metadata, citation and similar papers at <u>core.ac.uk</u>

Salivary Epithelial Cells as Model to Study Immune Response Against Cutaneous Pathogens

Thais C. Negrini, Ph.D.¹, Rodrigo A. Arthur, Ph.D.^{2,3}, Robert A. Waeiss, B.S.², Iracilda Z. Carlosa, Ph.D.¹, and Mythily Srinivasan, B.D.S., M.D.S., Ph.D.⁴

Abstract

The human skin not only provides passive protection as a physical barrier against external injury, but also mediates active surveillance via epidermal cell surface receptors that recognize and respond to potential invaders. Primary keratinocytes and immortalized cell lines, the commonly used sources to investigate immune responses of cutaneous epithelium are often difficult to obtain and/or potentially exhibit changes in cellular genetic make-up. Here we investigated the possibility of using salivary epithelial cells (SEC) to evaluate the host response to cutaneous microbes. Elevated secretion of IFN- γ and IL-12 was observed in the SEC stimulated with *Staphylococcus aureus,* a transient pathogen of the skin, as mono species biofilm as compared to SEC stimulated with a commensal microbe, the *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Co-culture of the SEC with both microbes as dual species biofilm elicited maximum cytokine response. Stimulation with *S. aureus* alone but not with *S. epidermidis* alone induced maximum toll-like receptor-2 (TLR-2) expression in the SEC. Exposure to dual species biofilm induced a sustained upregulation of TLR-2 in the SEC for up to an hour. The data support novel application of the SEC as efficient biospecimen that may be used to investigate personalized response to cutaneous microflora. Clin Trans Sci 2014; Volume 7: 48–51

Keywords: biofilm, cytokines, salivary epithelial cells, TLR-2

Introduction

Human skin is an intricate habitat for many commensal bacteria that play a significant role in protecting the host against pathogenic bacteria.¹ Chronic wounds represent a disease state in which the break in the continuity of the cutaneous barrier and the disruption of the commensal microbial balance promote the development of organized microbial community or biofilm within the wound environment.² The colonizing biofilm may be nonpathogenic but is capable of causing inflammation and bacterial dissemination depending on the host response. A tipping of the balance from colonization to overt biofilm mediated infection is a significant concern in the management of chronic wounds.^{1,3}

The use of animal models to investigate host-microbial interactions in human skin is limited by the intrinsic differences between the animal and human skin.⁴ Primary cultures of foreskin keratinocytes or immortalized keratinocyte cell lines are alternate *in vitro* methods to study the epithelial response to the skin flora.⁵ Potential changes in the cellular genetic make-up subsequent to immortalization and the limited use of exfoliated surface squames are significant hurdles that compromise the applicability of these sources.

The human skin and oral mucous membrane share several structural and functional characteristics such as the lining by stratified squamous epithelium and the ability to respond to microbes via conserved pattern recognition receptors.⁵⁻⁷ Furthermore both skin and the oral epithelium undergo physiological desquamation as a measure to maintain the thickness and integrity of the physical barrier. However, a major distinction is the exfoliation of high percentage of nucleated epithelial cells from the mucosa as compared to the skin. The exfoliated epithelial cells from the oral mucosa constitute a significant cellular component in the saliva.^{5,8}

Previously others and we have shown that the epithelial cells derived from human saliva are viable and capable of responding to microbial products *in vitro*.^{4,9} The objective of this study is

to determine the applicability of salivary epithelial cells (SEC) as bio-specimen to investigate the immune response to mono or dual species biofilm consisting of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteria representing commensal and transient pathogen of the skin flora, respectively. Our data suggest that the SEC secrete increased inflammatory cytokines such as IFN- γ and IL-12 in response to *S. aureus* biofilm as compared to *S. epidermidis* biofilm consistent with similar observations in primary epidermal keratinocytes.^{10,11}

Material and Methods

Biofilm preparation: Briefly, frozen stocks of S. aureus (ATCC 25923) and S. epidermidis (ATCC 155) were grown on Columbia Blood Agar plates supplemented with 5% sheep blood (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Heidelberg, Germany) for 24 hours at 37°C. Colony Forming Units (CFU) were then incubated at 37°C in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; Difco laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) supplemented with 0.5% glucose (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA) for 18 hours. Aliquots of individual strain suspension or a combination of equal volume of the two strains was then incubated in fresh TSB/0.5% glucose until an optical density $OD_{550} = 0.8$ (approximately 10^5 CFU/ mL) was reached. ^{12,13} Single or dual-species suspension (0.5 mL) was overlaid on 2.0 mL of TSB/0.5% glucose in 24-well culture plates. A piece of 0.9×0.8 mm of sterile nitrocellulose membrane was inserted in each well and the plate incubated at 37°C sequentially with and without constant agitation for 90 minutes. The membranes with adherent biofilms were used for co-culture experiments.

Isolation of oral epithelial cells from saliva

The saliva was collected from a single healthy volunteer (with no known oral/ systemic disorders) by the drooling method after obtaining informed consent in accordance with the institutional

Correspondence: M Srinivasan (mysriniv@iupui.edu)

DOI: 10.1111/cts.12113

¹Department of Clinical Analysis, Araraquara School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, São Paulo State University, São Paulo, Brazil; ²Department of Preventive and Community Dentistry, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul School of Dentistry, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil; ³Department of Preventive and Community Dentistry; ⁴Department of Oral Pathology, Medicine and Radiology, Indiana University School of Dentistry, Indianapolis, IN, USA.



Figure 1. Biofilm grown on nitrocellulose membrane: Counts of viable bacteria as indicated on biofilms (CFU/ mm2) after 3 hours of incubation (mean \pm SD).

Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA): Cytokines in the culture supernatants were determined using Opt ELISA kits (BD Opt[™], BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).¹⁴ Data are expressed as percent production of cytokines with respect to the SEC cultured alone.

Statistical analysis: Statistical difference in cytokines and TLR-2 expression between the different treatments of SEC was determined by pair-wise *t*-test. p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Nature of the biofilm

The bacterial species for biofilm formation were cultured on sterile nitrocellulose membrane. After 3 hours of mono-species biofilm formation, counts of *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* were 3.93 \times 10⁴ ± 2.2 \times 10⁴ and 6.38 \times 10⁵ ± 6.37 \times 10⁵ CFU/mm², respectively. In dual-species biofilms,

review board at IUPUI.⁹ Saliva was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes. The pellet obtained was washed in isotonic diluent (Hematronix Inc., Plano, TX, USA) added 2 drops of Zap-o-Globin lytic reagent (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) and then incubated in keratinocyte growth medium (Genlantis Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) supplemented with 5% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C. The culture medium was changed every two days until the formation of a confluent monolayer of epithelial cells. Absence of leukocytes and the purity of SEC was determined by staining for leucocyte specific CD45 and epithelial cell specific pancytokeratin, respectively and assessed by flow cytometry (data not shown). The cultures of SEC showed fewer and fewer microbes with each passage as visualized by light microscope and Gram's staining.

Co-culture of oral epithelial cells and biofilms: The number of viable cells was determined by trypan blue exclusion using Countess, an automated cell counter (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA). Epithelial cell suspension at 10⁴ cells/mL was cultured in keratinocyte growth medium at 37°C and 5% CO_2 in 24 well plates for 24 hours. Subsequently the cells were co-cultured with membrane bound mono or dual-species biofilm at 10⁵ species/membrane for periods of 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 minutes. Epithelial cells exposed to sterile membranes (without biofilms) served as control. The culture supernatant and the cells collected at the end of each time point were stored at -80° C until further analysis.

Real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR): Total cellular RNA isolated from the SECs was reverse transcribed and specific genes were amplified by realtime PCR on the ABI Prism 7000 (Perkin Elmer Applied Systems, Foster City, CA, USA) as described.⁹ Message for small proline rich protein (SPRR 2a), a gene abundantly expressed in stratified squamous epithelia was amplified as an internal control. The primers include; toll like receptor (TLR)-2F: 5'-GGCCAGCAAA TTACCTGTGT-3'; TLR-2R: 5'-TTCTCCACCCAGTAGGCATC-3' and SPRR2aF: 5'-AGTGC CAGCAGAAATATCCTCC-3', SPRR2aR: 5'-GAACGAGGTGAGCCAAATATCC- 3'.¹⁴ The fold change in the gene normalized to that of SPRR was determined by the 2^{-ΔΔCt} method with untreated controls as the reference samples. CFU/ mm², respectively. (*Figure 1*)

counts of total cells, S. aureus and S. epidermidis were 1.4×10^5

 \pm 6.0 × 10⁴, 1.93 × 10⁴ ± 6.21 × 10³ and 4.88 × 10⁴ ± 2.53 × 10⁴

SEC express increased response to dual species biofilm

Human keratinocytes, oral and epidermal, have been shown to respond to microbes or their products by secreting proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines.6 The functional potential of the purified SECs was evident from their ability to secrete cytokines in response to biofilm exposure. The concentration of IL-6 in the supernatants of unstimulated SEC at 0,15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 minutes time points was 0.8, 2.6, 3.6, 5.0, and 7.1 ng/mL, respectively. The IL-6 secretion exhibited a transient slight increase following exposure to mono or dual species biofilm followed by gradual decrease although no significant difference was observed between the supernatants collected at different time points (Figure 2A). The concentration of IL-8 in the supernatants of unstimulated SEC at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 minutes time points was 3.4, 3.6, 3.0, 3.0, 2.3, and 3.1 ng/mL, respectively. Stimulation of SEC with S. epidermidis biofilm or dual species biofilm mediated significantly higher IL-8 secretion at one hour after exposure. IL-8 concentration was equivalent in the culture supernatants of SEC stimulated with S. aureus biofilm at all timepoints assessed (*Figure 2B*). The concentration of IFN- γ in the supernatants of unstimulated SEC at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 minutes time points was 0.06, 0.2, 0.23, 0.96, 0.98, and 1.0 ng/mL, respectively. The percent production of IFN- γ secretion was significantly higher in the culture supernatants of both mono and dual species biofilm at the later time points of 45, 60, and 75 minutes as compared to the supernatant collected at earlier time points. Interestingly, the cultures of SEC stimulated with S. aureus exhibited higher IFN-y secretion as compared with SEC cultured with S. epidermidis alone significantly at 60 and 75 minutes (Figure 2C). The concentration of IL-12 in the supernatants of unstimulated SEC at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 minutes time points was 4.0, 4.0, 4.3, 4.8, 4.6, and 5.0 ng/mL, respectively. The percent production of IL-12 secretion was significantly higher in the culture supernatants of both mono and dual species biofilm at the 75 minutes time point as compared with the supernatant collected at the initiation of culture. The IL-12 concentration



Figure 2. SEC secrete cytokines in response to cutaneous biofilm: Purified SEC at 10^5 cells/mL were co-cultured with mono (*S. aureus/S. epidermidis*) or dual species biofilm for the indicated time points as described in the Material and Methods section. Supernatant collected at the end of each time point was assessed for (A) IL-6, (B) IL-8, (C) INF- γ , and (D) IL-12. Data are presented as mean \pm SD. * represent when compared to early time points of 0–45 minutes. # represent p < 0.05 of the cytokines in SEC cultures of *S. aureus* or dual species biofilm as compared to *S. epidermidis* mono species biofilm.

А

В

1.2

was significantly higher in the 75 minutes supernatants of SEC cultures stimulated with *S. aureus* biofilm or dual species biofilm as compared with the cultures stimulated with *S. epidermidis* biofilm (*Figure 2D*).

SEC upregulate TLR-2 expression in response to cutaneous biofilm

Skin and oral keratinocytes recognize microbes via TLR that recognize specific pathogen associated molecular pattern shared by large groups of microorganisms.^{6,15} Both *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* have been shown to induce signaling via TLR-2 in keratinocytes and enhance protection against infection.^{10,11} We observed that the TLR-2 expression in SEC was highest at 30 minutes following stimulation with the *S. aureus* biofilm. There was an immediate upregulation of TLR-2 in SEC following exposure to the *S. epidermidis* biofilm. Exposure to dual species biofilm induced a sustained upregulation of TLR-2 in the SEC up to 60 minutes (*Figure 3A* and B).

Discussion

Chronic skin wounds are a major global health problem and considerable economic burden. Sustained inflammatory response and frequent microbial colonization of the wound bed contribute to wound chronicity. ¹⁶ Although characterization of host responses at an actual infection site is preferable, cellular heterogeneity is a potential complication.⁴ Here, we report for the first time the use of epithelial cells derived from human saliva as a viable biospecimen for investigating the host response to cutaneous biofilm.



when compared to unstimulated SEC.

Primary skin and oral keratinocytes have been shown to exhibit functional response to stimulation with heat killed *S. aureus* or *S. epidermidis*^{10,11,17} or their products.^{18,19} Both bacterial species are overrepresented in biofilms covering chronic wounds and skin

SPRR2a (110 bp)

R-2 (498 bp)

burns. Infection with either species has also been implicated in severe oral mucositis.^{13,20} Previously others and we have shown that the epithelial cells in the saliva respond to bacterial and fungal exposure by secreting cytokines.^{4,14} In this study, we observed that the SECs exhibit a differential cytokine response to mono species biofilm with either *S. epidermidis* or *S. aureus*. As opposed to the commensal *S. epidermidis*, exposure to the transient pathogen *S. aureus* biofilm induced increased secretion of proinflammatory cytokines IFN- γ and IL-12. Importantly exposure of SEC to dual species biofilm induced a highly significant increase in the secretion of IFN- γ that could suggest transition to inflammation/infection *in vivo*.

Both oral and cutaneous keratinocytes recognize and respond to the Gram+ streptococci via TLR-2. Comparative analysis of gene expression using a human skin equivalent model showed that unlike the commensal S. epidermidis, colonization by S. aureus on intact skin stimulate increased expression of a diverse range of innate defense transcripts including TLR-2.3 In primary epidermal keratinocytes S. aureus induces secretion of cytokines and thymic stromal lymphopoietin via TLR-2 mediated signaling.^{11,21} Recent evidence suggests that the commensal flora may coexist with the host in a mutualistic relationship and prohibit establishment of pathogenic microbes.²² For example priming of the skin by S. epidermidis, a ubiquitous commensal has been suggested to deter colonization by the transient pathogen S. aureus.^{23,24} In addition, a unique lipoteichoic acid produced by S. epidermidis has been shown to inhibit uncontrolled inflammatory responses following cutaneous injury in a TLR-2 dependent mechanism.²⁴ We observed that the SEC upregulated TLR-2 expression almost immediately following exposure to the commensal S. epidermidis. However further increase was modest as compared with the striking increase in the TLR-2 expression at 30 minutes following exposure to the transient colonizer, the S. aureus. Sustained TLR-2 increase in the SEC exposed to dual species biofilm suggests that the response to potential pathogen such as S. aureus supersedes the response to the commensal. The differential response of the SEC to the commensal versus transient colonizer is consistent with the suggestion that the host response is tuned to allow nonaggressive type to survive in the normal environment and the more aggressive type to evoke a protective response.³

Conclusion

Although our study did not include a direct comparison between the skin keratinocytes and the SEC or a comparative analysis of response to sessile versus planktonic bacteria, extrapolation of our data with the published literature on cutaneous epithelial responses suggest that the two cell systems function similarly and support the potential application of SEC as viable alternative model to investigate the host microbe response at the epidermal interphase. Furthermore, the SEC can serve as highly relevant personalized biospecimens for evaluating individualized response to biofilms.

Disclosures

The authors do not have conflicts of interest for the materials used in this study.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported partially by the State of Sao Paulo Research Foundation grant to Thais Negirini.

References

 Chiller K, Selkin BA, Murakawa GJ. Skin microflora and bacterial infections of the skin. The Journal of Investigative Dermatology. Symposium Proceedings / the Society for Investigative Dermatology, Inc. [and] European Society for Dermatological Research. 2001; 6(3): 170–174.

 Kirketerp-Møller K, Zulkowski K, Garth J. Chronic wound colonization, infection, and biofilms. In: Bjarnsholt Th, Jensen PO, Moser C, Høiby N, eds. *Biofilm Infections*. New York: Springer Science, Business Media; 2011: 11–24.

 Vlassova N, Han A, Zenilman JM, James G, Lazarus GS. New horizons for cutaneous microbiology: the role of biofilms in dermatological disease. Br J Dermatol. 2011; 165(4): 751–759.

4. Lilly EA, Leigh JE, Joseph SH, Fidel PL, Jr. Candida-induced oral epithelial cell responses. *Mycopathologia*. 2006; 162(1): 25–32.

 Liu J, Bian Z, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM, Von den Hoff JW. Skin and oral mucosa equivalents: construction and performance. Orthodontics Craniofacial Res. 2010; 13(1): 11–20.

6. Uehara A, Fujimoto Y, Fukase K, Takada H. Various human epithelial cells express functional Toll-like receptors, NOD1 and NOD2 to produce anti-microbial peptides, but not proinflammatory cytokines. *Mol Immunol.* 2007; 44(12): 3100–3111.

7. Wertz P, Swartzendruber D, CA S. Regional variation in the structure and permeability of oral mucosa and skin. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 1993 (12): 1–12.

8. Rowat JS, Squier CA. Rates of epithelial cell proliferation in the oral mucosa and skin of the Tamarin monkey (*Saguinus fuscicollis*). *J Dent Res.* 1986; 65(11): 1326–1331.

9. Janardhanam SB, Prakasam S, Swaminathan VT, Kodumudi KN, Zunt SL, Srinivasan M. Differential expression of TLR-2 and TLR-4 in the epithelial cells in oral lichen planus. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2012; 57(5): 495–502.

10. Lai Y, Cogen AL, Radek KA, Park HJ, Macleod DT, Leichtle A, Ryan AF, Di Nardo A, Gallo RL. Activation of TLR2 by a small molecule produced by *Staphylococcus epidermidis* increases antimicrobial defense against bacterial skin infections. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2010; 130(9): 2211–2221.

11. Niebuhr M, Baumert K, Werfel T. TLR-2-mediated cytokine and chemokine secretion in human keratinocytes. *Exp Dermatol.* 2010; 19(10): 873–877.

12. Costerton JW. Introduction to biofilm. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 1999; 11(3-4): 217-221; discussion 237-219.

13. Holland DB, Bojar RA, Jeremy AH, Ingham E, Holland KT. Microbial colonization of an in vitro model of a tissue engineered human skin equivalent—a novel approach. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2008; 279(1): 110–115.

14. Srinivasan M, Kodumudi KN, Zunt SL. Soluble CD14 and toll-like receptor-2 are potential salivary biomarkers for oral lichen planus and burning mouth syndrome. *Clin Immunol.* 2008; 126(1): 31–37.

 Sugawara Y, Uehara A, Fujimoto Y, Kusumoto S, Fukase K, Shibata K, Sugawara S, Sasano T, Takada H. Toll-like receptors, NOD1, and NOD2 in oral epithelial cells. *J Dent Res.* 2006; 85(6): 524–529

 Acosta JB, del Barco DG, Vera DC, Savigne W, Lopez-Saura P, Guillen Nieto G, Schultz GS. The pro-inflammatory environment in recalcitrant diabetic foot wounds. *Int Wound J.* 2008; 5(4): 530–539.

17. Sasaki T, Kano R, Sato H, Nakamura Y, Watanabe S, Hasegawa A. Effects of staphylococci on cytokine production from human keratinocytes. *Br J Dermatol.* 2003; 148(1): 46–50.

18. Chung WO, Dale BA. Innate immune response of oral and foreskin keratinocytes: utilization of different signaling pathways by various bacterial species. *Infect Immunol.* 2004; 72(1): 352–358.

19. Uehara A, Sugawara S, Takada H. Priming of human oral epithelial cells by interferon-gamma to secrete cytokines in response to lipopolysaccharides, lipoteichoic acids and peptidoglycans. *J Med Microbiol.* 2002; 51(8): 626–634.

20. Smith AJ, Jackson MS, Bagg J. The ecology of Staphylococcus species in the oral cavity. J Med Microbiol. 2001; 50(11): 940–946.

21. Vu AT, Baba T, Chen X, Le TA, Kinoshita H, Xie Y, Kamijo S, Hiramatsu K, Ikeda S, Ogawa H, et al. Staphylococcus aureus membrane and diacylated lipopeptide induce thymic stromal lymphopoietin in keratinocytes through the Toll-like receptor 2-Toll-like receptor 6 pathway. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2010; 126(5): 985–993, 993 e981–983.

22. Naik S, Bouladoux N, Wilhelm C, Molloy MJ, Salcedo R, Kastenmuller W, Deming C, Quinones M, Koo L, Conlan S, et al. Compartmentalized control of skin immunity by resident commensals. *Science*. 2012; 337(6098): 1115–1119.

23. Grice EA, Segre JA. The skin microbiome. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011; 9(4): 244-253.

24. Gallo RL, Nakatsuji T. Microbial symbiosis with the innate immune defense system of the skin. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2011; 131(10): 1974–1980.