



**REDUCTION OF HALOACETIC ACIDS IN NATURAL SURFACE WATER BY INTEGRATED TREATMENT: COAGULATION AND MEMBRANE PROCESSES**

Journal:	<i>Desalination and Water Treatment</i>
Manuscript ID	TDWT-2016-0043.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Jul-2016
Complete List of Authors:	SENTANA, IRENE; UNIVERSITY OF ALICANTE, Water and Environmental Science Institute Benraouane, Ouafa ; Universite des Sciences et de la Technologie Houari Boumediene Laboratoire des Sciences du Genie des Procedes Industriels AIT-AMAR, Hamid; USTHB, Chemical Engineering Varo, Pedro; Universitat d'Alacant Boluda-Botella, Nuria; University of Alicante, Trapote, Arturo; University of Alicante, 1 University Institute of Water and Environmental Sciences Prats, Daniel; Universitat d'Alacant
Keywords:	Nanofiltration membrane, ultrafiltration membrane, Haloacetic acids, DBP, Coagulant

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## REDUCTION OF HALOACETIC ACIDS IN NATURAL SURFACE WATER BY INTEGRATED TREATMENT: COAGULATION AND MEMBRANE PROCESSES

Irene Sentana<sup>1</sup>, Ouafa Benraouane<sup>2</sup>, Hamid Ait-Amar<sup>3</sup>, Pedro Varó<sup>1</sup>, Nuria Boluda<sup>1</sup>, Arturo Trapote<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Prats<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Water and Environmental Science Institute, University of Alicante, P.O. Box 99, 03080 Alicante, Spain.

<sup>2</sup> Laboratory Process Engineering Sciences, University of Sciences Technology Houari Boumediene, USTHB, BP 32 El Alia Bab Ezzouar, 16111 Algiers.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Science, Saad Dahlab University of Blida, Blida 09000, Alger, Algeria.

Corresponding author

Irene Sentana. Water and Environmental Science Institute, University of Alicante, P.O. Box 99, 03080 Alicante, Spain. Telephone number: (+0034) 965903654. E-mail address: Irene.Sentana@ua.es. Ouafa Benraouane ([wafa142001@hotmail.com](mailto:wafa142001@hotmail.com)), Hamid Ait-Amar ([aitamarh@yahoo.com](mailto:aitamarh@yahoo.com)), Pedro Varó ([pedro.varo@ua.es](mailto:pedro.varo@ua.es)), Nuria Boluda ([nuria.boluda@ua.es](mailto:nuria.boluda@ua.es)) Arturo Trapote ([atj@ua.es](mailto:atj@ua.es)), Daniel Prats ([prats@ua.es](mailto:prats@ua.es)).

### ABSTRACT

The objectives of this research were to study the elimination of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and the reduction in the formation of haloacetic acid potential (HAAFP) when natural water from La Pedrera reservoir was treated with a single process of coagulation or filtration membrane, and a combined process (coagulation followed by treatment with membranes). In this research two coagulants, aluminium sulfate and polyaluminium chloride, and four membranes, two nanofiltration membranes (NF 90 and DESAL HL) and two ultrafiltration membranes (PES 5000 and PES 10000) were studied. The highest reduction in DOC was obtained when the natural water underwent the combined treatment of coagulation followed by NF90 membrane filtration. With this combined treatment the values of DOC rejection were over 82% independently of the coagulant used. For the single treatment with nanofiltration membranes, HAAFP rejection was 81% for NF 90 and 76% for Desal HL. However, a single treatment with coagulation or ultrafiltration membranes showed results for HAAFP rejection of under 35% and 26%, respectively. When a combined treatment of aluminium sulfate followed by ultrafiltration with the PES 5000 membrane was used, HAAFP rejection reached values of 80% approx. These values are very similar to the results obtained from a single treatment with NF 90 but with the advantage that the flux of PES 5000 is 4,000 times the flux of the NF 90 membrane. Therefore, this treatment should be given due consideration.

1  
2  
3 **KEY WORDS:** Nanofiltration membrane; Ultrafiltration membrane; Haloacetic acids;  
4 DBP; Coagulant.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

## 11 **1. INTRODUCTION**

12 It goes without saying that water is fundamental for life. Worldwide water  
13 consumption has tripled since 1950 due to the increase in population and to global  
14 economic development.  
15  
16

17 This increase in consumption and the uneven distribution of water resources  
18 worldwide, in combination with the effects of drought in certain regions, means that  
19 water is scarce. This scarcity compels us to use new sources of supply or to over-  
20 exploit the existing ones. In some cases, this over-exploitation and the use of new  
21 water resources may result in a water supply for human consumption the quality of  
22 which does not meet the basic health and hygiene standards which guarantee that  
23 human consumption is safe and free from health risks using traditional methods of  
24 purification [1].  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 Natural waters contain varying concentrations of different organic compounds. The  
31 composition of natural organic matter (NOM) present in water depends on its place of  
32 origin and on seasonal variations. NOM is formed by a large number of compounds  
33 from low molecular weight hydrophilic acids, carbohydrates, proteins and amino acids  
34 to higher molecular weight compounds such as humic substances. Most NOM in rivers  
35 and lakes is made up of humic acids (HA) and fulvic acids (FA). These substances act  
36 as precursors of various by-products generated during the disinfection processes, such  
37 as haloacetic acids (HAAs), trihalomethanes (THMs), haloacetonitriles (HANs) and  
38 haloketones (HKs).  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 The most commonly used chemical products for disinfecting water are chlorine,  
45 chloramines, chlorine dioxide and ozone. When the water contains organic substances,  
46 this disinfection process has the disadvantage of generating chlorination disinfection  
47 by-products (DBPs) [2]. The formation of these DBPs in drinking water occurs when the  
48 disinfectant (usually chlorine) reacts with NOM and/or bromide/iodide present in the  
49 water. The presence of DBPs in water and their effects have been widely addressed in  
50 research ever since their adverse health effects were discovered.  
51  
52  
53  
54

55 The characteristics of the organic matter affect the formation of chlorination by-  
56 products. NOM can be fractionated into hydrophobic or hydrophilic fractions. Some  
57 research indicates that during chlorination the hydrophilic fraction of NOM is mainly  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 what enhances the formation of THMs while the hydrophobic fraction is the  
4 predominant agent in the formation of HAAs [3].  
5

6 There are nine different types of HAAs: monochloroacetic acid (MCAA),  
7 dichloroacetic acid (DCAA), trichloroacetic acid (TCAA), monobromoacetic acid  
8 (MBAA), dibromoacetic acid (DBCAA), bromochloroacetic acid (BCAA),  
9 bromodichloroacetic acid (BDCAA), dibromochloroacetic acid (DBCAA) and  
10 tribromoacetic acid (TBAA).  
11

12 As regards HAAs, studies with laboratory animals have concluded that exposure to  
13 these types of acid in drinking water may contribute to the development of some  
14 cancers, and to an increase in the number of abortions in humans [4]. The United  
15 States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) classifies only two types of HAAs as  
16 being potentially carcinogenic. DCAA has been classified as a probable human  
17 carcinogen, while TCAA only as a possible human carcinogen [5]. Studies on DCAA  
18 have shown the formation of liver tumours in rats and mice exposed to high  
19 concentrations of this acid in their drinking water. Some studies indicate that in tests  
20 with mice, DBAA contributes to the development of liver and lung tumours, while in  
21 tests with rats, TCAA causes damage to the DNA of mammalian cells and  
22 chromosomal abnormalities, as well as malformations in the cardiovascular and renal  
23 systems. [6].  
24

25 Nowadays, various laws are in place to control the presence of disinfection by-  
26 products in water fit for human consumption. In general terms, most of the regulations  
27 specify the maximum concentration of THMs allowed, but fewer countries or states  
28 regulate the concentrations of other by-products such as HAAs. Currently, both  
29 Spanish and European legislation only regulate the presence of THMs, setting the  
30 value of the total sum of chloroform (CF), bromodichloromethane (BDCM),  
31 chlorodibromomethane (CDBM) and bromoform (BFM) below 100 µg/L [7]. Conversely,  
32 in the United States of America, in addition to a more restrictive maximum  
33 concentration value of THM, less than 80 µg/L, the maximum concentration of HAA<sub>5</sub>  
34 is set at 60 µg/L. As regards the maximum concentration of HAA<sub>5</sub> in drinking water, the  
35 Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality recommend a maximum acceptable  
36 concentration of 80 µg/L, whereas the guidelines of the World Health Organization  
37 (WHO) set a maximum concentration reference of 50 µg/L for DCAA, 20 µg/L for  
38 MCAA and 200 µg/L for TCAA.  
39

40 Because quality standards are increasingly demanding, and studies on the harmful  
41 effects on health of ingesting water contaminated with HAAs have proven their toxic  
42 effects, it is likely that in the near future government regulations limiting the  
43 concentration of HAAs in water will set more stringent values.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

1  
2  
3 In order to reduce the formation of HAAs, it is important to decrease the  
4 concentration of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in water prior to its chlorination.  
5 Among the techniques used to remove organic substances in water are: biological  
6 degradation, coagulation/flocculation activated carbon adsorption, ion exchange,  
7 membrane filtration and oxidation [8, 9].  
8  
9

10 Conventional treatments using coagulation/flocculation are currently the most  
11 frequently used for water purification in cities due to their low cost and good results in  
12 the removal of organic matter. These treatments are being combined in recent years  
13 with filtration, ultrafiltration and nanofiltration techniques.  
14  
15

16 Ultrafiltration and nanofiltration technologies for the reduction of NOM in water have  
17 been widely studied with different membranes and natural waters of different  
18 characteristics. Although the reduction in NOM using these membranes is high for  
19 synthetic waters, when natural waters are filtered the reduction in NOM is lower due to  
20 the fact that the molecular size of DOC in natural water is generally lower than in  
21 synthetic water [10, 11].  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 In the last decade, research has focused on applying combined treatments of  
27 membrane filtration and other techniques such as coagulation or the use of resins.  
28

29 Recent studies focus mainly on the analysis of membrane fouling and on improving  
30 coagulation treatments to reduce this fouling. Xu et al. (2015) [12] conducted a study  
31 with synthetic waters on the impact of organic coagulant aid on purification  
32 performance and membrane fouling in a coagulation/ultrafiltration hybrid process. Feng  
33 et al. (2015) [13] studied ultrafiltration membrane fouling with different aluminium  
34 coagulants on synthetic waters and ultrafiltration membranes of 100 kDa MWCO, as  
35 well as their efficiency in DOC removal. Wang et al. (2012) studied the effect of the size  
36 and structure of flocs on synthetic water following a combined coagulation/ultrafiltration  
37 process to remove precursors of disinfectant products with 30 kDa membranes at  
38 various pH and ferric coagulant concentrations [14]. Bergamasco et al. (2011)  
39 conducted a study with natural waters from a river in Brazil using  
40 coagulation/flocculation/ultrafiltration systems with ceramic membranes, observing that  
41 surface water coagulated with aluminium sulfate produced less membrane fouling than  
42 natural waters or those coagulated with chitosan [15].  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 Coagulation/flocculation on natural water followed by ultrafiltration or nanofiltration  
51 treatments with a view to observing their effects on the formation of HAAs, has not  
52 been extensively researched, since most studies focus on synthetic waters and their  
53 effect on THM using higher molecular weight membranes as compared to those used  
54 in the present study. For this reason, this research was carried out using water from the  
55 Mediterranean Basin of Spain, to study the effect of coagulation followed by  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

ultrafiltration or nanofiltration on the removal of DOC and the formation of HAAs when this treated water was subjected to a chlorination treatment. Moreover, the effect produced by the type of coagulant (polyaluminium chloride and aluminium sulfate) and four different membranes, two ultrafiltration (PES 5000 and PES 10000) and two nanofiltration (NF 90 and DESAL HL), was also studied. For each coagulant and each membrane type, their application in the purification of natural water was examined with the aim of introducing HAA formation potential (HAAFP), when either a single membrane filtration or coagulation treatment, or combined treatments (coagulation followed by membrane filtration) are used.

## 2. EXPERIMENTS

### 2.1 Feed water

Natural water from La Pedrera reservoir was used for this research. This reservoir is located in Southeastern Spain, in the province of Alicante, and is used as the water supply for several municipalities such as Orihuela.

Reservoir water samples were initially screened using a Wattman GF/C fiberglass filter of 0.45 $\mu$ m pore size to remove undissolved organic matter. The characterization of the reservoir water was carried out by determining the concentration of dissolved organic carbon (DOC), specific UV absorbance (SUVA), pH and conductivity. Values obtained from this characterization are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Feed water characteristics

La Pedrera reservoir water		
Parameters	Range	Standard deviation
pH	7.7	0.12
Conductivity ( $\mu$ S/cm)	808	16
DOC (mg/L)	4.5	0.31
UV <sub>254</sub> ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )	0.0337	0.0011
SUVA ( $\text{L m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ )	0.75	--

The molecular weight distribution is presented in figure 1.




Fig. 1. Apparent molecular weight distribution of La Pedrera reservoir water (mass percentage).

The water used in this study presents low values of organic matter, and the percentage of organic matter with an apparent molecular weight under 500 Da is 47% approx. The SUVA value of water under 3 L/(m gC) suggests that the DOC is primarily composed of non-humic materials and the organic matter is relatively hydrophilic [16].

## 2.2 Coagulants

Two coagulants were selected for our study: Aluminium sulfate  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 18\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ ) and Polyaluminium chloride (PAC). All the coagulants used had analytical grade.  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  was obtained from Normapur ProLabo, Spain, and PAC was purchased from Kemiro Iberica, Spain.

## 2.3 Membranes

Two nanofiltration membranes were used in this research: NF 90 and DESAL HL, produced by Dow Chemical and GE Osmonics respectively, as well as two ultrafiltration membranes, PES 5000 and PES 10000, supplied by GE Infrastructure Water and Process Technology. General information about the membranes is shown in Table 2.



Table 2. Properties of NF membranes tested.

Membrane	NF 90	DESAL HL	PES 5000	PES 10000
Material <sup>a</sup>	Polyamide TF	Polypiperazine-based	Polyethersulfone	Polyethersulfone
Model	FILMTEC™ NF90-400/34i	DESAL HL-51	Biomax TM	Biomax TM
MWCO <sup>a</sup>	200	150-300	5000	10000
Water permeability (m <sup>3</sup> /s.m <sup>2</sup> kPa) <sup>b</sup>	2.4 *10 <sup>-8</sup>	2.8*10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.100*10 <sup>-3</sup> - 0.116*10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.350*10 <sup>-3</sup> - 0.400*10 <sup>-3</sup>
Contact angle (°)	54 <sup>b</sup>	52 <sup>b</sup>	50 <sup>c</sup>	50 <sup>c</sup>
Zeta Potential (mV) (pH 7)	-24.9 <sup>b</sup>	-14.2 <sup>b</sup>	-5 <sup>c</sup>	-8 <sup>c</sup>

a Information provide by manufacturer

b Estimated in this study

c [17]

## 2.4 Experimental procedure

### 2.4.1 Coagulation experiment

Initially, for each coagulant, a series of experiments was carried out to determine the optimum concentrations, pH values and stirring speed to achieve maximum reduction in DOC and turbidity. The coagulation experiments were operated by jar test apparatus (Lovibond ET 740). The coagulation procedure was carried out in 4 steps: 1. Rapid mix at 250 rpm for 30 s to create a uniform sample; 2. Coagulant of a specific dosage was added, and mixed at 250 rpm for 120 s; 3. Slow mixing speed for 15 min to allow the particles to clump together; 4. Solution was left to settle for 20 min. After sedimentation, the supernatant was carefully withdrawn from about 2 cm below the surface for analysis. The turbidity, DOC and UV absorbance at 254 nm was measured for each sample. A dosage of 12 mg/L of Al for PAC and 15 mg/L of Al for Al<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> was considered optimum.

### 2.4.2 Coagulation-Membrane filtration process

Once the optimum dosage and process characteristics for each coagulant were determined as a result of the previous discontinuous assays described above, the rest of the coagulation experiments were performed using an experimental set-up allowing continuous testing. The experimental set-up used to perform the continuous experiments was specifically designed for this study (Figure 2). Two distinct phases were involved in the afore-mentioned experimental set-up, the first phase being where the process of coagulation and decantation (tanks B and C) occurred. The coagulant was dosed from tank A by a peristaltic pump E. The supernatant obtained in the settling tank C fell by gravity to reservoir tank D. Filtration treatment followed from tank D, after adjusting the pH to 7.0.



In the second phase (after adjusting the pH to 7.0), water was pumped from tank D (8L) through a gear pump, brand MICROPUMP model 200.15, to the membrane filtration module. The brand of the membrane filtration module is Rayflow®. It comprises two rectangular methacrylate plates in which two 77x174 mm flat membranes are introduced, thus achieving a total membrane filtration area of 26,800 mm<sup>2</sup>. Two different feed streams were obtained in the filtration module: permeate and retentate. Valve G in the retentate stream controlled the pressure of the system. The operational pressure with nanofiltration membranes was kept at 300 kPa, whereas ultrafiltration membranes were kept at 150 kPa. For each experiment, the permeate flow rate was determined and samples were removed periodically for analysis. Both permeate line I and retentate line J were reintroduced into tank D. At all times the system pressure and the water temperature were controlled through sensors. Values of DOC, UV 254 nm, conductivity, turbidity, pH, and HAAFP were determined from the extracted permeate.

Prior to the process of coagulation/ultrafiltration with natural water from the reservoir at La Pedrera, the conditioning of the new membranes was accomplished by flow determination with pure water until this flow was constant. Afterwards, the experiment was carried out with natural water for 28 hours. Once the experiment with natural water was finished, a third experiment with distilled water was conducted to determine the degree of irreversible fouling. This was done by means of a basic membrane wash.




Fig. 2. Schematic stream diagram of the experimental process. A coagulant tank; B Coagulation tank; C Sedimentation tank; D supernatant tank; E pump; F membrane module; G valve; H permeate; I recirculation of permeate; J Concentrate.

## 2.5 Analytical methods

The measurement of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was obtained using a Shimadzu TOC-5000 analyser, with a detection limit of 4µg/L. Ultraviolet absorbance (UV) was measured with a UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800) at a wavelength of 254 nm, previously adjusting the pH of the solutions to 7.0 by adding NaOH or HCl to the samples. The specific ultraviolet absorbance (SUVA) was determined as the  $UV \times 100 / DOC$  ( $Lm^{-1}mg^{-1}$ ) ratio. Conductivity and pH were determined using a CM35 Crison conductimeter and a pH meter 20+Crison, respectively. The turbidity was measured using a turbidimeter (Hach 2100P Co., US).

The apparent molecular weight (MW) distribution of the natural water was determined by means of sequential membrane filtration through membranes of decreasing molecular weight cut-off (MWCO). Source waters were fractionated in a 64 mm diameter stirred cell (model 8200, Amicon, Beverly, MA) by means of a series of regenerated cellulose acetate UF membranes (Millipore YM30, YM10, YM3 and YM1) of 30000, 10000, 3,000 and 1,000 Da nominal MWCO, respectively, and a cellulose acetate UF membrane (Millipore YC05) of 500 Da nominal MWCO, while bearing in mind that parameters such as the pH, ionic strength, type of membrane, pressure and calibration might affect the MW distribution as determined using this method [18].

To determine the potential formation of the different disinfection by-products, pre-chlorination was performed following the 5710B "Standard Methods for the Examinations of Water and Wastewater" method of chlorination with some modifications. 20 mg/L of  $Cl_2$  was used and the reaction was maintained under the conditions described in the standard method, with the reaction lasting 72 hours. After this time, the reaction was stopped with a reducing solution of  $NH_4Cl$  at a concentration of 40 mg/mL to prevent some DBPs from decomposing [19].

The HAAs formed after chlorination were determined by the EPA 552.2 method, including liquid-liquid extraction, derivation and gas chromatography with electron capture detection (GC/ECD). The patterns used for identification were obtained from Absolute Standards, INC. (EPA Method 552 Haloacetic acids, Methyl Derivatives, 100 µg/ml in Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE)). From the concentrated patterns, other patterns were developed using dilutions of MTBE and adding 1,2-dibromopropane as an internal standard (IS). Once the HAAs were extracted, the compounds were quantified with the GC/ECD method, using a DB-5MS column (Agilent J&W Scientific, USA) with a length, inner diameter and film thickness of 30 m, 0.322 mm and 1.00 µm, respectively. The ramp had the following characteristics; initial temperature of 40°C for 4 minutes; subsequently, the temperature was increased from 40°C to 200°C at a rate of 5°C/min. The gas flow carrier used was helium (1.8 ml/min). The injector operated at

1  
2  
3 a service temperature of 200°C, while the detector temperature was kept at 260°C.  
4 Method detection limits (MDL) for each HAA species were calculated from the standard  
5 deviation of the mean concentrations of three replicate analyses of 0.5 µg/L HAA  
6 standards. The corresponding MDLs for the HAAs: MCAA, MBAA, DCAA, TCAA, and  
7 DBCAA were 0.5, 0.6, 0.4, 0.8 and 0.8 µg/L, respectively.  
8  
9  
10

### 11 12 13 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 14 **3.1 Effect of single treatment in removing natural organic matter**

15  
16 Figure 3 shows the DOC removal rates for the natural water of La Pedrera reservoir  
17 having undergone a single treatment of coagulation or membrane filtration for each  
18 coagulant and membrane studied. Coagulation experiments shown here were carried  
19 out for the optimum doses of concentration, speed and pH obtained for each coagulant  
20 as had been previously established in this research. With a single treatment, the best  
21 DOC removal efficiencies were obtained with nanofiltration membranes, and  
22 specifically, for the NF 90 membrane followed by the DESAL HL membrane, where  
23 yields of 66% and 50%, respectively, were achieved. Significantly lower values were  
24 obtained with ultrafiltration membranes attaining only 14% for the PES 5000 membrane  
25 and 4% for the PES 10000 membrane. Among other factors, these low values  
26 achieved with the ultrafiltration membranes are due to the fact that the natural water  
27 from La Pedrera reservoir has a distribution of molecular weights lower than 1000 Da,  
28 as shown in Figure 1.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 Coagulation treatment with similar DOC removal results are obtained when  
38 coagulant  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  and PAC are used, reaching values of 26% and 31% approx.,  
39 respectively. The optimum dose of PAC coagulant used was lower than the dose used  
40 for  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  with 12 mg/L and 15 mg/L of Al values, respectively. For the same dose of  
41 Al with each coagulant, coagulation with PAC generally showed higher yields in the  
42 coagulation/flocculation process with regard to the study of parameters such as UV254,  
43 DOC and turbidity [20]. The best yields of organic matter removal with PAC are due to  
44 the fact that in solutions with pre-hydrolysed coagulants such as PAC, polymer species  
45 with high positive charges are more frequent amongst hydrolysis products than in non-  
46 pre-hydrolysed coagulants such as  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ . Even though the mechanism for  
47 removing organic matter is the same in both cases, it is slightly more responsive to the  
48 size of the hydrolysis products of PAC, since the probability of collision with the organic  
49 colloid is greater. Furthermore, Yang et al. (2010) indicate that the Zeta potential of the  
50 flocs formed when PAC is used is higher and, therefore, has a higher charge  
51 neutralization power than when  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  is used as coagulant [21]. Zheng et al. (2015)  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

[22] applied coagulation using a dosage of aluminium of between 15-14 mg/L. DOC rejection of between 20%-26% was obtained when natural water from the Simcoe river (Southern Ontario, Canada), were used. In their revision of the global process of coagulation to reduce organic matter in natural waters, Matilainen et al. (2010) [23] concluded that treatment with pre-hydrolyzed aluminium coagulants was more efficient than with the aluminium-based coagulant  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ . De la Rubia et al. (2008) [18] obtained a DOC removal efficiency of 74% approx. when natural waters were filtered using the NF 90 membrane, and 56% for THM reduction. These results are in line with the findings of the experiments of this research.




Fig. 3. Effect of single treatment on DOC removal efficiency (%). Aluminium sulphate coagulant ( $\text{SO}_4$ ),  $\text{FeCl}_3$ /polyaluminium chloride (PAC).

### 3.2 Effects of combined treatment in the removal of natural organic matter

Figures 4 and 5 show the percentage of DOC reduction in combined treatments with each coagulant and with nanofiltration and ultrafiltration membranes.

As evidenced in these graphs, all combination treatments enhance the reduction in dissolved organic matter in water although the reduction rates are not equally significant in all cases.

The best yields of organic matter removal are obtained for the combined treatment of coagulation and nanofiltration with NF 90 membranes (figures 4 and 5), where similar

1  
2  
3 reduction rates of 85% and 83% for coagulation with  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ +NF 90 and PAC+NF90,  
4 respectively, are achieved, showing no significant differences among the coagulants  
5 used. Combined treatment in comparison to single treatment with the NF 90 membrane  
6 alone, means an improved DOC reduction of approximately 17%.  
7  
8

9 Worse DOC removal efficiencies are obtained with the DESAL HL membrane  
10 compared to those obtained with the NF 90 membrane for combined treatment with  
11 coagulation and nanofiltration. In the case of combined use of coagulant  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  with  
12 DESAL HL membrane, yields reach 64% (figure 4) while those achieved with PAC+  
13 DESAL HL (figure 5) reached only 51%. In the latter case, the yield can be improved  
14 with a combined treatment but to a lesser extent than with the use of the NF 90  
15 membrane.  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 By adding coagulant, there was a partial reduction in organic material with some  
21 coagulant particles remaining in solution. These particles may have stuck to organic  
22 particles, neutralizing their charge and were more easily retained by the nanofiltration  
23 membranes. This retention is also greater because the NF 90 membrane charge is  
24 lower than the charge of the DESAL HL membrane.  
25  
26

27 For the combined treatment of coagulation followed by ultrafiltration, the best yields  
28 are obtained when  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  is used as coagulant followed by ultrafiltration with the  
29 PES 5000 membrane where a yield of 42% is reached, and 39% for the PES 10000  
30 membrane (figure 4). When compared to ultrafiltration treatment alone, a 28%  
31 improvement was achieved for PES 5000 and 35% for PES 10000. This improvement  
32 is due, on one hand, to the organic material removed during coagulation treatment and,  
33 on the other hand, to the fact that the organic matter remaining in suspension may  
34 have partially stuck to the coagulant or other molecules, or may be to some extent  
35 neutralized, and, therefore, has a less negative overall charge and is more easily  
36 retained by ultrafiltration membranes. For synthetic waters coagulated with Poly-ferric  
37 chloride (PFC), Wang et al. (2012) [24], obtained a DOC rejection of 20% approx. This  
38 value increased to 35% when a membrane filtration with polyvinylidene fluoride  
39 membranes with a molecular weight of 300 kDa was applied after coagulation. Xiangli  
40 et al. (2008) [25] obtained better DOC rejection results, when coagulation with PAC  
41 followed by ultrafiltration process with a hollow fibre membrane was used, as opposed  
42 to when  $\text{FeSO}_4$  was used as coagulant. Feng et al. (2015) [13] studied DOC removal  
43 efficiency with different aluminium coagulants on synthetic waters followed by  
44 ultrafiltration membrane (100kDa), achieving a DOC removal efficiency of 50% approx.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Fig. 4. DOC removal efficiency (%) with single or combined treatment with Aluminium sulphate coagulant (SO<sub>4</sub>), nanofiltration and ultrafiltration membranes.




Fig. 5. DOC removal efficiency (%) with single or combined treatment with PAC coagulant, nanofiltration and ultrafiltration membranes.

### 3.3 Effect of single treatment on the formation of haloacetic acids

Figure 6 shows the percentage reduction in the formation of HAAFP when the natural water of La Pedrera reservoir underwent a single treatment.

Based on the coagulation experiments, increased efficiency in the reduction in HAAFP formation occurred after coagulation treatment with PAC (40% yield), followed by treatment with the coagulant  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  (36% yield) as compared with untreated water.

Several studies indicate that the organic matter that most affects the formation of HAAs is as follows: Hydrophobic acid>Hydrophilic acid matter>weak hydrophobic acids>Hydrophobic neutral>hydrophobic bases, but it was worth noting that the specific HAAFP of weak hydrophobic acids is the highest followed by hydrophilic matter. In general, coagulation processes tend to eliminate higher percentages of organic matter with hydrophobic characteristics [26]. Zhao et al. (2013) [27] showed that for natural water treated with PAC, higher percentages of the fraction of weak hydrophobic acids were removed than in water treated with  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ , and for both coagulants the hydrophilic material removed was lower than hydrophobic material. Hence, PAC treated water produces a greater reduction in HAAFP.





Fig. 6. Percentage reduction in the formation of HAAFP for single treatments.

Likewise, if the results obtained with a single membrane filtration treatment are analysed, it can be observed that the reduction in HAAFP with nanofiltration membranes is about 81% for NF90 and 76% for DESAL HL. On the other hand, in ultrafiltration membranes the reduction values of HAAFP are 26% for the PES 5000 membrane and 22% for the PES 10000 membrane, which are lower values than those obtained with coagulation treatment. This is mainly because the water treated in the



present study has an increased hydrophilic matter presence with a low molecular weight that cannot be retained by ultrafiltration membranes, especially those of weak hydrophilic and hydrophobic characteristics. Therefore, yields obtained with ultrafiltration treatments were low. Tubić et al. (2013) studied the reduction of THMs and HAAs by coagulation; they obtained higher reduction rates when a mixture of  $\text{FeCl}_3$ /polyaluminium chloride (PAC) (30 mg  $\text{FeCl}_3$ /L and 30 mg of Al/L) was used, obtaining a 56% removal in HAAFP. This value was 24% higher than when  $\text{FeCl}_3$  was used as coagulant [7]. Other authors found that the coagulation process can reduce haloacetic acid formation potential by 15%-78% [28]. Zhao et al. [27] 2013, indicated in their research that the reduction in THMFP and HAAFP by PACI under enhanced coagulation could reach 51% and 59%, respectively, and the removal performance for HAA precursors by PACI was better than when  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  was used.

Figure 7 shows the concentration for each of the HAAFP found in the water samples which underwent a single treatment. Monochloroacetic acid was not detected. As can be seen in the chart for both natural and treated waters, the highest concentration obtained was for methyl dichloroacetate, followed by methyl dibromoacetate and methyl trichloroacetate, whereas the lowest concentration was for methyl bromochloroacetate. The compound values are clearly lower for the NF 90 membrane, resulting in an evident reduction in the concentration of methyl dichloroacetate.



1  
2  
3 Fig. 7. Concentration of the different HAAFP detected for original water and water  
4 undergoing a single treatment (membrane filtration or coagulation).  
5  
6  
7  
8

### 9 **3.4 Effect of combination treatment on the formation of haloacetic** 10 **acids**

11  
12 Figures 8 and 9 show the reduction rates of HAAFP, for combined treatments of  
13 natural water from the water reservoir La Pedrera, initially treated with a coagulant  
14 followed by a membrane filtration treatment.  
15

16  
17 From the results, it appears that coagulation treatment followed by nanofiltration  
18 failed to significantly increase the reduction in the formation of HAAFP when PAC was  
19 used as a coagulant, yielding similar values to those of nanofiltration membrane  
20 treatment alone. Using  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  as a coagulant improved the reduction in HAAFP by  
21 combining this treatment with the NF 90 membrane by 4%. The  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  coagulation  
22 treatment, followed by DESAL HL membrane, improved performance by 11%, reaching  
23 in this case total yield values of 87%.  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 However, significant changes can be observed in the case of combined treatment of  
29 coagulation followed by ultrafiltration. Ultrafiltration treatments alone yield values that  
30 do not exceed 26%, although for the combined treatment of PAC+PES 5000 a yield of  
31 65% is reached and 59% in the case of PAC+PES 10000. For  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ +PES 5000  
32 and  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ +PES 10000 the yield reaches 80% and 37%, respectively. This is a  
33 significant improvement, especially for the PES 5000 membrane combined with  
34  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  coagulant, as these values are similar to those obtained with the NF 90  
35 membrane but at much higher flow rates. This means a competitive advantage in the  
36 treatment due to the lower cost in the membrane filtration phase. Rakruam and  
37 Wattanachira (2014) [29], in their research, tested an in-line coagulation with a 40mg/L  
38 dosage of polyaluminium chloride on natural surface water and a subsequent treatment  
39 with a 0.1 $\mu\text{m}$  ceramic membrane, obtaining results of DOC and THMFP reduction  
40 slightly above 47% and 67%, respectively. Their results also showed that this type of  
41 water treatment proved to be more effective in reducing the hydrophobic fraction than  
42 the hydrophilic fraction.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Fig. 8. HAAFP rejection as a function of combined treatment. PAC coagulation and membrane filtration.




Fig. 9. HAAFP rejection as a function of combined treatment.  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  coagulation ( $\text{SO}_4$ ) and membrane filtration.

### 3.5 Effect on flow variation in the membrane filtration process

Values of relative permeability ( $J/J_0$ ) as opposed to time are shown in figures 10, 11, 12 and 13 for each of the membranes studied. For each membrane, flow variation is shown for the water at La Pedrera without coagulation but before membrane filtration and with filtered water once it has been coagulated either with  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  or PAC. From these graphs, it can be deduced that in the process of ultrafiltration with the PES 5000 and PES 10000 membranes, the greatest flow reduction occurs for untreated water,

1  
2  
3 reaching values of 11% for the PES 5000 membrane and 17% for PES 10000. Pre-  
4 treatment with  $Al_2(SO_4)_3$  causes less flow loss in both cases. For both ultrafiltration  
5 membranes the lowest flow losses are obtained when coagulation with PAC precedes  
6 ultrafiltration. These data are consistent with the highest DOC removal with this pre-  
7 treatment. Flow loss for both membranes when PAC pre-treatment is used is 5%  
8 approx.

9  
10  
11  
12 In the case of nanofiltration membranes (figures 12 and 13), the flow loss is slightly  
13 higher than in the ultrafiltration membranes. When the water from La Pedrera reservoir  
14 was filtered with the DESAL HL membrane without previous coagulation, the flow loss  
15 was 19%. In both membranes, pre-treated water generates a slightly lower flow loss.  
16 However, this is less significant than that observed in ultrafiltration membranes. For  
17 both membranes, the use of pre-treatment with coagulant PAC causes the lowest flow  
18 loss: for the DESAL HL membrane 10%, for NF 90 it was 9%.

19  
20  
21  
22 Shuang Zhao et al. 2015 [30] studied the rejection of fulvic acid when they treated  
23 synthetic waters using coagulation treatment with Polyaluminium chloride followed by  
24 ultrafiltration process with 100 kDa membranes. Their findings showed that the process  
25 of coagulation before ultrafiltration was capable of reducing membrane fouling by about  
26 10%-20% approx. when compared with the decrease obtained with ultrafiltration  
27 treatment without previous coagulation. These differences depended on the dosage of  
28 coagulant used.

29  
30  
31  
32 Xu et al (2015) worked on the impact of organic coagulant aids in synthetic waters.  
33 The effect on membrane fouling in a coagulation/ultrafiltration hybrid process was  
34 studied. The study shows that the flow reduction for conventional  
35 coagulation/ultrafiltration systems using aluminium coagulation is 52% approx., while  
36 the addition of polydimethyldiallylammonium chloride reduces this flow loss to 34% for  
37 ultrafiltration membranes with a MWCO of 100kDa [12].  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Fig. 10. Effect of coagulation on the relative permeability (J/Jo) of the ultrafiltration PES 10000 membrane as a function of time.




Fig. 11. Effect of coagulation on the relative permeability (J/Jo) of the ultrafiltration PES 5000 membrane as a function of time.




Fig. 12. Effect of coagulation on the relative permeability ( $J/J_0$ ) of the nanofiltration DESAL HL membrane as a function of time.




Fig. 13. Effect of coagulation on the relative permeability ( $J/J_0$ ) of the nanofiltration NF 90 membrane as a function of time.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the experiments carried out, it can be concluded that the two nanofiltration membranes studied, NF 90 and DESAL HL, achieve better results in organic matter and HAAFP reduction than the ultrafiltration membranes PES 5000 and PES 10000.

In all cases, pre-treatment with coagulation improves DOC removal yields. Coagulation with PAC followed by NF 90 produces the best DOC rejection at 83% with optimum doses of aluminium. However, the flux of this membrane is low when compared with DESAL HL or ultrafiltration membranes (PES 5000 or PES 10000).

Ultrafiltration or single treatment coagulation produced a very low rejection of HAAFP, which was always below 40%. On the other hand, single treatment with the NF 90 filtration membrane produced a level of HAAFP rejection of 84% approx. However, the flux of this membrane was very low when compared with ultrafiltration membranes.

The lower production of HAAFP was achieved when the waters were treated with coagulant  $Al_2(SO_4)_3$  followed by membrane filtration with the DESAL HL membrane (87% less). However, it is important to emphasize that in the treatment with aluminum sulfate followed by ultrafiltration with the PES 5000 membrane, the results for HAAFP rejection show values of 80% approx. These values are in the same order as those obtained with a single treatment with nanofiltration membranes. However, the flux of the PES 5000 membrane was 4,000 and 3,500 times higher than the flux obtained with the NF 90 and DESAL HL membranes, respectively.

#### 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (CTM2013-46669-R "Combined treatments for degradation and removal of emerging contaminants in water") for providing financial support.

#### 6. REFERENCES

- [1] I. Delpla, T. Benmarhnia, A. Lebel, P. Levallois, M.J. Rodríguez, Investigating social inequalities in exposure to drinking water contaminants in rural areas, *Environ. Pollut.*, 207 (2015) 88–96.
- [2] H. Chen-Yan, Z. He-Zhen, L. Yi-Li, Z. Tian-Yang, Z. Fan, X. Bin, Dissolved organic matter fractions and disinfection by-product formation potential from major raw waters in the water-receiving areas of south-to-north water diversion project, China. *Desal. Wat. Treat.*, 56 (2015) 1689-1697.
- [3] J. Lu, T. Zhang, J. Ma, Z. Chen, Evaluation of disinfection by-products formation during chlorination and chloramination of dissolved natural organic matter fractions isolated from a filtered river water, *J Hazard. Mater.*, 162 (2009) 140-145.
- [4] W.D. King, L. Dodds, A.C. Allen, B.A. Armson, D. Fell, C. Nimrod, Haloacetic acids in drinking water and risk for stillbirth, *Occup. Environ. Med.*, 62 (2005) 124-127.



- 1  
2  
3 [5] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Integrated Risk Information System, EPA 635/R-03/007, 2003, Washington, DC.  
4  
5 [6] M.K. Smith, J.L. Randall, E.J. Read, J.A. Stober, Teratogenic activity of  
6 trichloroacetic acid in the rat, *Teratology*, 40 (1989) 445-451.  
7  
8 [7] A. Tubić, J. Agbaba, B. Dalmacija, J. Molnar, S. Maletić, M. Watson, S. Ugarčina  
9 Perović, Insight into changes during coagulation in NOM reactivity for  
10 trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids formation, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 118 (2013)  
11 153-160.  
12  
13 [8] Q. Wang, Y. Ma, A. Li, J. Wang, R. Ma, Study on the removal of dissolved  
14 organic matters in the raw water by a new magnetic anion-exchange resin, *Desal.*  
15 *Wat. Treat.*, 57 (2016) 572-581.  
16  
17 [9] E. Sperczyńska, L. Dąbrowska, E. Wiśniowska, Removal of turbidity, colour and  
18 organic matter from surface water by coagulation with polyaluminium chlorides and  
19 with activated carbon as coagulant aid, *Desal. Wat. Treat.*, 57 (2016) 1139-1144.  
20  
21 [10] Y. Yoon, G. Amy, J. Cho, N. Her, Effects of retained natural organic matter  
22 (NOM) on NOM rejection and membrane flux decline with nanofiltration and  
23 ultrafiltration, *Desalination*, 173 (2005) 209-221.  
24  
25 [11] M. N. Abu Seman, D. Johnson, S. Al-Malek, N. Hilal, Surface modification of  
26 nanofiltration membrane for reduction of membrane fouling, *Desal. Wat. Treat.*, 10  
27 (2009), 298-305.  
28  
29 [12] W. Xu, Q. Yue, B. Gao, B. Du, Impacts of organic coagulant aid on purification  
30 performance and membrane fouling of coagulation/ultrafiltration hybrid process with  
31 different Al-based coagulants, *Desalination* 363 (2015) 126-133.  
32  
33 [13] L. Feng, W. Wang, R. Feng, S. Zhao, H. Dong, S. Sun, B. Gao, Q. Yue,  
34 Coagulation performance and membrane fouling of different aluminum species  
35 during coagulation/ultrafiltration combined process, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 262 (2015)  
36 1161-1167.  
37  
38 [14] Y. Wang, Q. Wang, B.Y. Gao, Q. Yue, Y. Zhao, The disinfection by-products  
39 precursors removal efficiency and the subsequent effects on chlorine decay for  
40 humic acid synthetic water treated by coagulation process and coagulation-  
41 ultrafiltration process, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 193-194 (2012) 59-67.  
42  
43 [15] R. Bergamasco, L.C. Konradt-Moraes, M. Fernandes Vieira, M.R. Fagundes-  
44 Klen, A. Marquetotti Salcedo Vieira, Performance of a coagulation-ultrafiltration  
45 hybrid process for water supply treatment, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 166 (2011) 483-489.  
46  
47 [16] M.R. Teixeira, M.J. Rosa, pH adjustment for seasonal control of UF fouling by  
48 natural waters, *Desalination*, 151 (2002) 165-175.  
49  
50 [17] K. Majewska-Nowak, I. Kowalska, M. Kabsch-Korbutowicz, Ultrafiltration of  
51 SDS solutions using polymeric membranes, *Desalination*, 184 (2005) 415-422.  
52  
53 [18] A. de la Rubia, M. Rodríguez, VM. León, D. Prats, Removal of natural organic  
54 matter and THM formation potential by ultra- and nanofiltration of surface water,  
55 *Water Res.*, 42 (2008) 714-722.  
56  
57 [19] F.J. Rodríguez, *Procesos de potabilización del agua e influencia del*  
58 *tratamiento de ozonización*, Díaz de Santos S.A. Publishers, 2003.  
59  
60 [20] M. Kabsch-Korbutowicz, Effect of Al coagulant type on natural organic matter  
removal efficiency in coagulation/ultrafiltration process, *Desalination*, 185 (2005)  
327-333.

- 1  
2  
3 [21] Z. Yang, B. Gao, Q. Yue, Coagulation performance and residual aluminum  
4 speciation of  $Al_2(SO_4)_3$  and polyaluminum chloride (PAC) in Yellow River water  
5 treatment, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 165 (2010) 122-132.  
6  
7 [22] D. Zheng, R. C. Andrews, S. A. Andrews, L. Taylor-Edmonds, Effects of  
8 coagulation on the removal of natural organic matter, genotoxicity, and precursors  
9 to halogenated furanones, *Water Res.*, 70 (2015) 118-129  
10  
11 [23] A. Matilainen, M. Vepsäläinen, M. Sillanpää, Natural organic matter removal by  
12 coagulation during drinking water treatment: A review. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*,  
13 159 (2010) 189-197.  
14  
15 [24] Y. Wang, R. Mao, Q. Wang, Z. Yang, B. Gao, Y. Zhao, Fulvic acid removal  
16 performance and control of disinfection by-product formation potential in  
17 coagulation-ultrafiltration process, *Desalination*, 302 (2012) 55-64.  
18  
19 [25] Q. Xiangli, Z. Zhenjia, W. Nongcun, V. Wee, M. Low, C.S. Loh, Ng T. Hing,  
20 Coagulation pretreatment for a large-scale ultrafiltration process treating water from  
21 the Taihu River, *Desalination*, 230 (2008) 305-313.  
22  
23 [26] D. Gang, T.E. Cleverger, S.K. Banerji, Effects of Alum Coagulation on  
24 Speciation and Distribution of Trihalomethanes (THMs) and Haloacetic Acids  
25 (HAAs), *J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part A*, 40 (2005) 521-534.  
26  
27 [27] Y. Zhao, F. Xiao, D. Wang, M. Yan, Z. Bi, Disinfection byproduct precursor  
28 removal by enhanced coagulation and their distribution in chemical fractions, *J.*  
29 *Environ. Sci.*, 25 (2013) 2207-2213.  
30  
31 [28] P. C. Singer, K. Bilyk, Enhanced coagulation using a magnetic ion exchange  
32 resin, *Water Res.*, 36 (2002), 4009-4022.  
33  
34 [29] P. Rakruam, S. Wattanachira, Reduction of DOM fractions and their  
35 trihalomethane formation potential in surface river water by in-line coagulation with  
36 ceramic membrane filtration, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 26 (2014) 529-536.  
37  
38 [30] S. Zhao, B. Gao, S. Sun, Q. Yue, H. Dong, W. Song, Coagulation efficiency,  
39 floc properties and membrane fouling of polyaluminum chloride in coagulation-  
40 ultrafiltration system: The role of magnesium, *Colloids and Surfaces A:*  
41 *Physicochem. Eng. Aspects*, 469 (2015) 235-241.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60