



DIGITAL ACCESS TO
SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD
DASH.HARVARD.EDU



HARVARD LIBRARY
Office for Scholarly Communication

Derivation and Validation of a Preoperative Prediction Rule for Delirium After Cardiac Surgery

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. [Please share](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Citation | Rudolph, J. L., R. N. Jones, S. E. Levkoff, C. Rockett, S. K. Inouye, F. W. Sellke, S. F. Khuri, et al. 2008. "Derivation and Validation of a Preoperative Prediction Rule for Delirium After Cardiac Surgery." <i>Circulation</i> 119 (2) [December 31]: 229–236. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.108.795260. |
| Published Version | doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.795260 |
| Citable link | http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:33751018 |
| Terms of Use | This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA |



Published in final edited form as:

Circulation. 2009 January 20; 119(2): 229–236. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.795260.

Derivation and Validation of a Preoperative Prediction Rule for Delirium after Cardiac Surgery

James L. Rudolph, MD, SM, Richard N. Jones, ScD, Sue E. Levkoff, ScD, Christopher Rockett, PhD, Sharon K. Inouye, MD, MPH, Frank W. Sellke, MD, Shukri F. Khuri, MD, Lewis A. Lipsitz, MD, Basel Ramlawi, MD, Sidney Levitsky, MD, and Edward R. Marcantonio, MD, SM

VA Boston Healthcare System, Geriatric Research, Education, and Clinical Center (JLR) and Division of Cardiac Surgery(SFK), Hebrew SeniorLife, Institute for Aging Research (RNJ, CR, LAL, SKI) and Aging Brain Center (RNJ, SKI, ERM), Brigham and Women's Hospital, Department of Psychiatry (SEL) and Division of Aging(JLR), Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Division of Cardiac Surgery (FWS, SL), Divisions of General Medicine and Primary Care (ERM), and Gerontology (LAL, ERM), Boston, MA, Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Columbia Presbyterian Medical Center, Columbia University, NY(BR), Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA (JLR, RNJ, SEL, FWS, SFK, LAL, SL, SKI, ERM)

Abstract

Background—Delirium is a common outcome after cardiac surgery. Delirium prediction rules identify patients at risk for delirium who may benefit from targeted prevention strategies, early identification and treatment of underlying causes. The purpose of this prospective study was to develop a prediction rule for delirium in a cardiac surgery cohort and validate it in an independent cohort.

Methods and Results—Prospectively, cardiac surgery patients ≥ 60 years were enrolled in a derivation sample ($n=122$) and then a validation sample ($n=109$). Beginning on the second postoperative day, patients underwent a standardized daily delirium assessment and delirium was diagnosed according to the Confusion Assessment Method. Delirium occurred in 63 (52%) of the derivation cohort patients. Multivariable analysis identified four variables independently associated with delirium: prior stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA), Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score, abnormal serum albumin, and the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). Points were assigned to each variable: MMSE ≤ 23 received 2 points; MMSE 24–27, GDS >4 , prior stroke/TIA, and abnormal albumin received 1 point each. In the derivation sample, the cumulative incidence of delirium for point levels of 0, 1, 2, and ≥ 3 was 19%, 47%, 63%, and 86%, respectively (C-statistic 0.74). The corresponding incidence of delirium in the validation sample was 18%, 43%, 60%, and 87%, respectively (C-statistic 0.75).

Corresponding Author (and reprints): James L Rudolph, MD, SM; VABHS GRECC; 150 South Huntington Ave Boston MA 02130; Phone: (857)364-6812; Fax: 857-364-6812; Email: jrudolph@partners.org.

Disclosures: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Short Commentary: Delirium is a common, morbid, and costly condition after cardiac surgery. This study developed and validated a prediction rule for postoperative delirium using four independent risk factors for delirium assessed before surgery: 1) prior stroke or transient ischemic attack, 2) impaired cognition, 3) abnormal serum albumin, and 4) depression. A risk stratification system assigned points to each risk factor: compared to no points, the presence of 1 point more than doubles the delirium risk, 2 points more than triples the delirium risk and the presence of 3 or more points more than quadruples delirium risk. This prediction rule provides clinicians a method to identify delirium risk prior to cardiac surgery. Clinically, patients who are stratified into moderate and high risk for delirium categories would benefit from frequent delirium screening and implementation of delirium prevention strategies. Importantly, this cardiac surgery delirium prediction rule provides a method to preoperatively stratify at-risk older patients for such interventions to ultimately reduce the morbidity, mortality, and cost of postoperative delirium.

Conclusion—Delirium occurs frequently after cardiac surgery. Using four preoperative characteristics, clinicians can determine cardiac surgery patients' risk for delirium. Patients at higher delirium risk could be candidates for close postoperative monitoring and interventions to prevent delirium.

Keywords

Delirium; Cardiac surgery; aged; cognition; prediction rule; depression

Delirium, an acute alteration in attention and cognition, was first reported as a complication of cardiac surgery >40 years ago.¹ Since that time, advances in surgical and anesthesia practice have improved the efficiency and outcomes of cardiac surgery patients. However, delirium remains a frequent, but under-recognized, complication after cardiac surgery², which is associated with short term complications, such as mortality, morbidity, increased length of stay.³⁻⁶ Moreover, while generally thought of as a short-term disorder of cognition, delirium can have long-term sequelae, including persistent cognitive deficits, loss of independence, functional decline, increased costs and increased mortality for up to 2 years.^{3, 4} Importantly, delirium and its complications can be prevented in the surgical population.^{6, 7}

Delirium prediction rules use cogent clinical items from the history, physical, and initial diagnostic tests to prospectively identify patients at high-risk for delirium.⁸ Prediction rules also allow the patient and family to be better informed of risk, and targeting of surveillance and prevention efforts for delirium. Despite research identifying independent risk factors for delirium^{2, 9}, there is no validated preoperative prediction rule for delirium risk after cardiac surgery.

The objective of the current study is to develop and validate a clinical prediction rule, based on preoperative factors, for the development of delirium after cardiac surgery. We employ state-of-the-art methods, including rigorous prospective assessment of preoperative risk factors, comprehensive delirium detection methods, advanced statistical methods for identification of independent variables, and validation in an independent sample. We also examined the association of intraoperative variables with delirium, adjusted for preoperative risk. We believe that our results will aid clinicians caring for cardiac surgery patients and inform research studies to improve cognitive outcomes after cardiac surgery.

Methods

Participants

From September 1, 2002 until October 31, 2004, we recruited 122 patients over age 60 years who were planning to undergo cardiac surgery at two academic medical centers and one Veterans Administration (VA) hospital for our derivation set. Subsequently, a validation set of 109 patients was recruited from November 1, 2004 until June 30, 2006 at one academic medical center and one VA hospital using identical criteria. Eligible cardiac procedures included coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), mitral or aortic valve replacement or repair (valve), and combined CABG-Valve. All patients provided written informed consent and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at each institution. Exclusion criteria included living >60 miles from the study center, medical instability limiting preoperative assessment, emergency surgery, delirium before surgery, concurrent aortic or carotid surgical procedures, and non-English speaking.

Preoperative Assessment

Prior to surgery, all patients underwent extensive assessment with interviews and medical record review of demographics, behavioral factors, comorbidity, mental health, physical

function, cognitive function, laboratory profile, and planned surgery. Participants provided information on age, sex, race, marital status, educational level and alcohol and tobacco exposure. The number of pack-years of tobacco use was calculated. Alcohol use was categorized according to drinks per week: non-users, users (≤ 7 drinks per week) and heavy users (> 7 drinks per week). Body mass index (BMI), was calculated from the preoperative anesthesia assessment. Comorbidity information was collected from the medical record and supplemented with patient interview. We recorded the presence of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, cancer, and a prior stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), or hemiparesis. Patients were interviewed using the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), an assessment of 15 symptoms of depression (range 0-15, 15=worst).¹⁰ The patient's ability to function and care for themselves were assessed with the Activities of Daily Living (ADLs), a self-care scale (range 0-18, 0=worst)¹¹ and Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADLs), an independent task scale (range 0-21, 0=worst)¹². American Society of Anesthesiologists class, a measure of preoperative illness¹³, was recorded from the anesthesia record. The Mini Mental State Examination¹⁴ (MMSE) was administered to all patients prior to surgery (range 0-30, 0=worst). The preoperative laboratory values most proximal to the operation that were recorded included: sodium, potassium, glucose, white blood cell count (WBC), hematocrit, blood urea nitrogen (BUN):creatinine ratio, and albumin. The type of surgery was included in our preoperative risk model, because the type of surgery (CABG \pm valve) is usually known preoperatively. Between catheterization and surgery, patients who remained in the hospital were considered urgent procedures and those who were discharged were considered elective procedures.

Delirium Assessment

A brief delirium assessment (<15 min) was performed preoperatively and daily during the postoperative period, beginning on day 2. Because of the intensive postoperative care required after the CABG procedure, patients were not assessed on the day of surgery or postoperative day 1. Delirium was assessed using the validated diagnostic algorithm of the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM)¹⁵. Prior to completing the CAM, a standardized mental status interview was conducted, which included the MMSE¹⁴, digit span, the Delirium Symptom Interview (DSI)¹⁶, and the Memorial Delirium Assessment Scale (MDAS)¹⁷. Digit span asks patients to repeat a series of digits forward and backward and is a test of working memory and attention. The DSI is a validated interview for eliciting 8 key symptoms of delirium. The MDAS is a validated severity scale for delirium (range 0-30, 30=worst). This combined assessment for delirium has been shown to be highly reliable ($\kappa=0.95$) when administered by trained, non-clinician interviewers.¹⁸ In the event of an intubated patient, we assessed delirium using the CAM-ICU, a validated assessment for intubated patients, which uses the CAM diagnostic algorithm.¹⁹ The daily assessment was augmented with medical record review for evidence of intervening delirium features.

Operative Procedure

All patients underwent cardiac surgery (CABG, valve, or combined CABG-valve) under general anesthesia. The anesthesia protocol and operative procedure were performed in accordance with local hospital policies and protocols. The use of cardiopulmonary bypass, aortic cross clamp, high-dose heparin, apoprotinin, and hypothermia was at the discretion of the attending surgeon. Postoperative care, including pain control, was administered in accordance with local hospital policy and practice at each hospital. Intraoperative variables, including the use of cardiopulmonary bypass, were recorded from the operative, anesthesia, and perfusion records. Intraoperative variables were compared in those with and without delirium and adjusted for the preoperative prediction rule.

Statistics

Comparison of Derivation and Validation Cohorts—Preoperative characteristics of patients in the derivation cohort were compared to those in the validation cohort using a t-test for continuous variables and a Chi-square test for ordinal or dichotomous variables.

Derivation of Prediction Rule—We examined the distribution of all continuous variables. Variables with extremely skewed distributions were categorized according to clinically relevant cut-points if available, or at naturally occurring inflection points. There were few (n=6) patients with heavy alcohol use and alcohol use was dichotomized into users and non-users. IADLs and ADLs compared those with maximum numerical score to those with less than maximal score. The prevalence of individual laboratory abnormalities was low and we created a categorical variable, using the cut points from the Acute Physiology, Age, and Chronic Health Evaluation-III.²⁰ Albumin was categorized as an abnormal (≤ 3.5 or ≥ 4.5 g/dL) vs. normal value (3.6-4.4 g/dL) based on clinical cut points at our institution and a u-shaped bivariable relationship between albumin and delirium risk. BUN / Creatinine ratio ≥ 18 has been identified as an independent risk factor for delirium in medical patients²¹ and this dichotomization was preserved. Normally-distributed, continuous variables (age, body mass index, MMSE) were maintained as continuous in the imputation, bootstrapping, and multivariable models, but were categorized for the final predictive model.

Preoperative characteristics of patients who developed delirium were compared to those who did not develop delirium using a t-test for continuous variables and a Chi-square test for ordinal or dichotomous variables. Variables with a bivariable relationship associated with a test statistic with a p-value $\leq .10$ were selected for inclusion in the multivariable analyses using imputation and bootstrapping.

Missing data among the predictor variables was handled using a multiple imputation procedure with 20 resampling replications, which generated an augmented data base with (122 \times 20) 2440 observations with complete data.²² To protect from overfitting the data and to limit chance associations in the derivation sample from influencing the development of the prediction rule, we used a bootstrap resampling procedure to determine the independent factors associated with delirium. We generated 100 bootstrap samples from the derivation cohort (each of n=122, drawn randomly with replacement from the augmented sample). With each bootstrap sample, we modeled the prediction of delirium given selected predictors using a backward stepwise logistic regression model, retaining parameters significant at the 0.05 level. Factors associated with delirium were defined as those variables returning regression coefficients significant at an alpha level of 0.05 in at least 50% of bootstrap samples. To finalize the prediction rule, the remaining continuous independent risk factors were categorized using clinically meaningful cut points.

Validation Sample and Model Performance—The clinical prediction rule was applied to the validation sample using multiple imputation procedures for missing data. We present the incidence of delirium with increasing clinical prediction rule points and risk ratio relative to the lowest risk group. Model performance in both cohorts was measured with the C-statistic. A sensitivity analysis examined the C-statistic excluding patients who did not have cardiopulmonary bypass ('off-pump').

Statement of Responsibility—The authors had full access to and take full responsibility for the integrity of the data. All authors have read and agree to the manuscript as written.

Results

Delirium developed in 52% (n=63/122) of the validation cohort and in 44% (n=48/109) of the derivation cohort. The peak incidence of delirium was on postoperative day 2 and it persisted for a median of 1 day (range 1-27 days). Patients with delirium were more likely to have a longer length of stay (10.2 ± 6.3 vs. 7.5 ± 3.8 days, $p < .001$) and to be discharged to a nursing home or rehabilitation hospital (73% vs. 27%, $p < .001$). Table 1 describes the baseline characteristics of the derivation (n=122) and validation cohorts (n=109). Typical of the cardiac surgery patients at our medical centers and nationwide, patients were of advanced age (73.7 ± 6.7 years), mostly male (79%) and predominantly white (96%).²³ The derivation and validation cohorts were similar in their preoperative characteristics. However, the derivation cohort was slightly older, endorsed more depressive symptoms, had abnormal albumin more frequently, was more likely to undergo urgent surgery, and was more likely to have a CABG surgery. Five patients in the derivation and three patients in the validation cohort underwent 'off-pump' surgery.

The bivariable analysis comparing the risk factors in those with and without delirium in the derivation cohort is displayed in Table 2. Patients who developed delirium were significantly more likely to be older and tended to be female. Alcohol consumption was associated with a reduced delirium risk. Body mass index was significantly lower in patients with delirium. Patients with prior stroke/TIA were significantly more likely to develop delirium. Patients who developed delirium described significantly more depressive symptoms and had lower MMSE scores preoperatively. Suboptimal functional performance was not associated with delirium. In the laboratory profile, abnormal albumin was associated with increased incidence of delirium. Neither the urgency nor type of surgery was associated with delirium.

Table 3 presents the results of the multivariable model. Four variables were associated with delirium in at least 50% of bootstrap samples at an alpha level of 0.05 after backwards selection: MMSE, history of stroke/TIA, GDS, and abnormal albumin. These variables were selected for the development of the prediction rule. Based on the results of the multivariable modeling, a final prediction rule was developed using categorical versions of retained variables. Geriatric Depression Scale was dichotomized at >4 which has been shown to have good sensitivity (83-100%) and specificity (65-84%) for clinical depression.^{24, 25} MMSE was categorized into established clinically important ranges for definitive impairment (≤ 23), mild impairment (24-27), and not impaired (28-30).^{14, 26}

Clinical prediction rule points were assigned after standardization to the lowest regression coefficient. MMSE ≤ 23 was assigned 2 points. One point was assigned to MMSE 24-27, history of stroke/TIA, GDS >4 , and abnormal albumin. Table 4 describes the performance of the clinical prediction rule in the derivation and validation cohorts. In both cohorts, there was increasing risk of postoperative delirium with increasing risk score, and the model predicted well in both the derivation (C-statistic = .74) and validation (C-statistic = .75) cohorts, with no degradation of model performance in the validation cohort. Excluding "off-pump" patients, the model C-statistic was 0.73 in the derivation cohort and 0.78 in the validation cohort. Additionally, delirium severity, as measured by MDAS, increased with increasing risk score. For point levels of 0, 1, 2, and ≥ 3 , the mean MDAS score in the derivation cohort was 5, 7, 9, 11 ($p < .01$) and the validation cohort was 4, 7, 8, 9 ($p < .001$), respectively.

Intraoperative variables are presented in Table 5 comparing those with delirium and those without delirium. After adjustment for the clinical prediction rule, duration of anesthesia remained a significant predictor of delirium (RR 1.2, 95% CI 1.01, 1.4).

Discussion

In this prospective study of cardiac surgery patients delirium was extremely common. We identified four preoperative factors that were independently associated with postoperative delirium: impaired cognition, depressive symptoms, prior stroke or TIA, and abnormal albumin. With these factors, we developed a clinical prediction rule and validated this rule in a separate cohort. The rule performed well in both the derivation (C-statistic=0.74) and validation cohorts (C-statistic=0.75). When applying the risk stratification system, compared to no points, the presence of 1 point more than doubles the delirium risk, 2 points more than triples the delirium risk and the presence of 3 or more points more than quadruples delirium risk.

Our clinical prediction rule has face validity in that three of our risk factors, impaired cognition²⁷, prior stroke^{28, 29}, and depressive symptoms³⁰ have been identified in previous studies, but also adds substantial incremental value in that we have integrated these risk factors into a prediction rule that clinicians can use to stratify overall risk. Importantly, the identification of the additional risk factor of abnormal albumin extends the previous work. Albumin level is associated with operative mortality³¹ and has been hypothesized to be an overall biomarker of frailty, nutritional, and functional abilities.^{32, 33} In a non-cardiac surgery delirium prediction rule³⁴, low albumin was associated with delirium, but the high missing data rate precluded its inclusion in the modeling. Additionally, albumin plays an important role in intravascular volume status and drug binding. Thus, when recorded at the time of admission, low albumin may be a laboratory variable associated with lower functional level, as well as affecting hemodynamic shifts and pharmacokinetics of cognitively active drugs.

The cardiac surgery prediction rule for delirium may provide insights into our understanding of the pathophysiology of delirium. Several factors that we identified are potentially associated with central nervous system atherosclerotic disease (prior stroke/TIA, cognitive impairment, depression).³⁵ The two graded categories of cognitive function provides insight into the delirium risk of patients with milder degrees of cognitive impairment who do not meet the traditional dementia threshold (MMSE<24); this concept is consistent with the sub-dementia threshold frequently associated with vascular cognitive impairment. Further, there is an increasing literature on the association of vascular risk and depression.³⁶ Thus, atherosclerosis may be a common risk factor which can predispose patients to delirium.⁹

Our prediction rule conforms to a widely adopted approach for evaluating delirium risk, which considers predisposing factors (present prior to surgery) and precipitating factors (occur during and after surgery).^{21, 34} Our overall goal was to develop a preoperative clinical prediction rule based on predisposing factors. However, we also analyzed the additive contribution of intraoperative precipitating factors. The finding that duration of anesthesia was associated with delirium after adjustment for the preoperative prediction rule, could represent worse underlying disease, more complex surgery, and/or additional exposure to anesthetics. We will consider intraoperative and postoperative precipitating factors for delirium in our future work to determine additional delirium prevention strategies. As based on previous studies, prevention of delirium in high risk patients would focus on environmental modifications, early mobilization, psychoactive medication reduction, and prevention of complications.^{6, 7}

The rate of delirium in our study is at the higher end of published reports. There are several reasons for this. First, our study used state-of-the-art delirium detection methods including a standardized assessment which was delivered daily. This standardized delirium battery includes assessments for attention impairment which may not be identified in a routine clinical interview. The incidence of delirium after cardiac surgery varies widely (2-73%).² Studies utilizing a standardized battery^{37, 38} have found a higher incidence of delirium than studies

that assess delirium via chart review or nursing report.³⁹ Second, older age can be a risk factor for postoperative delirium^{28-30, 34} and this study enrolled patients over age 60 resulting in a mean age of 73 years, which is older than other studies of delirium after cardiac surgery and reflects the trend toward operating on older patients.²³ Finally, we included patients regardless of preoperative cognitive function. Thus, we likely have patients with vascular cognitive impairment, mild cognitive impairment, and/or dementia, who are much more likely to develop delirium.

There are several strengths to this study that warrant mention. First, the study derived and validated the prediction rule in independent cohorts at more than one medical center. The study included patients undergoing elective or urgent cardiac surgical procedures. Emergency patients would likely have a higher delirium rate, but were not included because of an inability to obtain a preoperative baseline interview. Additionally, we aggressively identified and verified preoperative characteristics that were included in the model to ensure accurate risk factor identification. MMSE and GDS took about 15 minutes to administer before surgery and were performed by trained research assistants. Additionally, the model performed similarly after excluding “off-pump” patients. Finally, our analytic approach combined data augmentation via multiple imputation and bootstrap resampling procedures for deriving the independent predictors included in the clinical prediction rule. This advanced statistical methodology may provide more stable variables for prediction-validation rules by minimizing the impact of missing data, limiting model over-fitting, and is superior to listwise deletion or regression to the mean.^{40, 41}

There are several limitations which need to be described. First, our population consisted of patients who were mostly white and well educated (>50% with education beyond high school) and recruited at academic medical centers in a single geographical region. MMSE performance may be improved with increased education, but in this study, education was not associated with delirium. This may limit generalizability to less educated populations, but internal validity should not be challenged. There was variability in the derivation and validation cohorts specifically in characteristics which were included in the model such as age, GDS, and albumin. However, the differences in these variables in the validation cohort bias toward the null with respect to delirium risk (lower age, less depressive symptoms, higher incidence of normal albumin, etc), and yet, the overall model performance showed no degradation between the derivation and validation cohorts. We were unable to measure all preoperative characteristics, such as carotid stenosis, which may predispose to delirium.⁹ Finally, the lowest risk in our prediction rule is 18-19%, which limits our ability to identify patients who might be excluded from interventions. The prediction rule performed better at predicting higher levels of delirium risk.

This study identified four cogent risk factors for delirium: MMSE, prior stroke or TIA, depression, and abnormal albumin. Delirium risk more than quadruples moving from the lowest to highest risk levels. Clinically, patients who are stratified into moderate and high risk for delirium categories would benefit from frequent delirium screening and implementation of delirium prevention strategies. Importantly, this cardiac surgery delirium prediction rule provides a method to preoperatively stratify at-risk older patients for such interventions to ultimately reduce the morbidity, mortality, and cost of postoperative delirium.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to the participants and our collaborators for making this work possible.

Funding Sources: This work was funded by the Harvard Older Americans Independence Center AG08812-14, and NIH grants (AG029861, AG00949, AG027549, AG0265566, AG030618, AG028189, AG004390). Dr Rudolph is supported by a VA Rehabilitation Career Development Award. Dr. Inouye is supported by the Levy Family Chair.

References

1. Gilman S. Cerebral Disorders after Open-Heart Operations. *N Engl J Med* 1965;272:489–498. [PubMed: 14250198]
2. Sockalingam S, Parekh N, Bogoch II, Sun J, Mahtani R, Beach C, Bollegalla N, Turzanski S, Seto E, Kim J, Dulay P, Scarrow S, Bhalerao S. Delirium in the postoperative cardiac patient: a review. *J Card Surg* 2005;20:560–567. [PubMed: 16309412]
3. Franco K, Litaker D, Locala J, Bronson D. The cost of delirium in the surgical patient. *Psychosomatics* 2001;42:68–73. [PubMed: 11161124]
4. Marcantonio ER, Flacker JM, Michaels M, Resnick NM. Delirium is independently associated with poor functional recovery after hip fracture. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000;48:618–624. [PubMed: 10855596]
5. Dyer CB, Ashton CM, Teasdale TA. Postoperative delirium. A review of 80 primary data-collection studies. *Arch Intern Med* 1995;155:461–465. [PubMed: 7864702]
6. Lundstrom M, Edlund A, Karlsson S, Brannstrom B, Bucht G, Gustafson Y. A multifactorial intervention program reduces the duration of delirium, length of hospitalization, and mortality in delirious patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:622–628. [PubMed: 15817008]
7. Marcantonio ER, Flacker JM, Wright RJ, Resnick NM. Reducing delirium after hip fracture: a randomized trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2001;49:516–522. [PubMed: 11380742]
8. Laupacis A, Sekar N, Stiell IG. Clinical prediction rules. A review and suggested modifications of methodological standards. *JAMA* 1997;277:488–494. [PubMed: 9020274]
9. Rudolph JL, Babikian VL, Birjiniuk V, Crittenden MD, Treanor PR, Pochay VE, Khuri SF, Marcantonio ER. Atherosclerosis is associated with delirium after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:462–466. [PubMed: 15743290]
10. Yesavage JA. Geriatric Depression Scale. *Psychopharmacol Bull* 1988;24:709–711. [PubMed: 3249773]
11. Katz S, Downs TD, Cash HR, Grotz RC. Progress in development of the index of ADL. *Gerontologist* 1970;10:20–30. [PubMed: 5420677]
12. Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist* 1969;9:179–186. [PubMed: 5349366]
13. Anesthesiologists ASoc. New classification of physical status. *Anesthesiology* 1963;24:111.
14. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. “Mini-mental state”. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189–198. [PubMed: 1202204]
15. Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegal AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. *Ann Intern Med* 1990;113:941–948. [PubMed: 2240918]
16. Albert MS, Levkoff SE, Reilly C, Liptzin B, Pilgrim D, Cleary PD, Evans D, Rowe JW. The delirium symptom interview: an interview for the detection of delirium symptoms in hospitalized patients. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 1992;5:14–21. [PubMed: 1571069]
17. Breitbart W, Rosenfeld B, Roth A, Smith MJ, Cohen K, Passik S. The Memorial Delirium Assessment Scale. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 1997;13:128–137. [PubMed: 9114631]
18. Simon SE, Bergmann MA, Jones RN, Murphy KM, Orav EJ, Marcantonio ER. Reliability of a structured assessment for nonclinicians to detect delirium among new admissions to postacute care. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2006;7:412–415. [PubMed: 16979083]
19. Ely EW, Inouye SK, Bernard GR, Gordon S, Francis J, May L, Truman B, Speroff T, Gautam S, Margolin R, Hart RP, Dittus R. Delirium in mechanically ventilated patients: validity and reliability of the confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit (CAM-ICU). *JAMA* 2001;286:2703–2710. [PubMed: 11730446]
20. Knaus WA, Wagner DP, Draper EA, Zimmerman JE, Bergner M, Bastos PG, Sirio CA, Murphy DJ, Lotring T, Damiano A. The APACHE III prognostic system. Risk prediction of hospital mortality for critically ill hospitalized adults. *Chest* 1991;100:1619–1636. [PubMed: 1959406]
21. Inouye SK, Charpentier PA. Precipitating factors for delirium in hospitalized elderly persons. Predictive model and interrelationship with baseline vulnerability. *JAMA* 1996;275:852–857. [PubMed: 8596223]
22. Royston P. Multiple imputation of missing values: update. *Stata J* 2005;5:118–201.

23. Heart disease and stroke statistics - 2004 update. Dallas, TX: American Heart Association; 2003.
24. Almeida OP, Almeida SA. Short versions of the geriatric depression scale: a study of their validity for the diagnosis of a major depressive episode according to ICD-10 and DSM-IV. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 1999;14:858–865. [PubMed: 10521885]
25. Rait G, Burns A, Baldwin R, Morley M, Chew-Graham C, St Leger AS, Abas M. Screening for depression in African-Caribbean elders. *Fam Pract* 1999;16:591–595. [PubMed: 10625132]
26. Crum RM, Anthony JC, Bassett SS, Folstein MF. Population-based norms for the Mini-Mental State Examination by age and educational level. *JAMA* 1993;269:2386–2391. [PubMed: 8479064]
27. Veliz-Reissmuller G, Aguero Torres H, van der Linden J, Lindblom D, Eriksdotter Jonhagen M. Pre-operative mild cognitive dysfunction predicts risk for post-operative delirium after elective cardiac surgery. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2007;19:172–177. [PubMed: 17607083]
28. Bucerius J, Gummert JF, Borger MA, Walther T, Doll N, Falk V, Schmitt DV, Mohr FW. Predictors of delirium after cardiac surgery delirium: effect of beating-heart (off-pump) surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2004;127:57–64. [PubMed: 14752413]
29. McKhann GM, Grega MA, Borowicz LM Jr, Bechamps M, Selnes OA, Baumgartner WA, Royall RM. Encephalopathy and stroke after coronary artery bypass grafting: incidence, consequences, and prediction. *Arch Neurol* 2002;59:1422–1428. [PubMed: 12223028]
30. Kazmierski J, Kowman M, Banach M, Pawelczyk T, Okonski P, Iwaszkiewicz A, Zaslonka J, Sobow T, Kloszewska I. Preoperative predictors of delirium after cardiac surgery: a preliminary study. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2006;28:536–538. [PubMed: 17088170]
31. Gibbs J, Cull W, Henderson W, Daley J, Hur K, Khuri SF. Preoperative serum albumin level as a predictor of operative mortality and morbidity: results from the National VA Surgical Risk Study. *Arch Surg* 1999;134:36–42. [PubMed: 9927128]
32. Schalk BW, Visser M, Deeg DJ, Bouter LM. Lower levels of serum albumin and total cholesterol and future decline in functional performance in older persons: the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. *Age Ageing* 2004;33:266–272. [PubMed: 15082432]
33. Sullivan DH, Sun S, Walls RC. Protein-energy undernutrition among elderly hospitalized patients: a prospective study. *JAMA* 1999;281:2013–2019. [PubMed: 10359390]
34. Marcantonio ER, Goldman L, Mangione CM, Ludwig LE, Muraca B, Haslauer CM, Donaldson MC, Whittlemore AD, Sugarbaker DJ, Poss R, et al. A clinical prediction rule for delirium after elective noncardiac surgery. *JAMA* 1994;271:134–139. [PubMed: 8264068]
35. Vinkers DJ, Stek ML, van der Mast RC, de Craen AJ, Le Cessie S, Jolles J, Westendorp RG, Gussekloo J. Generalized atherosclerosis, cognitive decline, and depressive symptoms in old age. *Neurology* 2005;65:107–112. [PubMed: 16009894]
36. Mast BT, Azar AR, Murrell SA. The vascular depression hypothesis: the influence of age on the relationship between cerebrovascular risk factors and depressive symptoms in community dwelling elders. *Aging Ment Health* 2005;9:146–152. [PubMed: 15804632]
37. Santos FS, Velasco IT, Fraguas R Jr. Risk factors for delirium in the elderly after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2004;16:175–193. [PubMed: 15318763]
38. Smith LW, Dimsdale JE. Postcardiotomy delirium: conclusions after 25 years? *Am J Psychiatry* 1989;146:452–458. [PubMed: 2929744]
39. Norkiene I, Ringaitiene D, Misiuriene I, Samalavicius R, Bubulis R, Baublys A, Uzdavynys G. Incidence and precipitating factors of delirium after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Scand Cardiovasc J* 2007;41:180–185. [PubMed: 17487768]
40. Austin PC, Tu JV. Automated variable selection methods for logistic regression produced unstable models for predicting acute myocardial infarction mortality. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2004;57:1138–1146. [PubMed: 15567629]
41. Sun GW, Shook TL, Kay GL. Inappropriate use of bivariable analysis to screen risk factors for use in multivariable analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1996;49:907–916. [PubMed: 8699212]

Table 1
Characteristics of the Derivation and Validation Cohorts

| Characteristic | Derivation Cohort (n= 122) | Validation Cohort (n=109) | p-value |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years) | 74.7 (6.3) | 72.6 (7.1) | .02 |
| Female sex n (%) | 25 (20%) | 29 (27%) | .27 |
| Non-white n (%) | 2 (2%) | 6 (5%) | .11 |
| Education | | | |
| <High School | 19 (17%) | 18 (15%) | .52 |
| High School | 44 (36%) | 32 (29%) | |
| >High School | 59 (49%) | 58 (53%) | |
| Unmarried n (%) | 38 (32%) | 46 (42%) | .12 |
| Tobacco Exposure | | | |
| None | 29 (26%) | 33 (31%) | .28 |
| 1-30 pack-years | 48 (42%) | 34 (32%) | |
| >=30 pack years | 36 (31%) | 39 (38%) | |
| Alcohol User | 66 (58%) | 58 (54%) | .53 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 28.3 (5.6) | 29.0 (5.6) | .36 |
| Hypertension | 99 (81%) | 97 (90%) | .11 |
| Hyperlipidemia | 84 (70%) | 90 (83%) | .02 |
| Diabetes | 56 (47%) | 41 (38%) | .18 |
| Stroke/Transient Ischemic Attack | 26 (22%) | 16 (15%) | .18 |
| Cancer | 9 (8%) | 15 (14%) | .12 |
| Geriatric Depression Scale | 3.3 (3.0) | 2.3 (2.1) | .005 |
| Instrumental Activities of Daily Living <21 | 57 (49%) | 54 (50%) | .90 |
| Activities of Daily Living <18 | 10 (9%) | 1 (1%) | .01 |
| ASA Class [†] | | | |
| 2 | 2 (2%) | 0 (0%) | .33 |
| 3 | 21 (18%) | 16 (15%) | |
| 4 | 97 (81%) | 93 (85%) | |
| Mini Mental State Examination | 26.9 (2.6) | 26.8 (2.7) | .74 |
| Albumin (g/dL) | | | |
| 3.6-4.4 | 61 (64%) | 75 (80%) | .02 |
| ≤3.5 or ≥4.5 | 34 (36%) | 19 (20%) | |
| Hematocrit (%) | 37.0 (4.5) | 36.2 (5.0) | .25 |
| Abnormal Laboratory Values [*] | 24 (20%) | 21 (19%) | .89 |
| Urea nitrogen : creatinine ≥18 | 81 (69%) | 67 (62%) | .30 |
| Urgent Surgery | 96 (79%) | 75 (69%) | .09 |
| Elective Surgery | 26 (21%) | 34 (31%) | |
| Type of Surgery | | | |
| CABG [‡] | 103 (84%) | 77 (71%) | .01 |
| Valve +/- CABG | 19 (16%) | 32 (29%) | |

* Abnormal electrolytes values: sodium <135 or >154(mg/dL); glucose <60 or >200(mg/dL); white blood cell count <3.0 or >20 (×1000/mcL)

[†]ASA American Society of Anesthesiology

[‡]CABG-Coronary Artery Bypass Graft

Table 2
 Prediction Cohort: Independent Risk Factors for Delirium

| Risk Factor | Delirium Mean (SD) n/N (%) (n=63) | No Delirium Mean (SD) n/N (%) (n=59) | Crude p-values | Risk Ratio (95%CI)* | Entered into stepwise model |
|---|---|--|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Mean Age (years) | 75.8 (6.5) | 73.5 (5.9) | .04 | 1.2 (0.9, 1.6)* | Yes |
| Female sex | 17 (27%) | 8 (14%) | .07 | 1.4 (1.0, 2.0) | Yes |
| White | 61 (97%) | 59 (100%) | .17 | | |
| Education | | | | | |
| >High School | 27 (43%) | 32 (55%) | .39 | Referent | |
| High School | 26 (41%) | 18 (31%) | | 1.3 (0.9, 1.9) | |
| <High School | 10 (16%) | 8 (14%) | | 1.2 (0.7, 2.0) | |
| Unmarried | 23 (37%) | 15 (24%) | .11 | 1.8 (0.9, 1.9) | |
| Tobacco Exposure | | | | | |
| None | 16 (27%) | 13 (24%) | .30 | Referent | |
| 1-30 pack-years | 28 (47%) | 20 (37%) | | 1.1 (0.7, 1.6) | |
| >=30 pack years | 15 (25%) | 21 (39%) | | 0.8 (0.5, 1.3) | |
| Alcohol Use | 28 (47%) | 38 (69%) | .02 | 0.6 (0.4, 0.9) | Yes |
| Mean BMI | 27.3 (5.7) | 29.4 (5.3) | .04 | 0.8 (0.6, 1.1)* | Yes |
| Hypertension | 51 (84%) | 48 (81%) | .75 | 1.1 (0.7, 1.8) | |
| Hyperlipidemia | 44 (72%) | 40 (68%) | .60 | 1.1 (0.7, 1.7) | |
| Diabetes | 28 (46%) | 28 (50%) | .86 | 1.0 (0.7, 1.4) | |
| Stroke/TIA | 19 (31%) | 7 (12%) | .01 | 1.6 (1.2, 2.3) | Yes |
| Cancer history | 3 (5%) | 6 (10%) | .28 | 0.6 (0.2, 1.6) | |
| Geriatric Depression Scale | 4.0 (3.3) | 2.6 (2.6) | .02 | 1.2 (1.0, 1.5)* | Yes |
| Instrumental Activities of Daily Living <21 | 33 (55%) | 24 (43%) | .19 | 1.2 (0.9, 1.8) | |
| Activities of Daily Living <18 | 7 (12%) | 3 (5%) | .23 | 1.4 (0.9, 2.2) | |
| ASA Class [†] 4 | 49 (80%) | 48 (81%) | .89 | 0.9 (0.6, 1.5) | |
| Mini Mental State Examination | 26.1 (2.9) | 27.7 (2.1) | <.001 | 0.8 (0.6, 1.0)* | Yes |
| Abnormal Albumin [‡] | 22 (43%) | 11 (25%) | .06 | 1.4 (1.0, 2.0) | Yes |
| Hematocrit (%) | 36.5 (4.7) | 37.4 (4.2) | .25 | 0.9 (0.7, 1.2) | |
| Abnormal Laboratory Values [§] | 13 (21%) | 11 (19%) | .86 | 1.0 (0.7, 1.6) | |
| Urea nitrogen : creatinine ≥ 18 | 43 (70%) | 38 (67%) | .65 | 1.0 (0.7, 1.5) | |

| Risk Factor | Delirium Mean (SD) n/N (%) (n=63) | No Delirium Mean (SD) n/N (%) (n=59) | Crude p-values | Risk Ratio (95%CI) * | Entered into stepwise model |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Urgent Surgery | 52 (83%) | 44 (75%) | .28 | 1.3 (0.8, 2.1) | |
| Valve Surgery (\pm CABG) | 9 (14%) | 10 (17%) | .69 | 0.9 (0.5, 1.5) | |

* For continuous variables, the risk ratio is expressed as the risk per standard deviation increase

† ASA-American Society of Anesthesiology;

‡ Abnormal Albumin ≤ 3.5 or ≥ 4.5 g/dL

§ Abnormal electrolytes values: sodium <135 or >154 (mg/dL); glucose <60 or >200 (mg/dL); white blood cell count <3.0 or >20 ($\times 1000$ /mcl)

|| CABG-Coronary Artery Bypass Graft

Table 3

Predictors of Delirium in Derivation Cohort. Results of multivariate modeling with bootstrap resampling.

| Variable | Bootstrapping Selection* | Included in Prediction Rule |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mini Mental State Examination | 87 | Yes |
| Prior Stroke /TIA [†] | 70 | Yes |
| Abnormal Albumin [‡] | 58 | Yes |
| Geriatric Depression Scale | 52 | Yes |
| Body Mass Index | 35 | No |
| Age | 32 | No |
| Alcohol use | 31 | No |
| Female Sex | 15 | No |

* Bootstrapping selection is the number of times in 100 replications a variable was retained in the final backwards stepwise selected model at an alpha level of 0.05. Bootstrap replications were drawn from augmented data set derived using multiple imputation.

[†] TIA-transient Ischemic attack

[‡] Abnormal albumin (≤ 3.5 or ≥ 4.5 g/dL)

Table 4
Performance of the Predictive Model in the Two Cohorts

| Risk Group | Prediction Rule Points | Delirium Rate | Risk Ratio (95% CI) | C-Statistic |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Derivation Cohort (n=122) | | | | 0.74 |
| | 0 | 5/25 (19%) | Referent | |
| | 1 | 20/44 (47%) | 2.4 (1.9, 3.0) | |
| | 2 | 23/36 (63%) | 3.2 (2.6, 4.0) | |
| | ≥3 | 15/18 (86%) | 4.4 (3.5, 5.6) | |
| Validation Cohort (n=109) | | | | 0.75 |
| | 0 | 5/29 (18%) | Referent | |
| | 1 | 21/48 (43%) | 2.4 (2.0, 3.0) | |
| | 2 | 13/22 (60%) | 3.4 (2.7, 4.2) | |
| | ≥3 | 9/10 (87%) | 4.9 (3.8, 6.2) | |

Table 5

Impact of prediction rule on intraoperative factors

| Variable | No Delirium Mean(SD) n/N (%) | Delirium Mean(SD) n/N (%) | Crude p-value | Crude RR* (95%CI) | Adjusted RR [†] (95%CI) |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| # anastomoses | 2.9 (1.0) | 3.0 (0.9) | .86 | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) | 1.1 (0.9, 1.3) |
| Initial Pulse | 67 (11) | 67 (12) | .80 | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) |
| Initial systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 141 (27) | 150 (24) | .01 | 1.2 (1.0, 1.4) | 1.1, (0.9, 1.4) |
| Surgery time (hr) | 3.8 (1.1) | 4.2 (1.1) | .01 | 1.2 (1.0, 1.4) | 1.2 (0.98, 1.4) |
| Anaesthesia time (hr) | 5.1 (1.2) | 5.6 (1.2) | .002 | 1.2 (1.0, 1.5) | 1.2 (1.01, 1.4) |
| Time of bypass (hr) | 1.5 (0.5) | 1.7 (0.6) | .004 | 1.2 (1.0, 1.4) | 1.2 (0.99, 1.4) |
| Intraoperative fluid (L) | 3.3 (1.0) | 3.6 (1.5) | .06 | 1.1 (0.9, 1.4) | 1.1 (0.9, 1.3) |
| Urine output (mL) | 847 (450) | 849 (611) | .98 | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) | 1.0 (0.9, 1.2) |
| Lowest BP during bypass (mmHg) | 52 (11) | 51 (8) | .61 | 1.0 (0.9, 1.2) | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) |
| Single cross clamp | 100/115 (87%) | 95/108 (88%) | .34 | 1.0 (0.7, 1.4) | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) |
| Cross clamp time (hr) | 1.1 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.5) | .008 | 1.2 (1.0, 1.4) | 1.2 (0.99, 1.4) |
| Lowest temperature (°C) | 33.1 (1.9) | 33.1 (1.8) | .76 | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) | 1.0 (0.9, 1.2) |
| Highest pH | 7.44 (0.06) | 7.45 (0.05) | .28 | 1.1 (0.9, 1.3) | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) |
| Lowest pH | 7.36 (0.05) | 7.35 (0.07) | .69 | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) |
| Highest pCO2 (mmHg) | 47 (6) | 47 (8) | .99 | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) | 1.0 (0.9, 1.2) |
| Lowest pCO2 (mmHg) | 37 (5) | 36 (5) | .09 | 0.9 (0.7, 1.1) | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) |
| Cell saver | 89/120 (74%) | 77/109 (71%) | .36 | 0.9 (0.6, 1.4) | 0.9 (0.6, 1.4) |
| Moderate – severe ascending aortic plaque [‡] | 36/90 (40%) | 34/82 (41%) | .85 | 1.0 (0.7, 1.6) | 0.9 (0.6, 1.4) |
| Intraoperative complications | 11/120 (9%) | 8/111 (7%) | 0.29 | 0.9 (0.4, 1.8) | 0.8 (0.4, 1.7) |

* For continuous variables, the risk ratio is expressed as the risk per standard deviation increase.

[†] Adjusted for the prediction rule[‡] Aortic plaque was assessed with intraoperative transesophageal echocardiogram