Technical University of Denmark



Digital archive for strong ground motions recorded in earthquake sequences

Katsanos, Evangelos; Boserup, Victor Ambo

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA): Katsanos, E., & Boserup, V. A. (2018). Digital archive for strong ground motions recorded in earthquake sequences. Abstract from 33rd Nordic Geological Winter Meeting, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark.

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Title: Digital archive for strong ground motions recorded in earthquake sequences

Numerous structures and infrastructures systems have been recorded to encounter extensive damages or total collapse due to successive earthquake ground motions occurred in short time spans. A list of recent earthquake sequences, found to be detrimental for both humans and the building stock, comprises of seismic events from Amatrice-Italy (2016) and Kumamoto-Japan (2016), Gorhka-Nepal (2015) and Tohoku-Japan (2011). Nevertheless, the current seismic codes prescribe the structural design on the basis of a single "design" earthquake waiving the sequential seismic events that may increase the seismic vulnerability of structures. It is, thus, important to focus on this hazardous phenomenon in order, eventually, to mitigate its consequences. Over the last 15 years, the effects of earthquake sequences on the structural performance have been elucidated [1-3]. However, the use of real strong motions from successive ground shakings is commonly restricted to a single earthquake sequence event that may dominate (or bias) the structural response results [4]. Additionally, the artificially-developed earthquake sequences may derive questionable seismic demand [5]. Hence, this study is dedicated to compile a dataset including almost 7000 suitably selected strong ground motions, which were recorded worldwide during earthquake sequences and found of interest for studies addressing the structural response imposed by multiple earthquakes. The dataset compiled provides metadata related to seismological characteristics and strong ground motion parameters while, when necessary, the records were processed (i.e., base-line correction and frequency-based filtering). Finally, systematic analysis of the selected motions revealed trends on the basis of the several parameters associated with the successive earthquakes.

References

[1] Zhai C-H, Wen W-P, Chen Z, Li S, Xie L-L. Damage spectra for the mainshock-aftershock sequence-type ground motions. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering 2013; 45(1):1-12.

[2] Goda K. Nonlinear response potential of mainshock-aftershock sequences from Japanese earthquakes. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America 2012; 102(5): 2139-2156.

[3] Ruiz-Garcia J, Negrete-Manriquez JC. Evaluation of drift demands in existing steel frames under asrecorded far-field and near-fault mainshock-aftershock seismic sequences. Engineering Structures 2011; 33:621-634.

[4] Hosseinpour F, Abdelnaby AE. Effect of different aspects of multiple earthquakes on the nonlinear behaviour of RC structures. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering 2017; 92:706-725.

[5] Goda K, Taylor CA. Effects of aftershocks on peak ductility demand due to strong ground motion records from shallow crustal earthquakes. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics 2012; 41(15): 2311-2330.