

*PAK6 phosphorylates 14-3-3*γ to regulate steady state phosphorylation of LRRK2

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

Open access

Civiero, L., Cogo, S., Kiekens, A., Morganti, C., Tessari, I., Lobbestael, E., Baekelandt, V., Taymans, J.-M., Chartier-Harlin, M.-C., Franchin, C., Arrigoni, G., Lewis, P. A., Piccoli, G., Bubacco, L., Cookson, M. R., Pinton, P. and Greggio, E. (2017) PAK6 phosphorylates 14-3-3y to regulate steady state phosphorylation of LRRK2. Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience, 10. 417. ISSN 1662-5099 doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2017.00417 Available at http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/76590/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. Published version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2017.00417 To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2017.00417

Publisher: Frontiers Media

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online





PAK6 Phosphorylates 14-3-3γ to Regulate Steady State Phosphorylation of LRRK2

Laura Civiero^{1*}, Susanna Cogo^{1,2}, Anneleen Kiekens¹, Claudia Morganti³, Isabella Tessari¹, Evy Lobbestael⁴, Veerle Baekelandt⁴, Jean-Marc Taymans^{5,6}, Marie-Christine Chartier-Harlin^{5,6}, Cinzia Franchin^{7,8}, Giorgio Arrigoni^{7,8}, Patrick A. Lewis², Giovanni Piccoli⁹, Luigi Bubacco¹, Mark R. Cookson¹⁰, Paolo Pinton³ and Elisa Greggio^{1*}

¹ Department of Biology, University of Padova, Padova, Italy, ² School of Pharmacy, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom, ³ Department of Morphology, Surgery and Experimental Medicine, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy, ⁴ Laboratory for Neurobiology and Gene Therapy, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, ⁵ Université de Lille, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, CHU Lille, UMR-S1172, JPArc, Centre de Recherche Jean-Pierre AUBERT Neurosciences et Cancer, Lille, France, ⁶ Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, UMR-S 1172, Team "Early Stages of Parkinson's Disease," Lille, France, ⁷ Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Padova, Padova, Italy, ⁸ Proteomics Center, University of Padova and Azienda Ospedaliera di Padova, Padova, Italy, ⁹ Center for Integrative Biology, University of Trento, Italy, ¹⁰ Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging/NIH, Bethesda, MD, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Andrei Surguchov, Kansas University of Medical Center Research Institute, United States

Reviewed by:

Andrey Sorokin, Medical College of Wisconsin, United States Hong Qing, Beijing Institute of Technology, School of Life science, China

> *Correspondence: Laura Civiero laura.civiero@unipd.it Elisa Greggio elisa.greggio@unipd.it

Received: 03 November 2017 Accepted: 30 November 2017 Published: 14 December 2017

Citation:

Civiero L, Cogo S, Kiekens A, Morganti C, Tessari I, Lobbestael E, Baekelandt V, Taymans J-M, Chartier-Harlin M-C, Franchin C, Arrigoni G, Lewis PA, Piccoli G, Bubacco L, Cookson MR, Pinton P and Greggio E (2017) PAK6 Phosphorylates 14-3-3γ to Regulate Steady State Phosphorylation of LRRK2. Front. Mol. Neurosci. 10:417. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2017.00417 Mutations in Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) are associated with Parkinson's disease (PD) and, as such, LRRK2 is considered a promising therapeutic target for age-related neurodegeneration. Although the cellular functions of LRRK2 in health and disease are incompletely understood, robust evidence indicates that PD-associated mutations alter LRRK2 kinase and GTPase activities with consequent deregulation of the downstream signaling pathways. We have previously demonstrated that one LRRK2 binding partner is P21 (RAC1) Activated Kinase 6 (PAK6). Here, we interrogate the PAK6 interactome and find that PAK6 binds a subset of 14-3-3 proteins in a kinase dependent manner. Furthermore, PAK6 efficiently phosphorylates 14-3-3y at Ser59 and this phosphorylation serves as a switch to dissociate the chaperone from client proteins including LRRK2, a well-established 14-3-3 binding partner. We found that 14-3-3y phosphorylated by PAK6 is no longer competent to bind LRRK2 at phospho-Ser935, causing LRRK2 dephosphorylation. To address whether these interactions are relevant in a neuronal context, we demonstrate that a constitutively active form of PAK6 rescues the G2019S LRRK2-associated neurite shortening through phosphorylation of 14-3-3y. Our results identify PAK6 as the kinase for $14-3-3\gamma$ and reveal a novel regulatory mechanism of 14-3-3/LRRK2 complex in the brain.

Keywords: PAK6, 14-3-3, LRRK2, Parkinson's disease, phosphorylation

Abbreviations: LRRK2, Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2; PD, Parkinson's disease; PAK6, P21 (RAC1) Activated Kinase 6; CRIB, Cdc42 and Rac-interactive binding; BCR, breakpoint cluster region protein; PKA, protein kinase A; CK1 α , casein kinase 1 alpha; IKKs, I κ B kinases; PP1, protein phosphatase 1; BRET, bioluminescence resonance energy transfer; DIV, days *in vitro*; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; TEMED, *N*,*N*,*N'*,*N'* tetramethylethylenediamine; APS, ammonium persulfate; PVDF, polyvinylidenedifluoride; CAN, acetonitrile; DTT, dithiothreitol; TBS-T, Tris-buffered saline plus 0.1% Triton; BSA, bovine serum albumin; PFA, paraformaldehyde; SEM, standard error of the mean; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; nTg, non-transgenic.

INTRODUCTION

P21 activated kinase 6 (PAK6) is a member of the group II PAK serine/threonine kinases, highly conserved enzymes containing a kinase domain at the carboxyl-terminus and a Cdc42 and Rac-interactive binding (CRIB) domain at the aminoterminus (Kumar et al., 2017). PAKs play a central role in signal transduction and one of the best-characterized functions of these kinases is their role in actin cytoskeleton reorganization via the LIM kinase-cofilin pathway (Kumar et al., 2017). In contrast to class I PAKs (PAK1-3) which are activated by Rho GTPase (e.g., Rac1) binding, class II PAKs (PAK4-6) are relocalized by Rho GTPases at specific signaling sites and locally activated by binding with specific partners containing SH3 domains (Ha et al., 2012). Given the importance of cytoskeletal organization in neuronal development and function, accumulating studies investigated the role of PAKs in the nervous system and their implication in neurodegenerative diseases (Civiero and Greggio, 2017). Pak6 knock-out mice are viable and fertile with no overt neurological defects, whereas the double Pak5/Pak6 knockout mice exhibit cognitive and locomotor activity deficits, and cultured neurons display reduced neurite length and number (Nekrasova et al., 2008). Although PAK6 is almost exclusively expressed in the brain, the molecular details of PAK6-mediated pathways in neuronal cells are largely unexplored.

14-3-3s constitute a family of adaptor proteins participating in multiple processes within in the cell. Dimeric 14-3-3s bind to their partners at specific phospho-sites (mainly phospho-serines) and assist protein localization, activity and stability (Sluchanko and Gusev, 2017). 14-3-3s are themselves phosphorylated at multiple sites the main ones being Ser58/59, Ser184, and Ser/Thr232 (https://www.phosphosite.org; Aitken, 2011), although the upstream kinases are poorly characterized. The breakpoint cluster region protein (BCR) interacts with at least five isoforms of 14-3-3 in vivo and phosphorylates 14-3- 3τ on Ser233 and to a lesser extent 14-3-3 ζ on Thr233 (Clokie et al., 2005). Instead, protein kinase A (PKA) phosphorylates 14-3-3^{\zet} at Ser58 and PKA-mediated phosphorylation leads to modulation of 14-3-3¢ dimerization affecting its interaction with partner proteins (Gu et al., 2006). Functionally, 14-3-3s intervene in the regulation of small G-proteins and protein kinases related to the proper functioning of the cytoskeleton (Sluchanko and Gusev, 2010). Of note, they have been nominated as group II PAK interactors and Ser99 phosphorylation within PAK4 generates a binding site for $14-3-3\gamma$ that promotes the formation of a PAK4-LIMK1 complex during cell migration (Bastea et al., 2013).

Recently, we described a novel role for PAK6 in mammalian brain. We found that PAK6 kinase activity promotes neurite outgrowth through interaction with the Parkinson's disease (PD)-associated leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) (Civiero et al., 2015). LRRK2 belongs to the family of ROCO proteins, characterized by the presence of the bidomain ROC (Ras of complex proteins) and COR (c-terminal of ROC; Civiero et al., 2014). ROC functions as a GTPase and represents a signaling output, whereas COR operates as a dimerization device (Guaitoli et al., 2016). Another signaling output in LRRK2 is a serine-threonine kinase domain, which undergoes both autophosphorylation and heterologous phosphorylation (Greggio et al., 2009; Sheng et al., 2012). The majority of PD-associated mutations increase kinase activity in cells and in vivo (Sheng et al., 2012; Steger et al., 2016), resulting in neuronal toxicity and, in the case of the common G2019S mutation, decreased neurite length and complexity (MacLeod et al., 2006; Sepulveda et al., 2013; Matikainen-Ankney et al., 2016). LRRK2 undergoes autophosphorylation in vitro and in vivo (Greggio et al., 2008; Sheng et al., 2012), and it is phosphorylated by other kinases, including casein kinase 1 alpha (CK1a), IkB kinases (IKKs), and PKA, within a cluster of N-terminal serine residues (Ser910, Ser935, Ser955, Ser973) and at \$1444 in the ROC domain (Dzamko et al., 2012; Chia et al., 2014; Muda et al., 2014). Toll-like receptor agonists increase LRRK2 phosphorylation at Ser935 (Dzamko et al., 2012), whilst LRRK2 inhibitors abolish auto- and heterologous phosphorylation causing LRRK2 relocalization into discrete intracellular clusters (Dzamko et al., 2010). Phosphorylation of Ser910, Ser935, Ser955, and Ser973 in the N-terminus and Ser1444 in the ROC domain promote 14-3-3 binding to LRRK2, which protects LRRK2 from protein phosphatase 1 (PP1)-dependent dephosphorylation and consequent LRRK2 relocalization (Dzamko et al., 2010; Lobbestael et al., 2013; Muda et al., 2014). While these residues are not autophosphorylation sites, their phosphorylation status depend on LRRK2 kinase inhibitors, suggesting that LRRK2 may control a kinase(s) or phosphatase(s) regulating its phosphorylation cycle. Thus, the cellular phosphorylation of LRRK2 seems implicated in its subcellular localization and activation through binding with 14-3-3 proteins through a yet unknown regulatory mechanism.

By investigating the PAK6 interactome, we found that PAK6 binds and phosphorylates 14-3-3 γ at Ser59 *in vitro* and in cells. Phosphorylation at this specific site induces 14-3- 3γ monomerization, resulting in decreased affinity for client proteins. Furthermore, we demonstrate that PAK6-dependent phosphorylation of 14-3-3 γ , an established LRRK2 interactor, promotes 14-3-3 γ /LRRK2 complex dissociation *in vitro* and in cells with consequent LRRK2 dephosphorylation at Ser935. In neurons, PAK6 activation rescues the neurite shortening induced by the pathogenic G2019S LRRK2 form in a process that is dependent on phospho-Ser59 of 14-3-3 γ . Collectively, our work uncovers PAK6 as the kinase of 14-3-3 γ and discloses a novel pathway by which this enzyme promotes neurite development in neuronal cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

C57BL/6 LRRK2 wild-type and (mouse) LRRK2 G2019S BAC mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory [B6.Cg-Tg(Lrrk2*G2019S)2Yue/J]. Housing and handling of mice were done incompliance with national guidelines. All animal procedures were approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Padova and the Italian Ministry of Health (license 1041/2016-PR).

Plasmids

Eukaryotic expression contructs of 3xFlag tagged PAK6 (wild type, K436M and S531N) and 3xFlag LRRK2 (wild type and G2019S) were generated as described previously (Civiero et al., 2015). All seven 14-3-3s isoforms were cloned in pET28a(+) vector in fusion with an N-terminal His-tag for expression in E. coli cells by PCR amplification of the sequences from mammalian expression plasmids kindly provided by Prof. Dario Alessi (University of Dundee). PAK6 and 14-3-3 mutant variants were generated using the Quick-Change II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). For bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) analysis, pRL-TK plasmid (Promega) and pEYFP-C/N1 (Novagene) were modified with GatewayTM Vector Conversion System (Invitrogen). LRRK2 and 14-3-3y coding sequences, respectively, were subsequently cloned inside these vectors using LR recombination reaction kit (Invitrogen). pmCherry-N1 vector (Novagen) was used to transfect neurons and trace neurites.

Antibodies

For immunoprecipitation the following antibodies were used: mouse Flag M2 (Sigma, Cat# F1804, 1 µg/mg total proteins). For immunoblotting analysis the following antibodies were used: Flag M2, HRP conjugated (Sigma, Cat# F1804, 1:10,000), rabbit pan-14-3-3 Santa Cruz, Cat# sc-629, 1:1,000), mouse monoclonal Anti-polyHistidine, HRP conjugated (Sigma, Cat#A7058, 1:10,000), rabbit phospho-PAK4-5-6 (Sigma, Cat# SAB4504052, 1:2,000), mouse B-tubulin (Sigma, Cat# T8328, 1:5,000), rabbit pan phospho-Ser58 14-3-3 (Abcam, Cat# ab30554, 1:1,000), rabbit 14-3-37 (ThermoFisher, Cat# PA5-29690, 1:10,000), rabbit MJFF2 (Epitomics Cat# 3514-1, 1:100), rabbit phospho-Ser935 (Epitomics Cat# 5099-1, 1:100), mouse GFP (Roche, Cat# 1181460001, 1:1,000). For immunocytochemistry analysis the following antibodies were used: rabbit PAK6 (Prestige[®] Sigma, Cat# HPA031124, 1:200), rabbit 14-3-3y (ThermoFisher, Cat# PA5-29690, 1:200), rabbit pan phospho-Ser58 14-3-3 (Abcam, Cat# ab30554, 1:200).

Chemicals

The PAK inhibitor, PF-3758309 (Selleckchem), was used for endogenous PAK inhibition at $10\,\mu M$ for 90 min. PhosTag molecule was produced by MRC PPU Reagents and Services, University of Dundee. All solvents and chemicals used for LC-MS/MS analysis were of MS grade (Sigma).

Cell Cultures and Transfection

HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. HEK293T were transfected with plasmid DNA using polyethylenimine (Polysciences) according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

Neuronal Cultures and Transfection

Cortical neuron cultures were prepared from C57BL/6 and G2019S LRRK2 BAC mouse pups (P0). Neuronal cultures were plated and grown on 12 mm diameter coverslips (400,000 cells/well) in 12-well plastic tissue culture plates as previously

described (Belluzzi et al., 2016). Neuronal cultures were transfected with DNA plasmids at 3 days *in vitro* (DIV) using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer's protocol (Thermo Fisher). Neurons were fixed using PFA 4% at DIV7 and subjected to analysis.

Cell Lysis, Co-immunoprecipitation, and Western Blotting

Cells were harvested at 48 h post-transfection and lysed in buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 0.27 M sucrose, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Lysates were incubated with anti-Flag M2 agarose beads (Sigma) overnight. Immunocomplexes were washed three times with lysis buffer supplemented with 0.25 M NaCl. Immunoprecipitates were resuspended in sample buffer. Between 15 and 30 µg of protein samples were resolved on pre-casted 4-20% Tris-glycine polyacrylamide gels (Biorad) or home-casted Tris-glycine polyacrylamide [stacking gel: 4% (w/v) acrylamide, 125 mM Tris/HCl, pH 6.8, 0.1% (w/v) SDS, 0.2% (v/v) N, N, N', N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED) and 0.08% (w/v) ammonium persulfate (APS), separating gel: 7.5 or 13% (w/v) acrylamide, 375 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.8, 0.1% (w/v) SDS, 0.1% (v/v) TEMED, and 0.05% (w/v) APS] in SDS/Tris-glycine running buffer. Precision Plus molecular weight markers (Biorad) were used for size estimation. Resolved proteins were transferred to polyvinylidenedifluoride (PVDF) membranes using semidry Biorad transfer machine (Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System). The PVDF sheets were blocked in Tris-buffered saline plus 0.1% Triton (TBS-T) plus 5% nonfat dry milk for 1 h at 4°C and then incubated overnight at 4°C with antibodies in TBS-T plus 5% non-fat dry milk. The PVDF membranes were washed in TBS-T (3 \times 10 min) at room temperature followed by incubation for 1 h at RT with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG. Blots were then washed in TBS-T (4 \times 10 min) at RT and rinsed in TBS, and immunoreactive proteins were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence plus (ECL+, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, England). Densitometric analysis was carried out using Image J software.

Pull-Down Assay

His-14-3-3 isoforms were expressed in BL21(DE3) bacterial cells and purified in batch by IMAC with ProBondTM resin (Invitrogen). After elution, imidazole was removed and buffer exchange in PBS with a PD10 desalting column (GE healthcare). After quantification, 3 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) was added for storage and proteins were quickly frozen and kept at -80° C. Instead, Flag-tagged proteins were expressed in and purified from HEK293T cell lines as previously described (Civiero et al., 2015). Flag-purified proteins bound to the resin were incubated for 2 h with purified His-14-3-3 isoforms (1 μ M). For the following procedure see co-immunoprecipitation and western blotting section.

Protein Digestion, Phosphopeptide Enrichment, and LC-MS/MS Analysis

Gel bands were subjected to in-gel digestion with sequencinggrade modified trypsin (Promega) as detailed in (Belluzzi et al., 2016). A similar procedure was used for the digestion of phosphorylated proteins, but in this case LysC was used as protease. Briefly, gel bands were cut in small pieces, dehydrated with acetonitrile (ACN) and dried under vacuum. Reduction of cysteines was performed with freshly prepared 10 mM DTT in 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, at 56°C and for 1 h. Alkylation was performed with 55 mM iodoacetamide (Sigma) in 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5 for 45 min at room temperature and in the dark. Gel pieces were washed several times with 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5 and ACN, dried under vacuum, and suspended LysC solution (Promega, 12.5 ng/mL in 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5). Digestion was performed overnight at 37°C. Peptides were extracted with three changes of 50% ACN/0.1% formic acid (FA, Fluka). Samples were dried under vacuum and stored at -20° C till the phosphopeptide enrichment procedure was performed. Phosphopeptide enrichment and LC-MS/MS analysis were performed as detailed in (Belluzzi et al., 2016). Data were acquired with a LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) interfaced to a nano-HPLC Ultimate 3000 (Dionex-Thermo Fisher Scientific). Raw data files were analyzed with Proteome Discoverer software (version 1.4, Thermo Fisher Scientific) connected to a Mascot Server version 2.2.4 (Matrix Science, UK) against the Uniprot Human Database (version 2015.04.01, 90411 sequences). Trypsin or LysC were set as digesting enzymes with up to one missedcleavage for protein identification and up to three missedcleavages for phosphopeptides identification. Carbamidomethyl cysteine was set as fixed modification and methionine oxidation as variable modification in all cases. Phosphorylation of Ser/Thr/Tyr residues was set as variable modifications when the phosphopeptide analysis was performed. Peptide and fragment tolerance were 10 ppm and 0.6 Da, respectively. The algorithm Percolator was used to calculate False Discovery Rate (FDR) and PhosphoRS algorithm (Taus et al., 2011) was used to help in the assignment of the correct phosphorylation sites.

Overlay Assays

To directly assess 14-3-3 interaction with targets, overlay assay were performed as described in Nichols et al. (2010). Briefly, immunopurified proteins or brain cell lysates were electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes and blocked with 5% skimmed milk for 30 min. After washing with TBS-T, membranes were incubated with purified His-14-3-3s diluted to 1μ g/ml in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in TBS-T overnight at 4°C. Membranes were subsequently cut to allow incubations with the different $14-3-3\gamma$ forms. Subsequent western blotting and detection was done in parallel to allow quantitative comparison.

In Vitro Kinase Reactions

Kinase assays were carried out as previously described using 1 mM ATP (Civiero et al., 2012). Protein concentrations used are indicated in Figure legends.

PhosTag Gel

Samples were supplemented with 10 mM MnCl₂ before loading gels. Phos-tag Tris-glycine polyacrylamide gel was carried out as described previously (Steger et al., 2016). Gels for Phos-tag Tris-glycine polyacrylamide gels consisted of a stacking gel [4% (w/v) acrylamide, 125 mM Tris/HCl, pH 6.8, 0.1% (w/v) SDS, 0.2% (v/v) TEMED, and 0.08% (w/v) APS] and a separating gel [7.5% (w/v) acrylamide, 375 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.8, 0.1% (w/v) SDS, 75 μ M Phos-tag acrylamide, 150 μ M MnCl₂, 0.1% (v/v) TEMED, and 0.05% (w/v) APS].

Anion Exchange Chromatography

Samples belonging from *in vitro* kinase reactions and corresponding to 80 μ g of recombinant 14-3-3s were loaded in a 6 ml Resource Q column (Amersham Biosciences) and eluted at 1 ml/min with a discontinuous NaCl gradient (0-0.35 M/6.5-18.5 ml, 0.35-0.4 M/18.5-30.5 ml, 0.4-1 M/30.5-33.5 ml) in 20 mM Tris pH 8 using an ÄKTA purifier system (GE Healthcare). Signals were recorded with an UV detector set at 280 nm. Fractions were collected during each run and the identity of protein peaks subsequently confirmed by dot blot.

Blue Native Gels

Precast vertical SERVA*Gel*TM N Native gels (SERVA Electrophoresis) 4–16% were loaded and run according to manufacturer instructions for Blue Native electrophoresis. For each sample a total amount of 5 μ g of protein was loaded. At the end, the gel was stained with Coomassie Brillant Blue.

Immunocytochemistry and Confocal Imaging

Transiently transfected HEK293T or neuronal coverslips coated with poly-L-lysine were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Cells were stained with the appropriate primary antibody and subsequently with secondary anti-mouse AlexaFluor[®] 633-conjugated (Life technologies, Cat# A-21126), anti-rabbit Alexa[®] 568-conjugated (Life technologies, Cat# A-11036) or anti-rabbit DyLight 405-conjugated AffinityPure (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories Inc, Cat# 111-475-003) antibodies were used at 1:200. Images were acquired using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope or Leica 5000B fluoresce microscope.

For neurite branching analysis, all the measurements were performed using NeuronJ as previously described (Civiero et al., 2015). Neurites and dendritic spines were semi-automatically traced and quantified in terms of length and number.

BRET

HEK293T cells were transfected with indicated expression vectors. After 48 h, cells were scraped and transferred into a white OptiPlate-96 (Perkin Elmer). Luminescent emission was stimulated by adding $5 \,\mu$ M Coelenterazine. After 10 min signals derived from RLuc and YFP were collected with the Victor3 plate reader (Perkin Elmer). BRET was calculated by the ratio YFP/RLuc, after to obtain net BRET (nBRET) the value of BRET derived from non trasfected cell was subtracted to the samples values.

Statistical Analysis

All quantitative data are expressed as mean \pm SEM (standard error of the mean) and represent at least three independent sets of experiments. Significance of differences between two groups was assessed by Student *t*-test or by one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post-hoc* test and two-way ANOVA with Tukey's *post-hoc* test when more than two groups were compared.

RESULTS

PAK6 Interacts with 14-3-3 γ in Its Active State

To identify novel PAK6 effectors and the impact of PAK6 kinase activity on its interactome, we performed immunoprecipitation experiments in HEK293T cells using as bait 3xFlag-PAK6 wildtype and the active variant S531N. As negative control, we immunoprecipitated kinase-dead PAK6 K436M. The kinase activity of these functional mutants was previously characterized in vitro and in cells by our group and others (Schrantz et al., 2004; Civiero et al., 2015). After SDS-PAGE separation, coomassie staining revealed the presence of a doublet around 25 kDa that was differentially enriched across the PAK6 variants, with PAK6 S531N displaying the highest affinity and no bands visible in the PAK6 K436M immunoprecipitate (Figure 1A). The identity of the two bands was investigated by liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis, revealing multiple 14-3-3 isoforms [γ gamma, θ theta, η eta, ϵ epsilon, β beta, ζ zeta; Figure 1A and Supplemental File (Datasheet 2)]. Using a pan-14-3-3 antibody we confirmed that PAK6 binds 14-3-3s and that the binding increases proportionally with PAK6 kinase activity (Figure 1A). Human 14-3-3s constitute a family of seven highly conserved regulatory proteins (Berg et al., 2003). To further explore the specificity of PAK6 binding toward the different 14-3-3 isoforms, 3xFlag-PAK6 bound to anti-Flag resin was incubated with 6xHis-14-3-3s purified from E. coli. As shown in Figure 1B, PAK6 pulls down several 14-3-3 isoforms but displays the highest affinity for 14-3-3 γ . Since 14-3-3 binding is a function of PAK6 kinase activity (Figure 1A), we then tested 14-3-3y binding to PAK6 wild-type, S531N and K436M using overlay assays (Figure 1C). The kinase activity of PAK6 functional mutants was assessed by western blot monitoring the autophosphorylation site Ser560 (Civiero et al., 2015; Figure 1C). As expected, PAK6 S531N displays two-folds higher affinity for 14-3-3y with respect to wild-type, whereas the inactive mutant does not bind 14-3-3 (Figures 1C,D). Dimeric 14-3-3 proteins dock onto pairs of phosphorylated serine/threonine residues on target proteins (Mackintosh, 2004). PAK6 is predicted to accommodate four potential 14-3-3 binding sites: Thr99, Ser113, Ser231, and Ser560 (https://ania-1433.lifesci.dundee. ac.uk/prediction/webserver/index.py/getting_started). Three of them (Thr99, Ser113, and Ser560) have been previously found phosphorylated in cells (http://www.phosphosite.org; Figure 1E). Among them, Thr99 is not involved in 14-3-3 binding (Tinti et al., 2014). Ser560 is a highly conserved autophosphorylation site in cells, and it is essential for PAK6 autophosphorylation activity and phosphorylation of substrates [Kaur et al., 2005; Supplemental File (Datasheet 1): Figures S1A,B]. Conversely, we found that autophosphorylation of Ser113 is not required for phosphorylation of PAK6 substrates but the ~2-fold decrease in ³³P incorporation by PAK6 indicates that it is a *bona fide* autophosphorylation site [Supplemental File (Datasheet 1): Figures S1A,B]. Overlay assays with the phosphodeficient Ser560Ala and Ser113Ala variants indicate that both sites are required for 14-3-3 binding (**Figures 1F,G**), consistent with the typical 14-3-3 binding mode where the dimer docks into two distinct serine/threonine residues within its targets (Tinti et al., 2014). Collectively, these experiments indicate that 14-3-3 γ is a PAK6 interactor and that the formation of 14-3-3/PAK6 complex is dependent on PAK6 autophosphorylation on Ser113 and Ser560.

PAK6 Phosphorylates a Subset of 14-3-3s

14-3-3s are phosphorylated at multiple sites (https://www. phosphosite.org). However, little is known about the physiological significance of this phosphorylation as well as the identity of the kinases mediating this process. Interestingly, 14-3-3s contain a putative group II PAKs consensus site around Ser58/59 (II-PAKs consensus: GGRRRRRSWASPGGK (Rennefahrt et al., 2007); putative consensus in 14-3-3s: ⁵³VGARRSSWRVISSI⁶⁶). Therefore, to assess whether 14-3-3s are phosphorylated by PAK6, we performed radioactive kinase assays in vitro using recombinant 3xFlag-PAK6 wild-type, K436M and S531N and the seven 14-3-3 isoforms as substrates. PAK6 could efficiently phosphorylate a subset of 14-3-3s (including γ , η , and θ ; **Figures 2A,B**) and PAK6 S531N increased the amount of radiolabelled 14-3-3 by three- to four-folds compared to wild-type (Figures 2A,B). Being the best PAK6 interactor and preferred substrate among the seven isoforms, we selected 14-3-3 γ for subsequent investigation. First we evaluate the stoichiometry of PAK6 phosphorylation on 14-3-3 γ with PhosTag gels coupled with immunoblot analysis. We found that PAK6 completely phosphorylates 14-3-3y in vitro after 60 min incubation under kinase assay conditions (1 mM ATP, $1 \mu M$ PAK6, and $4 \mu M$ 14-3-3 γ), as revealed by the retarded electrophoretic mobility of phosphorylated 14-3-3γ (Figure 2C). Moreover, in cation exchange chromatography, phosphorylated 14-3-3y elutes at higher NaCl concentrations compared to the non-phosphorylated protein, consistent with an increase of the overall negative charge due to the phosphate groups (Figure 2D). Together, these findings indicate PAK6 as a kinase for 14-3-3y in vitro.

Ser59 Phosphorylation of 14-3-3γ Causes Dimer to Monomer Switch and Loss of Affinity for the Client Proteins

To identify the site(s) phosphorylated by PAK6 on 14-3-3 γ , we used phospho-peptide enrichment coupled with LC-MS/MS analysis on purified 14-3-3 γ phosphorylated by PAK6 *in vitro*. Proteins were digested with LysC to obtain peptides compatible with MS/MS analysis around the predicted Ser58 phosphorylation. Under these experimental conditions, we found a number of putative phosphorylated peptides [Supplemental File (Datasheet 1): Table S1] but only two of them (¹⁴³RATVVESSEK¹⁵² and ⁵¹NVVGARR**SS**WRVISSIEQK⁶⁹)



(Continued)

FIGURE 1 | multiple comparison test; * $P \le 0.05$, ** $P \le 0.01$). (E) Schematic representation of PAK6 sequence. In red, putative 14-3-3- binding sites and phosphorylation (*P*) (https://www.phosphosite.org/). (F) Immunopurified PAK6 wild-type, S113A and S560A were loaded on SDS-PAGE, transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes and subjected to overlay assays using recombinant 14-3-3 γ . Anti-Flag and anti-pSer560 antibodies were used to confirm PAK6 loading and activation, respectively, and anti-His to quantify bound 14-3-3. (G) Quantification of bound 14-3-3 γ normalized by total PAK6 amount (*n* = 3 independent experiments, one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison test; ** $P \le 0.001$).

were consistently recovered across independent MS runs [Supplemental File (Datasheet 1): Figures S2A,B]. In both peptides a group II PAK consensus sequence could be identified (**Figure 3A**). The peptide ¹⁴³RATVVESSEK¹⁵² was predicted to be phosphorylated at the threonine residue (Thr145), whilst the peptide ⁵¹NVVGARRSSWRVISSIEQK⁶⁹ was found to be phosphorylated at one of the two tandem serine residues in position 58 or 59. However, the second serine corresponding to Ser59 in 14-3-3 γ is the one predicted to be recognized by class II PAKs.

Previous work suggested that phosphorylation of 14-3-3ζ at Ser58 (corresponding to Ser59 in 14-3-3y induces dimer to monomer transition; Woodcock et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2009). We therefore performed blue native electrophoresis to evaluate whether PAK6-mediated phosphorylation alters the dimeric state of 14-3-3 γ . In the absence of ATP (but under the same kinase assay conditions), 14-3-3y presents an electrophoretic mobility around the size of the dimer (Figure 3B). Instead, PAK6-phosphorylated 14-3-3 γ separates as a sharp band around the monomer size (Figure 3B), indicating that PAK6-mediated phosphorylation on 14-3-3 γ may influence its quaternary structure. The MS results also hints that Ser59 in $14-3-3\gamma$ might be a putative PAK6 phosphorylation site. To further explore the consequence of PAK6 phosphorylation on 14-3-3y dimer/monomer, we generated 14-3-3y phosphomimetic mutants corresponding to the two phospho-sites predicted by the MS analysis by replacing the serine residues with aspartate (S59D and T145D). To this end, 14-3-3y wild-type, S59D and T145D were purified and loaded on blue native gels. This analysis revealed that the T145D mutant exhibits an electrophoretic motility similar to that of the wild-type protein, whereas the S59D proteins separate as a band compatible with the size of a monomer (Figure 3C). The dimer to monomer transition was proposed to decrease the affinity of 14-3-3s for their target proteins (Tzivion et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 2009). We therefore investigated the consequence of Ser58 phosphorylation on mouse brain proteome by overlay assays. Total mouse brain lysates separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes were probed with 14-3-3y wildtype, 14-3-37 S59D, 14-3-37 T145D, and p14-3-3 wild-type (i.e., phosphorylated by PAK6) and processed in parallel to allow proper comparison. Overlay assays showed that 14-3-3y wild-type and 14-3-3y T145D bind several proteins on the membrane (Figure 3D). However, the phosphomimetic $14-3-3\gamma$ S58D and p14-3-3 γ display a lower affinity for brain proteins with respect to the wild-type. Collectively, these results indicate that PAK6 phosphorylates 14-3-3y in vitro in at least two sites, but only Ser59 phosphorylation causes a conformational change that decreases the affinity of $14-3-3\gamma$ for binding partners.

PAK6 Phosphorylates Endogenous 14-3-3 γ at Ser59

In vitro kinase assays and western blot analysis with pan anti-phospho Ser58 (Ser59 in 14-3-3y) antibody confirmed that PAK6 phosphorylates 14-3-3y at Ser59 [Figure 4A and Supplemental File (Datasheet 1): Figure S3]. To investigate whether this phosphorylation is also relevant in the cellular context, we used immunofluorescence analysis. We observed that PAK6 phosphorylates 14-3-3y at this site in cells cotransfected with YFP-14-3-3y and Myc-PAK6 wild-type and PAK6 S531N, with no staining in the presence of PAK6 kinase dead K436M (Figure 4B). Next, we tested whether phosphorylation also occurs on endogenous proteins. To this aim, mouse embryonic fibroblasts, which express high levels of PAK6 and 14-3-3 γ , were acutely treated with the potent PAK inhibitor PF-3758309 or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and analyzed with PhosTag gels. As expected, PF-3758309 treatment efficiently inhibited PAK6 autophosphorylation as indicated by a significant reduction of the upper band that correspond to pSer560 (Figures 4C,D). Importantly, a parallel reduction of the band corresponding to 14-3-3y phosphorylated at Ser59 was also evident in the presence of PAK6 inhibition (Figures 4C,E). Taken together, these experiments demonstrate that endogenous PAK6 phosphorylates endogenous 14-3-3y at Ser59.

Phospho-14-3-3y Loses Affinity for LRRK2

So far our data suggest that phosphorylation of $14-3-3\gamma$ at Ser59 regulates the affinity for its binding partners. Since 14-3-3s are well established and characterized interactors of the kinase LRRK2, we asked whether PAK6-mediated phosphorylation of 14-3-3 impacts LRRK2 binding. Using overlay assays, we first tested whether PAK6 and LRRK2 share common 14-3-3s interactors. We observed that 14-3-3 zeta, gamma and theta display high affinity for both PAK6 and LRRK2 compared to others isoforms [Supplemental File (Datasheet 1): Figure S4]. Previous studies indicate that $14-3-3\gamma$ is involved in the regulation of cytoskeletal dynamics (Bastea et al., 2013), a process also linked with LRRK2-PAK6 pathway (Civiero et al., 2015). In addition, 14-3-3y was found to be a LRRK2 interactor by other groups (Li et al., 2011; Muda et al., 2014) and it is the preferential PAK6 substrate and interactor across the seven isoforms. Based on this reasoning, we assessed the effect of PAK6mediated phosphorylation of 14-3-3y on LRRK2 binding with overlay assays. First, 14-3-3y was incubated with PAK6 S531N in the presence or absence of 1 mM ATP as previously described (Figure 2C) and subsequently applied in parallel to nitrocellulose membranes containing equal amounts



was verified by western blot with anti-Flag and anti-His antibodies. **(B)** Quantification of incorporated ³³P-radioactivity normalized by total 14-3-3 (n = 4 experiments. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test (* $P \le 0.05$, ** $P \le 0.01$, and **** $P \le 0.001$ comparison among 14-3-3 isoforms; ^{\$\$\$}P ≤ 0.001 , comparison between PAK6 WT versus PAK6 S531N). **(C)** Purified His-14-3-3 γ was incubated with Flag-PAK6 S531N (4:1 molar ratio) in the presence or absence of 1 mM ATP and subjected to PhosTag analysis to analyze phosphorylation stoichiometry and SDS-PAGE to verify total protein amount. Anti-His antibody was used to reveal 14-3-3 in both cases. **(D)** Cation exchange profiles of 14-3-3 γ phosphorylation by PAK6 (back dashed line) and non-phosphorylated (black solid line) and NaCl gradient in red. On the bottom, dot blot analysis of eluted fractions using anti-His antibody.



of purified LRRK2. We observed a striking reduction of phospho-14-3-3 γ affinity for LRRK2 compared to non-phosphorylated proteins, suggesting that phosphorylation may regulate 14-3-3:LRRK2 complex formation (**Figures 5A,B**). To substantiate this finding, we generated alanine mutants of the two candidate phospho-residues identified by LC-MS/MS (S59A and T145A) and subjected them to PAK6 phosphorylation in the presence of 1 mM ATP or without nucleotide as control. We observed that pre-phosphorylation of 14-3-3 reduces

its interaction LRRK2 in wild-type and T145A proteins but has no effect in the S59A variant (**Figures 5C,D**). As a further evidence, the phosphomimetic S59D mutant exhibits ~80% reduced binding capacity toward LRRK2 compared to wild-type and T145D 14-3-3 γ , phenocopying the effect of PAK6 phosphorylation (**Figure 5E,F**). Taken together, these experiments reveal that PAK6-dependent phosphorylation of 14-3-3 γ at S59 disrupts 14-3-3:LRRK2 complex *in vitro*.



PAK6 Regulates LRRK2-14-3-3 Binding in Cells with Consequent Modulation of LRRK2 Phosphorylation at Ser935

To translate these findings to a cellular context, we employed BRET to monitor LRRK2/14-3-3 γ interaction in the presence or absence of PAK6. To this end, Renilla luciferase-LRRK2 (Rluc-LRRK2) was used as energy donor and YFP-14-3-3 γ as energy acceptor (**Figure 6A**). Coelenterazine was the substrate for the luciferase. Coexpression of Rluc-LRRK2 with YFP-14-3-3 γ generated a significant increase in the total BRET signal compared to YFP alone, with YFP emission

only occurring when in close proximity (<100 Å) to the luminescent Rluc-LRRK2 (**Figure 6B**). Instead, coexpression of donor and acceptor proteins with 3xFlag-PAK6 showed a significant reduction of nBRET signal (**Figures 6B,C**), supporting the notion that PAK6 kinase activity disrupts the LRRK2/14-3-3 γ complex. Dissociation of LRRK2/14-3-3 complex in cells results in dephosphorylation of a cluster of serines located at the N-terminal region of LRRK2 (Dzamko et al., 2010; Nichols et al., 2010). To test whether PAK6mediated 14-3-3 γ dissociation from LRRK2 promotes LRRK2 dephosphorylation at these serine residues, we co-expressed



LRRK2 wild-type together with PAK6 wild-type, K436M or S531N in HEK293T cells. As predicted, PAK6 induces LRRK2 dephosphorylation at Ser935 in a kinase dependent manner (**Figures 6D,E**), with PAK6 wild-type inducing 40% reduction of Ser935 phosphorylation relative to empty vector and S531N abolishing Ser935 phosphorylation (**Figure 6E**). We then tested whether dephosphorylated LRRK2 by PAK6 loses affinity for 14-3-3. LRRK2 purified from cells expressing

PAK6 S531N or empty vector was subjected to overlay assays with 14-3-3 γ . We observed that PAK6 promotes a complete dephosphorylation of LRRK2 at Ser935, which is no longer competent to bind 14-3-3 γ (**Figures 6F,G**). Taken together, our results demonstrate that PAK6 kinase activity is critical for the regulation of LRRK2/14-3-3 γ complex in cells by regulating the constitutive phosphorylation of LRRK2 at Ser935.



FIGURE 6 | loaded in SDS-PAGE and pSer935-LRRK2 analyzed by western blot using anti-Ser935 antibody and anti-Flag and anti-Myc antibodies to verify LRRK2 and PAK6 amount. (**E**) Quantification of P-Ser935 normalized by total LRRK2 amount. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. *** $P \le 0.001$ (n = 10independent experiments, one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison test). (**F**) Purified Flag-LRRK2 overexpressed in cells together with Myc-EV or Myc-PAK6 S531N was loaded in SDS-PAGE, transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes and subjected to overlay assay using recombinant 14-3-3 γ . Ponceau staining and anti-His antibodies were used to verify LRRK2 loading and bound 14-3-3 γ , respectively. (**G**) Quantification of bound 14-3-3 γ normalized by total LRRK2 amount. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. * $P \le 0.05$ (n = 3 independent experiments, Student's *t*-test analysis).

PAK6 Rescues LRRK2 G2019S Neurite Shortening via Phosphorylation of 14-3-3γ at Ser59

To explore the functional implication of these findings in a neuronal context, we asked whether PAK6 phosphorylation of 14-3-3 γ contributes to the previously established link between LRRK2 and PAK6 in regulating neurite outgrowth (Civiero et al., 2015). First, we confirmed that PAK6 phosphorylates $14-3-3\gamma$ at Ser59 in primary neuronal cells. To this end, we co-transfected YFP-14-3-3y together with PAK6 S531N in primary neurons at DIV3 and applied immunocytochemistry analysis at DIV7. We observed that PAK6 clearly enhances the tone of phosphorylation of 14-3-3y at Ser59 in neurons [Supplemental File (Datasheet 1): Figure S5]. We previously established that PAK6 promotes neurite complexity in a kinase-dependent manner and LRRK2 is required for its function (Civiero et al., 2015). Since the PDlinked LRRK2-G2019S mutant is associated with shorter neurite development in culture (MacLeod et al., 2006; Winner et al., 2011; Sepulveda et al., 2013), we then tested whether active PAK6 rescues the G2019S-associated neurite shortening phenotype in BAC G2019S mice overexpressing murine LRRK2-G2019S. We prepared primary cortical neurons from both non-transgenic (nTg) and BAC-LRRK2-G2019S mice and quantified neuronal branching at DIV7 as previously described (Civiero et al., 2015). Similar to previous results, LRRK2-G2019S neurons display a significant decrease in neurite number and length compared to nTg and, interestingly, ectopic expression of PAK6 S531N almost completely rescued the neurite shortening phenotype (Figures 7A,B). To investigate whether the ability of PAK6 to recover the neurite outgrowth defects of G2019S neurons was dependent on the presence of "phosphorylatable" 14-3-3y, we expressed ectopic YFP-14-3-3y wild-type and YFP-14-3-3y S59A in the presence of PAK6 in BAC G2019S neurons. We observed that PAK6-mediated rescue occurs only in the presence of wild-type 14-3-3 γ but not when phospho-deficient 14-3-3 γ was present (Figures 7C,D). In summary, these experiments provide evidence that PAK6 phosphorylates 14-3-3y in neurons at Ser59 and rescues the PD-linked G2019S LRRK2 neurite shortening phenotype through phosphorylation of 14-3-3 γ at Ser59.

DISCUSSION

P21-activated kinases comprise a family of serine-threonine kinases involved in multiple signal transduction pathways, the majority of them affecting cytoskeletal dynamics (Civiero and Greggio, 2017; Kumar et al., 2017). They are divided in two groups according to their activation mechanism, either

dependent (group I, PAK1-3) or independent (group II, PAK4-6) of small GTPase binding (Kumar et al., 2017). Group II PAKs are emerging as important players in brain physiology and pathology, particularly in PD. A constitutively active form of PAK4 was found to protect the dopaminergic neurons in 6hydroxydopamine and α -synuclein rat models of PD (Longo et al., 2017). In addition, our recent work indicates that the PDassociated kinase LRRK2 interacts with PAK6 to promote neurite outgrowth and PD brains show increased PAK6 phosphorylation (Civiero et al., 2015). In the attempt of identifying novel PAK6 effectors relevant for brain pathophysiology, we undertook an unbiased proteomic approach and found that 14-3-3s are highaffinity PAK6 interactors. 14-3-3s were previously suggested to interact with group II PAKs (Tinti et al., 2014), but the functional significance of this interaction has not been investigated. Here, we provide substantial evidence that PAK6 engages in a highaffinity interaction with $14-3-3\gamma$ through its autophosphorylation sites Ser113 and Ser560. The clear link between PAK6 kinase activity and its affinity for 14-3-3s prompted us to test PAK6 as a direct kinase for 14-3-3s. Using independent techniques, we found that PAK6 phosphorylates 14-3-3y within group II PAK consensus sites (Ser59 and Thr145) in vitro and in cells. Phosphorylation seems to be the most important way of regulating 14-3-3s activity, affecting their interaction with partners or inducing dissociation of 14-3-3 dimers (reviewed in Aitken, 2011). We showed that PAK6-mediated phosphorylation at Ser59, but not at Thr145, decreases the affinity of 14-3-3y for client proteins in the brain. Similarly, it has been previously demonstrated that phosphorylation of 14-3-3ζ by PKA at Ser58 (equivalent to Ser59 in 14-3-3 γ) interferes with p53 interaction (Gu et al., 2006). Ser58(59) is located at the dimer interface and phosphorylation at this site was proposed to interfere with dimer formation with consequent impact on the binding with client proteins (Woodcock et al., 2003; Gu et al., 2006). The same mechanism was reported for phosphorylated 14-3-3w at the equivalent residue in plants (Denison et al., 2014). In line with these studies, our results show a shift of 14-3-3 γ toward a size compatible with that of a monomer in blue native gels upon PAK6 phosphorylation in vitro or by mimicking phosphorylation with S59D but not with S145D. By inspecting the 3D structure of 14-3-3 γ , the residue Ser58(9) is positioned in the inner interface of the dimer and therefore it almost inaccessible for modification, whilst Thr145 is positioned on the protein surface. As proposed by others, we cannot exclude that a hierarchical phosphorylation is required (e.g., a first phosphorylation at Thr145 might affect the monomer/dimer equilibrium allowing a second phosphorylation at Ser59). Interestingly, dimer-incapable 14-3-3 proteins retained binding capacity and specificity toward some phospho-partners, and also demonstrated increased chaperone-like activity on



= 3 independent primary cultures, n = 30-40 neurons traced, two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test).

various substrates (reviewed in Sluchanko and Gusev, 2012). Therefore, the general decreased affinity of phospho-14-3-3 γ and S59D 14-3-3 γ for partners could be due to the insertion of a negative charge at Ser59. Indeed, Ser58 is located in close

proximity of residues directly involved with phospho-ligand binding pocket.

As a proof of concept of our findings, we demonstrated that PAK6-mediated 14-3-3 γ phosphorylation at Ser59 decreases

its affinity in vitro and in cells for its well-known partner LRRK2. PAK6-induced loss of 14-3-3 binding on LRRK2 results in LRRK2 dephosphorylation at Ser935, one of the LRRK2 phosphosite protected by the chaperone. Compelling evidence revealed that the interaction of LRRK2 with 14-3-3 proteins prevents dephosphorylation of Ser910/Ser935 and stabilizes LRRK2 structure, possibly by influencing LRRK2 dimerization (reviewed in Civiero and Greggio, 2017). Moreover, the ability to interact with 14-3-3 correlates with the pattern of intracellular LRRK2 distribution (Dzamko et al., 2010). However, upstream modulators of the LRRK2/14-3-3 complex and the functional significance of the LRRK2 release have not been identified yet. In addition to phospho-Ser910/935/955/973 clustered at the Nterminal of LRR domain, 14-3-3s bind LRRK2 at phospho-Ser1444 within the ROC-GTPase domain, with 14-3-3y isoform displaying the highest affinity (Muda et al., 2014). The ROC domain is autophosphorylated by the kinase domain of LRRK2 and this modification is believed to regulate its GTPase activity (Greggio et al., 2009; Gloeckner et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2016). According to this model, ROC may represent the signaling output of LRRK2 and modulation of 14-3-3y binding may be implicated in controlling LRRK2 cellular cascades. One of best characterized phenotype caused by mutant LRRK2 in neurons is a reduction of neurite complexity (MacLeod et al., 2006; Sepulveda et al., 2013; Matikainen-Ankney et al., 2016), which may represent an early event before neuronal degeneration and motor dysfunction observed in some PD-LRRK2 models (Li et al., 2010; Melrose et al., 2010; Winner et al., 2011; Longo et al., 2014; Beccano-Kelly et al., 2015; Yue et al., 2015; Adeosun et al., 2017). Here we used the BAC-mLRRK2G2019S mouse (Li et al., 2010), which was previously shown to display the neurite shortening phenotype (Sepulveda et al., 2013) and to show signs of neurodegeneration in the substantia nigra pars compacta at 18 months of age (Chen et al., 2017), to investigate the potential implication of our findings in LRRK2-PD. We found PAK6 as an upstream modulator of LRRK2/14-3-3 complex promotes neurite outgrowth by its activity and rescues the G2019S LRRK2-induced neurite shortening phenotype through Ser59 phosphorylation of 14-3-3 γ . It is well-established that (1) G2019S LRRK2 displays higher kinase activity in vitro and in vivo (Greggio et al., 2006; Sheng et al., 2012) and (2) alanine substitutions at Ser910/935 interfere with 14-3-3 binding and decrease LRRK2 activity toward substrates in vitro (Ito et al., 2016). Putting these two observations together, it is possible that active PAK6 normalizes LRRK2-G2019S activity by modulating LRRK2-14-3-3 binding. Based on our findings, PAK6 kinase activity results in LRRK2 Ser935 dephosphorylation similar to the effect of direct LRRK2 kinase inhibition, providing protection against G2019S-associated phenotypes. As safety liabilities of LRRK2 kinase inhibition have been recently reported (Fuji et al., 2015), identifying alternative targets-such as PAK6-that may provide a safer approach than direct LRRK2 inhibition, is of great value for future therapeutic developments.

Both LRRK2 and PAK6 are kinases upstream the regulation of actin-cytoskeleton dynamics and we previously found that they cooperate to promote neurite complexity in mammalian brain via the LIMK1 pathway (Civiero et al., 2015). It has been proposed that the expression of 14-3-30 regulates mutant LRRK2

activity and promotes neurite outgrowth (Lavalley et al., 2016). In addition, Kaplan et al. reported that 14-3-3 ζ intervenes in axon regeneration and induces neurite branching by interacting with client proteins (Kaplan et al., 2017). Both studies conclude that the beneficial effect of 14-3-3 θ and 14-3-3 ζ on neurons is disrupted by interfering with general binding of 14-3-3s. These data partially contrast our finding regarding the ability of PAK6 to release 14-3-3 γ from LRRK2 and rescue LRRK2-linked pathogenic phenotype, suggesting that a fine balance of 14-3-3-bound and 14-3-3-free targets is probably required to maintain cellular homeostasis and that different isoforms cover specific functions in the cell.

Collectively, our study identifies a novel PAK6-mediated pathway in neurons that involves the phosphorylation of Ser59 in 14-3-3 γ and the consequent regulation of LRRK2-14-3-3 γ complex. We have previously published that brains from G2019S LRRK2 PD patients display increased PAK6 activation (Civiero et al., 2015). In light of the present findings, we can speculate that PAK6 is hyperactivated in PD brains as a feedback response to normalize LRRK2 activity via 14-3-3y phosphorylation. Another possibility that deserves future investigations is that LRRK2 kinase activity directly or indirectly promotes PAK6 autophosphorylation. Of note, an increased trend of phospho-Ser58 in 14-3-3s was observed in LRRK2 G2019S mouse brains (Lavalley et al., 2016). However, additional studies are necessary to establish whether Ser59 phosphorylation of 14-3-3y (and possibly of other isoforms) can be envisaged as a valuable marker and/or therapeutic target in LRRK2 and sporadic PD.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LC: Designed and performed the majority of the experiments; SC: performed the interaction experiments; AK: Performed the overlay assays and neurite analysis; CM: Performed the BRET experiments and analysis; IT: Prepared the reagents; EL and VB: Helped with the phosphorylation experiments and data analysis; J-MT and M-CC-H: Contributed with data interpretation; CF: Performed the LC-MS/MS analysis and GA interpreted the results; GP: Helped with the phosphorylation experiments and contributed with data interpretation; PL and MC: Contributed with data interpretation and analysis; LB: Contributed with experimental design, data analysis and interpretation; PP: Designed and analyzed the BRET experiments; LC and EG: Conceived the study, designed the experiments and analyzed the data. LC and EG: Wrote the paper with contribution from all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Michael J Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research and by the Italian Telethon Foundation (grant GGP12237). This research was also supported in part and InCure (EU Joint Programme - Neurogenerative Disease Research, JPND) by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Institute on Aging, by Inserm and Lille 2 University and the researcher attraction program of the Nord Pas-de-Calais Region (currently Hauts de France Region, France, Individual fellowship to J-MT in MCCH laboratory), by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 659183 (Marie Sklodowska-Curie individual fellowship to J-MT, project RECOLOR, in MCCH laboratory) and by the Flemish Research Foundation FWO-Vlaanderen (G0E1917N). LC was supported by an EMBO short-term fellowship and SC received support by the Aldo Gini Foundation. GP is supported by Telethon (grant TDPG00514). PL is funded by the Medical Research Council (grants MR/L010933/1 and MR/N026004/1).

REFERENCES

- Adeosun, S. O., Hou, X., Zheng, B., Melrose, H. L., Mosley, T., and Wang, J. M. (2017). Human LRRK2 G2019S mutation represses post-synaptic protein PSD95 and causes cognitive impairment in transgenic mice. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 142, 182–189. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2017.05.001
- Aitken, A. (2011). Post-translational modification of 14-3-3 isoforms and regulation of cellular function. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 22, 673–680. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.08.003
- Bastea, L. I., Döppler, H., Pearce, S. E., Durand, N., Spratley, S. J., and Storz, P. (2013). Protein kinase D-mediated phosphorylation at Ser 99 regulates localization of p21-activated kinase 4. *Biochem. J.* 455, 251–260. doi: 10.1042/BJ20130281
- Beccano-Kelly, D. A., Volta, M., Munsie, L. N., Paschall, S. A., Tatarnikov, I., Co, K., et al. (2015). LRRK2 overexpression alters glutamatergic presynaptic plasticity, striatal dopamine tone, postsynaptic signal transduction, motor activity and memory. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 24, 1336–1349. doi: 10.1093/hmg/d du543
- Belluzzi, E., Gonnelli, A., Cirnaru, M.-D., Marte, A., Plotegher, N., Russo, I., et al. (2016). LRRK2 phosphorylates pre-synaptic N-ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion (NSF) protein enhancing its ATPase activity and SNARE complex disassembling rate. *Mol. Neurodegener*. 11:1. doi: 10.1186/s13024-015-0066-z
- Berg, D., Holzmann, C., and Riess, O. (2003). 14-3-3 proteins in the nervous system. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 4, 752–762. doi: 10.1038/nrn1197
- Chen, Z.-C., Zhang, W., Chua, L.-L., Chai, C., Li, R., Lin, L., et al. (2017). Phosphorylation of amyloid precursor protein by mutant LRRK2 promotes AICD activity and neurotoxicity in Parkinson's disease. *Sci. Signal.* 10:eaam6790. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aam6790
- Chia, R., Haddock, S., Beilina, A., Rudenko, I. N., Mamais, A., Kaganovich, A., et al. (2014). Phosphorylation of LRRK2 by casein kinase 1α regulates trans-Golgi clustering via differential interaction with ARHGEF7. *Nat. Commun.* 5:5827. doi: 10.1038/ncomms6827
- Civiero, L., Cirnaru, M. D., Beilina, A., Rodella, U., Russo, I., Belluzzi, E., et al. (2015). Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 interacts with p21-activated kinase 6 to control neurite complexity in mammalian brain. *J. Neurochem.* 135, 1242–1256. doi: 10.1111/jnc.13369
- Civiero, L., Dihanich, S., Lewis, P. A., and Greggio, E. (2014). Genetic, structural, and molecular insights into the function of ras of complex proteins domains. *Chem. Biol.* 21, 809–818. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2014.05.010
- Civiero, L., and Greggio, E. (2017). PAKs in the brain: function and dysfunction. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1864, 444–453. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2017.11.005
- Civiero, L., Vancraenenbroeck, R., Belluzzi, E., Beilina, A., Lobbestael, E., Reyniers, L., et al. (2012). Biochemical characterization of highly purified leucine-rich repeat kinases 1 and 2 demonstrates formation of homodimers. *PLoS ONE* 7:e43472. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043472
- Clokie, S. J., Cheung, K. Y., Mackie, S., Marquez, R., Peden, A. H., and Aitken, A. (2005). BCR kinase phosphorylates 14-3-3 Tau on residue 233. *FEBS J.* 272, 3767–3776. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2005.04765.x
- Denison, F. C., Gökirmak, T., and Ferl, R. J. (2014). Phosphorylationrelated modification at the dimer interface of 14-3-3ω dramatically alters monomer interaction dynamics. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 541, 1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2013.10.025

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the "Cassa di Risparmio di Padova e Rovigo" (Cariparo) for funding the acquisition of the LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnmol. 2017.00417/full#supplementary-material

- Dzamko, N., Deak, M., Hentati, F., Reith, A. D., Prescott, A. R., Alessi, D. R., et al. (2010). Inhibition of LRRK2 kinase activity leads to dephosphorylation of Ser(910)/Ser(935), disruption of 14-3-3 binding and altered cytoplasmic localization. *Biochem. J.* 430, 405–413. doi: 10.1042/BJ20100784
- Dzamko, N., Inesta-Vaquera, F., Zhang, J., Xie, C., Cai, H., Arthur, S., et al. (2012). The IkappaB kinase family phosphorylates the Parkinson's disease kinase LRRK2 at Ser935 and Ser910 during toll-like receptor signaling. *PLoS ONE* 7:e39132. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039132
- Fuji, R. N., Flagella, M., Baca, M., Baptista, M. A. S., Brodbeck, J., Chan, B. K., et al. (2015). Effect of selective LRRK2 kinase inhibition on nonhuman primate lung. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 7:273ra15. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaa3634
- Gloeckner, C. J., Boldt, K., von Zweydorf, F., Helm, S., Wiesent, L., Sarioglu, H., et al. (2010). Phosphopeptide analysis reveals two discrete clusters of phosphorylation in the N-terminus and the Roc domain of the Parkinsondisease associated protein kinase LRRK2. J. Proteome Res. 9, 1738–1745. doi: 10.1021/pr9008578
- Greggio, E., Jain, S., Kingsbury, A., Bandopadhyay, R., Lewis, P., Kaganovich, A., et al. (2006). Kinase activity is required for the toxic effects of mutant LRRK2/dardarin. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 23, 329–341. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2006.04.001
- Greggio, E., Taymans, J.-M., Zhen, E. Y., Ryder, J., Vancraenenbroeck, R., Beilina, A., et al. (2009). The Parkinson's disease kinase LRRK2 autophosphorylates its GTPase domain at multiple sites. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 389, 449–454. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.08.163
- Greggio, E., Zambrano, I., Kaganovich, A., Beilina, A., Taymans, J.-M., Daniëls, V., et al. (2008). The Parkinson disease-associated leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) is a dimer that undergoes intramolecular autophosphorylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 16906–16914. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M708718200
- Gu, Y.-M., Jin, Y.-H., Choi, J.-K., Baek, K.-H., Yeo, C.-Y., and Lee, K.-Y. (2006). Protein kinase A phosphorylates and regulates dimerization of 14-3-3 epsilon. *FEBS Lett.* 580, 305–310. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2005.12.024
- Guaitoli, G., Raimondi, F., Gilsbach, B. K., Gómez-Llorente, Y., Deyaert, E., Renzi, F., et al. (2016). Structural model of the dimeric Parkinson's protein LRRK2 reveals a compact architecture involving distant interdomain contacts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, E4357–E4366. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1523708113
- Ha, B. H., Davis, M. J., Chen, C., Lou, H. J., Gao, J., Zhang, R., et al. (2012). Type II p21-activated kinases (PAKs) are regulated by an autoinhibitory pseudosubstrate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 16107–16112. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1214447109
- Ito, G., Katsemonova, K., Tonelli, F., Lis, P., Baptista, M. A. S., Shpiro, N., et al. (2016). Phos-tag analysis of Rab10 phosphorylation by LRRK2: a powerful assay for assessing kinase function and inhibitors. *Biochem. J.* 473, 2671–2685. doi: 10.1042/BCJ20160557
- Kaplan, A., Morquette, B., Kroner, A., Leong, S., Madwar, C., Sanz, R., et al. (2017). Small-molecule stabilization of 14-3-3 protein-protein interactions stimulates axon regeneration. *Neuron* 93, 1082–1093.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2017.02.018
- Kaur, R., Liu, X., Gjoerup, O., Zhang, A., Yuan, X., Balk, S. P., et al. (2005). Activation of p21-activated kinase 6 by MAP kinase kinase 6 and p38 MAP kinase. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 3323–3330. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M406701200
- Kumar, R., Sanawar, R., Li, X., and Li, F. (2017). Structure, biochemistry, and biology of PAK kinases. *Gene* 605, 20–31. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2016. 12.014

- Lavalley, N. J., Slone, S. R., Ding, H., West, A. B., and Yacoubian, T. A. (2016). 14-3-3 Proteins regulate mutant LRRK2 kinase activity and neurite shortening. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 25, 109–122. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddv453
- Li, X., Patel, J. C., Wang, J., Avshalumov, M. V., Nicholson, C., Buxbaum, J. D., et al. (2010). Enhanced striatal dopamine transmission and motor performance with LRRK2 overexpression in mice is eliminated by familial Parkinson's disease mutation G2019S. J. Neurosci. 30, 1788–1797. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5604-09.2010
- Li, X., Wang, Q. J., Pan, N., Lee, S., Zhao, Y., Chait, B. T., et al. (2011). Phosphorylation-dependent 14-3-3 binding to LRRK2 is impaired by common mutations of familial Parkinson's disease. *PLoS ONE* 6:e17153. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017153
- Liu, Z., Mobley, J. A., DeLucas, L. J., Kahn, R. A., and West, A. B. (2016). LRRK2 autophosphorylation enhances its GTPase activity. *FASEB J.* 30, 336–347. doi: 10.1096/fj.15-277095
- Lobbestael, E., Zhao, J., Rudenko, I. N., Beylina, A., Gao, F., Wetter, J., et al. (2013). Identification of protein phosphatase 1 as a regulator of the LRRK2 phosphorylation cycle. *Biochem. J.* 456, 119–128. doi: 10.1042/BJ20121772
- Longo, F., Russo, I., Shimshek, D. R., Greggio, E., and Morari, M. (2014). Genetic and pharmacological evidence that G2019S LRRK2 confers a hyperkinetic phenotype, resistant to motor decline associated with aging. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 71, 62–73. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2014.07.013
- Longo, F., Mercatelli, D., Novello, S., Arcuri, L., Brugnoli, A., Vincenzi, F., et al. (2017). Age-dependent dopamine transporter dysfunction and Serine129 phospho-α-synuclein overload in G2019S LRRK2 mice. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* 5:22. doi: 10.1186/s40478-017-0426-8
- Mackintosh, C. (2004). Dynamic interactions between 14-3-3 proteins and phosphoproteins regulate diverse cellular processes. *Biochem. J.* 381, 329–342. doi: 10.1042/BJ20031332
- MacLeod, D., Dowman, J., Hammond, R., Leete, T., Inoue, K., and Abeliovich, A. (2006). The familial Parkinsonism gene LRRK2 regulates neurite process morphology. *Neuron* 52, 587–593. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006.10.008
- Matikainen-Ankney, B. A., Kezunovic, N., Mesias, R. E., Tian, Y., Williams, F. M., Huntley, G. W., et al. (2016). Altered development of synapse structure and function in striatum caused by Parkinson's disease-linked LRRK2-G2019S mutation. J. Neurosci. 36, 7128–7141. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3314-15.2016
- Melrose, H. L., Dächsel, J. C., Behrouz, B., Lincoln, S. J., Yue, M., Hinkle, K. M., et al. (2010). Impaired dopaminergic neurotransmission and microtubule-associated protein tau alterations in human LRRK2 transgenic mice. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 40, 503–517. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2010.07.010
- Muda, K., Bertinetti, D., Gesellchen, F., Hermann, J. S., von Zweydorf, F., Geerlof, A., et al. (2014). Parkinson-related LRRK2 mutation R1441C/G/H impairs PKA phosphorylation of LRRK2 and disrupts its interaction with 14-3-3. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, E34–E43. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1312701111
- Nekrasova, T., Jobes, M. L., Ting, J. H., Wagner, G. C., and Minden, A. (2008). Targeted disruption of the Pak5 and Pak6 genes in mice leads to deficits in learning and locomotion. *Dev. Biol.* 322, 95–108. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.07.006
- Nichols, R. J., Dzamko, N., Morrice, N. A., Campbell, D. G., Deak, M., Ordureau, A., et al. (2010). 14-3-3 binding to LRRK2 is disrupted by multiple Parkinson's disease-associated mutations and regulates cytoplasmic localization. *Biochem.* J. 430, 393–404. doi: 10.1042/BJ20100483
- Rennefahrt, U. E. E., Deacon, S. W., Parker, S. A., Devarajan, K., Beeser, A., Chernoff, J., et al. (2007). Specificity profiling of Pak kinases allows identification of novel phosphorylation sites. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 15667–15678. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M700253200
- Schrantz, N., da Silva Correia, J., Fowler, B., Ge, Q., Sun, Z., and Bokoch, G. M. (2004). Mechanism of p21-activated kinase 6-mediated inhibition of androgen

receptor signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 1922-1931. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M3111 45200

- Sepulveda, B., Mesias, R., Li, X., Yue, Z., and Benson, D. L. (2013). Short- and long-term effects of LRRK2 on axon and dendrite growth. *PLoS ONE* 8:e61986. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061986
- Sheng, Z., Zhang, S., Bustos, D., Kleinheinz, T., Le Pichon, C. E., Dominguez, S. L., et al. (2012). Ser1292 autophosphorylation is an indicator of LRRK2 kinase activity and contributes to the cellular effects of PD mutations. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 4:164ra161. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3004485
- Sluchanko, N. N., and Gusev, N. B. (2010). 14-3-3 proteins and regulation of cytoskeleton. *Biochemistry* 75, 1528–1546. doi: 10.1134/S0006297910130031
- Sluchanko, N. N., and Gusev, N. B. (2012). Oligomeric structure of 14-3-3 protein: what do we know about monomers? *FEBS Lett.* 586, 4249–4256. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2012.10.048
- Sluchanko, N. N., and Gusev, N. B. (2017). Moonlighting chaperone-like activity of the universal regulatory 14-3-3 proteins. *FEBS J.* 284, 1279–1295. doi: 10.1111/febs.13986
- Steger, M., Tonelli, F., Ito, G., Davies, P., Trost, M., Vetter, M., et al. (2016). Phosphoproteomics reveals that Parkinson's disease kinase LRRK2 regulates a subset of Rab GTPases. *Elife* 5:e12813. doi: 10.7554/eLife. 12813
- Taus, T., Köcher, T., Pichler, P., Paschke, C., Schmidt, A., Henrich, C., et al. (2011). Universal and confident phosphorylation site localization using phosphoRS. J. Proteome Res. 10, 5354–5362. doi: 10.1021/pr200611n
- Tinti, M., Madeira, F., Murugesan, G., Hoxhaj, G., Toth, R., and Mackintosh, C. (2014). ANIA: annotation and integrated analysis of the 14-3-3 interactome. *Database* 2014:bat085. doi: 10.1093/database/bat085
- Tzivion, G., Luo, Z., and Avruch, J. (1998). A dimeric 14-3-3 protein is an essential cofactor for Raf kinase activity. *Nature* 394, 88–92. doi: 10.1038/27938
- Winner, B., Melrose, H. L., Zhao, C., Hinkle, K. M., Yue, M., Kent, C., et al. (2011). Adult neurogenesis and neurite outgrowth are impaired in LRRK2 G2019S mice. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 41, 706–716. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2010. 12.008
- Woodcock, J. M., Murphy, J., Stomski, F. C., Berndt, M. C., and Lopez, A. F. (2003). The dimeric versus monomeric status of 14-3-3zeta is controlled by phosphorylation of Ser58 at the dimer interface. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 36323–36327. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M304689200
- Yue, M., Hinkle, K. M., Davies, P., Trushina, E., Fiesel, F. C., Christenson, T. A., et al. (2015). Progressive dopaminergic alterations and mitochondrial abnormalities in LRRK2 G2019S knock-in mice. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 78, 172–195. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2015.02.031
- Zhou, J., Shao, Z., Kerkela, R., Ichijo, H., Muslin, A. J., Pombo, C., et al. (2009). Serine 58 of 14-3-3zeta is a molecular switch regulating ASK1 and oxidant stress-induced cell death. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 29, 4167–4176. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01067-08

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Civiero, Cogo, Kiekens, Morganti, Tessari, Lobbestael, Baekelandt, Taymans, Chartier-Harlin, Franchin, Arrigoni, Lewis, Piccoli, Bubacco, Cookson, Pinton and Greggio. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.