



University of Dundee

Developing core elements and checklist items for global hospital antimicrobial stewardship programmes

Pulcini, Céline; Binda, Francesca; Lamkang, Anjana Sankhil; Trett, Anna; Charani, Esmita; Goff, Debra A; Harbarth, Stephan; Hinrichsen, Sylvia Lemos; Levy-Hara, Gabriel; Mendelson, Marc; Nathwani, Dilip; Gunturu, Revathi; Singh, Sanjeev; Srinivasan, Arjun; Thamlikitkul, Visanu; Thursky, Karin; Vlieghe, Erika; Wertheim, Heiman; Zeng, Mei; Gandra, Sumanth; Laxminarayan, Ramanan

Published in: Clinical Microbiology and Infection

DOI:

10.1016/j.cmi.2018.03.033

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Pulcini, C., Binda, F., Lamkang, A. S., Trett, A., Charani, E., Goff, D. A., ... Laxminarayan, R. (2018). Developing core elements and checklist items for global hospital antimicrobial stewardship programmes: a consensus approach. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2018.03.033

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users récognise and abide by the legal réquirements associated with

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Accepted Manuscript

Developing core elements and checklist items for global hospital antimicrobial stewardship programmes: a consensus approach

Céline Pulcini, Francesca Binda, Anjana Sankhil Lamkang, Anna Trett, Esmita Charani, Debra A. Goff, Stephan Harbarth, Sylvia Lemos Hinrichsen, Gabriel Levy-Hara, Marc Mendelson, Dilip Nathwani, Revathi Gunturu, Sanjeev Singh, Arjun Srinivasan, Visanu Thamlikitkul, Karin Thursky, Erika Vlieghe, Heiman Wertheim, Mei Zeng, Sumanth Gandra, Ramanan Laxminarayan

PII: S1198-743X(18)30295-7

DOI: 10.1016/j.cmi.2018.03.033

Reference: CMI 1264

To appear in: Clinical Microbiology and Infection

Received Date: 5 February 2018

Revised Date: 18 March 2018 Accepted Date: 22 March 2018

Please cite this article as: Pulcini C, Binda F, Lamkang AS, Trett A, Charani E, Goff DA, Harbarth S, Hinrichsen SL, Levy-Hara G, Mendelson M, Nathwani D, Gunturu R, Singh S, Srinivasan A, Thamlikitkul V, Thursky K, Vlieghe E, Wertheim H, Zeng M, Gandra S, Laxminarayan R, Developing core elements and checklist items for global hospital antimicrobial stewardship programmes: a consensus approach, *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2018.03.033.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 CONSENSUS PAPER

- 2 Developing core elements and checklist items for global hospital antimicrobial
- 3 stewardship programmes: a consensus approach

4

- 5 Céline PULCINI^{1,2}, Francesca BINDA^{1,2,3}, Anjana SANKHIL LAMKANG⁴, Anna TRETT⁴,
- 6 Esmita CHARANI⁵, Debra A. GOFF⁶, Stephan HARBARTH⁷, Sylvia LEMOS
- 7 HINRICHSEN⁸, Gabriel LEVY-HARA⁹, Marc MENDELSON¹⁰, Dilip NATHWANI¹¹,
- 8 Revathi GUNTURU¹², Sanjeev SINGH¹³, Arjun SRINIVASAN¹⁴, Visanu
- 9 THAMLIKITKUL¹⁵, Karin THURSKY¹⁶, Erika VLIEGHE^{17,18,19}, Heiman WERTHEIM²⁰,
- 10 Mei ZENG²¹, Sumanth GANDRA⁴, Ramanan LAXMINARAYAN^{4,22}

11

- 12 1. Université de Lorraine, EA 4360 APEMAC, Nancy, France
- 2. CHRU de Nancy, Service de Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, Nancy, France
- 14 3. University of Milan, Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences « Luigi Sacco »,
- 15 Milan, Italy
- 4. Center for Disease Dynamics, Economics & Policy (CDDEP), New Delhi, India
- 5. Imperial College London, Department of Medicine, NIHR Health Protection Research Unit
- in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance, London, UK
- 19 6. The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, Ohio, USA
- 7. Geneva University Hospitals, Infection Control Program and WHO Collaborating Center,
- 21 Faculty of Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland
- 22 8. Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE), Tropical Diseases Department, Recife,
- 23 Brazil
- 9. Hospital Carlos G Durand, Unit of Infectious Diseases, Buenos Aires, Argentina

- 25 10. Groote Schuur Hospital, University of Cape Town, Department of Medicine, Division of
- 26 Infectious Diseases & HIV Medicine, Cape Town, South Africa
- 27 11. Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, UK
- 28 12. The Aga Khan University Hospital, Dept. of Pathology, Division of Clinical
- 29 Microbiology, Nairobi, Kenya
- 30 13. Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Kochi, Kerala, India
- 31 14. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA
- 32 15. Mahidol University, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand
- 33 16. National Centre for Antimicrobial Stewardship, Royal Melbourne Hospital at the Peter
- 34 Doherty Institute, Melbourne, Australia
- 35 17. University Hospital Antwerp, Department of General Internal Medicine, Infectious
- 36 Diseases & Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium
- 37 18. University of Antwerp, Global Health Institute, Antwerp, Belgium
- 38 19. Institute of Tropical Medicine, Department of Clinical Sciences, Antwerp, Belgium
- 39 20. Radboudumc, Department of Medical Microbiology and Radboud Center for Infectious
- 40 Diseases, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- 41 21. Children's Hospital of Fudan University, Department of Infectious Diseases, Shanghai,
- 42 China
- 43 22. Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

44

- 45 Corresponding author: Prof. Céline Pulcini, MD PhD. Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de
- Nancy, Service de Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, Hôpitaux de Brabois, allée du Morvan,
- 47 54511 Vandoeuvre-Lès-Nancy, France. Tel: + 33 (0) 3 83 15 40 97, fax: + 33 (0) 3 83 15 70
- 48 27, email: celine.pulcini@univ-lorraine.fr

49	ABSTRACT
50	Objectives. With increasing interest in hospital antimicrobial stewardship (AMS)
51	programmes globally, there is a strong demand for core elements of AMS to be clearly
52	defined based on principles of effectiveness and affordability. To date, efforts to identify such
53	core elements have been limited to Europe, Australia, and North America. The aim of this
54	study was to develop a set of core elements and their related checklist items for AMS
55	programmes that should be present in all hospitals, regardless of resource availability,
56	worldwide.
57	Methods. A literature review was performed by searching Medline and relevant websites to
58	retrieve a list of core elements and items that could be relevant globally. These core elements
59	and items were evaluated by an international group of AMS experts using a structured
60	modified Delphi consensus procedure, using two-phased online in-depth questionnaires.
61	Results. The literature review identified 7 core elements and their related 29 checklist items
62	from 48 references. Fifteen experts from 12 countries in 6 continents participated in the
63	consensus procedure. Ultimately, all 7 core elements were retained, as well as 28 of the initial
64	checklist items plus 1 that was newly suggested, all with ≥80% agreement; 20 elements and
65	items were rephrased.
66	Conclusions. This consensus on core elements for hospital AMS programmes is relevant to
67	both high and low-to-middle income countries and could facilitate the development of
68	national AMS stewardship guidelines and adoption by healthcare settings worldwide.

INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance, particularly antibiotic resistance in bacteria, is a global
threat, making antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) programmes necessary in all hospitals
worldwide [1-3]. A recent review conducted by ESGAP (ESCMID Study Group for
Antimicrobial stewardship) authors found that many definitions exist for the abbreviation
AMS [2]. The authors suggested that it is best to view the collective daily actions within AMS
as a strategy, and they proposed the following definition: "Antimicrobial stewardship is a
coherent set of actions which promote using antimicrobials in ways that ensure sustainable
access to effective therapy for all who need them" [2]. The absence of a universal definition
for AMS combined with a lack of international guidance and standards are among the many
barriers to the implementation of these programmes globally, especially in low and middle-
income countries (LMICs) [2,4]. In North America, Europe, and Australia, collaborative
groups have identified, through a consensual approach, core elements considered essential for
successful AMS programmes [5-9]. These core elements, often bundled into checklists, offer
healthcare providers a pragmatic and measurable means of developing, implementing, and
measuring the impact of hospital AMS programmes. Their applicability, contextual relevance,
and value in other geographies, cultures, and resource settings, particularly LMICs, have not
been previously explored.
Our objective was to identify existing core elements for hospital AMS programmes
and assass their broader global relevance. This was done by undertaking a literature review

and assess their broader global relevance. This was done by undertaking a literature review followed by a structured consensus procedure involving experts.

1	M	\mathbf{R}^{r}	Γ H	\mathbf{O}	DS

Our objective was to identify a set of core elements and their related checklist items [10], describing the essential and minimum standards for AMS programmes in hospitals worldwide.

Group of experts

The steering committee (CP, FB, ASL, AT, SG, RL) invited 15 experts (all other coauthors) to participate in this study. Experts from different backgrounds (infectious diseases specialists, clinical microbiologists and clinical pharmacists) were selected based on their recognized expertise in AMS across various geographic settings in six continents (North America = 2, South America = 2, Europe = 5, Africa = 2, Asia = 3, Australia = 1), all having extensive hands-on experience with AMS in LMICs and most of them serving as experts for health authorities and policy-makers on the AMS topic.

Literature review and website search

In August–September 2017, the steering committee (six researchers) performed a narrative literature review of PubMed with the following key words: (antibiotic or antimicrobial) and stewardship and (review or guidelines or standard or core or checklist), in addition to a website search (relevant agencies and organizations, such as World Health Organization (WHO) or European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), to search for existing core elements for hospital AMS programmes. Only reviews, guidance/guidelines and consensus documents were included. Additional references were identified by the 15 experts, with no language restriction (all authors assisted with translation when required). Data was extracted by two junior researchers and double checked by two senior researchers. Based on the final list of references [3–50], the steering committee

compiled summary tables (listing all core elements and checklist items found, with their corresponding references), which were made available to the experts. CP and SG developed an initial set of core elements and their related checklist items, to be assessed by the experts, based on the summary tables, selecting the elements and items they thought might be relevant worldwide. A core element was defined as a broad category of actions/a strategy within an AMS programme (e.g. Education), whereas checklist items described specific actions/interventions within a specific core element. Several checklist items were then listed under each core element.

Consensus procedure

The list of core elements and checklist items based on the literature review and the website search (as well as the detailed summary tables) was presented to the group of 15 experts for a modified Delphi consensus procedure [51], consisting of two surveys (first and second rounds). Invitation to participate in the survey was sent by email. A teleconference was organised early November by the steering committee, to explain the objectives and methods to all experts, and reply to their questions.

For the first round (November 2017), the list of core elements and checklist items were converted into an internet-based questionnaire using SurveyMonkey (Palo Alto, California, USA). Respondents were asked to select all core elements and checklist items they felt were essential worldwide and should be part of AMS programmes in all hospitals and in all countries, using a "yes/no" option; a "comments" box was provided for each element/item, including suggestions for rephrasing. Elements and items were (1) selected if agreement was ≥80% (i.e., 12 experts or more); (2) held for reassessment during the second round if agreement was between 70% and 79% (11 experts); (3) rejected if agreement was <70% (fewer than 11 experts). Experts were also asked to suggest new elements and items for

further asse	essm	ent, in ad	lditi	on t	o rephra	asing.	Nev	vly	sugge	ested	elements	and	items	were
considered	for	inclusion	in	the	second	round	if	at	least	three	experts	made	e the	same
suggestion.														

During the second round (December 2017), all previously accepted, newly added, and rephrased elements and items were presented in a second internet-based questionnaire, which was sent to all experts who had participated in the first round. Experts were asked to rate the newly suggested items and the items held for reassessment, as well as to choose the best phrasing when appropriate (the selected phrasing was the one with >50% agreement). A "comments" box for open-ended feedback was available for all elements and items.

RESULTS

4 - 0				
150	Literature	ravious and	lwahsita	coarch
100	Lucianie	review and	websile	search

We identified 48 relevant references (written in Chinese, English, French or Spanish)

152 [3–50] and came up with an initial set of 7 core elements and 29 checklist items.

Consensus procedure

All 15 experts participated in the two rounds of the survey. During the first round, all 7 core elements were selected, as well as 27 out of the 29 checklist items, while 1 item was held for reassessment, 1 was rejected, and 2 additional items were newly suggested by 3 experts. Rephrasing was suggested for 27 elements/items, and comments were added for 14 of them. During the second round, 2 out of 3 items were selected, and the final phrasing was decided upon (newly suggested phrasing was chosen in 20 out of 27 cases). The procedure is summarised in Figure 1, with the final set of 7 core elements and 29 checklist items presented in Boxes 1 to 7 (Appendix S1 presents the full details of the procedure). The core elements were as follows: senior hospital management leadership towards AMS (Box 1, 3 checklist items), accountability & responsibilities (Box 2, 7 items), available expertise on infection management (Box 3, 2 items), education & practical training (Box 4, 2 items), other actions aiming at responsible antimicrobial use (Box 5, 8 items), monitoring & surveillance (Box 6, 4 items), and reporting & feedback (Box 7, 3 items).

DISCUSSION

Based on a pragmatic literature review and a structured consensus procedure, we developed minimum core elements and checklist items that could be relevant to hospital AMS programmes worldwide. Even though most of these checklist items may not currently exist in most hospitals in low-income countries, we included all of them on the list because our main objective was to identify universally relevant, essential elements and items based on the best available evidence. These 7 core elements and their related 29 checklist items could be adapted and adopted locally depending on factors such as clinical setting and resource availability. They provide a baseline of key elements required to start hospital AMS programmes, and could be further modified and used for accreditation/certification, benchmarking, or scrutiny/performance purposes [52,53]. We were purposely as generic as possible in the phrasing of elements and items so that countries could adapt them to their own situations, for example regarding the composition of AMS teams.

When comparing our 7 core elements and 29 checklist items with the list developed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the United States, we found that both lists of core elements are very similar in content, even though the phrasing is different [6]. The CDC also validated 7 core elements: leadership commitment, accountability, drug expertise, action, tracking, reporting, and education [6]. The CDC developed a shorter list of 22 related checklist items [6], 12 of them being quite close to the checklist items we selected. Our list of 29 checklist items is, however, both more comprehensive and more generic, reflecting our objective of being relevant to any hospital worldwide.

Implementing AMS programmes in hospitals is one aspect of the comprehensive One Health strategy needed to tackle antimicrobial resistance [1]. The importance of having such programmes in the community or primary care setting, that are aligned with hospital programmes, cannot be underestimated [53]. Implementing a hospital AMS programme is

also not possible without a strong commitment from policymakers, senior leadership, and national initiatives to guarantee access to good-quality, equitably priced essential antimicrobials [54]. In addition, education for the public and health professionals is a necessary prerequisite to initiating strong and lasting AMS programmes.

Our work has several limitations. We did not conduct a systematic literature review but are confident we have not missed significant references, as we have included recent systematic reviews on the topic, and additional references were identified by a large panel of AMS experts, with no language restriction [3]. The number of experts involved in the consensus procedure was relatively small, even though the number was close to previously published consensus procedures [5,55]. Like all consensus procedures, ours was biased by the opinions of the experts, who all primarily had the perspective of the steward. Experts who serve in administrative roles in healthcare and thus represent more of the perspective of a hospital might have had different answers.

In conclusion, we propose here an evaluation framework for hospital AMS programmes that could be relevant across both resource-rich and resource-limited contexts. Evaluating its value and then its feasibility and measurability in a range of geographic and resource settings, with a broader stakeholder group should be the next step.

210	FUNDING
211	SG received a grant from the WISH foundation (http://www.wish-qatar.org) to compensate
212	for his time on this project. This work will be presented at the WISH forum, and travel costs
213	for CP, FB, SG, and RL to the forum will be covered by the WISH foundation. However, the
214	funder had no role in the conduct of our research.
215	
216	TRANSPARENCY DECLARATIONS
217	There is no conflict of interest to declare for any of the authors.
218	EC is affiliated with the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research
219	Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance at
220	Imperial College London in partnership with Public Health England (PHE). The views
221	expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the
222	Department of Health or Public Health England.
223	The opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect
224	the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

225 **REFERENCES**

- 226 [1] World Health Organization. Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance.
- 227 http://www.wpro.who.int/entity/drug_resistance/resources/global_action_plan_eng.pdf
- 228 [2] Dyar OJ, Huttner B, Schouten J, Pulcini C. What is antimicrobial stewardship? Clin
- 229 Microbiol Infect 2017;23(11):793–798.
- 230 [3] Hulscher MEJL, Prins JM. Antibiotic stewardship: does it work in hospital practice? A
- review of the evidence base. Clin Microbiol Infect 2017;23(11):799–805.
- 232 [4] Cox JA, Vlieghe E, Mendelson M, Wertheim H, Ndegwa L, Villegas MV et al. Antibiotic
- 233 stewardship in low- and middle-income countries: the same but different? Clin Microbiol
- 234 Infect 2017;23(11):812–818.
- [5] Transatlantic Taskforce on Antimicrobial Resistance (TATFAR): summary the modified
- 236 Delphi process for common structure and process indicators for hospital antimicrobial
- 237 stewardship programs. 2015.
- 238 https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/pdf/summary_of_tatfar_recommendation_1.pdf
- 239 [6] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Core elements of hospital antibiotic
- stewardship programs. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC;
- 241 2014. https://www.cdc.gov/getsmart/healthcare/implementation/core-elements.html
- [7] Joint Commission on Hospital Accreditation. New Antimicrobial Stewardship Standard. Jt
- 243 Comm Perspect. 2016 Jul;36(7):1, 3–4, 8.
- 244 https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/6/New_Antimicrobial_Stewardship_Standard.pdf
- 245 [8] Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care. Antimicrobial stewardship
- in Australian hospitals; 2011. https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/our-work/healthcare-
- 247 associated-infection/antimicrobial-stewardship/book/
- [9] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Proposals for EU guidelines on the
- 249 prudent use of antimicrobials in humans. Stockholm: ECDC: 2017.

- 250 <a href="https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publications/EU-guidelines-publications/Publications/EU-guidelines-publications
- 251 prudent-use-antimicrobials.pdf
- [10] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. EU Guidelines for the prudent use
- 253 of antimicrobials in human health. Stockholm: ECDC; 2017.
- 254 https://ec.europa.eu/health/amr/sites/amr/files/amr guidelines prudent use en.pdf
- 255 [11] Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Abbo LM, MacDougall C, Schuetz AN, Septimus EJ, et al.
- 256 Implementing an antibiotic stewardship program: guidelines by the Infectious Diseases
- 257 Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. Clin Infect Dis
- 258 2016;62(10):e51–e77.
- 259 [12] Davey P, Marwick CA, Scott CL, Charani E, McNeil K, Brown E, et al. Interventions to
- 260 improve antibiotic prescribing practices for hospital inpatients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev
- 261 2017;2:CD003543.
- 262 [13] Schuts EC, Hulscher MEJL, Mouton JW, Verduin CM, Stuart JWTC, Overdiek HWPM,
- 263 et al. Current evidence on hospital antimicrobial stewardship objectives: a systematic review
- and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2016;16(7):847–856.
- 265 [14] de With K, Allerberger F, Amann S, Apfalter P, Brodt HR, Eckmanns T, et al. Strategies
- 266 to enhance rational use of antibiotics in hospital: a guideline by the German Society for
- 267 Infectious Diseases. Infection 2016;44(3):395–439.
- 268 [15] NICE Guidelines: Antimicrobial stewardship: systems and processes for effective
- antimicrobial medicine use. 2015. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng15
- 270 [16] Dyar OJ, Tebano G, Pulcini C. Managing responsible antimicrobial use: perspectives
- across the healthcare system. Clin Microbiol Infect 2017;23(7):441–447.
- 272 [17] SWAB Guidelines for Antimicrobial Stewardship. 2016.
- 273 http://www.swab.nl/swab/cms3.nsf/uploads/DBDCF976C0F7A4D8C125802B006BCA7D/\$F

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
274	$\underline{ILE/20160909\%20Concept\%20SWAB\%20Guidelines\%20for\%20Antimicrobial\%20Steward}$
275	ship.pdf
276	[18] Practical Guide Antimicrobial Stewardship in the Netherlands work group. The Practical
277	guide antimicrobial stewardship in the Netherlands. http:
278	content/uploads/2015/11/SWAB_guideline_ABS_hospitals.pdf
279	[19] Public Health England. Start Smart – Then Focus antimicrobial stewardship toolkit for
280	English hospitals. 2015.
281	https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/417032/Start_S
282	mart Then Focus FINAL.PDF
283	[20] SARI Hospital Antimicrobial Stewardship Working Group. Guidelines for antimicrobial
284	stewardship in hospitals in Ireland. 2009. https://www.hpsc.ie/a-
285	z/microbiologyantimicrobialresistance/infectioncontrolandhai/guidelines/File,4116,en.pdf
286	[21] Bailey C, Tully MP, Pampaka M, Cooke J. Rasch analysis of the antimicrobial self-
287	assessment toolkit for National Health Service (NHS) trusts (ASAT v17). J Antimicrob
288	Chemother 2017;72(2):604–613.
289	[22] Bailey C, Tully M, Cooke J. An investigation into the content validity of the
290	antimicrobial self-assessment toolkit for NHS trusts (ASAT v15a) using cognitive interviews
291	with antimicrobial pharmacists. J Clin Pharm Ther 2015;40(2):208-212.
292	[23] Cooke J, Alexander K, Charani E, Hand K, Hills T, Howard P, et al. Antimicrobial
293	stewardship: an evidence-based, antimicrobial self-assessment toolkit (ASAT) for acute
294	hospitals, J Antimicrob Chemother 2010:65(12):2669–2673.

- 295 [24] DRIVE-AB. Quality indicators & quantity metrics of antibiotic use (DRIVE-AB
- 296 WP1A). 2016. http://drive-ab.eu/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/WP1A_Final-QMs-
- 297 <u>QIs_final.pdf</u>

298	[25] Haute Autorité de Sante. Indicateurs de qualité et de securité des soins. Theme infection
299	associée aux soins (IAS) – Grille de recueil, consignes de remplissage et éléments de preuves
300	- Indicateur composite de bon usage des antibiotiques ICATB 2. 2016. https://www.has-
301	sante.fr/portail/upload/docs/application/pdf/2016-4/2016_has_grille_de_recueilicatb_2.pdf
302	[26] Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care. Safety and quality
303	improvement guide standard 3: preventing and controlling healthcare associated infections
304	(October 2012). Sydney. ACSQHC, 2012. https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/wp-
305	content/uploads/2012/10/Standard3_Oct_2012_WEB.pdf
306	[27] Thern J, de With K, Strauss R, Steib-Bauert M, Weber N, Kern WV. Selection of
307	hospital antimicrobial prescribing quality indicators: a consensus among German antibiotic
308	stewardship (ABS) networkers. Infection 2014;42(2):351–362.
309	[28] Ministerial Advisory Committee on Antimicrobial Resistance National Department of
310	Health Affordable Medicines Directorate. Guidelines on implementation of the antimicrobial
311	strategy in South Africa: One Health approach & governance. 2017. http://nahf.co.za/wp-
312	content/uploads/Antimicrobial-Stewardship-Guidelines-Governance_June2017.pdf
313	[29] van den Bosch CM, Geerlings SE, Natsch S, Prins JM, Hulscher ME. Quality indicators
314	to measure appropriate antibiotic use in hospitalized adults. Clin Infect Dis 2015;60(2):281-
315	291.
316	[30] Morris AM. Antimicrobial stewardship programs: appropriate measures and metrics to
317	study their impact. Curr Treat Options Infect Dis 2014;6(2):101–112.
318	[31] National Quality Forum. National Quality Partners playbook: antibiotic stewardship in
319	acute care. 2016.
320	http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2016/05/National_Quality_Partners_Playbook_A
321	ntibiotic Stewardship in Acute Care.aspx

322	[32] Goff DA, Kullar R, Bauer KA, File TM Jr. Eight habits of highly effective antimicrobial
323	stewardship programs to meet the Joint Commission standards for hospitals. Clin Infect Dis
324	2017;64(8):1134–1139.
325	[33] Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario). Getting
326	started: a gap analysis tool for antimicrobial stewardship programs. Toronto, ON: Queen's
327	Printer for Ontario; 2016.
328	https://www.publichealthontario.ca/fr/eRepository/Getting%20started%20-
329	%20An%20ASP%20gap%20analysis%20checklist.pdf
330	[34] Dik JW, Hendrix R, Poelman R, Niesters HG, Postma MJ, Sinha B, et al. Measuring the
331	impact of antimicrobial stewardship programs. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2016;14(6):569-
332	575.
333	[35] Akpan MR, Ahmad R, Shebl NA, Ashiru-Oredope D. A review of quality measures
334	assessing the impact of antimicrobial stewardship programs in hospitals. Antibiotics (Basel)
335	2016;13;5(1).
336	[36] Simões AS, Gregório J, Póvoa P, Lapão LV. Practical guide for the implementation of
337	antibiotic stewardship programs. 2015. http://3dmfsx6ameqwfda31pu5rjxq.wpengine.netdna-
338	cdn.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/policy-paper-eng.pdf
339	[37] Pan American Health Organization. Monitoring and evaluation of the Global Action Plan
340	on Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR): regional expert consultation on monitoring and
341	evaluation of AMR interventions. Washington, DC, 26-27 January 2017.
342	http://www.paho.org/hq/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=13040%3Aexpert
343	-consultation-on-monitoring-and-evaluation-of-antimicrobial-resistance-amr-
344	interventions&catid=4228%3Aamr-pages&Itemid=42280⟨=en

- 345 [38] Strengthening Pharmaceutical Systems. 2012. How to investigate antimicrobial use in
- 346 hospitals: selected indicators. Arlington, VA: Management Sciences for Health.
- 347 http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Js21031en/
- 348 [39] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Implementation of antibiotic stewardship
- 349 core elements at small and critical access hospitals. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health
- and Human Services, CDC. https://www.cdc.gov/getsmart/healthcare/implementation/core-
- 351 elements-small-critical.html
- 352 [40] Chinese Ministry of Health. Regulations on clinical applications of antimicrobials. No.
- 353 84. 2012. http://www.nhfpc.gov.cn/mohzefgs/s3576/201205/54645.shtml
- 354 [41] Villegas MV, Esparza G, Zurita J, Bavestrello L, García Cañete P, Cuellar L, et al. Guía
- 355 para la implementación de un Programa de Optimización de Antimicrobianos a nivel
- 356 hospitalario. Comité de Antimicrobianos y Resistencia, Asociación Panamericana de
- 357 Infectología. 2017. http://www.apinfectologia.com/wp-content/archivos/manual-
- 358 PROA_2016.pdf
- 359 [42] Rodríguez-Baño J, Paño-Pardo JR, Alvarez-Rocha L, Asensio A, Calbo E, Cercenado E,
- 360 et al. Programs for optimizing the use of antibiotics (PROA) in Spanish hospitals: GEIH-
- 361 SEIMC, SEFH and SEMPSPH consensus document. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin
- 362 2012;30(1):22.e1–22.e23.
- 363 [43] Ghafur A, Mathai D, Muruganathan A, Jayalal JA, Kant R, Chaudhary D, et al. The
- 364 Chennai Declaration: a roadmap to tackle the challenge of antimicrobial resistance. Indian J
- 365 Cancer 2013;50(1):71–73.
- 366 [44] Wertheim HFL, Chandna A, Vu PD, Pham CV, Nguyen PDT, Lam YM, et al. Providing
- impetus, tools, and guidance to strengthen national capacity for antimicrobial stewardship in
- 368 Viet Nam. PLoS Medicine 2013;10(5):e1001429.

- 369 [45] Charani E, Holmes AH. Antimicrobial stewardship programmes: the need for wider
- 370 engagement. BMJ Qual Saf 2013;22(11):885–887.
- 371 [46] Allerberger F, Gareis R, Jindrák V, Struelens MJ. Antibiotic stewardship implementation
- in the EU: the way forward. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2009;7(10):1175–1183.
- 373 [47] MacDougall C, Polk RE. Antimicrobial stewardship programs in health care systems.
- 374 Clin Microbiol Rev 2005;18:638–656.
- 375 [48] Quet F, Vlieghe E, Leyer C, Buisson Y, Newton PN, Naphayvong P, et al. First national
- workshop on antibiotic resistance in Cambodia: Phnom Penh, Cambodia, 16–18 November
- 377 2011. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2013;1(1):31–34.
- 378 [49] Barbé B, Yansouni CP, Affolabi D, Jacobs J. Implementation of quality management for
- 379 clinical bacteriology in low-resource settings. Clin Microbiol Infect 2017;23(7):426–433.
- 380 [50] Ombelet S, Ronat JB, Walsh T, Yansouni CP, Cox J, Vlieghe E, et al. Clinical
- bacteriology in low-resource settings: today's solutions. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018 Mar 5. pii:
- 382 S1473-3099(18)30093-8.
- 383 [51] Fitch K, Bernstein SJ, Aguilar MD, Burnand B, LaCalle JR, Lázaro P, et al. The
- 384 RAND/UCLA appropriateness method user's manual.
- 385 https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/monograph_reports/2011/MR1269.pdf
- 386 [52] NHS Improvement. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and
- 387 resistance (ESPAUR). https://improvement.nhs.uk/resources/english-surveillance-
- 388 programme-antimicrobial-utilisation-and-resistance-espaur/
- 389 [53] Mölstad S, Löfmark S, Carlin K, Erntell M, Aspevall O, Blad L, et al. Lessons learnt
- 390 during 20 years of the Swedish strategic programme against antibiotic resistance.
- 391 http://www.who.int/bulletin/online_first/BLT.16.184374.pdf

392	[54] Pulcini C, Beovic B, Béraud G, Carlet J, Cars O, Howard P, et al. Ensuring universal
393	access to old antibiotics: a critical but neglected priority. Clin Microbiol Infect
394	2017;23(9):590–592.
395	[55] Le Marechal M, Tebano G, Monnier AA, Adriaenssens N, Gyssens IC, Huttner B, et al.
396	Quality indicators assessing antibiotic use in the outpatient setting: a systematic literature
397	review followed by a global consensus procedure. J Antimicrob Chemother 2018 [e-published
398	ahead of print].
399	[56] Pulcini C, Morel CM, Tacconelli E, Beovic B, de With K, Goossens H, et al. Human
400	resources estimates and funding for antibiotic stewardship teams are urgently needed. Clin
401	Microbiol Infect 2017;23(11):785–787.
402	[57] World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa. Stepwise laboratory quality
403	improvement process towards accreditation (SLIPTA) checklist version 2:2015: for clinical
404	and public health laboratories. http://who.insomnation.com/sites/default/files/pdf/slipta-
405	checkist0711.pdf

406 Box 1. Core element 1: Senior hospital management leadership towards antimicrobial stewardship

Accompanying comment: This section relates to governance of the programme by hospital executives, and specifies how senior hospital management supports the antimicrobial stewardship programme.

Checklist item 1.1:

Has your hospital management formally identified antimicrobial stewardship as a priority objective for the institution and included it in its key performance indicators?

Checklist item 1.2:

Is there dedicated, sustainable and sufficient budgeted financial support for antimicrobial stewardship activities (e.g., support for salary, training, or IT (information technology) support)?

Checklist item 1.3:

Does your hospital follow any (national or international) staffing standards for antimicrobial stewardship activities (e.g. number of full-time equivalent (FTE) per 100 beds for the different members of the antimicrobial stewardship team)?

Accompanying comment: These staffing standards should ideally be set at national level.[56]

409 Box 2. Core element 2: Accountability & responsibilities

Checklist item 2.1:

Does your hospital have a formal/written antimicrobial stewardship programme/strategy accountable for ensuring appropriate antimicrobial use?

Accompanying comment: This should be based on existing national/international guidelines and/or an existing national strategy.

Checklist item 2.2:

Does your hospital have a formal organizational multidisciplinary structure responsible for antimicrobial stewardship (e.g., a committee focused on appropriate antimicrobial use, pharmacy committee, patient safety committee or other relevant structure)?

Accompanying comment: This antimicrobial stewardship committee can be either standalone or embedded into another committee structure (e.g. pharmacy committee, patient safety committee or other relevant structure). In all cases, this antimicrobial stewardship committee is explicitly in charge of setting and coordinating the antimicrobial stewardship programme/strategy in its mandate/terms of reference.

Checklist item 2.3:

Is there a healthcare professional identified as a leader for antimicrobial stewardship activities at your hospital and responsible for implementing the programme?

Checklist item 2.4:

Is there a document clearly defining roles, procedures of collaboration and responsibilities of the antimicrobial stewardship team members?

Accompanying comment: We refer here to the core operational team of healthcare professionals (led by the clinical leader) who will implement the antimicrobial stewardship strategy 'daily on the ground'. This is different from the antimicrobial stewardship committee, which is a larger formal organizational structure that includes antimicrobial stewardship team members and other relevant professionals and administrators. In resource-limited settings or small hospitals, although desirable, it is sometimes difficult to have an antimicrobial stewardship team; in that case, the antimicrobial stewardship clinical leader will implement the antimicrobial stewardship programme. The composition of the (usually multidisciplinary) antimicrobial stewardship team is flexible and should be based on existing international recommendations and adapted to the local context.

Checklist item 2.5:

Are clinicians, other than those part of the antimicrobial stewardship team (e.g. from the ICU, Internal Medicine and Surgery) involved in the antimicrobial stewardship committee?

Checklist item 2.6:

Does the antimicrobial stewardship committee produce regularly [indicate minimum time] a dedicated report which includes e.g. antimicrobial use data and/or prescription improvement initiatives, with time-committed short term and long term measurable goals/targets for optimising antimicrobial use?

Checklist item 2.7:

Is there a document clearly defining the procedures of collaboration of the antimicrobial stewardship team/committee with the infection prevention and control team/committee?

Box 3. Core element 3: Available expertise on infection management

Checklist item 3.1:

Do you have access to laboratory/imaging services and to timely results to be able to support the diagnosis of the most common infections at your hospital?

Accompanying comment: A separate checklist on laboratory capacity and presence of quality assurance should be developed at national/international level. [49,57] These services can be onsite or not.

Checklist item 3.2:

In your hospital are there, or do you have access to, trained and experienced healthcare professionals (medical doctor, pharmacist, nurse ...) in infection management (diagnosis, prevention and treatment) & stewardship willing to constitute an antimicrobial stewardship team?

413 Box 4. Core element 4: Education & practical training

Checklist item 4.1:

Does your hospital offer a range of educational resources to support staff training on how to optimise antimicrobial prescribing?

Accompanying **comment**: These resources can be developed locally or not, and can use multiple formats.

Checklist item 4.2:

Do the antimicrobial stewardship team members receive regular training in antimicrobial prescribing and stewardship?

Accompanying comment: This training is usually not offered at the hospital level, but likely to be at a regional, national or international level. The hospital should however ensure that members of the antimicrobial stewardship team are adequately trained, according to local/regional/national requirements.

Box 5. Core element 5: Other actions aiming at responsible antimicrobial use

Checklist item 5.1:

Is a multidisciplinary antimicrobial stewardship team available at your hospital (e.g., greater than one trained staff member supporting clinical decisions to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use)?

Checklist item 5.2:

Does your hospital support the antimicrobial stewardship activities/strategy with adequate information technology services?

Accompanying comment: The level of requirement needs to be defined at local/regional/national level. This could include, for example, measurement of antimicrobial use.

Checklist item 5.3:

Does your hospital have an antimicrobial formulary (i.e. a list of antimicrobials that have been approved for use in a hospital, specifying whether the drugs are unrestricted, restricted [approval of an antimicrobial stewardship team member is required] or permitted for specific conditions)?

Accompanying comment: This might be based on national recommendations, or the WHO Essential Medicines List.

Checklist item 5.4:

Does your hospital have available and up-to-date recommendations for infection management (diagnosis, prevention and treatment), based on international/national evidence-based guidelines and local susceptibility (when possible), to assist with antimicrobial selection (indication, agent, dose, route, duration) for common clinical conditions?

Checklist item 5.5:

Does your hospital have a written policy that requires prescribers to document an antimicrobial plan (includes indication, name, dosage, duration, route and interval of administration) in the medical record or during order entry for all antimicrobial prescriptions?

Checklist item 5.6:

Does the antimicrobial stewardship team review/audit courses of therapy for specified antimicrobial agents or clinical conditions at your hospital?

Checklist item 5.7:

Is advice from antimicrobial stewardship team members easily available to prescribers?

Checklist item 5.8:

Are there regular infection and antimicrobial prescribing focused ward rounds in specific departments in your hospital?

Box 6. Core element 6: Monitoring & surveillance (on a continuous basis)

Checklist item 6.1:

Does your hospital monitor the quality of antimicrobial use at the unit and/or hospital wide level?

Accompanying comment: This can be done for example by undertaking point prevalence surveys or audits, assessing appropriateness of infection management and antimicrobial prescription (e.g. indication, choice and duration of antibiotic therapy in pneumonia or surgical prophylaxis according to policy/guidance).

Checklist item 6.2:

Does your stewardship programme monitor compliance with one or more of the specific interventions put in place by the stewardship team (e.g. indication captured in the medical record for all antimicrobial prescriptions)?

Checklist item 6.3:

Does your hospital monitor antibiotic susceptibility rates for a range of key bacteria?

Checklist item 6.4:

Does your hospital monitor the quantity of antimicrobials prescribed/dispensed/purchased at the unit and/or hospital wide level?

Box 7. Core element 7: Reporting & feedback (on a continuous basis)

Accompanying comment: All these reports should also be shared with the hospital management leadership.

Checklist item 7.1:

Does your stewardship programme share hospital-specific reports on the quantity of antimicrobials prescribed/dispensed/purchased with prescribers?

Checklist item 7.2:

Does your stewardship programme share facility-specific reports on antibiotic susceptibility rates with prescribers?

Checklist item 7.3:

Are results of audits/reviews of the quality/appropriateness of antimicrobial use communicated directly with prescribers?

Figure 1. The Delphi consensus procedure: Flow chart

421

