# A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF ERGONOMIC RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH COMPUTER WORK-RELATED MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS IN OFFICE WORKSTATIONS

Mohd Nasrull Abdol Rahman\* and Siti Shafika Mohamad

Department of Manufacturing and Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), 86400 Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia

\*Corresponding author mnasrull@uthm.edu.my

#### Abstract

This review aims to give an overview of ergonomic risk factors associated with computer work-related musculoskeletal disorders in office workstations. Data from 1990 until 2015 was revised using electronic databases. The overview based on computer work, office risk factors, musculoskeletal disorders, working posture, office components and office environment. Epidemiologic studies show that physical exposure has a moderate and strong association with Computer Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders. Sixteen items of risk factors with significant associations with body part exposure outcomes were identified. Ergonomic risks factors involved awkward postures, repetitive movement, excessive force and office environment. This study describes recommended posture angles as well as appropriate distance between office workers and office equipment.

Keywords: ergonomic risk factors; computer work; musculoskeletal disorders; office workstation

# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Ergonomic Risk Factors (ERFs) are considered as the main source of occupational injuries among workers such as discomfort in the neck, shoulders, spine, hands, fingers, legs and knees [1, 2]. In addition, injuries often caused by ERFs are Musculoskeletal Disorders, Cumulative Trauma Disorders (CTDs), Upper Limb Disorder (ULDs), and Repetitive Strain Injury (RSI) [3, 4].

Office work poses a high risk of musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs), with consequences for workers, employers and the society [5, 6]. Among the risk factors associated with MSDs are maintaining a static sitting posture for a long time and awkward postures of the head, neck and upper limb, wrist and forearm and repetitive movement of the fingers [7 - 9]. In addition, problems association with the eyes could also occur due to constant use of computers such as eye strain, blurriness, dryness and difficulty in focusing [10, 11]. This paper aims to give an overview of ergonomic risk factors associated with computer work related to musculoskeletal disorders in office workstations.

# 2.0 METHODS

#### 2.1 Selection of Literature from Database

The selection of literature was conducted using electronic databases. The databases included ScienceDirect, Scopus, Malaysian Standard, British Standard, Google as well as Google scholar. The searches covered material from 1990 until 2015. The searches used a combination of terms related to observational methods for computer work using "OR" and "AND". The terms or keywords used were: methods based on computer work, office risk factors and musculoskeletal disorders, working posture, office components, office environment, awkward posture, repetition, and force.

#### 2.2 Developing the evaluation criteria

The inclusion criteria used for this research included sample population such as computer users, office workers and customer service officers. Besides that, the exposure and outcomes of the risk factors related to computer work or office workstations (awkward posture, repetitive movement, and excessive force), office components, and office environments) were identified.

# 2.3 Assessment of level of evidence and strength of association

The categorization for strength of association was done according to a method used by Hartvigsen *et al.*, [12]. Three categories of strength include nonstatistically significant positive association with Odd Ratio (OR<1.00) or called as low osscociation. Moderate association with OR between 1.01 and 2.00. For third category is strong association where OR >2.00.

# **3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 shows the epidemiologic studies of workrelated musculoskeletal disorders in computer work. Epidemiologic studies evaluate the relationship between computer use and symptoms of WMSDs. About 10 papers were potential reference materials which included computer work case studies. Most of the studies are cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. For epidemiologic studies conducted on industrial populations, workplace factors are frequently assessed via questionnaires, job sampling methods, video analyses, or measurement of work posture and workstations to characterize exposure related to computer work use. The table showed the outcomes of Odd Ratio (OR) value.

#### 3.1 Seat Pan / Chair

Choosing the suitable seat pan height is important because it carries the risk for office workers [7]. Seats too high will create pressure on the lower limb and when the feet is dangling it can cause contact stress to the back of the knees and soft tissue of the legs [23, 24]. If a seat is too low, this will cause greater flexion of the spine, leading to a backache and excessive pressure on the lower back [1, 7, 25]. Seat height is regarded as a neutral sitting posture when the knees bend about 90° and the feet contact to the floor [7, 23, 25].

The seat should have sufficient width and depth to support any user or worker comfortably. Usually, the design of the seat is horizontal, the seat depth is normally between 38cm to 42cm with a corresponding width of at least 45cm [1]. The seat width must be wider than the width of the hips and the thighs should be positioned approximately in a horizontal position and the lower legs vertical [26].

The office worker will suffer from musculoskeletal diseases if the back position during work is not proper [6, 26]. The chair needs to have a backrest to support the natural curve of the spine, again with special attention paid to properly support the lumbar region and allow the muscles to relax [6, 26]. To obtain good back support the chair should be able to recline between 95° to 110° [26, 27].

 Table 1
 Epidemiologic studies of Work-related

 Musculoskeletal disorders in Computer work

References	Outcome Measures	Relative risk factor	OR
Blatter and	An increasing	Any body	1.95
Bongers	duration of	region	
[13]	computer use was	Neck or	1.88
	associated with	shoulder	1.00
	WRULDs in any body		2 01
	region, neck or	Elbow, arm or	2.01
		wrist	
	shoulder, and		
	elbow, arm or wrist.		1.00
Jensen et al., [14]	The duration of	Neck	1.92
	computer work was	Shoulder	1.83
	positively associated	Hand	2.76
	with symptoms in the	nunu	2.70
	neck, shoulder and		
	hand.		
Korhonen	Poor physical work	<u>Neck pain</u>	
et al., [15]	environment and	<ol> <li>Physical work</li> </ol>	2.0
	poor placement of	environment	
	the keyboard	2) Ergonomics of	1.2
	increased the risk of	workstation	
	neck pain		
Juul-	Frequency of	Lower back	2.4
kristensen	intensity of low back		2.7
et al., [16]	pain (17-23 month)		
Cagnie et	Significant	Neck pain	
al., [17]	associations were	1) Posture bent	2.01
		,	∠.0 I
	found between	2) Sitting	0.04
	neck pain and often	3) Same	2.06
	holding the neck	movement / min	1.68
N.Turhan.	Questionnaire	Fingers	3.40
et al., [18]	assessed pain in the	Wrist	1.47
	upper body.	Forearm	1.90
		Elbows	5.81
		Shoulders	5.44
		Neck (females)	18.4
Lapointe et al., [19]	6-month incidence	Low postural risk	2.53
	of lower back	factors and high	
	symptoms	job strain	
		High postural risk	2.51
		factors and low	2.01
		job strain	
		High postural risk	5.51
		0 1	5.51
		factors and high	
Angola	llich provelance of	job strain	1.07
Angelo	High prevalence of	Neck	1.25
d'Ericco et	upper limb	Shoulder	-
al., [20]	musculoskeletal		1.83
	symptoms was	Elbow –	1.34
	observed in call	Hand/wrist	-
	center workers	AL 1.	2.99
Cho et al.,	High psyhcological	Shoulder	1.70
[21]	distress with shoulder	Neck	1.55
	and upper back	Upper back	1.61
	pain, whereas high	Lower back	1.89
	workload was		
	associated with		
	lower back		
Hanvold et	Neck and shoulder	1) Whole group	1.02
al., [22]	pain among young	2) Media/	1.01
	adults entering	design students	
	working life increase	3) Electrician/	1.02
		•	1.02
	the tendency	haidressors	
	the tendency towards moderate.	haidressers students	

The armrest is part of a good chair design because it can support the muscular system of the neck and shoulders [26]. The good dimensions of armrest height are elbow supported at 90° and shoulders should be in a relaxed position [7, 25]. The angle for the armrest posture is between 70° and 135° and the height of armrest should be able to be adjusted accordingly [6, 7, 23, 26, 27].

#### 3.2 Desk

The surface of the table should provide sufficient space to accommodate office equipment to be used at a workstation, prevent awkward posture and reduce energy consumption [6, 23]. A good desk design and corresponding adjusted should provide adequate relief to the feet of office workers to avoid high muscle activity with usual height of desk is 720mm [6, 23, 28].

#### 3.3 Monitor

The most important to avoid eye complication and reduce ergonomic risk at neck and head, there need to considered the position and distance between eyes and monitor [23]. The distance of a computer monitor which is too close with worker can cause eye strain. If the distance is too far, the worker needs to bend forward to read the text and risk for trunk, and back [3]. Therefore, the height of the top line of the display should not be higher than the user's eye-height with an angular position of 15° and 35° horizontally [23, 26, 27].

#### 3.4 Keyboard

The awkward posture and ergonomic risk of the wrist, and shoulder occured when the position of the keyboard is too high or too low [7]. The neutral wrist angle during typing tasks should be less than 15° upward and downward to avoid wrist bent or flexion [29, 30]. Repetitive movements of the workers finger required during the typing activities is 38-40 per minute per finger [1, 27]. Excessive force occurs when the users press the keys on the keyboard [23].

#### 3.5 Mouse

The mouse should be placed in suitable position of a line with shoulder and in a right next to keyboard. The size of the mouse accommodate the size of the hands of workers to avoid clutching that causes pressure on the wrist and the mouse easy to activate [6, 7]. Repetitive movements and excessive force occur when clicking the mouse and lead to fatigue in the muscle of the forearm [1, 4].

#### 3.6 Accessories

The telephone should be placed within 300mm of the employee to eliminate the generality of reach. A

telephone headset should be provided to avoid the static contractions that occur at the neck and shoulders during telephone use [6, 7].

Document holders should be provided when the individual needs to refer the documents while interacting with the screen or keyboard [1, 23]. The document holder on the right hand side will minimise the pressure and amount of movement for the neck, head, back and eye when scanning [24, 26].

Wrist rest are a long section of gel-filled fabric intended to lie in front of the keyboard [23]. Using a wrist rests can maintain a straight wrist posture and reduce contact stress while typing or doing work using the mouse [3].

A footrest provided if the user's feet does not touch the floor once sitting on a chair or when the chair height is not adjustable to avoid the workers sit in uncomfortable condition [1, 6, 23, 24]. Besides that, footrests are the additional support for making a comfortable between leg and feet [26].

#### 3.7 Office Environment

For computer work, use well-distributed diffuse light. Besides that, the illumination level at the computer workstation must be lower than that required in an ordinary office. If the illuminance level is high it may cause glare [23].

Temperature can be one of the problems for a computer workstation where the equipment used emits heat [1]. The range of effective temperature at an office should be between 20°C to 26°C for enhancing the efficiency and performance of office worker [1, 23, 24].

The accepted noise levels should not exceed 85dB (A). The sound levels should be kept as low as possible, such as 60dBA [1]. Noise level within an office depends not only on the loudness of a sound but also on the reverberation potential within the office area. The reverberation level affects people's perception of how noisy they find their office [23].

# **4.0 CONCLUSION**

As a conclusion, the ergonomic risk factors for computer work studied from 1990 until 2015 were reviewed in this paper. Epidemiologic studies show that physical exposure has a moderate and strong association with Computer Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders through Odd Ratio (OR) value as shown in Table 1. Besides that, it was found the ergonomic risks involving awkward postures, repetitive processes, excessive force and office environments affect the ergonomic problems faced by office workers. This study describes recommended posture angles as well as appropriate distance between office workers and office equipment.

#### Acknowledgement

This research is funded by the Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia (MOHE) and Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) under the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS, Vot 1475)

#### References

- K. Kroemer, H. Kromer, and K.Kroemer-Elbert, Ergonomics How to design For ease and Efficiency. Prentice Hall International Series in Industrial & System Engineering, Second Edition, 2001.
- [2] Y. Halim, and Z. Taha, Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WMSDs): An Overview among Malaysian Industrial Employee. International Annual Symposium on Sustainability Science and Management, UTM, Terengganu. Malaysia, 2012.
- [3] R. Clifton, M.D. Lacy, E. M. James and G.K. Albert, Cumulative Trauma Disorders in Office Workers. Public Employees Occupational Safety and Health Program, 2003.
- J. Wahlstrom. Ergonomics, Musculoskeletal Disorders, and Computer Work. Occupational Medicine. Vol 55: 168-176, 2005.
- [5] R.J. Graves, K. Way, D. Riley, C. Lawton, & L. Morris, Development of risk filter and risk assessment worksheets for HSE guidance—'Upper Limb Disorders in the Workplace'2002. Applied Ergonomics, 35(5), 475-484, 2004.
- [6] Occupation Safety & Health Administration Computer Workstation United State Department of Labor, 2013. Retrived from <u>https://www.osha.gov</u>.
- [7] M. Sonne, D.L. Villalta, & D.M Andrews, Development and evaluation of an office ergonomic risk checklist: ROSA– Rapid office strain assessment. Applied ergonomics, 43(1), 98-108, 2012.
- [8] J. Village, D. Rempel, K. Teschke, Musculoskeletal disorders of the upper extremity associated with computer work: a systematic review. Occup. Ergon. 5, 205-218, 2005.
- [9] A. Loghmani, P. Golshiri, A. Zamani, M. Kheirmand, & N. Jafari, Musculoskeletal symptoms and job satisfaction among office-workers: A Cross-sectional study from Iran. Acta medica academica, 42(1), 46-54, 2013.
- [10] M. Robertson, V.M.Ciriello, & A.M. Garabet, Office ergonomics training and a sit-stand workstation: Effects on musculoskeletal and visual symptoms and performance of office workers. Applied ergonomics, 44(1), 73-85, 2013.
- [11] B.C. Amick III, C.C. Menendez, L. Bazzani, M. Robertson, K. Derango, T. Rooney, A field intervention examining the impact of an ergonomics training and a highly adjustable chair on visual symptoms in a public sector organization. Applied Ergonomics ; 43(3): 625-31, 2012.
- [12] J. Hartvigsen, S. Lings, C. Leboeuf-Yde, & L. Bakketeig, Psychosocial factors at work in relation to low back pain and consequences of low back pain; a systematic, critical review of prospective cohort studies. Occupational and environmental medicine, 61(1), 2004.
- [13] B.M. Blatter, & P.M. Bongers, Duration of computer use and mouse use in relation to musculoskeletal disorders of neck or upper limb.International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 30(4), 295-306, 2002.
- [14] C. Jensen, L. Finsen, K. Sogaard, & H. Christensen, Musculoskeletal symptoms and duration of computer and

mouse use.International journal of industrial ergonomics, 30(4), 265-275, 2002.

- [15] T. Korhonen, R. Ketola, R. Toivonen, R., Luukkonen, M. Hakkanen, & E. Viikari-Juntura, Work related and individual predictors for incident neck pain among office employees working with video display units.Occupational and environmental medicine, 60(7), 475-482, 2003.
- [16] B. Juul-Kristensen, K. Sogaard, J. Stoyer, & C. Jensen, Computer users' risk factors for developing shoulder, elbow and back symptoms. Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health, 390-398, 2004.
- [17] B. Cagnie, L. Danneels, D. Van Tiggelen, V. De Loose, & D. Cambier, Individual and work related risk factors for neck pain among office workers: a cross sectional study. European Spine Journal, 16(5), 679-686, 2007.
- [18] A. d'Errico, P. Caputo, U. Falcone, L. Fubini, L. Gilardi, C. Mamo, & E. Coffano, Risk factors for upper extremity musculoskeletal symptoms among call center employees. Journal of occupational health, (0), 1002160118, 2010.
- [19] N. Turhan, C. Akat, M. Akyuz, & A. Çakci, Ergonomic risk factors for cumulative trauma disorders in VDU Safety and Ergonomics, 14(4), 417-422, 2008.
- [20] J. Lapointe, C.E. Dionne, C. Brisson, & S. Montreuil, Interaction between postural risk factors and iob strain on self-reported musculoskeletal symptoms among users of video display units: a three-year prospective study. Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health, 134-144, 2009.
- [21] C.Y. Cho, Y.S. Hwang, & R.J. Cherng, Musculoskeletal symptoms and associated risk factors among office workers with high workload computer use. Journal of Manipulative and Physiological therapeutics, 35(7), 534-540, 2012.
- [22] T.N. Hanvold, M. Wærsted, A.M. Mengshoel, E. Bjertness, J. Twisk, & K.B. Veiersted, A longitudinal study on risk factors for neck and shoulder pain among young adults in the transition from technical school to working life. Scand J Work Environ Health, 40(6), 597-609, 2014.
- [23] C. McKeown, Office ergonomics: practical applications. CRC Press, 2007.
- [24] R. Mirzaei, S.A.M. Najarkola, B.A. Khanoki, & H. Ansari, Comparative Assessment of Upper Limbs Musculoskeletal Disorders by Rapid Upper Limb Assessment Among Computer Users of Zahedan Universities, 2014.
- [25] BS 3044: Ergonomics principles in the design and selection of office furniture. BSI 389 Chiswick High road London W4 4AL UK, 1990.
- [26] MS ISO 9241-5: Ergonomic Requirements for Office work with visual display terminal (VDTs) – Part 5: Workstation layout and postural requirements (ISO 9241-5:1998, IDT), 2005.
- [27] ANSI. Chair Checklist and Worksurface Checklist. Cornell University, Dept. Design & Environment Analysis, Ithaca NY 14850, USA, 2007.
- [28] BS EN 527-1, Office furniture work tables and desks. Part 1: Dimension. British Standard Institution, BSI Group Headquarters 399 389 Chiswick High road London W4 4AL UK, 2011.
- [29] S. Hignett, & L. McAtamney, Rapid entire body assessment (REBA). Applied ergonomics, 31(2), 201-205, 2000.
- [30] G. Li, & P. Buckle, Quick exposure checklist (QEC) for the assessment of workplace risks for work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs). Handbook of human factors and ergonomics methods, 6-1, 2005.