# Eastern Illinois University The Keep

**Masters Theses** 

**Student Theses & Publications** 

1976

# A Study of the Advantages and Disadvantages of Central Processing of Media Materials

George E. Kidd Eastern Illinois University

# Recommended Citation

Kidd, George E., "A Study of the Advantages and Disadvantages of Central Processing of Media Materials" (1976). *Masters Theses.* 3427.

https://thekeep.eiu.edu/theses/3427

This is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Theses & Publications at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Masters Theses by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

# A Study of the Advantages and Disadvantages of Central Processing of Media Materials

(TITLE)

BY

George E. Kidd

# **THESIS**

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

Specialist in Education

IN THE GRADUATE SCHOOL, EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY CHARLESTON, ILLINOIS

I HEREBY RECOMMEND THIS THESIS BE ACCEPTED AS FULFILLING THIS PART OF THE GRADUATE DEGREE CITED ABOVE

7/27/16

1/27/16

DEPARTMENT HEAD

# PAPER CERTIFICATE #2

TO:

SUBJECT:	Permission to reprod	duce theses.	
institutions a in their libra feel that prof	sking permission to rary holdings. Althoug	ng a number of requests from or eproduce dissertations for income in the copyright laws are involved and that permission be obtained to be copied.	lusion ed, we
Please sign (	one of the following st	atements:	
my thesis to	a reputable college or	University has my permission r university for the purpose of s library or research holdings.	copying
July 2	-6, /976 ate	Author	
	request Booth Libra: sis be reproduced bec	ry of Eastern Illinois Universi ause	ty not
Marie Marie Marie de la constitución de la constitu			
	······································		Details repugges in the training and
D	ate	Author	Management of the second
pdm			

Graduate Degree Candidates who have written formal theses.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTE	R	PAGE	
I.	INTRODUCTION	1	
	1. Need of the study	1	
	2. Statement of the problem	2	
	3. Purpose of the study	2	
	4. Limitations	2	
	5. Delimitations	3	
II. RESEARCH-OTHER THAN ORIGINAL		4	
III	DESIGN OF THE STUDY	8	
	1. Methodology	12	
	2. Definitions	14	
IV.	RESULTS OF THE STUDY	15	
v.	SUMMARY	22	
	1. Conclusions	23	
	2. Recommendations	24	
BIBLIO	GRAPHY	26	
APPEND	IX A	29	
APPEND	IXB	31	
APPEND	IX C	38	
APPEND	IX D	42	
APPEND	IX-E	47	
APPEND	IX F	49	
APPEND	IX G	53	

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Ms. Rosemary Donahue, Coordinator of Library

Services for Decatur Public Schools. Ms. Donahue has offered valuable advice
in methods of surveying. In addition, she has helped gather statistics
concerning District #61 (Decatur).

# CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

# Need for the study

The city of Decatur has thirty-eight schools: twenty-six elementary, five junior high schools, four senior high schools, three special facilities, and seven other physical plants that order, store, and use print and non-print materials. These schools employ 857 instructors to serve 19,183 students.

Each year nearly \$100,000.00 is spent to purchase print and non-print materials. When received, these materials must be cataloged in such a way that they may be immediately retrieved from storage when needed. The cataloging of such material in a central processing center is the theme of this study.

For the purpose of this study, a central processing center is: a service center established by a school district to fulfill the routine professional and clerical duties traditionally assigned to librarians. The basic responsibilities of such a center would be to assign classification symbols to all media center materials, prepare catalog cards, attach pockets, letter spines and otherwise prepare the materials for use.

If each of the thirty-seven material consultants continue to catalog their own materials, hundreds of hours will be spent in professional cataloging practices and more hundreds of hours will be spent in clerical cataloging practices.

#### Statement of the Problem

District #61 (Decatur) media specialists at the building level are spending too much time cataloging and processing new materials. In the past, librarians had time to do these tasks. But today's media specialists are involved in a wide range of activities which reflect the changing image of the library in becoming a media center and the librarian becoming a media specialist. The new responsibilities of the media specialist are more important than routine processing of materials. We must find some way to relieve the specialist of this traditional task so he can have more contact hours with students and teachers.

# Purpose of the Study

The purpose of the study was to investigate the feasibility of proposing a central processing center for learning materials purchased by

Decatur School District #61. Questions to be answered include the following:

- 1. Would central processing cost more or less money?
- Would central processing give the materials consultants more time for other duties but require an excess of time on the part of others to accomplish the same tasks?
- 3. If central processing is the answer, why isn't it used in all but the smallest school districts?
- 4. What are the problems and can they be overcome? Indeed, how have problems been overcome by school districts who have committed themselves to central processing?

# Limitations

The purpose of the three surveys was to acquire accurate information

instead of a quantity of much information. Questionnaires were designed that would be as short as possible (it was felt the participants would simply throw away a long questionnaire) and yet supply the needed information.

The time needed to complete the survey to central processing centers was about three minutes. If participants did not have the exact information to certain questions they were asked to estimate the answers rather than put aside the questionnaire until they had time to research the needed facts. The time needed to complete the survey to materials consultants in District #61 was about five minutes.

#### Delimitations

The population surveyed consisted of three groups:

- Coordinators of central processing centers. It was logical to assume those people could give the most pertinent information concerning how to operate centers successfully.
- 2. Materials consultants in the Decatur School District were surveyed to find what services they wanted.
- 3. Commercial producers of catalog cards for audiovisual materials were surveyed to ascertain which cards were most likely to meet the needs.

#### CHAPTER II

#### RESEARCH-OTHER THAN ORIGINAL

The advantage of this type of research, as opposed to attitudinal surveys, is that we are dealing only with facts. We want to know: what have people done, what did it cost and accomplish, and what is wanted in the future?

Unfortunately information of this type on processing is quite dated. The original interest in central processing took place during the middle and late 1960's. During the 1970's nothing significant has been written on the subject.

By contrast, new processes, needs, equipment, materials, and types of specialized personnel have proliferated during the same period. Just the problems of changes in cost warrant new research and recommendations.

One of the most definitive works on central processing, <u>Centralized</u>

<u>Book Processing</u> by Lawrence Leonard, is copy-writed 1969 and emphasized

the use of Wilson pre-printed catalog cards in central processing centers.

Much to the chagrin of the school media profession, H. W. Wilson discontinued this service in the spring of 1975.

The research done in the 1960's can be of help in that many fine directories were published listing central processing centers. The best and most current is Hendrick's. It is an alphabetical listing by state indicating school districts with central processing centers. It is extensive but not comprehensive<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Donald D. Hendricks, "Centralized Processing: A Directory of Centers," Library Resources and Technical Services, (Summer, 1970), 461.

In 1966 Vann<sup>2</sup> wrote a report in which she strongly recommends complete standardization of services to materials centers served by a central processing center.

Pennsylvania attempted a state-wide central processing plan which failed. But Vann reports on a southeastern Pennsylvania center which succeeded within the state. She attributes this success to an insistance that all participating materials centers agree to standard processing rules and not ask the processing center to deviate. A processing center which tries to process materials a different way for each materials center would soon be swamped in a backlog of work. The usefulness of the processing center and the materials centers it serves would be severely limited.

Another dated but still useful report is that of Badter<sup>3</sup>. Badter argues against complete central processing and encourages centers to use the services of commercial firms whenever possible. She states that,... "few centers can match the mass production costs and efficiency of commercial firms..." The author's greatest contribution is a list of questions for evaluating commercial services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Sarah K. Vann, "Southeastern Pennsylvania Processing Center Feasibility Study: A Summary, "<u>Library Resources and Technical Services</u>," (Fall, 1966), 355.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Jean Badter, "Has Your Library Considered Precataloging?" Instructor, (November, 1967), 14.

It is a paradox that while it is possible to evaluate the services of commercial processing firms, it is impossible to evaluate the central processing centers that use such services. The varied classifications of personnel and their duties, costs varying from area to area, and quality of work, make it impossible to create an evaluation instrument that could be applied to all centers.<sup>4</sup>

In fact, all the reading available and all the comparing of studies does not answer the question of whether a school district should commit itself to central processing. It has already been stated that the Pennsylvania plan failed because it was too large (state-wide). But a report in the <u>Library Journal</u>, February, 1968 quotes several librarians as saying that only a central processing system as large as a state-wide system could succeed. 5

Hendricks<sup>6</sup> suggests that a school district should contract a larger system to do its processing instead of establishing its own central processing. But on the other side of the coin is District 214 in Mt. Prospect, Illinois with facts to prove the opposite. When materials were being processed by each school librarian the cost was \$1,000.00 per year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Kenneth F. Duchac, "Evaluation of the Processing Centers," Library Trends, (July, 1967), 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>"New Jersey Library Group Debates Central Processing," Library Journal, (February, 1967), .50.

Donald Hendricks, "Cooperative Growing Pains," <u>Library Journal</u>, (November, 1965), 4699.

The Director of Library Services reassigned two clerks in order to establish central processing. For each title added to the collection, one set of catalog cards was purchased (eight cards per set). A duplication machine was bought and as copies of a title were purchased extra sets of the original cards were duplicated. The yearly cost of processing 2,000 items dropped from \$1,000.00 to \$150.00 - \$200.00.7

Perhaps we cannot find the answer to the problem by reading what others have done. But it is very tempting to imitate the experience of Port Huron, Michigan, a community comparable in facilities and student enrollment to Decatur. Port Huron automated its processing of new materials. At the same time it subscribed to Library of Congress catalog card copy. The cost of processing was reduced by 50%.

It is hoped that once the machinery is set in motion to establish Decatur's central processing center visits can be made to one or more of the central processing centers surveyed in this paper. The information gathered from surveys to these centers has been useful but there is no substitute for first-hand observation.

<sup>7&</sup>quot;Mt. Prospect Stencils Its New Library Cards," Library Journal
(July, 1968), 41.

#### CHAPTER III

#### DESIGN OF THE STUDY

Most districts that have developed centralized processing can fit into one of three catagories:

- 1. Complete technical processing.
- 2. Ordering, cataloging, and classifying.
- Cataloging and classifying.

These three degrees of service accurately correspond to the three major cataloging responsibilities:

- 1. Descriptive cataloging.
- 2. Classification.
- Subject cataloging.

Sarah Vann<sup>8</sup>, in another study supports development of one of three centers listed above. According to Miss Vann, one has the option of establishing a center which is autonomous. Such a center has its own director, staff, and budget. It requires nothing from outside its own-facilities.

Vann's second classification is "Neo-departmental". In such a facility, the processing center would use the staff, finances, and materials of many departments. The strength of such a center is the fact that the initial cost of establishing the center would be low.

The third center is one which would advise while materials consultants would continue their own processing. Such advice would include

<sup>8</sup>Sarah Vann, "Centralized processing Technologically Feasible, But...," Pennsylvania Library Association Bulletin, (May, 1968), 228-231.

budget, building, staffing, guidance in material selection and weeding, and processing.

Whatever the final decision concerning the type of center and to what degree of involvement, the number of decisions to be considered are almost infinite.

For instance, should a center do all the processing for print and non-print materials? Should the center contract with commercial processors to do as much of the work as possible? Should the center find a balance somewhere between these two extremes? Should sets of cards be purchased? Should cataloging information be purchased and cards made at the center?

In turn, the last two questions stated above must be answered by the following facts concerning catalog cards:

- Percentage of available printed cards applicable to the collection in question.
- 2. Quality of cataloging information needed.
- 3. Number of copies needed.
- 4. Time lapse between ordering and delivery of cards.
- 5. Card preparation of foreign language materials.

Having dwelled extensively on catalog cards, let's look briefly at kits. A kit refers to a unit containing the following items for print and non-print materials. There are eight catalog cards, charge card, pocket, and spine label.

Alanar, a subsidiary of Bro-Dart, furnishes such kits. Kits are available for materials in "Elementary School Library Collection", "Bro-Dart Elementary School Library Collection", and "Children's Catalog". It should be explained that while the titles just quoted mention book titles the contents have been altered to include audiovisual materials.

Because of the constant change in costs which we are currently experiencing, prices are not included in this study. It is to be noted, however, that the cost of a kit may be two or three times more costly than catalog cards alone. The cost of complete commercial processing is about twice as costly as that of kits. Two prices will be quoted to furnish a point of departure: both Baker and Taylor and Library Book Selection Service supply kits at \$ .39 each. Partial processing (without plastic covers) is \$ .59 from Baker and Taylor and \$ .69 from Library Book Selection Service. When bidding, Library Book Selection Service will lower their price to \$ .59. In each case the cost of complete processing with plastic covers is \$ .10 more. In deciding whether a central processing center should purchase kits it must be kept in mind the time required by clerks and typists to prepare and apply pockets, charge cards, and spine labels.

The more books and audiovisual materials a center processes the lower the cost per item will be whether partial or complete processing is done. It has long been an established fact that the more materials processed the lower the costs. It is recommended that when the volume of cards made for a media center reaches 4,000 (1,000 items) yearly, the center should invest in a duplicating machine such as Print-O-Matic.

Weynar<sup>9</sup> has listed six advantages of centralized processing for print and non print materials:

- Increased efficiency in processing a greater amount of material at lesser cost.
- 2. Higher quality of cataloging practice.
- 3. Centralization and simplification of business routines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Bohdan S. Weynar, "Introduction to Catalog and Classification," Littleton, Colorado: Libraries Unlimited, 1967, p. 263.

- 4. Better utilization of professional staff.
- 5. Utilization of more sophisticated equipment.
- 6. Possible creation of union catalog.

#### Methodology

It was decided that the most efficient means to find the answers to the many questions involved would be to survey districts that maintain successful central processing centers. Therefore, questionnaires were sent to five such school districts in Illinois (Appendix A).

Information sought from such a survey would hopefully indicate what practices are carried on in a central processing center, what services are not offered in a central processing center and why, and what practices have been tried and rejected.

Since these school districts inaugurated central processing many years ago, it seemed appropriate to use their experiences to avoid costly mistakes in proposing a district-wide central processing center.

The primary goal was to establish an efficient processing center offering the most services at the lowest cost. The introduction of any innovative procedures in the process would be a by-product of the stated goal and not a goal in itself.

However, it was the desire to those planning such a facility to tailor-make the services to meet the unique needs of Decatur School District #61.

A second survey aimed at eliciting responses from materials consultants was devised (Appendix C). This survey was short (only three pages including 24 questions) because it was believed an extensive survey would discourage consultants from replying. Whenever possible their responses were limited to checking () the appropriate space instead of requiring written answers. Again, it was believed that a survey

requiring extensive writing would discourage consultants from replying. The survey was mailed to 23 materials consultants with instructions to return in a few days. There was no personal contact between the surveyor and the participants. It was believed such contact would influence the participants to answer in a manner to please the surveyor.

In order to limit the range of answers consultants were given one choice. For example: Would they prefer to have audiovisual materials or print materials processed? To arrive at a standard procedure for processing all materials, consultants were asked how they would prefer to have materials processed. It was decided that a tally would be made of all answers and that majority opinion would be the deciding factor.

Processing of print material is already quite standardized. Therefore, the bulk of the survey concerned questions about audiovisual materials such as symbols and color coding. The response to the survey was 100%. When all surveys were returned the results were tabulated. The results have made it possible to recommend initial steps in establishing a central processing center which would immediately fill the needs of materials consultants.

A third group, commercial produces of audiovisual catalog cards were also surveyed (Appendix D). Thirty-two producers were contacted by mail and asked to submit samples of their audiovisual and print catalog card kits. When all kits were received they were examined to see which kits would be most suitable for the specific needs of District #61. Questions to consider were: which cards were annotated and to what degree, which centers used Library of Congress or Dewey Decimal Classification, what symbols were used, and how complete were the kits in regard to other materials included.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

Before examing the results it may be well to review terms used.

Central processing center: refer to page 2.

Commercial processing: all the services listed in the definition for a central processing center on page two is done by the jobber. Materials arrive at the school ready for use.

Original processing: any of the duties listed in the first definition above that are done in the school materials center by the consultant.

Kit: commercially prepared catalog cards, charge card, pocket and spine label. The kit is usually added to the yet unprocessed book by the media specialist.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### RESULTS OF SURVEY

Consultants unanimously agree there is a dire need for central processing. Appendix C is a copy of the questionnaire sent to materials consultants. Appendix F is a compilation of the results of that survey.

Materials consultants were evenly divided on what would be first priority for services offered. If given a choice between audiovisual and print processing by a central processing center, 50% wanted audiovisual and 50% wanted print material processed first. This was an unexpected response since it is very difficult to process the multitude of audiovisual materials. Processing of print material is established and standardized.

Many responses indicated that, although most felt obligated to say they wanted central processing, they were very reticent to give up their independence preferring to do most of the work themselves. Either a great deal of diplomacy will be needed to win over the long-tenured, traditional librarians or an autocratic approach should be used.

All consultants feel audiovisual catalog cards should be interfiled with print materials rather than separately. Most believe audiovisual materials should be classified according to the Dewey System as
are print materials. This is especially beneficial since a student need
only know one number to find a subject whether it be a film, cassette,
slide, etc. It is doubly beneficial because many materials centers

are now intershelving print and non-print materials.

It was found that all materials consultants use color-banded catalog cards to indicate audiovisual materials. Although a variety of colors were used, it was usually a blue-band across the top of the card that indicated to a teacher or student that the item represented by the card was audiovisual rather than print.

In cases where all audiovisual materials are represented by a blue-banded card it is necessary to indicate on the card what type of material is being represented. Thus: F/S = Filmstrip, Cas = Cassette, Trans = Transparency, etc.

The transition to centralized processing will be made easier by the fact that most materials centers used blue-banded cards and are fairly uniform in using symbols to represent a particular type of audio-visual material. A less easily-solved problem concerns the format and information to be used on the catalog cards. Some consultants type their own cards, others order ready-made cards from specialized services, Wilson Company, or the producer of the material. If we are to free consultants from the time-consuming task of acquiring catalog cards it will be necessary to decide on a format that will be acceptable to everyone.

The survey of central processing centers in selected Illinois districts yielded much valuable information. The diversity was so great it would be impossible to establish an "average" central processing center. Indeed, what one district considers impossible another does as a matter of routine. Let's take a look at highlights of this survey.

The size of staffs varied from three to eleven. Each center has one or two professionals. One staff included a para-professional to assist the director. The number of clerks varied from two to nine. The effectiveness of these staffs can be ascertained best from the number of items processed over a period of one year.

The largest staff (11) is able to process 41,000 books and 3,500 audiovisual items. It is able to accomplish this on a budget of \$2,400.00 for supplies and equipment.

The smallest staff (three) processes 3,000 books and 800 audiovisual items.—Its budget is \$600.00 for supplies and equipment.

These figures support the statement that the more items handled, the lower the cost per item. The cost of processing 45,000 items was \$ .18.77¢ per item. The cost of processing 4,100 items was \$ .68.3¢ per item. It should be noted that neither of these figures includes salaries.

The largest number of schools (23) is served by a staff of three persons while the largest staff (11) serves only eight schools. This is explained by the fact that the largest staff is in a high school district and high schools have very large budgets. The staff of three persons serves 18 elementary schools, four junior high schools and one high school.

There are some ways in which the desires of Decatur materials consultants are anticipated by district processing centers already established. One of the most important aspects concerns classification of audiovisual materials according to Dewey Decimal classification. If a student or teacher finds that a film on the American Indian is classified 970.1 he will find that records, study prints, books, etc. on the American Indian

will all be numbered 970.1. Assigning Dewey classification numbers to every type of material makes it possible for all types of material to be intershelved according to subject matter. This speeds up integration of print and non-print materials. Rather than having a library and an audiovisual center we then have a media center.

All centers process both audiovisual and print materials but there is no indication of whether processing of both types of materials was begun simultaneously or if one type of material was processed first and the other added as time and budget allowed. The number of items processed per year varied from 3,300 to 41,500. The number of audiovisual items processed per year varied from 800 to 5,000. Only two centers processed audiovisual equipment. All centers completely processed audiovisual materials. No processing was done in the individual building materials centers. Only one center ordered pre-processed catalog cards from the company distributing the material. Again, this was an unexpected response since most manufacturers and distributers of audiovisual material supply catalog cards free or for a nominal cost. All other centers produced their own audiovisual cards.

The paper work involved in ordering learning materials is time-consuming in the extreme. Three out of five processing centers handle the paper work for the individual schools. Media centers send order slips containing title and price of an item, and name and address of producer or vendor. The processing center prepares and sends requisitions. When the item is received at the center, payment is given to the proper account, and the material is processed and sent to the media center. Such tasks as sending tracers on lost orders and returning damaged or unordered items are handled at the center. The media center in the school is

relieved of many hours of routine clerical work.

Most directors voluntered extra information which indicate great differences in philosophy concerning what a central processing center should be and do. Some directors are happy if books can leave their centers ready to shelve. Other directors indicate a much broader range of services. One director stated that all materials were selected by a committee of materials consultants and requisitioned from the center. Costly items are stored at the center and loaned to media centers.

Another center has gone a step further providing a preview center where audiovisual materials are previewed, evaluated and records kept of evaluations. This center also maintains a professional media center and circulates audiovisual equipment.

A third center has cut costs by diversifying duties. All media materials are purchased pre-processed. A clerk has been hired for each media center. These clerks have been trained to catalog any audio-visual materials which cannot be ordered pre-processed and file catalog cards, etc.

The final step was to survey commercial producers of audiovisual catalog cards. These cards are usually offered free to libraries that purchase audiovisual materials from designated producers. Many audiovisual material producers provide catalog cards for the materials they sell. Others contract with catalog card producers to furnish cards for their materials.

Few librarians take advantage of these cards for a variety of reasons. Most librarians produce their own audiovisual catalog cards.

Some producers routinely send audiovisual cards with materials

ordered. Librarians gratefully use them. Other producers will not send cards unless they are requested. To complicate the process further, some producers send a post card with audiovisual material which must be returned to the producer if catalog cards are desired for the materials. This causes an additional problem in that the material cannot be processed and used until the catalog cards are received.

A further complication is presented by producers who inform librarians and media specialists that they must send information about material to commercial producers of catalog cards who will send the desired cards.

Again, there is a long waiting period.

Any of these steps and a variety of others can be taken <u>if</u> the materials consultants happen to read the obscure paragraph somewhere in the producer's catalog that indicates if and how catalog cards can be obtained.

Appendix E illustrates four of the audiovisual catalog cards from four companies. It will be noticed that no two cards follow the same format. This is unfortunate since it complicates the task of interpreting materials found on cards in the card catalog.

Some producers supply only catalog cards while others include pocket, charge card, call label, etc.

The Cataloging Distribution Service Division of the Library of Congress is a study in itself. Library of Congress provides four cataloging services: Machine Readable Cataloging (MARC), proofsheets, catalogs and publications, and catalog cards.

MARC refers to 7 track and 9 track tapes which are available on a subscription basis. Proofsheets are grouped according to board classification headings. MARC and proofsheets are the least expensive of the

Library of Congress services. A materials consultant acquires the information from these sources and produces his own cards.

The Library of Congress distributes on a subscription basis an extensive array of catalogs and publications such as: National Union Catalog, Library of Congress Catalog, and others. These are more complete and more expensive than the first two services referred to.

For public school materials center service the most appropriate
Library of Congress service seems to be catalog cards. Library of Congress
catalog cards are amont the least expensive ( .45¢) and the most complete.
The consultant is provided with eight main entry cards. Each card contains both the Library of Congress number and the number for Dewey Classification. One need only type whichever number he is using and the headings at the top of the cards and they are complete.

#### CHAPTER V

#### SUMMARY

The purpose of this survey was to determine if District #61,
Decatur Public Schools, could offer better media services at lower
cost if a central processing center were established.

#### Consultant Response

The materials consultants in the district were surveyed to determine what services would be expected from such a center. It was found that all materials consultants favored centralized processing. But there was little agreement of uniformity of services. It was decided the results of that survey would be tabulated and majority preferences would be the determining factor. The results of this survey were compared to those of a second survey.

#### Central Processing Center Response

The second survey was sent to selected school districts in Illinois which were already operating processing centers to determine what services could be successfully offered. There was no uniformity among the results received from these centers. In establishing a center in Decatur it would be necessary to glean the best procedures from the centers now in operation.

#### Commercial Producer Response

Thirty-two producers of audiovisual catalog cards were requested to send sample card kits. When these kits were received they were studied to see which one(s) had the format and content to fill the needs of the proposed central processing center for District #61.

### CONCLUSIONS

In order for a school district to make the transition from independent materials centers to a centralized processing center complete uniformity must be decided upon --- sometimes arbitrarily. In deciding upon procedures to be followed it is important to involve as many people as possible. Everyone must feel that he has had a part in giving up old procedures for new ones.

The initial cost of establishing a central processing center would be high. But the immediate benefits would be two-fold. The district-wide cost of processing would immediately decline. The rate of decline depending on the degree of commitment to central processing. The greater the commitment (and initial investment) the greater the savings.

The second benefit would be to release materials consultants to work with students, teachers, and administrators in improving instruction by implementing new procedures and materials.

Many school districts have considered central processing and decided against it. Others have tried it and failed. But there seems to be enough of a pattern in the experiences of those who have succeeded to warrant imitation.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations can be made based on the results of the surveys and research reported in this study.

# Recommendation 1

Establish a central processing center. Materials consultants were unanimous in recognizing the need of a central processing center. They all agreed on this point because of the excessive workload they must carry. It was their desire to have the clerical and para-professional duties done by the central processing center. This would leave the consultants free to devote all their time to professional duties. It is the desire (and responsibility) of every consultant to spend their day in contact with students and teachers.

#### Recommendation 2

Establish the center to process audiovisual materials. The survey found consultants evenly divided as to whether the center should process print or non-print material.

Since the coordinator of library services must make an arbitrary decision, it is recommendated that audiovisual materials be processed because more time and expertise is needed.

#### Recommendation 3

Do not establish a processing center to accommodate all types of materials. The school board is more likely to supply necessary funding requests if they are not excessive. To start by providing only one kind of service (audiovisual processing) requires the least money. As the value of the center becomes recognized it will be possible to enlarge the budget, staff, and services.

#### Recommendation 4

Employ one professional cataloger. This person will be responsible for the center. Transfer one clerk from the resource center to the processing center. Many of the duties of the resource center will be taken over by the processing center. Thus the clerk transfer can be made without undue stress on the resource center.

#### Recommendation 5

The processing center and its staff should immediately offer services to students, teachers, and administrators that would not require a large budget. Such services would improve education and act as a public relations endeavor.

Such services may include workshops in use of audiovisual equipment, audiovisual production, material and equipment exhibits, and in-service meetings with speakers who have been successful in using multi-media education.

#### Recommendation 6

Each year the Coordinator of Library Services and the processing staff should attempt to enlarge the center's budget, staff, equipment, facilities, and services. In time, the center should be able to offer all the services which were discovered in the survey to processing centers coordinators——and more.

As a central processing center grows its director can have the satisfaction of doing the "almost impossible". He can offer more and better services at lower costs per item. Money saved in the processing of materials can be dispensed in broadening the services offered.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### BIBLIOGRAPHICAL ENTRIES.

#### A. BOOKS

- Akers, Susan, <u>Simple Library Cataloging</u>. 5th ed. Metuchen, N. J.: Scarecrow Press, 1969.
- Leonard, Lawrence E. <u>Centralized Book Processing</u>: A Feasibility Study Based on Colorado Academic Libraries. Metuchen, N. J.: Scarecrow Press, 1969.
- Sanders, Helen E. The Modern School-Library. Metuchen, N. J.: Scarecrow Press, 1968.

#### B. PERIODICALS

- American Library Association. Resources and Technical Services Division. Regional Processing Committee. "Guidelines of Centralized Technical Services," <u>Library Resources and Technical Services</u>, X (Spring, 1966), 233-240.
- Badten, Jean. "Has Your Library Considered Pre-cataloging?" Instructor, LXXCII (November, 1967), 120-122.
- "Book Processing Services," <u>California School Libraries</u>, XXVI (March, 1965), 5-36.
- Darling, Richard L. "Is Central Processing for You?" School Library

  Journal, XIII (December 1966), 58-66.
- Dourherty, R. M., ed. "Colorado Academic Libraries Book Processing Center Study," <u>Library Resources and Technical Services</u>, XIII (November, 1965), 115-141.
- Hendricks, Donald. "Cooperative Growing Pains: First Difficult Year of Operation of the Oak Park, Illinois Book Processing Center,"

  <u>Library Journal</u>, AC (November 1, 1965), 4699-4703.
- McBurney, Lynnea. "Centralized Processing for School Media Centers."
  Ann Arbor, Mich., 1970. unp.
- "New Jersey Library Group Debates Central Processing," <u>Library Jounal</u>, XCLLL (February 1, 1968), 501.
- Piercy, Esther J. ed. "Cooperative and Centralized Cataloging," Library Trends, XVI (July, 1967).

Vann, Sarah K. "Southeastern Pennsylvania Processing Center Feasibility Study: A Summary," <u>Library Resources and Technical Services</u>, X (Fall, 1966), 461-478.

APPENDIX A

#### SELECTED ILLINOIS SCHOOL DISTRICTS SURVEYED

Alton Public Schools, Library Services 1211 Henry Street Alton, Illinois 62002

Danville Public Schools 516 North Jackson Danville, Illinois 61832

Mattoon Public School, Armstrong Center 1400 Piatt Avenue Mattoon, Illinois 61938

Audiovisual and Library Services 799 W. Kensington Road Mt. Prospect 6, Illinois 60056

Urbana Public Schools 301 North Lincoln Avenue Urbana, Illinois 61801 APPENDIX B

# LETTER AND SURVEY TO SELECTED ILLINOIS SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Dear	:
Dear	:

I am working toward a specialist's degree in media from Eastern Illinois University. I am also a materials consultant in the Decatur Public School system. Part of my work at EIU involves a field study to determine whether there is a uniform procedure for establishing a central processing center for all types and sizes of school districts. And if so, could it be successfully applied with a minimum of variations.

With the aid of the Office of the Superintendent of the Macon County Educational Service Region, I have selected a few school districts which are known to have successfully-functioning central processing centers. Your center is one of those suggested. I would be very grateful if you would take the time to complete the following questionnaire. It is designed to be completed in only two or three minutes and since only a few participants are involved it is important to have as many questionnaires returned as possible.

If there are any questions you should prefer to leave blank, please do so. If answers to some questions are not readily available please estimate. The information will not be published but will be consolidated into a general report. Thank you for your cooperation. It is very much appreciated.

Yours truly,

George Kidd Materials Consultant

# SURVEY OF CENTRAL PROCESSING IN SELECTED ILLINOIS DISTRICTS

	Please disreagard questions that do not apply to your processing center.			
1.	Number of staff members			
2.	Title or classification of each member			
3.	Budget: supplies			
	equipment			
	maintenance			
4.	Number of schools served: elementary			
	junior high			
	senior high			
5.	How many months per year does the center operate?			
6.	Number of books processed per year			
7. 🤭	If periodicals are processed, how many subscriptions per			
	year?			
8:	If audiovisual materials are processed, how many items per			
	year? (An item could refer to a single filmstrip or a kit			
	containing many-pieces)			
9.	If audiovisual equipment is processed, how many items per			
	year?			
0.	Uniform system of processing:			
	A. Materials for all schools at all levels are processed			
	alike.			
	B. Materials for all elementary schools are processed a-			
	like and materials for junior and senior highs are			

	processed according to a different set of standards.		
	C. Materials for each school are processed according to		
	each schools preference		
	D. Other		
11.	Type of processing		
	Elementary: On spine of book and pocket: what symbol		
	represents_fiction?		
	Is last name of author indicated and if so,		
	how?		
	On spine of book and packet: what symbol		
	represents books for primary grades?		
	Is last name of author indicated and if so,		
	how?		
	Junior and Senior-High Schools: On spine of book and card		
	pocket: what symbol represents fiction?		
	Is last name of author indicated and is so,		
	how?		
	By what process is location affixed to spine:		
	typed labels		
	electric stylus		
	paint		
	other		
	What symbols or words are used in the call number for each		
	type of audiovisual material?		
	silent filmstrip		
	sound_filmstrip		
	reel-to-reel tane		

cassette			
slide			
transparencies			
real object			
models			
records			
8 mm loops			
16 mm films			
maps			
picture sets			
books with cassettes			
kits			
games			
others			
Color-banded cards represent:			
black			
blue			
green			
orange			
yellow			
other			
Processing center handles the ordering of rental films			
Center does original cataloging on all materials or			
orders pre-processed catalog cards when available			
Center does original cataloging of materials for which pre-			
processed catalog cards are not available or mater-			
ials which require original cataloging are processed in			
each school .			

12.

13.

14.	Pre-processed catalog cards for audiovisual materials are
	ordered when possible or
	All audiovisual materials are originally processed at the
	center or
	All audiovisual materials are originally processed at each
	school.
<b>15.</b> å :	Pre-processed audiovisual catalog cards are ordered from
16.	Audiovisual materials are cataloged by Dewey numberor
	by accession number
17 • 12. 12.7	Does a staff member from central processing go to each school
	and file catalog cards ?
18.	In the case of a set of silent filmstrips, the set is:
	cataloged as a single item
	each-filmstrip is cataloged separately
19.	In the case of sound filmstrips:
	filmstrips and records (tapes) are cataloged as a
	set and stored together
	filmstrips and records (tapes) are cataloged and
	stored separately
20.	Paperback books are processed exactly as books are processed
	or
	Paperbacks are not ordered pre-processed
21.	For spine, pocket and catalog cards, a special designation
	is used to indicate "paperback"
	The symbol is
	Processing of paperbacks is partial and limited to
22.	Central processing center types requisitions

	each school types its own requisitions	
23.	Vendor delivers books to central processing center	όr
	directly to schools	
24.	Please indicate central processing services offered but whi	ch
	have not been discussed.	

APPENDIX C

School	

## Return to Riverside by March 18th

# ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS--CATALOGING SURVEY

The committee working on the possibilities for centralized cataloging have posed the following questions and would like your opinions. If it is possible to have a cataloger with clerical help at the Resource Center to help in getting materials ready for use in the buildings—

	-	Processing of books which cannot be purchased pre-processed
		Processing of audio-visual materials
,		Other Specify
	Indicat	e your preference for each of the following by indicating no:
		Each school should continue to type their own requisitions for library books and library AV materials.
		All books should be delivered to the schools by the vendors
		All-schools should order books pre-processed from the selected bidders for library books.
		Each school should order catalog card kits only from the selected bidders for books
		If books are ordered from a vendor other than the selected bidder, the school should send the books to the Resource Center for cataloging and processing.
. 3		er to arrive at a decision about uniform practices, we would our opinions on the following:
	Pockets	should be placed where?
	The aut	hor's name should be represented by how many letters?
	What ki	nd of spine label do you prefer?
	Should	call letters be used for fiction?
	Should:	illustrator cards be kept for fiction and easy books?
	Should	added entries for joint authors, editors, etc., be retained?
-	Informa	tion that should be included on the shelf list card

(e.g. location of pocket, type of spine label, etc.)

# AUDIO VISUAL MATERIALS

Please indicate what you do now in the cataloging and processing of AV materials:

1.	Cards filed in catalog with books
	in separate card file
2.	Classified by Dewey
	Given an accession or location number
3	Use all white cards for AV materials
	Use color banded cards
•	Use-blue-banded cards for all AV materials
	If a variety of color banded cards are used, indicate what type of material is represented by each color:
4.	What symbols or words do you use in the call number for each type of AV materials: (If you have them)
	Silent_filmstrip
	Sound-filmstrip
	Tape
	Cassette
	Slides
	Transparencies
	Super 8mm loops
	Study prints
	Books with cassettes
	Kits
	Games
	Posters
	Charts
	Maps
	Othoras

5.	Wha	at printed catalog cards do you buy for AV materials:
		Library of Congress
		Specialized Services
		Others:
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
6.	Do	you use any of the cards sent free from commercial companies:
		Which companies:
7.	In	the case of a set of silent filmstrips, do you
·		catalog the set as a whole
		catalog each filmstrip separately
8	In	the case of sound filmstrips, do you
		catalog filmstrips and records (or tapes) as a set and keep them together
٠		catalog_filmstrips_and_records_(or_tapes)_separately

APPENDIX D

### LETTER SENT TO JOBBERS AND THEIR ADDRESSES

March 15, 1975
E. J. Muffley School
88 South Country Club Road
Decatur, Illinois 62521

Dear Sir:

I am conducting a field study for establishing central processing of media—center materials—for school—districts. The result will be a recommendation on establishing and maintaining a center where all library materials will be processed for large numbers of libraries. It would help me a great deal if you could send me a sample catalog card—kit—for print—and/or non-print—materials.

Your help will be greatly appreciated.

Yours truly,

#### ADDRESSES OF AUDIOVISUAL CATALOG CARD SOURCES

### General Suppliers

Specialized Services and Supply Co. 321 Bank Avenue Cincinnati, Ohio 45217

Library of Congress, Processing Department Washington, D. C. 20541

### Individual Companies

BFA Educational Media 2211 Michigan Avenue Santa Monica, Calif. 90404

Baker and Taylor AV Services Division P.O. Box 230, Momence, IL. 60954

Bowmar 622-Rodier-Drive Glendale, Calif. 91201

Caedmon Records, Inc. 505 Eighth Avenue New York, N. Y. 10018

Coronet Films 65 E. South Water St. Chicago, Illinois 60601

Current Affairs Films 24 Danbury Road Wilton, Conn. 06897

Demco Educational Corp. 2120 Fordem Ave. Madison, Wis. 53704

Disney, (Walt) Educational Media Co. 800 Sonora Ave. Glendate, Calif. 91201

Doubleday Multimedia 1371 Reynolds Ave. Santa Ana, Calif. 92705

Educational Activities, Inc. Box 392 Freeport, N. Y. 11520

Educational Development Corporation 202 Lake Miriam Dr. Lakeland, Fla. 33803

Educational Dimensions Corporation 25-60 Francis Lewis Blvd. Flushing, N. Y. 11358

Educational Reading Service, Inc. 320 Route 17
Mahwah, N. J. 07430

Educational Record Sales 157 Chambers Street New York N.Y. 10007

Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc. 425 N. Michigan Ave. Chicago, Illinois 60611

Eye Gate House 146-01 Archer Ave. Jamaica, N. Y. 11435

Guidance Associates 757 Third Ave. New York, N. Y. 10017

Imperial Film Co. 202 Lake Mirian Drive Lakeland, Fla. 33803

Inquiry Audio-Visuals 1754 West Farragut Ave. Chicago, III. 60640

Kimbo Educational Box 246 Deal, New Jersey 07723

Learning Arts
Box 179
Wichita, Kansas 67201

Listening Library, Inc. 1 Park Ave. Old Greenwich, Conn. 06870

McGraw-Hill Films 1220 Ave. of Americas New York, N. Y. 10020

Media Materials, Inc. 409 W. Cold Spring Lane Baltamore, Maryland 21210

Miller Brody Productions 342 Madison Ave. New York, N. Y. 10017

Schloat Productions, Inc. 150 White Plains Road Tarrytown, N. Y. 10591

Singer (Society for Visual Education) 1345 Divrsey Parkway Chicago, Ill. 60614

Teaching Resources Films 2 Kisco Plaza Mt. Kisco, N. Y. 10549

Troll Associates
320 Route 17
Mahwah, N. J. 07430

Weston Woods Weston, Conn. 06880 APPENDIX E

Terry terrible charts how he grew
Media Material Inc., c/1973 Bultimore, Md.
Grade 2-4

1 cassette; teacher's guide; 35 student booklets; post test Children learn the concept of simple charts.

#### 1 CHARTS

## FILM-STRIP

552 Dis

# Discovering rocks and minerals. (FILMSTRIP - SOUND). Coronet Films, 1970.

4 filmstrips. color. 35 mm. and 2 phonodiscs: 4 s. 12 in. 33½ rpm. Also available, 4 tape cassettes (same program both sides). 1% ips.

With guide.

For automatic or manual advance projector.

Credits: Educational collaborator, Donald G. Decker.

Contents:—Kinds of rocks, 54 fr., 13½ min.—Kinds of minerals, 51 fr., 12 min.—How we identify them, 51 fr., 11½ min.—Their value to us, 51 fr., 12½ min.

1. Petrology. 2. Mineralogy. . Title anals.

KIT 330 Fun

### **POPULATION**

Fundamentals of economics. (Filmstrip) Eye Gate, 178, 1964. 8 filmstrips, color, teacher's manual and 4 tapes in cassette or records

Some of the basic elements of the dynamics of economic institutions are covered in these strips. (Intermediate/Junior and Senior High)

Contents: A. What is economics?(30 fr); B. Money(30 fr); C. Taxes (35 fr); D. Banks and banking (31 fr); E. Business organization (36 fr); F. Labor and labor unions (36 fr); G. Credit buying (37 fr); H. Population (29 fr)

1. Economics 2. Money 3. Taxation 4. Banks and banking 5. Business 6. Labor unions 7. Credit 8. Population

Filmstrip

333.8

## Energy: Impact on values and lifestyles

(Filmstrip) Current Affairs Films, c1974.

66 fr., color 35mm, and 12" phonodisc: 2 s.(1 s. for manual projector, 1 s. for automatic projector)

12in., 33-1/3 rpm., 18 min. microgroove.

Also issued with phonotape in cassette.

With discussion guide and monthly quiz.

Credits: Consultant, Manson Van B. Jennings, Ph.D; Writer,

Curtis Colby; Photographer, Jason Lauré.

Summary: An assessment of the impact of more expensive energy and energy shortages on lifestyles, societal patterns, existing values and the concept of "a good life."

1. U.S. Conservation of natural resources. 2. Conservation of energy.

APPENDIX F

# TABULATION OF SURVEY TO MATERIALS CONSULTANTS

# (SEE APPENDIX C FOR ENTIRE SURVEY)

Choice of preferred services:	Book processing Audiovisual processing	7 6
Each materials consultant shoul	ld type his own	
requisitions.		Yes 10
	~	No 4
Materials should be delivered d	lirectly to	
schools.		Yes 8
	•	No et al. 3
Books should be ordered pre-pro	ocessed -	Yes 4
		No 10
Catalog card kits should be ord	lered.	Yes 8
		No 3
Unprocessed books should be pro	ocessed at the	
central processing center.		Yes 9
		No - 3
Where should pockets be pasted?	<b></b>	Front 6
		Back - 8
Author's names should be repres	sented by how	
many letters?		0ne 1
		Two8
		Three -4
What type of spine label should	l be used??	Lacquer 3
•		Typed 5
		Cloth 1
		Stylus 1
Should call letters be used for	fiction?	Yes 1
		No 12
Should added entry-cards be use	ed?	Yes 0
•		No : 13

What information should be included on the shelflist card?	<b>.</b>	opy number Date Vendor Price Fund	8 14 6 14 5
Are you willing to accept changes?		Yes No Depends	12 0 1
AUDIOVISUAL MATERIALS			
Catalog cards for books and audiovisual materials should be filed separately.		Yes No	0 14
Materials should be classified by Dewey decimal number.		Yes	12
or			
Materials should be given an accession number.		Yes	2
AV catalog cards should be white.		Yes	0
AV catalog cards should be in color.		Yes	2
AV catalog cards should be blue-banded.	·	Yes	12
If a variety of colors are used what code do you suggest?	Orange-	profession cassette filmstrip kit	al-
	Green	verticle f	
	Red	records	
	Blue	filmstrips 8 mm loops	

What	symbols should represent AV	materials?		
	Silent filmstrips	F/S, Filmstrip		
	Sound Filmstrips	F/S F/S		
		Cas Rec		
	Tapes	Tapes, Reel-to-reel, T		
	Cassettes	Cas, Cassette, Tape, CS		
	Slides	Slide, SL		
	Transparencies	Trans, TR		
	Super 8 mm loops	8 mm, loops	·	
	Study prints	Pic, SP, Pic Set, Study Pr	ints	
	Books with cassettes	Cas/B, Book & Cassette, B&C	S	
	Kits	Kit, Kits, Multi-Media Kit		
	Games	Games, Game, Model		
	Posters	Poster, Posters, Pic, Pic	Set	
	Charts	Chart, Charts, Pic		
	Maps	Map,Maps		
What	commercial eatalog-cards sh	ould be bought?		
	•	Library of Congress		0
		Specialized Service		2
		Undecided		11
_		•	••	
no Ac	ou use free commercial cards		Yes	15
			No	1
Sets	of silent-filmstrips are ca	taloged as a set.		12
Sets	of silent filmstrips are ca	taloged separately.		4
With	sound filmstrips audio and cataloged together.	visual parts are		14
	sound_filmstrips_audio_and	visual parts are		1

APPENDIX G

## TABULATION OF SURVEY TO SELECTED CENTRAL PROCESSING CENTERS IN CENTRAL ILLINOIS

The numbers in the left column correspond to the questions found in Appendix B. The districts surveyed were told the information they contributed would be kept confidential. Therefore, the five districts represented on this page are not identified by column.

1.	3 <sup>1</sup> ⁄ <sub>4</sub>	4	11	4	3
2.	Cataloger Secretary Typist Receiving clerk	Director Cataloger Clerk Secretary	Cataloger Assistant cataloger Nine clerks	Administrator Three clerks	Elementary media supervisor Two secretaries
3.	Supplies \$1,000 Equipment 0 Maintenance 0	\$287.23 -\$1,159.56 \$18.50	\$7,000,00 \$1,700,00 0	\$3,00,00 0 0	\$300.00 \$300.00 0
4.	Elementary 18 Junior High 4 Senior High 1	8 2 1	0 0 8	16 3, 1	9 2 1
5.	12	11½	12	10	10
6.	10,000	6,000	41,500	5,000	3,300
7.	: -	***************************************		1,000	<u>.</u>
8.	5,000	5,000	3,500	1,000	800
9.	500	*		300	. <u> </u>
10.	A Yes B - C - D -	Yes - Some flexibility	Yes - -	No Yes	Difference Yes Yes

11.	F First letter E First letter	Fic First three Letters None First three Letters		First two letters E First two letters	F First three lefters P First three letters	
	F	Fic First three	First three letters of author's last	Fic  First two letters	Fic First three	
	Electric	letters Typed labels on		Typed labels	letters Typed labels	
A	FS	jacket FS	<b>FS</b> : 1	<b>FS</b>	FS FS	
В	SFS	Kit	sfs	FS& Rec	SFS	
С	Tape	TRR	ŔŦ	8 1 <u></u>	Таре	
D	Tape	TRC	RT	Cas	Tape	
E	SL	 <del></del>	SL	Slide	Slide	
F	Transparency		TR	Transparency	Transparency	
G	·	2 to 1		k: '	Realia	
н.	Model	<u> </u>		Model	Model	
I	Record	PRM	Record	Record	Record	
J.	<b>F</b>	<u>4.1.,</u> 2.342 •	Film Loop	Film Loop	Film Loop	
K			Film	Film	Movie	
Ĺ	Map	<u> </u>	Мар	Мар	Мар	
	:	- 4000	1*			55

M	Pic		Sp	Pic	Picture
N		1 ·	Processed as book with notation that	BK&Cass	Sound BK
		•	cassette is included		•
0	Kit		Kit	Kit  #1	Kit
P	Ga		Game	Game	Kit
Q	:.i	· ·	. ( d)	Chart Cha	Sound Slides
		- <del></del> -		id of the	28 11 1 1 2 2 2
12.	No	No	No	Yes	No
13.	All original	H.W. Wilson	Order pre-processed	Pre-processed	Pre-processed
	cataloging	, "V – Vizildoži	when available. Original cataloging when necessary. IC proof sheets.	cards. Original cataloging when necessary.	cards. Original cataloging when necessary.
14.	A		1944		
	в.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	'	
	c		<del></del>		<b></b> *
15.	No	No	No	No	Company distributing
· · · · · ·	Å.				item.
16.	Dewey	Dewey	Accession	Dewey	Dewey
17.	No	No	Ńδ	No. (1.1)	Yes - professional
18.	Depends	Depends	Single Item	Single Item	Single Item

19.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Cataloged separately
* * *	.:	Lo	1.15		Stored as set
20.	If important	If important	Yes		Yes
21.	<b>P</b>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	No	PB
22.	CPC	School School	School School	CPS	CPC
23.	CPC	CPC	CPC	CPS for junior high schools. SCHOOLS for elementary and senior high schools.	CPC