

(Hemi)Continuity of Additive Preference Preorders

Georgios Gerasimou

School of Economics & Finance, University of St Andrews, KY16 9AL, St Andrews, UK

Abstract

It is shown that the two common notions of topological continuity for preference preorders, which require closed contour sets and a closed graph respectively, are equivalent even when completeness is not assumed, provided that the domain is a normed linear space or a topological group and the preorder is additive.

Keywords: Incompleteness, continuity, hemicontinuity, additivity, independence, homotheticity

JEL: C65, D01, D11,

1. Introduction

In all theoretical work in economics where the aim is to provide a continuous utility, weak utility or multi-utility representation of a preference preorder, it is of interest to ensure that the topology on the preference domain is in a natural sense compatible with the preorder. This can be achieved by assuming that the latter is either *continuous*, in the sense that

Email address: gg26@st-andrews.ac.uk (Georgios Gerasimou)

it is closed as a subset of the product space, or *hemicontinuous*, in the sense that the upper and lower contour sets of the preorder are closed for every element of the domain.

It is well-known that if the preorder is *complete* so that any two elements are preference-comparable, then continuity and hemicontinuity are equivalent (Ward, 1954; Bridges and Mehta, 1995). When the preorder is not complete, however, continuity is generally stronger than hemicontinuity. A general characterization of the additional structure that continuity imposes in this more general setting is provided in Gerasimou (2013). However, it seems to be unknown at present whether mild conditions on the preference relation and/or on its domain suffice for the equivalence between the two topological properties to be restored in the context where completeness is not assumed.

The contribution of this paper is to show that continuity and hemicontinuity are equivalent when the domain is a normed vector space or a topological group and the preorder is additive. In the former case where the space has a linear structure, additivity is shown to be satisfied if the preorder is homothetic and also obeys the independence axiom (in fact, it is shown that additivity and independence are equivalent under a weak notion of homotheticity). Despite the well-known descriptive shortcomings of these axioms, they are all essential, for instance, in modelling individuals who maximize subjective expected *value* in the sense of de Finetti (1937)¹, even when completeness is not assumed (see Ghirardato et al. 2004).

With regard to the relevant literature, two recent papers on the problem of identifying the way in which different notions of preference continuity are

¹See also Chapter 10 in Gilboa (2009).

logically related and whether they become equivalent under certain conditions are Karni (2007) and Gilboa et al. (2010). Karni (2007) studied the relationship between Archimedean and mixture continuity for a complete preorder that is defined on a probability simplex. He found a condition, called “local mixture dominance”, which, jointly with Archimedean continuity, characterizes mixture continuity. Moreover, in the context of preferences over Anscombe-Aumann acts, Gilboa et al (2010, Lemma 3) proved that a possibly incomplete preorder that satisfies monotonicity and independence is continuous in the above sense if and only if it is mixture-continuous, provided a technical domain restriction is satisfied.

2. Preliminaries

A *preordered topological space* (X, τ, \succsim) consists of a set X , a topology τ and a reflexive and transitive relation \succsim on X . I will write (X, \succsim) or simply X and refer to it as a *preordered space*. If the sets $U(x) := \{y \in X : y \succsim x\}$ and $L(x) := \{y \in X : x \succsim y\}$ are closed for some $x \in X$, then the preorder is *upper-* and *lower-hemicontinuous* at x , respectively. A preordered space X is *hemicontinuously preordered* if the sets $U(x)$ and $L(x)$ are closed for all $x \in X$. It is *continuously preordered* if \succsim is closed as a subset of the product space $X \times X$. The complement of a preorder \succsim in $X \times X$ is denoted by $\not\succsim$. The complement of a set $A \subset X$ is denoted by A^c .

The first example below shows a preorder that is hemicontinuous but not continuous. It was suggested to me by Ettore Minguzzi (Florence).

Example 1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with its natural topology. Define the relation \succsim on

X by

$$x \succsim y \iff \begin{cases} x = y \\ \text{or} \\ y < -1 \text{ and } x = -y \end{cases}$$

The relation \succsim is clearly reflexive and antisymmetric. It is also trivially transitive (if $x \succ y$, then $y \not\prec z$ for all $z \in X$). Hence, it is a partial order. By definition, $U(x) = \{x, -x\}$ for all $x < -1$ and $U(x) = \{x\}$ for all $x \geq -1$. Moreover, $L(x) = \{x\}$ for all $x \leq 1$ and $L(x) = \{x, -x\}$ for all $x > 1$. Thus, \succsim is hemicontinuous. Now define the sequences (x_n) , (y_n) in X by $x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ and $y_n = -1 - \frac{1}{n}$. It holds that $x_n \succsim y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n \rightarrow x = 1$, $y_n \rightarrow y = -1$ and $x \not\prec y$. Hence, \succsim is not continuous.

The next example features a preorder on a probability simplex which also fails to be continuous despite being hemicontinuous.

Example 2. Let $X = \{(p^1, p^2, p^3) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 : p^1 + p^2 + p^3 = 1\}$ with the induced topology. For $p = (p^1, p^2, p^3) \in X$, let $p' \in X$ be defined by $p' = (p^3, p^2, p^1)$. Define the relation \succsim on X by

$$p \succsim q \iff \begin{cases} p = q \\ \text{or} \\ q = p' \text{ and } p^3 > \frac{2}{3} \end{cases}$$

This relation is clearly reflexive. Moreover, if $p \succsim q$ and $p \neq q$, then $q = p'$ holds by construction, and there is no $r \in X$ such that $q \succsim r$ and $q \neq r$. Indeed, suppose the latter is not true. Then, $q^3 > \frac{2}{3}$ and $r = q' = p$, because $q = p'$ implies $q' = p$. But since $q' = p \in X$, $q^3 > \frac{2}{3}$ implies $q'^3 = p^3 < \frac{2}{3}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, \succsim is trivially transitive. Finally, the

previous argument also establishes that \succsim is antisymmetric, and hence a partial order.

From the above definition of \succsim we have that, for all $q \in X$, $U(q) = \{q\}$ if $q^1 \leq \frac{2}{3}$, $U(q) = \{q, q'\}$ if $q^1 > \frac{2}{3}$, $L(q) = \{q\}$ if $q^3 \leq \frac{2}{3}$ and $L(q) = \{q, q'\}$ if $q^3 > \frac{2}{3}$. These sets are closed for all $q \in X$ and therefore \succsim is hemicontinuous. Let $(p_n), (q_n)$ be sequences in X defined by

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= \left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{6n}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6n} \right) \\ q_n &= \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6n}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{6n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $p_n \succsim q_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_n \rightarrow (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3})$, $q_n \rightarrow (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6})$, and $p \not\sucsim q$. Thus, \succsim is not continuous.

3. Main Result

If the preference domain X is a vector space, then a preorder \succsim on X is *additive* if $x \succsim y$ implies $x + z \succsim y + z$ for all $z \in X$. The behavioural implications (particularly in relation to risk neutrality) of additivity in the context of choice under uncertainty are discussed in detail in Gilboa (2009).

The paper's main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *Suppose (X, \succsim) is a preordered normed vector space and \succsim is additive. The following are equivalent.*

- (a) \succsim is upper- or lower-hemicontinuous at 0.
- (b) \succsim is hemicontinuous.
- (c) \succsim is continuous.

Proof. It is obvious that (c) implies (a). It will be shown that (a) implies (b) implies (c).

(a) \Rightarrow (b). Without loss of generality, assume that \succsim is upper-hemicontinuous at 0. Suppose (y_n) is a sequence in X such that $0 \succsim y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since X is a vector space and $y_n \in X$, it follows that $-y_n \in X$. Since \succsim is additive, $0 \succsim y_n$ is equivalent to $-y_n \succsim 0$ for all n . Suppose $y_n \rightarrow y$. Since $U(0)$ is closed and $-y_n \rightarrow -y$ it follows that $-y \succsim 0$, or $0 \succsim y$. Hence, $L(0)$ is also closed.

Now consider some arbitrary $x \in X$. Suppose (y_n) is a sequence satisfying $y_n \succsim x$ for all n , and let $y_n \rightarrow y$. It holds that $y_n - x \succsim 0$ for all n . Since $y_n - x \rightarrow y - x$, it follows from the above that $y - x \succsim 0$ or, equivalently, $y \succsim x$. Thus $U(x)$ is closed. A symmetric argument shows that $L(x)$ is closed too.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Suppose X is normed by $\|\cdot\|$ and let the topology on X be generated by the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ that is induced by this norm. Suppose $x \not\prec y$. From hemicontinuity, the sets $L(x)^c$ and $U(y)^c$ are open. Hence, $x \not\prec y$ implies there are open balls $B_{\epsilon_x}(x)$ and $B_{\epsilon_y}(y)$ such that $x' \not\prec y$ and $x \not\prec y'$ for all $x' \in B_{\epsilon_x}(x)$ and all $y' \in B_{\epsilon_y}(y)$, respectively. Define $\epsilon := \min\{\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y\}$. It holds that

$$x \not\prec y' \quad \text{and} \quad x' \not\prec y \quad \forall x' \in B_\epsilon(x), y' \in B_\epsilon(y). \quad (1)$$

Now consider the distance $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and suppose, per contra, that $B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(x) \times B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(y) \not\subset \succsim$. Then, there exist $x' \in B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(x)$ and $y' \in B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(y)$ such that $x' \not\prec y'$. Let $v := x - x'$. By assumption, $v \in X$. Moreover, since \succsim is additive, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x' \not\prec y' &\implies x' + v \not\prec y' + v \\ &\implies x \not\prec y' + x - x'. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

From the triangle inequality we get

$$d(y, y' + x - x') = \|y - y' - x + x'\| \leq \|y - y'\| + \|x' - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \quad (3)$$

It follows from (3) that $y' + x - x' \in B_\epsilon(y)$ and therefore, from (1), that $x \not\prec y' + x - x'$. But this contradicts (2). Therefore, $x \not\prec y$ implies that an open neighborhood of (x, y) can be found that is contained in $\not\prec$. Hence, $\not\prec$ has an open graph, or, equivalently, \succsim is continuous. \square

Remark 1. *The Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with the usual partial ordering \geq is an example of a normed vector space with an additive hemicontinuous preorder. As is well-known, \geq is also continuous. On the other hand, the hemicontinuous but not continuous partial order in Example 1 is defined on a normed vector space but fails to be additive (e.g. $2 \succsim -2$ but $2 + 1 = 3 \not\prec -1 = -2 + 1$), whereas the one in Example 2 is not defined on a normed vector space and, by construction, is not additive either.*

The logical relationship between additivity and some other well-known preference axioms is studied next. Recall first that a preorder \succsim on X is *affine* if $x \succsim y$ implies $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)z \succsim \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)z$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and all $z \in X$, and *homothetic* if $x \succsim y$ implies $\alpha x \succsim \alpha y$ for all $\alpha > 0$. I will refer to \succsim as *lower-homothetic* if $x \succsim y$ implies $\alpha x \succsim \alpha y$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Claim 2. *A lower-homothetic preorder \succsim on a vector space X is affine if and only if it is additive.*

Proof. Assume first that \succsim is lower-homothetic and affine, and suppose $x \succsim y$. It holds that $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)z \succsim \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)z$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and all $z \in X$. Since \succsim is lower-homothetic, $\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}x + z \succsim \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}y + z$. When $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, this is equivalent to $x + z \succsim y + z$.

Conversely, assume that \succsim is lower-homothetic and additive, and let $x \succsim y$. Consider $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. From lower-homotheticity, $\alpha x \succsim \alpha y$. From additivity, $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)z \succsim \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)z$. \square

Remark 2. Consider a convex cone C in a topological vector space X , i.e. a convex subset of X with the property that $x \in C$ implies $\lambda x \in C$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$. The cone C induces a preorder \succsim on X by $x \succsim y$ if and only if $x - y \in C$. Here, C coincides with the upper-contour set $U(0)$ of \succsim . It is well-known that this preorder \succsim is continuous if and only if C is closed (see pp. 19-20 in Wong and Ng (1973)).² Theorem 1 relaxes the conditions on \succsim in this result by not requiring $U(0)$ to be a convex cone, while retaining additivity. Therefore, \succsim is not assumed to be convex or homothetic (and hence, in view of Claim 2, not affine either).

As already noted, a context where a possibly incomplete preference preorder satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 (in fact, all three conditions in the statement of Claim 2) is that of subjective expected value with incomplete preferences. Such a representation is given in Proposition A.2 in Ghirardato et al. (2004). There, the agent is portrayed as having incomplete preferences over monetary bets as well as a set of priors over the states of the world, and to weakly prefer one bet over another if and only if it yields a weakly higher expected value according to each prior (see also Theorem 1 in Bewley (2002) for a strict-preference analogue of this result). Although full continuity was assumed directly in Ghirardato et al. (2004), in light of Theorem 1 this can be replaced by the weaker notion of hemicontinuity

²I thank a reviewer for this reference.

or even upper- or lower-hemicontinuity at the origin, at least whenever the domain of acts is a linear space.

Finally, as is well-known since Schmeidler (1971) and, more recently, Dubra (2011), when sufficiently strong continuity notions are imposed on a preorder that is defined on some suitably rich domain, the preorder is actually *complete*. However, as remarked above with the example of the usual partial ordering, there also exist continuous preorders that are additive as well as convex and homothetic which are, in fact, *incomplete*. Therefore, the interaction of additivity and (hemi)continuity is not sufficiently strong to imply completeness.

4. Extension to Topological Groups

The proof of Theorem 1 that was given above did not make use of the fact that linear spaces are closed under the operation of scalar multiplication. This suggests the possibility that the essence of the result extends to topological groups, where this structure is not imposed. To this end, let (G, \succsim) be a preordered topological group, with $1 \in G$ the identity element of the group. That is, $1 \in G$ is the unique element with the property that, for all $a \in G$, the equation $1a = a1 = a$ holds.

In this context, the preorder \succsim is additive if $x \succsim y$ implies $xz \succsim yz$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. The following extension of Theorem 1 was suggested to me by Hans-Peter Künzi (Cape Town).

Theorem 3. *Suppose (G, \succsim) is a preordered topological group and \succsim is additive. The following are equivalent:*

(a) \succsim is upper- or lower-hemicontinuous at 1.

(b) \succsim is continuous.

(c) \succsim is hemicontinuous.

Proof. It is clearly true that (b) implies (c) and (c) implies (a). It will be shown that (a) implies (b). Without loss of generality, let \succsim be upper-hemicontinuous at 1. Suppose that $(x_d, y_d)_{d \in D}$ is a net converging to $(x, y) \in G \times G$, and that $x_d \succsim y_d$ for all $d \in D$. Since G is a group, $wz^{-1} \in G$ for all $w, z \in G$. Thus, $x_d y_d^{-1} \in G$ and $x_d y_d^{-1} \succsim 1$ for all $d \in D$. Since $U(1)$ is closed and $(x_d y_d^{-1}) \rightarrow xy^{-1}$ because G is a topological group, it follows that $xy^{-1} \succsim 1$, or $x \succsim y$. Thus, \succsim is continuous. \square

With regard to some related literature on topological groups, the reader is referred to Candeal-Haro and Indurain-Eraso (1992) for a weak utility representation of a *partial order* on such domains.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Ettore Minguzzi for showing me Example 1 which uncovered an important error in a previous version of the paper. I also thank Hans-Peter Künzi for showing me that Theorem 1 can be extended to topological groups, and two referees for suggestions that led to improvements in the paper. Any errors remaining are my own.

References

- Bewley, T. F., 2002. Knightian decision theory. Part I. *Decisions in Economics and Finance* 25, 79–110, (First version: 1986).
- Bridges, D. S., Mehta, G. B., 1995. Representations of preference orderings. *Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems* 422. Berlin: Springer.
- Candeal-Haro, J. C., Indurain-Eraso, E., 1992. Utility functions on partially ordered topological groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 115, 765–767.

- de Finetti, B., 1937. La prévision: Ses lois logiques, ses sources subjectives. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré* 7, 1–68.
- Dubra, J., 2011. Continuity and completeness under risk. *Mathematical Social Sciences* 61, 80–81.
- Gerasimou, G., 2013. On continuity of incomplete preferences. *Social Choice and Welfare* 41, 157–167.
- Ghirardato, P., Maccheroni, F., Marinacci, M., 2004. Differentiating ambiguity and ambiguity attitude. *Journal of Economic Theory* 118, 133–173.
- Gilboa, I., 2009. *Theory of Decision under Uncertainty*. Econometric Society Monographs 45. Cambridge University Press.
- Gilboa, I., Maccheroni, F., Marinacci, M., Schmeidler, D., 2010. Objective and subjective rationality in a multiple prior model. *Econometrica* 78, 755–770.
- Karni, E., 2007. Archimedean and continuity. *Mathematical Social Sciences* 53, 332–334.
- Schmeidler, D., 1971. A condition for the completeness of partial preference relations. *Econometrica* 39, 403–404.
- Ward, L. E., 1954. Partially ordered topological spaces. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 5, 144–161.
- Wong, Y.-C., Ng, K.-F., 1973. *Partially ordered topological vector spaces*. Oxford: Clarendon.