

STUDY PROTOCOL

Open Access



Allergen immunotherapy for allergic asthma: protocol for a systematic review

Sangeeta Dhami^{1*}, Ulugbek Nurmatov², Ioana Agache³, Susanne Lau⁴, Antonella Muraro⁵, Marek Jutel⁶, Graham Roberts^{7,8}, Cezmi Akdis⁹, Matteo Bonini¹⁰, Moises Calderon¹¹, Thomas Casale¹², Ozlem Cavkaytar¹³, Linda Cox¹⁴, Pascal Demoly¹⁵, Breda Flood¹⁶, Eckard Hamelmann^{17,18}, Kenji Izuhara¹⁹, Ömer Kalayci²⁰, Jörg Kleine-Tebbe²¹, Antonio Nieto²², Nikolaos Papadopoulos²³, Oliver Pfaar^{24,25}, Lanny Rosenwasser²⁶, Dermot Ryan²⁷, Carsten Schmidt-Weber²⁸, Stan Szefer²⁹, Ulrich Wahn³⁰, Roy-Gerth van Wijk³¹, Jamie Wilkinson³² and Aziz Sheikh³³

Abstract

Background: The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) is in the process of developing the EAACI Guidelines for Allergen Immunotherapy (AIT) for Allergic Asthma. We seek to critically assess the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and safety of AIT in the management of allergic asthma.

Methods: We will undertake a systematic review, which will involve searching international biomedical databases for published, in progress and unpublished evidence. Studies will be independently screened against pre-defined eligibility criteria and critically appraised using established instruments. Data will be descriptively and, if possible and appropriate, quantitatively synthesised.

Discussion: The findings from this review will be used to inform the development of recommendations for EAACI's Guidelines on AIT.

Keywords: Allergy, Allergic asthma, Allergen immunotherapy, Disease-modifying, Respiratory allergy

Background

Asthma is a major public health problem affecting over 300 million people worldwide [1]. Its prevalence and impact are particularly on the rise in urbanized regions. With a projected surge in the world's urban population it is estimated that by 2025 an additional 100 million people may develop asthma [2]. Asthma is therefore set to become one of the world's most prevalent chronic diseases.

Pathophysiologically asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the airways leading to airflow limitation and remodeling [3]. The resulting signs and symptoms are dyspnea, cough, chest discomfort, wheezing and anxiety. Based on clinical and laboratory findings, different phenotypes have been described [4]. The pathogenesis of

asthma is highly complex and several disease endotypes have been suggested [5]. This review will focus on allergic asthma. Currently there is no cure for asthma available but symptomatic control can be achieved with inhaled steroids with minimal if any side-effects. Long-acting beta-2 agonists, antileukotrienes, theophylline, anti-IgE antibodies and anticholinergic drugs can be added to achieve asthma control in more severe cases [6].


Allergen immunotherapy (AIT) is the only effective anetiological treatment for respiratory allergy, which has the potential to change the course of the disease. Its immunological mechanisms of action have been demonstrated as induction of allergen-specific immune tolerance. AIT for allergic asthma is a potential therapeutic option for well-selected patients [7].

The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) is in the process of developing the EAACI Guidelines for allergen immunotherapy (AIT), and this systematic review is one of five inter-linked

*Correspondence: sangeetadhami@hotmail.com

¹ Evidence-Based Health Care Ltd, Edinburgh, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



evidence syntheses that are being undertaken in order to provide a state-of-the-art synopsis of the current evidence base in relation to evaluating AIT for the treatment of allergic asthma, allergic rhino conjunctivitis, food allergy and venom allergy, and allergy prevention, which will be used to inform the formulation of key clinical recommendations. The focus of this review is on assessing the effectiveness, safety and cost-effectiveness of AIT in the management of allergic asthma.

Methods

Search strategy

A highly sensitive search strategy has been developed, and validated study design filters will be applied to retrieve articles pertaining to the use of AIT for allergic asthma from electronic bibliographic databases. We have conceptualized the search to incorporate the four elements shown in Fig. 1.

To retrieve systematic reviews, we will use the systematic review filter developed at McMaster University Health Information Research Unit (HIRU) (http://hiru.mcmaster.ca/hiru/HIRU_Hedges_MEDLINE_Strategies.aspx#Reviews). To retrieve randomized controlled trials (RCTs), we will apply the Cochrane highly sensitive search strategy for identifying RCTs in MEDLINE [8]. To retrieve case series, we will use the filter developed by librarians at Clinical Evidence: <http://clinicalevidence.bmj.com/x/set/static/ebm/learn/665076.html>.

We will search the following databases:

- Cochrane Library including,
 - Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR)
 - Database of Reviews of Effectiveness (DARE)
 - CENTRAL (Trials)

- Methods Studies
- Health Technology Assessments (HTA)
- Economic Evaluations Database (EED)
- MEDLINE (OVID)
- Embase (OVID)
- CINAHL (Ebscohost)
- ISI Web of Science (Thomson Web of Knowledge)
- TRIP Database (www.tripdatabase.com)
- Clinicaltrials.gov (NIH web).
- Current controlled trials (www.controlled-trials.com)
- Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (<http://www.anzctr.org.au>)

The search strategy has been developed on OVID MEDLINE and then adapted for the other databases (see Additional file 1: Appendix S1). In all cases, the databases will be searched from inception to October 31, 2015. Additional references will be located through searching the references cited by the identified studies, and unpublished work and research in progress will be identified through discussion with experts in the field. We will invite experts who are active in the field from a range of disciplines and regions to add to the list of included studies by identifying additional published and unpublished papers they are aware of and research in progress. There will be no language restrictions employed; where possible, all relevant literature will be translated into English.

Inclusion criteria

Patient characteristics

We will focus on studies conducted on patients of any age with a physician confirmed diagnosis of allergic asthma, plus evidence of clinically relevant allergic sensitization as assessed by an objective biomarker (e.g., skin prick test

or specific-IgE), in combination with a history of asthma symptoms due to allergen exposure.

Interventions of interest

This review is focused on AIT for different allergens (e.g. pollens, house dust mites, animal dander, cockroach and moulds), administered through either subcutaneous (SCIT) or sublingual (SLIT) routes compared with placebo or any active comparator.

Study designs

Systematic reviews of RCTs and RCTs will be used to investigate effectiveness; health economic analysis will be used to assess cost-effectiveness; and systematic reviews, RCTs and case series, with a minimum of 300 patients, will be used to assess safety.

Study outcomes

Primary

- Effectiveness (both short-term and long-term, where long-term is defined as persistence of benefit after discontinuation of AIT) assessed by symptom and medication scores.

Secondary

- Asthma control
- Asthma specific quality of life
- Exacerbations
- Lung function
- Environmental exposure chamber or bronchial allergen challenge
- Safety as assessed by local and systemic reactions
- Health economic analysis from the perspective of the health system/payer.

Exclusion criteria

The following exclusion criteria will be applied:

- Reviews, discussion papers, non-research letters and editorials
- Animal studies
- Quantitative studies not employing systematic review or RCT techniques
- Qualitative studies
- Case series (less than 300 patients).

Study selection

All references will be uploaded into the systematic review software Distiller and undergo initial deduplication. Study titles will be independently checked by two reviewers according to the above selection criteria and

categorized as: included, not included or unsure. For those papers in the unsure category, we will retrieve the abstract and re-categorize as above. Any discrepancies will be resolved through discussion and, if necessary, a third reviewer will be consulted. Full text copies of potentially relevant studies will be obtained and their eligibility for inclusion independently assessed. Studies that do not fulfil all of the inclusion criteria will be excluded.

Quality assessment strategy

Quality assessments will independently be carried out on each study by two reviewers using the relevant version of the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) quality assessment tool for systematic reviews and economic evaluations [9, 10]. RCTs will be assessed for generation of allocation sequence, concealment of allocation, baseline outcome measurements, baseline characteristics, incomplete outcome data, blinding of outcome assessor, protection against contamination, selective outcome reporting and other risks of bias using the Cochrane risk of bias tool. Similarly, we will use the quality assessment form produced by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) to critically appraise case series [11]. Any discrepancies will be resolved by discussion or, if agreement cannot be reached, a third reviewer will arbitrate.

Data extraction, analysis and synthesis

Data will be independently extracted onto a customized data extraction sheet in Distiller by two reviewers, and any discrepancies will be resolved by discussion or, if agreement cannot be reached, by arbitration by a third reviewer.

A descriptive summary with summary data tables will be produced to summarize the literature. If clinically and statistically appropriate, meta-analysis using either fixed-effect or random-effects modeling will be undertaken [8]. A narrative synthesis of the data will also be undertaken.

Sensitivity and subgroup analyses, and assessment for publication bias

Sensitivity analyses will be undertaken by comparing the summary estimates obtained by excluding studies judged to be at high risk of bias with those judged to be at low or moderate risk of bias.

Subgroup analyses will be undertaken to compare:

- Children (5–11 years) versus adolescents (12–17 years) versus adults (≥ 18 years)
- SCIT versus SLIT AIT
- Monosensitized and mono-allergic versus polysensitized
- Mild/moderate versus severe disease.

- Treatment duration: ≤ 3 versus > 3 years.

Where possible, publication bias will be assessed through the creation of funnel plots, and tested by Egger's regression test and Begg's rank correlation test [12, 13].

Registration and reporting

This review will be registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO): <http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/>. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist will be used to guide the reporting of the systematic review: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>.

Discussion

This review will involve systematically identifying, critiquing and synthesizing the evidence on the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and safety of AIT for the management of allergic asthma. The findings from this review will be used to inform the development of recommendations for EAACI's Guidelines on AIT. We anticipate that this review will report in 2016.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Appendix S1. Search strategy.

Authors' contributions

This protocol was drafted by SD and the search strategy was developed by UN. It was revised following critical review initially by AS, SL and IA and then by all the co-authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Evidence-Based Health Care Ltd, Edinburgh, UK. ² Systematic Review at Decision Resources Group Abacus International, Oxford, UK. ³ Department of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Transylvania University Brasov, Brasov, Romania. ⁴ Department of Pediatric Pneumology and Immunology, Charité Medical University, Berlin, Germany. ⁵ Food Allergy Referral Centre Veneto Region, University Hospital of Padua, Padua, Italy. ⁶ Wrocław Medical University, Wrocław, Poland. ⁷ The David Hide Asthma and Allergy Research Centre, St Mary's Hospital, Newport Isle of Wight, NIHR Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK. ⁸ Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ⁹ Swiss Institute for Allergy and Asthma Research, Davos, Switzerland. ¹⁰ Sapienza University Rome, Rome, Italy. ¹¹ National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, London, UK. ¹² University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA. ¹³ Department of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Sami Ulus Maternity and Children Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey. ¹⁴ Nova Southeastern University, Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA. ¹⁵ University and Hospital of Montpellier and Inserm Paris Sorbonnes, Montpellier, France. ¹⁶ European Federation of Allergy and Airways Diseases Patients Association, Brussels, Belgium. ¹⁷ Children's Center Bethel, EvKB, Bielefeld, Germany. ¹⁸ Allergy Center, Ruhr-University, Bochum, Germany. ¹⁹ Saga Medical School, Saga, Japan. ²⁰ Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey. ²¹ Allergy and Asthma Center Westend (AAZW), Berlin, Germany. ²² Children's Hospital La Fe, Valencia, Spain. ²³ Allergy Department, 2nd Pediatric Clinic, University of Athens, Athens, Greece. ²⁴ Department of Otorhinolaryngology,

Head and Neck Surgery, University Hospital, Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany. ²⁵ Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany. ²⁶ Children's Mercy Hospital, Kentucky, MO, USA. ²⁷ University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ²⁸ Technische Univ and Helmholtz Center Munich, Munich, Germany. ²⁹ Children's Hospital Colorado, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA. ³⁰ Department of Pediatric Pulmonology, Charite, Berlin, Germany. ³¹ Section of Allergology, Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ³² Pharmaceutical Group of the European Union, Brussels, Belgium. ³³ Allergy and Respiratory Research Group, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.

Competing interests

S Dhami: support to co-ordinate the undertaking of the systematic review; U Nurmatov: support to undertake the review; I Agache: none; S Lau: grant from Allergopharma; drug monitoring committee immunotherapy Merck; grants and research support from Merck, Allergopharma; A Muraro: consulting fee Meda, Nestle, Nutricia, Novartis, ALK; co-investigator for research protocol for Nestle and Nutricia; M Jutel: consulting fee Anergis, Allergopharma; scientific/governmental grant from NCN Poland; fee for review activities Biomag; G Roberts: Materials for research programme (ALK-Abello), research grant (ALK-Abello), advisory board (ALK-Abello), speaker (Allergy Therapeutics, ALK-Abello); C Akdis: consulting fee Novartis, Boehringer-Ingelheim; stocks Davos Diagnostics, Allimentary Health Pharma Davos; research grant Novartis, Allergopharma; M Bonini: none; M Calderon: lectures honorarium (ALK, Stallergenes, Merck and Allergopharma), consultancy honorarium (ALK, Stallergenes and Hal); T Casale: grants from Merck, Stallergenes, Circassia for conduct of clinical trials to institution Circassia advisory board; O Cavkaytar: none; L Cox: fees for participating in review activities from Circassia, Biomay, Medimmune; consulting fee for chronic urticaria Genentech; P Demoly: Advisory board (ALK-Abello, Allergopharma, Cirassia, Chiesi, DBV, Stallergenes, Thermo Fisher Scientific); B Flood: none; E Hamelmann: Research grant (Allergopharma); K Izuohara: none; Ö Kalayci: none; J Kleine Tebbe: Consulting fees from ALK-Abelló, Allergy Therapeutics, Circassia, LETI, Merck USA; lecture fees ALK-Abelló, Allergopharma, Bencard, Circassia, HAL, LETI, Lofarma, Novartis, Stallergenes; fees for review activities from Merck-USA, Lofarma, LETI, Biotech Tools; consulting fee ALK; A Nieto: consulting fee from ALK, Leti, Merck, Stallergenes, Diater, Immunothek, ATL; fee for review activities Leti; provision of assistance Leti, Immunotek; N Papadopoulos: grant GSK, Merck, Nestle; consulting fee GSK, ABBVIE, Novartis, Menarini, MEDA, ALK-ABELLO, Allergopharma, Uriach, Stallergenes; payment for development of educational presentations from Abbvie, Sanofi, Menarini, Meda; O Pfaar: Research grants, advisor and/or speaker (ALK-Abelló, Allergopharma, Stallergenes, HAL Allergy, Artu Biologicals, Allergy Therapeutics/Bencard, Hartington, Lofarma, Novartis/Leti, GlaxoSmithKline, Essex Pharma, Cytos, Curalogic, Roxall, Biomay, Thermo Fisher, Circassia, European Union (FP-7 Health-2013 Innovation 1), Biotech Tools s.a., and Meda Pharma GmbH. Travel grants (HAL Allergy and Allergopharma). Advisor (Bencard, HAL Allergy, Novartis/Leti, Meda, ALK-Abelló, Allergopharma, Biotech Tools s.a., GfK Bridgehead, Navigant Consulting, Sanofi, Guidepoint Global Advisors, Thermo Fisher and Stallergenes). Scientific Board Member of Mobile Chamber Experts (MCX), a GA2LEN Partner; L Rosenwasser: fees for participating in review activities from Sanofi, Circassia, Regeneron; NIH/NHLBI Asthma PRC; D Ryan: consultant fee Stallergenes; C Schmidt-Weber: grant Allergopharma, Leti, Regeneron; Member of the scientific advisory board of Leti; consultant for PLS-Design, Allergopharma, Leti; shares of PLS-Design; S Szefer: research grant from GSK; member of the IMIH/NAEPP Expert Panel 3 for the Diagnosis and management of Asthma, as well as the Global Strategy for Asthma (GINA Science Committee and Board of Directors. Consultant to Aerocrine, Astra Zeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Genentech, Glaxo Smith Kline, Merck, Novartis and Roche; U Wahn: consulting for Stallergenes for FDA hearings (2013); speaker or consultant honoraria from Stallergenes, ALK-Abello, Allergopharma, Novartis, Merck; R.Gerth van Wijk: Research grant (ALK-Abello, DBV); J Wilkinson: none; A Sheikh: support to co-ordinate the undertaking of the systematic review and development of the guidelines.

Funding

EAACI.

Received: 25 November 2015 Accepted: 11 January 2016

Published online: 09 February 2016

References

1. The global asthma report 2014. <http://www.globalasthmareport.org/burden/burden.php>.
2. World Health Organization. Global surveillance, prevention and control of chronic respiratory diseases: a comprehensive approach. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007.
3. Papadopoulos NG, Arakawa H, Carlsen K-H, Custovic A, Gern J, Lemanske R, et al. International consensus on (ICON) pediatric asthma. *Allergy*. 2012;67:976–97.
4. Haldar P, Pavord ID, Shaw DE, Berry MA, Thomas M, Brightling CE, et al. Cluster analysis and clinical asthma phenotypes. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2008;178:218–24.
5. Hinks T, Zhou X, Staples K, Dimitrov B, Manta A, Petrossian T, et al. Multidimensional endotypes of asthma: topological data analysis of cross-sectional clinical, pathological, and immunological data. *Lancet*. 2015;385(Suppl 1):S42.
6. SIGN BTS asthma guidelines 2012. <https://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/document-library/clinical-information/asthma/btssign-asthma-guide-line-2014/>. Accessed on 23 Sept 2015.
7. Abramson MJ, Puy RM, Weiner JM Allergen immunotherapy for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2003;4:CD001186.
8. Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions* version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. www.cochrane-handbook.org. Accessed on 3 Sept 2015.
9. CASP checklist for systematic reviews. http://media.wix.com/ugd/dded87_a02ff2e3445f4952992d5a96ca562576.pdf. Accessed on 13 Nov 2015.
10. CASP checklist for economic evaluations. http://media.wix.com/ugd/dded87_3b2bd5743feb4b1aaac6ebdd68771d3f.pdf. Accessed on 3 Sept 2015.
11. Higgins JPT, Green S. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. Version 5.0.2 (Chapter 11, Section 11).
12. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315:629–34.
13. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*. 1994;50:1088–101.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

