

Paracrine roles of cellular senescence in promoting tumourigenesis

Jose Mario Gonzalez-Meljem^{1,2#}, John Richard Apps^{1#}, Helen Christina Fraser¹, Juan Pedro Martinez-Barbera^{1*}

1. Developmental Biology and Cancer Research Programme, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, Guilford Street, London, WC1N 1EH, UK
2. Basic Research Department. Instituto Nacional de Geriatria. Anillo Periférico 2767, Magdalena Contreras, 10200, Mexico City, Mexico

Equal contributors

* Corresponding author: Juan Pedro Martinez-Barbera. E-mail: j.martinez-barbera@ucl.ac.uk; Developmental Biology and Cancer Research Programme, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, Guilford Street, London, WC1N 1EH, UK

Short title: Senescence in paracrine tumourigenesis

Abstract:

Senescent cells activate genetic programmes that irreversibly inhibit cellular proliferation, but also endow these cells with distinctive metabolic and signalling phenotypes. Although senescence has historically been considered a protective mechanism against tumourigenesis, the activities of senescent cells are increasingly being associated with age-related diseases, including cancer. An important feature of senescent cells is the secretion of a vast array of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors collectively known as the Senescence-Associated Secretory Phenotype (SASP). Recent research has shown that SASP paracrine signalling can mediate several pro-tumourigenic effects such as enhancing malignant phenotypes and promoting tumour initiation. In this review, we summarise the paracrine activities of senescent cells and their role in tumourigenesis through direct effects on growth and proliferation of tumour cells, tumour angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis, cellular reprogramming and emergence of tumour-initiating cells, and tumour interactions with the local immune environment. The evidence described here suggests cellular senescence acts as a double-edged sword in cancer pathogenesis, which demands further attention in order to support the use of senolytic or SASP-modulating compounds for cancer treatment.

Key words: senescence, paracrine, SASP, tumour, cancer

Introduction

The field of senescence has greatly expanded since this cell state was first observed in normal human fibroblasts, by Hayflick and Moorhead, over half a century ago (1). Initially referring to the finite proliferative capacity of cells *in vitro*, senescence is now defined as a cellular state of stable and long-term loss of proliferative capacity, but with the retention of normal metabolic activity and viability. It is characterised by specific changes in morphology (e.g. enlarged and flat cells), metabolism (e.g. increased glycolysis over mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation), and cell physiology (e.g. resistance to apoptosis) (2-5).

Senescence serves as a response to stress, and several inducing stimuli have now been identified, including chemotherapeutic, radiation, and oxidative stress, amongst others (Figure 1). Activation of the senescence programme leads to cellular and molecular changes such as proliferation arrest, chromatin remodelling, elevated expression of cell cycle inhibitors (such as p16^{INK4A} or p21^{CIP1}), activation of a DNA damage response, enlargement of the lysosomal compartment, and activation of a senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) (5, 6). The SASP mediates the paracrine activities of senescent cells through the secretion of a myriad of factors including cytokines and chemokines (e.g. IL1 α , IL1 β , IL6, IL8, CXCL1, CXCL2), growth factors (e.g. amphiregulin, EGF, BMPs, FGFs, VEGF, WNTs), extracellular matrix components (e.g. fibronectin), and proteases (e.g. MMPs, plasminogen activators), as well as exosome-like small extracellular vesicles (7) (3, 8-11). The composition and intensity of the SASP response can be affected by several factors including the senescence-inducing mechanism, cell type, and the amount of time passed since senescence initiation, indicating that there is no singular SASP (12-17).

SASP effects can be beneficial or deleterious for normal physiology depending on its composition, intensity, and the local tissue microenvironment. Furthermore, the SASP is

involved in valuable physiological processes such as promoting tissue repair (18-20), fine-tuning the development of embryonic structures (21-23), and stimulating immune surveillance (24, 25). However, the deleterious consequences that result from ineffective clearance of senescent cells and their over-accumulation in tissues can promote age-related diseases and cancer (2, 26-30). Supporting this notion, the burden of senescent cells in tissues increases significantly with age in mice, primates, and humans (27), and they can be found in both benign and malignant tumours (31-35). Importantly, genetic or chemical ablation of senescent cells in mouse models delays the onset of age-related disorders, including cancer, leading to increased life-spans and promoting tissue rejuvenation in late life (36-38).

Senescence was traditionally considered an innate anti-cancer mechanism as it can serve to eliminate damaged cells (3, 5). Activation of the senescence programme in cells harbouring oncogenic mutations acts as a tumour suppressor mechanism, which prevents the expansion of these mutated cells and progression into malignancies (3, 5). However, the role of senescence in tumourigenesis has been revised in recent years. There is mounting evidence that dysregulation or inappropriate activation of senescence contributes to tumour progression and malignancy (5, 7, 17). This review will discuss the paracrine effects of senescent cells on different aspects of tumour cell behaviour including: (i) direct effects on growth and proliferation of tumour cells; (ii) tumour angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis; (iii) cellular reprogramming and emergence of tumour initiating cells; and (iv) tumour interactions with the local immune environment (Figure 2). These subdivisions of the senescence-associated activities are mainly conceptual, as senescent cells exert compounded effects and it is not easy to distinguish between some of these activities through current experimental approaches, especially in an *in vivo* context. For in-depth discussion of the functions of cellular senescence

in physiological processes, such as embryological development and tissue repair, as well as in ageing, we refer the reader to other reviews in the field (2, 3).

Growth and proliferation of tumour cells

Cells present in the tumour microenvironment, such as fibroblasts, can become senescent and promote the growth and proliferation of tumour cells. (7, 39, 40). This has been demonstrated both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Co-culture of senescent fibroblasts, induced by various stimuli (e.g. radiation, DNA damage, replicative exhaustion), can promote the growth and proliferation of benign, pre-malignant, and malignant cells from a range of tumour types (9, 12, 26, 41-44). For example, in co-culture assays, radiation-induced senescent fibroblasts sustained the growth of mammary epithelial cells that had dysregulated cell cycle and cell death pathways (44). These *in vitro* observations have been further substantiated *in vivo*, where co-injection of senescent fibroblasts has been shown to increase tumourigenicity in xenograft models, including primary breast cancer tissues (26, 45-47).

The contributions of specific SASP components have been demonstrated using genetic knock down, siRNAs, and other molecular inhibitors (12, 26, 41, 45, 46, 48, 49). The use of siRNA and blocking antibodies against amphiregulin (AREG) reduced the growth of benign prostate epithelial cells induced by conditioned media from senescent fibroblasts (48). Furthermore, a critical role for SASP in the promotion of obesity-associated liver cancer has been demonstrated using elegant genetic approaches (50). In this research, deletion of IL1 β (*Il1b*) was sufficient to reduce the expression of IL6 and CXCL1 in the liver, as well as the number and size of liver tumours.

Finally, there is evidence showing that the expression of growth factors alone, including some that fall under the SASP umbrella, can induce tumours independently in a paracrine/non-cell autonomous manner (51). For example, expression of fibroblast growth factor 10 (FGF10) by urogenital mesenchymal cells results in the induction of multifocal prostatic adenocarcinoma in epithelial cells (52). Similarly, expression of fibroblast growth factor 19 (FGF19) by skeletal muscle cells has been shown to induce hepatocellular carcinomas, which acquire somatic mutations in β -catenin (*Cttnb1*) (53). The aforementioned experiments demonstrate that the SASP can promote cancer cell growth, challenging the view that senescence is primordially a beneficial process involved in preventing cancer progression.

Tumour angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis

Senescent cells can contribute to the acquisition of invasive and metastatic properties of cancer cells, as well as the induction of tumour-associated angiogenesis (7, 39). Tumour invasion and metastasis frequently involve an epithelial to mesenchymal shift in cellular phenotype (epithelial-mesenchymal transition, EMT). During EMT, epithelial cells attain key aspects enabling tumour invasion, including loss of cellular polarity and cell-to-cell adhesion, and gain of both migratory and invasive properties. Importantly, it is known that conditioned media from senescent cells can induce EMT in cell lines derived from many tumour types, including non-aggressive breast cancer, mesothelioma, and melanoma, as evidenced by decreased expression of epithelial markers (e.g. E-cadherin, cytokeratins) and increased expression of mesenchymal markers (e.g. vimentin) (12, 54, 55). Furthermore, individual SASP components can contribute to induce EMT phenotypes. For example, IL-6 has been shown to have cell-adhesion disrupting actions, which is an important component of invasion (56). Senescent cells and the SASP can also guide and promote cancer cell migration/invasion in models of thyroid and skin cancers

(57, 58). In addition, ablation of senescent cells after chemotherapy can prevent or delay cancer relapse and spread to distal tissues (59).

Tumour invasion and metastasis also involve disruption of the basement membrane and remodelling of the extracellular matrix (ECM) by matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which are often expressed as SASP factors (7). Indeed, the invasive properties of several epithelial cell types are enhanced by MMPs secreted by senescent cells, such as MMP2 and MMP3 (41, 43, 44).

A large number of proangiogenic factors are also known to be secreted by senescent cells, whereas angiostatic molecules have not been found to be secreted (27, 60). In particular, IL6 has been reported to promote tumour-supportive angiogenesis in a Ras-driven tumour model (61). Similarly, co-injection of senescent fibroblasts or peritoneal mesothelial cells with cancer cells in xenograft models results in significantly greater tumour angiogenesis (62, 63). These data suggest that the paracrine activities of senescent cells are involved in the acquisition of malignant and metastatic phenotypes by signalling to transformed cells or their microenvironment.

Cellular reprogramming of cells and emergence of tumour initiating cells in culture

Tumour cells may exhibit loss of differentiation and may also attain stem cell characteristics; both features of cancer progression. In benign tumours and well-differentiated cancers, the histology of a tumour typically recapitulates the histology of the tissue of origin. In contrast, undifferentiated cancers have abnormal histology and typically exhibit more aggressive behaviour, as less differentiated cells are usually more proliferative.

Interestingly, the SASP is able to inhibit differentiation both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, while in some cases leads to acquisition of stem cell characteristics (41, 44, 54, 55, 64-66). Exposure of keratinocytes to the culture medium from senescent cells promotes expression of tumour stem cell markers, such as CD44, and leads to a greater regenerative capacity *in vivo* (65). Similarly, co-culturing undifferentiated myeloma cells in conditioned media from senescent myeloma cells promotes the emergence, maintenance, and migration of cancer stem-like cells (64). Higher *in vivo* expression of stem cell markers has also been observed in the liver in close association with GFP-labelled RAS-induced senescent cells (65). In addition, induction of senescence and SASP in mesothelioma cells led to the emergence of a subpopulation of highly clonogenic cells with enhanced ability to form tumours when xenografted in mice (55).

Senescent cells can also induce *in vivo* reprogramming through SASP activation. Reprogramming is the process by which adult differentiated cells can be induced to become functionally equivalent to embryonic stem cells, and this can be stimulated by senescent cells in different models of tissue damage. While senescence is a barrier to reprogramming *in vitro*, the paracrine activities of senescent cells can promote the expression of stem cell markers and proliferation of neighbouring cells *in vivo* (66-68), and IL-6 is a key player in driving this process.

The molecular mechanisms underpinning the paracrine induction of cancer stem cell features have been variably addressed. For instance, non-tumourigenic melanoma cells exposed to IL-6 or chemokine ligand-2 (CCL2) develop tumourigenic potential *in vivo* in a STAT3-dependent manner (54). *In vitro*, co-culture experiments showed that SASP induced the expression of critical reprogramming factors NANOG, SOX2, and OCT4 (54). Indeed, it has further been shown that increased IL-6 expression, through induction of senescence either genetically or from tissue damage, can create a tissue context that increases reprogramming efficiency *in vivo*

(66). In this sense, a crucial role for the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) complex has recently been unveiled whereby it can either counteract or facilitate reprogramming by cell-intrinsic and cell-extrinsic mechanisms, respectively (69). Together, these data suggest that senescent cells through SASP can induce undifferentiated cellular states, which depending on the context can be beneficial (e.g. tissue regeneration) or harmful (e.g. promotion of tumour-initiating cells).

Modulation of local immune response and immune evasion by senescent cells

The relationship between senescence, tumorigenesis, and the immune system is complex and remains incompletely understood. Cells undergoing damage-induced senescence are often cleared by the immune system, as several SASP factors are cytokines and chemokines that can modulate the local immune environment (2, 3, 5, 70, 71). In this regard, the SASP has been shown to promote inflammation (7, 72).

Immune surveillance refers to the removal of pathogens, as well as pre-malignant and malignant cells, by the immune system. In some cases, it has been shown that senescent cells are involved in these processes. For example, senescent cells promote their own clearance through the secretion of CCL2, which attracts and activates NK-T cells (73, 74). In p53-deficient RAS-driven tumours induced to senesce through reestablishment of p53 function, innate immune cells migrate into the vicinity of the senescent tumour area leading to complete tumour regression in a mouse model of liver carcinoma (24). Such senescence-induced activation of the local immune system has also been shown to activate the clearance of pre-malignant hepatocytes (75).

In contrast, senescent cells can also promote tumour evasion of immune surveillance (76, 77). During ageing of the skin, senescent stromal cells and their SASP (particularly IL6) drive an increase in the number of suppressive myeloid cells in mice and humans. Furthermore, it was shown that this leads to the inhibition of anti-tumour T-cell responses and enhanced tumour growth (77). Further research is required to clarify the factors that control the pro- and anti-tumour surveillance activities of senescent cells.

Conclusion

There is increasing evidence indicating that, in addition to their cell- and non-cell autonomous tumour-suppressive activities, the paracrine signals derived from senescent cells have detrimental roles in aging-related pathogenesis and cancer. Since senescent cells are generally abundant in benign tumours and also present at low numbers in several malignancies (31-34, 57), their paracrine activities could contribute to tumour progression and cancer metastasis. Moreover, it is possible that these activities may also be involved in the initial steps of oncogenic transformation of normal cells and tumour initiation, as recently suggested in a mouse model of a human brain tumour (78). Promising translational opportunities have emerged in the use of molecules that selectively target and eliminate senescent cells (termed senolytics), or those that modulate the SASP and its negative effects (Table 1) (79). In this regard, the elimination of senescent cells or targeting the SASP represents a potential strategy for stopping or slowing tumour progression, as many activities of senescent cells promote tumour growth and malignant progression. It may be expected that the same paracrine activities capable of enhancing the cancerous phenotype of cells harbouring oncogenic mutations *in vitro* and *in vivo* could also contribute to the initial epigenetic and genetic alterations that fuel the appearance of tumour-initiating cells in normal, non-transformed cells (78). If so, early ablation

of senescent cells in pre-malignant lesions using senolytic compounds or neutralisation of the SASP may provide a plausible approach to prevent cancer.

Figure legends

Fig. 1 Overview of senescence inducers, changes in cell physiology, and activation of the senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP). The senescence programme can be activated by different stress stimuli (shown in blue) such as: cytotoxic chemotherapeutic drugs, replicative stress (which occurs due to deficiencies in the DNA replication machinery or maintenance of cell cycle checkpoints), ionizing radiation, oncogenic signalling, and oxidative stress. The main cellular and molecular effects are shown in red and include an expansion of the lysosomal compartment, metabolic and mitochondrial alterations, accumulation of DNA damage and rearrangement of the chromatin landscape, resistance to apoptosis, and an irreversible arrest of the cell cycle. Most senescent cells also activate a senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP), which is composed of growth factors, cytokines, chemokines, and metalloproteinases. Examples of common SASP factors are shown. These secreted factors can signal in an autocrine fashion to reinforce the senescence phenotype, or paracrinally with multiple effects on neighbouring cells. EGF, epithelial growth factor; FGFs, fibroblast growth factors; BMPs, Bone morphogenetic proteins; IL1, interleukin 1; IL6, interleukin 6; IL8, Interleukin 8; CCL2, C-C motif chemokine ligand 2; MMP2, matrix metalloproteinase 2; MMP3, matrix metalloproteinase 3.

Fig. 2 Summary of the paracrine effects of the SASP in promoting tumourigenesis.

Table 1. Examples of compounds that target senescent cells or their SASP

Name	Targets	Mechanism	References
ABT-737	BCL-2 family members	Senolytic	(80)
Navitoclax (ABT-263)	BCL-2 family members	Senolytic	(36)
Metformin	NF- κ B pathway members and Dicer	SASP modulator	(81)
Dasatinib	Several tyrosine kinases	Senolytic	(82)
Rapamycin	mTOR	SASP modulator	(46, 49)
Anakinra	IL1 receptor (IL1R)	SASP modulator	(83)
Alvespimycin (17-DMAG)	Heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) chaperone family	Senolytic	(84)

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Cynthia L. Andoniadou and Scott Haston for their useful comments and critical appraisal during preparation of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (MRC) (Grants MR/M000125/1), Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children Charity/Children with Cancer UK (GOSHCC/CWCUK) (Grant W1055) and by the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children National Health Service Foundation Trust and University College London. J.M.G.-M. is supported by a CONACYT-UCL Postgraduate Fellowship and a CONACYT-REDES travel fellowship. J.R.A. is supported by a Cancer Research UK Clinical Research Training Fellowship. J.P.M.-B. is a Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children's Charity Principal Investigator.

References

1. Hayflick L, Moorhead PS. The serial cultivation of human diploid cell strains. *Exp Cell Res.* 1961 Dec;25:585-621. PubMed PMID: 13905658.
2. Munoz-Espin D, Serrano M. Cellular senescence: from physiology to pathology. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2014 Jul;15(7):482-96. PubMed PMID: 24954210. Epub 2014/06/24. eng.
3. He S, Sharpless NE. Senescence in Health and Disease. *Cell.* 2017 Jun 01;169(6):1000-11. PubMed PMID: 28575665. Epub 2017/06/03. eng.
4. Wiley CD, Campisi J. From Ancient Pathways to Aging Cells-Connecting Metabolism and Cellular Senescence. *Cell Metab.* 2016 Jun 14;23(6):1013-21. PubMed PMID: 27304503. PMCID: PMC4911819. Epub 2016/06/16. eng.
5. Rodier F, Campisi J. Four faces of cellular senescence. *J Cell Biol.* 2011 Feb 21;192(4):547-56. PubMed PMID: 21321098. PMCID: PMC3044123. Epub 2011/02/16. eng.
6. van Deursen JM. The role of senescent cells in ageing. *Nature.* 2014 May 22;509(7501):439-46. PubMed PMID: 24848057. PMCID: PMC4214092. Epub 2014/05/23. eng.
7. Coppe JP, Desprez PY, Krtolica A, Campisi J. The senescence-associated secretory phenotype: the dark side of tumor suppression. *Annu Rev Pathol.* 2010;5:99-118. PubMed PMID: 20078217. Epub 2010/01/19. eng.
8. Kirkland JL, Tchkonina T. Cellular Senescence: A Translational Perspective. *EBioMedicine.* 2017 Apr 12. PubMed PMID: 28416161. Epub 2017/04/19. eng.
9. Takasugi M, Okada R, Takahashi A, Virya Chen D, Watanabe S, Hara E. Small extracellular vesicles secreted from senescent cells promote cancer cell proliferation through EphA2. *Nat Commun.* 2017 Jun 06;8:15729. PubMed PMID: 28585531. PMCID: PMC5467215. Epub 2017/06/07. eng.

10. Lehmann BD, Paine MS, Brooks AM, McCubrey JA, Renegar RH, Wang R, et al. Senescence-associated exosome release from human prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 2008 Oct 01;68(19):7864-71. PubMed PMID: 18829542. PMCID: PMC3845029. Epub 2008/10/03. eng.
11. Hernandez-Segura A, de Jong TV, Melov S, Guryev V, Campisi J, Demaria M. Unmasking Transcriptional Heterogeneity in Senescent Cells. *Curr Biol.* 2017 Sep 11;27(17):2652-60.e4. PubMed PMID: 28844647. Epub 2017/08/29. eng.
12. Coppe JP, Patil CK, Rodier F, Sun Y, Munoz DP, Goldstein J, et al. Senescence-associated secretory phenotypes reveal cell-nonautonomous functions of oncogenic RAS and the p53 tumor suppressor. *PLoS Biol.* 2008 Dec 2;6(12):2853-68. PubMed PMID: 19053174. PMCID: 2592359. Epub 2008/12/05. eng.
13. Maciel-Baron LA, Morales-Rosales SL, Aquino-Cruz AA, Triana-Martinez F, Galvan-Arzate S, Luna-Lopez A, et al. Senescence associated secretory phenotype profile from primary lung mice fibroblasts depends on the senescence induction stimuli. *Age.* 2016 Feb;38(1):26. PubMed PMID: 26867806. PMCID: 5005892.
14. Coppe JP, Rodier F, Patil CK, Freund A, Desprez PY, Campisi J. Tumor suppressor and aging biomarker p16(INK4a) induces cellular senescence without the associated inflammatory secretory phenotype. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 2011 Oct 21;286(42):36396-403. PubMed PMID: 21880712. PMCID: 3196093.
15. Kipling D, Jones DL, Smith SK, Giles PJ, Jennert-Burston K, Ibrahim B, et al. A transcriptomic analysis of the EK1.Br strain of human fibroblastoid keratocytes: the effects of growth, quiescence and senescence. *Exp Eye Res.* 2009 Feb;88(2):277-85. PubMed PMID: 19087878. Epub 2008/12/18. eng.
16. Hoare M, Ito Y, Kang TW, Weekes MP, Matheson NJ, Patten DA, et al. NOTCH1 mediates a switch between two distinct secretomes during senescence. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2016 Sep;18(9):979-92. PubMed PMID: 27525720. PMCID: PMC5008465. Epub 2016/08/16. eng.
17. Tchkonja T, Zhu Y, van Deursen J, Campisi J, Kirkland JL. Cellular senescence and the senescent secretory phenotype: therapeutic opportunities. *J Clin Invest.* 2013 Mar 1;123(3):966-72. PubMed PMID: 23454759. PMCID: 3582125. Epub 2013/03/05. eng.
18. Jun JI, Lau LF. The matricellular protein CCN1 induces fibroblast senescence and restricts fibrosis in cutaneous wound healing. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2010 Jul;12(7):676-85. PubMed PMID: 20526329. PMCID: PMC2919364. Epub 2010/06/08. eng.
19. Demaria M, Ohtani N, Youssef SA, Rodier F, Toussaint W, Mitchell JR, et al. An essential role for senescent cells in optimal wound healing through secretion of PDGF-AA. *Dev Cell.* 2014 Dec 22;31(6):722-33. PubMed PMID: 25499914. PMCID: 4349629. Epub 2014/12/17. eng.
20. Krizhanovsky V, Yon M, Dickins RA, Hearn S, Simon J, Miething C, et al. Senescence of activated stellate cells limits liver fibrosis. *Cell.* 2008 Aug 22;134(4):657-67. PubMed PMID: 18724938. PMCID: PMC3073300. Epub 2008/08/30. eng.
21. Storer M, Mas A, Robert-Moreno A, Pecoraro M, Ortells MC, Di Giacomo V, et al. Senescence is a developmental mechanism that contributes to embryonic growth and patterning. *Cell.* 2013 Nov 21;155(5):1119-30. PubMed PMID: 24238961. Epub 2013/11/19. eng.
22. Munoz-Espin D, Canamero M, Maraver A, Gomez-Lopez G, Contreras J, Murillo-Cuesta S, et al. Programmed cell senescence during mammalian embryonic development. *Cell.* 2013 Nov 21;155(5):1104-18. PubMed PMID: 24238962. Epub 2013/11/19. eng.
23. Davaapil H, Brockes JP, Yun MH. Conserved and novel functions of programmed cellular senescence during vertebrate development. *Development.* 2017 Jan 1;144(1):106-14. PubMed PMID: 27888193. PMCID: PMC5278627. Epub 2016/11/27. eng.
24. Xue W, Zender L, Miething C, Dickins RA, Hernando E, Krizhanovsky V, et al. Senescence and tumour clearance is triggered by p53 restoration in murine liver carcinomas. *Nature.* 2007 Feb 8;445(7128):656-60. PubMed PMID: 17251933. PMCID: PMC4601097. Epub 2007/01/26. eng.

25. Lujambio A. To clear, or not to clear (senescent cells)? That is the question. *Bioessays*. 2016 Jul;38 Suppl 1:S56-64. PubMed PMID: 27417123. Epub 2016/07/16. eng.
26. Krtolica A, Parrinello S, Lockett S, Desprez PY, Campisi J. Senescent fibroblasts promote epithelial cell growth and tumorigenesis: a link between cancer and aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001 Oct 09;98(21):12072-7. PubMed PMID: 11593017. PMCID: PMC59769.
27. Campisi J. Aging, cellular senescence, and cancer. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2013;75:685-705. PubMed PMID: 23140366. PMCID: PMC4166529.
28. Lecot P, Alimirah F, Desprez PY, Campisi J, Wiley C. Context-dependent effects of cellular senescence in cancer development. *Br J Cancer*. 2016 May 24;114(11):1180-4. PubMed PMID: 27140310. PMCID: PMC4891501. Epub 2016/05/04. eng.
29. Kuilman T, Michaloglou C, Vredeveld LC, Douma S, van Doorn R, Desmet CJ, et al. Oncogene-induced senescence relayed by an interleukin-dependent inflammatory network. *Cell*. 2008 Jun 13;133(6):1019-31. PubMed PMID: 18555778. Epub 2008/06/17. eng.
30. Perez-Mancera PA, Young AR, Narita M. Inside and out: the activities of senescence in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2014 Aug;14(8):547-58. PubMed PMID: 25030953. Epub 2014/07/18. eng.
31. Collado M, Gil J, Efeyan A, Guerra C, Schuhmacher AJ, Barradas M, et al. Tumour biology: senescence in premalignant tumours. *Nature*. 2005 Aug 04;436(7051):642. PubMed PMID: 16079833. Epub 2005/08/05. eng.
32. Haugstetter AM, Loddenkemper C, Lenze D, Grone J, Standfuss C, Petersen I, et al. Cellular senescence predicts treatment outcome in metastasised colorectal cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2010 Aug 10;103(4):505-9. PubMed PMID: 20628375. PMCID: PMC2939783. Epub 2010/07/16. eng.
33. Vizioli MG, Possik PA, Tarantino E, Meissl K, Borrello MG, Miranda C, et al. Evidence of oncogene-induced senescence in thyroid carcinogenesis. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2011 Dec;18(6):743-57. PubMed PMID: 21937739. Epub 2011/09/23. eng.
34. Reimann M, Lee S, Loddenkemper C, Dorr JR, Tabor V, Aichele P, et al. Tumor stroma-derived TGF-beta limits myc-driven lymphomagenesis via Suv39h1-dependent senescence. *Cancer Cell*. 2010 Mar 16;17(3):262-72. PubMed PMID: 20227040. Epub 2010/03/17. eng.
35. Roberson RS, Kussick SJ, Vallieres E, Chen SY, Wu DY. Escape from therapy-induced accelerated cellular senescence in p53-null lung cancer cells and in human lung cancers. *Cancer Res*. 2005 Apr 1;65(7):2795-803. PubMed PMID: 15805280. Epub 2005/04/05. eng.
36. Chang J, Wang Y, Shao L, Laberge RM, Demaria M, Campisi J, et al. Clearance of senescent cells by ABT263 rejuvenates aged hematopoietic stem cells in mice. *Nat Med*. 2016 Jan;22(1):78-83. PubMed PMID: 26657143. PMCID: PMC4762215. Epub 2015/12/15. eng.
37. Baker DJ, Wijshake T, Tchkonja T, LeBrasseur NK, Childs BG, van de Sluis B, et al. Clearance of p16Ink4a-positive senescent cells delays ageing-associated disorders. *Nature*. 2011 Nov 02;479(7372):232-6. PubMed PMID: 22048312. PMCID: PMC3468323. Epub 2011/11/04. eng.
38. Baker DJ, Childs BG, Durik M, Wijers ME, Sieben CJ, Zhong J, et al. Naturally occurring p16(Ink4a)-positive cells shorten healthy lifespan. *Nature*. 2016 Feb 11;530(7589):184-9. PubMed PMID: 26840489. PMCID: PMC4845101. Epub 2016/02/04. eng.
39. Alspach E, Fu Y, Stewart SA. Senescence and the pro-tumorigenic stroma. *Crit Rev Oncog*. 2013;18(6):549-58. PubMed PMID: 24579734. PMCID: PMC3951899.
40. Liu S, Uppal H, Demaria M, Desprez PY, Campisi J, Kapahi P. Simvastatin suppresses breast cancer cell proliferation induced by senescent cells. *Sci Rep*. 2015 Dec 14;5:17895. PubMed PMID: 26658759. PMCID: PMC4677323. Epub 2015/12/15. eng.
41. Parrinello S, Coppe JP, Krtolica A, Campisi J. Stromal-epithelial interactions in aging and cancer: senescent fibroblasts alter epithelial cell differentiation. *J Cell Sci*. 2005 Feb 01;118(Pt 3):485-96. PubMed PMID: 15657080. PMCID: PMC4939801. Epub 2005/01/20. eng.

42. Dilley TK, Bowden GT, Chen QM. Novel mechanisms of sublethal oxidant toxicity: induction of premature senescence in human fibroblasts confers tumor promoter activity. *Exp Cell Res.* 2003 Oct 15;290(1):38-48. PubMed PMID: 14516786.
43. Liu D, Hornsby PJ. Senescent human fibroblasts increase the early growth of xenograft tumors via matrix metalloproteinase secretion. *Cancer research.* 2007 Apr 01;67(7):3117-26. PubMed PMID: 17409418.
44. Tsai KK, Chuang EY, Little JB, Yuan ZM. Cellular mechanisms for low-dose ionizing radiation-induced perturbation of the breast tissue microenvironment. *Cancer research.* 2005 Aug 01;65(15):6734-44. PubMed PMID: 16061655.
45. Capell BC, Drake AM, Zhu J, Shah PP, Dou Z, Dorsey J, et al. MLL1 is essential for the senescence-associated secretory phenotype. *Genes Dev.* 2016 Feb 1;30(3):321-36. PubMed PMID: 26833731. PMCID: PMC4743061. Epub 2016/02/03. eng.
46. Laberge RM, Sun Y, Orjalo AV, Patil CK, Freund A, Zhou L, et al. MTOR regulates the pro-tumorigenic senescence-associated secretory phenotype by promoting IL1A translation. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2015 Aug;17(8):1049-61. PubMed PMID: 26147250. PMCID: PMC4691706. Epub 2015/07/07. eng.
47. Jeon HY, Kim JK, Ham SW, Oh SY, Kim J, Park JB, et al. Irradiation induces glioblastoma cell senescence and senescence-associated secretory phenotype. *Tumour Biol.* 2016 May;37(5):5857-67. PubMed PMID: 26586398. Epub 2015/11/21. eng.
48. Bavik C, Coleman I, Dean JP, Knudsen B, Plymate S, Nelson PS. The gene expression program of prostate fibroblast senescence modulates neoplastic epithelial cell proliferation through paracrine mechanisms. *Cancer research.* 2006 Jan 15;66(2):794-802. PubMed PMID: 16424011.
49. Herranz N, Gallage S, Mellone M, Wuestefeld T, Klotz S, Hanley CJ, et al. mTOR regulates MAPKAPK2 translation to control the senescence-associated secretory phenotype. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2015 Sep;17(9):1205-17. PubMed PMID: 26280535. PMCID: 4589897. Epub 2015/08/19. eng.
50. Yoshimoto S, Loo TM, Atarashi K, Kanda H, Sato S, Oyadomari S, et al. Obesity-induced gut microbial metabolite promotes liver cancer through senescence secretome. *Nature.* 2013 Jul 04;499(7456):97-101. PubMed PMID: 23803760. Epub 2013/06/28. eng.
51. Martinez-Barbera JP, Andoniadou CL. Concise Review: Paracrine Role of Stem Cells in Pituitary Tumors: A Focus on Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma. *Stem Cells.* 2016 Feb;34(2):268-76. PubMed PMID: 26763580. PMCID: PMC4864894.
52. Memarzadeh S, Xin L, Mulholland DJ, Mansukhani A, Wu H, Teitell MA, et al. Enhanced paracrine FGF10 expression promotes formation of multifocal prostate adenocarcinoma and an increase in epithelial androgen receptor. *Cancer cell.* 2007 Dec;12(6):572-85. PubMed PMID: 18068633. PMCID: PMC2931420.
53. Nicholes K, Guillet S, Tomlinson E, Hillan K, Wright B, Frantz GD, et al. A mouse model of hepatocellular carcinoma: ectopic expression of fibroblast growth factor 19 in skeletal muscle of transgenic mice. *Am J Pathol.* 2002 Jun;160(6):2295-307. PubMed PMID: 12057932. PMCID: PMC1850847.
54. Ohanna M, Cheli Y, Bonet C, Bonazzi VF, Allegra M, Giuliano S, et al. Secretome from senescent melanoma engages the STAT3 pathway to favor reprogramming of naive melanoma towards a tumor-initiating cell phenotype. *Oncotarget.* 2013 Dec;4(12):2212-24. PubMed PMID: 24344100. PMCID: 3926821. Epub 2013/12/18. eng.
55. Canino C, Mori F, Cambria A, Diamantini A, Germoni S, Alessandrini G, et al. SASP mediates chemoresistance and tumor-initiating-activity of mesothelioma cells. *Oncogene.* 2012 Jun 28;31(26):3148-63. PubMed PMID: 22020330. Epub 2011/10/25. eng.
56. Tamm I, Kikuchi T, Cardinale I, Krueger JG. Cell-adhesion-disrupting action of interleukin 6 in human ductal breast carcinoma cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1994 Apr 12;91(8):3329-33. PubMed PMID: 7512730. PMCID: PMC43570.

57. Kim YH, Choi YW, Lee J, Soh EY, Kim JH, Park TJ. Senescent tumor cells lead the collective invasion in thyroid cancer. *Nat Commun.* 2017 May 10;8:15208. PubMed PMID: 28489070. PMCID: PMC5436223. Epub 2017/05/11. eng.
58. Farsam V, Basu A, Gatzka M, Treiber N, Schneider LA, Mulaw MA, et al. Senescent fibroblast-derived Chemerin promotes squamous cell carcinoma migration. *Oncotarget.* 2016 Dec 13;7(50):83554-69. PubMed PMID: 27907906. PMCID: PMC5347788. Epub 2016/12/03. eng.
59. Demaria M, O'Leary MN, Chang J, Shao L, Liu S, Alimirah F, et al. Cellular Senescence Promotes Adverse Effects of Chemotherapy and Cancer Relapse. *Cancer Discov.* 2017 Feb;7(2):165-76. PubMed PMID: 27979832. PMCID: PMC5296251. Epub 2016/12/17. eng.
60. Oubaha M, Miloudi K, Dejda A, Guber V, Mawambo G, Germain MA, et al. Senescence-associated secretory phenotype contributes to pathological angiogenesis in retinopathy. *Sci Transl Med.* 2016 Oct 26;8(362):362ra144. PubMed PMID: 27797960. Epub 2016/11/01. eng.
61. Ancrile B, Lim KH, Counter CM. Oncogenic Ras-induced secretion of IL6 is required for tumorigenesis. *Genes & development.* 2007 Jul 15;21(14):1714-9. PubMed PMID: 17639077. PMCID: PMC1920165.
62. Coppe JP, Kauser K, Campisi J, Beausejour CM. Secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor by primary human fibroblasts at senescence. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 2006 Oct 06;281(40):29568-74. PubMed PMID: 16880208.
63. Mikula-Pietrasik J, Sosinska P, Naumowicz E, Maksin K, Piotrowska H, Wozniak A, et al. Senescent peritoneal mesothelium induces a pro-angiogenic phenotype in ovarian cancer cells in vitro and in a mouse xenograft model in vivo. *Clin Exp Metastasis.* 2016 Jan;33(1):15-27. PubMed PMID: 26433963. PMCID: PMC4740564. Epub 2015/10/05. eng.
64. Cahu J, Bustany S, Sola B. Senescence-associated secretory phenotype favors the emergence of cancer stem-like cells. *Cell Death Dis.* 2012 Dec 20;3:e446. PubMed PMID: 23254289. PMCID: PMC3542619.
65. Ritschka B, Storer M, Mas A, Heinzmann F, Ortells MC, Morton JP, et al. The senescence-associated secretory phenotype induces cellular plasticity and tissue regeneration. *Genes & development.* 2017 Jan 15;31(2):172-83. PubMed PMID: 28143833. PMCID: PMC5322731.
66. Mosteiro L, Pantoja C, Alcazar N, Marion RM, Chondronasiou D, Rovira M, et al. Tissue damage and senescence provide critical signals for cellular reprogramming in vivo. *Science.* 2016 Nov 25;354(6315). PubMed PMID: 27884981. Epub 2016/11/26. eng.
67. Chiche A, Le Roux I, von Joest M, Sakai H, Aguin SB, Cazin C, et al. Injury-Induced Senescence Enables In Vivo Reprogramming in Skeletal Muscle. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2017 Mar 02;20(3):407-14.e4. PubMed PMID: 28017795. Epub 2016/12/27. eng.
68. Mosteiro L, Pantoja C, de Martino A, Serrano M. Senescence promotes in vivo reprogramming through p16(INK)(4a) and IL-6. *Aging Cell.* 2017 Dec 27. PubMed PMID: 29280266. Epub 2017/12/28. eng.
69. Aarts M, Georgilis A, Beniazza M, Beolchi P, Banito A, Carroll T, et al. Coupling shRNA screens with single-cell RNA-seq identifies a dual role for mTOR in reprogramming-induced senescence. *Genes Dev.* 2017 Oct 15;31(20):2085-98. PubMed PMID: 29138277. PMCID: PMC5733499. Epub 2017/11/16. eng.
70. Vicente R, Mausset-Bonnefont AL, Jorgensen C, Louis-Plence P, Brondello JM. Cellular senescence impact on immune cell fate and function. *Aging Cell.* 2016 Jun;15(3):400-6. PubMed PMID: 26910559. PMCID: PMC4854915. Epub 2016/02/26. eng.
71. Velarde MC, Demaria M, Campisi J. Senescent cells and their secretory phenotype as targets for cancer therapy. *Interdisciplinary topics in gerontology.* 2013;38:17-27. PubMed PMID: 23503512. PMCID: PMC4167737. Epub 2013/03/19. eng.

72. Ruhland MK, Coussens LM, Stewart SA. Senescence and cancer: An evolving inflammatory paradox. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2016 Jan;1865(1):14-22. PubMed PMID: 26453912. PMCID: PMC4733607.
73. Iannello A, Thompson TW, Ardolino M, Lowe SW, Raulat DH. p53-dependent chemokine production by senescent tumor cells supports NKG2D-dependent tumor elimination by natural killer cells. *J Exp Med*. 2013 Sep 23;210(10):2057-69. PubMed PMID: 24043758. PMCID: PMC3782044. Epub 2013/09/18. eng.
74. Sagiv A, Krizhanovsky V. Immunosurveillance of senescent cells: the bright side of the senescence program. *Biogerontology*. 2013 Dec;14(6):617-28. PubMed PMID: 24114507. Epub 2013/10/12. eng.
75. Kang TW, Yevsa T, Woller N, Hoenicke L, Wuestefeld T, Dauch D, et al. Senescence surveillance of pre-malignant hepatocytes limits liver cancer development. *Nature*. 2011 Nov 09;479(7374):547-51. PubMed PMID: 22080947. Epub 2011/11/15. eng.
76. Toso A, Revandkar A, Di Mitri D, Guccini I, Proietti M, Sarti M, et al. Enhancing chemotherapy efficacy in Pten-deficient prostate tumors by activating the senescence-associated antitumor immunity. *Cell Rep*. 2014 Oct 9;9(1):75-89. PubMed PMID: 25263564. Epub 2014/09/30. eng.
77. Ruhland MK, Loza AJ, Capietto AH, Luo X, Knolhoff BL, Flanagan KC, et al. Stromal senescence establishes an immunosuppressive microenvironment that drives tumorigenesis. *Nat Commun*. 2016 Jun 8;7:11762. PubMed PMID: 27272654. PMCID: PMC4899869. Epub 2016/06/09. eng.
78. Gonzalez-Meljem JM, Haston S, Carreno G, Apps JR, Pozzi S, Stache C, et al. Stem cell senescence drives age-attenuated induction of pituitary tumours in mouse models of paediatric craniopharyngioma. *Nat Commun*. 2017 Nov 28;8(1):1819. PubMed PMID: 29180744. PMCID: PMC5703905. Epub 2017/11/29. eng.
79. Childs BG, Gluscevic M, Baker DJ, Laberge RM, Marquess D, Dananberg J, et al. Senescent cells: an emerging target for diseases of ageing. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2017 Oct;16(10):718-35. PubMed PMID: 28729727. Epub 2017/07/22. eng.
80. Yosef R, Pilpel N, Tokarsky-Amiel R, Biran A, Ovadya Y, Cohen S, et al. Directed elimination of senescent cells by inhibition of BCL-W and BCL-XL. *Nat Commun*. 2016 Apr 06;7:11190. PubMed PMID: 27048913. PMCID: PMC4823827. Epub 2016/04/07. eng.
81. Moiseeva O, Deschenes-Simard X, St-Germain E, Igelmann S, Huot G, Cadar AE, et al. Metformin inhibits the senescence-associated secretory phenotype by interfering with IKK/NF-kappaB activation. *Aging Cell*. 2013 Jun;12(3):489-98. PubMed PMID: 23521863. Epub 2013/03/26. eng.
82. Zhu Y, Tchkonja T, Pirtskhalava T, Gower AC, Ding H, Giorgadze N, et al. The Achilles' heel of senescent cells: from transcriptome to senolytic drugs. *Aging Cell*. 2015 Aug;14(4):644-58. PubMed PMID: 25754370. PMCID: PMC4531078. Epub 2015/03/11. eng.
83. Orjalo AV, Bhaumik D, Gengler BK, Scott GK, Campisi J. Cell surface-bound IL-1alpha is an upstream regulator of the senescence-associated IL-6/IL-8 cytokine network. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009 Oct 06;106(40):17031-6. PubMed PMID: 19805069. PMCID: PMC2761322. Epub 2009/10/07. eng.
84. Fuhrmann-Stroissnigg H, Ling YY, Zhao J, McGowan SJ, Zhu Y, Brooks RW, et al. Identification of HSP90 inhibitors as a novel class of senolytics. *Nat Commun*. 2017 Sep 4;8(1):422. PubMed PMID: 28871086. PMCID: PMC5583353. Epub 2017/09/06. eng.