Eastern Illinois University The Keep

Masters Theses

Student Theses & Publications

2017

Helicopter Parenting of College Students

Lindsey R. Pautler Eastern Illinois University

This research is a product of the graduate program in Clinical Psychology at Eastern Illinois University. Find out more about the program.

Recommended Citation

Pautler, Lindsey~R., "Helicopter~Parenting~of~College~Students"~(2017).~Masters~Theses.~2749.~https://thekeep.eiu.edu/theses/2749

This is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Theses & Publications at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Masters Theses by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

Thesis Maintenance and Reproduction Certificate

FOR: Graduate Candidates Completing Theses in Partial Fulfillment of the Degree

Graduate Faculty Advisors Directing the Theses

RE: Preservation, Reproduction, and Distribution of Thesis Research

Preserving, reproducing, and distributing thesis research is an important part of Booth Library's responsibility to provide access to scholarship. In order to further this goal, Booth Library makes all graduate theses completed as part of a degree program at Eastern Illinois University available for personal study, research, and other not-for-profit educational purposes. Under 17 U.S.C. § 108, the library may reproduce and distribute a copy without infringing on copyright; however, professional courtesy dictates that permission be requested from the author before doing so.

Your signatures affirm the following:

- The graduate candidate is the author of this thesis.
- The graduate candidate retains the copyright and intellectual property rights associated with the original research, creative activity, and intellectual or artistic content of the thesis.
- The graduate candidate certifies her/his compliance with federal copyright law (Title 17 of the U. S. Code) and her/his right to authorize reproduction and distribution of all copyrighted materials included in this thesis.
- The graduate candidate in consultation with the faculty advisor grants Booth Library the nonexclusive, perpetual right to make copies of the thesis freely and publicly available without restriction, by means of any current or successive technology, including by not limited to photocopying, microfilm, digitization, or internet.
- The graduate candidate acknowledges that by depositing her/his thesis with Booth Library, her/his work is available for viewing by the public and may be borrowed through the library's circulation and interlibrary loan departments, or accessed electronically.
- The graduate candidate waives the confidentiality provisions of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) (20 U. S. C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99) with respect to the contents of the thesis and with respect to information concerning authorship of the thesis, including name and status as a student at Eastern Illinois University.

I have conferred with my graduate faculty advisor. My signature below indicates that I have read and agree with the above statements, and hereby give my permission to allow Booth Library to reproduce and distribute my thesis. My adviser's signature indicates concurrence to reproduce and distribute the thesis.

Graduate Candidate Signature	Faculty Adviser Signature	
Lindsey Pautler	Dr. Allan	
Printed Name	Printed Name	
Master of Arts in Clinical Psychology	5- 31 -2017	
Graduate Degree Program	Date	

Please submit in duplicate.

	(T)	TLE)	
	E	3 Y	
	Lindsey	R. Pautler	
	THI	ESIS	
SUBMITTED) IN PARTIAL FULFIL	LMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS DEGREE OF	
	Master of Arts in	Clinical Psychology	
IN THE GRAD		STERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY ON, ILLINOIS	A CARLO MANAGEMENT
	20	7	
	YEA	AR	
		THESIS BE ACCEPTED AS FULFILLIN ATE DEGREE CITED ABOVE	IG
	5/31/17	_	5/31/
THESIS COMMITTEE CHAIR	DATE	DEPAR TM ÉNT/SCHOOL CHAIR OR CHAIR'S DESIGNEE	DAT
	<i>5/31/1</i> 7	_	5-31-
	5.75	THESIS COMMITTEE MEMBER	DAT
THESIS COMMITTEE MEMBER	DATE		

Helicopter Parenting of College Students

Lindsey R. Pautler

Eastern Illinois University

2

Copyright 2017 by Lindsey R. Pautler

Abstract

Popular media often features stories about 'helicopter parenting' and asserts that this style is detrimental to youngsters (c.f., Fingerman, Cheng, Wesselmann, Zarit, Furstenberg, & Birditt, 2012; LeMoyne & Buchanan, 2011). However, few studies have examined the phenomenon empirically (Fingerman et al., 2012), particularly in a college population. The few existing studies of 'helicopter parenting' have evaluated different facets of this parenting style (e.g., intrusive parenting, inappropriate contact with school personnel), which makes comparing research results difficult. This paper discusses the literature on 'helicopter parenting' and discusses the initial steps in the development of a new comprehensive assessment of 'helicopter parenting' behaviors identified in the literature. In addition, the study examines correlates of 'helicopter parenting' in college students: worry, depressive symptoms, and academic self-efficacy. 'Helicopter parenting' was correlated positively with depression and worry symptoms but not with self-efficacy.

Acknowledgments

This thesis would not have been possible without the guidance and patience of Dr. Allan, Dr. Gruber, Dr. Longley, and Dr. Stowell. Nazmi Turker also helped with using qualtrics and Mahip Rathore helped with using SONA. I am truly indebted to everyone who helped with this study.

Table of Contents

Abstract	Pg. 3
Acknowledgments	Pg. 4
Table of Contents	Pg. 5
List of Tables	Pg. 6
Introduction	Pg. 7 - 20
Methodology	Pg. 20 - 23
Results	Pg. 23 - 28
Discussion	Pg. 28 - 35
References	Pg. 36 - 42
Appendices A	Pg. 46 - 64
Appendices B	Pg. 65 - 66

List of Tables

Table 1.	I his table lists the internal consistencies, standard deviations, means,
	minimum, and maximum values and ranges for the Helicopter Parenting
	Questionnaire and subscales
Table 2.	This table lists the strength of the correlations between Helicopter
	Parenting Questionnaire sub-scales and other study variables44
Table 3.	This table lists the strength of the correlations between the Parental
	Control Items, Parental Attitude items, and other study variables45

Helicopter Parenting of College Students

Since the 1990's, the term 'helicopter parenting' has been used in the popular media to describe parents who are overly involved in developmentally inappropriate ways in their children's lives (Segrin, Woszidlo, Givertz, Bauer, & Murphy, 2012). These "landing pad kids," as Fingerman and colleagues (2012) calls them, are physically capable of independent behaviors (e.g., talking to professors about grades) but may not attempt to do so because their parents intervene for them. The popular media is rife with stories of this type (c.f., Gibbs, 2009; Kanowski, 2009; Loop, 2015; & Manos, 2009), suggesting that 'helicopter parenting' may constitute an epidemic.

Unfortunately, these media stories tend to be inconsistent in how they define and measure 'helicopter parenting.' Likewise, little scientific evidence exists that documents the prevalence of or possible effects of 'helicopter parenting' for college students (Segrin et al., 2012). Stories from the popular media seem to assume that 'helicopter parenting' directed toward college students is detrimental (c.f., Fingerman et al, 2012; LeMoyne & Buchanan, 2011). For example, a Washington Post article tells of a college student who has "anxiety attacks anytime someone asks her to do something challenging" (Joyce, 2014; p.3). The author of that piece attributes this situation to her not learning how to handle problems on her own.

The assumption that 'helicopter parenting' is detrimental intensifies the need to empirically examine its actual correlates (Insch, Heames, & McIntyre, 2010). Thus, this paper discusses the literature on 'helicopter parenting' of college students focusing on a conceptualization of this phenomenon. This study focuses on college-aged students

because it represents a developmental period where parents typically exert less control over their offspring's life and allow them greater independence and autonomy. We will then discus 'helicopter parenting' of college students and its correlates.

It should be noted that the literature in this area addresses parenting across many different age spans. Thus, some studies have examined children; whereas others have focused on college students. Although the current study uses college-aged students, we will review the child literature where appropriate in order to fully discuss this topic. To reference this wide age span, we will try to use the most appropriate term in each situation.

What is 'Helicopter Parenting?'

The problem of a vague definition of 'helicopter parenting' has lead to a variety of studies using different parental variables and scales, making it difficult to compare results across studies. For example Fingerman and colleagues (2012) included behaviors such as providing practical, financial, and emotional support; socializing; and giving advice. In contrast, Padilla-Walker and Nelson (2012) used emotional support (e.g., acceptance, affection, involvement, nurturance), behavioral control (e.g., limit setting, supervision, reasoning about consequences), and autonomy granting (e.g., providing choices, allowing offspring input into rule making, free expression of ideas, avoiding intrusive behavior). Shoup and colleges (2009) operationalized 'helicopter parenting' by using two different categories of behaviors - frequency of communication and frequency of parental interventions. Participants (i.e., first year college students and seniors) who described the frequency of their communication with parents as "very often" and the frequency of their parents interventions as "very often" or "often" were considered to have 'helicopter

9

parents.' This study found an overall prevalence rate of 10% in first-year college students and 7% in seniors for 'helicopter parenting.' Segrin and colleagues (2012) defined 'helicopter parenting' as providing tangible assistance (e.g., financial support, transportation, food and clothing), protecting the child from risks, removing obstacles, and managing the child's emotions and mood. Segrin and colleagues (2012) evaluated 538 parent and offspring dyads but did not provide prevalence rate for any behavior. Bradley-Geist and Olson-Buchanan's definition of 'helicopter parenting' concerned youngsters' beliefs that their parents were too involved in their lives (e.g. "My parents/guardians are too controlling of me and my life" or "My parents/guardians have interfered in my life when I wish they wouldn't have"). Bradley-Geist and Olson-Buchanan sampled 482 undergraduate college students but they did not include a prevalence rate for any behavior in their study. Other authors have focused on controlling behaviors (e.g., a mother making the offspring's decisions for her/him; c.f., LeMoyne & Buchanan, 2011; Schiffrin et al., 2013).

Somers (2010) developed a comprehensive model of 'helicopter parenting' by grouping parental behaviors into five different categories or types: consumer advocates, quality or fairness advocates, vicarious college students, toxic parents, and safety patrol parents. These typologies were derived from interviews with admissions staff, financial aid staff, academic counselors, deans, directors of student activities, placement directors, the vice president of student affairs, and comparable positions from public 4-year universities. Consumer advocate parents consider their child's college experience as a "service oriented transaction" (Somers, 2010; p.6) in which the parent and child are the consumers. Consumer advocate parents will request/demand anything that they think

could benefit them or their offspring. If these parents do not obtain what they think their child is entitled to, then they will seek 'consumer justice' by making threats. 'Quality or fairness advocates' ask for equal treatment of their child but imply the desire for better than equal treatment. This type of 'helicopter parent' expects the best for their child. Quality or fairness advocates view any difficulties their offspring encounters (e.g., bad grades) as the university's fault. 'Vicarious college students' are parents who may have missed out on college experiences and want to experience them vicariously through their children's experiences (e.g., attending every sports activity). 'Toxic parents' are described as having multiple psychological issues and are controlling, are negative, try to live their offsprings' lives for them, and attempt to 'outdo' them. Although Somer's (2010) typology represents one of the more complete models of 'helicopter parenting,' it has not been tested empirically.

Parenting Styles vs. 'Helicopter Parenting'

The developmental literature essentially has examined 'helicopter parenting' for decades in studies focusing on controlling parental behaviors. Different parenting styles are correlated with different emotional and behavioral outcomes. The different outcomes associated with different parenting styles are presumably due to differences in levels of warmth and control associated with specific parenting styles. Because different parenting styles are associated with different outcomes, several studies that have evaluated 'helicopter parenting' have also examined the overlap between the amount of control associated with the different styles and 'helicopter parenting' (c.f., Givertz & Segrin, 2014; Segrin et al., 2012). Children whose parents have a parenting style that incorporates high levels of parental control (e.g., authoritarian parenting style) are more

likely to be discontent, withdrawn, and distrustful (Ballash et al., 2006). Parenting styles that are low in affection, warmth, and care and are high in over-protectiveness and controlling behaviors have been linked to depression in children (Manfredi et al., 2010). Despite the similarities between 'helicopter parenting' and the different parenting styles, Segrin and colleges (2012) found that 'helicopter parenting' has unique qualities that are not representative of a specific parenting style (e.g., risk aversion, a preoccupation with the child's happiness, and the drive to problem solve for the offspring).

Parental control is often considered as a component of 'helicopter parenting.' There are two different types of control: behavioral control and psychological control (Ballish, 2006). Behavioral control typically includes issues pertaining to discipline and monitoring (c.f., Ballish, 2006; Barber, Olsen, & Shagle, 1994); psychological control, in contrast, varies significantly between studies. Ballish (2006) defines psychological control as parents' attempts to prevent their children from developing autonomy and independence. Barber and colleagues (1994) define psychological control as patterns of family interaction that impede the offspring's individuation process (i.e., the degree of psychological distance an offspring experiences from his or her family and parents). Schiffrin and colleges (2013) defines psychological control as inducing guilt and withholding love to control the child.

Despite differences in how it is defined and assessed, psychological control is consistently correlated with negative outcomes in the developmental literature (c.f., Ballash et al., 2006; Barber et al., 1994; Schiffrin et al., 2013; Wijsbroek, 2011). Moderate levels of behavioral control in children are usually associated with more positive outcomes (Schiffrin et al., 2013), as this pattern suggests that the parent is

actively involved in the youngster's life. However, the negative effects linked to psychological control are found when levels of behavioral control (i.e., a component of 'helicopter parenting') are developmentally inappropriate (Schiffrin et al., 2013). For example, according to Schiffrin and colleges (2013), some types of behavioral control (e.g., rules that are too stringent for a child's age) can be experienced as psychologically controlling (e.g., a child could feel guilty for disappointing her/his parents).

Over-involvement versus 'Helicopter Parenting'

The adult and developmental literatures have both studied parental over-involvement. However, the parental behaviors considered developmentally appropriate and the terms used to describe these behaviors differ. With adult offspring (e.g., college students), the term 'helicopter parenting' often is used to denote parental over-involvement (LeMoyne and Buchanan, 2011). In the developmental literature, authors typically refer to parental 'over-involvement' or 'over-parenting,' such as making play dates, warding off bullies, and over-scheduling extra-curricular activities for a teenager. These behaviors could be developmentally appropriate for one age group and inappropriate for another. For example cleaning a child's room, making all their meals, or paying all their bills would be appropriate for a ten year old but not for a 25 year old.

It should be noted that, after the use of the term 'helicopter parenting' became relatively common, several authors developed other colloquial terms, such as 'Lawn Mower Parents' and 'Kong Kids.' Regardless of whether they use the term 'helicopter parent' or some other term to convey the intrusiveness of the parenting, the main theme seems to be that this type of parenting provides more guidance than is expected and sometimes more than is wanted by the offspring. Thus, for the current study, 'helicopter

parenting' is conceptualized as behaviors that are developmentally inappropriate for college-aged students, such as scheduling advising appointments for students (Peluchette et al., 2013), complaining to professors about grades (Schiffrin et al., 2013), and making the offsprings' decisions for them (Lemoyne and Buchanan, 2011).

Correlates of 'Helicopter Parenting'

Researchers have speculated about the correlates of 'helicopter parenting' and whether this type of assistance is beneficial or detrimental to college students.

'Helicopter parents' tend to think that they are doing what is best for their college-aged offspring by ensuring their success and happiness (c.f., Locke et al., 2012; Segrin et al., 2012; Walker & Nelson, 2012). However, the best intentions may not always produce the desired effect (Somers, 2010). So what are the possible implications?

Mixed results have been found concerning whether 'helicopter parenting' behaviors are associated with favorable or unfavorable student outcomes. The different appraisals of 'helicopter parenting' are likely due to the varying behaviors used to define this type of parenting across studies as well as the use of different severity levels of those behaviors. For example, being over-controlling (e.g., a mother making all her offspring's decision), engaging in monitoring (e.g., a mother accompanies her offspring to every appointment), and exhibiting elevated levels of emotional support (e.g., a mother helps her offspring regulate emotions) are fundamentally different behaviors; thus, the correlates of those behaviors would be expected to vary as well.

For example Fingerman and colleagues (2012) focused their conceptualization of 'helicopter parenting' on support rather than control. Specifically, they examined: practical support (e.g., hands-on tasks and running errands), financial support, emotional

support (e.g., being loved and cared for), socializing (e.g., contact with the offspring), and advice-giving. They found that the extra support provided by 'helicopter parenting' is related positively to young adults' sense of goals and life satisfaction. It should be noted that this study focused primarily on positive behaviors (e.g., emotional support), which arguably may not be detrimental even at extreme levels. Fingerman and colleagues (2012) also found a negative correlation between parents' self-reported levels of life satisfaction and the amount of excessive support they thought their children required. It is not surprising that emotional support and socializing are conducive to wellbeing. After all, emotional support and socializing are considered protective factors from developing mental health problems (Cobb, 1976). In contrast, LeMoyne and Buchanan (2011) examined the arguably more negative aspects of 'helicopter parenting,' such as being controlling (i.e., decision making and problem solving) and engaging in monitoring (e.g., "My parents supervised my every move growing up"); they found that these forms of 'helicopter parenting' are correlated negatively with college student's 'wellbeing.'

Another possible explanation for the mixed outcomes is that studies include varying severity levels of the same 'helicopter parenting' behaviors. For example, Odenweller, Booth-Butterfield, & Weber (2014) and Schiffrin and colleagues (2013) evaluated the correlates of the same 'helicopter parenting' behavior (i.e., decision making), but their scale items defined developmentally inappropriate decision-making using different severity levels. Odenweller and colleagues (2014) used the item "My parent tries to make all of my major decisions;" whereas, Schiffrin and colleagues used the scale item "My mother had/ will have a say in what major I choose." Both items examine decision-making, but Odenweller and colleagues' (2014) item appears more severe because the

offspring's parent would make all important decisions; whereas, with the Schiffrin and colleagues' (2013) item the parent just made one important decision (i.e., what the offspring's major would be).

Segrin and colleagues (2012) examined 'over-parenting' or providing advice, problem solving, 'tangible assistance' (e.g., cooking, cleaning, laundry), protection from risk, financial assistance, monitoring, attention, removing obstacles, managing their emotions; they found that it is associated with lower quality of parent-child communication and can indirectly decrease family satisfaction. The authors theorized that adult children could come to expect that all of their problems will be solved for them and that they should not have to endure going without what they desire. Researchers further asserted that these adult children are more likely to develop a sense of entitlement. Locke and colleges (2012) surveyed college personnel and found an association between 'over parenting' behaviors and the college students' sense of entitlement. Manfredi and colleagues (2010) found that 'over-protective parenting' practices are associated with ruminative brooding and worry in college students.

Little empirical research has been conducted on the association between the 'helicopter parenting' of college students and depression. Schiffrin and colleges (2013) found a positive correlation between the over-controlling elements of 'helicopter parenting' and depression among college students. They also noted a negative association between student's life satisfaction and 'helicopter parenting.' The negative effects of 'helicopter parenting' on college students' well-being was attributed to the perceived infringement on the offspring's' psychological needs for autonomy and competence.

'Over-involved parenting' also has been linked to increased anxiety during

childhood. For example, Hudson and Dodd (2012) found that parental over-involvement during childhood is a risk factor for anxiety disorders. 'Over-parenting' has been linked to insecure and anxious tendencies in young children (Sergrin et al., 2012). A parental style characterized by high control and protectiveness also is a risk factor for the development of recurrent worry and rumination (Manfredi et al., 2010). Amato & Booth (1999) found that having extremely lenient or controlling parents were risk factors for future psychological problems. However, few studies have examined the relationship between anxiety and 'helicopter parenting' with adults. Nevertheless, some support exists for a relationship between 'helicopter parenting' and anxiety in the adult literature. For example Segrin and colleges (2013) found that 'helicopter parenting' was correlated with elevated levels of narcissism and maladaptive coping strategies (e.g., internalizing, distancing) in young adults. These ineffective coping skills were associated with increased levels of anxiety and stress. Manfredi and colleagues (2010) found that worry symptoms also were positively correlated with 'parental overprotection.' As overcontrolling parenting is a 'helicopter parenting' variable and over-controlling parental styles are correlated with increased anxiety in children, 'helicopter parenting' also could be associated with anxiety symptoms in adults.

Self-efficacy is a person's belief that he or she can perform a specific behavior effectively (Owen & Froman, 1988). To get a sense of whether or not someone can perform a behavior effectively the person needs to have some autonomy. Autonomy is also considered one of the basic psychological needs according to Ryan and Deci's (2000) self-determination theory. Little research has examined the implications of 'helicopter parenting' on the development of self-efficacy in young adults. However,

some support exists for a negative association between self-efficacy and 'helicopter parenting.' For example, Bradley-Geist & Olson-Buchanan, (2014) found that "overparenting" is associated negatively with self-efficacy in young adults, and Givertz and Segrin, (2014) found that parental control is correlated negatively with self-efficacy in college students. Schiffrin and colleagues (2013) have suggested that children with overinvolved or over-controlling parents tend to feel less competent coping with life and its stressors. If 'helicopter parenting' decreases young adults' self-efficacy, then parents could be unknowingly building barriers to their children's success instead of removing them. Why would 'helicopter parenting' potentially lead to negative outcomes?

Three different theories that explain how 'helicopter parenting' could be detrimental to offspring are Self-Determination Theory, the Circumplex Model of Family Systems, and Family Differentiation Theory. According to Self-Determination Theory, people have three inherent psychological needs that are necessary for healthy development and functioning: autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Ryan & Deci, 2000). When these psychological needs are fulfilled, people tend to be more satisfied with their lives and exhibit lower levels of depression (Wei, Shaffer, Young, & Zakalik, 2005). According to this theory, 'helicopter parenting' behaviors may impinge on the offspring's autonomy and competence. The decrease in autonomy and competence could be detrimental to the offspring because two of her/his basic psychological needs are not being met.

The Circumplex Model of Family Systems uses three dimensions to describe family dynamics: cohesion, flexibility and communication (Olson, 2000). Family cohesion classifies families based on the level of emotional bonding between family

members. There are four levels of cohesion used to describe the level of bonding between family members: disengaged, separated, connected, and enmeshed. Problems may emerge when family member's boundaries (i.e., cohesion) are at either extreme end of the spectrum or when they are extremely close (i.e., enmeshed) or detached (i.e., disengaged). In the case of 'helicopter parenting,' family cohesion likely would be at the enmeshed level (extremely high cohesion) because the boundaries between mother and child are thin and little independence is granted. 'Helicopter parenting' is thought to be detrimental because the boundaries/ cohesion between the parent and child are at an extreme level (i.e., enmeshed).

There are also four levels of flexibility with in the Circumplex Model of Family Systems: flexible, rigid, structured and chaotic. Families with extreme levels of flexibility (i.e., extremely high or extremely low) usually have problems. Families that have a 'helicopter parent' who is over-controlling likely would score at the 'rigid level' of flexibility (i.e., extremely inflexible). Too little or too much cohesion or flexibility is correlated with difficulties in functioning (Givertz & Segrin, 2014). According to Givertz and Segrin (2014) 'helicopter parenting' is a reflection of an unbalanced family system and the dysfunction linked to this imbalance hampers individuation.

Family Differentiation Theory is used by Bradley-Geist and Olson-Buchanan (2014) to explain how family interactions lead to age-appropriate balance between intimacy and individuality. According to the theory, in families where interpersonal boundaries are blurred (e.g., 'helicopter parenting') the child loses her/his sense of autonomy and individuality (Bradley-Geist & Olson-Buchanan, 2014). This pattern of family differentiation is associated with cognitive, behavioral and emotional problems

during adolescence (Bradley-Geist & Olson-Buchanan, 2014). If loss of autonomy is associated with emotional problems during adolescence, then the same could be true with young adults.

Current Study and Hypotheses

This study aims to answer two primary questions: (1) what is 'helicopter parenting' in college students and how common is it? and (2) is 'helicopter parenting' related to student depression, worry, and self-efficacy? Although a few studies have examined 'helicopter parenting' across various ages, a number of questions remain unanswered. Specifically, the use of differing, limited definitions of 'helicopter parenting' in previous research has prevented cross study result comparisons. Likewise, different studies have included various parental behaviors as indicative of 'helicopter parenting,' which has lead to inconsistent findings regarding whether it is beneficial or detrimental. Thus, this study has drawn from the extant literature to develop a compressive assessment of 'helicopter parenting.' To this end, our lab developed a measure of 'helicopter parenting' and previously has collected pilot data to norm the scale (note: this pilot data is not presented in this study). This measure was used in this study to examine 'helicopter parenting' and its correlates.

Specifically, we defined 'helicopter parenting' as including behaviors indicating that the parent provides developmentally inappropriate levels of support (e.g., problem solving and control) to their offspring; essentially, the offspring could function without this level of support. Little data exist regarding the prevalence of 'helicopter parenting.' However, one study (Shoup, Gonyea, & Kuh, 2009) surveyed students across 24 universities (4,532 freshmen and 4,652 seniors) and found that 13% of college freshmen

and 8% of seniors reported that their parents frequently intervened to help them solve problems (i.e., one component of their definition of 'helicopter parenting).' The overall prevalence rate that they found for helicopter parenting was 10% in freshmen and 7% in seniors for 'helicopter parenting.'

Some studies have found a relationship between depression and 'helicopter parenting' (c.f., Schiffrin et al., 2013; LeMoyne & Buchanan, 2011). Studies in the developmental (Locke et al, 2012; Padilla-Walker & Nelson, 2012) and adult literature (c.f., LeMoyne and Buchanan 2011; Segrin, et al., 2013) have also found a positive correlation between anxiety and over-parenting/ 'helicopter parenting.' Some support also exists for a negative association between self-efficacy and 'helicopter parenting' (c.f., Bradley-Geist & Olson-Buchanan, 2014; Givertz & Segrin, 2014). Thus, this study addresses these gaps in the literature by testing the following hypotheses.

Hypothesis 1 examines the relationship of 'helicopter parenting' to the main study variables: depression, worry, and self-efficacy. We predicted that 'helicopter parenting' would be correlated positively with worry and depression, and 'helicopter parenting' would be correlated negatively with self-efficacy.

Hypothesis 2 attempted to examine the rates of 'helicopter parenting' in college students. Based on prior research, rates of approximately 7% to 13% were expected.

Method

Participants

Participants were enlisted from introductory psychology courses at Eastern Illinois University. The questionnaires were administered using SONA; there is a plethora of literature that has deemed web-based surveys comparable to other survey

methods addressing a broad range of psychological topics (Fingerman et al., 2012). Initially, 92 participants completed the study; however, five subjects were deleted from the dataset (3 participants responded randomly and 2 participants completed less than 10% of the questions). Thus, the final sample consisted of 87 participants.

Approximately 63.2% of participants reported that they were White/Caucasian, 24.1% were African-American/Black, and 6.9% were Hispanic-American/ Latino-American, 2.3% self-identified as 'other,' and 3 skipped the question (3.4%). The majority of our sample was female (i.e., 63%).

Measures

Demographics questionnaire. Participants were asked to complete a demographics questionnaire (see Appendix B for the full scale). The questionnaire solicited the participant's date of birth, sex, year at EIU (e.g., freshman), major, ethnicity, and GPA. Participants were also asked the following questions: the location of their residence, how many siblings they had, their mother's level of education, how long it took to travel to their parents' house, and their employment status during the semester. Participants answered these questions by filling in a blank or selecting one of the multiple-choice answers.

'Helicopter parenting.' The Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire (HPQ) initially consisted of 122 items (see Appendix A for full scale); this study forwarded the development of this scale (see Results section for preliminary psychometric properties). Participants rated how often their mothers engaged in specific, putative 'helicopter parenting' behaviors for their college-aged offspring, such as finding housing for them, paying their credit card bills, and cleaning their living space. Items were rated on a scale

from 0 to 4 (0 = "she never does this" to 4 = "she always does this for me"). Participants were asked only to report their mothers 'helicopter parenting' behaviors because they are the parent "most likely to be overly involved in their child's adult life" (Schiffrin, 2013; p. 4) and have more frequent contact with mothers than fathers (Somers, 2010).

Depression. The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) was used to assess the degree of depressive symptoms. The CES-D consists of 20 items (Carleton, Thibodeau, Teale, Welch, Abrams, Robinson, & Asmundson, 2013). The scale's items are phrased as self-statements, such as "I feel hopeful about the future." Participants were asked to rate how often in the past week each item applied to them. Items were rated using a 4-point Likert-type scale ranging from 0 ("none of the time or rarely") to 3 ("most or all of the time;" Carleton et al, 2013). On the CES-D, higher scores indicate that the participant has more symptoms of depression (Internet Solutions for Kids, Inc., 2015). The CES-D also has high internal consistency (α's ranging from 0.85 to 0.94; c.f., Carleton et al, 2013; Mulraney, Melvin, & Tonge, 2014; Schiffrin et al., 2013).

Self-efficacy. Self-efficacy was assessed using the College Academic Self-Efficacy Scale (CASES; Owen & Froman, 1988). Self-efficacy is an individual's belief that they can carry out or do a specific behavior. The CASES consists of 33 items, each rated on a five-point Likert scale from A ("very little confidence") to E ("quite a lot"). A sample item from the scale is, "How confident do you feel taking well organized notes during a lecture?" Internal consistency ranges from .90 to .92 (c.f., Carifio et al., 2002; Owen & Froman, 1988), with test-retest reliability at 0.85 (Carifio et al., 2002).

Worry. The Penn State Worry Questionnaire (PSWQ; Meyer, Miller, Metzger, & Borkovec) is considered "the gold standard" assessment tool for the frequency and intensity of worry (Kertz, Lee & Björgvinsson, 2014). The PSWQ is a 16-item self-report measure. Participants rated how accurately each item described them using a Likert scale ranging from 1 ("not at all") to 5 ("very") (Kertz et al., 2014). Possible scores range from 16 to 80 (Kertz et al., 2014). A sample item from the scale is "My worries overwhelm me" (Molina & Borkovec, 1994). The PSWQ has good internal consistency (α's ranging from 0.83–0.95; c.f., Molina & Borkovec, 1994; Kertz et al., 2014; Novy, Stanley, Daza, & Averill, 2001; Joos, Vansteenwegen, Brunfaut, Bastiaens, Demyttenaere, Pieters & Hermans, 2012). The PSWQ also has good test-retest reliability (r's ranging from 0.74 to 0.92; Molina & Borkovec, 1994; Joos, 2012).

Procedure

Participants read the consent form online. If they agreed to participate, then they were asked to complete the questionnaire packet online; all measures were counterbalanced to help control for order effects. At the end of the study, participants were instructed to read the debriefing form.

Results

Analyses were conducted examining means, ranges, and internal consistencies. Zero-order correlations were used to evaluate the study hypotheses. In addition, the tentative prevalence rate of 'helicopter parenting' in this sample was examined. The current study's means and ranges (see Table 1) for the PSWQ (worry), CES-D (depression), and CASES (self-efficacy) were consistent with other studies using similar populations (Behar, Alcaine, Zuellig, and Borkovec, 2003; David, Okazaki, and Saw,

2009; Fang, Gabriel, Egleston, Stevens, Kwiterovich, Snetselaar, Longacre, and Dorgan, 2013; Gillis, Haaga and Ford, 1995; Novy, Stanley, Averill, and Daza, 2001; Roddenberry and Renk, 2010). The internal consistencies for the subscales of the Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire (see Table 1) were examined. All scales had an alpha of .63 or higher. Cronbach's alphas greater than 0.6, can be interpreted as having an acceptable internal consistency (Ji, Hong, & Lee, 2016). Only one subscale had an alpha that was less than .6, the Perceived Self-Confidence subscale ($\alpha = .43$).

Hypothesis 1 examined the correlates of the Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire with the three main outcome variables: worry, depression, and self-efficacy. We predicted that the 'helicopter parenting' subscales would be correlated positively with worry and depression and correlated negatively with self-efficacy. Hypothesized relationships were analyzed using zero-order correlations (see Table 2). Total scores on the Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire were correlated positively with participant's total depression scores (r = .33, p = .001, one-tailed) and worry scores (r = .22, p = .024, one-tailed) but not self-efficacy (r = .15, p = .078, one-tailed).

Additional correlations were conducted to determine which HPQ subscales and individual items correlated with our outcome variables. Because the number of variables for the follow-up analyses was high (n = 57), a Bonferroni correction was applied requiring a p-value of <.001. Total worry scores correlated positively with one subscale, Parental Attitude (r = .38, p < .001, one-tailed). Depression scores correlated positively with three subscales: Parental Control (r = .38, p < .001, one-tailed), Parental Attitude (r = .53, p < .001, one-tailed), and Perceived Self- Confidence (r = .41, p < .001, one-tailed). Self-efficacy was not correlated significantly with any HPQ subscales: Parental

Control (r = .07, p = .254, one-tailed), Parental Attitude (r = .23, p = .374, one-tailed), or Perceived Self- Confidence (r = .01, p = .476, one-tailed).

To further delineate potentially problematic 'helicopter parenting' behaviors, additional zero-order correlations (n = 93, p = .05) were conducted at the item/behavioral level for subscales that were correlated with the outcome variables. Specifically, items on the Parent Control and Parental Attitude subscales were correlated with depression, worry, and self-efficacy scores (see Table 3). Depression was correlated with several items on the Parent Control subscale (e.g., "If you're going to be out late do you have to contact your mother to let her know that you have gotten back home?"). In contrast, worry was correlated with only one Parent Control subscale item, "Does your mother tell you not to drink alcohol?" All but one item on the Parental Attitude subscale was correlated with depression: "How willing is your mother to help you solve a problem that happens at college?" Several items on the Parental Attitude subscale were correlated with worry (e.g., "Does your mother express worry to you about how you're doing academically at college?"). Self-efficacy was correlated with only one item on one subscale: the Parental Attitude subscale item: "How willing is your mother to help you solve a problem that happens at college?"

Prevalence Rate

Hypothesis 2 examined the putative rate of 'helicopter parenting' in college students in the current sample. Based on prior research, rates of approximately 7 to 13% were expected. The first step in examining the prevalence rate of 'helicopter parenting' was to examine which elements of the HPQ accurately assessed the construct. The 'Helicopter Parenting' Questionnaire was developed to be a comprehensive measure,

with the intent of narrowing down the items that best represented 'helicopter parenting.' Many items were rarely endorsed, and many of the subscales were not related to student well-being (worry, depression, self-efficacy). Although not all 'helicopter parenting' behaviors would be expected to relate to the student well-being, correlates of well-being arguably are the most important in helping to decide what constitutes 'helicopter parenting.' Specifically, after doing the first set of correlations, we decided to narrow down the questionnaire to subscales that correlated with negative outcomes. We made this decision because of the clinical nature of this measure. That is, we wanted it to be of possible use in clinical settings and to Universities when trying to ascertain whether a student was having problems with 'helicopter parenting.'

Therefore, our final conceptualization of 'helicopter parenting' is based on the subscales that correlated with negative outcomes (worry and depression). Out of the 18 original subscales of the HPQ, only two subscales were retained because of their relations to student well-being: Parental Attitude and Parental Control. Although the Perceived Self-Confidence subscale correlated with unfavorable outcomes its internal consistency was unsatisfactory, so it was not retained. Likewise, not all of the items on these two subscales correlated with the outcome. The items that did not correlate with worry or depression were removed from the scale to help further refine the HPQ scale (see Table 3); these subscale scores were then recalculated using only the remaining items to constitute our HPQ scale. The internal consistencies for the revised total scores and the two retained, revised subscales were evaluated using Cronbach's alpha. The total HPQ score (.80), Parental Control (.74), and Parental Attitude (.68) subscales had an acceptable internal consistency. The HPQ total score and the scores for the two retained

HPQ subscales were recalculated based on these deletions. The prevalence rates of 'helicopter parenting' was examined by using the mean, standard deviation and frequency of total 'helicopter parenting' scores as well as using the mean, standard deviation, and frequency of the individual subscale scores. Concerning total 'helicopter parenting' scores (M = 24.36; SD = 8.91), 16 participants (18.4%) scored one standard deviation (SD) above the mean and 5 participants (5.7%) scored two standard deviations above the mean. On the Parental Control subscale (M = 10; SD = 4), 17 participants (19.5%) scored one SD above the mean and 5 participants (5.7%) scored two standard deviations above the mean. On the Parental Attitude subscale (M = 15; SD = 5), 11 participants (12.6%) scored one standard deviation above the mean and 4 participants (4.6%) scored two standard deviations above the mean.

The second method used to examine the potential prevalence rate of helicopter parenting used the frequencies of the total scores on the HPQ. The frequencies of the total scores on the HPQ were examined using the eyeball method, which involved looking for a natural "break" in the data. High scores seemed to cluster around a score of 35, which was slightly more than one standard deviation above the mean (i.e., the frequency table method). This cutoff of 35 for the scale yielded a rate of a rate of 12.6% (N = 11) in this sample.

So what is the "best" estimate of 'helicopter parenting' prevalence in this sample? The prevalence rate based on the percent of those 1 SD above the mean for the Total score on the HPQ was 18.4%; whereas, using the frequency table method yielded a rate of 12.6%, suggesting a rate between 12.6% and 18.4%.

Finally, an independent sample t-test was done to determine whether there was a sex difference for HPQ scores. Male participants (N = 29; M = 275.50; SD = 62.48) did not differ from female participants (N = 55; M = 295.89; SD = 59.66) on HPQ scores (t = 1.47, t = 1.47 two tailed), suggesting that mothers may not engage in more helicopter parenting behaviors for sons versus daughters, although the scores trended toward higher rates for daughters.

Discussion

This study is the first attempt at using a behaviorally based measure of 'helicopter parenting' in college students. In addition, the study evaluated the relationship of 'helicopter parenting' to well-being (i.e., depression, worry, and self-efficacy) and determined a tentative prevalence rate for 'helicopter parenting.'

'Helicopter Parenting' Definition

This study represents the first effort to validate and standardize a new measure – the Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire (HPQ). In the literature, 'helicopter parenting' is described as parental involvement that is beyond what is expected or necessary developmentally for college-aged offspring. Previous studies have used measures that assess a variety of different parenting behaviors (e.g., monitoring, problem solving, financial support, emotional support, psychological control and behavioral control etc.) to study the phenomenon and have found a variety of different outcomes in relation to well-being (i.e., detrimental, or beneficial), presumably because of the differing conceptualizations of 'helicopter parenting.' The Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire was thus developed to serve as a comprehensive, behaviorally based measure of 'helicopter parenting' in college students.

The initial version of the HPQ included a wide variety of parental behaviors identified in the relevant literature, with the intent of narrowing the behaviors to those that may best represent 'helicopter parenting.' Only the parental behaviors that were correlated with well-being and that had satisfactory statistical properties were considered 'helicopter parenting' behaviors (Parental Attitude and Parental Control) and were retained for the Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire.

What does it mean to have a High Parental Attitude score? High Parental Attitude scores suggest that the mother expresses more worry about her offspring and/or is more actively involved in her child's life than most students. High Control scores mean that the parent controls with whom her offspring interacts, when they can leave their residence during leisure time, and whether they can consume alcohol.

It should be noted that developing the 'Helicopter Parenting' Questionnaire is an on-going process, and this study represents only the first tentative step in that direction. As new behaviors are identified in future research, these behaviors will be added to the HPQ, and their relationship to 'helicopter parenting' will be evaluated. Based on this first study, the Parental Attitude and Parental Control subscales are the only behavioral groups within our questionnaire that appear to be indicative of 'helicopter parenting.' However, we focused on parental behaviors related to college student well-being, which is just one such method. Specifically, scores on the Parental Attitude and Parental Control subscale scores were combined to form the HPQ and thus our conceptualization of 'helicopter parenting.'

Surprising Results

Even though some of our 'helicopter parenting' items did not correlate with our

outcome variables their results were surprising and therefore merit discussion. For example, two participants (2.3%) reported that their mother wrote papers for them "often," 6 participants (6.9%) reported that their mothers "sometimes" wrote papers for them and 4 participants (4.6%) indicated that their mother "rarely" wrote papers for them. It is surprising that any mother would write a paper, let alone 13.8 %. Another surprising result was that 3 participants (3.4 %) indicated that their mother accessed their cell phone history or text messages "often" and 3 participants (3.4%) reported that their mother "always" accessed their cell phone history or text messages. Three participants (3.4 %) reported that their mother "often" attends class for them if they cannot because of another reason other than being sick. Seven participants (8 %) said that their mother "sometimes" attends class for them because of another reason other than being sick. Eight participants (9.2%) reported that their mother "sometimes" attends class for them and takes notes for them if they're sick. This is far more support than expected for college aged students. However, as these behaviors are not related to depression, worry, or student self-efficacy while the student is receiving the support, then what do these results mean? To answer this question we must examine the correlates of these behaviors over a longer period of time.

'Helicopter Parenting' and Well-Being

'Helicopter parenting' was predicted to be related positively with worry and depression and negatively with self-efficacy. This parenting style did correlate positively with worry and depression. Why are 'helicopter parenting' behaviors associated with depression and worry in college students? To answer this question one ought to consider the possible message these parenting behaviors send to college students. For example, if

mothers routinely do things for their offspring that are not age-appropriate, then the student may perceive that the mother views them as helpless, not efficacious, and so forth. These messages in turn may then affect the student cognitions about him/herself. Of course, the direction of this relationship cannot be determines based on our current study. Specifically, some college students, such as those with depression or worry, may elicit these 'helicopter parenting' behaviors from their mothers.

In contrast, however, none of the 'helicopter parenting' subscales were related to self-efficacy. One individual item (i.e., "How willing is your mother to help you solve a problem that happens at college?") correlated with self-efficacy, although its direction was positive instead of negative, as predicted.

Our results for self-efficacy may have differed from those of other studies because of our conceptualization of 'helicopter parenting.' For example Bradley-Geist & Olson-Buchanan's (2014) definition of 'helicopter parenting' focused on the youngster's opinion of their parents' level of involvement in the offspring's life (e.g. "My parents/guardians are too controlling of me and my life"). Although youngster's interpretations of the level of support they received was assessed by our scale, only a few items did so. Instead, the HPQ items focused more on whether the offspring thought they needed the support (e.g., "Is your mother involved in aspects of your college life that you could handle on your own?"); whereas the other scale focused on whether they disliked the level of received support (e.g., "I sometimes wish my parents/guardian would back off and stay out of my business").

Limitations

Although this study helps to contribute to the growing literature on the link between 'helicopter parenting' and student well-being, a few limitations should be noted. For example, our sample size was somewhat limited (N = 87), which may have affected our power to detect relationships. In addition, the study only examined 'helicopter parenting' in mothers. We focused on mothers because we wanted to evaluate the possible effects of parenting separately for mothers and father, and we wanted to limit the number of items participants had to complete to minimize attrition. If 'helicopter fathers' perform different behaviors to those typically found in 'helicopter mothers,' then the current list of behaviors in the literature would be insufficient to study the phenomenon or create a scale for it. For example 'helicopter fathers' may perform car maintenance, plumbing, and/or be over protective of their child when dating. Why evaluate 'helicopter parent' gender separately? It is important to study 'helicopter mothers' and fathers separately because their behaviors might have different correlates because of the offspring's interpretation of the parent's behaviors based on social norms. For example a father being more controlling with his daughter's social life might be seen as more socially expectable and therefore less distressing than a mother being more controlling over her son's social life.

In addition, we should note that we neglected to ask participants whether they had a mother (e.g., as opposed to their mother being deceased or being raised in by a father/male only). Thus, we cannot be sure whether all participants were responding about their mother. On a related note, all data were self-reported by the students, which may have resulted in biases. For example, students with depression may have been more

likely to view their mothers' behaviors in a negative light and under- or over-report certain aspects.

Clinical Implications

Although the findings of the current study are tentative, several clinical implications merit discussion. First, the study attempted to address the scope of 'helicopter parenting.' The prevalence rate determined by the HPQ may help provide the first step to revealing a more realistic view of 'helicopter parenting.' Knowing how large the potential problem is can help determine the appropriate resources that should be devoted to the issue. For example, anecdotal reports of 'helicopter parenting' in the press may not accurately reflect the scope of the issue and may persuade colleges and universities to devote more resources to the problem than is needed. Our study suggests that 'helicopter parenting' may occur at moderate rates (i.e., between 12.6% and 18.4%); thus, although colleges and universities may want to address this problem, universal prevention or intervention programs are probably unwarranted.

However, just because 'helicopter parenting' is not an epidemic does not mean that students who experience problems related to it should be ignored. If a student has elevated levels of worry or depression symptoms, then evaluating whether 'helicopter parenting' plays a role (perhaps as part of a larger assessment of their social support system) may be helpful. Therefore, total HPQ scores could help identify whether 'helicopter parenting' is contributing to their problems.

Overall HPQ scores correlated with worry, but when the subscales were assessed individually worry only correlated with Parental Attitude. Therefore, the parental behaviors that should be evaluated and potentially modified for youngsters with increased

anxiety should be identified in our Parental Attitude subscale. Parental Control and Parental Attitude should both be considered when treating youngsters with depression symptoms because not only did the over all HPQ score correlate with depression scores, but both individual subscales did as well.

Future Research

Future research could focus on further development of the HPQ as well as addressing the limitations of this study. To further develop this measure, researchers should use the variables identified in this study (e.g., in the new sub-scales mentioned below) as well as other studies that were published after we collected our data. Due to the proposed changes to the HPQ, the prevalence rate should be evaluated further after the changes to the scale have been made.

To investigate the variables identified in this study, three new subscales should be added to the HPQ. The first new subscale should evaluate the relationship between the control mechanisms mothers used to shape their adult offspring's behaviors and the youngster's well-being. The second new subscale should assess how the students view the level of support provided by their mother. Finally, the third subscale should assess whether students think that they require the level of support provided.

The first additional subscale (i.e., Control Mechanism) should be added because different control mechanisms could have different correlates. Also the current study only focused on what the parent controlled (e.g., drinking habits) and not how they controlled the behavior (e.g., using negative methods such as withholding affection versus positive methods such as praise when the student engages in the desired behaviors). The second subscale, participant's affinity for the level of support provided (i.e., Support Affinity)

should be integrated into the HPQ because it was not assessed previously. The third subscale (Support Need) should be added to the HPQ because only a few items currently evaluate how necessary the offspring thought the support they received was and there wasn't a specific subscale solely dedicated to it in the original scale. Having a subscale solely dedicated to whether the student thought they needed the level of support they received could also improve the original subscale's internal consistency (i.e., Self – Confidence).

The first step to addressing the limitations of the current study is to increase the generalizability of our findings. The generalizability of our findings was limited because our sample only came from one mid-sized midwestern University, our sample size was (N = 87), our findings only pertained to 'helicopter mothers' and the data was only taken once. Ways of increasing the generalizability of our findings are: administering our questionnaire to different sized universities in different parts of the country, increasing our sample size, evaluating 'helicopter fathers' and doing a longitudinal study on 'helicopter parenting.'

To adequately study 'helicopter parenting' in fathers a pilot study could derive behaviors are associated with 'helicopter fathers.' The data from the pilot study would be used to develop a 'helicopter father' scale. One way to do the pilot study is to interview or survey university staff and students. University staff and the student body would be asked about which behaviors come to mind when they think of a father who is overly involved? The results of this focus group would be used to create questions to add to the current scale.

References

- Amato, P. R., & Booth, A. (1999). A generation at risk: Growing up in an era of family upheaval. *Social Forces*, 78(1), 396-398.
- Ballash, N., Leyfer, O., Buckley, A. F., & Woodruff-Borden, J. (2006). Parental control in the etiology of anxiety. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 9, 113-133.doi: 10.1007/s10567-006-0007-z
- Barber, B. K., Olsen, J. E., & Shagle, S. C. (1994). Associations between parental psychological and behavioral control and youth internalized and externalized behaviors. *Child Development*, 65, 1120-1136. doi:10.1111/1467-8624.ep7252832
- Behar, E., Alcaine, O., Zuellig, A. R., & Borkovec, T. D. (2003). Screening for generalized anxiety disorder using the Penn State Worry Questionnaire: A receiver operating characteristic analysis. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 34(1), 25-43. doi:10.1016/S0005-7916(03)00004-1
- Bradley-Geist, J. C., & Olson-Buchanan, J. B. (2014). Helicopter parents: An examination of the correlates of over-parenting of college students. *Education and Training*, *56*, 314-328. doi: 10.1108/ET-10-2012-0096
- Carifio, J., & Rhodes, L. (2002). Construct validities and the empirical relationships between optimism, hope, self-efficacy, and locus of control. *Work: A Journal of Prevention, Assessment and Rehabilitation*, 19(2), 125-136. Retrieved from http://web.b.ebscohost.com.proxy1.library.eiu.edu/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid =8&sid=82ef7220-e9c0-462c-a84b-59f4f372b7d6%40sessionmgr112&hid=124

- Carleton, R. N., Thibodeau, M. A., Teale, M. J., Welch, P. G., Abrams, M. P., Robinson,
 T., & Asmundson, G. J. (2013). The Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression
 Scale: A review with a theoretical and empirical examination of item content and
 factor structure. *ISO Press*, 8, 1-11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058067
- Cobb, S. (1976). Social support as a moderator of life stress. *Psychosomatic Medicine Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 38(5). 300-301. Retreived from https://campus.fsu.edu/bbcswebdav/institution/academic/social_sciences/sociolog y/Reading%20Lists/Mental%20Health%20Readings/Cobb-PsychosomaticMed-1976.pdf
- David, E. J. R., Okazaki, S., & Saw, A. (2009). Bicultural self-efficacy among college students: Initial scale development and mental health correlates.

 *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 56(2), 211. doi: 10.1037/a0015419
- Fang, C. Y., Egleston, B. L., Gabriel, K. P., Stevens, V. J., Kwiterovich Jr,
 P. O., Snetselaar, L. G., Longacre, L., & Dorgan, J. F. (2013). Depressive symptoms and serum lipid levels in young adult women. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 36(2), 143-152. doi: 10.1007/s10865-012-9409-1
- Fingerman, K. L., Cheng, Y., Wesselmann, E. D., Zarit, S., Furstenberg, F., & Birditt, K. S. (2012). Helicopter parents and landing pad kids: Intense parental support of grown children. *Journal of Marriage & Family*, 74, 880-896. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-3737.2012.00987.x
- Gillis, M. M., Haaga, D. A., & Ford, G. T. (1995). Normative values for the

- Beck Anxiety Inventory, Fear Questionnaire, Penn State Worry Questionnaire, and Social Phobia and Anxiety Inventory. *Psychological Assessment*, 7(4), 450-454. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.7.4.450
- Givertz, M., & Segrin, C. (2014). The association between over involved parenting and young adults' self-efficacy, psychological entitlement, and family communication. *Communication Research*, 41, 1111-1136.

 doi: 10.1177/0093650212456392
- Grolnick, W. S., & Ryan, R. M. (1989). Parent styles associated with children's self-regulation and competence in school. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81, 143–154. doi:10.1037/0022-0663. 81.2.143.
- Insch, G. S., Heames, J., & McIntyre, N. (2010). The appropriateness of parental involvement in the job search process. *Journal of Diversity Management*, 5(2), 49-57. Retrieved from www.cluteinstitute.com/ojs/index.php/JDM/article/viewFile/809/793
- Nam-Ju, J., Yeon-Pyo, H., & Weon-Young, L. (2016). Comprehensive psychometric examination of the attitudes towards suicide (ATTS) in South Korea.

 International Journal of Mental Health Systems.

 doi:10.1186/s13033-016-0035-0
- Joos, E., Vansteenwegen, D., Brunfaut, E., Bastiaens, T., Demyttenaere, K., Pieters, G., & Hermans, D. (2012). The Penn State Worry Questionnaire Past Day:
 Development and validation of a measure assessing daily levels of worry. *Journal of Psychopathology & Behavioral Assessment*, 34, 35-47. doi: 10.1007/s10862-011-9265-2

- Joyce, A. (2014, September 2). How helicopter parents are ruining college students. *The Washington Post*. Retrieved from http://www.washingtonpost.com/news/parenting/wp/2014/09/02/how-helicopter parents-are-ruining-college-students/
- Kanowski, S. (2009, October 9). Big broods and helicopter parenting. *Eureka Street*.

 Retrieved from

 http://www.eurekastreet.com.au/article.aspx?aeid=16841#.VbA7kyhjQ20
- Kertz, S. J., Lee, J., & Björgvinsson, T. (2014). Psychometric properties of abbreviated and ultra-brief versions of the Penn State Worry Questionnaire. *Psychological*

Assessment, 26, 1146-1154. doi: 10.1037/a0037251

- LeMoyne, T., & Buchanan, T. (2011). Does 'hovering' matter? Helicopter parenting and its effect on well-being. *Sociological Spectrum*, 31, 399-418. doi:10.1080/02732173.2011.574038
- Locke, J. Y., Campbell, M. A., & Kavanagh, D. (2012). Can a parent do too much for their child? An examination by parenting professionals of the concept of over parenting. *Australian Journal of Guidance & Counseling*, 22, 249-265. doi:10.1017/jgc.2012.29
- Loop, E. (2015). The dangers of helicopter parenting. *Global Post*. Retrieved from http://everydaylife.globalpost.com/dangers-helicopter-parenting-5180.html
- Manfredi, C., Caselli, G., Rovetto, F., Rebecchi, D., Ruggiero, G. M., Sassaroli, S., & Spada, M. M. (2011). Temperament and parental styles as predictors of ruminative brooding and worry. *Personality & Individual Differences*, 50,186-191. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2010.09.023

- Manos, M. A. (2009, Fall). Helicopter parents:empathetic or patheic? *Phi Kappa Phi Forum*. Retreaved from www.questia.com/.../1P3-1867814451/helicopter-parents-empathetic-or-pathetic
- Meyer, T. J., Miller, M.L., Metzger, R.L., & Borkovec, T.D. (1990). Development and validation of the Penn State Worry Questionnaire. *Behavior Research and Therapy*, 28, 487-495. doi: 10.1016/0005-7967(90)90135-6
- Molina, S., & Borkovec, T. D. (1994). The Penn State Worry Questionnaire:

 psychometric properties and associated characteristics. In G. Davey, & F. Tallis

 (Eds.), Worrying: Perspectives on theory, assessment and treatment. (pp. 265-283). England: John Wiley & Sons.
- Mulraney, M. A., Melvin, G. A., & Tonge, B. J. (2014). Psychometric properties of the affective reactivity index in Australian adults and adolescents. *Psychological Assessment*, 26, 147-148. doi: 10.1037/a0034891
- Novy, D. M., Stanley, M. A., Averill, P., & Daza, P. (2001). Psychometric comparability of English- and Spanish-language measures. *Psychological Assessment*, 13, 347-355. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.13.3.347
- Odenweller, K. G., Booth-Butterfield, M., & Weber, K. (2014). Investigating helicopter parenting, family environments, and relational outcomes for millennials.

 Communication Studies, 65, 407-425. doi:10.1080/10510974.2013.811434
- Olson, D. H. (2000). Circumplex model of marital and family systems. *Journal of Family Therapy*, 22(2), 143-144.
- Owen, S. V., & Froman, R. D. (1988). Development of a College Academic Self-Efficacy scale. Retrieved from Eric database. (ED298158)

- Padilla-Walker, L. M., & Nelson, L. J. (2012). Black hawk down?: Establishing helicopter parenting as a distinct construct from other forms of parental control during emerging adulthood. *Journal of Adolescence*, 35, 1177-1190. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2012.03.007
- Peluchette, J., Kovanic, N., & Partridge, D. (2013). Helicopter parents hovering in the workplace: What should HR managers do? *Business Horizons*, 56, 601-609. doi:10.1016/j.bushor.2013.05.004
- Roddenberry, A., & Renk, K. (2010). Locus of control and self-efficacy: potential mediators of stress, illness, and utilization of health services in college students.

 *Child Psychiatry & Human Development, 41(4), 353-370. DOI 10.1007/s10578-010-0173-6
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55, 68-78. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68
- Schiffrin, H., Liss, M., Miles-McLean, H., Geary, K., Erchull, M., & Tashner, T. (2013).

 Helping or hovering? The effects of helicopter parenting on college students'

 well-being. *Journal of Child & Family Studies*, 23, 548-557. doi:

 10.1007/s10826-013-9716-3
- Segrin, C., Woszidlo, A., Givertz, M., Bauer, A., & Taylor Murphy, M. (2012). The association between over-parenting, parent-child communication, and entitlement and adaptive traits in adult children. *Family Relations*, 61, 237-252. doi:10.1111/j.1741-3729.2011.00689.x

- Segrin, C., Woszidlo, A., Givertz, M., & Montgomery, N. (2013). Parent and child traits associated with over-parenting. *Journal of Social & Clinical Psychology*, 32, 569-595. doi:10.1521/jscp.2013.32.6.569
- Shoup, R., Gonyea, R. M., & Kuh, G. D. (2009, June). Helicopter parents: examining the impact of highly involved parents on student engagement and educational outcomes. Paper presented at the Association for Institutional Research, Atlanta, Georgia.
- Somers, P., & Settle, J. (2010). The helicopter parent: Research toward a typology.

 *College and University, 86(1), 1-10. Retrieved from http://www.faqs.org/periodicals/201007/2148808521.html
- Watabe, A., & Hibbard, D. R. (2014). The influence of authoritarian and authoritative parenting on children's academic achievement motivation: A comparison between the United States and Japan. *North American Journal of Psychology*, 16(2), 359-382.
- Wei, M., Philip, A. S., Shaffer, A., Young, S. K., & Zakalik, R. A. (2005). Adult attachment, shame, depression, and loneliness: The mediation role of basic psychological needs satisfaction. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 52, 591–601. doi: 10.1037/0022-0167. 52.4.591.
- Wijsbroek, S., Hale, W., Raaijmakers, Q., & Meeus, W. (2011). The direction of effects between perceived parental behavioral control and psychological control and adolescents' self-reported GAD and SAD symptoms. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 20, 361-371. doi: 10.1007/s00787-011-0183-3

Table 1

Internal Consistencies, Standard Deviations, Means, Minimum, and Maximum Values and Ranges for the Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire and Subscales

Measure	α	М	SD	Minimum- Maximum
HPQ - Invasion Living Space	.70	8.91	3.81	2-20
HPQ - Invasion of Privacy	.82	19.89	7.57	4-41
HPQ - Financial Dependence	.84	29.64	9.84	10-49
HPQ - Assisting	.79	16.32	6.14	0-32
HPQ - Homework	.86	7.05	3.40	0-17
HPQ - Reminders	.78	15.18	5.69	3-29
HPQ - Contacting School Personal	.82	16.63	5.92	6-33
HPQ - Parental Control	.78	13.39	5.50	1-30
HPQ - Aid With Attendance	.85	4.86	2.22	0-13
HPQ - Involvement	.72	11.70	4.09	0-22
HPQ - Major/ Career Issues	.82	22.13	8.83	0-62
HPQ - General Visits	.65	15.62	4.31	0-28
HPQ - Required Visits	.67	8.17	3.40	0-16
HPQ - Requested Visits	.69	10.01	3.71	1-17
HPQ - General Communication	.78	23.90	6.90	9-40
HPQ - Required Communication	.76	8.69	4.06	0-23
HPQ - Parental Attitude	.63	18.67	5.08	3-34
HPQ - Perceived Self-Confidence	.43	12.99	3.52	2-20
HPQ - Total Score	.97	263.42	66.01	41-406
PSWQ -Total	.82	48.36	17.09	0-75
CED-S - Total	.83	19.22	12.41	0-54
CASES - Total	.93	3.30	.94	0-5

Note: HPQ = Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire; PSWQ = Penn State Worry Questionnaire; CEDS = Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale and CASES = College Academic Self-Efficacy Scale

Table 2

Correlations Between HPQ Sub-scales and Other Study Variables

Sub-scale	PSWQ	CES-D	CASES	
HPQ- Invasion Living Space	.09	.30	.09	
HPQ- Invasion of Privacy	.08	.21	.08	
HPQ- Financial Dependence	.04	.06	.14	
HPQ- Assisting	.13	.31	.10	
HPQ- Homework	.02	.13	.09	
HPQ- Reminders	.09	.21	.14	
HPQ- Contacting School Personal	.10	.16	.10	
HPQ- Parental Control	.18	.38*	.07	
HPQ- Aid With Attendance	.03	.11	.03	
HPQ- Involvement	.17	.22	.04	
HPQ- Major/ Career Issues	.27	.23	.08	
HPQ- General Visits	.25	.26	.18	
HPQ- Required Visits	.29	.29	.11	
HPQ- Requested Visits	.23	.29	.07	
HPQ- General Communication	.10	.10	.19	
HPQ- Required Communication	.06	.21	.05	
HPQ- Parental Attitude	.38*	.53*	.23	
HPQ- Perceived Self-Confidence	.26	.41*	.01	

Note: *Correlations significant after Bonferroni correction was applied (1-tailed). p<.001 HPBQ = Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire, PSWQ = Penn State Worry Questionnaire, CES-D = Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale, and CASES = College Academic Self-Efficacy Scale

Table 3 Correlations between Parental Control Items and Parental Attitude Items, and Other Study Variables

Pare	ental Control Items	Dep	Worry	SE
B1	Do you have to ask your mother's permission to go on the			
	trip?	.17	02	08
B2	If you're going to be out late do you have to contact to let her know that you have gotten back home?	.23*	.12	.06
B3	Does your mother tell you to not drink alcohol?	.36*	.23*.	01
B4	Do you have to ask permission to go out with friends on the weekend?	.23*	.14	.02
B5	Do you have to ask permission to go out with friends on a weeknight?	.25*	.03	08
B6	Do you have to ask permission to go out to drink?	.08	02	04
B7	Does your mother tell you whom you should have as friends?	.33*	.13	.02
Par	ental Attitude Items	Dep	Worry	SE
B1	Describe your mother's level of involvement now compared to before you started college?	.21*	.15	.08
B2	How involved is your mother in your college life?	.24*	.18	.12
B3	Does your mother express worry to you about how you're doing academically at college?	.38*	.18*	10
B4	Does your mother express worry to you about how you're doing socially at college?	.50*	.25*	.09
B5	Does your mother express worry to you about how you're doing in other ways at college?	.39*	.29*	09
B6	Does your mother try to solve problems that come up at college before you ask her for help?	.19*	.01	.02
В7	How willing is your mother to help you solve a problem that happens at college?	03	.05	.40*

Note: Dep = Depression, SE = Self-Efficacy, B1= Behavior 1, B2 = Behavior 2, B3 = Behavior 3, B4= Behavior 4, B5= Behavior 5, B6= Behavior 6, B7= Behavior 7. *Correlations significant (1-tailed) p<.05

Appendix A

Helicopter Parenting Questionnaire

Please answer the following questions regarding your relationship with your mother during the current academic year.

Invasion	<u>of:</u>			
Living S	pace:			
Does you	ır mother cl	ean your living	space?	
0	1	2	3	4
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Does you	ır mother le	t herself into yo	ur living sp	ace without your permission?
0	1	2	3	4
		Sometimes		
Does you	ır mother re	move objects fr	om your liv	ring space without your permission?
0	1	2	3	4
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Does you	ır mother de	ecorate or add it	ems to your	living space?
0	1	2	3	4
		Sometimes		
Does you	ır mother se	arch through yo	our drawers,	closets, or other personal spaces?
0	1	2	3	4
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Privacy:				
	mother che loing with c		e of your fr	iends or a roommate and asked them how
0	1	2	3	4
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always

		cked in with one aking friends?	of your fr	iends or a roommate and asked them how
0	1	2	3	4
		Sometimes		
		cked in with one nally or with yo		iends or a roommate and asked them how
0	1	2	3	4
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Does your	mother <u>rec</u>	uire that you tel	ll her your	grades?
0	1	2	3	4
-	_	Sometimes	_	•
0	1	uire that you gi	3	
Does your	mother acc	cess your PAWS	S informati	on?
0	1_	2	3	4
		Sometimes		
Does your	mother acc	cess your email	account?	
0	1	2	3	4
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Does your	mother <u>rec</u>	uire that you te	ll her abou	t any alcohol or drug use?
0	1	2	3	4
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always

Does your Twitter, e		onitor your socia	al networki	ng information	/page(s) (e.g., Facebook,
0	1	2	3	4	5
					Does Not Apply
Does you	r mother ac	cess your cell pl	none call hi	story or text m	essages?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Does you:	r mother me	onitor your purc	hases and/o	or bank stateme	ents?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
<u>Financial</u>	Dependen	ice:			
Does your	r mother kn	ow the particula	ars of your	finances?	
0	1	2	3	4	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	
Does you	r mother pa	y for the cost of	your class	es?	
0	1	2	3	4	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	
Does you	r mother pa	y your fees and	other unive	ersity costs (e.g	g., textbook rentals)?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Does you house)?	r mother pa	y for your room	and board	at the universi	ty (i.e., dorm or Greek
0	1	2	3	4	5
					Does Not Apply

Does you	r mother pa	y your rent if liv	ing off-cam	pus?	
0	1	2	3	4	5
-					Does Not Apply
Does you	r mother pa	y for other costs	of living of	f campus (uti	ilities, etc.)?
0	1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does Not Apply
Does you	r mother pa	y your credit car	d bills?		
0	1	2	3	4	5
					Does Not Apply
·	•	y your phone bil			
		2			
Never	Kareiy	Sometimes	Otten	Aiways	
Does you utilities)?		rectly <u>receive</u> yo	ur bills othe	r than tuition	(e.g., credit cards,
0	1	2	3	1	
		Sometimes			
How depo	endent finar	ncially are you o	n your moth	er?	
		2			
Not at all	Very Li	ttle Somewh	at Signi	ficantly I	Fully Dependent
Assisting Running					
Does you etc.)?	r mother or	der your textboo	ks for you (e.g., from hal	lf-price.com, amazon.com
		2			
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	

Does you	r mother go	to textbook ren	ital or the b	ookstore to ge	et your textbooks?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Does you	r mother fi	nd campus hous	ing or off-c	ampus apartm	nents for you?
0	1	2	3	4	5
					Does Not Apply
Does you	r mother he	elp you find a su	mmer job?		
0	1	22	3	4	5
					Does Not Apply
Does you	r mother he	elp you find an o	off-campus	job?	
0	1	2	3	4	5
					Does Not Apply
Does you	ır mother do	your grocery sl	hopping and	d/or meal plar	nning?
0	1	2	3	1	
		Sometimes			
Does you	ır mother sh	op for or delive	r your cloth	ing items?	
		_	•	_	
		2			
Never	Kareiy	Sometimes	Otten	Always	
Does you	ır mother do	your laundry?			
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			

Does you	ur mother m	ake post office	trips and/or	send faxes for	you?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Homewo	ork:				
Does you	ur mother he	elp you with clas	ss assignme	nts?	
0	11	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Does you	ur mother pr	oofread or edit	papers for y	ou?	
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Does you	ur mother w	rite papers for y	ou?		
0	1	2	3	4	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	
Does you	ur mother <u>re</u>	quire that you s	how her cla	ss assignments	s before turning them in?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Does you	ur mother ob	otain sources/art	icles for yo	ur assignments	s?
0	1	2	3	4	5
					Does Not Apply
Reminde	ers:				
	ur mother has and exams		ur class syll	abi in order to	remind you of important
0	1	2	3	4	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	

Does you	r mother kn	ow your class so	chedule?		
0	1	22	3	1	
		Sometimes			
Does you	r mother ca	ll you in the mor	ning to wak	ce you up in tir	ne for class?
0	1	2	3	4	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	
Does you	r mother giv	ve you a reminde	er to attend	class?	
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
	-	ve you reminder			-
		2			
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does Not Apply
0	1	ve you reminders	3	4	
Does you	r mother giv	ve you reminders	s about mee	tings/registerin	ng for classes?
0	1	2	3	4	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	
Does you	r mother giv	ve you reminders	s about club	meetings/spor	rting events?
0	1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does Not Apply
Does you	r mother ev	er give you to-do	o lists?		
		2			
Never	Darely	Sometimes	Often	Δ Ιννανο	

Rarely

Never

Sometimes

Often

Always

Does Not Apply

Visits: General:									
How often	do <u>you</u> visi	t your mother at	her home?						
0	1	2	3	4	5				
					Does Not Apply				
How often	How often do you spend the weekends at your mother's home?								
0	1	2	3	4	5				
					Does Not Apply				
How often	do <u>you</u> spe	nd holidays at yo	our mother's	s home?					
•					_				
		2							
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does Not Apply				
How often	does your 1	nother visit you	?						
0	1	2	3	4	5				
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does Not Apply				
If you have	e a car, does	your mother ev	er pick you	up to make it	easier for you to visit?				
0	1	2	3	4	5				
					Does Not Apply				
Does your	mother eve	r visit you unanı	nounced?						
0	1	2	3	4	5				
					Does Not Apply				
110101	114101			11114	20001.001.pp1j				
Required V	Visits:								
How often	are you req	uired to visit yo	ur mother a	t her home?					
0	1	2	3	4	5				

How ofte	n are you red	quired to spend t	the weekends	at your mot	her's home	?
0	1	2	3	4	5	
		Sometimes				t Apply
How ofte	n are you <u>re</u>	quired to spend l	holidays at yo	ur mother's	home?	
0	1	2	3	4	5	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does Not	Apply
Requeste	d Visits:					
How ofte	n does your	mother request t	that you visit h	ner home?		
0	1	2	3	4	5	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does No	t Apply
How ofte	n does your	mother <u>request</u> t	that you spend	l the weeker	nds at her ho	ome?
0	1	2	3	4	5	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does No	t Apply
How ofte	n does your	mother <u>request</u> t	that you spend	l holidays at	her home e	each year?
0	1	2	3	4	5	
		Sometimes				t Apply
How ofte	n does your	mother <u>request</u> t	hat you visit o	during the so	chool week	?
0	1	2	3	4	5	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does No	t Apply
Calling/T	nication: Texting/Emai	iling:				
How ofte	n do you cal	l your mother co	ompared to yo	ur peers?		
0	1		2		-3	4
		ly Less the				

How often do y	you <u>call</u> yo	our mother on the pho	ne?		
		2Few Times a Week			
Month or Less					
How often do y	you text yo	our mother?			
0	1	2	3	4	
1-2 Times a Month or Les		Few Times a Week	Daily	Few Times a Day	
How often do y	you email	your mother?			
0	1	2	3	4	
1-2 Times a Month or Less	Weekly	Few Times a Week	Daily	Few Times a Day	
How often do y	<u>you IM</u> (in	stant message) your n	nother?		
		2			
1-2 Times a Month or Less		Few Times a Week	Daily	Few Times a Day	Does Not Apply
How often do y	vou contac	et your mother via soc	ial networ	king (e.g., Facebook)	?
0	1	2	3	4	5
1-2 Times a Month or Less		Few Times a Week	Daily	Few Times a Day	Does Not Apply
How often does	s <u>your mo</u>	ther call you on the ph	none?		
0	1	2	3	4	
1-2 Times a Month or Less	-	Few Times a Week	Daily	Few Times a Day	
How often doe	s <u>your mo</u>	ther text you?			
0	1	22	3	4	
	Weekly	Few Times a Week			

How often do	es your mo	ther email y	ou?			
0 1-2 Times a Month or Less	Weekly				Few Times a Day	
How often do	es <u>your mo</u>	other IM (ins	tant messa	ige) you?		
0	1	2		3	4	5
	Weekly	Few Tin	nes		Few Times a Day	
How often do	es <u>your mo</u>	ther contact	you via <u>s</u>	ocial netwo	orking (e.g., Faceboo	ok)?
0	1	2		3	4	5
1-2 Times a Month or Less				Daily	Few Times a Day	Does No Apply
Required Com	nmunicatio	n:				
Are you <u>requi</u>	red to call	your mother	on the ph	one?		
0	-1	2	3	4		
Never R						
Are you <u>requi</u>	red to text	your mother	?			
0	_1	2	3	4		
Never R						
Are you <u>requi</u>	red to ema	<u>il</u> your moth	er?			
0	-1	2	3	4		
Never R						
Are you <u>requi</u>	red to IM	instant mess	sage) your	mother?		
0	-1	2	3		45	
					vs Does Not Ar	nlv

Are you re	equired to o	contact your mot	her via soc	ial networking	g (e.g., Facebook)?		
0	1	2	3	4	5		
					Does Not Apply		
Contacting	g School Pe	ersonnel					
Has your	mother con	tacted a professo	or because	you were unha	ppy with a <u>class</u> ?		
0	1	2	3	4	5		
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does Not Apply		
Has your	mother con	tacted a professo	or because	you were unha	ppy with a grade?		
0	1	22	3	4	5		
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does Not Apply		
Has your mother contacted other university personnel because you were unhappy with a class or a grade?							
0	1	2	3	4	5		
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does Not Apply		
Has your	mother had	to mediate betw	veen you ar	nd college pers	onnel (e.g., professors)?		
0	1	22	3	4	5		
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Does Not Apply		
Do you think your mother is better at expressing your needs to college personnel than you are?							
0	1	2	3	4			
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always			
Does your mother contact your academic advisor?							
0	1	2	3	4			
-	_	Sometimes	_				

Does you	r mother m	ake advising app	pointments	for you?	
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Does you	ır mother m	ake appointmen	ts for you v	vith other scho	ol personnel?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Does you	ır mother he	elp you with fina	ncial aid pa	aperwork?	
0	1	2	3	4	5
					Does Not Apply
Does you	ır mother co	ontact financial a	iid if you ha	ave problems v	with them?
0	1	22	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Other: Parental	Control:				
Do you h	ave to ask y	your mother for j	permission	to go on a trip	?
0	1	22	3	4	
		Sometimes			
•	going to be en back hor	•	have to co	ntact your mot	ther to let her know that you
0	1	2	3	4	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	
Does our	mother tell	you not to drink	c alcohol?		
0	1	22	3	4	
-	_	Sometimes			

Do you h	ave to ask p	permission to go	out with fr	iends <u>on the w</u>	eekend?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Do you h	ave to ask p	permission to go	out with fr	iends <u>on a wee</u>	ek night?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Do you h	ave to ask p	permission to go	out to drinl	k?	
0	1	2	3	4	5
					Does Not Apply
Does you	r mother te	ll you who you s	should have	as friends?	
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Aid with	Attendance	:			
If you are	e sick, does	your mother call	l your profe	essor to let him	n/her know?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
If you are	e sick, does	your mother atte	end class to	take notes?	
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
-		class due to anoto let him/her kno		other than be	ing sick, does your mother
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			

-	nnot attend ass to take n		other reaso	n, other than being sick, does your mo	ther
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Involvem	ient:				
How ofte	n does you	r mother attend	university-s	sponsored events intended for parents	?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
How ofte	n does you	r mother attend	university-s	sponsored events intended for students	<u>3</u> ?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
How often		r mother attend	university s	ports events in which you are not a	
0	1	22	3	4	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	
How ofte	n does you	r mother attend	university s	ports events that you do not attend?	
0	1	22	3	4	
		Sometimes			
How ofte	n does you	r mother bring u	ip campus s	afety alerts?	
0	1	2	3	4 ·	
		Sometimes			
Does you	ır mother re	ad the annual ca	ampus safet	y report?	
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			

How often	does your	mother expres	s worry to y	ou about campus safe	ety?
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			
Parental A	ttitude:				
Describe ye college?	our mothe	r's level of inv	olvement no	w compared to before	e you started
0		1	2	3	4
				unt Slightly Mor	
How invol	ved is you	r mother in you	ır college lif	e?	
0	1-	2	!	3	4
				oderate Amount	
Does your	mother ex	press worry to	you about h	ow you're doing acad	lemically at college?
0	1	2	3	4	
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	
Does your	mother ex	press worry to	you about h	ow you're doing soci	ally at college?
0	1	2	3	4	
-		Sometimes			
Does your	mother ex	press worry to	you about h	ow you're doing in o	ther ways at college?
0	1	2	3	<i>1</i>	
		Sometimes			
Does your help?	mother try	y to solve probl	ems that cor	me up at college before	re you ask her for
0	1	2	3	4	
		Sometimes			

How willing is your mother to help you solve a problem that happens at college?							
0	1	2	3	4			
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always			
Perceived	Self-Confi	dence:					
When dealing with various problems that arise at college, how often are you confident in handling the issues without the help of your mother?							
0	1	2	3	4			
		Sometimes					
Is your mo	other involv	ved in aspects o	f your <u>colle</u>	ge life that you could handle on your			
0	1	2	3	4			
		Sometimes					
Is your mo	other involv	ved in aspects o	f your <u>socia</u>	l life that you could handle on your own?			
0	1	22	3	1			
		Sometimes					
Do you worry that you will disappoint your mother with your academics?							
0	1	2	3	4			
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always			
Do you worry that you will disappoint your mother with your social activities?							
0	1	2	3	4			
		Sometimes					

Please answer the following questions regarding your relationship with your mother during your high school and college career.

Intruding on Major/Career Issues:

Did your m	other give	e you fee	dback abou	t select	ing a college?		
0	1-		2		3	4	
						Very Large Am	ount
How much	did your	mother ii	nfluence you	ur selec	tion of a colleg	e?	
0	1-		2		3	4	
Not At All	Very	Little	Somewhat	Mo	oderate Amount	Very Large Am	ount
Does your i	nother he	elp you se	elect your co	ourses?			
0	1	2		-3	4		
Never							
Did your m	other giv	e you fee	dback on cl	noosing	a major?		
0	1	2-		-3	4	5	
Not At All					Very Large Amount		
If you have	a major,	does you	ır mother try	y to pre	ssure you to sel	ect a different one?	
0	1	2		2	4	5	
					Very Large		
					Amount		
Did your m						alaureate school (e.g.	,
0	1	2-		-3	4	5	
			hat Mod	lerate	Very Large Amount	Does Not	
How much	did your	mother i	nfluence vo	ur selec	tion of a post b	accalaureate school?	
					_		
U	l	2-		-3	4	5	

Not At All	Very Little	Somewhat		Very Large Amount	Does Not Apply			
Did your mother give you feedback on choosing a career?								
0	1	2	3	4	5			
Not At All	Very Little	Somewhat		Very Large Amount				
If you have selected a future career, does your mother try to pressure you to select a different one?								
0	1	2	3	4	5			
Not At All	Very Little	Somewhat		Very Large Amount				

Appendix B

Demographics Form

Because this study focuses on your communication with your parents, we would like to know a few things about you as well as them.

About You

(1) Your Gender

Male Female

(2) Your year at EIU

Freshman Sophomore Junior Senior

- (3) Your date of birth (month/day/year):
- (4) Your major at EIU:
- (5) What is your approximate GPA at EIU?
- (6) During this semester, in what town and state do you live?
- (7) During this semester, do you live: (Please check one)

At home with one or both of your parents
In a dorm at EIU
In a sorority or fraternity at EIU
Alone in off-campus housing in the Charleston area
With friends or roommates in off-campus housing in the Charleston area
With a spouse or significant other in off-campus housing in the
Charleston area
Other – Please describe:

(8) If you do not currently live with one or both of your parents, then approximately how long (in minutes) does it take to drive from your home to your parents' home?

(9) During this semester, do you:

Have a part-time job on-campus Have a part-time job off-campus Have a full-time job on-campus Have a full-time job off-campus

(10) Ethnicity

African-American/Black Asian-American/Pacific-Islander Hispanic-American/Latino-American Native American/Indian White/Caucasian Other – Please describe:

(11) How many brothers and sisters do you have?

About Your Parents

(12) What is the highest level of education completed by your mother?

Less then High School
High School/GED
Some College
2-year College Degree (Associate Degree)
4-year College Degree (BA, BS)
Master's Degree
Doctoral Degree
Professional Degree (MD, JD)

(13) What is the highest level of education completed by your mother?

Less then High School
High School/GED
Some College
2-year College Degree (Associate Degree)
4-year College Degree (BA, BS)
Master's Degree
Doctoral Degree
Professional Degree (MD, JD)