

## EPIDEMIOLOGY OF PATIENTS WITH WILMS TUMOUR REGISTERED IN SUCCESSIVE UK-TRIALS THROUGH 38 YEARS

Kayo Nakata<sup>1,2</sup>, Suzanne Tugnait<sup>1</sup>, Maria Pia Falcone<sup>1</sup>, Nathalie Galea<sup>3</sup>, Federica Ceroni<sup>1,4</sup>, Reem Al-Saadi<sup>1</sup>, Mirza Chagtai<sup>1</sup>, Richard Williams<sup>1</sup>, William Mifsud<sup>1</sup>, Jesper Brok<sup>1,5</sup>, Charles Stiller<sup>6</sup>, Veronica Moroz<sup>7</sup>, Anna Kelsey<sup>8</sup>, Gordan Vujanic<sup>9</sup> and Kathy Pritchard-Jones<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Developmental Biology and Cancer Programme, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cancer Strategy, Cancer Control Center, Osaka International Cancer Center

<sup>3</sup>Paediatric and Adolescent Cancer Unit, Mater Dei hospital, Malta

<sup>4</sup>Paediatric Department, Watford General Hospital, UK

<sup>5</sup>Dept. of Paediatric Oncology and Haematology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark.

<sup>6</sup>National Cancer Registration and Analysis Service, Public Health England, Oxford, UK

<sup>7</sup>Cancer Research UK Clinical Trials Unit (CRCTU), Institute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

<sup>8</sup>Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Manchester, UK

<sup>9</sup>Department of Cellular Pathology, University Hospital of Wales, Heath Park, Cardiff, United Kingdom,

### Background

Since 1979, 2,510 patients with Wilms tumour (WT) have been registered in five consecutive UK clinical trials (UKW1-3, SIOP-2001, and IMPORT). We reviewed all trial data with focus on congenital abnormalities (CA) and laterality.

### Methods

We categorised patients with WT into five mutually exclusive groups; WT and aniridia with/without urogenital malformations (WA), urogenital/renal malformations including Denys-Drash syndrome (UM), hemi-hypertrophy including Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (HH), other congenital abnormalities (other-CA), and without CA (non-CA). We compared distribution of sex, age at diagnosis, stage, histology and 5-year overall survival (OS) of each group to those of non-CA. Bilateral vs unilateral tumours were compared for similar outcomes.

### Results

Numbers and proportions in each group were: WA (n=24, 1%); UM (n=79, 3%); HH (n=65, 3%); other-CA (n=116, 5%); non-CA (n=2,226, 88%). Bilateral (n=181, 7%); unilateral (n=2,309, 92%).

Patients in WA, UM and other-CA groups had younger median age of diagnosis and higher proportion of bilateral disease compared to non-CA (20m, 21m and 30m vs 39m, and 33%, 19%, 17% vs 6%, respectively). HH patients showed no significant difference compared to non-CA group (41m and 11%). UM had male predominance (M/F ratio 1.72 vs 0.88 in non-CA).

There were no significant differences in 5-year OS for each group compared to non-CA [83% (WA), 88% (UM), 91% (HH), 87% (other-CA), vs 88% (non-CA)].

Compared to unilateral disease, the bilateral group had female excess (M/F ratio 0.62 vs 0.93), younger age at diagnosis (24m vs 39m) and poorer 5-year OS (82% vs 89%,  $p=0.003$ ) that had improved to 90% for cases diagnosed since 2002.

### **Conclusions**

About one in nine patients with WT had congenital abnormalities. These patients are diagnosed at earlier age, have more frequent bilateral tumours but 5-year survival rate seems very similar. Compared to unilateral, bilateral WT were younger and had poorer survival.