zapun, A.; Philippe, J.; Abrahams, K. A.; Signor, L.; Roper, D. I.; Breukink, E.; Vernet, T. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2013**, 8, 2688–2696. (f) For computational modeling of cross-linking, see: Shi, Q.; Meroueh, S. O.; Fisher, J. F.; Mobashery, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 5274–5283.

(9) (a) While it has been difficult to characterize the transpeptidases, the substrate specificities of the low-molecular-mass (carboxypeptidase and endopeptidase) PBP classes have been studied. For a review, see: Pratt, R. F. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2008**, *65*, 2138–2155. (b) For a discussion of how amidated substrates are processed by a *B. subtilis* low-molecular-mass PBP, see: Nemmara, V. V.; Adediran, S. A.; Dave, K.; Duez, C.; Pratt, R. F. *Biochemistry* **2013**, *52*, 2627–2637.

(10) (a) Primosigh, J.; Pelzer, H.; Maass, D.; Weidel, W. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1961**, *46*, 68–80. (b) Glauner, B.; Höltje, J. V.; Schwarz, U. J. Biol. Chem. **1988**, *263*, 10088–10095.

(11) (a) Warth, A. D.; Strominger, J. L. *Biochemistry* **1971**, *10*, 4349–4358. (b) Atrih, A.; Bacher, G.; Allmaier, G.; Williamson, M. P.; Foster, S. J. *J. Bacteriol.* **1999**, *181*, 3956–3966.

(12) In B. subtilis spore PG, m-DAP is not amidated. See: Warth, A. D.; Strominger, J. L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1969, 64, 528-535.

(13) (a) Lupoli, T. J.; Tsukamoto, H.; Doud, E. H.; Wang, T.-S. A.;
Walker, S.; Kahne, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10748-10751.
(b) Tsukamoto, H.; Kahne, D. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21,

5050-5053. (c) Hesek, D.; Lee, M.; Zajíček, J.; Fisher, J. F.; Mobashery, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 13881-13888.

(14) Experimental procedures and characterization described in the SI.

(15) (a) Jackson, G. E.; Strominger, J. L. J. Biol. Chem. 1984, 259, 1483–1490. (b) Popham, D. L.; Setlow, P. J. Bacteriol. 1995, 177, 326–335.

(16) Lupoli, T. J.; Lebar, M. D.; Markovski, M.; Bernhardt, T.; Kahne, D.; Walker, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 52–55.

(17) PBPs also have been shown to transfer D-amino acids onto peptide substrates, see: (a) Pollock, J. J.; Ghuysen, J. M.; Linder, R.; Salton, M. R.; Perkins, H. R.; Nieto, M.; Leyh-Bouille, M.; Frère, J. M.; Johnson, K. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1972**, *69*, 662–666. (b) Adam, M.; Damblon, C.; Jamin, M.; Zorzi, W.; Dusart, V.; Galleni, M.; el Kharroubi, A.; Piras, G.; Spratt, B. G.; Keck, W. Biochem. J. **1991**, *279*, 601–604. (c) Kumar, I.; Pratt, R. F. *Biochemistry* **2005**, *44*, 9961–9970.

(18) This result provides direct evidence that PBPs are responsible for D-amino acid incorporation into *B. subtilis* PG in vivo (see ref 20b). (19) (a) Kuru, E.; Hughes, H. V.; Brown, P. J.; Hall, E.; Tekkam, S.; Cava, F.; de Pedro, M. A.; Brun, Y. V.; Vannieuwenhze, M. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 12519–12523. (b) Siegrist, M. S.; Whiteside, S.; Jewett, J. C.; Aditham, A.; Cava, F.; Bertozzi, C. R. ACS Chem. Biol. **2013**, *8*, 500–505. (c) Liechti, G. W.; Kuru, E.; Hall, E.; Kalinda, A.; Brun, Y. V.; VanNieuwenhze, M.; Maurelli, A. T. *Nature* **2014**, *506*, 507–510. (d) Shieh, P.; Siegrist, M. S.; Cullen, A. J.; Bertozzi, C. R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2014**, *111*, 5456–5461.

(20) (a) Lam, H.; Oh, D.-C.; Cava, F.; Takacs, C. N.; Clardy, J.; de Pedro, M. A.; Waldor, M. K. *Science* **2009**, 325, 1552–1555. (b) Cava, F.; de Pedro, M. A.; Lam, H.; Davis, B. M.; Waldor, M. K. *EMBO J.* **2011**, 30, 3442–3453. (c) Cava, F.; Lam, H.; de Pedro, M. A.; Waldor, M. K. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2011**, 68, 817–831. (d) Leiman, S. A.; May, J. M.; Lebar, M. D.; Kahne, D.; Kolter, R.; Losick, R. *J. Bacteriol.* **2013**, 195, 5391–5395.

(21) (a) Tsuruoka, T.; Tamura, A.; Miyata, A.; Takei, T.; Iwamatsu, K.; Inouye, S.; Matsuhashi, M. J. Bacteriol. 1984, 160, 889–894.
(b) Caparros, M.; Pisabarro, A. G.; de Pedro, M. A. J. Bacteriol. 1992, 174, 5549–5559.

(22) (a) Schleifer, K. H.; Kandler, O. Bacteriol. Rev. **1972**, 36, 407– 477. (b) Linnett, P. E.; Strominger, J. L. J. Biol. Chem. **1974**, 249, 2489–2496. (c) Lavollay, M.; Arthur, M.; Fourgeaud, M.; Dubost, L.; Marie, A.; Veziris, N.; Blanot, D.; Gutmann, L.; Mainardi, J.-L. J. Bacteriol. **2008**, 190, 4360–4366.