



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: *Integrative Zoology*

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa39334

Paper:

Cole, E., Waggitt, J., Hedenstrom, A., Piano, M., Holton, M., Börger, L. & Shepard, E. (2018). The Ornithodolite as a tool to quantify animal space use and habitat selection; a case study with birds diving in tidal waters. *Integrative Zoology*

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12327

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

1	The Ornithodolite as a tool to quantify animal space use and habitat selection; a case				
2	study with birds diving in tidal waters				
3					
4	Emma-Louise Cole ¹ , James J Waggitt ² , Anders Hedenstrom ³ , Marco Piano ⁴ , Mark D.				
5	Holton ¹ , Luca Börger ¹ , Emily L. C. Shepard ¹ *				
6					
7	1. Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK				
8	2. School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge LL59 5AB, UK				
9	3. Department of Biology, Lund University, Ecology Building, 223 62 Lund, Sweden				
10	4. Centre for Applied Marine Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge LL59 5AB, UK				
11					
12	*Corresponding author: e.l.c.shepard@swansea.ac.uk				
13					
14	Abstract				
15	Animal-attached technologies can be powerful means to quantify space-use and				
16	behaviour, however, there are also ethical implications associated with capturing and				
17	instrumenting animals. Furthermore, tagging approaches are not necessarily well-				
18	suited for examining the movements of multiple individuals within specific, local areas				
19	of interest. Here, we assess a method of quantifying animal space use based on a				
20	modified theodolite with an inbuilt laser rangefinder. Using a database of > $4,200$				
21	tracks of migrating birds, we show that detection distance increases with bird body				

22 mass (range 5 g - >10 kg). The maximum distance recorded to a bird was 5500 m and

23 measurement error was \leq 5 m for targets within this distance range; a level comparable to methods such as GPS tagging. We go on to present a case study where 24 25 this method was used to assess habitat selection in seabirds operating in dynamic coastal waters close to a tidal turbine. Combining positional data with outputs from a 26 27 hydrographic model revealed that great cormorants (Phalacrocorax carbo) appeared to 28 be highly selective of current characteristics in space and time; exploiting areas where mean current speeds were < 0.8 m s⁻¹, and diving at times when turbulent energy 29 30 levels were low. These birds also orientated into tidal currents during dives. Taken 31 together, this suggests that collision risks are low for cormorants at this site, as the two 32 conditions avoided by cormorants (high mean current speeds and turbulence levels), are associated with operational tidal turbines. Overall, we suggest that this modified 33 34 theodolite system is well-suited to the quantification of movement in small areas associated with particular development strategies, including sustainable energy 35 36 devices.

37

38 **Keywords:** GPS, movement ecology, seabird, tidal turbine, habitat use

39

41 **Competing interests:** We have no competing interests.

42

43 Acknowledgements

44 E. C. and M. P. were funded through Swansea and Bangor University SEACAMS (Sustainable Expansion of the Applied Coastal and Marine Sectors) and SEACAMS 2 45 Project (Operation number 80860), part funded by the European Regional 46 47 Development Fund (ERDF) from the Welsh European Funding Office (WEFO). Tidal 48 model simulations were made possible using Supercomputing (formerly High Performance Computing (HPC)) Wales; a collaboration between Welsh Universities, 49 the Welsh Government and Fujitsu. Data was kindly provided by the British 50 51 Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC), United Kingdom Hydrographic Office (UKHO) and 52 EDINA Marine Digimap Service. J.J.W is supported through the Marine Ecosystems 53 Research Programme (MERP: NE/ L003201/1) which is funded by NERC/DEFRA. The Ramsey Sound study formed part of a collaborative R&D project between Marine 54 Energy Wales and Swansea University SEACAMS 2 (SC2-R&D-S10). A Royal Society 55 56 Research Grant, awarded to E.L.C.S. (RG130312), was used to purchase the 57 Ornithodolite equipment. We would like to thank Sophie De Grissac for her help with R source code for producing the KDE mean current speed maps and would also like to 58 thank Jess Ware, Ewan Mortlock, Sam Rees, Chiara Bertelli and Matt Joyce of Swansea 59 University for their assistance with data collection in Ramsey Sound. A. H. is supported 60 by a grant from the Swedish Research Council (2016-0365) and is grateful to Susanne 61 Åkesson for field assistance. 62

63 Introduction

Electronic tagging can now be used to provide data on the spatial movements of 64 animals with sub-second temporal resolution (Ropert-Coudert & Wilson 2005). 65 Nonetheless, the size of loggers providing reasonably high frequency data for 66 67 significant durations (i.e. substantial battery life) means that the use of this technology is still limited to relatively large animals (Chittenden et al. 2009; Ropert-Coudert & 68 Wilson 2005; Wilson et al. 1986). The present recommendation followed by many 69 70 scientists, that the weight of the logger should not exceed 3 % of the weight of the 71 bird, is a contentious issue. Indeed, the only way to know that there are no deleterious 72 impacts would be to compare and evaluate the behaviour of "control" birds without 73 any device attached (Nicolaus et al., 2008). There are also ethical considerations 74 associated with the capture, handling and instrumentation of individuals (Wilson & McMahon 2006). In some cases, tags can affect the behavior of the individual and 75 76 hence influence the very measurements such devices are designed to make (Elliot 77 2016; Saraux et al. 2011; Stothart et al. 2016).

78

Beyond the ethical implications of instrumenting animals, biotelemetry may not be the best approach for addressing particular study questions. For instance, questions such as how animals operate with respect to specific developments may be concerned with the movements of large numbers of individuals, or even different taxa, in a relatively small area. In these cases, tagging may not be ideal, as tagged individuals may not

necessarily use the site of interest, or if they do, patterns of resource selection may be based on a low number of individuals, relative to the number using the site.

86

85

87 Eulerian, or static measurements, have also been important in quantifying animal 88 locations (Turchin 1998). Like tagging, the range and resolution of resulting data varies among the different techniques. Aerial surveys can provide accurate information on 89 90 the distribution of individuals over large areas (Camphuysen et al. 2004); however, the costs of this technique mean that few surveys tend to be run per study, limiting the 91 92 ability to monitor changes in space-use through time. This method also provides point counts rather than movement trajectories. Radar can provide vast, high resolution 93 94 datasets on space-use relative to a particular location, or series of connected locations 95 (Alerstam 1990; Chapman & Graber 1997; Eastwood 1967; Gauthreaux & Belser 2003; 96 Gürbüz et al., 2015) and it can also be used to derive movement trajectories. However, it is rarely possible to automate the identification of targets or even achieve 97 98 identification at all (McCann & Bell 2017). The data processing requirements (e.g. to 99 remove signal backscatter, from the movement of non-target objects) are also 100 substantial, although international initiatives such as the European Network for the 101 Radar surveillance of Animal Movement may lead to advances here (Alves et al. 2014).

102

103 Theodolites are instruments originally used for land surveying, and have also been 104 used for animal tracking (Bailey & Thompson 2006, Piersma et al. 1990). This approach 105 to animal tracking combines aspects of both Eulerian and Lagrangian methods, as 106 whilst it is place-based, individuals can be identified and, in some cases, selected 107 according to species or behavior. Individuals can also be followed, allowing users to 108 reconstruct movement tracks (Bailey & Thompson 2006). Theodolites are relatively 109 straightforward when it comes to the collection and processing of data (relative to 110 radar data, for instance). They can also provide locations with high accuracy and precision when compared to land-based or seagoing surveys that use grids to allocate 111 112 observations to geographic areas. Traditional theodolites measure azimuth and elevation angles and do not measure distance directly. If the height of the observer is 113 114 known, relative to the target, a single theodolite can be used to derive the 2D position of an object on a flat substrate (McCormack 1991). Otherwise, a dual theodolite 115 116 system is needed to derive the target's 3D position (Tucker & Schmidt-Koenig 1971). 117 Other non-invasive static methods like 3D video tracking can yield similar precision 118 with finer temporal resolution than theodolites (positional error of 3D video tracking can be a few centimetres at closer ranges) but these operate across ranges of up to a 119 120 few hundred metres (Cavagna et al. 2008; De Margerie et al. 2015; Evangelista et al. 121 2017).

122

Theodolites that incorporate a distance measure can be used to estimate a target's position accurately whether the animal is on the substrate or in flight (Wilson & Wilson 1988; Hedenstrom & Alerstam 1994; Piersma *et al.* 1990). Various researchers have developed the system further in order to estimate the airspeeds of flying animals. Double theodolite systems were first used to quantify airspeeds using triangulation of

horizontal and vertical angles to resolve distance and subsequently combining 128 129 positional data with measurements of wind speed (Tucker & Schmidt-Koenig 1971). This superseded previous methods where birds were followed by vehicles to estimate 130 131 ground speed (Michener & Walcott 1967). A further modification was proposed by Pennycuick (1982), who combined an anemometer and a coincidence rangefinder to 132 produce a single, portable system that could track objects in flight and estimate their 133 airspeed. This system is now based on a laser rangefinder incorporated in a pair of 134 Vector 21 binoculars (Pennycuick et al. 2013), which measure distances directly and 135 provide improved accuracy and precision. As this system was specifically developed to 136 quantify airspeed in birds, it is only very recently that it has been used to examine 137 138 animal distributions (Hedenström & Åkesson 2016; Shepard et al. 2016). We suggest 139 that this technique has potentially broad ecological applications, which have yet to be 140 fully realised. We note, however, that the incorporation of the laser range-finder 141 means that the system cannot get a return from the water surface or the smooth, 142 water-covered surfaces of most cetaceans.

143

In this study, we use the Vector Ornithodolite (hereafter, VOD) to examine the factors affecting the fine-scale space-use of seabirds operating in a highly dynamic tidal environment. Data were collected in Ramsey Sound, Pembrokeshire, UK, where a tidal turbine is currently installed but non-operational (Evans *et al.*, 2015). We use hydrodynamic numerical model simulations of current flows in the Sound to investigate the conditions that birds select during foraging. The utility and limitations of the equipment for the wider community of movement ecologists are also examined, specifically through the assessment of the measurement error and whether maximal detection distances vary according to body size. The latter was investigated using a large database of 4,284 positional fixes taken from birds during migration.

154

155 Methods

156 <u>System performance</u>

The workings of the VOD have been described in detail elsewhere in terms of the use 157 158 of this equipment for the measurement of animal location and airspeed (Pennycuick et 159 al. 2013), hence only a summary will be given here. The Vectronix USMC Vector 21 is a 160 pair of binoculars with an inbuilt laser rangefinder, digital compass (giving azimuth angle), and inclinometer, providing both inclination and azimuth angles (Vectronix[™] 161 162 2004). The user obtains co-ordinates of a target by pressing and releasing two buttons 163 when the target is between the cross-hairs in the view finder and positions are sent to 164 a laptop via a cable. In this study, a simple programme was written in Visual Basic 165 (Microsoft) to enable users to append information including species and behavior to 166 each set of co-ordinates.

167

The Vector measures distances from 5 m to over 10 km (VectronixTM 2004). The error associated with distance measurement must be ascertained by the user. We therefore used the following protocol to quantify this: Locations were taken to a fixed target, in this instance an area next to a prominent ledge, approximately 1 m² situated on Mumbles boat house (51°34'12.0"N 3°58'32.4"W) in Swansea Bay. Fixes were taken at increasing distances from 50 m to 5 km, with 10 fixes being taken at each of 12 distance intervals. Intervals were selected based on the ability to have a clear view of the target.

176

177 The ability to get returns from the laser (and hence record the target's co-ordinates) in 178 some cases, may be related to the target characteristics (i.e. size, color etc.) and the 179 experience of the observer. In order to examine how maximum distance varied in 180 relation to body size, multiple, sequential, locations of birds migrating past Ottenby 181 observatory, southern Sweden, were collected from 2012 to 2017. The methods are 182 detailed in full by Hedenström and Åkesson (2016). Each series of locations from an 183 individual bird is hereafter referred to as a 'run'. The furthest distance measurement 184 per run was selected for further analysis. We note that observers were not aiming to 185 get returns from the furthest targets they could observe and the resulting distances 186 are therefore only an indication of those that could be attained. Data were collected by experienced ornithologists, with one observer operating the VOD and the other 187 188 identifying birds using a telescope, although it is possible for a single person to operate the system using a telescope to identify distant targets where necessary. This approach 189 190 thus provides an insight into the distances that can be obtained where experience in 191 bird identification is not a limiting factor.

193 *Data analysis*

194 Generalised Linear Models were used to assess whether the maximum distances were affected by the mass, wingspan and flock size of the target, with the global model 195 196 including these terms and an interaction between body mass and flock size. As mass and wingspan are related, the residual variation from the allometric prediction of 197 wingspan was used in the model, with the predicted wingspan being taken as mass^{0.39} 198 199 for each of the 151 study species (Pennycuick 2008). Distance and body mass were 200 log10 transformed and regressions were run in base R (R core group 2017). Models 201 were compared using their AIC scores.

202

203 Space use within Ramsey Sound

204 Data collection took place in Ramsey Sound, Pembrokeshire, from a vantage point based near St Justinian 51°52'42.4"N 5°18'38.4"W, which provided views of the entire 205 Sound. Data collection began on the 24th April 2017 and included a total of 35 visits. 206 207 Surveys were conducted in periods of calm and dry weather with good visibility (i.e. 208 where the horizon remained visible), and for sea states of ≤ 2 on the Beaufort scale 209 (corresponding to wind speeds of $\leq 3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$). The locations of seabirds within the 210 Sound were recorded across the entire tidal cycle using the VOD. A full scan of the area 211 was completed every 15 minutes for a minimum session length of 4 hours and the tidal 212 state was noted (flood, ebb or slack water which occurred 2.5 hours after high and low water respectively). Locations were recorded for all birds observed within a scan, with 213 214 birds being identified to species level (distance permitting). Group size and behavior

were also recorded. If foraging behavior was observed, individuals were followed after the main scan in order to take positional fixes at the start and end points of individual dives. Care was taken to ensure the entire Sound was searched systematically during each 15-minute scan to reduce any spatial bias in sightings.

219

220 Azimuth, elevation angle and distance data for bird observations were subsequently 221 converted to latitude and longitude, using the observer's known GPS position. These 222 polar coordinates were then used to identify areas of high general use within the 223 Sound and areas specifically associated with foraging. Distributions were plotted using 224 fixed kernel density estimation (KDE) in the statistical analysis software R using the 225 packages 'ggmap' (Khale and Wickham 2016) and 'MASS' (Ripley et al. 2017). An 226 estimate of all-encompassing foraging range of great cormorants (Phalacrocorax 227 *carbo*), was provided by the 90% KDE contour (as the most frequent diving species).

228

To investigate how cormorants dived in relation to current vectors, the horizontal distance covered between the start and end points of a dive was calculated using the Haversine formula (Jenness 2011). The dive bearing was also calculated, assuming the bird followed a straight line from its start to end position (Wilson & Wilson 1988). The convention with axial data, such as those collected here, is to transform the bearings so they lie between 0 and 180°, calculate the mean, and finally back-transform to plot the data as a circle diagram (Cox 2001). These data were visualised using Oriana, which was also used to perform a Rayleigh's Z test to assess whether bearings conformed to auniform distribution (Kovach 2011).

238

The Telemac-2D (v7r2) open-source hydrodynamic ocean modelling software suite was 239 240 used to quantify spatial and temporal variation in current speed (m s⁻¹), turbulent 241 energy (J kg⁻¹) and water depth (m) within Ramsay Sound for the entire study period. 242 This model solves the depth integrated Saint-Venant free surface flow equations, 243 derived from the full Reynolds Averaged Navier Stokes (RANS) equations for 244 momentum and continuity (Hervouet 2007). The finite element unstructured mesh 245 varies from coarse (approximately 10 km at model boundaries) to fine (approximately 246 50 m around the North Wales coast) for a domain encompassing the Irish Sea (50°N to 56°N, 8°W to 3°W). Values of hydrodynamic conditions were provided at 247 248 approximately 300 m and 10-minute resolution in Ramsay Sound. Model simulations 249 are forced at domain boundaries with tidal harmonic constituents only and no other 250 influences to dynamics are considered. However, in shallow coastal regions were the water column remains well mixed, vertically homogenous velocities can be expected 251 above the bottom boundary layer. Therefore depth-averaged approximations provide 252 good estimation of flow characteristics. Full details of numerical model set up, 253 254 calibration and validation are detailed elsewhere (Piano et al. 2017; Piano et al. 2015).

255

To facilitate comparisons with the spatial and temporal distributions of dives, values of hydrodynamic conditions were transposed onto an orthogonal grid of 100 m resolution using kriging interpolation. Kriging was performed using the 'automap' package in R

(Hiemstra et al. 2009). The spatial distribution of dives was compared to that of mean 259 260 current speeds in Ramsay Sound. As tidal environments are broadly divisible into areas 261 of comparatively fast and slow mean current speeds (Benjamins et al. 2015, Waggitt et 262 al. 2017), such comparisons provide useful insights into general habitat-use. 263 Furthermore, as tidal stream turbines generally occupy areas of faster mean current 264 speeds (Fraenkel 2006), these comparisons would also identify the likelihood of 265 interactions between diving birds and installations (Waggitt & Scott 2014). The temporal distribution of dives across tidal states (ebb-flood) within persistently used 266 267 areas was also examined in relation to current speed, turbulent energy and depth. 268 These comparisons would identify the hydrodynamic conditions experienced by individuals during dives. Estimates of hydrodynamic conditions were extracted using 269 270 the mean coordinates of dives, which were highly aggregated.

271

272 Results

273 <u>System performance</u>

Variance in distance measurements increased with distance (Figure 1). The standard deviation was around 1-2 m for distances < 2 km and was close to 0.1% of distance measurements overall. Note that the error measured here reflects random deviation only. The overall accuracy given in the user manual is ± 1 m (VectronixTM 2004).

278

Over 4,200 runs were recorded for migrant birds in Sweden. These were filtered to obtain a "maximum distance" for each of the 151 species in the dataset. The smallest species recorded was a goldcrest (*Regulus regulus*) weighing ~ 6 g, and the maximum distance achieved for this species was 913 m. A whooper swan (*Cygnus cygnus*) with a weight of ~ 9 kg, was recorded 2,742 m from the observer, and the largest overall distance, obtained from a migrating flock of barnacle geese (*Branta leucopsis*), was 5,498 m. The majority of observations were from single birds, with 56 observations being from flocks of between 2 and an estimated 450 individuals.

287

288 Maximum distance was best explained by a model with bird body mass as the sole 289 explanatory variable (beta = 0.12, F=120.9, df=149, p<0.001, adj R² = 0.44) (Figure 2). A 290 model including both mass and the residual wingspan received equivalent support 291 (Δ AIC < 2), although wingspan had a low effect size and was non-significant (beta < 292 0.001, p = 0.4). The global model that also included flock size and the interaction 293 between flock size and body mass, showed that this interaction did not significantly 294 influence maximum distance (z =1.178, df =150, p>0.1) and neither did flock size in 295 isolation (z =1.531, df=150, p>0.1).

296

297 <u>Current selection within Ramsey Sound</u>

Seven seabird species were recorded in 140 hours of survey effort: common guillemot (*Uria aalge*), razorbill (*Alca torda*), European shag (*Phalacrocorax aristotelis*), Northern gannet (*Morus bassanus*), great black-backed gull (*Larus marinus*), lesser black-backed gull (*Larus argentatus*) and the great cormorant (Table 1). The majority of all bird locations were of individuals rafting or flying, these were not included in the analysis 303 (see supplementary information, S1). The cormorant was the only species with > 10 304 dives recorded across all surveys (n = 56). Birds avoided the main channel where mean 305 current speeds were > 1.5 m s⁻¹, preferring to both loaf and forage in relatively slack 306 waters, where mean current speeds were < 0.8 m s⁻¹ (Figure 3). Cormorants foraged 307 close to the mainland (0.1 - 0.7 km from the vantage point) in a highly restricted area 308 which is characterised by low current speeds (min = 0.29 m s⁻¹, max = 0.81 ms⁻¹, mean 309 = 0.48 ms⁻¹) and water depths of 24.5 - 26 m.

310

When it comes to the particular times that cormorants dived, over 80% of cormorant dives occurred 4 hours after high water or later, when tidal height was rising (Figure 4). There was no clear pattern when it came to the selection of current speeds, which varied from ~ 0.2 - 1.0 m s⁻¹ in this area across the tidal cycle (Figure 4). However, dive times did coincide with periods of falling turbulence, with over 80 % of dives occurring when the turbulence was < 0.02 J kg⁻¹ (with turbulence increasing up to a mean of 0.04 J kg⁻¹).

318

Dive bearings were not uniformly distributed (n= 40, Rayleigh's Z $_{5.503}$, p< 0.005) and the mean orientation (mean=168.8 ± 8.3°) was into the current (Figure 5). Birds also covered short distances during dives (mean = 44.5 m, median = 17.8 m, max = 261 m, min = 0.6 m) supporting the notion that birds are orientating into the flow. However, birds can be drifted backwards where swim speed is less than the current strength, effectively producing a bearing that is coincident with the current vector.

326 Discussion

327 <u>System performance</u>

328 Our results show that the standard deviation of distance measurements is 1-2 m within a 2 km range. The real 3D positional error for moving birds may be increased by 329 330 (i) systematic error of the laser distance measurement, and (ii) possible influences of target size and color (the latter would be difficult to test as this may vary depending on 331 whether the upper or lower surface of the wing is visible, which varies within the 332 wingbeat cycle). Errors are also likely in (iii) azimuth and inclination angles, in fact, 333 334 azimuth error is probably the main source of positioning error within the VOD. 335 Measuring these effects is beyond the scope of the present study, but we assume that 336 these additional sources of position error are of the same order of magnitude as the random error we measured for distance. Therefore, VOD positioning error is probably 337 338 comparable to what is generally accepted for GPS data, which is estimated to be in the 339 range of 3-28 m (Frair et al. 2010). However, while spatial error in tagging technology 340 can lead to the misrepresentation of behaviors in a scale-dependent manner 341 (Browning et al. 2017; Costa et al. 2010), animal locations can be coded according to 342 behavior (as well as species, age, and other factors that may be of interest) with the 343 VOD. The downside of the VOD is that it has relatively intensive requirements when it 344 comes to survey effort.

345

346 The "maximum" distance recorded to birds migrating past the Swedish coast increased 347 with bird body mass. This suggests that larger birds are detected more readily at

greater distances (the same may be true of larger flocks), which could lead to some 348 349 sampling bias in studies recording locations of smaller species. Although large flocks of birds may be detected by an observer earlier than individuals, this did not influence 350 351 the ability to obtain a fix using the VOD in our study. However, flocking may have more 352 complex effects on the ability to detect targets, for instance the type of flock formation 353 may influence detection ability: echelon formations may be easier for observers to 354 spot at distance as opposed to clustered flocks, and these flocking principles could also be affected by body mass. Larger species, such as geese and swans (Anatidae & Cygnus 355 356 sp.) tend to form echelon formations whilst smaller birds, like doves (Columbidae), form clusters. Our experiences during data collection also suggest that it can be 357 358 difficult to obtain a fix from species at the smaller end of the size spectrum, even when 359 they have been detected with optics and are within range. Nonetheless, a location was 360 obtained from the smallest species (5.5 g) when it was \sim 1 km from the observer and there were several instances where birds weighing 50 - 100 g were recorded \sim 2 km 361 362 away, demonstrating that small birds (including those too small to be tagged) can be detected and recorded at substantial distances. When it comes to the model 363 364 predictions of how the VOD generally performed, birds of 10, 100 and 1,000 g were 365 readily recorded at distances of 500, 1,000 and 2,000 m, respectively.

366

Spatial bias is well documented for land-based surveys, which use distance bands or grid systems for assessing the locations of birds foraging in near-shore tidal habitats (Waggitt *et al.* 2014; Waggitt *et al.* 2016a). Here, birds are less likely to be detected if 370 they forage further from the shore. It seems unlikely that this affected the results in 371 the present study, given that the full length of Ramsey Sound (1.9 km) is less than the 372 distance over which large birds such as seabirds can be detected and recorded (see S1 373 for a map of the raw data), and that surveys were conducted in periods of low swell 374 height. Therefore, while some of the limitations of shore-based surveys still apply to 375 the use of the VOD in a general sense, with both being based on the use of a telescope 376 and binoculars to scan for birds, we consider it unlikely that we have underestimated 377 the usage of fast flowing currents that lie further from the coastline.

378

Like GPS tagging and land-based surveys, the VOD can be affected by environmental conditions. The probability of detecting a target or getting a return with the VOD may be influenced by sea state and surface conditions (although these factors were not investigated directly here), and false returns can be given from fog or cloud, although spurious returns are easy to identify and remove. The system can also be affected by high winds that make the equipment unsteady to hold and difficult to obtain a fix on the target bird.

386

Many studies have discussed the potential impacts of bird capture and recapture and the deleterious effects of tags (Bennisson *et al.* 2017; Calvo & Furness 1992; Götmark 1992; Phillips *et al.* 2003; Vandenabeele *et al.* 2011; Wilson & Vandenabeele 2012). The VOD has advantages here, as it does not involve marking animals and in fact observers can be placed at a vantage point away from breeding colonies, thereby 392 reducing disturbance. The operational range of the system also far exceeds predicted flushing distances, which can be a factor in other surveys, including boat-based work 393 394 (Schwemmer et al. 2011). Finally, the VOD uses a laser tachometer to measure 395 distance. It seems unlikely that this could have adverse effects on target animals, as 396 medical literature citing retinal injuries from handheld laser devices indicates that risk 397 of injury is high if the primary light source is in the 'green' end of the light spectrum 398 and if pointed directly at the eye from less than one metre away (Luttrull & Hallisey 399 1999; Mainster et al. 2004; Wyrsch & Baenninger 2010).

400

401 <u>Habitat Selection</u>

402 Relatively few studies have quantified habitat use at very fine scales in seabirds (Holm 403 & Burger 2002; Waggitt et al.. 2016a; Waggitt et al.. 2016b; Zamon 2003). Here we 404 show that cormorants were highly selective in terms of both the area and the time of 405 the tidal cycle they chose to dive. Ramsey Sound experiences extreme tidal variation 406 with current speeds > 3.5 m s⁻¹ and strong eddy formation over the rocky reefs. 407 Cormorants dived in a highly localised area of the Sound, showing a general avoidance 408 of high current speeds in the main channel and areas of high turbulence caused by rocky reefs at 'the Bitches' and 'Bishop's and Clerks'. While we did not test whether 409 current speed was the ultimate driver of space-use, it seems likely that birds were 410 411 responding to low current speed, prey availability, or a combination of both these factors. This follows from the observation that birds were orientating into the current 412 during their dives, as has been hypothesised by previous studies (Gremillet et al., 1998; 413

Wilson & Wilson 1988), and travelling short distances. This pattern of diving repeatedly in the same place, suggests that cormorants are more likely to be foraging mid-water, as the rate at which benthic prey would be replenished by the changing tide would be negligible (Rahel 1988; Schneider & Piatt 1986). Furthermore, the sea bed in Ramsey Sound consists of gravel and hard rock which is less suitable for benthic fish species (Fischer 2000). It therefore seems likely that cormorants were targeting shoaling fish in highly specific areas of the Sound.

421

422 Pelagic fish tend to shoal in areas of minimal water turbulence where current speeds are relatively low (Cury & Roy, 1989; Fréon & Misund 1999), which generally accords 423 424 with the conditions that cormorants selected. As stated above, the area where birds 425 were diving was characterised by a relatively low current speed compared to the main 426 channel. Within this, and over the changing conditions of the tidal cycle, birds showed 427 less selectivity of current speed, diving over a reasonably wide range of available speeds, up to ~ 0.75 m s⁻¹, and only appearing to avoid the strongest currents of ~ 1 m 428 429 s⁻¹. What was striking, however, was the tendency to dive on the flood tide, which 430 appeared to be strongly related to turbulence levels, with birds selecting times of low 431 turbulence.

432

Overall therefore, when and where cormorants dive appears to be influenced by a hierarchy of factors operating in space and time. In contrast to these findings, Holm and Burger (2002) showed that pelagic cormorants (*Phalacrocorax pelagicus*) showed

no significant response to tidal height or current strength. In fact, individuals were 436 437 more likely to dive in areas of high turbulence within eddies (although values of current speed and turbulence coefficients are not known) (Sealy 1975). Whilst Waggitt 438 439 et al. (2017) found that European shags Phalacrocorax aristotellis were generally 440 associated with areas of low mean current strengths among five locations in Scotland, there were exceptions to this rule. These differences may well be driven by patterns of 441 442 prey availability, which can vary between sites and predator species. Nevertheless, this study agrees with a growing consensus that associations with areas of fast mean 443 444 currents are comparatively rare among UK cormorant species (Waggitt *et al.* 2017).

445

446 The need for detailed information on foraging patterns, including how tidal stream 447 features contribute to foraging success and the direction of travel in relation to 448 currents, has been highlighted in a recent review by Benjamins et al. (2015), as these factors will ultimately influence the likelihood of animals exploiting hydrodynamic 449 450 features. Such associations are important in advancing our understanding of the species and sites where collisions between seabirds and tidal turbines are most likely. 451 452 The risk of diving seabirds being pulled into the path of moving components of tidal 453 stream devices persists through either being i) passively dragged by strong currents or 454 by ii) birds actively foraging with the direction of the coincident current vector 455 (Benjamins et al.. 2015; Waggitt & Scott 2014). However, there are several indicators 456 that the tidal turbine may represent a relatively low risk to seabirds in Ramsey Sound. 457 The Sound appears to be used by relatively few seabirds, at least in the conditions

458 sampled during this study, despite the fact that around 2,000 pairs of auks breed on
459 Ramsey Island (Mitchell *et al.* 2004). Furthermore, seabirds tended not to use the main
460 channel, which has the greatest current speeds, making it most suitable for marine
461 energy (ME) installations (Mueller & Wallace 2008; Pelc & Fujita 2002; Piano *et al.*462 2017).

463

Cormorants have previously been identified as one of the species most at risk from 464 465 tidal turbine developments (Furness et al. 2012; Langton et al. 2011) due to their high usage of tidal races for foraging and their propensity to forage on benthic prey 466 (Furness et al. 2012; Garthe & Hüppop 2004). Cormorants were the birds most 467 468 commonly diving in Ramsey Sound, even though no cormorants are recorded as 469 breeding on Ramsey and the nearest sizeable colony (Thorn Island, 32 pairs) is located 25 km away (Mitchell et al. 2004). Therefore, the cormorants observed in our study 470 were likely to be non-breeding individuals or those choosing to forage some distance 471 472 from the main colony. These individuals may be exposed to lower risk of collision with tidal turbines than would have been predicted based on previous studies, due to their 473 474 tendency not only to forage in areas of low current strength, but also to cover far less 475 distance than is typical of cormorants diving in other areas (Holm & Burger, 2002; 476 Schneider & Piatt 1986). If these birds are avoiding areas of high turbulence, then this 477 would also tend to keep them away from the downstream end of operational turbines, 478 due to turbulence in the wake (Chen et al. 2015). However, further research is required to ascertain whether cormorants show a general avoidance of turbulence, or whetherthis represents a site, or individual-specific phenomenon.

481

482 In conclusion, we suggest that the VOD is a potentially valuable addition to the 483 armoury of tools being used to quantify animal responses to specific, small-scale anthropogenic impacts, such as renewable energy devices. The system provides 3-d 484 coordinates within a radius of several kilometres with a measurement error that is 485 commensurate with GPS tags. Though the initial start-up costs for the VOD are 486 487 relatively high (\$18,900 at the time of this study), there is no requirement to pay data subscriptions over the lifetime of the product or recover any technology from animals 488 489 to access data. The variety and quantity of data that can be collected mean that it is 490 likely to prove cost effective in the longer term, particularly when compared to animaborne tags, with each GPS tag costing \$70 - \$800 depending on the method of data 491 492 transmission and the hardware itself (Hebblewhite et al. 2007). The VOD system has 493 relatively low training requirements and simple post-processing of the resulting data, but above all, it represents a method of tracking animals that has little to no ethical 494 495 implications for the target animals. Finally, the ability to track even the smallest 496 passerines means that opportunities arise to assess how a wide range of animals may 497 respond to developments on land, as well as at sea, from patterns of land use to the installation of wind farms (Hedenström & Alerstam 1994; Piersma et al. 1990). 498

499 **References**

- Alerstam T, Lindström Å (1990). Optimal bird migration: the relative importance of
 time, energy, and safety. Bird migration: physiology and ecophysiology, 1st edn.
 Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Alves JA, Shamoun-Baranes J, Desmet P, Doktar A, Bauer S, Hüppop O, Koistinen J,
 Leijnse H, Liechti F (2014). Monitoring continent-wide aerial patterns of bird
 movements using weather radars. *Movement Ecology* 2(9).
- Bailey H, Thompson P (2006). Quantitative analysis of bottlenose dolphin movement
 patterns and their relationship with foraging. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **75**,
 456-465.
- Benjamins S, Dale AC, Hastie G, Waggitt JJ, Lea MA, Scott B, Wilson B (2015). Confusion
 reigns? A review of marine megafauna interactions with tidal-stream
 environments. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review* 53, 1-54.
- 512 Bennison A, Bearhop S, Bodey TW, Votier SC, Grecian WJ, Wakefield ED, Hamer KC, 513 Jessopp M (In Press). Search and foraging behaviors from movement data: A
- 514 comparison of methods. *Ecology and Evolution*
- 515 Browning E, Bolton M, Owen E, Shoji A, Guilford T, Freeman R (In Press). Predicting 516 animal behavior using deep learning: GPS data alone accurately predict diving 517 in seabirds. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*
- Calvo B, Furness RW (1992). A review of the use and the effects of marks and devices
 on birds. *Ringing & Migration* 13, 129-151.
- 520 Camphuysen CJ, Fox AD, Leopold MF, Petersen IK (2004). Towards Standardised

521 Seabirds at Sea Census Techniques in Connection with Environmental Impact 522 Assessments for Offshore Wind Farms in the UK: a comparison of ship and 523 aerial sampling methods for marine birds and their applicability to offshore 524 wind farm assessments. Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, 525 Netherlands, Texel.

- 526 Cavagna A, Cimarelli A, Giardina I, Orlandi A, Parisi G, Procaccini A, Santagati R, 527 Stefanini F (2008). New statistical tools for analyzing the structure of animal 528 groups. *Mathematical Biosciences* **214**, 32-37.
- 529 Chapman RD, Graber HC (1997). Validation of HF radar measurements. *Oceanography*530 **10**, 76-79.
- Chen Y, Lin B, Lin J, Wang S (2015). Effects of stream turbine array configuration on
 tidal current energy extraction near an island. Computers and *Geosciences* 77,
 20–28
- Chittenden CM, Butterworth KG, Cubitt KF, Jacobs MC, Ladouceur A, Welch DW,
 McKinley RS (2009). Maximum tag to body size ratios for an endangered coho
 salmon (*O. kisutch*) stock based on physiology and performance. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 84, 129-140.

538 Costa DP, Robinson PW, Arnould JP, Harrison AL, Simmons SE, Hassrick JL, Hoskins AJ,

- 539 Kirkman SP, Oosthuizen H, Villegas-Amtmann S, Crocker DE (2010). Accuracy of
- 540 ARGOS locations of pinnipeds at-sea estimated using Fastloc GPS. *PloS one* 5,

541 8677.

542 Cox N (2001). Analysing circular data in Stata. *NASUG, Boston, MA*.

543	Cury P, Roy C (1989). Optimal environmental window and pelagic fish recruitment
544	success in upwelling areas. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
545	46 . 670-680.

- 546 De Margerie E, Simonneau M, Caudal JP, Houdelier C, Lumineau S (2015). 3D tracking
- 547 of animals in the field using rotational stereo videography. *Journal of*
- 548 *Experimental Biology* **218**, 2496-2504.
- 549 Eastwood E (1967). *Radar ornithology*, 1st edn. Methuen & co, London.
- 550 Elliott KH (2016). Measurement of flying and diving metabolic rate in wild animals:
- review and recommendations. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 202, 63-77.
- Evangelista DJ, Ray DD, Raja SK, Hedrick TL (2017). Three-dimensional trajectories and
 network analyses of group behavior within chimney swift flocks during
 approaches to the roost. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*284, 2016-2602).
- 557 Evans P, Mason-Jones A, Wilson CAME, Wooldridge C, O'Doherty T, O'Doherty D
- (2015). Constraints on extractable power from energetic tidal straits. *Renewable Energy* 81, 707-722.
- 560 Fischer P (2000). Test of competitive interactions for space between two benthic fish
- 561 species, burbot *Lota lota*, and stone loach *Barbatula barbatula*. *Environmental*
- 562 Biology of Fishes **58**, 439-446.
- 563 Fraenkel PL (2006) Tidal current energy technologies. *Ibis* **148**, 145–151.
- 564 Frair JL, Fieberg J, Hebblewhite M, Cagnacci F, DeCesare NJ, Pedrotti L (2010).

- Resolving issues of imprecise and habitat-biased locations in ecological analyses
 using GPS telemetry data. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 365, 2187-2200.
- Fréon P, Misund OA (1999). *Dynamics of pelagic fish distribution and behaviour*, 1st
 edn. Fishing News Books, Oxford.
- Furness RW, Wade HM, Robbins AM, Masden EA (2012). Assessing the sensitivity of
 seabird populations to adverse effects from tidal stream turbines and wave
 energy devices. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 69, 1466-1479.
- Garthe S, Hüppop O (2004). Scaling possible adverse effects of marine wind farms on
 seabirds: developing and applying a vulnerability index. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 41, 724-734.
- 576 Gauthreaux Jr SA, Belser CG (2003). Radar ornithology and biological 577 conservation. *The Auk*, **120**(2), 266-277.
- Götmark F (1992). The effects of investigator disturbance on nesting birds. In *Current ornithology*. Springer US, New York, 63-104.
- 580 Gürbüz SZ, Reynolds DR, Koistinen J, Liechti F, Leijnse H, Shamoun-Baranes J, Dokter

581 AM, Kelly J, Chapman JW (2015). Exploring the skies: Technological challenges 582 in radar aeroecology. In *Radar Conference (RadarCon), 2015 IEEE*, 0817-0822

583 Hebblewhite M, Percy M, Merrill EH (2007). Are all global positioning system collars

- 584 created equal? Correcting habitat-induced bias using three brands in the 585 Central Canadian Rockies. *Journal of Wildlife Management* **71**, 2026-2033.
- 586 Hedenström A, Alerstam T (1994). Optimal climbing flight in migrating birds:

- 587 predictions and observations of knots and turnstones. Animal Behaviour 48, 47-
- 588 54.
- Hedenström A, Åkesson S (2016). Ecology of tern flight in relation to wind, topography
 and aerodynamic theory. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 371.
- Heath JP, Gilchrist HG (2010). When foraging becomes unprofitable: energetics of
 diving in tidal currents by common eiders wintering in the Arctic. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 403, 279-290.
- 595 Hiemstra PH, Pebesma EJ, Twenhofel CJW, Heuvelink GBM (2009). Real-time 596 automatic interpolation of ambient gamma dose rates from the Dutch 597 radioactivity monitoring network. *Computers & Geosciences* **35**, 1711–1721.
- 598 Hervouet JM (2007). *Hydrodynamics of free surface flows*, 1st edn. John Wiley and
- 599 Sons, London.
- 600 Holm KJ, Burger AE (2002). Foraging behavior and resource partitioning by diving birds
- 601 during winter in areas of strong tidal currents. *Waterbirds* **25**, 312-325.
- Hoover AL, Shillinger GL, Swiggs J, Bailey H (2017). Comparing Acoustic Tag
- Attachments Designed for Mobile Tracking of Hatchling Sea Turtles. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 4, 225.
- Jenness J (2011). *Tools for Graphics and Shapes*. Jenness Enterprises, USA.
- Kahle D, Wickham H (2013). ggmap: Spatial Visualization with ggplot2. *R Journal*, **5**.
- 607 Kovach WL (2011). *Oriana–circular statistics for windows, ver. 4.* Kovach Computing
- 608 Services, Pentraeth, Wales, UK.

- Langton R, Davies IM, Scott BE (2011). Seabird conservation and tidal stream and wave
- power generation: Information needs for predicting and managing potential
 impacts. *Marine Policy* **35**, 623-630.
- Luttrull JK, Hallisey J (1999). Laser pointer–induced macular injury. *American journal of*
- 613 *ophthalmology* **127**, 95-96.
- Mainster MA, Stuck BE, Brown J (2004). Assessment of alleged retinal laser injuries.
 Archives of ophthalmology 122, 1210-1217.
- 616 McCann DL, Bell PS (2017). Visualising the aspect-dependent radar cross section of
- 617 seabirds over a tidal energy test site using a commercial marine radar system.
- 618 International Journal of Marine Energy **17**, 56-63.
- 619 McCormac JC (1991). Surveying fundamentals. *Prentice Hall*.
- Michener MC, Walcott C (1967). Homing of single pigeons--analysis of tracks. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 47, 99-131.
- 622 Mitchell P, Newton S, Ratcliffe N, Dunn TE (2004). Seabird populations of Britain and
- 623 *Ireland*, 1st edn. T &AD Poyser, London, UK.
- 624 Mueller M, Wallace R (2008). Enabling science and technology for marine renewable 625 energy. *Energy Policy* **36**, 4376-4382.
- 626 Nicolaus M, Bouwman KM & Dingemanse NJ (2008). Effect of PIT tags on the survival
- and recruitment of great tits Parus major. *Ardea*, **96**(2), 286-292.
- 628 Patrick SC, Bearhop S, Grémillet D, Lescroël A, Grecian WJ, Bodey TW, Hamer KC,
- 629 Wakefield E, Le Nuz M, Votier SC (2014). Individual differences in searching

630 behaviour and spatial foraging consistency in a central place marine predator.

631 *Oikos* **123**, 33-40.

- Pelc R, Fujita RM (2002). Renewable energy from the ocean. *Marine Policy* 26, 471479.
- Pennycuick CJ (1982). The VOD: an instrument for collecting large samples of bird
 speed measurements. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 300, 61-73.

Pennycuick CJ, Åkesson S, Hedenström A (2013). Air speeds of migrating birds observed
 by VOD and compared with predictions from flight theory. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 10.

640 Piano M, Neill SP, Lewis MJ, Robins PE, Hashemi MR, Davies AG, Ward SL, Roberts MJ

641 (2017). Tidal stream resource assessment uncertainty due to flow asymmetry
642 and turbine yaw misalignment. *Renewable Energy* **114**, 1363-1375.

Piano M, Ward S, Robins P, Neill S, Lewis M, Davies AG, Powell B, Wyn-Owen A,

- Hashemi MR (2015). Characterizing the tidal energy resource of the West
 Anglesey Demonstration Zone (UK), using Telemac-2D and field observations. In *XXII Telemac and Mascaret User Club Conference*. Daresbury, UK.
- Piersma T, Zwarts L, Bruggemann JH (1990). Behavioural aspects of the departure of
 waders before long-distance flights: flocking, vocalizations, flight paths and
 diurnal timing. *Ardea* 78, 157-184.
- Phillips RA, Xavier JC, Croxall JP (2003). Effects of satellite transmitters on albatrosses
 and petrels. *The Auk* **120**, 1082-1090.

- 652 R Core Team (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
- 653 *Foundation for Statistical Computing*, Vienna, Austria. URL 654 <u>https://www.Rproject.org/</u>.
- 655 Rahel FJ, Stein RA (1988). Complex predator-prey interactions and predator 656 intimidation among crayfish, piscivorous fish, and small benthic
- 657 fish. *Oecologia* **75**, 94-98.
- Ripley B (2015). MASS: Support functions and datasets for Venables and Ripley's MASS.
 R package version 7.3-40.
- 660 Ropert-Coudert Y, Wilson RP (2005). Trends and perspectives in animal-attached 661 remote sensing. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **3**, 437-444.
- 662 Saraux C, Le Bohec C, Durant JM, Viblanc VA, Gauthier-Clerc M, Beaune D, Le Maho Y
- 663 (2011). Reliability of flipper-banded penguins as indicators of climate change.
 664 *Nature*, **469**(7329), 203.
- Schneider DC, Piatt JF (1986). Scale-dependent correlation of seabirds with schooling
 fish in a coastal ecosystem. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 237-246.
- 667 Schwemmer P, Mendel B, Sonntag N, Dierschke V, Garthe S (2011). Effects of ship
- 668 traffic on seabirds in offshore waters: implications for marine conservation and
- spatial planning. *Ecological Applications* **21**, 1851-1860.
- 670 Sealy SG (1975). Feeding ecology of the ancient and marbled murrelets near Langara
- Island, British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **53**, 418-433.

- Shepard EL, Williamson C, Windsor SP (2016). Fine-scale flight strategies of gulls in
 urban airflows indicate risk and reward in city living. *Philosophical Transactions*of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, **371**.
- 675 Stein JE, Collier TK, Reichert WL, Casillas E, Hom T, Varanasi U (1992). Bioindicators of
- 676 contaminant exposure and sub-lethal effects: studies with benthic fish in Puget

677 Sound, Washington. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **11**, 701-714.

- Stothart MR, Elliott KH, Wood T, Hatch SA, Speakman JR (2016). Counting calories in
 cormorants: dynamic body acceleration predicts daily energy expenditure
 measured in pelagic cormorants. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 219,
 21922200.
- Tucker VA, Schmidt-Koenig K (1971). Flight speeds of birds in relation to energetics and
 wind directions. *The Auk* 88, 97-107.

Turchin P (1998). *Quantitative analysis of movement*. Sinauer assoc., Sunderland, UK.

685 Vandenabeele SP, Wilson RP, Grogan A (2011). Tags on seabirds: how seriously are

- 686 instrument-induced behaviours considered? *Animal Welfare-The*687 *UFAW Journal* 20, 559.
- 688 Vectronix (2004). *Vector operating and operator maintenance instructions*, version 0.3.
 689 Heerbrugg, Switzerland.

690 Waggitt JJ, Scott BE (2014). Using a spatial overlap approach to estimate the risk of 691 collisions between deep diving seabirds and tidal stream turbines: A review of

692 potential methods and approaches. *Marine Policy* **44**, 90-97.

- Waggitt JJ, Bell PS, Scott BE (2014). An evaluation of the use of shore-based surveys for
 estimating spatial overlap between deep-diving seabirds and tidal stream
 turbines. International Journal of Marine Energy 8, 36–49.
- Waggitt JJ, Cazenave PW, Torres R, Williamson BJ, Scott BE (2016a). Quantifying
 pursuit-diving seabirds' associations with fine-scale physical features in tidal
 stream environments. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 53, 1653-1666.
- Waggitt JJ, Cazenave P, Torres R, Williamson BJ, Scott BE (2016b). Predictable
 hydrodynamic conditions explain temporal variations in the density of benthic
 foraging seabirds in a tidal stream environment. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **702 73**, 2677–2686.
- Wickham H (2011). ggplot2. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics 3,
 180-185.
- Wilson RP, Grant WS, Duffy DC (1986). Recording devices on free-ranging marine
 animals: does measurement affect foraging performance? *Ecology* 67,
 10911093.
- Wilson RP, Wilson MPT (1988). Foraging behaviour in four sympatric cormorants. *The Journal of Animal Ecology* 57, 943-955.
- Wilson RP, Vandenabeele SP (2012). Technological innovation in archival tags used in
 seabird research. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 451, 245-262.
- 712 Wilson RP, McMahon CR (2006). Measuring devices on wild animals: what constitutes
- acceptable practice? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **4**, 147-154.

- Wyrsch S, Baenninger PB, Schmid MK (2010). Retinal injuries from a handheld laser
 pointer. *New England journal of medicine* 363, 1089-1091.
- 716 Zamon JE (2003). Mixed species aggregations feeding upon herring and sandlance
- schools in a nearshore archipelago depend on flooding tidal currents. *Marine*
- 718 *Ecology Progress Series* **261**, 243-255.

Table 1. The number of individual locations recorded with the VOD in Ramsey Sound
for animals that were performing behaviour on the water surface (n=301 positional

- 722 fixes).
- 723

724	Species	Rafting	Diving	Flying
	Guillemot	48	6	9
	Shag	2	1	0
	Gannet	1	7	38
	Great black-backed gull	2	0	0
	Lesser black-backed gull	66	0	0
	Cormorant	43	56	19
	Razorbill	4	0	2
/25				
726				
727				
728				
729				
730				
731				





Figure 1. The residual variation of range measurements as a function of distance to a fixed, 1 m² target, as measured by the VOD (n = 120 fixes, 10 fixes per distance interval). The standard deviations are given in black, while the minimum and maximum deviations are given in grey for each distance. The dashed line indicates the variance that would be equivalent to 0.1% of the distance value.



743 Figure 2. The maximum range of avian targets from the VOD, in relation to body mass.





747

Figure 3. Kernel density contours showing distributions of A) all seabirds and all behaviours plotted in relation to mean current speed, B) all species and behaviours over mean turbulence, C) all cormorant dives plotted against mean horizontal current speed and D) all cormorant dives plotted against mean turbulence in Ramsey Sound.

The black cross represents the vantage point at St Justinian's (51°52'42.4"N 5°18'38.4"W) whilst the red cross marks the location of the DeltaStream tidal turbine device.





Figure 4. The times when cormorants were diving are given in relation to i) tidal height,
ii) current strength and iii) turbulence, as modelled using the hydrodynamic model. A
density plot is used to show the proportion of dives in relation to time.



Figure 5. (i) The dive bearings for cormorants foraging in Ramsey Sound illustrate that birds forage into the current (mean bearing is given by the line from the centre of the circle and the line around the outside indicate the inter-quartile range), which was flowing in a Southerly direction from 0 to 180 degrees. The strategy of orientating into the flow resulted in birds travelling relatively low horizontal distances during dives, as displayed in (ii).