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#### Abstract

We present a catalog of galaxy clusters selected via their Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (SZ) effect signature from $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ of South Pole Telescope (SPT) data. This work represents the complete sample of clusters detected at high significance in the $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ survey, which was completed in 2011. A total of 677 (409) cluster candidates are identified above a signal-to-noise threshold of $\xi=4.5$ (5.0). Ground- and space-based optical and near-infrared (NIR) imaging confirms overdensities of similarly colored galaxies in the direction of 516 (or $76 \%$ ) of the $\xi>4.5$ candidates and 387 (or $95 \%$ ) of the $\xi>5$ candidates; the measured purity is consistent with expectations from simulations. Of these confirmed clusters, 415 were first identified in SPT data, including 251 new discoveries reported in this work. We estimate photometric redshifts for all candidates with identified optical and/or NIR counterparts; we additionally report redshifts derived from spectroscopic observations for 141 of these systems. The mass threshold of the catalog is roughly independent of redshift above $z \sim 0.25$ leading to a sample of massive clusters that extends to high redshift. The median mass of the sample is $M_{500 \mathrm{c}}\left(\rho_{\text {crit }}\right) \sim 3.5 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} h_{70}^{-1}$, the median redshift is $z_{\text {med }}=0.55$, and the highest-redshift systems are at $z>1.4$. The combination of large redshift extent, clean selection, and high typical mass makes this cluster sample of particular interest for cosmological analyses and studies of cluster formation and evolution.


Subject headings: cosmology: observations - galaxies: clusters: individual - large-scale structure of universe

[^0][^1]
## 1. INTRODUCTION

Galaxy clusters are the largest collapsed objects in the universe, and their abundance is exponentially sensitive to the conditions and processes that govern the cosmological growth of structure (see Allen et al. 2011 and references therein). In particular, measurements of cluster abundance have the power to constrain the matter density, the amplitude and shape of the matter power spectrum, and the sum of the neutrino masses (Wang \& Steinhardt 1998, Wang et al. 2005, Lesgourgues \& Pastor 2006), and to test models of the cosmic acceleration (Wang \& Steinhardt 1998, Haiman et al. 2001, Weinberg et al. 2013) in ways that complement constraints from other observational methods. Clusters are also unique laboratories in which to characterize the interplay between gravitational and astrophysical processes (see Kravtsov \& Borgani 2012 for a recent review). Welldefined cluster samples over a broad redshift range are critical for such studies.
Large samples of clusters were first compiled from optical and infrared datasets, in which clusters are identified as overdensities of galaxies. Clusters identified in this manner typically contain of tens to thousands of galaxies (e.g., Abell 1958; Gladders \& Yee 2000, Koester et al. 2007, Eisenhardt et al. 2008; Wen et al. 2012 Rykoff et al. 2014). Clusters of galaxies are also bright sources of extended X-ray emission: the majority of the baryonic matter in clusters ( $70-95 \%$ ) is found in the intracluster medium (ICM) in the form of diffuse gas that has been heated by adiabatic compression and shocks to X-ray emitting temperatures of $10^{7}$ to $10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$ (see review by Voit 2005). The observational expense of detecting highredshift systems currently limits the size of X-ray samples; however, the tight correlation between ICM observables and the underlying cluster mass enables even modest samples of X-ray-selected systems to place competitive constraints on cosmological models (e.g., Vikhlinin

[^2]et al. 2009, Mantz et al. 2010).
Over the past decade, clusters have begun to be identified via their signature in the millimeter-wave sky. As photons from the cosmic microwave background (CMB) pass through a galaxy cluster, roughly $1 \%$ of the photons will inverse-Compton scatter off the energetic electrons in the ICM. This imparts a characteristic spectral distortion to the CMB, known as the thermal Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (SZ) effect (Sunyaev \& Zel'dovich 1972). The observed temperature difference, $\Delta T$, relative to the mean CMB temperature, $T_{\mathrm{CMB}}$, is
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta T & =T_{\mathrm{CMB}} f_{\mathrm{SZ}}(x) \int n_{\mathrm{e}} \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}} T_{\mathrm{e}}}{m_{\mathrm{e}} c^{2}} \sigma_{\mathrm{T}} d l  \tag{1}\\
& \equiv T_{\mathrm{CMB}} f_{\mathrm{SZ}}(x) y_{\mathrm{SZ}}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where the integral is along the line of sight, $x \equiv h \nu / k_{\mathrm{B}} T_{\mathrm{CMB}}, k_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Boltzmann constant, $c$ the speed of light, $n_{\mathrm{e}}$ the electron density, $T_{\mathrm{e}}$ the electron temperature, $\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}$ the Thomson cross-section, $f_{\mathrm{SZ}}(x)$ encodes the frequency dependence of the thermal SZ effect:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{SZ}}(x)=\left(x \frac{e^{x}+1}{e^{x}-1}-4\right)\left(1+\delta_{\mathrm{rc}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Sunyaev \& Zel'dovich 1980), and $y_{\text {sz }}$ is the Compton $y$-parameter. The term $\delta_{\mathrm{rc}}$ represents relativistic corrections (Nozawa et al. 2000), which become important at $T_{\mathrm{e}} \gtrsim 8 \mathrm{keV}$. This frequency dependence leads to a decrement of observed photons (relative to a blackbody at $T_{\text {Смв }}$ ) below the thermal SZ null frequency of $\sim 220$ GHz and a corresponding increment of photons above this frequency. The surface brightness of the thermal SZ effect is independent of redshift, and the integrated thermal SZ signal is expected to be a low-scatter proxy for the cluster mass, as it is proportional to the total thermal energy of the ICM (Motl et al. 2005). These properties make cluster samples produced by SZ surveys attractive for cosmological analyses (Carlstrom et al. 2002).

The observed temperature distortions in the CMB caused by the thermal SZ effect are small, typically on the level of hundreds of $\mu \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{CMB}}$ for the most massive clusters. ${ }^{1}$ The development over the past decade of high-sensitivity bolometric cameras has enabled the wide and deep surveys required to find these rare systems via the SZ effect. The first discovery of a previously unknown cluster through its SZ signature was published in 2009 (Staniszewski et al. 2009); today such discoveries have become routine, with catalogs produced by the South Pole Telescope (SPT), Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT), and Planck teams containing tens to hundreds of massive clusters out to $z \sim 1.5$ (Reichardt et al. 2013, Hasselfield et al. 2013, Planck Collaboration et al. 2013a).

In this paper we present a cluster catalog extracted from the full $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ survey. This sample consists of 677 galaxy cluster candidates detected at SPT statistical significance $\xi>4.5$, of which $516(76 \%)$ have been confirmed as clusters via dedicated optical and near-infrared (NIR) follow-up imaging. Follow-up imaging was obtained for all 477 candidates detected above

[^3]$\xi=4.8$ and $172(86 \%)$ of the remaining 200 candidates down to $\xi=4.5$. For all confirmed clusters, we report photometric redshifts - or, where available, spectroscopic redshifts - and estimated masses. Of the confirmed clusters, $251(49 \%)$ are reported for the first time in this work. Masses are computed using the framework developed for Reichardt et al. (2013, hereafter R13); we report masses using the best-fit $\xi$-mass relation for a fixed flat $\Lambda \mathrm{CDM}$ cosmology with $\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}=0.3, h=0.7$ and $\sigma_{8}=0.8$. A detailed cosmological analysis incorporating new information from follow-up X-ray observations will be presented in de Haan et al. (in preparation, hereafter referred to as dH14).

This paper is organized as follows. Observations and map-making are described in \$2. The extraction of galaxy clusters from the maps is detailed in $\$ 3$. We describe our optical and NIR follow-up campaign in $\$ 4$ and associated confirmation of clusters and measurement of redshifts in $\$ 5$. In $\$ 6$ we present the full sample of galaxy cluster candidates from the $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ cluster survey and highlight particularly notable clusters, and we conclude in $\$ 7$. All masses are reported in terms of $M_{500 \mathrm{c}}$, where $M_{500 \mathrm{c}}$ is defined as the mass enclosed within a radius at which the average density is 500 times the critical density at the cluster redshift. Selected data reported in this work, as well as future updates to the properties of these clusters, will be hosted at http: //pole.uchicago.edu/public/data/sptsz-clusters.

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

### 2.1. Telescope and Observations

The SPT (Carlstrom et al. 2011) is a 10 m diameter telescope located at the National Science Foundation Amundsen-Scott South Pole station in Antarctica. From 2008 to 2011 the telescope was used to conduct the SPTSZ survey, a survey of $\sim 2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ of the southern sky at 95,150 , and 220 GHz . The survey covers a contiguous region from $20^{h}$ to $7^{h}$ in right ascension (R.A.) and -65 to $-40^{\circ}$ in declination (see, e.g., Figure 1 in Story et al. 2013) and was mapped to depths of approximately 40, 18 , and $70 \mu \mathrm{~K}$-arcmin at 95,150 , and 220 GHz respectively.
The telescope was designed for high-resolution measurements of the CMB, with particular attention to the science goal of discovering high-redshift galaxy clusters through the SZ effect. The large primary mirror leads to beam sizes of roughly $1.6^{\prime}, 1.1^{\prime}$, and $1.0^{\prime}$ at 95,150 and 220 GHz . Beams of this scale are well matched to the expected angular size of massive clusters at high redshift. For a non-relativistic thermal SZ spectrum, the centers of the measured 95 and 150 GHz bands are at 97.6 GHz and 152.9 GHz , while the 220 GHz band is approximately at the thermal SZ null.
The $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ survey was not observed as one contiguous patch; rather it was broken into 19 subregions, or fields, individually scanned to survey depth. These fields range in size from $\sim 70$ to $250 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$, and their borders overlap slightly $(\sim 4 \%)$. The total area searched for clusters over all fields, after correcting for point-source masking (see §3) is $2365 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$.
The majority of fields were observed in an identical fashion: the telescope was scanned back and forth across the width of the field in azimuth and then stepped in el-
evation; the scan and step procedure was repeated until the full field had been imaged. The process, which constitutes a single observation of the field, took between 0.5 and 2.5 hours, depending on the field size and the elevation step. Each field was imaged in this fashion at least 200 times, and final maps are the sum of all individual observations. One field, RA21HDEC-50, ${ }^{2}$ was observed with two different strategies: roughly one-third of the data were obtained with the strategy described above, while the remainder of the observations were conducted by scanning the telescope in elevation at a series of fixed azimuth angles. In Table 1 we have listed the name, location, area, and depths at 95,150 , and 220 GHz for each field, as well as the year in which the field was observed. The depth estimates are obtained as in Schaffer et al. (2011), using the Gaussian beam approximation described in that work.

The top two panels of Figure 1 show $6^{\circ}$-by- $6^{\circ}$ cutouts of 95 and 150 GHz maps from the RA21HDEC-60 field. The maps shown here have been very minimally filtered (high-passed at an angular multipole value of roughly $l=50$ in the scan direction) and show the main signal components present in SPT-SZ survey data, namely the large-scale primary CMB fluctuations, emissive point sources, and SZ decrements from galaxy clusters. The data described in the next section and used as input to the cluster finding pipeline are more strongly filtered in the time domain before being processed into maps.

### 2.2. Map Making

A series of operations are performed to convert the raw data from the field observations to calibrated maps. The map-making process in this work is almost identical to that in R13; the most significant change lies in the treatment of the RA5H30DEC-55 and RA23H30DEC-55 fields. These two fields, originally observed in 2008, were re-observed in 2010 (RA $23 \mathrm{H} 30 \mathrm{DEC}-55$ ) or 2011 (RA5H30DEC-55) in order to add coverage at 95 GHz (the array fielded in 2008 did not have enough highquality 95 GHz detectors to produce survey-depth data). These additional observations also resulted in deeper final maps at 150 GHz (see Table 1). For these two fields, R13 analyzed the 150 GHz maps described in Vanderlinde et al. (2010). The filtering and calibration for these two fields were treated differently from the other fields. In this analysis we use the full, two-season data (including the 95 GHz data), and the treatment of filtering and calibration is uniform across the survey.

We briefly summarize the map-making process here; these steps are described in detail in Schaffer et al. (2011).

- For each observation, time-ordered detector data are first notch-filtered to remove sensitivity to the pulse-tube cooler of the cryostat housing the SPTSZ receiver. Which detectors' data to include in mapmaking is then determined using a series of cuts based upon noise performance and response to both a chopped thermal source and on-sky sources. Following these cuts the array is "flat-fielded" by adjusting each detector's data according to its re-

[^4]TABLE 1
The fields observed by the South Pole Telescope between 2008 and 2011

| Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { R.A. } \\ \left.{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \left(\operatorname{deg}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{95} \\ (\mu \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{arcmin}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{150} \\ (\mu \mathrm{~K} \text {-arcmin }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{220} \\ (\mu \mathrm{~K}-\operatorname{arcmin}) \end{gathered}$ | Survey Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RA5H30DEC-55 | 82.7 | -55.0 | 82.9 | 38.2 | 12.8 | 37.0 | 2008,2011 |
| RA23H30DEC-55 | 352.5 | -55.0 | 100.2 | 36.9 | 11.7 | 35.0 | 2008,2010 |
| RA21HDEC-60 | 315.0 | -60.0 | 147.6 | 35.5 | 15.0 | 58.1 | 2009 |
| RA3H30DEC-60 | 52.5 | -60.0 | 222.6 | 35.7 | 15.7 | 59.0 | 2009 |
| RA21HDEC-50 | 315.0 | -50.0 | 190.0 | 40.7 | 17.7 | 65.7 | 2009 |
| RA4H10DEC-50 | 62.5 | -50.0 | 155.5 | 30.9 | 14.4 | 59.5 | 2010 |
| RA0H50DEC-50 | 12.5 | -50.0 | 156.2 | 36.8 | 16.1 | 64.2 | 2010 |
| RA2H30DEC-50 | 37.5 | -50.0 | 155.7 | 35.1 | 15.2 | 58.5 | 2010 |
| RA1HDEC-60 | 15.0 | -60.0 | 145.9 | 34.6 | 15.6 | 60.1 | 2010 |
| RA5H30DEC-45 | 82.5 | -45.0 | 102.7 | 39.1 | 17.7 | 72.7 | 2010 |
| RA6H30DEC-55 | 97.5 | -55.0 | 83.3 | 35.6 | 15.7 | 65.2 | 2011 |
| RA3H30DEC-42.5 | 52.5 | -42.5 | 166.8 | 34.0 | 15.4 | 62.4 | 2011 |
| RA23HDEC-62.5 | 345.0 | -62.5 | 70.5 | 35.9 | 15.8 | 62.2 | 2011 |
| RA21HDEC-42.5 | 315.0 | -42.5 | 111.2 | 36.7 | 16.5 | 67.0 | 2011 |
| RA1HDEC-42.5 | 15.0 | -42.5 | 108.6 | 35.4 | 15.3 | 62.9 | 2011 |
| RA22H30DEC-55 | 337.5 | -55.0 | 83.6 | 37.3 | 16.3 | 67.2 | 2011 |
| RA23HDEC-45 | 345.0 | -45.0 | 204.5 | 35.0 | 15.6 | 64.4 | 2011 |
| RA6H30DEC-45 | 97.5 | -45.0 | 102.8 | 35.8 | 15.6 | 67.0 | 2011 |
| RA6HDEC-62.5 | 90.0 | -62.5 | 68.7 | 34.9 | 15.9 | 67.9 | 2011 |

Note. - Descriptive information for the 19 fields that comprise the $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ survey. Here we list the field name, center, effective area following point source masking, noise levels at 95, 150, and 220 GHz , and the year the field was imaged. The noise levels are estimated as in Schaffer et al. (2011), using the Gaussian beam approximation.
sponse relative to other detectors of the same frequency.

- In every scan across the field, each detector's data is high-pass filtered by removing the best-fit Legendre polynomial (with the polynomial order depending on the length of the scan) and a series of sine and cosine modes. The resulting filter has an effective cutoff frequency corresponding to angular multipole $l=400$ (roughly $1 / 2$ degree scales) in the scan direction. This filtering step removes largescale noise from the atmosphere and low-frequency noise from the readout. To further reduce atmospheric contamination, the mean signal from detectors in a single wedge ${ }^{3}$ is subtracted from the data of all detectors in that wedge. This commonmode subtraction acts as an isotropic high-pass filter with a cutoff at approximately $l=500$. Bright point sources detected at $>5 \sigma(\sim 6 \mathrm{mJy}$ at nominal survey depth) in 150 GHz data are masked in both of these filtering steps.
- Following filtering, the telescope pointing model is used to project the data onto two-dimensional maps. For this analysis we use the SansonFlamsteed projection (Calabretta \& Greisen 2002) in which pixel rows in the map correspond to constant elevation. As (for the majority of observations) the telescope also scans at constant elevation, this projection simplifies the characterization of the applied filtering at the cost of slight shape distortions at the map edges.
- Individual maps, weighted by their noise properties at $1500<l<4500$, are then coadded to produce

[^5]the final maps. Maps with anomalously high or low weights or noise are not included in the coadd.

- A calibration factor based on observations of the galactic HII region RCW38 is applied to provide the absolute temperature calibration for the maps (Staniszewski et al. 2009). We have repeated the cluster-finding procedure described in $\$ 3$ using maps with a CMB-based calibration and find negligible differences in the detection significances of galaxy clusters.


## 3. CLUSTER EXTRACTION AND MM-WAVE CHARACTERIZATION

In this section, we provide a summary of the process by which galaxy cluster candidates are identified and characterized in the SPT survey data. This procedure is almost identical to that used in recent SPT publications; readers are referred to Williamson et al. (2011) and R13 in particular for more details. The small differences between R13 and this analysis are discussed in 6.3

### 3.1. Cluster Extraction

As described in \$2, the SPT-SZ survey fields are observed at 3 frequency bands centered at approximately 95,150 and 220 GHz . These maps contain signal from a range of astrophysical sources. For the purposes of this analysis, we characterize the observed temperature, $T$, in the maps at frequency $\nu_{i}$ and location $\mathbf{x}$ by:

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(\mathbf{x}, \nu_{i}\right)=B\left(\mathbf{x}, \nu_{i}\right) *\left[f_{\mathrm{SZ}}\left(\nu_{i}\right) T_{\mathrm{CMB}} y_{\mathrm{SZ}}(\mathbf{x})\right. & \left.+n_{\text {astro }}\left(\mathbf{x}, \nu_{i}\right)\right] \\
& +n_{\text {noise }}\left(\mathbf{x}, \nu_{i}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $B$ encompasses the effects of the beam and applied filtering; the expected thermal SZ signal is given by the product of the frequency dependent term $f_{\mathrm{Sz}}$, the CMB temperature $T_{\mathrm{CMB}}$, and the Compton- $y$ parameter $y_{\mathrm{Sz}}$;


Fig. 1.- Visual representation of the SPT-SZ data and matched filtering process described in 2 and 3 Panels (a) and (b) show $6^{\circ}$-by- $6^{\circ}$ cutouts of 95 and 150 GHz maps from the RA21HDEC -60 field; the displayed temperature range is $\pm 300 \mu K$. These maps are made from data that have been only minimally filtered (scan-direction high-pass filter at $l \sim 50$ ) and show the main features of SPT-SZ survey data: large-scale primary CMB fluctuations, emissive point sources, and SZ decrements from galaxy clusters. Panel (c) shows the azimuthally averaged spatial-spectral filter optimized for detection of $\theta_{c}=0.25$ clusters, with the red-dashed (blue-solid) curves showing the Fourier-domain coefficients for the $95(150) \mathrm{GHz}$ data. Panel (d) shows a zoomed-in view of the $1^{\circ}$-by- $1^{\circ}$ area delineated by the dashed box in panel (b) after the spatial-spectral filter has been applied. This map is in units of signal-to-noise, and the displayed range is $-5<S / N<5$. Visible in this panel are the $\xi=22.2, z=1.132$ cluster SPT-CL J2106-5844 and the $\xi=4.6$, optically unconfirmed candidate SPT-CL J2106-5820.
$n_{\text {astro }}$ encompasses astrophysical signals-all of which are modeled here as Gaussian noise - and $n_{\text {noise }}$ corresponds to instrumental and residual atmospheric noise not removed by the filtering discussed in $\$ 2$. For SPT maps, $n_{\text {astro }}$ primarily consists of lensed primary CMB fluctuations, kinetic and thermal SZ from the clusters below the SPT detection threshold, and dusty extragalactic sources; radio sources below the SPT detection threshold contribute negligibly to the maps. As in previous work,
we model these noise terms based upon recent SPT power spectrum constraints (Keisler et al. 2011, Shirokoff et al. 2011).

Given the known spatial and spectral characteristics of galaxy clusters as well as the sources of noise in the maps, we construct a filter designed to maximize our sensitivity to galaxy clusters (Melin et al. 2006). This

Fourier-domain filter takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\psi}\left(\mathbf{l}, \nu_{i}\right)=\sigma_{\psi}^{-2} \sum_{j} \mathbf{N}_{i j}^{-1}(\mathbf{l}) f_{\mathrm{SZ}}\left(\nu_{j}\right) S_{\mathrm{filt}}\left(\mathbf{l}, \nu_{j}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the predicted variance in the filtered map, $\sigma_{\psi}^{-2}$, is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sigma_{\psi}^{-2}=\int d^{2} l \sum_{i, j} f_{\mathrm{SZ}}\left(\nu_{i}\right) S_{\mathrm{filt}}\left(\mathbf{l}, \nu_{i}\right) \mathbf{N}_{i j}^{-1}(\mathbf{l}) \times  \tag{5}\\
f_{\mathrm{SZ}}\left(\nu_{j}\right) S_{\mathrm{filt}}\left(\mathbf{l}, \nu_{j}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$\mathbf{N}$ is the Fourier-domain version of the band-band, pixelpixel covariance matrix, and $S_{\text {filt }}$ is the Fourier transform of the real space cluster template convolved with $B\left(\mathbf{x}, \nu_{i}\right)$. We use a projected isothermal $\beta$-model (Cavaliere \& Fusco-Femiano 1976) with $\beta$ fixed to 1 as our source template:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T=\Delta T_{0}\left(1+\theta^{2} / \theta_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta T_{0}$ is the normalization, $\theta$ is the angular separation from the cluster center, and $\theta_{c}$ is the core radius. As discussed in Vanderlinde et al. (2010), given the spatial resolution of the SPT this simple profile is adequate for our purposes: no improvement in the detection of clusters is seen using more sophisticated models (e.g., Nagai et al. 2007, Arnaud et al. 2010).

A series of profiles with evenly-spaced core radii ranging from $0!25$ to $3^{\prime}$ is used to construct 12 matched filters. Azimuthal averages of the 0.25 matched-filter coefficients for 95 and 150 GHz are shown as a function of $\ell$ in the bottom left panel of Figure 1. After the application of these filters to the 95 and 150 GHz maps (the relative noise levels in the 220 GHz maps are too high to significantly improve cluster detection, so these data are omitted here), cluster candidates are extracted via a peak detection algorithm similar to the SExtractor routine (Bertin \& Arnouts 1996). We record the location and maximum detection significance across all filter scales, $\xi$, for all cluster candidates with $\xi \geq 4.5$. The bottom-right panel of Figure 1 shows the result of applying the $0!25$ matched filter to 95 and 150 GHz maps of the RA21HDEC-60 field.
We take two steps to reduce the number of spurious sources created by filter artifacts (in particular decrements created by the filter "ringing" around strong sources). First, prior to filtering the maps we mask a $4^{\prime}$ region around all point sources detected above $5 \sigma$ in 150 GHz maps optimized for point source detection. Following filtering we additionally exclude cluster candidates detected within $8^{\prime}$ of these emissive sources. This step removes $163 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ from the survey. We perform a separate cluster-finding analysis on these masked regions and report on those detections (which we do not include in the official catalog) in $\$ 6.2$. We also find it necessary to veto candidates around the strongest cluster detections: we exclude candidates within a $10^{\prime}$ region around $\xi>20$ detections. This final cut removes less than $1 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ from the entire survey.

### 3.2. Integrated Comptonization

For every cluster candidate we measure the integrated Comptonization, $Y_{\text {SZ }}$, within a 0.75 radius aperture. For
a projected isothermal $\beta$-model, $Y_{\mathrm{SZ}}$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\mathrm{SZ}}^{0^{\prime} \cdot 75}=2 \pi \int_{0}^{0^{\prime} \cdot 75} y_{0}\left(1+\theta^{2} / \theta_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{-1} \theta d \theta \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{0}$ is the peak Comptonization. The aperture is slightly smaller than the $1^{\prime}$ radius utilized in R13: as demonstrated in Saliwanchik et al. (2013), at the resolution and noise levels of the SPT-SZ survey, the scatter of $Y_{\mathrm{SZ}}$ at fixed cluster mass is minimized at $\theta=0.75$. This scatter, measured to be $27 \pm 2 \%$, is comparable to the scatter in the relation between SPT detection significance and mass that is used to estimate cluster masses in R13 and other SPT cluster publications.
$Y_{\text {SZ }}$ is computed using the same procedure as in R13. Briefly, for every cluster candidate, the likelihood of the observed two-band SZ signal given the model of Eqn. 6 is estimated using a simple gridded parameter search. For every point in the four-parameter space of $y_{0}, \theta_{c}, x$ position, and $y$ position, the value of $Y_{\mathrm{Sz}}^{0^{\prime} 75}$ is calculated using Eqn. 7, and the best-fit value and $1 \sigma$ constraints are estimated from the (one-dimensional) $Y_{\mathrm{sz}}^{0^{\prime} 75}$ posterior distribution. Effective step-function priors are placed on the values of $\theta_{c}, x$, and $y$ by restricting the parameter grid for each cluster such that $x$ and $y$ are within $1!5$ of the best matched-filter position for that cluster (we note that the absolute difference between the best-fit and matched-filter positions is $<0^{\prime} .75$ for $97 \%$ of the cluster candidates) and that the physical core radius of each cluster is $50 \mathrm{kpc} \leq r_{\text {core }} \leq 1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. For unconfirmed candidates we use a redshift of $z=1.5$ to set the physical scale. The priors on $r_{\text {core }}$ are motivated by the known mass distribution of SPT-selected clusters and the massconcentration relation measured by, e.g., Mandelbaum et al. (2008).

### 3.3. Contamination

Simulations are used to estimate the level of contamination in the cluster catalog by false detections from instrumental noise and non-cluster astrophysical signals. The simulations are similar to those used in R13; we provide a brief summary here.

For each of the 19 SPT fields we create 100 simulated sky maps composed of contributions from the CMB, emissive sources, and noise (note that we do not include a thermal SZ contribution when quantifying the expected number of false detections). The CMB component is modeled as a Gaussian random field based on the best-fit WMAP7 + SPT lensed $\Lambda$ CDM model (Komatsu et al. 2011; Keisler et al. 2011), and the point source model contains contributions trom radio sources and dusty star-forming galaxies (DSFG): all source contributions are modeled as Gaussian fields. The simulated radio population follows the results of De Zotti et al. (2005), Vieira et al. (2010), and Reichardt et al. (2012). We assume $100 \%$ correlation between the bands, a spectral index of $\alpha=-0.53$ and, at 150 GHz , an amplitude of $D_{l}=l(l+1) C_{l} / 2 \pi=1.28 \mu \mathrm{~K}^{2}$ at $l=3000$. The amplitudes and spectral indices of the DSFG contributions are also constrained by recent SPT measurements (Reichardt et al. 2012). At 150 GHz and $l=3000$ the Poisson contribution has amplitude $D_{l}=7.54 \mu \mathrm{~K}^{2}$ and the clustered contribution $D_{l}=6.25 \mu \mathrm{~K}^{2}$; we use $\alpha=3.6$ for


Fig. 2.- Simulated false-detection rate for each of the 19 fields in the SPT-SZ survey. Plotted is the cumulative density of false detections above a detection significance, $\xi_{\text {min }}$. We expect 18.5 false detections at $\xi \geq 5$ and 172 at $\xi \geq 4.5$ for the entire survey.
both contributions. For each simulated map, we create noise realizations using jackknife noise maps (e.g., Sayers et al. 2009). The noise maps are created by randomly multiplying half of the observations of a field by -1 and then coadding the entire set of observations. This is a change from the R13 simulations which assumed stationary Gaussian noise.
To estimate the expected number of false detections, the cluster-detection algorithm is run (with point source masks and apodization matching the real data) on these cluster-free simulated maps. In Figure 2 we plot the expected rate of false detections in each individual SPT field. Two fields have slightly higher false-detection rates owing to the inclusion of boundary regions with uneven coverage in the area searched for clusters. In total, across all fields, we expect 172 false detections at $\xi>4.5$ and 18.5 at $\xi>5$. We return to the question of false detections again in $\$$ where we compare our expectations to the measured purity of the cluster sample.

## 4. FOLLOW-UP OBSERVATIONS

We use optical and in some cases NIR imaging to confirm candidates as clusters and to obtain redshifts for confirmed systems. In this section, we briefly describe our follow-up strategy and the reduction of imaging data. Follow-up imaging was obtained for all 477 candidates detected above $\xi=4.8$ and 172 of the remaining 200 candidates down to $\xi=4.5$. The procedures discussed here closely follow those presented in Song et al. (2012, hereafter S12).

### 4.1. Follow-up Strategy

Our candidate follow-up strategy evolved over the course of the SPT-SZ survey. Initially, clusters were confirmed using preexisting imaging from the Blanco

Cosmology Survey (BCS; Desai et al. 2012, see \$4.3) as well as targeted imaging on the Blanco/MOSAIC-II ${ }^{4}$ and Magellan/IMACS imagers (Dressler et al. 2006) (see High et al. 2010 for more details). As the candidate sample size grew, we adjusted our imaging strategy to effectively incorporate a range of small- and large-aperture telescopes. Our resulting strategy is as follows:

- All cluster candidates are "pre-screened" using imaging data from the Digitized Sky Survey (DSS) ${ }^{5}$ to determine if a cluster candidate lies at low redshift. We find the DSS images are generally sufficient to allow identification of the optical counterparts of SPT clusters out to redshift $z=0.5$, with a tail extending to $z=0.7$. Roughly $50 \%$ of confirmed SPT clusters are identifiable in DSS data; candidates not apparent in the DSS are classified as high-redshift targets.
- We observe potential low-redshift candidates at 1 m -2 m class facilities. If the candidate is not confirmed in these data, it is reclassified as a potential high-redshift system.
- High-redshift targets are imaged on larger aperture $(4 \mathrm{~m}-6.5 \mathrm{~m})$ telescopes. The majority of these cluster candidates with $\xi \geq 4.8$ and many candidates with $4.5 \leq \xi<4.8$ have also been imaged in the NIR from ground- or space-based facilities. This threshold was $\xi=4.5$ in S12; the increased threshold here is due to limited NIR resources.
- Following the release of the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) all-sky catalogs (Wright et al. 2010), observations of $\xi<4.8$ candidates were weighted towards those candidates with lower values of the NIR "blank-field" statistic presented in S12. This statistic quantifies the significance of any overdensity of galaxies at the candidate's location compared to random fields; lower values correspond to more dense regions. As such, the followup of these lower significance candidates is biased to maximize the number of confirmed clusters.

In Table 2 we list the facilities and instruments used in our follow-up campaign. We assign each telescope/instrument combination a numerical alias which we use in Table 4 to identify the source of redshift information.

### 4.2. Targeted Observations and Data Reduction 4.2.1. Optical Data

Our strategy for targeted optical follow-up varied with the aperture of the follow-up telescope. In this section, we first describe our strategy for observing candidates we expect to be at low redshift with $1 \mathrm{~m}-2 \mathrm{~m}$ class telescopes; we then move on to our strategy for observing likely high-redshift candidates with larger telescopes. Note that in this section we quote depths relative to the

[^6]TABLE 2
Optical and infrared imagers

| Ref. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Site | Telescope | Aperture <br> (m) | Camera | Filters ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Field |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cerro Tololo | Blanco | 4 | MOSAIC-II | griz | $36^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$ |
| 2 | Las Campanas | Magellan/Baade | 6.5 | IMACS f/2 | griz | $27!4 \times 27!4$ |
| $3^{\text {c }}$ | Las Campanas | Magellan/Clay | 6.5 | LDSS3 | griz | 8.3 diam. circle |
| $4^{\text {d }}$ | Las Campanas | Magellan/Clay | 6.5 | Megacam | gri | $25^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$ |
| 5 | Las Campanas | Swope | 1 | SITe3 | $B V R I$ | $14.9 \times 22^{\prime} .8$ |
| 6 | La Silla | MPG/ESO | 2.2 | WFI | $B V R I$ | $34^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$ |
| 7 | La Silla | New Technology Telescope | 3.6 | EFOSC2 | griz | $4{ }^{\prime} .1 \times 4{ }^{\prime} 1$ |
| 8 | Cerro Tololo | Blanco | 4 | NEWFIRM | $K_{s}$ | $28^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$ |
| 9 | Las Campanas | Magellan/Baade | 6.5 | FourStar | $J, H, K_{s}$ | $10!8 \times 10!8$ |
| 10 | Satellite | Spitzer Space Telescope | 0.85 | IRAC | $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $5!2 \times 5!2$ |
|  | Satellite | Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer | 0.40 | ... | $W 1, W 2$ | $47^{\prime} \times 47^{\prime}$ |

Note. - Optical and infrared cameras used in SPT follow-up observations.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Shorthand alias used in Table 4
b Not all filters were used to image every cluster.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ http://www.lco.cl/telescopes-information/magellan/instruments/ldss-3
${ }^{d}$ Megacam data were acquired for a large follow-up weak-lensing program.
apparent magnitude of $L^{*}$ galaxies: our model for the redshift evolution of these galaxies is described in $\$ 5$.
In order to rapidly image a large number of systems, we adopt a minimalist approach for low-redshift candidates observed on the 1 m Swope telescope. Based on an initial "by-eye" redshift estimate from the DSS screening step ${ }^{6}$, we choose a pair of filters $(B V, V R, R I)$ expected to straddle the $4000 \AA$ break. Three filters are used when required to avoid redshift degeneracies. Candidates are imaged to depths sufficient for robust estimation of redsequence redshifts: we require detection of $0.4 \mathrm{~L}^{*}$ redsequence galaxies at $8 \sigma$ in the redder filter and $5 \sigma$ in the bluer filter. A second round of deeper imaging is obtained for systems with significantly underestimated DSS redshifts. Non-confirmed candidates and clusters at higher redshift $(z>0.7)$ are re-observed on larger class telescopes and/or with NIR imagers.

Low-redshift candidates are also observed on the MPG/ESO 2.2 m telescope using the Wield Field Imager (WFI; Baade et al. 1999). These observations are deeper than those acquired on Swope as they were designed to also enable studies of the galaxy populations of these clusters (e.g., Zenteno et al. 2011). Based on its preliminary DSS redshift, each candidate is imaged in three filters ( $B V R$ or $V R I$ ), to depths sufficient to detect $0.4 \mathrm{~L}^{*}$ galaxies at $10 \sigma$ in the bands straddling the $4000 \AA$ break. The third band is used for photometric calibration (see below). Second-pass imaging is obtained as necessary to adjust for imperfect initial redshift estimates. As with our Swope program, non-confirmed candidates and clusters at higher redshift $(z>0.75)$ are re-observed on larger class telescopes and/or with NIR imagers.

As described in S12, we also adopt a two-pass strategy for observations on $4 \mathrm{~m}-6.5 \mathrm{~m}$ class telescopes. Candidates are first imaged in the $g-, r-, z$-bands (or $g$-, $r$ and $i$-bands early in the follow-up campaign) to depths sufficient to detect $0.4 \mathrm{~L}^{*}$ galaxies at $z=0.75$ at $5 \sigma$ in the redder bands. The $g$-band data is used for photo-

[^7]metric calibration. Following this first-pass imaging, all non-confirmed candidates at $\xi \geq 4.8$ (and a subsample of non-confirmed candidates below this threshold) were further imaged in the $z$ - and $i$ - (or $r$-) bands to extend this redshift range to $z=0.9$ and/or were imaged in the NIR, as described below.

With the exception of images from Magellan/Megacam (McLeod et al. 2006), all optical images were reduced with the PHOTPIPE pipeline (Rest et al. 2005, Garg et al. 2007, Miknaitis et al. 2007). Megacam images were reduced using the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory Megacam reduction pipeline. The PHOTPIPE reduction process as applied to SPT clusters is explained in High et al. (2010) and the Megacam pipeline in High et al. (2012). All reductions include the standard CCD image processing steps of masking bad or saturated pixels, applying crosstalk and overscan corrections, debiasing, flat-fielding, correcting for scattered light via illumination corrections, and-where necessary in the redder bands-defringing. Cosmic rays are also removed from the Megacam images. Images are coadded using the SWarp algorithm (Bertin et al. 2002), and astrometry is tied to the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) catalog (Skrutskie et al. 2006).
Sources are detected using the SExtractor algorithm (v 2.8.6) in dual-image mode; we use the deepest images with respect to red-sequence galaxies as the detection images. As in previous works, photometry is calibrated using Stellar Locus Regression (SLR, High et al. 2009) with absolute calibration derived using stars in the 2MASS catalog.

There are a few modifications to the calibration process discussed in previous SPT publications. For clusters only imaged in two bands at Swope, we combine $J$-band data from 2MASS with the optical data to create the two colors required for calibration via SLR. The SLR calibration for candidates imaged on the NTT was somewhat challenging owing to the small field of view $(4.1 \times 4.1)$ of the EFOSC2 imager (Buzzoni et al. 1984). These calibrations were performed with fewer stars than the other imaging; for a few fields we jointly calibrated the data with other observations from the same night. We in-
crease the expected uncertainty on the color calibration for these systems to $5 \%$ (compared to the typical 2-3\% observed with SLR, see High et al. 2009, Bleem et al. 2014) and include this extra scatter in our estimates of redshift uncertainties. Finally, for a small subset of clusters located in the wings of the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), we restrict our fitting to stars with counterparts in the 2MASS catalog to enable convergence of the regression algorithm. ${ }^{7}$

### 4.2.2. Near-Infrared Data

Spitzer/IRAC imaging (Fazio et al. 2004) at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ is obtained for the majority of high-redshift SPT cluster candidates at $\xi \geq 4.8$ and a subsample of systems at $4.5 \leq \xi \leq 4.8$. In total 276 candidates (241 candidates at $\bar{\xi}>4.8$ ) were observed as part of our Spitzer follow-up program. ${ }^{8}$ These Spitzer data play a crucial role in confirming and determining the redshift of clusters at $z>0.8$. Candidates are imaged in $8 \times 100 \mathrm{~s}$ and $6 \times 30 \mathrm{~s}$ dithered exposures at 3.6 and $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively; the resulting coadded images are sufficient for the detection in the $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ band of $z=1.50 .4 \mathrm{~L}^{*}$ galaxies at $10 \sigma$. These observations are reduced following the methodology of Ashby et al. (2009). Briefly, these reductions correct for column pulldown, mosaic the individual exposures, resample the images to $0!\prime 86$ pixels (half the solid angle of the native IRAC pixels), and reject cosmic rays.
Ground-based NIR imaging of some candidates was acquired with the NEWFIRM imager (Autry et al. 2003) at the CTIO 4 m Blanco telescope and the FourStar imager (Persson et al. 2013) on the Magellan Baade 6.5 m telescope. NEWFIRM data with a target $10 \sigma$ point source depth of 19 Vega magnitudes in the $K_{s}$ filter were obtained for 31 candidates during two runs in November 2010 and July 2011 under photometric conditions. Typical observations consisted of 16 point dither patterns, with $6 \times 10 \mathrm{~s}$ exposures obtained at each dither position. The data were reduced using the FATBOY pipeline, originally developed for the FLAMINGOS-2 instrument, and modified to work with NEWFIRM data in support of the Infrared Bootes Imaging Survey (Gonzalez et al. 2010). Images were coadded using SCAMP and SWarp (Bertin et al. 2002) and photometry was calibrated to 2MASS.
Additional $J H K_{s}$-band imaging was collected with FourStar for 34 candidates during several runs in 2012, 2013, and 2014 in average to good conditions. Several exposures were taken at 9-15 different pointed positions with the coordinates of the cluster centered on either the mosaic or one of the four detectors. The images were flatfielded using standard IRAF routines; WCS registering and stacking were done using the PHOTPIPE pipeline and were calibrated photometrically to 2MASS.

### 4.2.3. Spectroscopic Observations

[^8]We have also used a variety of facilities to obtain spectroscopic observations of SPT clusters. These observations fall into two categories: small, few-night programs focused primarily on the highest-redshift subset of the SPT-selected clusters (e.g., Brodwin et al. 2010 Foley et al. 2011; Stalder et al. 2013; Bayliss et al. 2013) and longer, multi-semester campaigns. The longer programs include observations of high-redshift systems using FORS2 on the VLT (Appenzeller et al. 1998) and a large survey program on the Gemini-South telescope (NOAO PID 2011A-0034) using GMOS-S (Hook et al. 2004) that targeted 85 SPT clusters in the redshift interval $0.3<z<0.8$. In Ruel et al. (2013) we describe in detail our spectroscopic followup campaign and report spectroscopic redshift measurements for 61 SPT clusters and velocity dispersions for 48 of these clusters. Here we report an additional 34 cluster redshifts using newly obtained data from the Gemini Survey Program. As described below in $\$ 6$, we also search the literature for spectroscopic counterparts of SPT clusters; in total 141 of the clusters in this work have spectroscopically measured redshifts.

### 4.3. Other Datasets

In addition to dedicated optical/NIR observations of SPT cluster candidates, we use imaging from three surveys that overlap the SPT footprint: the BCS, the Spitzer-South Pole Telescope Deep Field (SSDF; Ashby et al. 2013), and the WISE all-sky survey. The BCS is a $\sim 80 \mathrm{deg}^{2} 4$-band ( $g, r, i, z$ ) survey (NOAO large survey program 2005B-0043) with imaging sufficient for cluster confirmation to $z \sim 1$. It is composed of two fields roughly centered at $($ R.A.,DEC $)=(23 \mathrm{~h},-55 \mathrm{~d})$ and ( $5 \mathrm{~h} 30 \mathrm{~m},-53 \mathrm{~d}$ ). These fields roughly overlap with the RA5H30DEC -55 and RA23H30DEC-55 fields, the first fields surveyed by the SPT (See Table 1). We use the reductions presented in Bleem et al. (2014) in this work.

The SSDF, a $94 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ survey at 3.6 and $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, is centered at $($ R.A., DEC$)=(23 \mathrm{~h} 30,-55 \mathrm{~d})$. It encompasses a large fraction of the RA $23 \mathrm{H} 30 \mathrm{DEC}-55$ field. This survey, one of the largest extragalactic surveys ever conducted with Spitzer/IRAC, has imaging sufficient for cluster confirmation and redshift estimation to $z \gtrsim 1.5$.

The WISE all-sky survey provides catalogs and images of the entire sky in the W1-W4 bands $(3.4-22 \mu \mathrm{~m})^{9}$; the shorter-wavelength NIR data from WISE are sensitive to cluster galaxies out to $z \sim 1.3$ (Gettings et al. 2012 ; Stanford et al. 2014). As discussed in §4.1, we use WISE data to prioritize the follow-up of lower significance candidates.

## 5. CLUSTER CONFIRMATION \& REDSHIFT ESTIMATION

As in previous SPT publications, we deem a candidate to be "confirmed" if we identify an excess of clustered red-sequence galaxies at the SPT location. In this section, we describe our model for the optical and NIR properties of red-sequence galaxies, the process by which we identify excesses of such galaxies at candidate locations, and the estimation of redshifts for confirmed clusters using optical and/or NIR data. Finally, for unconfirmed candidates, we describe our procedure for determining

[^9]the redshift to which our imaging is sufficient to confirm the candidate as a cluster.

### 5.1. Red-Sequence Model

We create our model for the color-magnitude relation of red-sequence galaxies using the GALAXEV package (Bruzual \& Charlot 2003). We model the galaxies as passively evolving, instantaneous-burst stellar populations with a formation redshift of $z=3$; the stellar populations are generated using the Salpeter initial mass function (Salpeter 1955) and follow the Padova 1994 evolutionary tracks (Fagotto et al. 1994). Metallicites are chosen based upon analytical fits to RCS2 cluster data (Koester, private comm.), and cubic splines are used to interpolate the discrete output of the code to arbitrary redshifts. We compare our stellar-synthesis $m^{*}(z)$ model to the Rykoff et al. (2012) model for the maxBCG cluster sample over the redshift range for which that model is valid ( $0.05<z<0.35$ ). We find a small ( $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{mag}$ ) offset, and we correct our model for this offset. Our redsequence model is further calibrated to real data using the SPT spectroscopic subsample as described below.

### 5.2. Identifying Red-Sequence Overdensities and Estimating Optical Redshifts

For each cluster candidate, we search for a redshift at which there is a clear excess of galaxies near the candidate position that are consistent with the expected red sequence at that redshift. We typically search for overdensities out to $z \sim 0.7(z \sim 1)$ for systems with firstpass (second-pass) optical imaging. At a series of discrete redshifts in this range, we compute the backgroundsubtracted, weighted red-sequence galaxy count in a $2^{\prime}$ ( $3^{\prime}$ at $z<0.3$ ) region around the SPT position. The contribution of each galaxy to the weighted sum is based upon the consistency of the galaxy's color and magnitude with the red-sequence model at the redshift in question.
To confirm a cluster, we require a significant peak in background-subtracted weighted counts. The preliminary redshift is identified as the location of this peak. In a few instances where this peak is marginally significant (for example, when the cluster is well-detected in one imaging band but poorly in the second owing to incomplete follow-up), we confirm clusters based solely on visual identification of member galaxies and manually select cluster galaxies for redshift estimation.
To further refine the preliminary redshift and to estimate a statistical uncertainty, we next bootstrap resample the galaxies that contribute to the peak. For each bootstrap sample, the estimated redshift is the redshift at which the $\chi^{2}$ statistic:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{\text {galaxies }} \frac{[\operatorname{Model}(\text { magnitude, color, } \mathrm{z})-\mathrm{g}]^{2}}{\text { color error }{ }^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{rs}}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is minimized. Here $\mathbf{g}$ encodes the color and magnitude of the galaxies, and $\sigma_{r s}=0.05$ (Koester et al. 2007; Mei et al. 2009) is the intrinsic spread of the red sequence. The reported redshift is the median redshift of 100 bootstrap resamples. The final redshift uncertainties are reported as the statistical error estimated from this bootstrap resampling process (typically small as most estimates are derived from tens of galaxies) added in quadra-
ture with a redshift-dependent scatter determined during the spectroscopic tuning of the red-sequence model, which we now describe.

We first estimate "raw" redshifts using the uncalibrated red-sequence model for 103 clusters with good follow-up data and spectroscopic redshifts in the SPT sample. As follow-up observations span different instruments with different combinations of filters (e.g., subsets of griz on IMACS, LDSS3, Megacam, MOSAIC-II and EFOSC2 and BVRI on the SITe3 and WFI), we separately calibrate models for each color-magnitude combination used in this analysis. We also calibrate models for the Swope/SITe3 and MPG/ESO WFI data separately, as we have not transformed the natural Swope photometry to standard $B V R I$ passbands. The large number of clusters with spectroscopic redshifts ${ }^{10}$ enables these independent calibrations.

We find a linear remapping of model redshifts, $z_{\text {model }}$, to spectroscopic redshifts, $z_{\text {spec }}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\text {spec }}=A z_{\text {model }}+B \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is sufficient for tuning the $g-r$ vs. $i$ (or $z$ ) relation over the redshift range $z<0.35$ as well as for tuning the Johnson color-magnitude combinations ( $B-V$ vs. $R$, $V-R$ vs $R, R-I$ vs. $R)$.

However, as noted in Bleem et al. (2014), we observe large residuals when applying such a first order correction over the broad redshift range sampled by the $r, i, z$ filters. As we expect the remapping from raw to calibrated redshifts to be smoothly varying and monotonic, we use non-linear least squares minimization to fit the $z_{\text {model }}$ and $z_{\text {spec }}$ relation to a monotonic function. This function is generated using the methodology of Ramsay (1998) where we have chosen sines and cosines as the basis functions and include these functions to the 4th order. As in S12, we estimate the uncertainty in our model calibration by determining the quantity $\sigma_{z}$ such that the reduced chi-squared statistic, $\chi_{\text {red }}^{2}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mathrm{red}}^{2}=\frac{1}{\nu} \sum \frac{\left(z_{\mathrm{est}}-z_{\mathrm{spec}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\delta_{z}(1+z)\right)^{2}}=1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{\text {est }}$ is our calibrated redshift and $\nu$ is the number of degrees of freedom. Here the total degrees of freedom are reduced by 2 by the linear rescaling and by 10 for higher order rescaling. We find $\delta_{z} \sim 0.025$ for Swope/SITe3, $\delta_{z} \sim 0.021$ for WFI and $\delta_{z} \sim 0.013-0.018$ for the griz-based redshift models. We plot the results of the redshift tuning in Figure 3 .

### 5.3. Near-Infrared Redshifts

We analyze the NIR data as in "Method 1" from S12 (see $\S 3.1$ in that work) by supplementing the optical with the $J H K_{s}$ and IRAC imaging. The IRAC imaging is only used in the cases where the optical imaging is not deep enough to confirm a cluster and measure the redshift. The $J H K_{s}$ data are used as additional filters to measure at least one color across the $4000 \AA$ break. It

[^10]

Fig. 3.- Results of red-sequence model calibration. (Top) Photometric redshift, $z_{\text {est }}$, versus spectroscopic redshift, $z_{\text {spec }}$, for 129 spectroscopically confirmed SPT clusters. We plot the aggregate model tunings for Swope/SITe3, MPG/ESO WFI, the larger class telescopes (for which the model was calibrated across instruments as all clusters were observed in the griz system), and Spitzer/IRAC. Some clusters are plotted multiple times (at most once per model) as they were observed with multiple telescopes to calibrate the various redshift models. (Bottom) Distribution of redshift residuals $\Delta z / \sigma_{z}=\left(z_{\text {spec }}-z_{\text {est }}\right) / \sigma_{z_{\text {est }}}$. The typical redshift uncertainty, $\sigma_{z}$, scales as $\sim 0.013-0.018(1+z)$ for redshifts estimated using combinations of griz filters, $\sim 0.021(1+z)$ for clusters imaged with the MPG/ESO WFI, $\sim 0.025(1+z)$ for Swope/SITe3, and as $\sim 0.035(1+z)$ for redshifts determined using Spitzer/IRAC.
should be noted that the Spitzer-only [3.6] - [4.5] colors are probing near the peak in the stellar emission, rather than the Balmer break; as such, they are less sensitive to the effects of recent star formation or AGN activity which may be more prevalent at high redshift (Brodwin et al. 2013). We have demonstrated with spectroscopic follow-up that this measurement is reliable in the redshift range relevant for confirmation of high- $z$ clusters (Stalder et al. 2013 Bayliss et al. 2013, and see Figure 33). There are three clusters with [3.6]-[4.5] galaxy colors consistent with redshifts greater than 1.5 ; as our models have not been tested with spectroscopic data in this redshift range, we report a lower limit of $z=1.5$ for the redshifts of these systems (see Figure 4).

### 5.4. Redshift limits

As all of our optical/NIR observations have finite depth, it is not possible to definitively rule out the existence of undiscovered, high-redshift counterparts for our unconfirmed cluster candidates. We instead report for each unconfirmed candidate the highest redshift for which we would have detected the overdensity of red galaxies we require to confirm a cluster. The depth of our follow-up imaging varies among candidates, so this limit is computed individually for each candidate. For every candidate, we determine the redshift for which a $0.4 L_{*}$ red-sequence galaxy matches the measured $10 \sigma$ magnitude limit of the imaging data. As we require two filters to measure a redshift, we obtain the "redshift limit" from the second deepest of the imaging bands used in the redsequence overdensity search. A detailed description of this procedure is provided in S 12 .

## 6. CLUSTER CATALOG

In Table 4 we present the complete sample of galaxy cluster candidates detected at $\xi \geq 4.5$ in the $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ survey. For each candidate we provide the position, integrated Comptonization within an 0.75 -radius aperture ( $\$ 3.2$ ), the candidate detection significance $\xi$ at the filter scale that maximizes detection significance, the value of the $\beta$-model core radius $\theta_{c}$ at which $\xi$ is reported, the estimated mass and redshift (spectroscopic where available) for confirmed clusters and redshift limit for unconfirmed candidates. We discuss the estimation of these cluster masses in $\$ 6.1$. In Figure 5 we plot the SZ detection significance versus redshift for each confirmed cluster as well as the redshift distribution of the confirmed cluster sample.

Simulations predict that this catalog should contain only a small number of false detections (see $\$ 3.3$ for details of the simulations), and this prediction is borne out by our optical/NIR follow-up observations ( $\$ 4$ ). Our simulations predict 18.5 false detections above $\xi=5$ for the full survey - corresponding to a predicted purity of $95 \%$ for the $402 \xi \geq 5$ candidates in our catalog-and 172 false detections in the full $\xi \geq 4.5$ sample - corresponding to a predicted purity of $75 \%$ for the full sample of 677 cluster candidates. Under the assumption that there are no false associations between the identified optical/NIR galaxy overdensities and SPT detections (we estimate that $<4 \%$ of candidates will have such a false association-see discussion in $\S 4.2$ in S12), the measured cumulative purity of the sample is in excellent agreement with simulations: the purity is $\geq 95 \%$ at $\xi \geq 5$ and $\geq 76 \%$ for the entire sample at $\xi \geq 4.5$. Here we quote the purity as a lower limit, as unconfirmed candidates may be clusters at redshifts too high to be confirmed with our follow-up imaging (and some lower-significance candidates have not yet been imaged).

The SPT-SZ cluster sample contains massive galaxy clusters over a wide redshift range. The median mass of the sample is $M_{500 \mathrm{c}} \sim 3.5 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} h_{70}^{-1}$, and the median redshift is $z=0.55$. The sample extends from $0.047 \leq z \lesssim 1.7$, and the mass threshold of the catalog is nearly independent of redshift (see Figure 6). This implies that the catalog reported here contains all of the most massive clusters in the $\sim 1 / 16$ th of the sky imaged by the SPT.

In Figure 7, we show the estimated selection function of the cluster sample in three redshift bins. Because $\xi$ is the selection variable, the selection function can simply be written as the Heaviside step function $\Theta(\xi-4.5)$. Given the $\xi-M$ relation discussed in 6.1 , we transform this function to mass space, where it represents the probability of a cluster of a given mass to be included in the SPT-SZ sample. Note that at $M_{500 \mathrm{c}}>7 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}$ and $z>0.25$, the SPT-SZ cluster catalog presented in this work is highly complete, meaning that nearly every such cluster in the surveyed area is present in the catalog. In Figure 6, we compare the mass and redshift distribution of the SPT sample to those from other large cluster catalogs selected via their ICM observables: namely the clusters detected in the all-sky ROSAT survey (Piffaretti et al. 2011), which includes the NORAS (Böhringer et al. 2000), REFLEX (Böhringer et al. 2004), and MACS (Ebeling et al. 2001) cluster catalogs; the


Fig. 4.- (Left) SPT-CL J0459-4947, one of three SPT clusters with an estimated redshift of $z>1.5$ (rgb: Spitzer/IRAC 4.5, $3.6 \mu m$, Magellan/IMACS $z$-band; over-plotted are the contours of the SZ detection). (Right) Spitzer color-magnitude diagram (with magnitudes relative to Vega): plotted in gray are all galaxies in the Spitzer field, over-plotted in red are the galaxies identified with the SZ detection. The galaxies of this massive system $\left(M_{500 c} \sim 3 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} h_{70}^{-1}\right)$ have significantly redder Spitzer colors than spectroscopically confirmed SPT-CL J2040-4451 at $z=1.478$ (blue), supporting that its redshift is greater than $z=1.5$. The color-magnitude relations of the best-fit model redshifts are over-plotted as dashed lines and the locations of model L* galaxies are indicated via "*". The best-fit redshift is $z=1.7 \pm 0.2$, but the model is poorly calibrated at such high redshifts.


Fig. 5.- (Left) Plot of maximum detection significance, $\xi$, versus redshift for the confirmed SPT-SZ cluster sample; black points correspond to systems with photometrically estimated redshifts while red points represent spectroscopically confirmed clusters. We report lower limits for the redshifts of the three highest-redshift systems (see $\$ 5.3$. (Right) The redshift distribution of the confirmed cluster sample; the median redshift of the sample is $z=0.55$. The histogram does not have integer values as clusters with photometric redshift uncertainties were distributed amongst the appropriate bins.


Fig. 6. - Comparison of the $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ cluster catalog to other X-ray and SZ-selected cluster samples. Here we plot the estimated mass versus redshift for the 516 optically confirmed clusters from the SPT catalog, 91 clusters from the ACT survey (Marriage et al. 2011 Hasselfield et al. 2013), 809 SZ-selected clusters from the Planck survey (Planck Collaboration et al. 2013a), and 740 X-ray clusters selected from the ROSAT all-sky survey (Piffaretti et al. 2011) with $M_{500 \mathrm{c}} \geq 1 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}$. We mark as lower limits the redshifts of the three high-redshift SPT systems for which the Spitzer redshift model is poorly constrained (black triangles). We plot clusters in common between the datasets (see e.g., Table 5) multiple times, using the masses and redshifts reported for each catalog. While the SPT data provides a nearly mass-limited sample, the cluster samples selected from ROSAT and Planck data are redshift-dependent owing to cosmological dimming of X-ray emission and the dilution of the SZ signal by the large Planck beams, respectively.

861 confirmed clusters from the all-sky Planck survey (Planck Collaboration et al. 2013a); and the 91 clusters that comprise the ACT cluster sample (Marriage et al. 2011, Hasselfield et al. 2013).
The mass threshold of the SPT sample declines slightly as a function of redshift owing to a combination of effects. At low redshifts $(z<0.3)$, increased power at large angular scales from primary CMB fluctuations and atmospheric noise raises the mass threshold for a fixed $\xi$ cutoff (see e.g., Vanderlinde et al. 2010), while at higher redshifts the detectability of clusters is enhanced owing to increased temperatures for clusters of fixed mass. However, both of these trends are shallow, and the nearly redshift-independent selection function of the SPT catalog stands in contrast to the strong redshift dependence in X-ray catalogs and the Planck sample. The mass threshold for X-ray catalogs is redshift-dependent owing to cosmological dimming of the X-ray emission, while the redshift dependence of the Planck sample is driven by the dilution of the small angular-scale signal of high-redshift clusters by the large Planck beam ( $7^{\prime}$ at 143 GHz ).
We search the literature for counterparts to SPT candidates. We query the SIMBAD ${ }^{11}$ and NED $^{12}$ databases

[^11]as well as the union catalog of SZ sources detected by Planck (Planck Collaboration et al. 2013a) for counterparts. For confirmed clusters with $z \leq 0.3$ we utilize a $5^{\prime}$ association radius; otherwise we match candidates within a $2^{\prime}$ radius. All matches are listed in Table 5 we discuss potential false associations in the footnotes of this table. Additionally, we associate the brightest cluster galaxies in two clusters (SPT-CL J0249-5658 and SPT-CL J2254-5805) with spectroscopic galaxies from the 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey (Colless et al. 2003) and the 6dF Galaxy Survey (Jones et al. 2009), respectively. In total, 115 of the SPT candidates are found to have counterparts in the literature ( 14 of these clusters were first discovered in SPT data). We report the new discovery of 251 clusters here, increasing the number of clusters first discovered in SPT data to 415 . We highlight particularly noteworthy systems below, and a subset of the SPT cluster catalog is shown in Figure 8.

### 6.1. Cluster Mass Estimates

We provide estimated masses for all confirmed clusters in Table 4. These estimates, determined from each cluster's $\xi$ and redshift, are based upon the methodology presented in Benson et al. (2013) and R13 but are reported here for a fixed flat $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology - with $\sigma_{8}=0.80, \Omega_{b}=0.046, \Omega_{m}=0.30, h=0.70, \tau=0.089$,


Fig. 7.- Completeness fraction as a function of mass for the SPT cluster sample in three different redshift bins: $0.25<z<0.5$ (solid black), $0.5<z<0.75$ (dot-dashed red), $z>0.75$ (dashed blue). The SPT sample is expected to be nearly $100 \%$ complete for $M_{500 c}>7 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}$ at $z>0.25$. Masses are calculated for a fiducial flat $\Lambda \mathrm{CDM}$ cosmology with $\sigma_{8}=0.80$, $\Omega_{b}=0.046, \Omega_{c}=0.254, H_{0}=70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Mpc}, \tau=0.089$, and $n_{s}(0.002)=0.972$. Adopting the best-fit Planck cosmology (Planck Collaboration et al. 2013b) shifts the mass thresholds up $\sim 17 \%$.
and $n_{s}(0.002)=0.972$ - and a fixed $\xi$-mass scaling relation. In this section we provide a brief overview of the method; readers are referred to the earlier publications for additional details.
To estimate each cluster's mass, we compute the posterior probability density function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P(M \mid \xi) \propto \frac{d N}{d M d z}\right|_{z} P(\xi \mid M) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{d N}{d M d z}$ is our assumed cluster mass function (Tinker et al. 2008 ), and $P(\xi \mid M)$ denotes the $\xi$-mass scaling relation. We assume an observable-mass scaling relation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=A_{\mathrm{SZ}}\left(\frac{M_{500 \mathrm{c}}}{3 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} h^{-1}}\right)^{B_{\mathrm{SZ}}}\left(\frac{H(z)}{H(0.6)}\right)^{C_{\mathrm{SZ}}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

parameterized by the normalization $A_{\mathrm{SZ}}$ (corrected field-by-field for the different noise levels in each field, see Table 1 and R13), the slope $B_{\mathrm{SZ}}$, the redshift evolution $C_{\mathrm{SZ}}$ (where $H(z)$ is the Hubble parameter), and a lognormal scatter on $\zeta, D_{\mathrm{SZ}}$, where $\zeta$ is the "unbiased SPTSZ significance ${ }^{13 "}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\sqrt{\langle\xi\rangle^{2}-3} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\zeta>2$.
We fix the scaling relation parameters to $A_{\mathrm{SZ}}=4.14$, $B_{\mathrm{SZ}}=1.44, C_{\mathrm{SZ}}=0.59$, and $D_{\mathrm{SZ}}=0.22$. These values are the best-fit weighted averages as determined from a Monte Carlo Markov chain analysis of the R13 data set assuming a fixed scatter of 0.22 and the above canonical

[^12]cosmology. ${ }^{14}$ We caution that the masses for low-redshift clusters ( $z<0.25$ ) may be underestimated, and for lowsignificance clusters $(4.5<\xi<5)$ the mass estimates should be considered only approximate. At low redshift the SZ signal becomes CMB-confused and therefore fails to obey the power-law form of the scaling relation (Vanderlinde et al. 2010). There is a more subtle complication for low-significance clusters. When we compute $P(M \mid \xi)$ in Equation 11, the theoretical halo mass function is used as the Bayesian prior. This choice implies a one-to-one mapping between halos and $\xi$ values. However, this assumption breaks down for lower-mass halos, the total number of which approaches the number of independent resolution elements in the filtered SPT maps. Consequently, the contribution of these lower mass systems to $P(M \mid \xi)$ is overestimated. As we have already confirmed the existence of a massive system by requiring a significant red-sequence galaxy overdensity at the cluster location, we place a prior of $M_{500 c}>1 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} h^{-1}$ when computing mass estimates. Decreasing this prior to $M_{500 c}>5 \times 10^{13} M_{\odot} h^{-1}$ typically shifts the mass of the lowest significance systems by less than $0.2 \sigma$.

Because we have used a fixed cosmology and scaling relation, the uncertainty reported on each cluster's mass estimate only includes the contributions from measurement noise and the intrinsic scatter in the mass-observable relation. We also expect a comparable level of systematic uncertainty due to uncertainties in cosmology and scaling-relation parameters. This systematic uncertainty will be largely correlated between clusters, and is dominated by the uncertainty in the $\xi$-mass relation and our choice of external cosmological datasets. Here we have intrinsically linked the cluster mass estimates to our chosen cosmology by requiring the measured R13 cluster abundance to be consistent with this model. Assuming different cosmologies can shift the cluster mass scale at a level comparable to the statistical uncertainty on the mass estimates. For example, adopting the best-fit $\Lambda$ CDM model determined in R13 lowers the mass estimates by $\sim 8 \%$ on average, whereas assuming parameter values consistent with the CMB data from WMAP9 ( $\sigma_{8}=0.83, \Omega_{m}=0.28, h=0.70$; Hinshaw et al. 2013) or Planck $\left(\sigma_{8}=0.84, \Omega_{m}=0.32, h=0.67\right.$; Planck Collaboration et al. 2013b) typically increases the mass estimates by $\sim 4$ and $17 \%$, respectively.

We would prefer to observationally calibrate the cluster scaling relations and to independently constrain cosmological parameters using clusters. To achieve this goal, the SPT collaboration has undertaken a multiwavelength campaign to obtain X-ray, galaxy velocity dispersion, and weak lensing measurements for $\sim 50-100$ clusters per technique. Early results from this work have been presented in other SPT publications, including Benson et al. (2013), R13, and Bocquet et al. (2014). In dH14 we will present cosmological constraints from the full $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ cluster sample. This analysis will combine SZ and X-ray observations (we have obtained Chandra data for a significant subset of clusters, see Table 4 and 6.4 .1 to both constrain cosmological parameters and better quantify the systematic uncertainties in mass estimates for the cluster sample.

[^13]

Fig. 8.- A sample of clusters from the $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ cluster catalog. For each cluster we display an optical/NIR rgb image with the SZ detection contours over-plotted; see 6.4 for more details on particularly notable systems. (a) SPT-CL J2248-4431 (ACO $\mathrm{S} 1063 ; \xi=42.4, z=0.351$ ). This cluster is the most significant detection in the SPT sample (MPG/ESO WFI $I R V$-band image). (b) SPT-CL J2106-5844 ( $\xi=22.2, z=1.132)$-also shown in SPT mm-wave data in Figure 1 - is the most massive known cluster at $z>1$. (Spitzer/IRAC $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Magellan/FourStar $J$-band, Magellan/IMACS $i$-band image) (c) SPT-CL J0410-6343 ( $\xi=5.6, z=0.52$ ) is a "typical" SPT cluster at approximately the median redshift and $\xi$ of the confirmed cluster sample. (Blanco/MOSAIC-II gri-band image). (d) SPT-CL J0307-6225 $(\xi=8.5, z=0.581)$ is undergoing a major merger. As SZ selection is not greatly influenced by mergers or complicated astrophysics at the cores of clusters (e.g., Motl et al. 2005, Fabjan et al. 2011), the SPT sample is representative of the entire population of massive clusters (Magellan/Megacam gri-band image). (e) SPT-CL J2344-4243 (the "Phoenix Cluster"; $\xi=27.4$, $z=0.596$ ) is the most X-ray luminous cluster known. We confirm this cluster as a strong lens using newly-acquired Megacam imaging (Magellan/Megacam gri-band image). (f) SPT-CL J0307-5042 ( $\xi=8.4, z=0.55$ ) is one of many strong-lensing clusters in the SPT sample (Magellan/Megacam gri-band image).

### 6.2. Cluster Candidates in the Point-source-masked Regions

The point-source veto discussed in $\$ 3$ rejects any cluster detections within $8^{\prime}$ of an emissive source detected above $5 \sigma$ at 150 GHz in SPT-SZ data. A total area of 163 square degrees was excluded from cluster finding for this reason. While such a conservative approach is appropriate when the goal is a cluster catalog with a clean selection function and a mass-observable relation with minimal outliers, it will almost certainly result in some massive clusters being excluded from the catalog. Assuming no spatial correlation between emissive sources and clusters, we would expect roughly 25 missed clusters above $\xi=5$.

As in R13, we re-ran the cluster-finding algorithm only masking sources above $S_{150 \mathrm{GHz}}=100 \mathrm{mJy}$ (as opposed to the normal threshold of $\sim 6 \mathrm{mJy}$ ). Each detection with $\xi \geq 5$ and with no counterpart in the original, conservatively masked catalog was visually inspected. The vast majority of these detections were rejected as obvious point-source-related artifacts, but some were clearly significant SZ decrements only minimally affected by the nearby source. These objects are listed in Table 3. We find 19 objects above $\xi=5$, within roughly $1 \sigma$ of the naive expectation, assuming purely Poisson statistics. All six candidates that were identified in the analogous procedure in R13 were also identified in this search. ${ }^{15}$

As in R13, these auxiliary candidates were not included in our optical/IR follow-up campaign, and they are not included in the cosmological analysis or in the total number of candidates quoted in the rest of the text. We have searched the literature for counterparts to these clusters in other catalogs, and any literature counterparts are listed in Table 3. We also searched the RASS brightand faint-source catalogs (Voges et al. 1999, 2000) for X-ray counterparts, and we show in Table 3 whether we find a RASS counterpart within either a $2^{-}$or $5^{\prime}$ radius.

### 6.3. Comparison to Previous SPT Catalogs

The SPT collaboration has published three previous samples of galaxy clusters Vanderlinde et al. 2010 , Williamson et al. 2011, R13). The catalog we present here encompasses all of the data used in these previous analyses, so it is a potentially useful cross-check of our new analysis to compare to these earlier samples. The R13 sample included all the clusters in Vanderlinde et al. (2010), using the exact same data and analysis, so we do not perform a separate comparison to Vanderlinde et al. (2010) here. The catalog published in R13 was constructed using an analysis nearly identical to that used here; some small differences were pointed out in $\$ 2.2$ and we describe the remaining differences here.
First, there is a small difference in the area in each field's map over which clusters are extracted. This area is generally defined in SPT analyses as the set of pixels with total weight above a given fraction of the median weight in the map. To ensure full coverage of the 2500

[^14]$\operatorname{deg}^{2}$ region (i.e., no gaps between fields), we use a slightly lower threshold in this work ( $70 \%$ of the median weight, as opposed to $80 \%$ in R13). This results in very slight differences in noise properties and, hence, $\xi$ values for extracted cluster candidates.

For the three fields observed in 2009 (RA21HDEC-60, RA3H30DEC-60, and RA21HDEC-50), we use the same data as in R13, with the only significant analysis difference being the different field border definitions. Therefore, we expect the list of cluster candidates in those fields to be very similar to the corresponding list in R13. Indeed, above a signal-to-noise threshold of $\xi=5.5$ in these fields, the cluster lists are identical between this work and R13, and the ratio of $\xi$ values for these clusters between the two analyses is $1.00 \pm 0.04$. Two clusters between $\xi=5$ and $\xi=5.5$ from R13 (SPT-CL J0411-5751 and SPT-CL J2104-5224) are not included in the cata$\log$ presented here. This absence is due to an update in the point-source lists between the two analyses; both of the $\xi>5$ R13 clusters missing from the current catalog are within $4^{\prime}$ of newly identified point sources. There are two clusters in these fields at $5 \leq \xi \leq 5.5$ in the current list that are not in the R13 sample (SPT-CL J2158-5451 and SPT-CL J2143-5509); these are a result of the redefined field boundaries.

For the two fields originally observed in 2008 and re-observed in 2010 or 2011 (RA5H30DEC-55 and RA23H30DEC-55), the analysis in R13 used only the 2008 data, whereas we use both years' data here. We thus expect the cluster list from R13 in these fields to be a subset of the list from these fields in this work, and we expect the average $\xi$ for those clusters to be higher in this work, by a factor related to the extra 150 GHz depth and added 95 GHz information. As expected, all clusters above $\xi=6$ from R13 in these fields are also present in the sample from this work, and the mean $\xi$ ratio between this work and R13 is $1.28 \pm 0.10$ for these objects. We note that this ratio should agree with the "field scaling factors" used in dH14 to rescale the normalization parameter in the $\xi$-mass relation. The average of the two fields' scaling factors in dH 14 is 1.35 ; the $\xi$ ratio we determine here is $\sim 5 \%$ lower than this value but consistent within $1 \sigma$.

There is one cluster above $\xi=5$ from the RA5H30DEC -55 and RA $23 \mathrm{H} 30 \mathrm{DEC}-55$ fields that drops out of the R13 catalog when we add the new data. The cluster candidate SPT-CL J2343-5521 (detected at $\xi=5.74$ in R13) is detected at $\xi<4$ in the full two-year, two-band data. This trend of significance with added data is strong evidence that this is a false detection in the single-year, single-frequency data. This was already the preliminary conclusion in Vanderlinde et al. (2010) and R13, based on null results from optical and X-ray follow-up observations of this candidate.

We also compare the best-fit positions for the clusters detected in common between R13 and this work. The mean difference in positions of clusters in the two catalogs is $0 . \prime 15 \pm 0 . \prime 59$ in right ascension and $-0 . \prime 04 \pm 00^{\prime \prime} 69$ in declination.

We also cross-check the cluster catalog presented in Williamson et al. (2011) with the catalog presented here. Williamson et al. (2011) used full-depth observations of $60 \%$ of the SPT-SZ survey area and "preview-depth" (roughly three times the nominal noise level) observa-
tions of the rest of the survey to compile a catalog of the most massive clusters over the full $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ region. All clusters detected in that work are also detected here. One cluster from Williamson et al. (2011) -SPTCL J0245-5302, also known as ACO S0295-is near a bright radio source and is thus not included in the official catalog in Table 4 it is, however, detected in the alternative analysis in $\$ 6.2$ that avoids only the very brightest point sources, and it is included in Table 33. The values of $\xi$ in this work for the clusters from full-depth data in Williamson et al. (2011) are consistent with the $\xi$ values reported in that work; for clusters found in previewdepth data in Williamson et al. (2011), the $\xi$ values reported here have increased by roughly a factor of two over that work.

Finally, we note that there may be small differences in redshifts/redshift limits and the confirmation status of cluster candidates. These changes are driven by a mixture (in varying degree per candidate) of additional follow-up data, improved optical data processing and reestimated redshifts ( $\$ 5$ ) calibrated using the enlarged SPT spectroscopic sample (\$4.2.3).

### 6.4. Notable Clusters

In this section we highlight particularly notable clusters and subsets of clusters from the SPT-SZ cluster catalog.

### 6.4.1. The SPT-XVP Sample

Eighty of the most significant SPT clusters discovered in the first $2000 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ at $z>0.4$ have been observed by Chandra as part of a large X-ray Visionary Project (XVP; PI Benson). As described in R13 and dH14, these observations, with $\sim 2000$ X-ray counts/cluster, play a critical role in constraining the SZ-mass scaling relation and greatly strengthen the cosmological constraining power of the SPT cluster sample. The broad redshift range of this dataset has also enabled constraints on the redshift evolution of the X-ray properties of massive clusters, including measurements of their cooling properties (McDonald et al. 2013b) as well as the redshift evolution of the temperature, pressure and entropy profiles of clusters McDonald et al. 2014a).

### 6.4.2. Massive Clusters at $z>1$

The nearly redshift-independent selection of the SPTSZ cluster sample has led to the discovery of a number of massive, high-redshift clusters. Thirty-seven clusters reported in this work have redshifts estimated at $z>1$; three systems reported here for the first time: SPT-CL J0459-4947 ( $\xi=6.29$ ), SPT-CL J0446-4606 $(\xi=5.71)$ and SPT-CL J0334-4645 $(\xi=4.83)$ have redshifts estimated from Spitzer observations at $z>1.5$. Several of the $z>1$ systems have been the focus of more detailed study including: SPT-CL J0546-5345, the first $z>1$ cluster detected by its SZ signature (Brodwin et al. 2010); SPT-CL J2106-5844, the most massive known cluster at $z>1\left(M_{500 c}=8.3 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right.$; Foley et al. 2011); SPT-CL J0205-5829 at $z=1.32$ (Stalder et al. 2013), which features a red sequence whose bright galaxies are well-evolved by $z=1.3$; and SPTCL J2040-4451, which intriguingly shows signs of active
star formation (Bayliss et al. 2013). At $z=1.478$, SPTCL J2040-4451 is the highest-redshift spectroscopically confirmed SPT cluster to date.

### 6.4.3. Strong Lensing Clusters

A number of SPT clusters can be identified from the literature and existing SPT follow-up observations as strong gravitational lenses. Previous SPT publications first identified SPT-CL J0509-5342, SPTCL J0546-5345 (Staniszewski et al. 2009), SPTCL J0540-5744, SPT-CL J2331-5051 (High et al. 2010), SPT-CL J2011-5228, and SPT-CL J2011-5725 (Song et al. 2012) as strong-lensing clusters using optical imaging data. SPT-CL J2332-5358 was identified as a lens by the presence of a multiply imaged, highredshift $(z=2.73)$, dusty star-forming galaxy (Greve et al. 2012, Aravena et al. 2013). The ACT team first reported the discovery of several clusters in the SPT sample (see Table 5) and identified 3 of these systems as strong lenses: SPT-CL J0304-4921, SPTCL J0330-5228 Menanteau et al. 2010a), and SPTCL J0102-4915 (Menanteau et al. 2012, Zitrin et al. 2013). Other previously identified strong-lensing systems include SPT-CL J0658-5556 (1E0657-56/Bullet Cluster; Mehlert et al. 2001), SPT-CL 2031-4037 (RXC J2031.8-4037; Christensen et al. 2012), SPTCL J2248-4431 (ACO 1063S; Gómez et al. 2012), and SPT-CL J2351-5452 (SCSO J235055-530124; Menanteau et al. 2010b Buckley-Geer et al. 2011).

In Table 4 we report 30 additional strong gravitational lenses. Optical images of two newly identified strong lenses (SPT-CL J2344-4243 and SPT-CL J2138-6008) are shown in Figure 8. While the majority of these lenses have been identified in ground-based imaging from the follow-up program described in $\$ 4$, a subset has been identified via the presence of bright arcs in deeper, higher-quality imaging acquired as part of our weaklensing mass calibration efforts (including 12 lensing clusters in data from the Hubble Space Telescope). We note that, given the heterogeneity in image quality in existing follow-up data, this list of strong lenses represents neither an exhaustive nor a uniformly selected sample of systems.

### 6.4.4. Notable Individual Systems

- SPT-CL J2248-4431: First reported as ACO S1063, it is the most significant detection $\left(\xi=42.4, z=0.351, M_{500 \mathrm{c}}=17.3 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right)$ in the SPT-SZ sample. The cluster is the most massive cluster in the SPT-SZ sample, and is the second most X-ray luminous cluster in the REFLEX X-ray catalog (Böhringer et al. 2004). It is scheduled for ultra-deep Hubble Space Telescope observations, as one of its Frontier Fields. ${ }^{16}$
- SPT-CL J0102-4915: First reported in Marriage et al. (2011), this cluster is also known as "El Gordo" (Menanteau et al. 2012). Detected in the SPT-SZ survey at $\xi=39.9$, this massive $\left(M_{500 \mathrm{c}}=\right.$ $\left.14.4 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right)$ merging cluster at $z=0.870$ is the second most significant detection in the SPTSZ sample. It has a very high X-ray temperature

[^15]TABLE 3
Cluster candidates above $\xi=5$ in the source-masked area

| SPT ID | R.A. | DEC | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ | X-ray cou within $2^{\prime}$ | nterpart? within $5^{\prime}$ | Literature name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPT-CL J0003-5253 | 0.8237 | -52.8970 | 5.37 | 2.75 | N | N | - |
| SPT-CL J0115-5959 | 18.8096 | $-59.9887$ | 5.91 | 0.25 | Y | Y | - |
| SPT-CL J0205-4125 | 31.3274 | -41.4224 | 7.05 | 1.50 | N | N | - |
| SPT-CL J0222-5335 | 35.6926 | -53.5970 | 5.16 | 0.25 | N | N | - |
| SPT-CL J0245-5302 | 41.3805 | -53.0359 | 15.95 | 0.50 | Y | Y | ACO S0295 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| SPT-CL J0321-4515 | 50.3200 | -45.2556 | 5.88 | 1.50 | N | N | - |
| SPT-CL J0334-6008 | 53.6899 | -60.1497 | 7.11 | 1.25 | N | Y | - |
| SPT-CL J0336-4037 | 54.0689 | -40.6314 | 9.94 | 0.75 | Y | Y | ACO $3140^{\text {a }}$ |
| SPT-CL J0434-5727 | 68.6315 | $-57.4523$ | 5.06 | 0.75 | Y | Y | - |
| SPT-CL J0440-4744 | 70.2431 | -47.7370 | 5.18 | 1.25 | N | Y | - |
| SPT-CL J0442-5905 | 70.6496 | -59.0929 | 6.27 | 0.25 | N | N | - |
| SPT-CL J0616-5227 | 94.1430 | -52.4546 | 7.81 | 0.75 | Y | Y | ACT-CL J0616-5227 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| SPT-CL J2104-4120 | 316.0754 | -41.3475 | 8.97 | 2.25 | Y | Y | ACO 3739 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| SPT-CL J2142-6419 | 325.7063 | -64.3224 | 9.44 | 0.25 | N | N | - |
| SPT-CL J2154-5952 | 328.6973 | -59.8821 | 7.10 | 0.50 | N | N | - |
| SPT-CL J2154-5936 | 328.7003 | -59.6068 | 6.31 | 0.50 | Y | Y | - |
| SPT-CL J2246-5244 | 341.5844 | -52.7430 | 5.67 | 3.00 | Y | Y | ACO 3911 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| SPT-CL J2300-5100 | 345.1034 | -51.0126 | 5.22 | 2.50 | N | N | - |
| SPT-CL J2347-6246 | 356.8065 | -62.7693 | 6.63 | 0.25 | N | N | ACO 4036 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

Note. - Cluster candidates identified in a version of the analysis in which only the very brightest ( $>100 \mathrm{mJy}$ ) point sources are masked (see text for details). Only candidates from the area masked in the standard analysis are listed here. These candidates are not included in cosmological analyses or in the candidate numbers quoted in the text. X-ray counterparts are searched for in the RASS bright- and faint-source catalogs. Literature name and reference are given for the first known identification of the cluster.
a Abell et al. (1989)
b Marriage et al. (2011)
$(14.5 \mathrm{keV})$, and an X-ray luminosity that makes it the second most X-ray luminous cluster in the SPT-SZ sample (Menanteau et al. 2012).

- SPT-CL J0658-5556: This cluster is the wellknown "Bullet" cluster (1ES 0657-558; $z=0.296$, Tucker et al. (1998); Clowe et al. (2006)). Detected at $\xi=39.0$, this cluster is the second most massive system $\left(M_{500 \mathrm{c}}=16.9 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right)$ in the SPT-SZ cluster sample.
- SPT-CL J2344-4243: This system, first reported in Williamson et al. (2011), is also known as the "Phoenix Cluster" ( $\xi=27.4, z=0.596, M_{500 c}=$ $\left.12.0 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right)$. It is the most X-ray luminous cluster known in the universe. The properties of this system, including those of its central galaxy which exhibits an exceptionally high rate of star formation, are explored in detail in McDonald et al. (2012, 2013a, 2014b). We use newly acquired Magellan/Megacam imaging to identify this system as a strong lens (see Figure 8).
- SPT-CL J0615-5746: This cluster $(\xi=26.4$, $\left.z=0.972, M_{500 \mathrm{c}}=10.5 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right)$ was first reported by SPT in Williamson et al. (2011), and also appears in the Planck cluster catalog (Planck Collaboration et al. 2011a). It has a measured Xray luminosity equal to the Bullet cluster (Planck) Collaboration et al. 2011b), but is at significantly higher redshift.
- SPT-CL J2106-5844: As mentioned above, this cluster $\left(\xi=22.2, z=1.132, \quad M_{500 \mathrm{c}}=\right.$ $\left.8.3 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right)$ is the most massive known
cluster at $z>1$. It has a measured X-ray luminosity nearly equal to SPT-CL J0615-5746, and is described in more detail in Foley et al. (2011).


## 7. CONCLUSIONS

This work has documented the construction and properties of a catalog of galaxy cluster candidates, selected via their SZ signature in the $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ survey. Using a spatial-spectral matched filter and a simple peakfinding algorithm, we have used the 95 and 150 GHz survey data to identify 677 cluster candidates above a signal-to-noise threshold of $\xi=4.5$. From simulated data, we have estimated the purity of this sample to be $75 \%$; above a threshold of $\xi=5$, simulations have indicated that the sample should be $95 \%$ pure. In our optical/NIR follow-up data, we identified clear overdensities of similarly colored galaxies in the direction of 516 $(76 \%)$ of the $\xi \geq 4.5$ cluster candidates and 387 ( $95 \%$ ) of the $\xi \geq 5$ cluster candidates, confirming the predictions from simulations. Of these confirmed clusters, 415 were first identified in SPT data, including 250 new discoveries reported in this work.

We have also used the optical/NIR data to estimate photometric redshifts for all of our candidates with clear counterparts, and we have estimated lower redshift limits for the candidates without counterparts. We have combined these measurements with spectroscopic redshifts for 141 clusters in the sample to estimate the redshift distribution of the sample. The median redshift is $z_{\text {med }}=0.55,83(16 \%)$ of the confirmed clusters lie at $z \geq 0.8$, and $37(7 \%)$ lie at $z \geq 1$. Using the framework developed for R13, we report masses using the best-fit $\xi$-mass relation for a fixed flat $\Lambda \mathrm{CDM}$ cosmology with $\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}=0.3, h=0.7$ and $\sigma_{8}=0.8$. The typical mass of clusters in the sample is $M_{500 \mathrm{c}} \sim 3.5 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} h_{70}^{-1}$,
nearly independent of redshift. Work is ongoing to improve the mass calibration of SPT clusters using X-ray, galaxy velocity dispersion, and optical weak lensing measurements. Selected data reported in this work, as well as future cluster masses estimated using these datasets, will be hosted at http://pole.uchicago.edu/public/ data/sptsz-clusters.

SZ-selected samples of galaxy clusters from data with sufficient angular resolution are expected to have a nearly redshift-independent mass limit, and the distribution in mass and redshift of the sample presented here is fully consistent with this expectation. This combination of clean selection, large redshift extent, and high typical mass make this sample of particular interest for cosmological and cluster physics analyses.
The catalog presented in this work represents the complete sample of clusters detected at high significance in the $2500 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ survey. The program of galaxy cluster science with the SPT continues with the currently fielded SPTpol receiver (Austermann et al. 2012) and will expand further with the expected deployment of the SPT-3G receiver (Benson et al. 2014).
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TABLE 4
Galaxy clusters above $\xi=4.5$ in 2500 square degrees observed by the SPT.

| SPT ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & \text { (J2000) } \end{aligned}$ | Decl.(J2000) | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0000-4356 | 0.0663 | -43.9494 | 5.92 | 0.25 | $76 \pm 21$ | $1.00 \pm 0.11$ | $3.27 \pm 0.71$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0000-5748* | 0.2499 | -57.8064 | 8.49 | 0.50 | $82 \pm 12$ | $0.702^{+}$ | $4.56 \pm 0.80$ | 2 | a |
| SPT-CL J0001-4842 | 0.2768 | -48.7132 | 5.69 | 1.25 | $77 \pm 12$ | $0.30 \pm 0.04$ | $3.96 \pm 0.87$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0001-4024 | 0.3610 | -40.4108 | 5.42 | 0.75 | $59 \pm 12$ | $0.85 \pm 0.07$ | $3.12 \pm 0.75$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0001-6258 | 0.4029 | -62.9808 | 4.69 | 1.50 | $51 \pm 16$ | $0.21 \pm 0.02$ | $3.27 \pm 0.91$ | 3 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J0001-5440 | 0.4059 | -54.6697 | 5.69 | 1.00 | $60 \pm 12$ | $0.73 \pm 0.06$ | $3.54 \pm 0.79$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0002-5557 | 0.5138 | -55.9621 | 5.20 | 0.25 | $54 \pm 18$ | $1.14 \pm 0.08$ | $2.47 \pm 0.60$ | 3, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0002-5224 | 0.6433 | -52.4092 | 4.67 | 1.00 | $48 \pm 12$ | $>0.71$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0003-4155 | 0.7842 | -41.9307 | 4.75 | 0.25 | $58 \pm 20$ | $>0.76$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0007-4706 | 1.7514 | -47.1159 | 4.55 | 0.75 | $58 \pm 13$ | $0.51 \pm 0.04$ | $2.95 \pm 0.85$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0010-5112 | 2.7408 | -51.2077 | 4.51 | 0.25 | $54 \pm 12$ | $0.17 \pm 0.02$ | $3.25 \pm 0.94$ | 7 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J0011-4614 | 2.9779 | -46.2351 | 5.12 | 0.75 | $63 \pm 17$ | $0.57 \pm 0.04$ | $3.30 \pm 0.85$ | 5 | a |
| SPT-CL J0012-5352 | 3.0649 | -53.8736 | 4.80 | 0.25 | $51 \pm 14$ | $0.33 \pm 0.04$ | $3.31 \pm 0.91$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0013-5714 | 3.3029 | -57.2373 | 5.11 | 1.50 | $59 \pm 13$ | $>0.86$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0013-4906* | 3.3290 | -49.1151 | 11.22 | 0.75 | $135 \pm 12$ | $0.406^{+}$ | $7.08 \pm 1.15$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0013-5310 | 3.4111 | -53.1718 | 4.66 | 0.25 | $56 \pm 19$ | $>0.82$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0013-4621 | 3.4715 | -46.3563 | 4.54 | 1.75 | $63 \pm 17$ | $0.18 \pm 0.05$ | $3.26 \pm 0.94$ | 7 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J0014-4952* | 3.6969 | -49.8772 | 8.87 | 0.25 | $123 \pm 26$ | $0.752^{+}$ | $5.31 \pm 0.92$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0014-4036 | 3.7433 | -40.6031 | 9.72 | 0.25 | $112 \pm 15$ | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $5.89 \pm 0.99$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0015-6000 | 3.8824 | -60.0001 | 5.11 | 0.25 | $67 \pm 19$ | $0.68 \pm 0.04$ | $3.11 \pm 0.80$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0019-4051 | 4.7600 | -40.8596 | 9.67 | 0.50 | $114 \pm 14$ | $0.44 \pm 0.04$ | $6.06 \pm 1.02$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0019-5527 | 4.8313 | -55.4528 | 6.21 | 0.25 | $90 \pm 20$ | $0.76 \pm 0.06$ | $3.77 \pm 0.78$ | 6, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0021-4902 | 5.3811 | -49.0360 | 5.32 | 0.50 | $68 \pm 12$ | $0.70 \pm 0.06$ | $3.30 \pm 0.81$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0022-4144 | 5.5489 | -41.7366 | 5.14 | 0.50 | $64 \pm 11$ | $0.27 \pm 0.05$ | $3.55 \pm 0.88$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0022-4258 | 5.6672 | -42.9808 | 4.77 | 0.25 | $63 \pm 21$ | $>0.75$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0025-5034 | 6.3671 | -50.5716 | 4.77 | 1.00 | $54 \pm 12$ | $0.38 \pm 0.04$ | $3.24 \pm 0.90$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0025-4133 | 6.4915 | -41.5540 | 6.59 | 0.50 | $83 \pm 15$ | $0.43 \pm 0.05$ | $4.38 \pm 0.88$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0027-5015 | 6.8228 | -50.2524 | 5.00 | 0.50 | $25 \pm 9$ | 0.145 | $3.68 \pm 0.95$ | 6 | c |
| SPT-CL J0027-4742 | 6.9158 | -47.7151 | 6.40 | 0.50 | $84 \pm 15$ | $0.75 \pm 0.04$ | $3.97 \pm 0.81$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0027-6325 | 6.9435 | -63.4301 | 4.95 | 0.25 | $54 \pm 12$ | $0.38 \pm 0.03$ | $3.30 \pm 0.88$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0028-4126 | 7.0184 | -41.4451 | 4.77 | 0.25 | $62 \pm 20$ | $>0.65$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0030-4457 | 7.5150 | -44.9640 | 4.68 | 2.50 | $42 \pm 14$ | $>0.69$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0030-5213 | 7.5338 | -52.2240 | 4.65 | 0.25 | $51 \pm 12$ | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $2.98 \pm 0.84$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0033-6326* | 8.4767 | -63.4463 | 7.50 | 0.75 | $82 \pm 13$ | $0.597{ }^{+}$ | $4.72 \pm 0.88$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0034-5554 | 8.5906 | -55.9013 | 4.54 | 1.00 | $55 \pm 20$ | $>0.67$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0036-4411 | 9.1758 | -44.1849 | 5.66 | 0.75 | $74 \pm 21$ | 0.869 | $3.25 \pm 0.74$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0037-5047* | 9.4441 | -50.7971 | 6.93 | 0.25 | $87 \pm 14$ | $1.026^{+}$ | $3.91 \pm 0.76$ | 1, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0038-5244 | 9.7204 | -52.7390 | 4.83 | 0.75 | $68 \pm 13$ | $0.42 \pm 0.04$ | $3.24 \pm 0.89$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0040-4407* | 10.2048 | -44.1329 | 19.34 | 0.50 | $219 \pm 13$ | $0.350^{+}$ | $10.24 \pm 1.56$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J0041-4428 | 10.2513 | -44.4785 | 8.84 | 0.50 | $101 \pm 12$ | $0.33 \pm 0.02$ | $5.83 \pm 1.01$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0041-5107 | 10.2932 | -51.1286 | 4.60 | 0.25 | $61 \pm 15$ | $0.45 \pm 0.04$ | $3.04 \pm 0.87$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0043-4843 | 10.9696 | -48.7235 | 5.17 | 0.75 | $60 \pm 12$ | $0.73 \pm 0.06$ | $3.16 \pm 0.81$ | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0044-4037 | 11.1232 | -40.6282 | 4.92 | 0.25 | $62 \pm 12$ | $0.96 \pm 0.07$ | $2.68 \pm 0.72$ | 2, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0044-4100 | 11.1921 | -41.0016 | 4.57 | 2.00 | $53 \pm 12$ | $>0.78$ |  | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0047-4506 | 11.8207 | -45.1131 | 7.55 | 0.50 | $88 \pm 14$ | $0.39 \pm 0.03$ | $5.02 \pm 0.93$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0048-5244 | 12.0901 | -52.7487 | 6.31 | 0.25 | $82 \pm 23$ | $0.92 \pm 0.07$ | $3.70 \pm 0.76$ | 1,10 | a |
| SPT-CL J0048-4450 | 12.1743 | -44.8475 | 4.82 | 0.50 | $53 \pm 12$ | $>0.57$ | ... | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0048-6416 | 12.2372 | -64.2690 | 5.57 | 0.25 | $67 \pm 14$ | $0.77 \pm 0.06$ | $3.33 \pm 0.77$ | 2, 10 | a |
| SPT-CL J0048-4548 | 12.2483 | -45.8018 | 4.83 | 0.75 | $59 \pm 13$ | $0.46 \pm 0.04$ | $3.20 \pm 0.88$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0049-4542 | 12.3693 | -45.7099 | 4.63 | 0.75 | $61 \pm 12$ | $0.82 \pm 0.04$ | $2.71 \pm 0.76$ | 3 | b |
| SPT-CL J0049-5315 | 12.3825 | -53.2505 | 6.35 | 0.25 | $76 \pm 13$ | $0.62 \pm 0.06$ | $4.12 \pm 0.84$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0051-4834 | 12.7905 | -48.5776 | 7.39 | 1.25 | $81 \pm 12$ | 0.187 | $5.38 \pm 1.01$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0052-5657 | 13.1621 | -56.9606 | 4.92 | 0.25 | $60 \pm 14$ | $0.33 \pm 0.04$ | $3.34 \pm 0.89$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0052-4551 | 13.1930 | -45.8605 | 5.09 | 0.25 | $68 \pm 15$ | $0.35 \pm 0.04$ | $3.53 \pm 0.90$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0054-4046 | 13.5908 | -40.7759 | 5.44 | 0.75 | $63 \pm 12$ | $0.49 \pm 0.10$ | $3.54 \pm 0.82$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0058-6145* | 14.5799 | -61.7635 | 7.52 | 0.25 | $98 \pm 24$ | $0.83 \pm 0.07$ | $4.36 \pm 0.81$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0059-5105 | 14.7774 | -51.0934 | 4.88 | 1.25 | $65 \pm 18$ | $0.60 \pm 0.03$ | $3.09 \pm 0.84$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J0100-5359 | 15.0163 | -53.9848 | 4.74 | 0.25 | $63 \pm 20$ | $0.94 \pm 0.07$ | $2.66 \pm 0.74$ | 3, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0102-4603* | 15.6690 | -46.0647 | 7.33 | 0.25 | $100 \pm 23$ | $0.72 \pm 0.06$ | $4.49 \pm 0.85$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0102-4915* | 15.7294 | -49.2611 | 39.91 | 0.75 | $486 \pm 12$ | 0.870 | $14.43 \pm 2.10$ | 1 | a |

TABLE 4 - Continued

| SPT ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & (\mathrm{J} 2000) \end{aligned}$ | Decl. <br> (J2000) | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0103-4250 | 15.9144 | -42.8334 | 6.60 | 0.25 | $86 \pm 21$ | $0.72 \pm 0.06$ | $4.00 \pm 0.80$ | 5,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0104-4351 | 16.1290 | -43.8640 | 7.35 | 0.25 | $96 \pm 24$ | $0.76 \pm 0.07$ | $4.36 \pm 0.82$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0105-5358 | 16.3908 | -53.9717 | 4.53 | 0.25 | $62 \pm 21$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0106-5355 | 16.5705 | -53.9281 | 4.88 | 0.50 | $54 \pm 16$ | $0.44 \pm 0.04$ | $3.26 \pm 0.89$ | 5 | a,b |
| SPT-CL J0106-5943* | 16.6152 | -59.7214 | 9.57 | 0.50 | $115 \pm 15$ | $0.348^{+}$ | $6.23 \pm 1.05$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0107-4855 | 16.8857 | -48.9171 | 4.51 | 0.25 | $58 \pm 18$ | $0.60 \pm 0.03$ | $2.84 \pm 0.81$ | 6 | b |
| SPT-CL J0107-5833 | 16.9102 | -58.5520 | 5.10 | 0.25 | $70 \pm 22$ | $1.23 \pm 0.08$ | $2.55 \pm 0.67$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0108-4341 | 17.1286 | -43.6923 | 4.59 | 0.50 | $51 \pm 14$ | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ | $3.19 \pm 0.90$ | 7 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J0108-4659 | 17.1387 | -46.9955 | 5.20 | 0.25 | $72 \pm 22$ | $1.20 \pm 0.08$ | $2.69 \pm 0.70$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0109-4045 | 17.4772 | -40.7659 | 4.73 | 0.25 | $60 \pm 16$ | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $2.95 \pm 0.82$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0110-4445 | 17.5861 | -44.7596 | 7.91 | 1.50 | $82 \pm 12$ | $0.38 \pm 0.04$ | $5.24 \pm 0.95$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0111-5424 | 17.7686 | -54.4151 | 5.31 | 1.25 | $54 \pm 13$ | $0.47 \pm 0.03$ | $3.57 \pm 0.86$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0111-5818 | 17.8404 | -58.3004 | 4.62 | 0.25 | $70 \pm 22$ | $>0.65$ |  | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0111-5518 | 17.8446 | -55.3138 | 4.54 | 1.25 | $47 \pm 17$ | $0.56 \pm 0.03$ | $2.84 \pm 0.81$ | 6 | b |
| SPT-CL J0112-4056 | 18.0257 | -40.9456 | 4.90 | 0.50 | $54 \pm 13$ | $>0.83$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0112-5030 | 18.0354 | -50.5074 | 4.50 | 3.00 | $57 \pm 14$ |  |  | . |  |
| SPT-CL J0113-6105 | 18.3980 | -61.0941 | 4.64 | 1.00 | $41 \pm 12$ | $0.34 \pm 0.04$ | $3.12 \pm 0.88$ | 7 | b |
| SPT-CL J0114-4123 | 18.6812 | -41.3968 | 11.43 | 1.50 | $127 \pm 12$ | $0.38 \pm 0.04$ | $7.00 \pm 1.14$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0117-6032 | 19.3645 | -60.5403 | 6.87 | 0.75 | $77 \pm 16$ | $0.93 \pm 0.07$ | $3.92 \pm 0.76$ | 1, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0117-4617 | 19.4942 | -46.2940 | 4.61 | 0.25 | $71 \pm 22$ | $>0.58$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0118-5638 | 19.5385 | -56.6339 | 5.10 | 1.25 | $53 \pm 12$ | $0.21 \pm 0.04$ | $3.62 \pm 0.90$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0118-5156 | 19.5990 | -51.9434 | 5.97 | 0.25 | $81 \pm 21$ | $0.705^{+}$ | $3.76 \pm 0.80$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0118-4457 | 19.7203 | -44.9662 | 4.94 | 0.25 | $64 \pm 21$ | $>0.73$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0119-5919 | 19.9065 | -59.3293 | 5.01 | 1.00 | $60 \pm 17$ | $0.62 \pm 0.03$ | $3.09 \pm 0.82$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0123-4821* | 20.7923 | -48.3588 | 6.92 | 0.25 | $91 \pm 14$ | $0.62 \pm 0.03$ | $4.46 \pm 0.87$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0124-4301 | 21.1454 | -43.0208 | 5.25 | 1.25 | $61 \pm 13$ | $0.47 \pm 0.04$ | $3.42 \pm 0.84$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0124-5937 | 21.1988 | -59.6255 | 5.88 | 0.50 | $63 \pm 14$ | $0.21 \pm 0.03$ | $4.23 \pm 0.90$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0129-6432 | 22.4347 | -64.5449 | 10.25 | 1.25 | $100 \pm 12$ | 0.326 | $6.61 \pm 1.10$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0131-5921 | 22.8565 | -59.3617 | 5.95 | 0.25 | $89 \pm 18$ | $0.96 \pm 0.07$ | $3.36 \pm 0.73$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0131-5604 | 22.9331 | -56.0821 | 6.60 | 0.75 | $82 \pm 12$ | $0.69 \pm 0.06$ | $4.08 \pm 0.81$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0133-6434 | 23.4103 | -64.5668 | 9.15 | 2.00 | $109 \pm 12$ | $0.29 \pm 0.04$ | $6.11 \pm 1.05$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0135-5902 | 23.7918 | -59.0361 | 5.05 | 0.25 | $65 \pm 16$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03$ | $3.25 \pm 0.84$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0135-5904 | 23.9753 | -59.0814 | 4.52 | 0.25 | $64 \pm 16$ | $0.49 \pm 0.03$ | $2.89 \pm 0.83$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0139-5204 | 24.8989 | -52.0825 | 5.12 | 0.25 | $72 \pm 21$ | $0.76 \pm 0.06$ | $3.08 \pm 0.81$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0139-5508 | 24.9353 | -55.1490 | 4.52 | 0.75 | $54 \pm 16$ | $>0.76$ |  | 2 | 1 |
| SPT-CL J0140-4833 | 25.1676 | -48.5631 | 4.74 | 0.50 | $56 \pm 15$ | $0.88 \pm 0.07$ | $2.64 \pm 0.73$ | 2, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0142-5032* | 25.5452 | -50.5438 | 10.12 | 0.75 | $117 \pm 12$ | $0.73 \pm 0.03$ | $5.75 \pm 0.95$ | 2 | a |
| SPT-CL J0143-4452 | 25.8853 | -44.8741 | 5.03 | 1.50 | $56 \pm 12$ | $0.27 \pm 0.03$ | $3.46 \pm 0.89$ | 6 | 2 |
| SPT-CL J0144-4157 | 26.1463 | -41.9601 | 5.16 | 0.50 | $54 \pm 13$ | $>0.79$ |  | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0144-4807 | 26.1795 | -48.1281 | 4.84 | 2.50 | $57 \pm 15$ | $0.31 \pm 0.04$ | $3.27 \pm 0.89$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0145-5301 | 26.2645 | -53.0295 | 7.37 | 2.50 | $84 \pm 17$ | 0.117 | $5.30 \pm 1.00$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0145-4426 | 26.2824 | -44.4390 | 4.83 | 0.50 | $58 \pm 14$ | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $2.97 \pm 0.82$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0145-6033 | 26.2958 | -60.5594 | 10.76 | 0.50 | $118 \pm 17$ | 0.179 | $7.14 \pm 1.17$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0145-5040 | 26.4397 | -50.6812 | 4.78 | 0.50 | $56 \pm 13$ | > 0.90 |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0146-6126 | 26.6503 | -61.4365 | 4.87 | 0.25 | $66 \pm 22$ | $>0.72$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0147-5622 | 26.9652 | -56.3779 | 4.96 | 0.25 | $62 \pm 14$ | $0.64 \pm 0.03$ | $3.03 \pm 0.81$ | 1 | 3,b |
| SPT-CL J0148-4518 | 27.0985 | -45.3023 | 5.12 | 0.50 | $58 \pm 19$ | $0.62 \pm 0.03$ | $3.16 \pm 0.81$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0148-4700 | 27.2414 | -47.0048 | 4.55 | 1.50 | $45 \pm 13$ | $0.75 \pm 0.06$ | $2.66 \pm 0.75$ | 1,10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0150-4511 | 27.6483 | -45.1894 | 7.19 | 1.25 | $81 \pm 12$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ | $4.91 \pm 0.94$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0151-5654 | 27.7898 | -56.9110 | 4.74 | 0.50 | $60 \pm 12$ | $0.29 \pm 0.04$ | $3.24 \pm 0.90$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0151-4300 | 27.8277 | -43.0004 | 4.56 | 0.25 | $63 \pm 19$ | $>0.85$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0151-5954* | 27.8597 | -59.9059 | 7.73 | 0.25 | $104 \pm 26$ | $1.03 \pm 0.07$ | $4.20 \pm 0.77$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0152-5303 | 28.2342 | -53.0540 | 6.87 | 0.75 | $78 \pm 13$ | $0.55 \pm 0.04$ | $4.39 \pm 0.86$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0154-4824 | 28.5911 | -48.4068 | 5.47 | 0.25 | $78 \pm 20$ | $1.30 \pm 0.08$ | $2.69 \pm 0.66$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0156-5541* | 29.0449 | -55.6980 | 6.98 | 0.25 | $96 \pm 24$ | $1.22 \pm 0.08$ | $3.63 \pm 0.70$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0157-4007 | 29.4494 | -40.1263 | 5.75 | 1.50 | $63 \pm 12$ | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $3.69 \pm 0.81$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0157-6442 | 29.4831 | -64.7060 | 5.65 | 0.25 | $74 \pm 22$ | $0.84 \pm 0.07$ | $3.31 \pm 0.75$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0200-4852* | 30.1436 | -48.8757 | 7.38 | 0.75 | $83 \pm 12$ | $0.498{ }^{+}$ | $4.76 \pm 0.90$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0201-6051 | 30.3953 | -60.8586 | 5.06 | 0.25 | $66 \pm 18$ | > 1.50 |  | 1, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0202-5401 | 30.5756 | -54.0242 | 5.65 | 1.00 | $59 \pm 12$ | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $3.63 \pm 0.81$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0202-4309 | 30.6744 | -43.1648 | 4.62 | 2.75 | $47 \pm 15$ | $>1.50$ | ... | 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0202-4437 | 30.6870 | -44.6234 | 4.85 | 2.50 | $52 \pm 14$ | $>1.50$ |  | 6, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0203-5651 | 30.8313 | -56.8613 | 4.88 | 0.25 | $61 \pm 18$ | $>1.50$ |  | 1,10 | 4 |
| SPT-CL J0205-4937 | 31.2681 | -49.6245 | 4.64 | 3.00 | $47 \pm 13$ | $>0.76$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0205-6432 | 31.2794 | -64.5457 | 5.83 | 0.50 | $66 \pm 14$ | $0.744^{+}$ | $3.40 \pm 0.74$ | 1 |  |

TABLE 4 - Continued

| SPT ID | R.A. | Decl. | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (J2000) | (J2000) | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0205-5829 | 31.4428 | -58.4852 | 10.40 | 0.25 | $131 \pm 28$ | $1.322^{+}$ | $4.74 \pm 0.77$ | 3, 10 | a |
| SPT-CL J0206-4206 | 31.5747 | -42.1100 | 4.63 | 0.50 | $55 \pm 16$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0208-4425 | 32.2069 | -44.4223 | 4.86 | 1.00 | $54 \pm 13$ | $>0.77$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0211-5712 | 32.8232 | -57.2160 | 4.51 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 19$ | > 1.00 |  | 1,8 |  |
| SPT-CL J0212-4657* | 33.1061 | -46.9502 | 10.05 | 0.75 | $114 \pm 14$ | $0.655^{+}$ | $5.88 \pm 0.98$ | 6 | 5 |
| SPT-CL J0214-4638 | 33.7017 | -46.6483 | 6.50 | 1.75 | $63 \pm 11$ | $0.27 \pm 0.02$ | $4.54 \pm 0.91$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0216-4219 | 34.0628 | -42.3281 | 5.82 | 0.75 | $59 \pm 13$ | $0.62 \pm 0.03$ | $3.63 \pm 0.79$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0216-4816 | 34.0658 | -48.2765 | 4.97 | 0.50 | $66 \pm 14$ | 0.171 | $3.51 \pm 0.91$ | 5 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J0216-4830 | 34.0723 | -48.5147 | 6.23 | 0.50 | $70 \pm 15$ | $0.47 \pm 0.03$ | $4.11 \pm 0.85$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0216-4627 | 34.1101 | -46.4604 | 4.82 | 0.75 | $65 \pm 18$ | $0.54 \pm 0.04$ | $3.02 \pm 0.83$ | 7 | b |
| SPT-CL J0216-5730 | 34.1384 | -57.5095 | 5.05 | 0.50 | $57 \pm 12$ | $>0.80$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0216-6409 | 34.1743 | -64.1559 | 5.35 | 0.25 | $58 \pm 15$ | $0.65 \pm 0.03$ | $3.20 \pm 0.77$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0217-5014 | 34.2653 | -50.2380 | 4.65 | 0.75 | $54 \pm 12$ | $0.57 \pm 0.05$ | $2.88 \pm 0.81$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0217-5245* | 34.3035 | -52.7560 | 6.46 | 0.50 | $77 \pm 13$ | 0.343 | $4.42 \pm 0.89$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0217-4310 | 34.4138 | -43.1819 | 6.54 | 1.75 | $68 \pm 11$ | $0.52 \pm 0.03$ | $4.21 \pm 0.85$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0218-4233 | 34.5520 | -42.5555 | 4.52 | 0.25 | $58 \pm 19$ | $0.68 \pm 0.06$ | $2.68 \pm 0.76$ | 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0218-4315 | 34.5775 | -43.2606 | 9.85 | 0.75 | $109 \pm 12$ | $0.56 \pm 0.04$ | $5.91 \pm 0.99$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0219-4934 | 34.8102 | -49.5762 | 6.38 | 0.25 | $80 \pm 17$ | $0.58 \pm 0.03$ | $4.06 \pm 0.83$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0220-5445 | 35.1200 | -54.7511 | 4.57 | 0.50 | $53 \pm 13$ | $>0.81$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0221-4446 | 35.4173 | -44.7792 | 5.23 | 0.25 | $69 \pm 18$ | $0.56 \pm 0.03$ | $3.29 \pm 0.81$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0225-4327 | 36.2951 | -43.4567 | 5.37 | 0.25 | $58 \pm 12$ | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ | $3.77 \pm 0.88$ | 6 | c |
| SPT-CL J0225-4155 | 36.4770 | -41.9177 | 6.92 | 1.75 | $93 \pm 12$ | 0.220 | $4.85 \pm 0.94$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0230-6028 | 37.6418 | -60.4689 | 6.01 | 0.25 | $73 \pm 17$ | $0.68 \pm 0.06$ | $3.59 \pm 0.76$ | 3, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0231-4427 | 37.7508 | -44.4640 | 5.42 | 0.50 | $62 \pm 14$ | $0.62 \pm 0.05$ | $3.36 \pm 0.79$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0231-5403 | 37.7768 | -54.0563 | 5.22 | 0.50 | $60 \pm 13$ | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $3.26 \pm 0.81$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0232-4421* | 38.0701 | -44.3541 | 23.96 | 1.00 | $254 \pm 12$ | 0.284 | $12.01 \pm 1.80$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0232-5257* | 38.1876 | -52.9578 | 8.65 | 0.75 | $96 \pm 12$ | 0.556 | $5.36 \pm 0.94$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0233-5819 | 38.2552 | -58.3274 | 6.55 | 1.25 | $73 \pm 11$ | $0.663^{+}$ | $3.93 \pm 0.79$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0234-5831* | 38.6786 | -58.5214 | 14.66 | 0.50 | $168 \pm 14$ | $0.415^{+}$ | $8.05 \pm 1.25$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0235-5121* | 38.9468 | -51.3516 | 9.78 | 2.00 | $116 \pm 18$ | 0.278 | $6.41 \pm 1.08$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0236-4938 | 39.2477 | -49.6356 | 5.80 | 1.00 | $67 \pm 12$ | 0.334 | $3.99 \pm 0.86$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0237-4151 | 39.4184 | -41.8563 | 4.92 | 1.00 | $53 \pm 12$ | $0.40 \pm 0.04$ | $3.22 \pm 0.86$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0238-4904 | 39.6994 | -49.0710 | 5.77 | 1.00 | $62 \pm 12$ | $0.49 \pm 0.04$ | $3.78 \pm 0.83$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0240-5946 | 40.1606 | -59.7697 | 8.84 | 0.75 | $100 \pm 12$ | $0.400^{+}$ | $5.54 \pm 0.96$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0240-5952 | 40.1988 | -59.8786 | 4.61 | 0.25 | $54 \pm 17$ | $0.59 \pm 0.05$ | $2.76 \pm 0.77$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0242-4150 | 40.5347 | -41.8360 | 5.04 | 0.50 | $60 \pm 13$ | $0.71 \pm 0.03$ | $2.99 \pm 0.79$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0242-4944 | 40.5470 | -49.7376 | 6.25 | 0.25 | $83 \pm 21$ | $0.73 \pm 0.06$ | $3.79 \pm 0.78$ | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0242-6039 | 40.6536 | -60.6526 | 4.88 | 1.00 | $55 \pm 12$ | > 1.50 |  | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0243-5930* | 40.8615 | -59.5124 | 7.67 | 0.25 | $94 \pm 20$ | $0.635^{+}$ | $4.58 \pm 0.85$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0243-4833 | 40.9139 | -48.5602 | 13.90 | 0.75 | $153 \pm 12$ | $0.500^{+}$ | $7.80 \pm 1.22$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J0244-4755 | 41.0159 | -47.9274 | 4.79 | 0.25 | $68 \pm 20$ | $>0.75$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0244-4857 | 41.0310 | -48.9606 | 5.93 | 1.75 | $72 \pm 13$ | $0.45 \pm 0.04$ | $3.93 \pm 0.84$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0249-5658 | 42.4065 | -56.9770 | 5.45 | 1.00 | $60 \pm 12$ | 0.235 | $3.73 \pm 0.85$ | 1 | c |
| SPT-CL J0250-4714 | 42.6656 | -47.2385 | 4.50 | 1.25 | $60 \pm 14$ |  |  | ... |  |
| SPT-CL J0252-4824* | 43.1881 | -48.4124 | 7.03 | 0.25 | $86 \pm 14$ | $0.421^{+}$ | $4.79 \pm 0.93$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0253-6046 | 43.4619 | -60.7725 | 4.93 | 1.25 | $50 \pm 11$ | $0.45 \pm 0.03$ | $3.11 \pm 0.82$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J0254-5857 | 43.5729 | -58.9526 | 14.13 | 1.50 | $150 \pm 12$ | $0.438{ }^{+}$ | $7.79 \pm 1.22$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0254-6051 | 43.6007 | -60.8641 | 6.55 | 1.00 | $70 \pm 12$ | $0.44 \pm 0.03$ | $4.21 \pm 0.85$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0256-4243 | 44.0543 | -42.7329 | 5.09 | 0.75 | $63 \pm 12$ | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $3.15 \pm 0.81$ | 6 | 5 |
| SPT-CL J0256-4221 | 44.0827 | -42.3589 | 4.54 | 0.75 | $62 \pm 19$ | $>0.85$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0256-5617* | 44.0997 | -56.2980 | 7.45 | 0.50 | $87 \pm 14$ | $0.58 \pm 0.03$ | $4.54 \pm 0.85$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0256-4736 | 44.2405 | -47.6110 | 7.04 | 0.75 | $77 \pm 12$ | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ | $4.94 \pm 0.95$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0257-6050 | 44.3358 | -60.8450 | 4.74 | 1.25 | $78 \pm 16$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03$ | $2.92 \pm 0.80$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0257-5732 | 44.3506 | -57.5426 | 5.04 | 0.25 | $60 \pm 13$ | $0.434^{+}$ | $3.20 \pm 0.82$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0257-5842 | 44.3934 | -58.7107 | 5.33 | 1.00 | $60 \pm 12$ | $0.44 \pm 0.03$ | $3.41 \pm 0.81$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0257-4817 | 44.4463 | -48.2970 | 5.13 | 0.25 | $66 \pm 14$ | $0.46 \pm 0.04$ | $3.34 \pm 0.84$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0258-5355 | 44.5227 | -53.9233 | 4.96 | 1.25 | $49 \pm 12$ | $0.93 \pm 0.07$ | $2.73 \pm 0.74$ | 2, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0259-4556 | 44.9007 | -45.9352 | 5.74 | 0.50 | $73 \pm 13$ | $0.36 \pm 0.03$ | $3.92 \pm 0.85$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0300-6315 | 45.1443 | -63.2637 | 4.74 | 2.75 | $55 \pm 15$ | > 1.50 |  | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0301-4852 | 45.2509 | -48.8687 | 4.53 | 0.25 | $67 \pm 21$ | . . |  | . . . |  |
| SPT-CL J0301-6456 | 45.4770 | -64.9473 | 4.93 | 0.25 | $60 \pm 16$ | $0.70 \pm 0.03$ | $2.85 \pm 0.76$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J0304-4921* | 46.0619 | -49.3612 | 12.75 | 1.25 | $134 \pm 12$ | 0.392 | $7.57 \pm 1.20$ | 5 | a |
| SPT-CL J0304-4401* | 46.0702 | -44.0314 | 15.69 | 1.00 | $173 \pm 15$ | $0.458^{+}$ | $8.55 \pm 1.32$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0304-4748 | 46.1503 | -47.8115 | 6.38 | 0.25 | $76 \pm 18$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03$ | $4.15 \pm 0.85$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0304-5404 | 46.2161 | -54.0750 | 4.84 | 0.25 | $60 \pm 20$ | $0.80 \pm 0.06$ | $2.78 \pm 0.76$ | 1,10 | b |

TABLE 4 - Continued

| SPT ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { R.A. } \\ \text { (J2000) } \end{gathered}$ | Decl.(J2000) | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\xi$ | $\theta_{c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0306-4749 | 46.7450 | -47.8179 | 4.74 | 0.50 | $60 \pm 15$ | $0.37 \pm 0.03$ | $3.13 \pm 0.87$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J0307-6225* | 46.8336 | -62.4327 | 8.46 | 0.75 | $91 \pm 12$ | $0.579^{+}$ | $5.06 \pm 0.90$ | 4 | a |
| SPT-CL J0307-4123 | 46.8782 | -41.3903 | 5.22 | 1.00 | $55 \pm 17$ | $0.67 \pm 0.04$ | $3.15 \pm 0.79$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0307-5042* | 46.9516 | -50.7071 | 8.44 | 0.50 | $95 \pm 13$ | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $5.26 \pm 0.93$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J0309-4958 | 47.2623 | -49.9741 | 5.66 | 0.50 | $64 \pm 17$ | $0.53 \pm 0.04$ | $3.66 \pm 0.81$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0310-4647 | 47.6291 | -46.7834 | 7.12 | 0.50 | $80 \pm 12$ | $0.709^{+}$ | $4.31 \pm 0.83$ | 5 | a |
| SPT-CL J0311-6354 | 47.8289 | -63.9073 | 7.16 | 1.00 | $74 \pm 11$ | $0.25 \pm 0.02$ | $4.83 \pm 0.92$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0313-5645 | 48.2620 | -56.7548 | 4.53 | 0.75 | $51 \pm 12$ | $0.66 \pm 0.03$ | $2.65 \pm 0.74$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J0313-5334 | 48.4809 | -53.5781 | 6.09 | 0.25 | $77 \pm 21$ | $1.37 \pm 0.09$ | $2.97 \pm 0.64$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0314-6130 | 48.6096 | -61.5104 | 4.63 | 0.25 | $52 \pm 16$ | $0.47 \pm 0.03$ | $2.88 \pm 0.80$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0314-4019 | 48.6962 | -40.3218 | 4.87 | 1.00 | $49 \pm 11$ | $0.68 \pm 0.04$ | $2.90 \pm 0.79$ | 6 | b |
| SPT-CL J0315-4359 | 48.8267 | -43.9974 | 4.80 | 0.25 | $69 \pm 21$ | $>0.93$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0317-5935 | 49.3216 | -59.5851 | 6.26 | 0.25 | $70 \pm 16$ | $0.469{ }^{+}$ | $4.00 \pm 0.82$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0317-4849 | 49.4530 | -48.8267 | 4.94 | 1.50 | $58 \pm 15$ | 0.164 | $3.50 \pm 0.91$ | 5 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J0319-5212 | 49.8478 | -52.2027 | 4.76 | 0.50 | $56 \pm 20$ | > 1.50 |  | 3, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0319-5417 | 49.9524 | -54.2939 | 4.58 | 3.00 | $46 \pm 14$ | $>0.72$ |  | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0320-5800 | 50.0310 | -58.0098 | 4.72 | 2.25 | $44 \pm 12$ | $>0.77$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0321-4846 | 50.3243 | -48.7743 | 4.66 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 15$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0322-4335 | 50.6604 | -43.5917 | 4.97 | 1.50 | $53 \pm 12$ | $>0.85$ |  | 2 | 6 |
| SPT-CL J0323-4913 | 50.9166 | -49.2215 | 4.54 | 0.50 | $46 \pm 12$ | $>1.50$ |  | 2, 10 | 7 |
| SPT-CL J0324-6236* | 51.0530 | -62.6021 | 8.75 | 0.50 | $96 \pm 12$ | $0.73 \pm 0.03$ | $4.97 \pm 0.86$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0325-5132 | 51.3391 | -51.5435 | 4.54 | 0.25 | $56 \pm 17$ | $>0.74$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0326-5054 | 51.7198 | -50.9102 | 4.62 | 0.50 | $52 \pm 19$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0327-4633 | 51.7651 | -46.5600 | 4.67 | 0.25 | $66 \pm 19$ | > 1.50 |  | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0328-5541 | 52.1675 | -55.6957 | 7.32 | 1.25 | $81 \pm 12$ | 0.084 | $5.14 \pm 0.97$ | 1 | c |
| SPT-CL J0329-4029 | 52.2594 | -40.4867 | 4.85 | 0.25 | $66 \pm 21$ | > 0.87 |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0330-5228 | 52.7287 | -52.4698 | 11.57 | 1.50 | $120 \pm 11$ | 0.442 | $6.67 \pm 1.08$ | 1 | 5,a |
| SPT-CL J0332-4100 | 53.0340 | -41.0113 | 4.54 | 0.50 | $61 \pm 21$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0332-5304 | 53.1652 | -53.0758 | 4.66 | 0.50 | $42 \pm 13$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03$ | $2.84 \pm 0.79$ | 7 | b |
| SPT-CL J0333-5842 | 53.3185 | -58.7025 | 4.67 | 1.50 | $52 \pm 11$ | $0.40 \pm 0.04$ | $2.97 \pm 0.83$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0334-4659* | 53.5464 | -46.9932 | 9.20 | 1.00 | $96 \pm 11$ | $0.485^{+}$ | $5.52 \pm 0.95$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0334-4645 | 53.6800 | -46.7629 | 4.83 | 1.00 | $49 \pm 11$ | $\geq 1.50$ | $2.07 \pm 0.47$ | 2, 10 | b,d |
| SPT-CL J0334-4815 | 53.7097 | -48.2638 | 7.22 | 0.25 | $86 \pm 16$ | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $4.36 \pm 0.83$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0336-4704 | 54.1214 | -47.0692 | 5.96 | 0.75 | $63 \pm 11$ | $0.89 \pm 0.07$ | $3.29 \pm 0.70$ | 2, 9 |  |
| SPT-CL J0336-4005 | 54.1568 | -40.0972 | 5.10 | 1.75 | $50 \pm 12$ | $0.52 \pm 0.04$ | $3.24 \pm 0.83$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0337-4442 | 54.2698 | -44.7105 | 4.84 | 1.50 | $60 \pm 14$ | $>0.88$ | . ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0337-4928 | 54.4573 | -49.4738 | 5.57 | 1.50 | $60 \pm 12$ | $0.53 \pm 0.03$ | $3.45 \pm 0.78$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0337-6300 | 54.4692 | -63.0103 | 5.16 | 0.25 | $55 \pm 16$ | $0.48 \pm 0.03$ | $3.24 \pm 0.81$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0337-6207 | 54.4708 | -62.1175 | 5.03 | 1.75 | $56 \pm 14$ | $>1.30$ |  | 1, 8 |  |
| SPT-CL J0338-4013 | 54.5310 | -40.2286 | 4.69 | 0.25 | $60 \pm 21$ | $>1.50$ |  | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0338-4711 | 54.6938 | -47.1882 | 4.62 | 1.25 | $49 \pm 12$ |  |  | ... |  |
| SPT-CL J0339-3952 | 54.7862 | -39.8734 | 5.45 | 0.25 | $14 \pm 3$ | > 0.59 |  | 6 | 8 |
| SPT-CL J0339-4545 | 54.8908 | -45.7535 | 5.34 | 0.75 | $58 \pm 11$ | $0.75 \pm 0.06$ | $3.05 \pm 0.74$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0341-5027 | 55.2802 | -50.4611 | 4.75 | 1.25 | $49 \pm 11$ | $0.39 \pm 0.04$ | $3.00 \pm 0.82$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0341-6143 | 55.3487 | -61.7193 | 5.68 | 3.00 | $88 \pm 16$ | $0.65 \pm 0.03$ | $3.42 \pm 0.76$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0341-5731 | 55.3981 | -57.5238 | 5.27 | 0.25 | $67 \pm 17$ | $0.67 \pm 0.03$ | $3.11 \pm 0.76$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0342-5354 | 55.5220 | -53.9118 | 5.54 | 1.00 | $56 \pm 11$ | $0.53 \pm 0.03$ | $3.43 \pm 0.78$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0342-4028 | 55.5604 | -40.4785 | 6.91 | 0.50 | $79 \pm 11$ | $0.50 \pm 0.04$ | $4.46 \pm 0.87$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0343-5518 | 55.7617 | -55.3032 | 6.01 | 0.25 | $71 \pm 17$ | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $3.75 \pm 0.79$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0344-5452 | 56.0922 | -54.8794 | 7.98 | 0.25 | $97 \pm 23$ | $1.01 \pm 0.07$ | $4.17 \pm 0.75$ | 1, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0344-5518 | 56.2068 | -55.3018 | 5.18 | 0.75 | $85 \pm 36$ | $0.32 \pm 0.03$ | $3.43 \pm 0.84$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0345-6419 | 56.2510 | -64.3326 | 5.54 | 0.25 | $60 \pm 15$ | $0.91 \pm 0.07$ | $3.04 \pm 0.71$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0346-5839 | 56.5733 | -58.6531 | 4.83 | 0.25 | $55 \pm 11$ | $0.68 \pm 0.06$ | $2.81 \pm 0.76$ | 1,10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0346-5439* | 56.7247 | -54.6505 | 9.25 | 0.75 | $95 \pm 11$ | 0.530 | $5.47 \pm 0.94$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0348-4515* | 57.0737 | -45.2510 | 10.12 | 0.75 | $105 \pm 12$ | $0.358^{+}$ | $6.17 \pm 1.03$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J0350-4620 | 57.7216 | -46.3342 | 6.44 | 0.25 | $72 \pm 11$ | $0.79 \pm 0.04$ | $3.67 \pm 0.75$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0351-4109 | 57.7545 | -41.1566 | 9.05 | 1.25 | $101 \pm 11$ | $0.68 \pm 0.04$ | $5.32 \pm 0.91$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0351-5636 | 57.9324 | -56.6132 | 4.51 | 0.75 | $55 \pm 11$ | $0.38 \pm 0.03$ | $2.88 \pm 0.82$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J0352-5647* | 58.2367 | -56.7996 | 7.13 | 0.75 | $78 \pm 12$ | $0.67 \pm 0.03$ | $4.24 \pm 0.81$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0353-4818 | 58.2639 | -48.3160 | 4.90 | 1.75 | $47 \pm 11$ | $>0.96$ | ... | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0353-5312 | 58.3058 | -53.2095 | 4.54 | 0.25 | $51 \pm 15$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| SPT-CL J0353-5043 | 58.3853 | -50.7278 | 5.35 | 0.25 | $65 \pm 19$ | $>0.82$ | . $\cdot$. | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0354-5904 | 58.5612 | -59.0733 | 6.42 | 1.25 | $71 \pm 11$ | $0.41 \pm 0.03$ | $4.17 \pm 0.85$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0354-5151 | 58.6584 | -51.8589 | 5.82 | 0.25 | $67 \pm 17$ | $0.62 \pm 0.04$ | $3.51 \pm 0.76$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0354-4058 | 58.6720 | -40.9805 | 4.92 | 0.25 | $68 \pm 20$ | $0.86 \pm 0.07$ | $2.75 \pm 0.75$ | 2, 10 | b |

TABLE 4 - Continued

| SPT ID | R.A. | Decl. | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (J2000) | (J2000) | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0354-6032 | 58.6727 | -60.5380 | 4.68 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 20$ | $1.10 \pm 0.08$ | $2.38 \pm 0.63$ | 2, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0356-5337 | 59.0855 | -53.6331 | 6.02 | 0.25 | $77 \pm 17$ | $0.90 \pm 0.07$ | $3.31 \pm 0.70$ | 7, 10 | a |
| SPT-CL J0357-4521 | 59.3670 | -45.3502 | 4.97 | 1.00 | $48 \pm 11$ | $1.03 \pm 0.15$ | $2.55 \pm 0.67$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0359-5218 | 59.8362 | -52.3157 | 6.27 | 0.75 | $65 \pm 14$ | $0.58 \pm 0.06$ | $3.83 \pm 0.79$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0402-4611 | 60.5770 | -46.1891 | 5.53 | 1.25 | $61 \pm 11$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ | $3.66 \pm 0.83$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0402-6130 | 60.7065 | -61.5002 | 4.79 | 0.50 | $59 \pm 11$ | $0.51 \pm 0.04$ | $2.95 \pm 0.80$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0403-5535 | 60.9467 | -55.5838 | 4.86 | 1.75 | $66 \pm 13$ | > 1.50 |  | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0403-5719 | 60.9681 | -57.3237 | 5.86 | 0.25 | $64 \pm 16$ | $0.466^{+}$ | $3.75 \pm 0.81$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J0404-6510 | 61.0547 | -65.1820 | 4.71 | 2.25 | $56 \pm 31$ | $0.12 \pm 0.03$ | $3.25 \pm 0.90$ | 5 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J0404-4418 | 61.1978 | -44.3044 | 7.40 | 0.25 | $98 \pm 25$ | $0.87 \pm 0.07$ | $4.22 \pm 0.79$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0405-4648 | 61.2861 | -46.8111 | 6.63 | 1.00 | $72 \pm 11$ | $0.39 \pm 0.03$ | $4.29 \pm 0.85$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0405-4916 | 61.4917 | -49.2709 | 7.17 | 0.75 | $76 \pm 12$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ | $4.70 \pm 0.90$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0406-5118 | 61.6755 | -51.3053 | 4.54 | 0.25 | $57 \pm 18$ |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| SPT-CL J0406-5455 | 61.6906 | -54.9210 | 5.91 | 0.25 | $73 \pm 19$ | $0.74 \pm 0.03$ | $3.46 \pm 0.75$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0406-4805* | 61.7275 | -48.0866 | 8.13 | 1.00 | $86 \pm 12$ | $0.737^{+}$ | $4.61 \pm 0.83$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0408-4456 | 62.0918 | -44.9388 | 4.60 | 0.50 | $56 \pm 12$ | $0.57 \pm 0.20$ | $2.83 \pm 0.80$ | 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0410-6343 | 62.5170 | -63.7275 | 5.61 | 0.50 | $62 \pm 13$ | $0.52 \pm 0.03$ | $3.52 \pm 0.79$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0410-5454 | 62.6148 | -54.9010 | 4.79 | 0.25 | $69 \pm 21$ | > 1.50 |  | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0411-4819* | 62.8154 | -48.3218 | 15.26 | 1.00 | $159 \pm 12$ | $0.424^{+}$ | $8.18 \pm 1.27$ | 5 | a |
| SPT-CL J0411-6340 | 62.8597 | -63.6804 | 6.35 | 0.25 | $69 \pm 12$ | $0.14 \pm 0.01$ | $4.46 \pm 0.91$ | 1 | c |
| SPT-CL J0412-5743 | 63.0248 | -57.7201 | 5.30 | 1.25 | $58 \pm 11$ | $0.34 \pm 0.03$ | $3.50 \pm 0.84$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0412-5106 | 63.2297 | -51.1098 | 5.15 | 0.25 | $56 \pm 13$ | $0.28 \pm 0.04$ | $3.42 \pm 0.84$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0415-4621 | 63.9239 | -46.3562 | 4.85 | 0.50 | $60 \pm 20$ | $>0.83$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0416-4938 | 64.1380 | -49.6358 | 4.71 | 2.75 | $43 \pm 14$ | $>0.92$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0416-6359 | 64.1630 | -63.9964 | 6.10 | 0.50 | $64 \pm 12$ | $0.32 \pm 0.02$ | $4.08 \pm 0.85$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0417-4748* | 64.3451 | -47.8139 | 14.24 | 0.25 | $172 \pm 26$ | $0.581{ }^{+}$ | $7.41 \pm 1.15$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0417-4427 | 64.4097 | -44.4640 | 9.13 | 0.50 | $105 \pm 14$ | $0.43 \pm 0.04$ | $5.78 \pm 0.99$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0418-4552 | 64.6693 | -45.8820 | 5.51 | 0.75 | $59 \pm 11$ | $0.71 \pm 0.06$ | $3.20 \pm 0.74$ | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0420-5245 | 65.0007 | -52.7512 | 4.54 | 0.25 | $60 \pm 20$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0421-4845 | 65.3206 | -48.7612 | 5.82 | 0.25 | $77 \pm 20$ | $1.42 \pm 0.09$ | $2.68 \pm 0.60$ | 3, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0422-5140 | 65.5923 | -51.6755 | 5.86 | 1.00 | $59 \pm 13$ | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $3.57 \pm 0.77$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0422-4608 | 65.7490 | -46.1436 | 5.05 | 0.50 | $56 \pm 15$ | $0.70 \pm 0.03$ | $2.90 \pm 0.75$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0423-6143 | 65.9352 | -61.7177 | 4.63 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 15$ | $0.52 \pm 0.04$ | $2.84 \pm 0.79$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0424-4406 | 66.0045 | -44.1107 | 6.39 | 0.75 | $68 \pm 12$ | $0.43 \pm 0.04$ | $4.24 \pm 0.86$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0426-5455* | 66.5199 | -54.9197 | 8.85 | 0.50 | $108 \pm 12$ | $0.63 \pm 0.03$ | $5.17 \pm 0.90$ | 4 |  |
| SPT-CL J0426-5416 | 66.6764 | -54.2763 | 4.62 | 0.25 | $57 \pm 19$ | $1.05 \pm 0.07$ | $2.37 \pm 0.63$ | 2, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0428-6049 | 67.0305 | -60.8292 | 5.11 | 1.25 | $54 \pm 12$ | $0.64 \pm 0.03$ | $3.04 \pm 0.78$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0429-4355 | 67.3073 | -43.9300 | 4.94 | 1.25 | $66 \pm 16$ | $0.38 \pm 0.04$ | $3.26 \pm 0.86$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0429-5233 | 67.4315 | -52.5609 | 4.56 | 0.75 | $46 \pm 11$ | $0.53 \pm 0.03$ | $2.75 \pm 0.77$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0430-6251 | 67.7094 | -62.8548 | 5.29 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 12$ | > 1.50 |  | 1,10 | 9 |
| SPT-CL J0431-6126 | 67.8417 | -61.4350 | 6.19 | 2.50 | $176 \pm 26$ | 0.058 | $4.44 \pm 0.92$ | 1 | c |
| SPT-CL J0432-6150 | 68.0525 | -61.8497 | 4.52 | 0.25 | $54 \pm 19$ | $0.98 \pm 0.07$ | $2.39 \pm 0.65$ | 2, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0433-5630 | 68.2541 | -56.5025 | 5.32 | 1.75 | $58 \pm 12$ | $0.692^{+}$ | $3.13 \pm 0.76$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0437-5307 | 69.2599 | -53.1206 | 4.52 | 0.25 | $54 \pm 19$ | > 0.44 |  | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0438-5419* | 69.5749 | -54.3212 | 22.88 | 0.50 | $237 \pm 11$ | 0.421 | $10.80 \pm 1.62$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0439-4600 | 69.8087 | -46.0142 | 8.28 | 0.25 | $97 \pm 13$ | $0.34 \pm 0.04$ | $5.29 \pm 0.94$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0439-5330 | 69.9290 | -53.5038 | 5.61 | 0.75 | $60 \pm 13$ | $0.43 \pm 0.04$ | $3.59 \pm 0.80$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0440-4657 | 70.2307 | -46.9654 | 7.13 | 1.25 | $79 \pm 11$ | $0.35 \pm 0.04$ | $4.63 \pm 0.89$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0441-4855* | 70.4511 | -48.9190 | 8.56 | 0.50 | $92 \pm 13$ | $0.79 \pm 0.04$ | $4.74 \pm 0.83$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J0442-4309 | 70.6940 | -43.1528 | 4.63 | 1.50 | $43 \pm 12$ | $>0.74$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0442-6138 | 70.7489 | -61.6418 | 4.55 | 0.25 | $55 \pm 19$ | $1.16 \pm 0.08$ | $2.28 \pm 0.60$ | 2, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0444-5603 | 71.1136 | -56.0576 | 5.18 | 0.25 | $71 \pm 21$ | $0.97 \pm 0.07$ | $2.75 \pm 0.70$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0444-4352 | 71.1683 | -43.8735 | 5.01 | 1.50 | $57 \pm 18$ | $0.57 \pm 0.03$ | $3.11 \pm 0.82$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J0445-4926 | 71.2663 | -49.4336 | 4.65 | 0.50 | $45 \pm 18$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0445-4230 | 71.2775 | -42.5087 | 7.07 | 0.75 | $79 \pm 12$ | $0.37 \pm 0.03$ | $4.74 \pm 0.91$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0446-5849 | 71.5156 | -58.8228 | 7.18 | 0.25 | $90 \pm 13$ | $1.19 \pm 0.08$ | $3.61 \pm 0.69$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0446-4606 | 71.7325 | -46.1012 | 5.71 | 0.25 | $77 \pm 21$ | $\geq 1.50$ | $2.40 \pm 0.54$ | 2, 10 | d |
| SPT-CL J0447-5041 | 71.7821 | -50.6991 | 4.62 | 2.00 | $51 \pm 18$ | $>0.87$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0447-5055 | 71.8445 | -50.9227 | 5.96 | 0.25 | $65 \pm 12$ | $0.39 \pm 0.05$ | $3.87 \pm 0.82$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0448-4036 | 72.0160 | -40.6031 | 4.66 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 21$ | $>0.88$ | ... | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0448-4332 | 72.1315 | -43.5387 | 4.67 | 0.25 | $57 \pm 18$ | $0.79 \pm 0.10$ | $2.67 \pm 0.75$ | 7 | b |
| SPT-CL J0449-4901* | 72.2742 | -49.0246 | 8.91 | 0.50 | $96 \pm 12$ | $0.792^{+}$ | $4.90 \pm 0.85$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0451-4952 | 72.9661 | -49.8796 | 4.90 | 0.25 | $56 \pm 12$ | $0.39 \pm 0.04$ | $3.12 \pm 0.83$ | 5 | 10, b |
| SPT-CL J0452-4806 | 73.0034 | -48.1102 | 4.52 | 0.50 | $49 \pm 12$ | $0.37 \pm 0.04$ | $2.87 \pm 0.81$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0452-4002 | 73.0950 | -40.0394 | 4.66 | 0.25 | $67 \pm 22$ |  |  | . . |  |

TABLE 4 - Continued

| SPT ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & \text { (J2000) } \end{aligned}$ | Decl.(J2000) | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0454-4211 | 73.7352 | -42.1839 | 6.69 | 0.25 | $87 \pm 20$ | $0.69 \pm 0.06$ | $4.07 \pm 0.81$ | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0455-4159 | 73.9973 | -41.9931 | 5.09 | 0.75 | $55 \pm 14$ | $0.31 \pm 0.04$ | $3.46 \pm 0.87$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0456-5116* | 74.1163 | -51.2768 | 8.58 | 1.00 | $90 \pm 11$ | $0.562^{+}$ | $5.09 \pm 0.89$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0456-4906 | 74.1212 | -49.1056 | 5.99 | 0.25 | $63 \pm 12$ | $0.93 \pm 0.07$ | $3.26 \pm 0.70$ | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0456-6141 | 74.1475 | -61.6838 | 4.80 | 0.25 | $56 \pm 13$ | $0.37 \pm 0.04$ | $3.08 \pm 0.84$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0456-5623 | 74.1753 | -56.3855 | 4.60 | 0.25 | $56 \pm 17$ | $0.66 \pm 0.03$ | $2.69 \pm 0.75$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0458-5741 | 74.6033 | -57.6959 | 4.87 | 2.50 | $60 \pm 13$ | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ | $3.32 \pm 0.88$ | 2 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J0459-4947 | 74.9269 | -49.7872 | 6.29 | 0.25 | $73 \pm 16$ | $\geq 1.50$ | $2.67 \pm 0.55$ | 2, 10 | d |
| SPT-CL J0500-4713 | 75.1198 | -47.2293 | 4.92 | 0.75 | $52 \pm 12$ | $>0.69$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0500-4551 | 75.2108 | -45.8564 | 4.51 | 0.75 | $58 \pm 13$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0500-5116 | 75.2425 | -51.2709 | 5.92 | 1.50 | $58 \pm 12$ | $0.11 \pm 0.03$ | $4.16 \pm 0.88$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0501-4455 | 75.2932 | -44.9270 | 4.59 | 0.25 | $63 \pm 22$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0502-6113 | 75.5450 | -61.2321 | 5.11 | 0.50 | $57 \pm 12$ | $0.68 \pm 0.04$ | $2.99 \pm 0.77$ | 1, 8 |  |
| SPT-CL J0502-6048 | 75.7229 | -60.8112 | 4.69 | 0.25 | $70 \pm 20$ | $>0.90$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0504-4929 | 76.0069 | -49.4854 | 5.33 | 0.75 | $59 \pm 13$ | $0.20 \pm 0.03$ | $4.03 \pm 0.95$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0505-4204 | 76.3681 | -42.0811 | 4.67 | 1.50 | $57 \pm 15$ | $0.38 \pm 0.03$ | $3.26 \pm 0.93$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0505-6145 | 76.3966 | -61.7505 | 6.90 | 1.25 | $80 \pm 12$ | $0.25 \pm 0.03$ | $4.84 \pm 0.94$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0508-6149 | 77.1637 | -61.8188 | 4.86 | 1.00 | $54 \pm 12$ | $0.33 \pm 0.04$ | $3.28 \pm 0.89$ | 7 | b |
| SPT-CL J0509-5342* | 77.3374 | -53.7053 | 8.50 | 0.75 | $92 \pm 12$ | 0.461 | $5.06 \pm 0.89$ | 2 | a |
| SPT-CL J0509-6118 | 77.4741 | -61.3067 | 10.37 | 1.00 | $118 \pm 12$ | $0.35 \pm 0.03$ | $6.60 \pm 1.09$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0510-4519 | 77.5805 | -45.3270 | 9.50 | 1.00 | $116 \pm 12$ | 0.20 | $6.83 \pm 1.16$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0511-5154 | 77.9209 | -51.9044 | 7.09 | 0.25 | $79 \pm 16$ | $0.645^{+}$ | $4.09 \pm 0.79$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0512-5139 | 78.1587 | -51.6621 | 6.21 | 1.00 | $64 \pm 11$ | $0.60 \pm 0.03$ | $3.66 \pm 0.76$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0516-6312 | 79.0861 | -63.2083 | 4.77 | 0.50 | $15 \pm 5$ | $0.14 \pm 0.06$ | $3.40 \pm 0.93$ | 6 | 11,b,c |
| SPT-CL J0516-5430* | 79.1513 | -54.5108 | 12.41 | 1.50 | $153 \pm 12$ | $0.295^{+}$ | $7.10 \pm 1.14$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0516-5755 | 79.2398 | -57.9167 | 5.73 | 0.75 | $59 \pm 12$ | $0.94 \pm 0.11$ | $3.01 \pm 0.67$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0517-6119 | 79.2844 | -61.3181 | 7.16 | 0.25 | $99 \pm 23$ | $0.75 \pm 0.06$ | $4.30 \pm 0.82$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0517-6311 | 79.4094 | -63.1989 | 5.15 | 0.50 | $65 \pm 12$ | $0.32 \pm 0.06$ | $3.53 \pm 0.88$ | 6 | 11 |
| SPT-CL J0519-4248 | 79.8535 | -42.8066 | 4.69 | 0.50 | $64 \pm 14$ | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $3.05 \pm 0.87$ | 3 | b |
| SPT-CL J0521-5104 | 80.3012 | -51.0766 | 7.22 | 1.00 | $76 \pm 11$ | 0.675 | $4.12 \pm 0.78$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0522-5026 | 80.5159 | -50.4394 | 5.20 | 1.75 | $53 \pm 13$ | $0.52 \pm 0.03$ | $3.11 \pm 0.76$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0522-4818 | 80.5667 | -48.3025 | 4.82 | 0.50 | $54 \pm 15$ | 0.296 | $3.48 \pm 0.95$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J0524-4037 | 81.2091 | -40.6238 | 4.65 | 1.50 | $58 \pm 22$ | > 0.89 |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0525-4715 | 81.4555 | -47.2556 | 10.14 | 1.00 | $115 \pm 12$ | 0.191 | $7.20 \pm 1.20$ | 1 | c |
| SPT-CL J0526-5018 | 81.5087 | -50.3147 | 4.90 | 0.50 | $47 \pm 11$ | $0.58 \pm 0.03$ | $2.85 \pm 0.75$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0528-5300* | 82.0196 | -53.0024 | 6.55 | 0.50 | $74 \pm 12$ | 0.768 | $3.65 \pm 0.73$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0528-4417 | 82.0610 | -44.2922 | 5.09 | 1.75 | $54 \pm 13$ | $0.69 \pm 0.03$ | $3.24 \pm 0.85$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0529-6051 | 82.3493 | -60.8578 | 5.58 | 0.50 | $71 \pm 18$ | $0.72 \pm 0.06$ | $3.39 \pm 0.78$ | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0529-5322 | 82.4732 | -53.3741 | 4.71 | 0.25 | $57 \pm 18$ | $>0.93$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0529-4138 | 82.4857 | -41.6365 | 6.24 | 0.50 | $78 \pm 13$ | $0.65 \pm 0.06$ | $4.14 \pm 0.86$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0530-4139 | 82.6754 | -41.6502 | 6.19 | 0.25 | $83 \pm 22$ | $0.73 \pm 0.03$ | $4.00 \pm 0.83$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0532-5450 | 83.0307 | -54.8445 | 5.24 | 0.25 | $57 \pm 15$ | $0.43 \pm 0.03$ | $3.23 \pm 0.78$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0533-5005* | 83.4009 | -50.0901 | 7.08 | 0.25 | $84 \pm 20$ | $0.881{ }^{+}$ | $3.79 \pm 0.73$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0534-5937 | 83.6082 | -59.6257 | 4.74 | 0.25 | $53 \pm 15$ | $0.576^{+}$ | $2.75 \pm 0.75$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0534-4847 | 83.6655 | -48.7965 | 4.59 | 0.25 | $69 \pm 21$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0535-5956 | 83.7934 | -59.9394 | 5.20 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 17$ | $0.58 \pm 0.03$ | $3.05 \pm 0.75$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0535-4801 | 83.9464 | -48.0229 | 6.34 | 0.25 | $85 \pm 22$ | $0.90 \pm 0.07$ | $3.87 \pm 0.80$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0536-6109 | 84.1630 | -61.1543 | 6.39 | 0.25 | $88 \pm 22$ | $1.05 \pm 0.08$ | $3.50 \pm 0.71$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0537-6504 | 84.3548 | -65.0695 | 10.54 | 0.75 | $121 \pm 12$ | $0.20 \pm 0.10$ | $6.96 \pm 1.15$ | 1 | 11, c |
| SPT-CL J0539-6013 | 84.9561 | -60.2272 | 5.05 | 1.75 | $54 \pm 15$ | $>0.74$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0540-5744 | 85.0043 | -57.7405 | 6.74 | 0.25 | $78 \pm 18$ | $0.76 \pm 0.03$ | $3.76 \pm 0.74$ | 3 | a |
| SPT-CL J0542-4100* | 85.7167 | -41.0044 | 7.92 | 0.75 | $97 \pm 12$ | 0.642 | $5.16 \pm 0.94$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0543-6219 | 85.7564 | -62.3252 | 8.21 | 0.75 | $94 \pm 13$ | $0.38 \pm 0.06$ | $5.43 \pm 0.97$ | 1 | 11 |
| SPT-CL J0543-4250 | 85.9447 | -42.8379 | 6.67 | 1.50 | $83 \pm 15$ | $0.59 \pm 0.05$ | $4.50 \pm 0.89$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0544-3950 | 86.2456 | -39.8453 | 6.32 | 0.75 | $104 \pm 13$ | $0.45 \pm 0.04$ | $4.47 \pm 0.91$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0545-5052 | 86.4957 | -50.8814 | 4.60 | 0.50 | $53 \pm 15$ | $>0.68$ | ... | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0546-4752 | 86.5513 | -47.8819 | 4.82 | 0.50 | $62 \pm 12$ | $0.46 \pm 0.03$ | $3.30 \pm 0.91$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J0546-3952 | 86.5974 | -39.8687 | 4.70 | 0.25 | $69 \pm 20$ | $>0.72$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0546-5345* | 86.6525 | -53.7625 | 10.76 | 0.50 | $120 \pm 14$ | 1.066 | $5.05 \pm 0.82$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J0546-6040 | 86.7342 | -60.6723 | 4.72 | 0.75 | $61 \pm 17$ | $>0.85$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0547-5026 | 86.7782 | -50.4400 | 4.76 | 1.25 | $56 \pm 11$ | $0.60 \pm 0.03$ | $2.74 \pm 0.75$ | , | b |
| SPT-CL J0548-4340 | 87.2419 | -43.6819 | 4.59 | 2.75 | $59 \pm 15$ | ... |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| SPT-CL J0549-6205 | 87.3344 | -62.0858 | 25.81 | 0.25 | $301 \pm 15$ | $0.376^{+}$ | $12.40 \pm 1.85$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J0550-5019 | 87.5504 | -50.3236 | 4.91 | 0.25 | $55 \pm 11$ | $0.65 \pm 0.03$ | $2.79 \pm 0.74$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J0550-6358 | 87.6825 | -63.9746 | 5.53 | 0.25 | $68 \pm 19$ | $0.67 \pm 0.03$ | $3.41 \pm 0.79$ | 2 | 11 |

TABLE 4 - Continued

| SPT ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & \text { (J2000) } \end{aligned}$ | Decl.(J2000) | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0551-4940 | 87.8615 | -49.6736 | 4.54 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 21$ |  | ... |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0551-4339 | 87.8798 | -43.6596 | 6.00 | 0.75 | $71 \pm 13$ | $0.35 \pm 0.03$ | $4.37 \pm 0.93$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0551-5709 | 87.9041 | -57.1557 | 8.21 | 0.50 | $83 \pm 11$ | $0.423{ }^{+}$ | $4.97 \pm 0.89$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0552-4937 | 88.1783 | -49.6208 | 5.05 | 0.25 | $65 \pm 22$ | > 1.50 |  | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0555-6406* | 88.8662 | -64.1032 | 12.72 | 1.25 | $142 \pm 12$ | $0.345^{+}$ | $7.69 \pm 1.22$ | 1 | 11 |
| SPT-CL J0556-5403 | 89.2026 | -54.0609 | 5.77 | 0.25 | $70 \pm 18$ | $0.93 \pm 0.06$ | $3.05 \pm 0.67$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0557-5116 | 89.3385 | -51.2695 | 4.93 | 0.50 | $57 \pm 12$ | $0.92 \pm 0.09$ | $2.56 \pm 0.67$ | 3, 9 | b |
| SPT-CL J0557-4113 | 89.4557 | -41.2310 | 5.37 | 0.75 | $64 \pm 14$ | $0.90 \pm 0.07$ | $3.21 \pm 0.80$ | 2,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0559-5249* | 89.9251 | -52.8260 | 10.64 | 1.00 | $105 \pm 11$ | $0.609^{+}$ | $5.78 \pm 0.95$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0559-6022 | 89.9419 | -60.3832 | 5.67 | 0.25 | $80 \pm 23$ | > 1.50 |  | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0600-4104 | 90.0348 | -41.0701 | 4.70 | 0.25 | $56 \pm 19$ | $>0.85$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0600-4353 | 90.0614 | -43.8879 | 6.85 | 0.75 | $77 \pm 14$ | $0.36 \pm 0.04$ | $4.95 \pm 0.97$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0601-5204 | 90.2515 | -52.0797 | 4.54 | 1.50 | $41 \pm 12$ |  |  | ... |  |
| SPT-CL J0601-4122 | 90.4988 | -41.3699 | 4.71 | 1.00 | $48 \pm 14$ | $0.23 \pm 0.02$ | $3.30 \pm 0.92$ | 3 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J0603-4714 | 90.9883 | -47.2379 | 7.65 | 1.75 | $84 \pm 12$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ | $5.26 \pm 0.97$ | 5 | 5 |
| SPT-CL J0604-4317 | 91.0007 | -43.2853 | 4.56 | 2.75 | $52 \pm 13$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0604-4144 | 91.0115 | -41.7445 | 4.69 | 0.25 | $66 \pm 19$ | $>0.87$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0607-4448 | 91.8984 | -44.8033 | 6.44 | 0.25 | $91 \pm 24$ | $1.43 \pm 0.09$ | $3.14 \pm 0.64$ | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0611-6000 | 92.7794 | -60.0087 | 4.68 | 1.00 | $50 \pm 12$ | $>0.60$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J0611-5938 | 92.8068 | -59.6412 | 4.74 | 2.00 | $54 \pm 12$ | $0.39 \pm 0.03$ | $3.16 \pm 0.88$ | 6 | b |
| SPT-CL J0611-4724 | 92.9212 | -47.4111 | 5.61 | 0.25 | $65 \pm 16$ | $0.49 \pm 0.03$ | $3.73 \pm 0.84$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0612-4317 | 93.0249 | -43.2992 | 6.14 | 1.75 | $74 \pm 13$ | $0.54 \pm 0.05$ | $4.02 \pm 0.84$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0613-5627 | 93.4558 | -56.4597 | 7.04 | 0.25 | $100 \pm 14$ | $0.73 \pm 0.03$ | $4.31 \pm 0.83$ | 2 | 12 |
| SPT-CL J0615-5746 | 93.9650 | -57.7763 | 26.42 | 0.25 | $310 \pm 15$ | $0.972^{+}$ | $10.53 \pm 1.55$ | 2 | a |
| SPT-CL J0616-4407 | 94.0685 | $-44.1223$ | 5.62 | 0.25 | $73 \pm 20$ | $0.70 \pm 0.03$ | $3.47 \pm 0.79$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0617-5507 | 94.2808 | -55.1321 | 5.53 | 0.25 | $80 \pm 14$ | $0.87 \pm 0.07$ | $3.21 \pm 0.75$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0619-5802 | 94.9221 | -58.0382 | 6.29 | 0.25 | $76 \pm 17$ | $0.54 \pm 0.03$ | $4.11 \pm 0.84$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0620-4715 | 95.0965 | -47.2591 | 5.22 | 0.75 | $62 \pm 13$ | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ | $3.72 \pm 0.90$ | 6 | c |
| SPT-CL J0622-4645 | 95.7104 | -46.7658 | 5.18 | 0.25 | $68 \pm 19$ | $0.65 \pm 0.03$ | $3.21 \pm 0.81$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0623-5035 | 95.8754 | -50.5998 | 4.53 | 1.25 | $45 \pm 12$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0625-6335 | 96.2651 | -63.5892 | 4.65 | 1.75 | $57 \pm 12$ | > 1.50 |  | 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0625-4728 | 96.4341 | -47.4819 | 4.52 | 0.50 | $53 \pm 18$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0625-4330 | 96.4382 | -43.5003 | 5.18 | 0.25 | $75 \pm 18$ | $0.57 \pm 0.04$ | $3.30 \pm 0.83$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0626-4446 | 96.7411 | -44.7748 | 6.03 | 1.75 | $69 \pm 13$ | $0.41 \pm 0.06$ | $4.12 \pm 0.86$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0628-4143 | 97.2049 | -41.7250 | 13.89 | 0.75 | $166 \pm 12$ | 0.176 | $8.67 \pm 1.36$ | 1 | c |
| SPT-CL J0630-4521 | 97.5640 | -45.3665 | 4.52 | 0.50 | $57 \pm 21$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0633-4854 | 98.3623 | -48.9001 | 4.67 | 0.75 | $53 \pm 16$ | > 0.68 |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0633-4413 | 98.4084 | -44.2232 | 4.54 | 0.25 | $62 \pm 22$ | . . |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0636-4942 | 99.1686 | -49.7034 | 7.40 | 1.00 | $79 \pm 12$ | $0.35 \pm 0.03$ | $5.06 \pm 0.95$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0637-6112 | 99.2600 | -61.2064 | 5.10 | 0.25 | $66 \pm 21$ | > 1.50 |  | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0637-4327 | 99.2774 | -43.4513 | 5.10 | 3.00 | $63 \pm 14$ | > 1.50 |  | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0637-4829 | 99.3467 | -48.4877 | 10.00 | 2.75 | $128 \pm 19$ | 0.203 | $6.76 \pm 1.13$ | 1 | c |
| SPT-CL J0637-4750 | 99.4368 | -47.8415 | 4.53 | 0.25 | $67 \pm 21$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0638-4243 | 99.5705 | -42.7183 | 4.67 | 0.50 | $54 \pm 13$ | $0.35 \pm 0.06$ | $3.15 \pm 0.89$ | 7 | b |
| SPT-CL J0638-5358 | 99.6978 | -53.9749 | 22.69 | 0.75 | $261 \pm 13$ | 0.226 | $12.01 \pm 1.81$ | 6 | c |
| SPT-CL J0640-5113 | 100.0645 | -51.2204 | 6.86 | 0.50 | $83 \pm 13$ | $1.25 \pm 0.08$ | $3.55 \pm 0.70$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0641-4733 | 100.2895 | -47.5657 | 7.13 | 0.25 | $86 \pm 21$ | $0.64 \pm 0.06$ | $4.48 \pm 0.86$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0641-5950 | 100.3788 | -59.8490 | 7.11 | 0.75 | $79 \pm 12$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03$ | $4.66 \pm 0.89$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0641-5001 | 100.4587 | -50.0171 | 4.53 | 0.50 | $59 \pm 13$ | 0.123 | $3.26 \pm 0.93$ | 7 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J0642-6310 | 100.6961 | -63.1750 | 4.89 | 0.50 | $58 \pm 13$ | > 0.88 |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0643-4535 | 100.9332 | -45.5978 | 5.05 | 0.25 | $71 \pm 19$ | $0.87 \pm 0.07$ | $2.88 \pm 0.77$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0643-5056 | 100.9550 | -50.9486 | 5.03 | 0.75 | $66 \pm 21$ | > 1.50 |  | 3, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J0645-5413 | 101.3735 | -54.2214 | 18.32 | 1.25 | $218 \pm 12$ | 0.164 | $10.55 \pm 1.61$ |  | c |
| SPT-CL J0646-6236 | 101.6391 | -62.6136 | 8.67 | 0.25 | $110 \pm 16$ | $1.20 \pm 0.10$ | $4.40 \pm 0.77$ | 2 | 12 |
| SPT-CL J0647-5828 | 101.9843 | -58.4801 | 6.26 | 1.00 | $64 \pm 12$ | $0.46 \pm 0.04$ | $4.20 \pm 0.86$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0648-4622 | 102.1254 | -46.3754 | 4.95 | 0.25 | $63 \pm 22$ | $1.29 \pm 0.08$ | $2.45 \pm 0.65$ | 2, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J0649-4510 | 102.4473 | -45.1685 | 5.19 | 1.50 | $52 \pm 13$ | $0.44 \pm 0.05$ | $3.46 \pm 0.86$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J0650-4503 | 102.6815 | -45.0641 | 9.25 | 0.25 | $112 \pm 15$ | $0.41 \pm 0.04$ | $6.00 \pm 1.03$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0651-4037 | 102.8193 | -40.6273 | 6.67 | 1.50 | $75 \pm 12$ | $0.27 \pm 0.02$ | $4.72 \pm 0.94$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0652-4133 | 103.1063 | -41.5651 | 4.73 | 0.25 | $61 \pm 21$ | > 0.64 | ... | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0653-5744 | 103.3316 | -57.7490 | 7.70 | 1.25 | $83 \pm 12$ | $0.24 \pm 0.03$ | $5.41 \pm 1.00$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J0655-4429 | 103.7630 | -44.4837 | 4.71 | 0.25 | $62 \pm 16$ | $0.34 \pm 0.05$ | $3.18 \pm 0.89$ | 7 | b |
| SPT-CL J0655-4135 | 103.7824 | -41.5956 | 4.68 | 3.00 | $51 \pm 14$ | $>0.67$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J0655-5541 | 103.9137 | -55.6931 | 5.64 | 1.00 | $76 \pm 12$ | $0.29 \pm 0.04$ | $3.98 \pm 0.88$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J0655-5234* | 103.9626 | -52.5677 | 7.76 | 0.50 | $90 \pm 15$ | $0.470^{+}$ | $5.10 \pm 0.93$ | 3 |  |

TABLE 4 - Continued

| SPT ID | R.A. | Decl. | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (J2000) | (J2000) | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0658-4601 | 104.5208 | -46.0274 | 4.61 | 0.25 | $65 \pm 22$ |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J0658-5556* | 104.6317 | -55.9465 | 39.05 | 1.25 | $490 \pm 11$ | 0.296 | $16.86 \pm 2.49$ |  | a |
| SPT-CL J0659-5300 | 104.7763 | -53.0114 | 5.13 | 0.75 | $60 \pm 12$ | $0.47 \pm 0.04$ | $3.38 \pm 0.86$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J2005-5635 | 301.3391 | -56.5896 | 4.73 | 0.50 | $75 \pm 14$ | $0.21 \pm 0.02$ | $3.12 \pm 0.85$ | 1 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J2006-5325 | 301.6622 | -53.4303 | 5.38 | 1.00 | $55 \pm 12$ | $>1.50$ |  | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2009-5756 | 302.4260 | -57.9488 | 4.67 | 0.75 | $55 \pm 12$ | $>0.77$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2009-4518 | 302.4496 | -45.3116 | 4.73 | 2.50 | $64 \pm 13$ | $0.06 \pm 0.05$ | $3.57 \pm 0.99$ | 7 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J2011-5340 | 302.7776 | -53.6726 | 4.62 | 2.50 | $62 \pm 13$ | > 0.71 |  | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J2011-5228 | 302.7786 | -52.4725 | 4.58 | 0.75 | $52 \pm 19$ | $0.96 \pm 0.04$ | $2.59 \pm 0.73$ | 1 | a,b |
| SPT-CL J2011-5725 | 302.8527 | -57.4217 | 5.34 | 0.75 | $60 \pm 11$ | 0.279 | $3.52 \pm 0.83$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J2011-4900 | 302.9658 | -49.0027 | 4.71 | 0.50 | $62 \pm 16$ | $>0.67$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2012-4130 | 303.0008 | -41.5011 | 5.71 | 1.25 | $64 \pm 12$ | 0.150 | $4.23 \pm 0.92$ | 6 | c |
| SPT-CL J2012-5649 | 303.1128 | -56.8298 | 6.38 | 2.50 | $73 \pm 48$ | 0.055 | $4.46 \pm 0.90$ | 2 | c |
| SPT-CL J2013-5432 | 303.4944 | -54.5441 | 4.66 | 0.25 | $69 \pm 19$ | $>0.88$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2015-5504 | 303.9841 | -55.0725 | 4.62 | 0.50 | $59 \pm 13$ | $>0.60$ |  | 2, 8 |  |
| SPT-CL J2016-4517 | 304.0050 | -45.2978 | 4.75 | 0.75 | $52 \pm 15$ | $0.45 \pm 0.03$ | $3.19 \pm 0.89$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J2016-4954 | 304.0129 | -49.9144 | 4.64 | 0.50 | $55 \pm 15$ | $0.28 \pm 0.04$ | $3.28 \pm 0.93$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J2017-6258 | 304.4836 | -62.9782 | 6.32 | 1.50 | $67 \pm 11$ | $0.535^{+}$ | $3.87 \pm 0.79$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J2019-5642 | 304.7698 | -56.7101 | 5.36 | 0.75 | $59 \pm 12$ | $0.23 \pm 0.02$ | $3.58 \pm 0.83$ | 2 | c |
| SPT-CL J2020-6314 | 305.0273 | -63.2434 | 5.38 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 14$ | $0.536^{+}$ | $3.27 \pm 0.77$ | 2 | 13 |
| SPT-CL J2020-4646 | 305.1900 | -46.7697 | 4.95 | 1.50 | $56 \pm 20$ | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ | $3.64 \pm 0.96$ | 1 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J2021-5257 | 305.4794 | -52.9542 | 4.92 | 2.50 | $134 \pm 32$ | 0.138 | $3.67 \pm 0.97$ | 1 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J2022-6323 | 305.5261 | -63.3989 | 6.51 | 0.25 | $74 \pm 13$ | $0.383^{+}$ | $4.16 \pm 0.84$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2023-5535 | 305.8376 | -55.5906 | 13.63 | 1.75 | $158 \pm 14$ | 0.232 | $7.86 \pm 1.24$ | 2 | c |
| SPT-CL J2024-4751 | 306.0268 | -47.8589 | 4.50 | 3.00 | $48 \pm 13$ | > 0.72 |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2024-4435 | 306.2465 | -44.5881 | 4.84 | 3.00 | $55 \pm 13$ | $>0.71$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2025-5117 | 306.4837 | -51.2901 | 9.11 | 0.75 | $106 \pm 14$ | $0.22 \pm 0.02$ | $6.45 \pm 1.11$ | 1 | c |
| SPT-CL J2026-4513 | 306.6145 | -45.2268 | 5.24 | 0.50 | $65 \pm 20$ | $0.70 \pm 0.05$ | $3.29 \pm 0.83$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2027-4240 | 306.9265 | -42.6720 | 4.75 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 15$ | $0.30 \pm 0.04$ | $3.29 \pm 0.91$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J2030-5638 | 307.7036 | -56.6362 | 5.50 | 1.00 | $59 \pm 12$ | $0.394^{+}$ | $3.51 \pm 0.80$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2031-4037* | 307.9669 | -40.6197 | 17.52 | 0.75 | $203 \pm 12$ | 0.342 | $9.83 \pm 1.50$ | 6 | a |
| SPT-CL J2032-5627 | 308.0807 | -56.4580 | 8.61 | 1.25 | $93 \pm 11$ | $0.284^{+}$ | $5.48 \pm 0.96$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2034-4305 | 308.5194 | -43.0935 | 4.65 | 0.50 | $58 \pm 17$ | $0.70 \pm 0.03$ | $2.81 \pm 0.79$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J2034-5936* | 308.5401 | -59.6017 | 8.53 | 0.25 | $101 \pm 20$ | $0.92 \pm 0.07$ | $4.45 \pm 0.78$ | 2, 10 | a |
| SPT-CL J2034-6518 | 308.6587 | -65.3103 | 4.86 | 0.75 | $51 \pm 18$ | $>0.69$ |  | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J2035-5251* | 308.8012 | -52.8519 | 9.71 | 0.75 | $102 \pm 13$ | $0.528^{+}$ | $6.21 \pm 1.04$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2035-4813 | 308.8438 | -48.2174 | 4.52 | 2.50 | $63 \pm 13$ | $>0.70$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2035-5614 | 308.9018 | -56.2402 | 4.62 | 0.25 | $61 \pm 18$ | $>0.86$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2039-5723 | 309.8237 | -57.3866 | 4.85 | 0.50 | $52 \pm 12$ | $>0.86$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2039-4143 | 309.8451 | -41.7273 | 4.66 | 0.50 | $55 \pm 15$ | $0.66 \pm 0.03$ | $2.86 \pm 0.80$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J2040-5725 | 310.0573 | -57.4295 | 6.24 | 0.50 | $67 \pm 11$ | $0.930^{+}$ | $3.36 \pm 0.70$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2040-5342 | 310.2194 | -53.7116 | 5.91 | 0.50 | $69 \pm 18$ | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $3.97 \pm 0.85$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2040-4451 | 310.2483 | -44.8602 | 6.72 | 0.25 | $94 \pm 25$ | $1.478^{+}$ | $3.33 \pm 0.66$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2042-4310 | 310.6008 | -43.1683 | 4.88 | 0.25 | $63 \pm 20$ | $0.72 \pm 0.03$ | $2.94 \pm 0.80$ | 3 | b |
| SPT-CL J2043-5614 | 310.7932 | -56.2363 | 4.54 | 0.25 | $56 \pm 13$ | $0.68 \pm 0.03$ | $2.59 \pm 0.72$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J2043-5035* | 310.8284 | -50.5938 | 7.18 | 0.50 | $87 \pm 16$ | $0.723^{+}$ | $4.53 \pm 0.86$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2045-6026 | 311.3674 | -60.4488 | 4.69 | 0.25 | $64 \pm 19$ | $>0.70$ | . . | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2049-3953 | 312.2651 | -39.8905 | 4.59 | 0.25 | $64 \pm 23$ | $>0.72$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2049-6144 | 312.4205 | -61.7368 | 4.50 | 0.25 | $57 \pm 18$ | $>0.73$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2050-4213 | 312.5706 | -42.2173 | 7.20 | 0.75 | $91 \pm 17$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03$ | $4.75 \pm 0.90$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2051-6256 | 312.8000 | -62.9344 | 5.03 | 1.25 | $47 \pm 11$ | $0.47 \pm 0.03$ | $3.09 \pm 0.80$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2055-5456 | 313.9957 | -54.9368 | 7.04 | 0.50 | $80 \pm 12$ | 0.139 | $4.79 \pm 0.92$ | 2 | c |
| SPT-CL J2056-4405 | 314.1867 | -44.0959 | 4.54 | 0.25 | $58 \pm 14$ | $0.68 \pm 0.06$ | $2.76 \pm 0.79$ | 1, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J2056-5459 | 314.2174 | -54.9937 | 6.07 | 0.50 | $74 \pm 13$ | $0.718^{+}$ | $3.50 \pm 0.74$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2058-5608 | 314.5879 | -56.1453 | 5.01 | 0.25 | $65 \pm 16$ | $0.606^{+}$ | $2.94 \pm 0.76$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2058-4027 | 314.7042 | -40.4500 | 4.53 | 1.25 | $52 \pm 12$ | $>0.82$ | ... | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J2059-5018 | 314.9322 | -50.3057 | 4.92 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 14$ | $0.32 \pm 0.02$ | $3.48 \pm 0.93$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J2100-4548 | 315.0933 | -45.8051 | 4.75 | 0.25 | $63 \pm 19$ | $0.712^{+}$ | $2.92 \pm 0.82$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J2100-5708 | 315.1500 | -57.1359 | 4.76 | 0.25 | $57 \pm 15$ | $0.57 \pm 0.03$ | $2.81 \pm 0.77$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J2101-5542 | 315.3077 | -55.7038 | 5.03 | 1.00 | $63 \pm 13$ | $0.25 \pm 0.02$ | $3.31 \pm 0.84$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2101-6123 | 315.4579 | -61.3981 | 5.53 | 0.50 | $61 \pm 12$ | $0.68 \pm 0.03$ | $3.21 \pm 0.74$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2106-5820 | 316.5130 | -58.3467 | 4.65 | 0.25 | $64 \pm 19$ | > 1.50 | . . | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2106-5844* | 316.5206 | -58.7451 | 22.22 | 0.25 | $270 \pm 42$ | $1.132^{+}$ | $8.35 \pm 1.24$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2106-4421 | 316.5711 | -44.3537 | 7.59 | 0.25 | $104 \pm 24$ | $0.70 \pm 0.06$ | $4.69 \pm 0.87$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2106-6302 | 316.6540 | -63.0491 | 4.69 | 1.00 | $47 \pm 11$ | > 1.50 |  | 1,10 |  |

TABLE 4 - Continued

| SPT ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & \text { (J2000) } \end{aligned}$ | Decl.(J2000) | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J2106-6019 | 316.6640 | -60.3299 | 4.69 | 0.25 | $58 \pm 19$ | $0.97 \pm 0.05$ | $2.44 \pm 0.65$ | 1,8 | b |
| SPT-CL J2108-4445 | 317.1911 | -44.7646 | 5.60 | 0.50 | $65 \pm 13$ | $0.88 \pm 0.07$ | $3.28 \pm 0.76$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2109-5040 | 317.3825 | -50.6765 | 5.55 | 2.00 | $61 \pm 12$ | $0.47 \pm 0.04$ | $3.81 \pm 0.87$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2109-4626 | 317.4488 | -46.4366 | 4.65 | 0.25 | $66 \pm 19$ | $0.97 \pm 0.09$ | $2.62 \pm 0.74$ | 1,10 | b |
| SPT-CL J2110-5244 | 317.5514 | -52.7492 | 6.28 | 0.50 | $70 \pm 14$ | $0.57 \pm 0.04$ | $4.20 \pm 0.86$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2111-5339 | 317.9226 | -53.6503 | 5.37 | 1.00 | $59 \pm 12$ | $0.45 \pm 0.03$ | $3.69 \pm 0.88$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2112-4434 | 318.2129 | -44.5807 | 8.80 | 0.75 | $104 \pm 12$ | $0.52 \pm 0.03$ | $5.63 \pm 0.98$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2115-4659 | 318.8011 | -46.9910 | 5.18 | 3.00 | $63 \pm 13$ | $0.298{ }^{+}$ | $3.72 \pm 0.92$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2118-5055 | 319.7317 | -50.9325 | 5.54 | 0.50 | $68 \pm 19$ | $0.625^{+}$ | $3.61 \pm 0.83$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2120-4016 | 320.1371 | -40.2730 | 5.16 | 0.75 | $61 \pm 14$ | $0.31 \pm 0.04$ | $3.61 \pm 0.90$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J2120-6518 | 320.1508 | -65.3072 | 4.53 | 0.25 | $58 \pm 16$ | $>0.75$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2120-4728 | 320.1597 | -47.4782 | 5.72 | 0.25 | $76 \pm 22$ | $0.98 \pm 0.07$ | $3.31 \pm 0.75$ | 1,10 | 14 |
| SPT-CL J2121-5546 | 320.2690 | -55.7782 | 4.57 | 0.75 | $51 \pm 11$ | $>0.51$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2121-6335 | 320.4272 | -63.5840 | 5.58 | 2.75 | $76 \pm 22$ | 0.217 | $3.76 \pm 0.84$ | 1 | c |
| SPT-CL J2122-4100 | 320.7089 | -41.0058 | 4.56 | 1.00 | $51 \pm 12$ | > 0.71 |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2124-6124 | 321.1462 | -61.4102 | 8.50 | 0.50 | $90 \pm 12$ | $0.435^{+}$ | $5.19 \pm 0.91$ | 2 | a |
| SPT-CL J2125-6113 | 321.2906 | -61.2326 | 4.91 | 0.50 | $51 \pm 16$ | > 1.50 |  | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2127-6443 | 321.9932 | -64.7280 | 4.74 | 1.75 | $49 \pm 14$ | $>0.79$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2130-4847 | 322.7081 | -48.7996 | 4.58 | 3.00 | $65 \pm 13$ | $>0.73$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2130-6238 | 322.7232 | -62.6384 | 4.62 | 2.25 | $53 \pm 15$ | $>0.68$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2130-6458 | 322.7280 | -64.9767 | 7.63 | 1.00 | $80 \pm 12$ | $0.316^{+}$ | $4.90 \pm 0.91$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2131-4019 | 322.7659 | -40.3216 | 12.51 | 0.50 | $152 \pm 12$ | $0.45 \pm 0.03$ | $7.51 \pm 1.20$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2131-5003 | 322.9730 | -50.0638 | 4.77 | 0.50 | $61 \pm 13$ | $0.45 \pm 0.03$ | $3.21 \pm 0.90$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J2132-4349 | 323.1698 | -43.8288 | 6.68 | 1.00 | $77 \pm 12$ | $0.46 \pm 0.04$ | $4.50 \pm 0.89$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J2134-4238 | 323.5020 | -42.6438 | 8.52 | 0.75 | $99 \pm 13$ | 0.196 | $6.01 \pm 1.06$ | 6 | c |
| SPT-CL J2134-4109 | 323.5288 | -41.1593 | 6.80 | 0.25 | $88 \pm 21$ | $0.66 \pm 0.03$ | $4.30 \pm 0.85$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J2135-5726* | 323.9164 | -57.4409 | 10.51 | 0.50 | $119 \pm 18$ | $0.427^{+}$ | $6.15 \pm 1.02$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2136-6245 | 324.0354 | -62.7534 | 4.54 | 0.25 | $58 \pm 18$ | > 0.83 |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2136-4704 | 324.1191 | -47.0818 | 6.24 | 0.25 | $79 \pm 18$ | $0.425^{+}$ | $4.37 \pm 0.90$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2136-5723 | 324.1203 | -57.3968 | 4.72 | 1.00 | $50 \pm 11$ | > 0.67 |  | 2 | 15 |
| SPT-CL J2136-6307 | 324.2346 | -63.1244 | 6.24 | 0.75 | $66 \pm 12$ | $0.926^{+}$ | $3.36 \pm 0.70$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2136-5519 | 324.2393 | -55.3196 | 4.74 | 0.50 | $59 \pm 20$ | > 1.50 |  | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2137-6437 | 324.4196 | -64.6241 | 4.76 | 0.75 | $52 \pm 12$ | $0.89 \pm 0.07$ | $2.53 \pm 0.68$ | 1, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J2138-6008 | 324.5052 | -60.1333 | 12.64 | 0.75 | $135 \pm 12$ | $0.319^{+}$ | $7.27 \pm 1.16$ | 4 | a |
| SPT-CL J2139-6430 | 324.9603 | -64.5095 | 4.53 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 20$ | $>0.77$ |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2140-5331 | 325.0330 | -53.5178 | 4.72 | 0.25 | $57 \pm 16$ | $0.56 \pm 0.03$ | $3.06 \pm 0.86$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J2140-5727 | 325.1391 | -57.4576 | 5.35 | 0.25 | $62 \pm 13$ | $0.405^{+}$ | $3.39 \pm 0.80$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2143-5509 | 325.8481 | -55.1603 | 5.10 | 0.25 | $61 \pm 13$ | > 1.50 |  | 1, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2143-4629 | 325.9576 | -46.4998 | 4.76 | 2.75 | $70 \pm 15$ | $>0.70$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2145-4348 | 326.3640 | -43.8029 | 5.32 | 0.25 | $69 \pm 17$ | $0.48 \pm 0.04$ | $3.54 \pm 0.85$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J2145-5644* | 326.4682 | -56.7476 | 12.60 | 0.50 | $141 \pm 17$ | $0.480^{+}$ | $6.92 \pm 1.10$ | 2 | a |
| SPT-CL J2146-4846 | 326.5310 | -48.7800 | 5.96 | 1.00 | $82 \pm 14$ | $0.623^{+}$ | $3.91 \pm 0.84$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2146-4633* | 326.6462 | -46.5500 | 9.67 | 0.50 | $121 \pm 13$ | $0.933{ }^{+}$ | $5.45 \pm 0.91$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2146-5736 | 326.6957 | -57.6148 | 6.19 | 0.50 | $70 \pm 13$ | $0.602^{+}$ | $3.71 \pm 0.77$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2148-4843 | 327.0985 | -48.7293 | 4.77 | 0.25 | $75 \pm 20$ | $0.97 \pm 0.07$ | $2.69 \pm 0.75$ | 1,10 | b |
| SPT-CL J2148-6116* | 327.1812 | -61.2780 | 7.47 | 0.75 | $79 \pm 11$ | $0.571^{+}$ | $4.46 \pm 0.83$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2149-5330 | 327.3758 | -53.5011 | 4.61 | 0.50 | $50 \pm 18$ | $0.60 \pm 0.03$ | $2.94 \pm 0.84$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J2152-5633 | 328.1450 | -56.5632 | 5.47 | 1.75 | $70 \pm 13$ | $>1.50$ |  | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2152-4629 | 328.1926 | -46.4954 | 4.83 | 0.25 | $64 \pm 20$ | > 1.50 |  | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2155-5224 | 328.8871 | -52.4116 | 5.17 | 1.75 | $74 \pm 19$ | $0.62 \pm 0.03$ | $3.33 \pm 0.85$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2155-6048 | 328.9850 | -60.8078 | 5.74 | 1.00 | $60 \pm 11$ | $0.539^{+}$ | $3.51 \pm 0.77$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2158-5451 | 329.5415 | -54.8501 | 5.17 | 1.25 | $54 \pm 13$ | $>0.95$ | ... | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J2158-4851 | 329.5692 | -48.8533 | 4.64 | 0.25 | $59 \pm 19$ | $>0.65$ | $\cdots$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2159-6244 | 329.9910 | -62.7414 | 6.49 | 1.00 | $71 \pm 12$ | $0.392^{+}$ | $4.14 \pm 0.84$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2200-4128 | 330.1776 | -41.4678 | 4.86 | 0.75 | $59 \pm 12$ | $0.53 \pm 0.03$ | $3.07 \pm 0.84$ | 3 | a,b |
| SPT-CL J2200-4741 | 330.2110 | -47.6918 | 4.67 | 0.25 | $67 \pm 22$ | $>0.49$ | ... | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J2200-4249 | 330.2305 | -42.8236 | 4.61 | 2.50 | $55 \pm 13$ | $>0.70$ | . | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2201-5956 | 330.4734 | -59.9437 | 15.26 | 1.75 | $183 \pm 13$ | 0.097 | $9.62 \pm 1.50$ | 2 | c |
| SPT-CL J2203-5047 | 330.7988 | -50.7899 | 5.66 | 1.25 | $67 \pm 15$ | $1.14 \pm 0.09$ | $3.05 \pm 0.71$ | 2, 9 |  |
| SPT-CL J2203-4953 | 330.8529 | -49.8882 | 4.69 | 0.25 | $61 \pm 15$ | $>0.66$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2203-5817 | 330.9185 | -58.2994 | 4.67 | 0.75 | $51 \pm 13$ | $>0.82$ | . $\cdot$. | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J2205-5927 | 331.2665 | -59.4564 | 5.55 | 1.00 | $63 \pm 12$ | $0.32 \pm 0.03$ | $3.95 \pm 0.89$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2206-4217 | 331.5243 | -42.2917 | 4.57 | 0.75 | $47 \pm 18$ | $>0.93$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2206-4057 | 331.6183 | -40.9525 | 4.63 | 0.50 | $56 \pm 13$ | $0.42 \pm 0.04$ | $3.01 \pm 0.85$ | 5 | b |
| SPT-CL J2206-5807 | 331.6558 | -58.1290 | 6.24 | 0.75 | $71 \pm 13$ | $0.60 \pm 0.03$ | $4.08 \pm 0.84$ | 6 |  |

TABLE 4 - Continued

| SPT ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & \text { (J2000) } \end{aligned}$ | Decl. <br> (J2000) | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J2207-4752 | 331.9363 | -47.8725 | 4.59 | 2.00 | $55 \pm 14$ | $>0.67$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2211-4833 | 332.8260 | -48.5603 | 7.40 | 1.75 | $87 \pm 13$ | $0.24 \pm 0.03$ | $5.16 \pm 0.97$ | 6 | c |
| SPT-CL J2214-4642 | 333.7184 | -46.7050 | 7.17 | 0.25 | $90 \pm 25$ | $1.15 \pm 0.08$ | $3.77 \pm 0.72$ | 1,10 | 3 |
| SPT-CL J2217-6509 | 334.4895 | -65.1506 | 6.77 | 3.00 | $117 \pm 26$ | 0.095 | $4.97 \pm 0.98$ | 2 | 16,c |
| SPT-CL J2218-5532 | 334.5420 | -55.5468 | 4.94 | 0.25 | $71 \pm 18$ | $0.69 \pm 0.06$ | $3.04 \pm 0.83$ | 2, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J2218-4519* | 334.7486 | -45.3205 | 8.84 | 0.75 | $101 \pm 12$ | $0.65 \pm 0.03$ | $5.31 \pm 0.92$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2219-5708 | 334.9614 | -57.1384 | 8.91 | 0.75 | $105 \pm 13$ | $0.33 \pm 0.04$ | $6.07 \pm 1.05$ | 5 |  |
| SPT-CL J2220-4534 | 335.0809 | -45.5824 | 7.07 | 0.75 | $77 \pm 12$ | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $4.46 \pm 0.86$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2222-4834* | 335.7122 | -48.5735 | 9.08 | 0.50 | $99 \pm 14$ | $0.652^{+}$ | $5.42 \pm 0.93$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2223-5015 | 335.8144 | -50.2664 | 4.86 | 0.25 | $4 \pm 73$ | $0.24 \pm 0.04$ | $3.46 \pm 0.94$ | 5 | b, c |
| SPT-CL J2223-5227 | 335.8669 | -52.4651 | 4.85 | 0.75 | $57 \pm 13$ | $0.27 \pm 0.03$ | $3.42 \pm 0.93$ | 6 | b |
| SPT-CL J2228-5828 | 337.2153 | -58.4686 | 5.15 | 1.50 | $57 \pm 12$ | $0.71 \pm 0.06$ | $3.17 \pm 0.82$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2229-4320 | 337.3891 | -43.3411 | 4.66 | 0.25 | $71 \pm 16$ | $0.56 \pm 0.03$ | $2.90 \pm 0.81$ | 3 | b |
| SPT-CL J2231-5247 | 337.9800 | -52.7906 | 4.51 | 0.25 | $71 \pm 19$ | $>0.76$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2232-5959* | 338.1487 | -59.9903 | 8.80 | 0.25 | $112 \pm 22$ | $0.594^{+}$ | $5.55 \pm 0.97$ | 2 | a |
| SPT-CL J2232-6151 | 338.2319 | -61.8558 | 5.04 | 2.25 | $58 \pm 16$ | $0.71 \pm 0.06$ | $3.02 \pm 0.80$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2233-5339* | 338.3295 | -53.6502 | 8.29 | 1.00 | $97 \pm 13$ | $0.48 \pm 0.03$ | $5.48 \pm 0.98$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2233-4729 | 338.3648 | -47.4912 | 4.59 | 0.25 | $62 \pm 21$ | $>0.68$ |  | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2235-4416 | 338.8637 | -44.2694 | 5.38 | 1.00 | $65 \pm 12$ | $0.45 \pm 0.03$ | $3.55 \pm 0.84$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2236-4555* | 339.2186 | -45.9279 | 7.72 | 0.25 | $110 \pm 25$ | $1.16 \pm 0.08$ | $4.02 \pm 0.74$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2241-4001 | 340.3170 | -40.0182 | 4.78 | 0.50 | $51 \pm 13$ | $0.64 \pm 0.03$ | $2.90 \pm 0.80$ | 6 | b |
| SPT-CL J2241-4558 | 340.4365 | -45.9685 | 6.49 | 0.25 | $95 \pm 22$ | $0.98 \pm 0.07$ | $3.63 \pm 0.74$ | 1, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2241-4236 | 340.4749 | -42.6004 | 5.29 | 1.25 | $56 \pm 11$ | $0.20 \pm 0.03$ | $3.76 \pm 0.90$ | 6 | c |
| SPT-CL J2242-4435 | 340.5195 | -44.5897 | 4.65 | 0.25 | $52 \pm 13$ | $0.73 \pm 0.04$ | $2.73 \pm 0.77$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J2245-6206* | 341.2604 | -62.1136 | 8.74 | 1.00 | $101 \pm 12$ | $0.58 \pm 0.03$ | $5.40 \pm 0.94$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2248-4431* | 342.1907 | -44.5269 | 42.36 | 0.75 | $475 \pm 12$ | $0.351{ }^{+}$ | $17.27 \pm 2.54$ | 6 | a |
| SPT-CL J2249-4442 | 342.4069 | -44.7158 | 5.11 | 0.25 | $67 \pm 19$ | $0.60 \pm 0.03$ | $3.18 \pm 0.81$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2249-6426 | 342.4296 | -64.4342 | 5.96 | 2.25 | $82 \pm 35$ | 0.094 | $4.41 \pm 0.93$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J2250-4808 | 342.6823 | -48.1447 | 5.82 | 0.25 | $72 \pm 16$ | $0.91 \pm 0.09$ | $3.32 \pm 0.73$ | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2251-4848 | 342.7891 | -48.8032 | 6.42 | 0.25 | $86 \pm 20$ | $0.79 \pm 0.06$ | $3.82 \pm 0.78$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2254-6314 | 343.5145 | -63.2450 | 8.18 | 1.25 | $92 \pm 14$ | 0.211 | $5.70 \pm 1.02$ | 3 | c |
| SPT-CL J2254-4907 | 343.5870 | -49.1274 | 4.78 | 0.50 | $57 \pm 18$ | $1.11 \pm 0.13$ | $2.48 \pm 0.67$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J2254-4620 | 343.5880 | -46.3436 | 8.37 | 1.25 | $90 \pm 14$ | $0.27 \pm 0.03$ | $5.68 \pm 1.01$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2254-5805 | 343.5895 | -58.0851 | 5.36 | 2.25 | $95 \pm 24$ | 0.153 | $3.50 \pm 0.81$ | 5 | c |
| SPT-CL J2255-5256 | 343.8763 | -52.9463 | 4.60 | 0.25 | $54 \pm 18$ | > 0.69 |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2256-5414 | 344.0024 | -54.2436 | 4.65 | 0.50 | $47 \pm 12$ | $>0.69$ |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2258-4044* | 344.7051 | -40.7386 | 10.95 | 0.25 | $152 \pm 29$ | $0.83 \pm 0.07$ | $5.88 \pm 0.95$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2259-6057* | 344.7528 | -60.9546 | 9.77 | 0.50 | $113 \pm 14$ | $0.75 \pm 0.03$ | $5.61 \pm 0.94$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2259-3952 | 344.8138 | -39.8740 | 9.02 | 0.75 | $105 \pm 12$ | $0.56 \pm 0.03$ | $5.55 \pm 0.96$ | 6 | 16 |
| SPT-CL J2259-5431 | 344.9818 | -54.5294 | 5.39 | 0.25 | $54 \pm 14$ | $0.39 \pm 0.04$ | $3.28 \pm 0.76$ | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J2259-5617 | 344.9955 | -56.2859 | 5.73 | 0.75 | $55 \pm 11$ | 0.153 | $3.75 \pm 0.81$ | 1 | c |
| SPT-CL J2300-5148 | 345.0615 | -51.8003 | 4.92 | 2.00 | $50 \pm 13$ | > 0.91 |  | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2300-4500 | 345.1038 | -45.0115 | 4.74 | 0.25 | $61 \pm 19$ | $0.64 \pm 0.03$ | $2.88 \pm 0.80$ | 6 | b |
| SPT-CL J2300-5331 | 345.1761 | -53.5190 | 6.44 | 1.00 | $62 \pm 11$ | $0.262^{+}$ | $4.07 \pm 0.82$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2300-5233 | 345.2343 | -52.5507 | 4.64 | 0.25 | $53 \pm 17$ | > 0.64 |  | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2301-5546 | 345.4669 | -55.7768 | 5.01 | 0.50 | $51 \pm 16$ | $0.748^{+}$ | $2.69 \pm 0.69$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2301-4023* | 345.4687 | -40.3912 | 8.09 | 0.50 | $107 \pm 19$ | $0.73 \pm 0.04$ | $4.81 \pm 0.86$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2302-4435 | 345.5851 | -44.5850 | 4.67 | 0.25 | $57 \pm 15$ | $0.35 \pm 0.05$ | $3.10 \pm 0.87$ | 7 | b |
| SPT-CL J2306-5120 | 346.6121 | -51.3370 | 4.68 | 0.25 | $50 \pm 12$ | $1.27 \pm 0.10$ | $2.17 \pm 0.52$ | 2, 10 | b |
| SPT-CL J2306-6505* | 346.7298 | -65.0910 | 9.22 | 0.75 | $99 \pm 12$ | $0.530^{+}$ | $5.73 \pm 0.98$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2308-4834 | 347.2351 | -48.5679 | 5.14 | 0.25 | $65 \pm 19$ | > 1.50 |  | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2309-4130 | 347.3891 | -41.5159 | 4.57 | 0.75 | $58 \pm 13$ | $>0.70$ | $\cdots$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2311-4203 | 347.8447 | -42.0637 | 5.80 | 1.00 | $62 \pm 13$ | $1.15 \pm 0.08$ | $3.04 \pm 0.68$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2311-5820 | 347.9924 | -58.3452 | 5.72 | 0.25 | $64 \pm 18$ | $0.85 \pm 0.07$ | $3.01 \pm 0.67$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2312-4621 | 348.0560 | -46.3523 | 6.86 | 1.25 | $74 \pm 12$ | $0.63 \pm 0.04$ | $4.28 \pm 0.84$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2313-4243 | 348.4995 | -42.7256 | 5.47 | 1.75 | $39 \pm 26$ | 0.056 | $4.06 \pm 0.92$ | 6 | c |
| SPT-CL J2316-4500 | 349.1950 | -45.0035 | 4.64 | 0.50 | $61 \pm 16$ | $0.73 \pm 0.04$ | $2.73 \pm 0.76$ | 2 | b |
| SPT-CL J2316-5453 | 349.2082 | -54.8978 | 6.23 | 1.00 | $56 \pm 11$ | $0.39 \pm 0.05$ | $3.80 \pm 0.79$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2317-4707 | 349.2757 | -47.1213 | 4.76 | 0.25 | $63 \pm 19$ | $0.65 \pm 0.04$ | $2.88 \pm 0.80$ | 6 | b |
| SPT-CL J2319-4716 | 349.9828 | -47.2787 | 6.17 | 1.50 | $62 \pm 12$ | $0.46 \pm 0.03$ | $4.09 \pm 0.85$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2321-5419 | 350.4022 | -54.3231 | 5.27 | 0.25 | $57 \pm 11$ | $>0.97$ |  | 1 | 1 |
| SPT-CL J2325-4111* | 351.3043 | -41.1959 | 12.50 | 1.50 | $134 \pm 11$ | $0.358^{+}$ | $7.55 \pm 1.20$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J2327-5137 | 351.7818 | -51.6189 | 4.94 | 0.25 | $49 \pm 12$ | $0.32 \pm 0.02$ | $3.04 \pm 0.79$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J2328-4616 | 352.0576 | -46.2802 | 4.84 | 2.75 | $52 \pm 18$ | $>0.77$ | . . | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2329-5831 | 352.4733 | -58.5245 | 6.62 | 0.25 | $67 \pm 12$ | $0.75 \pm 0.07$ | $3.60 \pm 0.72$ | 1,10 |  |

TABLE 4 - Continued

| SPT ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & \text { (J2000) } \end{aligned}$ | Decl.(J2000) | Best |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{\mathrm{SZ}} \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\operatorname{arcmin}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Redshift | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}_{500 c} \\ \left(10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Imaging | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\xi$ | $\theta_{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPT-CL J2330-4502 | 352.5708 | -45.0344 | 5.18 | 1.50 | $61 \pm 12$ | $0.31 \pm 0.03$ | $3.55 \pm 0.88$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2331-5051* | 352.9608 | -50.8639 | 10.47 | 0.25 | $106 \pm 14$ | $0.576^{+}$ | $5.60 \pm 0.92$ | 2 | a |
| SPT-CL J2332-5053 | 353.0273 | -50.8895 | 4.58 | 0.25 | $86 \pm 16$ | $0.56 \pm 0.03$ | $2.60 \pm 0.71$ | 2 | a,b |
| SPT-CL J2332-5358 | 353.1057 | -53.9675 | 9.12 | 1.50 | $106 \pm 15$ | $0.402^{+}$ | $5.29 \pm 0.91$ | 2 | 17, a |
| SPT-CL J2335-4544* | 353.7861 | -45.7389 | 10.37 | 1.00 | $112 \pm 12$ | $0.547^{+}$ | $6.17 \pm 1.02$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2335-4243 | 353.9710 | -42.7328 | 5.59 | 0.75 | $62 \pm 12$ | $0.72 \pm 0.06$ | $3.38 \pm 0.77$ | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2337-5942* | 354.3523 | -59.7049 | 20.35 | 0.25 | $197 \pm 14$ | $0.775^{+}$ | $8.43 \pm 1.27$ | 2 | a |
| SPT-CL J2337-5912 | 354.3989 | -59.2046 | 4.81 | 0.50 | $49 \pm 12$ | $0.60 \pm 0.03$ | $2.70 \pm 0.72$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J2339-4058 | 354.7996 | -40.9697 | 4.75 | 0.25 | $68 \pm 21$ | $>0.89$ |  | 3 |  |
| SPT-CL J2341-5119* | 355.2991 | -51.3281 | 12.49 | 0.75 | $127 \pm 11$ | $1.003^{+}$ | $5.59 \pm 0.89$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2341-5724 | 355.3568 | -57.4158 | 6.87 | 1.00 | $63 \pm 11$ | $1.38 \pm 0.08$ | $3.05 \pm 0.60$ | 1,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2342-5411* | 355.6892 | -54.1856 | 8.18 | 0.25 | $92 \pm 20$ | $1.075^{+}$ | $3.93 \pm 0.70$ | 1,10 | a |
| SPT-CL J2342-4714 | 355.7453 | -47.2412 | 4.89 | 0.25 | $61 \pm 15$ | $0.42 \pm 0.03$ | $3.20 \pm 0.86$ | 6 | b |
| SPT-CL J2344-4224 | 356.1481 | -42.4100 | 4.54 | 1.25 | $50 \pm 13$ | $0.29 \pm 0.03$ | $3.07 \pm 0.88$ | 6 | b |
| SPT-CL J2344-4243* | 356.1847 | -42.7209 | 27.44 | 0.50 | $330 \pm 20$ | $0.596^{+}$ | $12.05 \pm 1.79$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J2345-6405* | 356.2555 | -64.0959 | 9.42 | 0.50 | $108 \pm 15$ | $0.94 \pm 0.07$ | $5.10 \pm 0.86$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2350-5301 | 357.7272 | -53.0212 | 6.05 | 0.25 | $64 \pm 15$ | $0.54 \pm 0.03$ | $3.53 \pm 0.74$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2351-5452 | 357.8978 | -54.8829 | 6.28 | 0.75 | $63 \pm 11$ | 0.384 | $3.84 \pm 0.79$ | 1 | a |
| SPT-CL J2352-5846 | 358.0510 | -58.7758 | 5.18 | 0.75 | $47 \pm 11$ | $>0.67$ |  | 2 | 18 |
| SPT-CL J2352-4657* | 358.0631 | -46.9569 | 7.46 | 0.25 | $89 \pm 12$ | $0.73 \pm 0.07$ | $4.42 \pm 0.83$ | 2,10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2352-6134 | 358.1939 | -61.5671 | 6.07 | 0.25 | $84 \pm 20$ | $0.70 \pm 0.06$ | $3.75 \pm 0.79$ | 7, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2353-5512 | 358.2559 | -55.2050 | 5.10 | 0.50 | $51 \pm 11$ | > 1.50 |  | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2354-5633 | 358.7122 | -56.5545 | 5.70 | 0.75 | $56 \pm 11$ | $0.53 \pm 0.03$ | $3.34 \pm 0.73$ | 1 |  |
| SPT-CL J2355-5055* | 358.9498 | -50.9320 | 6.60 | 0.75 | $68 \pm 11$ | $0.320^{+}$ | $4.10 \pm 0.82$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2356-4220 | 359.0127 | -42.3496 | 5.28 | 0.25 | $74 \pm 20$ | $0.76 \pm 0.06$ | $3.12 \pm 0.78$ | 2, 10 |  |
| SPT-CL J2356-6014 | 359.0821 | -60.2448 | 4.62 | 1.25 | $46 \pm 11$ | $>0.70$ | $\cdots$ | 2 |  |
| SPT-CL J2358-5229 | 359.5314 | -52.4840 | 4.51 | 0.25 | $45 \pm 15$ | $0.64 \pm 0.03$ | $2.51 \pm 0.68$ | 1 | b |
| SPT-CL J2358-4143 | 359.6421 | -41.7173 | 4.74 | 1.50 | $58 \pm 12$ | $>0.67$ | . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2358-6129 | 359.7075 | -61.4862 | 5.85 | 1.25 | $69 \pm 12$ | $0.37 \pm 0.03$ | $4.00 \pm 0.86$ | 6 |  |
| SPT-CL J2358-4354 | 359.7306 | -43.9026 | 5.80 | 1.00 | $67 \pm 12$ | $0.60 \pm 0.04$ | $3.67 \pm 0.80$ | 7 |  |
| SPT-CL J2359-5727 | 359.8859 | -57.4579 | 4.51 | 0.25 | $47 \pm 15$ | . . . | . . . | $\ldots$ |  |
| SPT-CL J2359-5009* | 359.9230 | -50.1649 | 6.68 | 1.00 | $70 \pm 11$ | $0.775^{+}$ | $3.60 \pm 0.71$ | 2 | a |

Note. - Galaxy cluster candidates selected above a significance of 4.5 in the $2500 \operatorname{deg}^{2}$ SPT-SZ survey. Galaxy clusters marked by a ${ }^{\text {'* }}$, have X-ray data from the Chandra satellite that are used in the cosmological analysis presented in dH14. For each candidate we report the position, the highest detection significance in the filtered maps and the core radius corresponding to the detection (in arcmin; see $\$_{3}$, the integrated $Y_{S Z}$ within a $0.75^{\prime}$ aperture, the redshift for confirmed systems (except for the three highest-redshift systems; see 5.3 or redshift lower limit for unconfirmed systems (see $\$ 4$, and a mass estimate for each confirmed cluster ( $\$ 6.1$. Spectroscopic redshifts are quoted without uncertainties, which are typically $\sim 0.1 \%$ (see e.g., Ruel et al. 2013). The spectroscopic redshifts derived from SPT follow-up observations are marked with a '+,
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Strong-Lensing Cluster
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Cluster masses at low significance are only approximate; see 6.1
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cluster masses at low redshift $(z<0.25)$ may be underestimated; see 6.1
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Confirmed, high-redshift cluster (see $\$ 5.3$
1 Bright star impedes confirmation
${ }^{2}$ Possibly biased SZ-center owing to cluster's proximity to the edge of the cluster map; additional cluster offset $1.8^{\prime}$ at $z \sim 0.55$
${ }^{3}$ Foreground group at $z \sim 0.3$
${ }^{4}$ Foreground group to N at $z \sim 0.35$.
${ }^{5}$ Foreground group at $z \sim 0.1$
${ }^{6}$ Group $z \sim 0.2$ centered $3^{\prime}$ away from SPT center
7 Small group $z \sim 0.4$ offset $2^{\prime}$ to N
${ }^{8}$ Low-z group $z \sim 0.1$
${ }^{9}$ Very complex region; optical group on NW $z \sim 0.4$ and another group on SW $z \sim 0.65$. Confirmed in S12 by 1 method at $z=0.38 \pm 0.04$.
10 Bimodal redshift solution; additional solution $z=0.29$
11 High stellar density
12 Foreground group at $z \sim 0.15$.
13 Bimodal redshift solution; additional solution $z=0.41$
14 Group on SW $z \sim 0.4$.
15 System $z \sim 0.3$ offset $2^{\prime}$ to S
16 Possibly biased SZ-center owing to cluster's proximity to the edge of the cluster map
17 The mass is biased low by a factor of $\sim 1.5 \times$ owing to contamination from a magnified high-redshift dusty star forming galaxy; see 6.4 .3 and discussion in Andersson et al. (2011).
18 Low-z group at $z \sim 0.15>3^{\prime}$ from SPT center

TABLE 5
Clusters with matches in other catalogs

| SPT ID | First ID, ref. | All catalogs with match | $z$ | Lit. $z$, ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPT-CL J0010-5112 | ACO S0013, 1 | 1 | $0.17 \pm 0.02$ | - |
| SPT-CL J0013-4621 | [BM78] 210, 2 | 1,2 | $0.18 \pm 0.05$ | - |
| SPT-CL J0027-5015 | Str 0025-505, 3 | 1,3,4,5,6 | 0.145 | 0.1448, [4] |
| SPT-CL J0036-4411 | SpARCS J003645-441050, 7 | 7 | 0.869 | 0.869,[7] |
| SPT-CL J0041-4428 | ACO S0067, 1 | 1 | $0.33 \pm 0.02$ | 0.869, |
| SPT-CL J0051-4834 | Str 0049-489, 3 | 1,3 | 0.187 | 0.1873,[8] |
| SPT-CL J0102-4915 | ACT-CL J0102-4915, 9 | 6,9,10 | 0.870 | 0.8701,[11] |
| SPT-CL J0108-4341 | ACO 2873, 1 | 1 | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ | . |
| SPT-CL J0118-5638 | [BM78] 148, 2 | 1,2 | $0.21 \pm 0.04$ | - |
| SPT-CL J0124-5937 | PSZ1 G295.19-56.99, 6 | 6 | $0.21 \pm 0.03$ | 0.22,[6] |
| SPT-CL J0129-6432 | RXC J0129.4-6432, 12 | 12 | 0.326 | 0.3264,[12] |
| SPT-CL J0133-6434 | PSZ1 G295.60-51.95, 6 | 6 | $0.29 \pm 0.04$ | 0.33,[6] |
| SPT-CL J0135-5904 | PSZ1 G292.40-57.11, 6 | 6 | $0.49 \pm 0.03$ |  |
| SPT-CL J0145-5301 | Sersic 017/04, 13 | 1,4,5,9,13,14 | 0.117 | $0.1168,[4]$ |
| SPT-CL J0145-6033 | RBS 0238, 15 | 4,6,15 | 0.179 | $0.1795,[16]$ |
| SPT-CL J0214-4638 | QW 0213-468, 17 | 17 | $0.27 \pm 0.02$ | - |
| SPT-CL J0216-4816 | ACO 2998, 1 | 1,8,18 | 0.171 | $0.1709,[8]$ |
| SPT-CL J0217-5245 | RXC J0217.2-5244, 4 | 4,9 | 0.343 | $0.3432,[4]$ |
| SPT-CL J0225-4155 | ACO 3017, 1 | 1,4,8,14,19,20 | 0.220 | $0.2195,[8]$ |
| SPT-CL J0232-4421 | [DBG99] 27, 14 | 8,10,14,15,20 | 0.284 | $0.2836,[14]$ |
| SPT-CL J0232-5257 | ACT-CL J0232-5257, 9 | 9 | 0.556 | 0.5559, [21] |
| SPT-CL J0235-5121 | ACT-CLJ0235-5121, 9 | 6,9 | 0.278 | 0.2777,[21] |
| SPT-CL J0236-4938 | ACT-CLJ0237-4939, 9 | 9 | 0.334 | $0.4,[22]$ |
| SPT-CL J0243-4833 | SPT-CL J0243-4833, 10 | 6,10 | 0.500 | $0.53,[10]$ |
| SPT-CL J0254-5857 | SPT-CL J0254-5856, 10 | 10,20,23,24 | 0.438 | $0.438,[24]$ |
| SPT-CL J0256-4736 | ACO 3072, 1 | 1 | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ | - |
| SPT-CL J0304-4401 | SPT-CL J0304-4401, 10 | 6,10 | 0.458 | 0.52,[10] |
| SPT-CL J0304-4921 | ACT-CL J0304-4921, 9 | 6,9 | 0.392 | 0.3922,[21] |
| SPT-CL J0307-4123 | PSZ1 G248.96-58.75, 6 | 6 | $0.67 \pm 0.04$ |  |
| SPT-CL J0311-6354 | PSZ1 G281.29-46.89, 6 | 6 | $0.25 \pm 0.02$ | 0.28, [6] |
| SPT-CL J0317-4849 | ACO 3113, 1 | 1,6,8 | 0.164 | 0.1642,[25] |
| SPT-CL J0328-5541 | ACO 3126, 1 | 1,4,14,15,18,20,23,26 | 0.084 | 0.0844, [27] |
| SPT-CL J0330-5228 | ACT-CLJ0330-5227, 9 | 9 | 0.442 | 0.44, [22] |
| SPT-CL J0346-5439 | ACT-CL J0346-5438, 9 | 9 | 0.530 | $0.5297,[21]$ |
| SPT-CL J0348-4515 | ClG 0346-4524, 28 | 17,28 | 0.358 | 0.3251,[28] |
| SPT-CL J0404-6510 | [BM78] 082, 2 | 1,2,6,23 | $0.12 \pm 0.03$ | 0.11, [29] |
| SPT-CL J0411-4819 | SPT-CL J0411-4819, 10 | 10,20 | 0.422 | $0.42,[10]$ |
| SPT-CL J0411-6340 | ACO 3230, 1 | 1,15 | $0.14 \pm 0.01$ | 0.14,[29] |
| SPT-CL J0431-6126 | Sersic 040/06, 13 | 1,3,4,13,14,18,20,23,26,30,31,32 | 0.058 | 0.0577, [27] |
| SPT-CL J0438-5419 | ACT-CL J0438-5419, 9 | 9,10,20 | 0.421 | 0.4214,[21] |
| SPT-CL J0458-5741 | ACO 3298, 1 | 1,23 | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ | - |
| SPT-CL J0500-5116 | ACO 3303, 1 | 1,33 | $0.11 \pm 0.03$ | 0.14,[25] |
| SPT-CL J0504-4929 | ACO 3311, 1 | 1 | $0.20 \pm 0.03$ | - |
| SPT-CL J0505-6145 | PSZ1 G271.28-36.12, 6 | 6 | $0.25 \pm 0.03$ | - |
| SPT-CL J0509-5342 | SPT-CL J0509-5342, 34 | 9,10,23,34,35,36 | 0.461 | 0.4626, [37] |
| SPT-CL J0509-6118 | PSZ1 G270.64-35.68, 6 | ${ }_{6}$ | $0.35 \pm 0.03$ | $0.31,[6]$ |
| SPT-CL J0510-4519 | ACO 3322, 1 | 1,4,15,17,20,38 | 0.20 | 0.20, [27] |
| SPT-CL J0511-5154 | SCSO J051145-515430, 39 | 23,35,39 | 0.645 | $0.645,[24]$ |
| SPT-CL J0512-5139 | SCSO J051240-513941, 39 | 36,39 | $0.60 \pm 0.03$ | 0.66,[39] |
| SPT-CL J0516-5430 | ACO S0520, 1 | 1,4,9,20,23,34,35,36,39 | 0.295 | $0.2952,[4]$ |
| SPT-CL J0519-4248 | RCS J051919-4247.8, 40 | 40 | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | 0.6,[40] |
| SPT-CL J0521-5104 | SCSO J052113-510418, 39 | 21,23,35,36,39 | 0.675 | 0.6755,[21] |
| SPT-CL J0522-4818 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DLSCL J0522.2-4820, 41 | 1,33,41 | 0.296 | 0.296,[42] |
| SPT-CL J0522-5026 | SCSO J052200-502700, 39 | 36,39 | $0.52 \pm 0.03$ | 0.5,[39] |
| SPT-CL J0525-4715 | ACO 3343, 1 | 1,4,20 | 0.191 | $0.1913,[4]$ |
| SPT-CL J0528-5300 | SPT-CL J0528-5300, 34 | 9,23,34,35,39 | 0.768 | $0.7648,[43]$ |
| SPT-CL J0535-4801 | PSZ1 G254.58-32.16, 6 | 6 | $0.92 \pm 0.07$ | - |
| SPT-CL J0542-4100 | RDCS J0542-4100, 44 | 44,45 | 0.642 | 0.63,[44] |
| SPT-CL J0546-5345 | SPT-CL J0547-5346, 34 | 9,23,34,35 | 1.066 | 1.067,[46] |
| SPT-CL J0549-6205 | SPT-CL J0549-6204, 10 | 10,20 | 0.376 | $0.32,[10]$ |
| SPT-CL J0550-5019 | LCS-CL J055019-5019.6, 36 | 36 | $0.65 \pm 0.03$ | $0.66,[36]$ |
| SPT-CL J0555-6406 | SPT-CL J0555-6405, 10 | 6,10 | 0.345 | $0.42,[10]$ |
| SPT-CL J0559-5249 | SPT-CL J0559-5249, 35 | 6,9,23,35 | 0.609 | 0.6112,[43] |
| SPT-CL J0603-4714 | PSZ1 G254.54-27.32, 6 | 6 | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ | $0.27,[6]$ |
| SPT-CL J0611-5938 | PLCKESZ G268.5-28.1;, 47 | 47 | $0.39 \pm 0.03$ | 0.47, [47] |
| SPT-CL J0615-5746 | SPT-CL J0615-5746, 10 | 6,10,48 | 0.972 | 0.972,[10] |
| SPT-CL J0628-4143 | ACO 3396, 1 | 1,4,6,10,14 | 0.176 | $0.1759,[14]$ |
| SPT-CL J0637-4829 | ACO 3399, 1 | 1,4,20 | 0.203 | $0.2026,[4]$ |
| SPT-CL J0638-5358 | ACO S0592, 1 | 1,4,9,10,14,20 | 0.226 | $0.2216,[14]$ |
| SPT-CL J0641-5001 | ACO 3403, 1 | 1,33 | 0.123 | 0.1226,[49] |
| SPT-CL J0645-5413 | ACO 3404, 1 | 1,4,9,10,14,20,33 | 0.164 | $0.167,[14]$ |
| SPT-CL J0651-4037 | PSZ1 G250.29-17.29, 6 | 6 | $0.27 \pm 0.02$ | 0.27, [6] |
| SPT-CL J0658-5556 | 1E 0657-55.8 (Bullet), 50 | 4,9,10,14,20,50 | 0.296 | $0.296,[50]$ |
| SPT-CL J2011-5725 | RXC J2011.3-5725, 4 | 4,23 | 0.279 | $0.2786,[4]$ |

TABLE 5 - Continued

| SPT ID | First ID, ref. | All catalogs with match | $z$ | Lit. $z$, ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPT-CL J2012-4130 | [BM78] 236, 2 | 1,2,4,6 | 0.150 | 0.1496,[4] |
| SPT-CL J2012-5649 | Str 2008-569, 3 | 1,2,3,4,14,15,17,20,23,31,33 | 0.055 | 0.0556,[27] |
| SPT-CL J2020-4646 | ACO 3673, 1 | 1,23 | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ | 0.19,[23] |
| SPT-CL J2021-5257 | Ser 138-5, 13 | 1,3,4,13,23 | 0.138 | 0.1383,[4] |
| SPT-CL J2023-5535 | RXC J2023.4-5535, 4 | 4,10,20,23 | 0.232 | $0.232,[4]$ |
| SPT-CL J2025-5117 | [BM78] 188, 2 | 1,2,6,23 | $0.22 \pm 0.02$ | 0.18,[23] |
| SPT-CL J2031-4037 | RXC J2031.8-4037, 4 | 4,10 | 0.342 | 0.3416,[4] |
| SPT-CL J2032-5627 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | [F81] 391, 51 | 1,4,6,23,33,51 | 0.284 | 0.284,[24] |
| SPT-CL J2055-5456 | [BM78] 164, 2 | 1,2,4,23 | 0.139 | 0.139,[4] |
| SPT-CL J2059-5018 | ACO S0912, 1 | 1,23 | $0.32 \pm 0.02$ | 0.41,[23] |
| SPT-CL J2101-5542 | ACO 3732;, 1 | 1,23 | $0.25 \pm 0.02$ | 0.2,[23] |
| SPT-CL J2121-6335 | ACO S0937, 1 | 1,23,52 | 0.217 | 0.217,[52] |
| SPT-CL J2134-4238 | [BM78] 253, 2 | 1,2,6,31,51 | 0.196 | 0.1955,[27] |
| SPT-CL J2138-6008 | SPT-CL J2138-6007, 23 | 6,23 | 0.319 | 0.319,[24] |
| SPT-CL J2148-6116 | SPT-CL J2148-6116, 23 | 6,23 | 0.571 | 0.571,[24] |
| SPT-CL J2201-5956 | [F81] 408, 51 | 1,4,10,14,15,18,20,23,26,51 | 0.097 | 0.0972,[27] |
| SPT-CL J2211-4833 | [BM78] 202, 2 | 1,2 | $0.24 \pm 0.03$ | 0.0576,[53] |
| SPT-CL J2217-6509 | Sersic 150/06, 13 | 2,3,4,13,14,17,20 | 0.095 | 0.0951,[14] |
| SPT-CL J2223-5015 | APMCC 769, 18 | 18 | $0.24 \pm 0.04$ | - |
| SPT-CL J2223-5227 | ACO 3872, 1 | 1 | $0.27 \pm 0.03$ |  |
| SPT-CL J2241-4001 | EIS J2241-4001, 54 | 54,55 | $0.64 \pm 0.03$ | 1,[55] |
| SPT-CL J2241-4236 | [BM78] 259, 2 | 1,2,8,31 | $0.20 \pm 0.03$ |  |
| SPT-CL J2248-4431 | ACO S1063, 1 | 1,4,8,10,14,15,20 | 0.351 | 0.3475,[4] |
| SPT-CL J2249-6426 | [BM78] 108, 2 | 1,2,4,14,18, $20,26,33$ | 0.094 | 0.094,[56] |
| SPT-CL J2254-4620 | ACO 3937, 1 | 1 | $0.27 \pm 0.03$ | - |
| SPT-CL J2254-5805 | [R76] 89, 57 | 3,14,57 | 0.153 | - |
| SPT-CL J2254-6314 | AM 2250-633, 31 | 4,14,31 | 0.211 | 0.2112,[14] |
| SPT-CL J2259-5617 | [BM78] 165, 2 | 1,2,6,23,31,35 | 0.153 | 0.17,[23] |
| SPT-CL J2300-5331 | ACO S1079, 1 | 1,23,35 | 0.262 | 0.262,[24] |
| SPT-CL J2301-4023 | [LP96] Cl2259-4040, 58 | 58 | $0.73 \pm 0.04$ | - |
| SPT-CL J2306-6505 | PSZ1 G319.20-48.61, 6 | 6 | 0.530 | 0.69,[6] |
| SPT-CL J2313-4243 | Sersic 159/03, 13 | 1,2,3,4,6,8,13,14,15,17,38,57 | 0.056 | 0.0564,[4] |
| SPT-CL J2316-5453 | SCSO J231651-545356, 39 | 36,39,59 | $0.39 \pm 0.05$ | 0.44,[39] |
| SPT-CL J2325-4111 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ACO S1121, 1 | 1,6,10 | 0.358 | 0.358,[24] |
| SPT-CL J2327-5137 | LCS-CL J232708-5137.5, 36 | 36 | $0.32 \pm 0.02$ | 0.37,[36] |
| SPT-CL J2332-5358 | SCSO J233227-535827, 39 | 23,35,36,39 | 0.402 | 0.402,[24] |
| SPT-CL J2335-4544 | PSZ1 G337.11-66.02, 6 | 6 | 0.547 | 0.5,[6] |
| SPT-CL J2344-4243 | SPT-CL J2344-4243, 10 | 6,10 | 0.596 | 0.596,[60] |
| SPT-CL J2350-5301 | SCSO J235055-530124, 39 | 39 | $0.54 \pm 0.03$ | 0.46,[39] |
| SPT-CL J2351-5452 | SCSO J235055-530124, 39 | 23,36,39 | 0.384 | 0.3838,[61] |
| SPT-CL J2354-5633 | SCSO J235454-563311, 39 | 39 | $0.53 \pm 0.03$ | 0.51,[39] |

References. - [1] ACO catalog Abell et al. (1989); [2] Braid \& MacGillivray (1978); [3] Stromlo catalog Duus \& Newell (1977); [4] REFLEX catalog Böhringer et al. (2004); [5] Panko \& Flin (2006); [6] Planck Cluster catalog Planck Collaboration et al. (2013a); [7] GCLASS catalog Muzzin et al. (2012); 8] Cruddace et al. (2002); [9] ACT-CL Catalog Marriage et al. (2011); [10] SPT-CL catalog Williamson et al. (2011); [11] Menanteau et al. (2012); [12] REFLEX Il Catalog Chon \& Böhringer (2012); [13] Sersic catalog Sérsic (1974); [14] [DBG99] catalog de Grandi et al. (1999); [15] RBS catalog Schwope et al. (2000); [16] Guzzo et al. (2009); [17] [QW] catalog Quintana \& White (1990); [18] APMCC catalog Dalton et al. (1997); [19] Edinburgh-Durham Cluster Catalog Lumsden et al. (1992); [20] Planck Early SZ catalog Planck Collaboration et al. (2011a); [21] Sifón et al. (2013); [22] Menanteau et al. (2010b); 23| SPT-CL catalog Reichardt et al. (2013); [24] Ruel et al. (2013); [25] Coziol et al. (2009); [26] [DEM94] catalog Dalton et al. (1994); 27] Struble \& Rood (1999); [28| West \& Frandsen (1981); 29] Ebeling et al. (1996); [30] Lugger (1978); [31] Arp \& Madore (1996); [32] Edge et al. (1990); [33| Einasto et al. (1997); [34] SPT-CL catalog Staniszewski et al. (2009); [35] SPT-CL catalog Vanderlinde et al. (2010); [36] LCS Catalog Bleem et al. (2014); 37) High et al. (2010); [38] Gioia et al. (1990); [39] Menanteau et al. (2010a); [40] Horesh et al. (2010); [41] Deep Lens Survey Cluster Catalog Wittman et al. (2006); [42] Abate et al. (2009); [43] High et al. (2010); 144) Tozzi et al. (2003); $45 \mid 400 \mathrm{~d}$ Cluster Catalog Burenin et al. (2007); [46| Brodwin et al. (2010); [47]|Planck Collaboration et al. (2012); $48 \mid$ Planck Collaboration et al. (2011b); [49] Coziol et al. (2009); [50] Tucker et al. (1998); [51] Feitsova (1981); [52| Webb et al. (2013); 153] Batuski et al. (1999); [54) Olsen et al. (1999); [55] Lobo et al. (2000); [56] Katgert et al. (1996); [57] Rose (1976); [58] Lidman \& Peterson (1996); [59] XMM-BCS catalog Suhada et al. (2012); [60] McDonald et al. 2012); [61] Buckley-Geer et al. (2011)

Note. - Cluster candidates coincident with galaxy clusters identified in other catalogs. We define a match if a candidate is within $5^{\prime}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ of an identified cluster for clusters at $z \leq 0.3$ ( $z>0.3$ or unconfirmed). For each match, we report the name under which the cluster was first reported and all catalogs which include the cluster. We also quote the cluster redshift used in this work-either the photometric redshift or a spectroscopic redshift obtained from follow-up observations or the literature. We include error bars for red sequence redshifts but not spectroscopic redshifts. In the last column, we quote a redshift from the literature if available. Error bars are not reported for literature redshifts; two (three or four) significant digits are used if the literature redshift is photometric (spectroscopic), with the exception of SPT-CL J0510-4519 for which the literature spectroscopic redshift is reported to two significant figures.
${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{ACO} 3338$ is in the foreground.
b [F81] 391/ACO 3685 is in the foreground.
${ }^{c}$ ACO S1121 is in the foreground.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Argonne National Laboratory, High-Energy Physics Division, 9700 S. Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL, USA 60439
    ${ }^{2}$ Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637
    ${ }^{3}$ Department of Physics, University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637
    ${ }^{4}$ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138
    ${ }^{5}$ Department of Physics, McGill University, 3600 Rue University, Montreal, Quebec H3A 2T8, Canada
    ${ }^{6}$ University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637
    ${ }^{7}$ Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, Stanford University, 452 Lomita Mall, Stanford, CA 94305
    ${ }^{8}$ Department of Physics, Stanford University, 382 Via Pueblo Mall, Stanford, CA 94305
    ${ }^{9}$ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025
    ${ }^{10}$ Argelander-Institut für Astronomie, Auf dem Hügel 71, D53121 Bonn, Germany
    ${ }^{11}$ Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139

    12 Department of Physics, Harvard University, 17 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138
    ${ }^{13}$ Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 605100500
    ${ }^{14}$ Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637

[^1]:    ${ }^{15}$ Department of Physics, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Scheinerstr. 1, 81679 München, Germany

    16 Excellence Cluster Universe, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany
    ${ }^{17}$ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Missouri, 5110 Rockhill Road, Kansas City, MO 64110

    18 Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637

    19 NIST Quantum Devices Group, 325 Broadway Mailcode 817.03, Boulder, CO, USA 80305
    ${ }^{20}$ Departamento de Astronomia y Astrosifica, Pontificia Universidad Catolica, Chile
    ${ }^{21}$ California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125
    ${ }_{22}$ Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, CIFAR Program in Cosmology and Gravity, Toronto, ON, M5G 1Z8, Canada

    23 Astronomy Department, University of Illinois at UrbanaChampaign, 1002 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801 USA
    24 Department of Physics, University of Illinois UrbanaChampaign, 1110 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801 USA
    ${ }_{25}$ Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720
    ${ }^{26}$ Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstr. 85748 Garching, Germany
    ${ }^{27}$ Department of Astronomy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

    28 Department of Astrophysical and Planetary Sciences and Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309
    ${ }^{29}$ Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, Niels Bohrweg 2, 2333

[^2]:    CA, Leiden, the Netherlands
    ${ }^{30}$ Department of Physics, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616
    ${ }^{31}$ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720
    32 Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721
    ${ }^{33}$ Department of Physics, University of Michigan, 450 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109
    ${ }^{34}$ Physics Department, University of Minnesota, 116 Church Street S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455
    ${ }^{35}$ School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia
    ${ }^{36}$ Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Dr., Baltimore, MD 21218
    ${ }^{37}$ Physics Department, Center for Education and Research in Cosmology and Astrophysics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106
    ${ }^{38}$ Liberal Arts Department, School of the Art Institute of Chicago, 112 S Michigan Ave, Chicago, IL 60603
    ${ }^{39}$ Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94551
    ${ }^{40}$ Dunlap Institute for Astronomy \& Astrophysics, University of Toronto, 50 St George St, Toronto, ON, M5S 3H4, Canada
    ${ }^{41}$ Department of Astronomy \& Astrophysics, University of Toronto, 50 St George St, Toronto, ON, M5S 3H4, Canada

    42 Berkeley Center for Cosmological Physics, Department of Physics, University of California, and Lawrence Berkeley National Labs, Berkeley, CA 94720
    ${ }^{43}$ Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile

[^3]:    1 Throughout this work, noise units and amplitudes expressed in terms of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{CMB}}$ refer to the equivalent deviations in temperature from a 2.73 K blackbody required to produce the observed signals.

[^4]:    $2^{2}$ Fields are named via the R.A. and declination of their centers.

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ The modular SPT-SZ receiver consists of 6 independent subarrays or "wedges" of 160 bolometers that operate at a single frequency.

[^6]:    4 http://www.ctio.noao.edu/mosaic/
    5 The DSS is a digitization of the photographic sky survey conducted with the Palomar and UK Schmidt telescopes; http://archive.stsci.edu/dss/

[^7]:    6 These crude redshifts are based on a combination of the color, brightness, and angular size of identified cluster galaxies. The uncertainty on these estimates is $\sigma_{z} \sim 0.1$, with large outliers owing to variable DSS image quality.

[^8]:    ${ }^{7}$ The stellar envelope of the LMC extends well beyond regions of significant thermal dust emission; we see no evidence of contamination in the SPT mm-wave maps.

    8 Archival observations with varying wavelength coverage and exposure times are available for an additional 16 (typically lowredshift) SPT systems.

[^9]:    ${ }^{9}$ http://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/allsky/

[^10]:    10 Spectroscopic redshifts were measured or identified from the literature for 24 clusters observed with the MPG/ESO telescope, 56 clusters imaged with the Swope telescope, and 100 clusters observed with the larger aperture telescopes. Some clusters with spectroscopic redshifts were observed with multiple instruments to facilitate calibration of red-sequence models.

[^11]:    11 http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/simbad
    12 http://nedwww.ipac.caltech.edu/

[^12]:    ${ }^{13}$ See Appendix B in Vanderlinde et al. 2010.

[^13]:    14 This scatter was chosen to be consistent with previous constraints from X-ray measurements (Benson et al. 2013. R13).
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