Conference on Business Management 2017

School of Business Management, Universiti Utara Malaysia, 06010 Sintok, Kedah, Malaysia,

Examining the Role of Social Network on Opportunity Recognition: A Propose Framework

Norria Zakaria^a, Mohamad Zaki Ahmad^b Sahadah Abdullah^c

^aSchool of Business Management, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia (norria@uum.edu.my) ^bSchool of Tourism, Hospitality and Event Management, Universiti Utara Malaysia, (<u>zaki.ahmad@uum.edu.my</u>) ^cSchool of Business Management, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia (sahadah@uum.edu.my)

Abstract

The fundamental activity of entrepreneurship is new venture creation. Therefore, one of the major components of any entrepreneurial venture is the recognition of the opportunity by the entrepreneur. Identifying and selecting the right opportunities for new venture are among the most significant abilities of successful entrepreneur. Hence, explaining the discovery and development of opportunities per se is a key part of entrepreneurship research. This paper builds on the existing theoretical background of opportunity recognition and aimed at investigating the role of entrepreneur's prior social network towards bridging to information sources that would help entrepreneurs recognizing opportunity.

Keywords: Opportunity recognition, social network

INTRODUCTION

The questions pertaining how individuals recognize opportunities has become a distinct aspect of entrepreneurship research (Shane and Venkataraman, 2000). This is absolutely because existing research on entrepreneurship has focused on the role of the individual or individual level-attributes (Kwon and Arenius, 2010), for instance the important role of prior knowledge (Shane, 2000) and subsequently alertness to entrepreneurial opportunities (Tang, Kacmar and Busenitz, 2012). How about external factors such as social capital accumulated by the entrepreneurs resulted from ties with external parties? In this paper, the researcher has move to focus on the relative significance of network perspective to the literature of entrepreneurship especially the relationship between networks and performance (Li et al., 2008; Sheng et al., 2011), and little evidences is provided to explain the relationship between networks and opportunity recognition. This study is intended to fill this gap in the literature by examining how or to what extent networks influence individuals' recognition of opportunities.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

In Malaysia, the issue relating to *Bumiputera* Entrepreneurship is not a new occurrence. The awareness that the *Bumiputeras* are being left far behind in achieving success in the economic development (Che Omar & Mohd Noor Azmi, 2015) has been long felt. This view is supported by Suhaila and Mohd Rosli (2011) whom stated that even the number of *Bumiputera* entrepreneurs in business increases over the years, their business achievement is far below the target. This has been quoted by Rahmah & Noorasiah (2007) who claimed that it has been more than 30 years since the implementation of New Economic Policy (NEP), but we have yet to see satisfactory achievement by the majority of Malay (*Bumiputera*) entrepreneurs. Could it be a problem in the structuring in policy analysis, or implementation, or perhaps the *Bumiputera* community itself?

Among the causes that contribute to the failure of *Bumiputera* businesses is fail to recognize opportunity. *Bumiputera* SMEs need to realize their full potential and seize any opportunities to upgrade themselves in order to improve their performance and become more competitive (Rahim, Mohtar, and Ramli, 2015). This is in agreement with Mohd Hassan (2007) who categorized opportunity recognition as one of the most critical success factors (CSF) in managing a business. This fact is further corroborated by Norasmah et al. (2006); Salmah et al. (2007) and Azimi et al. (2009) who found that university students and graduates have low inclination towards pursuing a career in entrepreneurship. They are not able to identify, seize and exploit business opportunities and entrepreneurship that have been offered by the government. Similar to what has been stated in Utusan Malaysia, titled "*Melayu perlu bijak rebut peluang perniagaan*", dated November 3, 2008, *Bumiputera* entrepreneurs must know how to seek opportunities in order to change their mentality towards developing the economic standard of the Malay community which has not yet achieve the objective of the government. Following the above matter, opportunity recognition has become the focus of this study.

Considering the growth and role of entrepreneurship today, it is becoming increasingly important to understand how new entrepreneurial opportunities are recognized. Discussions of the emergences of new entrepreneurial opportunities often include "eureka" moments, but our understanding of how new opportunities get brought forward is limited. (Tang, J., et al. 2010). Indeed, Chandler, DeTienne and Lyon (2003) also mentioned that research on opportunity recognition is still in its infancy. Even though it is seen as one of the main activities involved in entrepreneurship (Gaglio and Katz, 2001), empirical studies is still needed to develop the knowledge of the phenomenon (see Davidsson, 2003). Shane and Ventakaraman (2000) also argued that how individuals recognize opportunities is one of the most neglected questions in entrepreneurial research. Even though Gilad et al. 1988; Christensen, 1989; Gaglio and Taub, 1992; Shane, 2000; and Singh (2000) claimed that there was a survey of the literature revealed that prior studies have investigated opportunity recognition, research on what stimuli enhance recognition of opportunities is still limited (Ozgen, 2003).

Given the fact that discovery of entrepreneurial opportunity has become a key aspect of research in entrepreneurship field (DeTienne & Chandler, 2007), it appears vitally important for researchers to provide a thorough understanding of precisely why and how it is that entrepreneurial opportunities are recognized. Limited research exists in explaining why some people, but not others, recognize new opportunities (Orwa, 2003). This can be explained by the fact that opportunity recognition is an infrequent and early event in the entrepreneurial process, therefore, the empirical foundations of knowledge about these events are scarce and insufficient (Davidsson & Honig, 2003). Since it has been noted that opportunity identification in most cases occurs throughout the life of an entrepreneur (Orwa, 2003), many empirical studies have and began to focus on identification of factors influencing the opportunity recognition process as researchers try to answer the question of why some people recognize entrepreneurial opportunities and others do not. Therefore, this research is trying to fill the gaps by examining to what extent the role of social network in helping addressing the entrepreneurial opportunity recognition among the entrepreneurs.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Research on Entrepreneurial Opportunity Recognition (EOR)

An early work in this area for instance, centers on developing a comprehensive description regarding the nature of the process such as whether entrepreneurial opportunities are the result of serendipity or active search (Alsos and Kaikkonen, 2004; Gaglio & Katz, 2001; Chandler, Dahlquist & Davidsson, 2002). Another central argument which has become the interest among the scholar is to whether entrepreneurial opportunities are objectively discovered or subjectively created (e.g. Gartner et al., 2003). This is being supported by Ardichvili et al. (2003) that elements of opportunities may be recognized, even if most of the process is about creation. However, there is also the other side of research in opportunity recognition in which they questioned the way opportunity comes into existence or emerge. The question as to whether opportunities appear full-blown, or emerge over time (Hills, 1995; Long & McMullan, 1984)? The model proposed by Long and McMullan (1984) on the other hand tried to explain the conceptualization of opportunity recognition process through series of stages before venture launch. According to the researcher, it is not the intention here to provide a detailed review of the opportunity recognition view of entrepreneurship. What is intended, however, is to highlight that these review collectively offer a range of nature that characterize the opportunity recognition process.

Research on Social Network

A social network is defined as "a set of nodes (e.g., persons, organizations) linked by a set of social relationships of a specified type (Laumann et al., 1978). Numerous studies have attempted to explain that most people have contact, whether frequent or sporadic, with a great many other people (Burt, 1986; Pool & Kochen, 1978; Boissevain, 1974), and an individual's personal or egocentric social network consist of all of the people (nodes) that the individual knows both well and not so well (Barnes, 1972; Mitchell, 1969).

Entrepreneurs are surrounded in networks of social relationships, whether build purposively or coincidently. Perhaps social relations elaborated by Aldrich, Elam, & Reese (1997), to varying extents, emerge as planned interactions purposely to obtain access to specific information or may arise because of accidental or unplanned encounters with individuals, or created via organizational memberships alike. These types of relation, some of which are personal, such as ties to family, friends and neighbors, and others which are business related, such as ties to customers, vendors and creditors. In that sense, all entrepreneurs per se used to establish networks of social relations in the process of obtaining resources for their firms (Aldrich et al., 1997).

This study builds on the premise that stresses the importance of individuals' embeddedness in networks of relationships with others and apparently focuses on the fact that different people will have different personal contact they created (Arenius & De Clercq, 2005). This has been true when the scholars pointed out that of all the current entrepreneurship study, the social network has become a great interest with the aim to encourage performance of small and medium enterprise (Atif, Noor Fareen & Syed Muzaffar, 2017). In fact, in an emerging economy, an incomplete market system and formal institutional resources alike may not provide enough support to new ventures (Sheng, Zhou, & Li, 2011).

With regard to Bumiputera entrepreneur, the individuals and organizations with which entrepreneurs are connected to and interact with will have a propensity to increase the availability of resources that can help endure their business (Atif, Noor Fareen & Syed Muzaffar, 2017; Hansen, 1995) and indeed useful in helping Bumiputera entrepreneur's in acquiring financial aid, business information, moral support, material aid and service, market access, business knowledge and skills, advice, as well as formation of new social contacts (Abu Bakar Hamed, 1995). In addition, social ties can help firms to access scarce resources (Li, Poppo, & Zhou, 2008), manage environmental uncertainties (Li & Zhou, 2010), and generally improve firm performance (Adler & Kwon, 2002).

There are also an effort to categorize the role of network in accordance to business stages or business life cycle, for instance during the initial stages of venture creation (Aldrich & Zimmer, 1986; Birley, 1985), at start-up stage (Aldrich, Reese & Dubin, 1989; Aldrich, Rosen & Woodward, 1987; Johannisson,

1996) and during business growth (Aldrich & Zimmer, 1986; Dubini & Aldrich, 1991; Ostgaard & Birley, 1994). The above mentioned studies recognized the importance of networks in accessing ideas, resources and information needed by an entrepreneur (Ostgaard & Birley, 1994). Other than that, researchers on preventure activities also begin to acknowledge the importance of social networks on opportunity identification (Hills, Lumpkin & Singh, 1997; Johannisson, 1990). This is because, it is believed humans have its limitation in storing and process information due to insufficient of perfect information (Simon, 1976). Therefore, being part of social networking enables entrepreneurs to access knowledge and information which will then exposed them to ideas variation and more opportunity identification. Following to this matter, the next section will elaborates the importance of social network on opportunity recognition.

Social networks and Opportunity Recognition

This study advances a model suggesting that entrepreneurial opportunity recognition behaviour shown by an individual is a result of interplay between variety, quality and dense of social network that the individuals' have. Networks have been associated with the number of new opportunities perceived by entrepreneurs (Singh et al., 1999). The rationale is that the new knowledge gained through social network which may not currently possessed, could lead to the potential for opportunity recognition (Arenius & De Clercq, 2005). Following Social Network Theory, individual's social ties can stimulate the ability to recognize entrepreneurial opportunities and entrepreneurial pursuits (Ozgen & Minsky, 2007). This view is supported by Birley and Westhead (1994) arguing that social ties of a person can provide the required resources and information about the suitability of recognized opportunities, production and marketing locations, reliable investors and suppliers and so forth.

The increased probability of social network in entrepreneurial opportunity recognition also has been addressed by Hills et al. (1997). Hills et al. (1997) proclaimed "that entrepreneurs who have extended networks identify significantly more opportunities" than those who recognized opportunities individually. This is unquestionably, since social network creates a wide range of individual's database, leading them to gather and evaluate a pool of new ideas.

Since networks are regarded as patterned of relationships among individuals, groups and organization (Dubini & Aldrich, 1991), by nature, networking can be centered on a collection of people (extended network) or focused on individual (personal network) (Orwa, 2003). In fact, network ties can vary from simple to complex relationships. It somehow relies on the strength of the ties which most likely depend on the level, frequency and reciprocity of relations between persons (Reese & Aldrich, 1995). This is consistent with the argument by Arenius & Clercq (2005) that one reason why some people are more friendly exposed to new information and therefore perceive entrepreneurial opportunities results from different structure of network they are embedded in. Even the term used to describe the types of social networking established, varies according to different researchers.

In contrast with the study done by Arenius & Clercq (2005), the researcher used the term cohesiveness to represents the individuals' networks, i.e., the extent to which one's network is mainly characterized by weak ties and structural holes (i.e., low cohesive networks) versus strong ties (i.e., high cohesive networks).

Burt's (1992) on the other hand proposed the potential benefits and importance of holes within a network. Burt's study follows the same rationale argument applicable to weak ties. According to him, it is not the strength of the relationship between network ties that really matters but rather than the "spaces" between network relationships are the one that determine access to unique information which will then lead to opportunity recognition.

Besides that, the importance of social network on opportunity recognition also has been highlighted from the sociocognitive point of view which apparently shows that entrepreneurs evolve opportunities by pursuing three cognitive activities (information gathering, thinking through talking, and resource assessing) through active discussion with an extensive networking member (De Koning, 1999). Specifically, this

network characterizes the entrepreneur's inner circle (the group of people that had established long-term, stable relationships with entrepreneur, though are not partners in the venture), "action set" (people hired by the entrepreneur to provide necessary resources for the opportunity), partnerships (start-up team members), and a network of weak ties; a network used to gather general information that could lead to identifying an opportunity.

There are numbers of relevant empirical studies that supports the relatedness of social network on entrepreneurial opportunity recognition (Wang, Ellinger & Jim Wu, 2013; St Jean, 2011; Kontinen & Ojala,2011; Kinghorn, 2008; Ozgen and Baron, 2007). Upon this claimed social network and opportunity recognition warrant for further study.

H1: Social network is significantly related to opportunity recognition

CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

Even though there are many and different categories, types, structure, elements or perhaps characteristics of social network has been defined, there all still fall into the same name social networking. It is suggested that the impact of each of this types of social networking to be studied more rigorously in the future. For the purpose of this study, the researcher propose to examine both the opportunity recognition and social networking as unidimensional concepts and goes deeper into studying empirically to what extent the influence of social networking on entrepreneurial opportunity recognition.

REFERENCES

Abu Bakar Hamed (1995). The Personal Networks of Small Firm Entrepreneurs in Malaysia: An Exploratory Study. Department of Management Studies, University of Glasgow Business School

Adler, P. S., & Kwon, S. 2002. Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(1): 17–40.

Aldrich, H. and C. Zimmer, (1986). 'Entrepreneurship through Social Networks', in D. Sexton and R. Smilor (eds.), The Art and Science of Entrepreneurship, Cam- bridge, MA: Ballinger Publishing, pp. 3–23.

Aldrich, H., & Zimmer, C. (1986). Entrepreneurship through social networks. In D>L> Sexton & R.W. Smilor (Eds.), *The art and science of entrepreneurship* (pp. 3-23). Cambridge, MA. Ballinger.

Aldrich, H. E., Elam, A. B., & Reese, P. R. (1997). STRONG TIES, WEAK TIES, AND STRANGERS Do women owners differ from men in their use of networking to obtain assistance?

Aldrich, H.E., Reese, P.R & Dubini, P. (1989). Women on the verge of a breakthrough? Networking among entrepreneurs in the United States and Italy. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 1, 4, 339-356.

Aldrich, H.E., Rosen, B., & Woodward, W. (1987). The impact of social networks on business founding and profit: A longitudinal study. *Frontiers in Entrepreneurship Research*, 7, 154-168. Barnes, J.: Social Networks. Phillippines, Addison-Wesley, 1972.

Alsos, G. A., & Kaikkonen, V. (May 2004). *Opportunity recognition and prior knowledge: A study of experienced entrepreneurs.* Paper presented at the NCSB 2004 Conference 13th Nordic Conference on Small Business Research.

Ardichvili, A., & Cardozo, R. (2000). A model of the entrepreneurial opportunity recognition process. *Journal of Enterprising Culture*, 8(2), 103-119.

Ardichvili, A, Cardozo, R & Ray, S. (2003). A theory of entrepreneurial opportunity identification and development. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18, 105-123.

Arenius, P., & Clercq, D. De. (2005). A Network-based Approach on Opportunity Recognition. *Small Business Economics*, 24(3), 249–265. doi:10.1007/s11187-005-1988-6

Aldrich, H. E., Elam, A. B., & Reese, P. R. (1997). STRONG TIES, WEAK TIES, AND STRANGERS Do women owners differ from men in their use of networking to obtain assistance?

Atif, A., Noor Fareen, A. R. and Syed Muzaffar, B. (2017). 'Mediating Effect of Entrepreneurial Self Efficacy between Social Networks, Environmental Dynamism, and Entrepreneurial Self-Leadership and New Venture Success', *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 5(2), pp. 126–130.

Barnes, J. A. (1972). Social networks (Vol. 26). Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

Birley, S. (1985). The role of networks in entrepreneurship process. *Journal of Business Venturing,* 1, 107-117.

Birley, S. 1985, 'The role of networks in the entrepre- neurial process', *Journal of Business Venturing*, 1(1), 107–117.

Birley, S., & Westhead, P. (1994) A taxonomy of business start-up reasons and their impact on firm growth and size. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 9, 7-31.

Boissevain, J.: Friends of Friends: Networks, Manipulators and Coalitions. Oxford, Blackwell, 1974

Burt, R.S. (1986). A cautionary note. Social Networks 8:205-211.

Burt, R.S. (1992). Structural holes: The social structure of competition. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Chandler, G., D. DeTienne and D. Lyon (2003). "Outcome implication of oppurtunity recognition/discovery processes", in *Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research*. Eds W. Bygrave, C.

Chandler, G. N., Dahlquist, J., & Davidsson, P. (2002). "Opportunity recognition processes: A taxonomy and outcome implications". In *Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research* (pp. 38-48). Wellesley, Mass.: Babson College.

Christensen, P. S. and R. Peterson, (1990). 'Opportunity identification: Mapping the sources of new venture ideas', Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research, Welles- ley, MA: Babson College, 567–581.

Christensen, P.S. (1989). Strategy, Opportunity Identification and Entrepreneurship. Institute of Management, Aarhus University, Denmark.

Davidsson, P., & Honig, B. (2003). The role of social and human capital among nascent entreprenuers. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(3): 301-331.

Davidsson, P. (2003). "The domain of entrepreneurship research: Some suggestions:, *JIBS Working Paper Series 2003 WP2003-3*: Jonkoping International Business School.

De Koning, A., (1999). "Conceptualizing opportunity recognition as a socio-cognitive process. Centre for Advanced Studies in Leadership, Stockholm.

DeTienne, D. and Chandler, G. (2007). "The role of gender in opportunity identification", *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, Vol. 31, pp. 365-86.

Dubini, P & Aldrich, H. (1991). Personal and extended network are central to the entrepreneurship process. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 6(5), 305-313.

Fiet, J.O. (1996). The Informational Basis of Entrepreneurial Discovery. *Small Business Economics*, 8, 419-430.

Floyd, S.W. & Wooldridge, B. (1999). Knowledge creation and social networks in corporate entrepreneurship: The renewal of organization capability. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 23, 123-143.

Gaglio, C.M. and Taub, R.P. (1992), Entrepreneurs and opportunity recognition: in Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research, Edited by, Churchill, N. et.al, Boston: Babson College, 136-147.

Gaglio, C.M. & Katz, J.A. (2001). The psychological basis of opportunity identification: Entrepreneurial Alertness. Small Business Economics, 16, 95-111.

Gartner, W. B., Carter, N. M., & Hills, G. E. (2003). "The language of opportunity". In C. Steyaert & D. Hjort (Eds.), New Movements in Entrepreneurship. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.

Gilad, B., Kaish, S., and Ronen, J. (1988). The entrepreneurial way with information. In Sholomo Maital (Ed.), Applied Behavioral Economics, Brighton, England, Vol. II, 480-503.

Granovetter M., 1985, 'Economic actions and social structure: The problem of embeddedness', *American Journal Of Sociology* 91, 481–510.

Granovetter M., 1973, 'The Strength of Weak Ties', American Journal of Sociology 78, 1360–1380.

Granovetter, M. (1973). The strength of weak ties. Am. Econ. Rev. 35 (4), 519-530.

Hansen, E. L. (1995). Entrepreneurial network and new organization growth.

Hills, G. (1995). Opportunity recognition by successful entrepreneurs: A pilot study. In W.D. Bygrave, B.J. Bird, S. Birley, N.C. Churchill, M. Hay, R.H. Keeley & W.E. Wetzel (eds.), *Frontiers of entrepreneurship research*. Wellesley, MA: Babson College.

Hills, G.E. Lumpkin, G.T. & Singh, P.R. (1997). Opportunity recognition: Perceptions and behaviors of entrepreneurs. Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research (pp. 168-182). Wellesley, MA: Babson College.

Ingram, P. and J. C. A. Baum, (1997). 'Chain affiliation and the failure of Manhattan Hotels, 1898–1980', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42, 68–102.

Johannisson, B. (1990). Economics of overview-guiding the external growth of small firms. *International Small Business Journal*, 9, 32-44.

Johannisson, B. (1996). The dynamics of entrepreneurial networks. Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research. Wellesley, MA: Babson College.

Johannisson, B. and M. Ramı´ rez-Pasillas, 2001, 'Network- ing for Entrepreneurship: Building a Topography Model of Human, Social and Cultural Capital', in Frontiers of Entrepreneurship, Wellesley MA: Babson College.

Kinghorn, B. H. (2008). Characteristics that lead to Opportunity Recognition: A Capital Theory Perspective. New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico.

Kirzner, I. M., 1973, Competition and Entrepreneurship. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Koller, R.H.: On the source of entrepreneurial ideas. Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research. 8:194-207, 1988.

Kontinen, T., & Ojala, A. (2011). International opportunity recognition among small and medium-sized family firms. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 49(3), 490-514.

Kwon, S., & Arenius, P. (2010). Nations of entrepreneurs: a social capital perspective. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 25: 315–330.

Laumann, E.O., Galskeiwiez, L., & Marsden, P.V. (1978): Community structure as interorganizational linkages. *Annual Review of Sociology* 4:455-484.

Li, J. J., Poppo, L., & Zhou, K. Z. (2008). Do managerial ties in China always produce value? Competition, uncertainty, and domestic vs. foreign firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 29: 383–400.

Li, J. J., & Zhou, K. Z. 2010. How foreign firms achieve competitive advantage in the Chinese emerging economy: Managerial ties and market orientation. *Journal of Business Research*, 63(8): 856–862.

Long, W. & McMullan, W.E. (1984). Mapping the new venture opportunity identification process. In J.A. Hornaday, F.A. Tardley, J.A. Timmons, & K.H. Vesper (eds.), *Frontiers of entrepreneurship research*.Wellesley, MA: Babson College.

Mitchell, J.: The concept and use of social networks. In: Social Networks in Urban Situations, ed. J. Mitchell, pp. 1-50. Manchester, Manchester University Press, 1969.

Nahapiet, J. and S. Ghoshal, (1998). 'Social capital, Intel- lectual Capital, and the Organizational Advantage', *Academy of Management Review*, 23, 242–268.

Orwa, B. O. (2003). An examination of factors that influence entrepreneurial opportunity identification process University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

Ostgaard, T.A. & Birley, S. (1994). Personal networks and firm competitive strategy-A strategic or coincidental match? *Journal of Business Venturing*, 9, 281-305.

Ozgen, E. (2003). *Entrepreneurial opportunity recognition: Information flow, social and cognitive perspectives* (Order No. 3098877). Available from ABI/INFORM Complete; ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global. (305306005). Retrieved from http://eserv.uum.edu.my/docview/305306005?accountid=42599

Ozgen, E. (2003). Entrepreneurial opportunity recognition: Information flow, social and cognitive perspectives (Doctor dissertion). Available from ProQuest Information and Learning Company. (UMI No. 3098877)

Ozgen, E. & Baron, R.A. (2007), "Social sources of information in opportunity recognition: effects of mentors, industry networks, and professional forums", *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 22, pp. 174-92.

Ozgen, E., & Minsky, B. D. (2007). Opportunity recognition in rural entrepreneurship in developing countries.

Podolny, J. M., T. E. Stuart and M. T. Hannan, 1996, Networks, Knowledge, and Niches: Competition in the Worldwide Semiconductor Industry, 1984–1991. *American Journal of Sociology,* 102, 659–689. Pool, L., and Kochen, M.: Contacts and influence. <u>Social Networks</u> 1:5-51, 1978.

Reese, P. and H. Aldrich, 1995, 'Entrepreneurial Net- works and Business Performance: A Panel Study of Small and Medium-sized Firms in the Research Trian- gle', in S. Birley and I. Macmillan (eds.), International Entrepreneurship, London: Routledge, pp. 124–144.

Sapienza, H.J., Manigart, S., & Vermeir, W. (1996). Venture capitalists governance and value added in four countries. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 11(6), 439-469.

Schwartz G. R., & Teach D. R.(2000). A model of opportunity recognition and exploitation: An empirical study of incubator firms. *Journal of Research in Marketing & Entrepreneurship,* Vol.2 (2).

Shane, S. 2000, 'Prior Knowledge and the Discovery of Entrepreneurial Opportunities', *Organization Science*, 11, 448–469.

Shane, S. and S. Venkataraman, (2000). 'The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research', *Academy of Management Review*, 25, 217–226.

Sheng, S., Zhou, K. Z., & Li, J. J. 2011. The effects of business and political ties on firm performance: Evidence from China. *Journal of Marketing*, 75: 1–15.

Simon, H.A. (1976). Administrative behavior (3rd ed.). New York: Free Press.

Singh, R., G. E. Hills, R. C. Hybels and G.T. Lumpkin, 1999, 'Opportunity recognition through Social Network Characteristics of Entrepreneurs', Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research. Wellesley, MA: Babson College

Singh, R. P. (1998). Entrepreneurial opportunity recognition through social networks. Doctoral Dissertation. University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL.

St-Jean, E. (2011), "Opportunity recognition for novice entrepreneurs: The benefits of learning with a mentor", *Academy of Entrepreneurship Journal*, Vol 17, No 2.

Suhaila, A. K. and Mohd Rosli, M. (2011). 'Managerial skills of the small indigenous entrepreneurs in Malaysia', *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(10), pp. 209–217.

Tang, J., Kacmar, K. M. and Busenitz, L. (2012). 'Entrepreneurial alertness in the pursuit of new opportunities', *Journal of Business Venturing*. Elsevier B.V., 27(1), pp. 77–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusvent.2010.07.001.

Tang, J., Kacmar, K. M., & Busenitz, L. (2010). Entrepreneurial alertness in the pursuit of new opportunities, *Journal of Business Venturing*. doi:10.1016/j.jbusvent.2010.07.001

Wang, Y. L., Ellinger, A. D., & Jim Wu, Y. C. (2013). Entrepreneurial opportunity recognition: An empirical study of R&D personnel, *M*