

Original article

Scand J Work Environ Health 2017;43(6):540-549

doi:10.5271/sjweh.3675

The benefits of paid employment among persons with common mental health problems: evidence for the selection and causation mechanism

by Schuring M, Robroek SJW, Burdorf A

There is a need to develop alternative evaluation designs to evaluate the effect of entering paid employment on health in observational studies. A hybrid model, combining fixed effects and random effects, enables the disentanglement of the selection and causation processes in paid employment. This study shows that employment has a positive influence on mental- and physical health, especially among high educated persons.

Affiliation: Erasmus University Medical Center, Department of Public Health, P.O. Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands. m.schuring@erasmusmc.nl

Refers to the following texts of the Journal: 2013;39(2):121-216 2015;41(5):421-507

Key terms: benefit of paid employment; benefit of working; causation; common mental health problem; educational inequality; employment; enter employment; happiness; mastery; mental health; mental health problem; paid employment; physical health; re-employment; selection; self-esteem

This article in PubMed: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28967666

Additional material

Please note that there is additional material available belonging to this article on the *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* -website.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Original article

Scand J Work Environ Health. 2017;43(6):540–549. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3675

The benefits of paid employment among persons with common mental health problems: evidence for the selection and causation mechanism

by Merel Schuring, PhD,¹ Suzan JW Robroek, PhD,¹ Alex Burdorf, PhD¹

Schuring M, Robroek SJW, Burdorf A. The benefits of paid employment among persons with common mental health problems: evidence for the selection and causation mechanism. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2017;43(6):540–549. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3675

Objectives The aims of this study were to (i) investigate the impact of paid employment on self-rated health, self-esteem, mastery, and happiness among previously unemployed persons with common mental health problems, and (ii) determine whether there are educational inequalities in these effects.

Methods A quasi-experimental study was performed with a two-year follow-up period among unemployed persons with mental health problems. Eligible participants were identified at the social services departments of five cities in The Netherlands when being diagnosed with a common mental disorder, primarily depression and anxiety disorders, in the past 12 months by a physician (N=749). Employment status (defined as paid employment for \geq 12 hours/week), mental health [Short Form 12 (SF-12)], physical health (SF-12), self-esteem, mastery, and happiness were measured at baseline, after 12 months and 24 months. The repeated-measurement longitudinal data were analyzed using a hybrid method, combining fixed and random effects. The regression coefficient was decomposed into between- and within-individual associations, respectively.

Results The between-individuals associations showed that persons working \geq 12 hours per week reported better mental health (b=26.7, SE 5.1), mastery (b=2.7, SE 0.6), self-esteem (b=5.7, SE 1.1), physical health (b=14.6, SE 5.6) and happiness (OR 7.7, 95% CI 2.3–26.4). The within-individual associations showed that entering paid employment for \geq 12 hours per week resulted in better mental health (b=16.3, SE 3.4), mastery (b=1.7, SE 0.4), self-esteem (b=3.4, SE 0.7), physical health (b=9.8, SE 2.9), and happiness (OR 3.1, 95% CI 1.4–6.9). Among intermediate- and high-educated persons, entering paid employment had significantly larger effect on mental health than among low-educated persons.

Conclusions This study provides evidence that entering paid employment has a positive impact on self-reported health; thus work should be considered as an important part of health promotion programs among unemployed persons.

Key terms educational inequality; enter employment; happiness; mastery; mental health; physical health; reemployment; self-esteem.

Unemployed persons have a poorer physical as well as mental health (1-6). Two different hypotheses explain the health inequalities between employed and unemployed persons. The causation hypothesis states that persons who lose paid employment will deteriorate in health, whereas unemployed persons who enter paid employment will improve in health (3, 7). The selection hypothesis states that persons who leave paid employment already have a poorer health status before leaving employment compared to persons who remain employed, whereas persons who enter paid employment already

have a better health status before entering employment compared to persons who remain unemployed (8–11). These two hypotheses are not mutually exclusive and both mechanisms may contribute to the health inequalities between employed and unemployed persons.

Evidence for the beneficial effects of entering paid employment on health is mainly based on observational studies comparing those entering paid employment with those remaining unemployed. In a review of observational studies, Waddell & Burton (12) concluded that re-employment leads to benefits in psychological health

¹ Erasmus University Medical Center, Department of Public Health, P.O. Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

Correspondence to: Merel Schuring, Erasmus University Medical Center, Department of Public Health, P.O. Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands. [E-mail: m.schuring@erasmusmc.nl]

and some measures of well-being. Another systematic review of 33 observational longitudinal studies showed that entering paid employment reduced the risk of depression and improved general mental health (13). In addition, a recent systematic review of Luciano (14) showed that entering paid employment was associated with decreased psychiatric treatment and increased self-esteem among persons with schizophrenia or other severe mental illnesses. However, in these observational studies entering paid employment is not considered as a randomly occurring event. Therefore, those who entered paid employment probably differed in (un)observed covariates from those who remained unemployed, which could have led to biased estimates of the effect of employment on health. For example, unemployed persons with improving health will be more likely to find a job and their health will continue to improve thereafter. Because it is not possible to investigate the effect of entering paid employment on health in a randomized controlled trial due to ethical and practical reasons, there is a need to develop alternative evaluation designs to evaluate the effect of entering paid employment on health with observational data while dealing with potential bias (15, 16).

Few studies have used random-effects models to assess the influence of employment conditions on health (17, 18). Random-effects models are commonly applied to panel data to assess the influence of variables that change over time (eg, employment status) as well as individual stable characteristics. A central assumption of random models is that the variation between persons is assumed to be random and uncorrelated with exposure variables included in the model. When (unmeasured) personal characteristics are correlated with the exposure variable (employment status), the random-effects model is likely to give biased results (18).

An alternative to random-effects models, fixedeffects models provide a way to estimate causal effects in analysis where units (individuals) are measured repeatedly over time. The essence of a fixed-effects method is that each individual serves as his or her own control, which is accomplished by making comparisons *within* individuals (19). The strength of the fixed-effects method is that it can eliminate the effects of confounding variables without measuring them or even knowing exactly what they are, as long as they are stable over time (20). However, because fixed-effects models remove the effects of all time-invariant causes – measured as well as unmeasured – it is not possible to estimate differences between individuals with respect to time-invariant covariates.

Recently developed hybrid methods make it possible to analyze both within-individual changes as well as time-invariant covariates (19, 20). The hybrid method, also called the between-within method, combines some of the virtues of the fixed-effects models with randomeffects models. In the hybrid models, the time-varying independent variables are transformed into deviations from their person-specific means (within-individual estimates). In addition, time-invariant variables as well as the person-specific means of the time-varying variables (between-individual estimates) are included in the model. Finally, a random intercept is added to take into account the dependence of the multiple observations for each person. With the hybrid method, causal effects of entering paid employment can be analyzed as withinindividual associations, whereas selection of person into the labor force can be analyzed as between-individual associations.

The hybrid model makes it also possible to investigate differences between socioeconomic groups. A prospective study of the European working age population showed that entering paid employment was preceded by self-rated health improvements among intermediate and high-educated persons, whereas among low educated workers self-rated health improved in the year of entering paid employment and continued to improve in the year thereafter (7). Hence, there may be differences between socioeconomic groups in the relative importance of the selection and causation mechanism contributing to the health inequalities between employed and unemployed persons.

The first aim of this study is to investigate the influence of paid employment on self-rated health, selfesteem, mastery and happiness among persons with common mental health problems on unemployment benefits. The second aim is to determine whether there are educational inequalities in the influence of paid employment on health and psychological measures. It is hypothesized that both the selection mechanism as well as the causation mechanism contribute to the health inequalities between employed and unemployed persons. Within-individual improvements of self-rated health, self-esteem, mastery and happiness among persons who entered employment would support the causation hypothesis. Differences in self-rated health, selfesteem, mastery and happiness between employed and unemployed would support the selection hypothesis.

Methods

Study design and population

A quasi-experimental study with two years follow-up was conducted in five cities in The Netherlands (Amsterdam, Utrecht, The Hague, Rotterdam, and Capelle aan den IJssel). The study population consisted of long-term unemployed persons who received a social security ben-

efit from participating cities. In The Netherlands persons who become unemployed will first receive an unemployment benefit and are transferred to the social security benefit after prolonged unemployment of 3-24 months depending on their employment history. Unemployed persons are eligible for this benefit when they do not have paid employment or the number of hours worked per week is too low. Eligible participants were identified at the social services departments of the five cities when being diagnosed with a common mental disorder, primarily depression and anxiety disorders, in the past 12 months by a physician. Such a medical examination is common practice in the five cities. This examination also ensured that eligible participants were medically fit enough to be able to perform paid employment for ≥32 hours/week. Additional requirements for enrolment were: (i) sufficient labor market skills that would enable work resumption within 24 months, (ii) sufficient skills to be able to provide answers in an interview, (iii) existing contact or eligible for contact with primary mental healthcare services, (iv) no severe acute psychiatric illness, (v) no drug addiction or homelessness, and (vi) aged <50 years. From March 2012 until October 2014, 1212 persons were invited to participate in the study. Respondents of the baseline questionnaire received a second and third questionnaire, one respectively two years later, following the same procedure. Figure 1 shows the diagram of the flow of participants through the phases of the study. The Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus University Medical Center approved the study.

Data collection

A questionnaire was sent to the home address of the participants, followed by two reminders, two and, respectively, four weeks later. As a large part of the study population had a non-Dutch background, the covering letter and questionnaire were translated into Turkish and Moroccan-Arabic and sent in addition to the Dutch questionnaire to persons with a Turkish or Moroccan surname. If persons needed help with completing the questionnaire, they could get in touch with an interviewer. Persons who did not reply to the postal questionnaire, were visited by an interviewer at their home address with at least three attempts at different day times during a two-week period. The interviewers were matched with persons based on their ethnic background and could offer an interview in the mother tongue (Dutch, Turkish, Arabic).

Outcome measures

Mental and physical health were measured with the Mental and Physical Component Summary scales of the



Figure 1. Flow chart filled out questionnaires at baseline and one respectively two years later.

12-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12) (21) (eg, "During the past four weeks, have you felt calm and peaceful?", "During the past four weeks, how much of the time has your physical health or emotional problems interfered with your social activities?" answered on a 5-point scale, ranging from "all of the time", "most of the time", "some of the time", "a little of the time" to "none of the time"). Scores could range from 0-100, with a higher score indicating a better health-related quality of life. A mental health score below the cut-off point of 45.6 was regarded as indicative for an episode of depression in the previous 30 days (22, 23).

Mastery was measured with the Personal Mastery Scale (24), which consists of six items (eg, "I have little control over the things that happen to me", "There is little I can do to change many of the important things in my life") answered on a 4-point Likert scale, ranging from "strongly agree", "agree", "disagree" to "strongly disagree" (Cronbach's alpha=0.78). The sum score of the 6 items was calculated and ranged from 6–18; a higher score indicated a higher level of mastery.

Self-esteem was measured with the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (25), with 10 items (eg, "On the whole, I am satisfied with myself", "All in all, I am inclined to feel that I am a failure"), answered on a 4-point Likert scale, ranging from "strongly agree", "agree", "disagree" to "strongly disagree" (Cronbach's alpha=0.86). The sum score of the 10 items was calculated and ranged from 10–40, a higher score indicated a higher level of self-esteem.

Happiness was measured with one single question: "All things considered, how happy would you say that you are?", answered on a 4-point scale, ranging from "unhappy", "not so happy", "happy" to "very happy". (26) A dichotomous variable was made with score 0 for "unhappy" and "not so happy" and 1 for "happy" and "very happy".

Employment status

The official definition of paid employment in the Netherlands is being in paid employment for ≥ 12 hours per week (27). A dichotomous variable was computed, coded "1" when an individual was currently involved in paid work for at least 12 hours per week and "0" when an individual was non-employed or worked for <12 hours per week.

Individual characteristics

Sociodemographic variables, such as ethnic background, education, age, sex and marital status, were included in the study. Ethnic background of the respondent was based on the country of birth of the parents. In case the mother was born in The Netherlands, the country of birth of the father was leading. Different ethnic groups were defined, based on differences in geographical and cultural distance from The Netherlands. Three ethnic minority groups were defined (i): Turks and Moroccans (ii); Antilleans and Surinamese; and (iii) a miscellaneous group with all other countries of origin. Persons were divided into three groups according to the highest level of educational attainment. A high educational level was defined as higher vocational training or university, intermediate educational level was defined as higher secondary schooling or intermediate vocational training, and low educational level was defined as no education, primary school, lower and intermediate secondary schooling or lower vocational training. Marital status was used to distinguish those subjects married or living with a partner from others. Persons were divided in two groups based on the presence of children in the household (yes/no). Unemployment duration was defined as the number of years since last paid employment and divided into three categories ≤ 1 , 0-5, and >5 years of non-employed or never worked.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the study population at baseline: frequencies for dichotomous and categorical variables and means with standard deviations for continuous variables. In addition, the overall mean of all observations concerning health status of participants during unemployment or employment was calculated. Loss-to-follow up analysis included logistic regression analysis with all measured covariates at baseline as independent variables and response at follow-up as dichotomous outcome measure.

The repeated measurements were analyzed using a hybrid regression model, whereby individuals contributed with the baseline measurement and at least one follow-up measurement (19, 20). The regression coefficient of the independent time-varying variable (employment status, coded 0 for unemployment and 1 for employment) was decomposed into (i) a between-individuals regression coefficient representing how the exposure values averaged across all person-observations within individuals are related to the outcome, and (ii) a withinindividual regression coefficient representing how the variation in the exposure around the individual's mean level of the exposure across all person-observations is related to the outcome (28). A random intercept was added to the model to take into account the dependence of the multiple observations for each person. The following regression model was used:

$$y_{it} = \alpha_t + \beta_{0i} + \beta_W(x_{it} - x_i) + \beta_B x_i + \gamma z_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

where y_{it} is the dependent variable for individual i at time t, $\alpha_{t is}$ the time effect that is constant across individuals, β_{0i} is the individual-specific random intercept, x_{it} is the exposure variable (employment status) for the i^{th} participant at measurement t, x_i is the mean value of the exposure variable averaged across all measurement times separately within each participant, z_i are the independent variables that do not vary over time and ε_{it} is the error term (19, 20). The regression coefficient $\beta_{\rm W}$ gives the within-individual estimate and $\beta_{\rm B}$ gives the between-individuals estimate. The unstandardized within-individual estimate provides insight in the change in scores of the outcome measures among individuals who enter or exit paid employment. The unstandardized between-individual estimate provides insight in the difference in outcome measures between individuals who were unemployed versus employed. All models were adjusted for education, sex and age. Mental and physical health, self-esteem and mastery were modelled with linear regression analysis, happiness with logistic regression analysis. A sensitivity analysis was done excluding those individuals who left paid employment (N=15).

In addition, two alternative models were used to analyze the association between health and employment status. First, a fixed-effects model was used to analyses within-individual changes in health among persons who enter (or exit) paid employment. Second, a random-effects model was used to analyses differences in health between employed and unemployed persons. More information about these models can be found in appendix 1 (www.sjweh.fi/show_abstract. php?abstract_id=3675).

Effect sizes (ES) of the health change after entering paid employment were calculated by dividing the within-

individual regression coefficient by the standard deviation of each measure on population level at baseline among persons who entered paid employment. Analyses were conducted using STATA version 14.1 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). For the hybrid model, the commands "xtmixed" and "xtmelogit" were used.

Results

Figure 1 shows that 62% (749/1208) of the eligible persons who were invited to participate in the study, completed the baseline questionnaire. After one year follow-up, 78% (584/749) of the baseline participants responded, and, after two years, 79% (463/584) of the one year follow-up participants responded. Loss-to-follow up was significantly higher among higher educated persons [odds ratio (OR) 2.4, 95% CI 1.5–3.9] and persons with children (OR 1.40, 95% CI 1.0–1.9), and significantly lower among long-term unemployed persons (OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.3–0.7) and persons aged 35–45 years (OR 0.6, 95% CI 0.4–0.8). Loss-to-follow up was not related to sex, ethnical background, mental health, physical health, mastery, or self-esteem at baseline.

Table 1 shows that the majority of the participants had a low education level (65%), were not married or living with a partner (74%), were unemployed for more than one year (78%) and had a non-native Dutch ethnic background (62%). At baseline, the majority of the participants were completely out of the workforce (97%) and a small minority worked <12 (1.5%) or 12–28 (1.2%) hours per week. During the two-year follow-up period, 56 persons (8%) entered paid employment for \geq 12 hours per week. Among those persons who were employed at baseline or during follow-up (N=65), 15 persons (23%) became unemployed again.

Employed participants reported a better mental and physical health, more self-esteem, higher mastery compared to unemployed participants during all measurements. At baseline, the mean mental health score was 54.9 [standard deviation (SD) 29.5] among the employed persons, compared to 39.3 (SD 23.9) among the unemployed persons. Among those persons who were consistently unemployed mental and physical health did not change over time. Employed persons worked 18 (SD 5) hours a week at baseline, 32 (SD 9) hours a week after one year and 29 (SD 9) hours a week after two years. Being (very) happy was more often reported among the employed persons after one (67%) and two years (67%) of follow-up, compared to the unemployed persons (41% respectively 38%) as shown in table 2.

Differences between unemployed and employed persons were found for all health and psychological measures as well as happiness. Persons who were involved

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the total study population (N=749).

	Study popul	ation N=749
	<u> </u>	%
Age (years)		
18–35	304	40.6
35–45	292	39.0
45–65	153	20.4
Education		
Low	488	65.2
Intermediate	189	25.2
High	72	9.6
Sex		
Women	394	52.6
Ethnic background		
Native Dutch	287	38.3
Turkish/Moroccan	222	29.6
Antillean/Surinamese	110	14.7
Other immigrants	130	17.4
Married/living with a partner		
Yes	197	26.3
Children		
Yes	428	57.1
Unemployment duration		
<1 year	161	21.5
1–5 years	399	53.3
>5 years or never worked	189	25.2
Labor force participation		
No paid employment	729	97.3
Paid employment (<12 hours/week)	11	1.5
Paid employment (12–28 hours/week)	9	1.2

in paid employment for ≥ 12 hours per week had a better mental health [b=26.7, standard error (SE) 5.1]: the mental health score of the SF-12 scale was 26.7 points higher among employed persons compared to unemployed persons. In addition, employed persons had a better physical health (b=14.6, SE 5.6), higher self-esteem (b=5.7, SE 1.1), better mastery (b=2.7, SE 0.6) and were more likely to be happy (OR 7.7, 95% CI 2.3–26.4) than persons who did not work or worked less than 12 hours per week (table 3 & figure 2).

In addition, table 3 shows a within-individual change in mental health (b=16.3, SE 3.4) among persons who entered (N=56) or left (N=15) paid employment: the mental health score of the SF-12 scale increased or decreased by 16.3 points after entering respectively exiting paid employment. Within-individual associations were also found for employment status and physical health (b=9.8, SE 2.9), self-esteem (b=3.4, SE 0.7) and mastery (b=1.7, SE 0.4). Persons were three times more likely to be (very) happy (OR 3.1, 95% CI 1.4-6.9) during a period of employment compared to a period of non-employment. Sensitivity analyses including only persons who entered paid employment (excluding 15 persons who left paid employment) showed larger within-individual improvements of mental and physical health, mastery, self-esteem and happiness (18.7-25.9% increase).

Table 2. Mental health, physical health, mastery, self-esteem, and happiness among persons with common mental health problems who are unemployed or employed for \geq 12 hours per week, at baseline and after 1 and respectively 2 years of follow-up. [SD=standard deviation].

	Baseline							After 1 year					After 2 years					
	Employed N=9			Unemployed N=740		yed	Employed N=46		d	Unemployed N=538		ed	Employed N=42		ed	Unemployed N=421		ed
	Mean	SD	%	Mean	SD	%	Mean	SD	%	Mean	SD	%	Mean	SD	%	Mean	SD	%
Mental health (0–100, higher is better)	54.9	29.5		39.2	23.9		63.9	25.4		43.2	24.9		65.4	25.1		44.8	24.1	
Physical health (0–100, higher is better)	61.9	10.9		51.2	27.8		66.5	27.8		50.9	27.4		69.5	24.2		54.1	27.3	
Self esteem (10-40, higher is better)	28.6	6.3		26.8	4.7		31.8	4.0		26.9	5.1		32.7	5.1		27.2	5.4	
Mastery (6–18, higher is better)	11.3	2.1		10.8	2.9		13.6	2.9		11.2	3.2		14.2	3.2		11.1	3.38	
Happiness (happy/very happy)			28.6	3		35.7	7		67.	4		41.4	ļ		66.7	7		37.6

Table 3. Within (change form unemployment to employment) and between (unemployed vs. employed persons) associations of employment status and health- and psychological measures among persons with common mental health problems (N=749). Hybrid model, adjusted for age, sex, and education. [b=regression coefficient, SE=standard error, OR=odds ratio, CI=confidence interval]

		Within-in chai	idividual nge				Effect size within- individuals change		
	b	SE	OR	95% CI	b	SE	OR	95% CI	
Mental health (0–100, higher is better)	16.34	3.40			26.74	5.08			0.68
Physical health (0–100, higher is better)	9.79	2.86			14.61	5.57			0.35
Self esteem (10-40, higher is better)	3.37	0.66			5.70	1.07			0.72
Mastery (6–18, higher is better)	1.69	0.38			2.74	0.64			0.58
Happiness (happy/very happy)			3.08	1.37-6.93			7.74	2.27-26.36	



Figure 2. Within-individual (change from unemployment to employment) and between-individual (unemployed versus employed persons) associations of employment status and health- and psychological measures among persons with common mental health problems (N=749).

In the appendix (table A1), it is shown that the within-individual estimates of the fixed effects model (eg, mental health, fixed: b=16.5, SE=2.8) were similar to the within-individual estimates of the hybrid model. The estimates of the random-effects model (eg, difference in mental health between employment and unemployment status: b=18.4, SE 2.5) were larger than the within-individual estimates in the hybrid model.

Additional analyses were done to investigate whether more hours of employment was associated with better health indices. Improvement of mental health seemed to be more profound among persons who worked >32hours per week, although the differences in mental health improvements between part-time (b=14.9, SE 4.6) and fulltime (b=18.1, SE 4.8) were not statistically significant.

Persons with intermediate or high education had a higher likelihood of being employed than persons with low education. However, the majority of employed persons at baseline or follow-up had low education (58%). Table 4 shows a positive within-individual association between paid employment and mental health among low educated persons (b=8.9, ES 0.23). Among intermediateand high-educated persons, the within-individual association of employment with mental health (b=28.1, ES 0.72) was significantly larger than among low-educated persons. Within-individual associations of employment status with self-esteem, mastery, and happiness seemed

Table 4.	Within (chang	je from u	inemployn	nent to e	mployment	:) and	between	(unemployed	versus	employed	l persons)	associa	itions of
employm	ent status and	health- a	and psycho	ological n	neasures ar	nong	low- and i	ntermediate/	high edu	cated pers	sons with	commor	n mental
health pr	oblems (N=74	9). Hybrid	d model, a	adjusted	for age, sex	k, and	education	n. [b=regress	ion coef	ficient, SE	=standard	error, O	R=odds
ratio, CI=	confidence int	erval]											

				Low educat	ion lev	el			Intermediate and high education level									
		Wit	thin			E	Betwee	n			Between							
	b (effect size)	SE	OR	95% CI	b	SE	OR	95% CI	b (effect size)	SE	OR	95% CI	b	SE	OR	95% CI		
Mental health (0–100, higher is better)	8.93 (0.23)	4.05			26.32	5.14			28.14 ª (0.72)	4.86			27.25	5.11				
Physical health (0–100, higher is better)	9.44 (0.34)	3.62			13.02	5.64			10.36 (0.37)	4.53			17.20 ª	5.62				
Self esteem (10–40, higher is better)	2.83 (0.60)	0.83			5.46	1.08			4.22 (0.90)	0.99			5.99	1.08				
Mastery (6–18, higher is better) Happiness (happy very happy)	1.58 (0.55) /	0.48	1.94	0.72–5.27	2.57	0.65	7.40	2.13–25.73	1.88 (0.65)	0.62	6.91	1.74–27.30	2.98	0.65	8.77	2.52–30.54		

^a Significant difference intermediate/high compared to low educational group.

to be more profound among intermediate- and high- than low-educated persons. However, these educational differences were not statistically significant.

Discussion

The findings of the current study support the presence of selection and causation mechanisms contributing to health inequalities between employed and unemployed persons. A difference in self-rated health, self-esteem, mastery, and happiness between employed and unemployed persons was found, supporting the selection hypothesis. Within-individual improvements of selfrated health, self-esteem, mastery, and happiness among persons who entered employment were found, supporting the causation hypothesis. The change in mental health within individuals was significantly larger among higher- compared to low-educated persons. This suggests that the causation mechanism is more important in explaining mental health inequalities between employed and unemployed persons among higher- compared to low-educated persons.

This study provided evidence that employment is beneficial for health, especially mental health. The current study corroborates the findings of earlier observational studies. Different theories have described the mechanism through which employment has a positive influence on health and wellbeing. Already in 1982, Jahoda (29) described that employment provides people with five latent functions: (i) time structures; the possibility to fill the day with planned activities, (ii) collective purpose; the feeling of being useful, of being needed by other people, (iii) social contacts; enlarging the social horizon outside the family, (iv) social status; even a low status, for example as a manual worker, is better than no status at all, and (v) activity; being active, even due to external forces such as the need to earning a living, is better than being passive. Jahoda's model was endorsed by a study among a representative sample of the German population, which showed that employed persons generally reported more access to the latent functions of employment compared to unemployed persons, and all latent functions were associated with psychological well-being (30).

During the two-year follow-up period, less than 10% of the study population entered paid employment, which was comparable with a German study (8%) among disabled persons on vocational rehabilitation (31). In this latter study, a fixed-effects model was applied to estimate within-individual changes of mental and physical health among persons with disabilities in vocational rehabilitation. However, within-individual changes in the SF-12 mental and physical health score were smaller in the German study (+6 and +3, respectively), compared to the current study (+16 and +10, respectively). Participants of the German study received disability benefits due to chronic disabilities, whereas participants of the current study received unemployment benefits. The mental health problems of participants of the current study may have been the result of the unemployment situation. Entering paid employment may contribute to recovery of mental health problems to a larger extent when these health problems are the result of the unemployment situation.

In the current study, mental health benefits of employment were more profound among mid-to-highcompared to low-educated workers. The causation mechanism may be more important in contributing to health inequalities between unemployed and employed persons among mid-to-high- compared to low-educated persons. Mid-to-high-educated workers may have better psychosocial working conditions, higher financial rewards and more job security, which are associated with mental health. A Dutch study among a representative sample of the Dutch working population showed that lower-educated employed persons were more likely to have jobs with low job control, low rewards and high physical job demands (32). Future research could investigate educational differences in the impact of entering paid employment on health.

Due to recent developments towards a more flexible labor market, research has put large emphasis on the negative effects of job insecurity on the health of workers (33-35). Participants of the current study who entered paid employment generally had high levels of job insecurity. During follow up, 15 out of 64 employed persons lost employment (23%), which indicates that there were in-out employment trajectories. Job insecurity has been shown to be associated with negative health outcomes (33). However, as temporary workers are subject to health-related selection into employment, some studies have shown that temporary employment is associated with better health (33). The between-individual differences in mental as well as physical health provided evidence for the health-related selection into paid employment. Although job insecurity was generally high, a positive within-individual association between employment status and all health and psychological measures was found among persons who entered paid employment. Hence, this study shows that after a prolonged period of unemployment, gaining paid employment with high job insecurity is better for health than having no job at all.

The strength of the current study is the combination of fixed and random effects in the hybrid model, which enables the disentanglement of selection and causation processes in paid employment in an observational study. The fixed-effects model provides a way to estimate causal effects in analysis where units (individuals) are measured repeatedly over time. The essence of a fixedeffects method is that each individual serves as his or her own control, which is accomplished by making comparisons within individuals (19). The fixed effects in our model were expressed by within-individuals associations, estimating the effect of entering paid employment on several outcome measures, including health. These estimates can be interpreted as causal relations in the causation process under the assumption that effects of confounding variables, whether measured or not, will have remained stable over time (20). The hybrid model extends the fixed-effects model with estimations of the differences *between* individuals with and without paid employment, reflecting the selection process. It is of interest to note that the observed effects within and between individuals were of similar magnitude, although the within-individuals associations were usually a little lower. This may be linked to the fact that the effects of entering paid employment were constrained to the twoyear follow-up time, whereas the differences between those employed and those not employed at baseline may reflect longer time periods.

To be able to appreciate the benefits of the hybrid model, results of two alternative modeling techniques (ie, the fixed- and random-effects models) are presented in an appendix (www.sjweh.fi/show abstract. php?abstract id=3675). The estimates of the fixed and hybrid model are comparable, although the hybrid model has the advantage of also providing information about differences between individuals with and without paid employment. The estimates of the random-effects model are larger than the within-individual estimates of the fixed-effects and hybrid models. The randomeffects model estimates the health difference between being employed and being unemployed, combining persons who move from unemployment to employment with persons who remain employed or unemployed. This reflects a combination of the selection as well as the causation mechanisms. The observed differences between employed and unemployed persons in the random-effects model may be the result of short-term effects of entering paid employment as well as longterm effects of being (un)employed in the years before the study. This is therefore not suitable to disentangle the selection and causation mechanisms. However, an advantage of the random-effects model is the higher power because all persons are included in the model. A limitation of the fixed-effects and hybrid models is that only persons who enter or exit paid employment are used to estimate within-individual changes. A limitation of the fixed-effects and hybrid models is therefore the larger SE and CI of the estimates, especially with logistic regression analyses, compared to random-effects models. The large majority of the study population was not involved in paid employment. However, some of the participants had small part-time jobs. During the followup period of the study, some of the part-time employed persons lost their jobs. All employment transitions inand out of the labor force were included in the analyses of the within-individual associations of employment and health. Therefore, it is not only the effect of entering paid employment (N=56), but also the effect of exiting paid employment (N=15) that contribute to the withinindividual associations of employment and health. A sensitivity analysis, excluding the transitions out of the workforce showed larger (19-26%) within-individual improvements of health. Therefore, the within-individual association of employment and health that were presented in this study may reflect a conservative estimate of the effect on entering paid employment on health

We should consider that reversed causality may have played a role in the within-individual associations between health and employment, whereby improvement of mental health may increase the likelihood of entering paid employment. A good way to deal with reversed causality is to use time-lags between the independent and dependent variables. However, with annual waves, such a lagged model cannot address well the short-term effects of work on health and vice versa. In our study, a lagged analysis was not feasible since data on three consecutive measurements were not available for all participants and the proportion of persons who entered paid employment was small. Larger studies are needed to determine the causal relation of employment transitions on perceived health.

The study population consisted of a very specific group of long-term unemployed persons with common mental health problems, diagnosed by a physician, primarily living in the four largest cities of the Netherlands. This may limit generalizability of the study findings to other populations, such as unemployed persons with other health problems. In addition, it would be of interest to evaluate whether these findings depend on macro-level contexts and policies. A recent study in the USA showed that the association of unemployment with poor health was lower when the generosity of state unemployment benefits was higher (36). Therefore, differences in benefit regulations may influence the impact of entering paid employment on health among persons on unemployment benefits.

In a recent report on the health of the British working age population, Black (37) appealed for changing perceptions of fitness for work. Instead of sticking to the idea that one cannot work unless 100% fit, it is recommended that a campaign should be launched to make employers, healthcare professionals, and the general public aware that work is in general good for health. This study provides evidence that entering paid employment has a positive impact on self-reported health; thus work should be considered as an important part of health promotion programs among unemployed persons.

References

- Mathers CD, Schofield DJ. The health consequences of unemployment: the evidence. Med J Aust. 1998 Feb;168(4):178-82.
- Wanberg CR. The individual experience of unemployment. Annu Rev Psychol. 2012;63:369–96. PubMed http://dx.doi. org/10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100500.

- 3. Janlert U. Unemployment as a disease and diseases of the unemployed. Scand J Work Environ Health. 1997;23 Suppl 3:79–83.
- Price RH, Choi JN, Vinokur AD. Links in the chain of adversity following job loss: how financial strain and loss of personal control lead to depression, impaired functioning, and poor health. J Occup Health Psychol. 2002 Oct;7(4):302–12. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.7.4.302.
- Murphy GC, Athanasou JA. The effect of unemployment on mental health. J Occup Health Psychol. 1999;72:83–99.
- McKee-Ryan F, Song Z, Wanberg CR, Kinicki AJ. Psychological and physical well-being during unemployment: a meta-analytic study. J Appl Psychol. 2005 Jan;90(1):53–76. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.1.53.
- Schuring M, Robroek SJ, Lingsma HF, Burdorf A. Educational differences in trajectories of self-rated health before, during, and after entering or leaving paid employment in the European workforce. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2015 Sep;41(5):441–50. PubMed http:// dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3514.
- Thomas C, Benzeval M, Stansfeld SA. Employment transitions and mental health: an analysis from the British household panel survey. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2005 Mar;59(3):243–9. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ jech.2004.019778.
- van den Berg T, Schuring M, Avendano M, Mackenbach J, Burdorf A. The impact of ill health on exit from paid employment in Europe among older workers. Occup Environ Med. 2010 Dec;67(12):845–52. PubMed http:// dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2009.051730.
- Schuring M, Burdorf L, Kunst A, Mackenbach J. The effects of ill health on entering and maintaining paid employment: evidence in European countries. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2007 Jul;61(7):597–604. PubMed http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/jech.2006.047456.
- Schuring M, Robroek SJ, Otten FW, Arts CH, Burdorf A. The effect of ill health and socioeconomic status on labor force exit and re-employment: a prospective study with ten years follow-up in the Netherlands. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2013 Mar;39(2):134–43. PubMed http://dx.doi. org/10.5271/sjweh.3321.
- Waddell G, Burton AK. Is work good for your health and well-being? London: The Stationery Office. 2006 (www. tsoshop.co.uk):ISBN:9780117036949.
- van der Noordt M, IJzelenberg H, Droomers M, Proper KI. Health effects of employment: a systematic review of prospective studies. Occup Environ Med. 2014 Oct;71(10):730-6. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ oemed-2013-101891.
- Luciano A, Bond GR, Drake RE. Does employment alter the course and outcome of schizophrenia and other severe mental illnesses? A systematic review of longitudinal research. Schizophr Res. 2014 Nov;159(2-3):312–21. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2014.09.010.

- Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. Int J Nurs Stud. 2013 May;50(5):587–92. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2012.09.010.
- Craig P, Cooper C, Gunnell D, Haw S, Lawson K, Macintyre S et al. Using natural experiments to evaluate population health interventions: new Medical Research Council guidance. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2012 Dec;66(12):1182–6.http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech-2011-200375.
- Bacci S, Pigini C, Seracini M, Minelli L. Employment Condition, Economic Deprivation and Self-Evaluated Health in Europe: evidence from EU-SILC 2009-2012. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2017 Feb;14(2):143. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14020143.
- Milner A, LaMontagne AD. Underemployment and mental health: comparing fixed-effects and random-effects regression approaches in an Australian working population cohort. Occup Environ Med. 2017 May;74(5):344–50. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2016-103706.
- 19. Allison PD. Fixed effects regression models. London: Sage. 2009.
- Firebaugh G, Warner C, Massoglia M. Fixed effects, random effects, and hybrid models for causal analysis. In: Morgan SL, ed. Handbook of Causal Analysis for Social Research.Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer Publishing Company:2013.
- Ware J Jr, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med Care. 1996 Mar;34(3):220– 33. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00005650-199603000-00003.
- 22. Vilagut G, Forero CG, Pinto-Meza A, Haro JM, de Graaf R, Bruffaerts R et al.; ESEMeD Investigators. The mental component of the short-form 12 health survey (SF-12) as a measure of depressive disorders in the general population: results with three alternative scoring methods. Value Health. 2013 Jun;16(4):564–73. PubMed http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.jval.2013.01.006.
- Mols F, Pelle AJ, Kupper N. Normative data of the SF-12 health survey with validation using postmyocardial infarction patients in the Dutch population. Qual Life Res. 2009 May;18(4):403–14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11136-009-9455-5.
- Pearlin LI, Schooler C. The structure of coping. J Health Soc Behav. 1978 Mar;19(1):2–21. http://dx.doi. org/10.2307/2136319.
- Rosenberg M. Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton: Princeton University Press. 1965.

- Veenhoven R. Healthy happiness: effects of happiness on physical health and the consequences for preventive health care. J Happiness Stud. 2008;9(3):449–69. http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s10902-006-9042-1.
- 27. Statistics Netherlands. Unemployment statistics will become better and less expensive [in Dutch: CBS: werkloosheidsstatistiek wordt beter en goedkoper]. 2014;Persbericht Pb14-071.
- Curran PJ, Bauer DJ. The disaggregation of within-person and between-person effects in longitudinal models of change. Annu Rev Psychol 2011;62:583–619. http://dx.doi. org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100356.
- Jahoda M. Employment and unemployment: a social psychological analysis. Cambridge University Press. 1982;ISBN 051242940.
- Paul KI, Batinic B. The need for work: jahoda's latent functions of employment in a representative sample of the German population. J Organ Behav. 2010;31(1):45–64. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/job.622.
- Reims N, Bauer U. Labour Market Status and Well-Being in the Context of Return to Work After Vocational Rehabilitation in Germany. J Occup Rehabil. 2015 Sep;25(3):543–56. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10926-014-9561-2.
- 32. Robroek SJ, Rongen A, Arts CH, Otten FW, Burdorf A, Schuring M. Educational inequalities in exit from paid employment among Dutch workers: the influence of health, lifestyle and work. PLoS One. 2015 Aug;10(8):e0134867. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134867.
- Ferrie JE, Westerlund HM, Vahtera J, Kivimaki M. Flexible labor markets and employee health. SJWEH Supplements. 2008;6:98–110.
- 34. Benach J, Vives A, Tarafa G, Delclos C, Muntaner C. What should we know about precarious employment and health in 2025? Framing the agenda for the next decade of research. Int J Epidemiol. 2016 Feb;45(1):232–8. http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/ije/dyv342.
- Virtanen M, Kivimäki M, Joensuu M, Virtanen P, Elovainio M, Vahtera J. Temporary employment and health: a review. Int J Epidemiol. 2005 Jun;34(3):610–22. http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/ije/dyi024.
- Cylus J, Glymour MM, Avendano M. Health effects of unemployment benefit program generosity. Am J Public Health. 2015 Feb;105(2):317–23. http://dx.doi.org/10.2105/ AJPH.2014.302253.
- Black C. Working for a healthier tomorrow. London: The Stationery Office. 2008 Available form: https://www.gov. uk/government/publications/working-for-a-healthiertomorrow-work-and-health-in-britain.

Received for publication: 24 April 2017