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#### Abstract

Push-pull terpyridine derivatives 3 were synthesized and characterized in order to study the variations produced in their optical and electronic properties by linking different (hetero)aromatic electron donor moieties at 4'position of the electron deficient terpyridine moiety. The final donor-acceptor systems 3a-g were synthesized in fair to good yields by Kröhnke condensation of the precursor aldehydes 1, with 2-acetylpyridine 2. HyperRayleigh scattering in dioxane solutions using a fundamental wavelength of 1064 nm was employed to evaluate their second-order nonlinear optical properties. Terpyridine derivative $\mathbf{3 g}$ functionalized with the 9 -ethyl- 9 H carbazolyl group exhibited the largest first hyperpolarizability ( $\beta=610 \times 10^{-30}$ esu, using the T convention) thus indicating its potential application as a second harmonic generation (SHG) chromophore. Terpyridine derivatives 3 were also used as ligands for the synthesis of novel $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\right]^{-}$complexes, prepared in good yields by a two-step procedure involving the preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {III }}(\mathrm{tpy})\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right)\right]$ as intermediates. Ruthenium ${ }^{\text {II }}$ complexes display a broad absorption in the visible range, accounting for their very dark color. Their redox behaviour is mainly characterized by the $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{III}}$ oxidation and by the ligand-centered reduction, whose potentials can be finely tuned by the electronic properties of the aromatic substituents on the terpyridine ligand. Hyper-Rayleigh scattering in methanol solutions using a fundamental wavelength of 1064 nm was also employed to evaluate their second order nonlinear optical properties.


## 1. Introduction

$2,2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-Terpyridine (tpy) derivatives are very interesting heterocyclic systems that have been the subject of extensive studies since their first description in the early 1930s. A wide range of derivatives have already been prepared by introducing different substituents onto the terpyridine core, which contain three nitrogen atoms that enables chelating with a wide range of transition metals, and even lanthanide ions [1].

The terpyridine group commonly acts as a metal-binding site, usually a terdentate donor, although some reports of the ligand acting as a bidentate or monodentate donor can be found. In adopting the chelating terdentate bonding mode, it is necessary for the ligand to change conformation from the typical trans, trans conformation observed in the free ligand to cis, cis. The great stability of the coordination compounds with transition metals is in part due to the
thermodynamic chelate effect, and to the $\sigma$-donor/ $\pi$-acceptor character of the metal-to-ligand bond. The metal ion definitely plays a critical role, both in determining the chemical and photophysical properties of the complex, and also in controlling the kinetics of assembly and the overall lability or inertness of the complex. Another interesting matter is the possibility of differently functionalized terpyridine ligands being coordinated to the same metal ion [2].

Due to their distinct photophysical, electrochemical, catalytic and magnetic properties, terpyridines and their complexes have been studied regarding a wide range of potential applications such as photovoltaics [3], light emitting electrochemical cells (LECs) [4], and nonlinear optics. Nevertheless only a few articles described the evaluation of the SHG properties of terpyridine ligands as well as the corresponding metal complexes [5]. Moreover, ditopic and dendritic terpyridine ligands can form polymetallic species, which may be utilized as luminescent or electrochemical sensors [6]. Their biomedical and
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pharmaceutical applications are currently fast-growing fields of research, ranging from colorimetric metal determination to DNA binding agents and anti-tumour research [7]. Furthermore, terpyridines and their transition metal complexes has been also employed for catalytic applications such as in asymmetric catalysis [8] in oxidation of alcohols [9], carbonylation of aromatic compounds [10], hydroformylation reactions [11] and as oxygen-binding molecules [12]. One of the most promising fields for new terpyridine compounds is their application in supramolecular chemistry [13].

The use of $2,2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridines for this wide range of potential applications and research areas requires a high structural variability of the basic terpyridine subunit. Therefore, a highly efficient and simple ligand synthesis is as essential as the well-defined derivatization at every ring position. In particular, the terpyridine derivatives featuring $\pi$-conjugated substituents, commonly attached in the $4^{\prime}$-position, are of increasing interest as it provides a means of directionality, and thus a means of linear communication can occur along the coordination axis, without changing the centrosymmetric nature or forming enantiomers. Functional groups may be introduced directly in the course of the terpyridine preparation or by a variety of functional group conversion reactions. To this date, a large range of derivatives have been prepared by introducing different substituents onto the terpyridine core using several synthetic procedures, by varying the substitution pattern of the tpy moiety or the nature of the metal, and finally the character of the other ligands involved in the coordination sphere [14].

The first terpyridine synthesis was reported in 1932 by Morgan and Burstall who isolated tpy in poor yield as a by-product of a bipyridine synthesis, obtained by dehydrogenation of pyridine in the presence of anhydrous ferric chloride [15]. Since then, a multitude of protocols for the preparation of the basic terpyridine structure and the introduction of various substituents have been published. Tpy derivatives are mainly prepared through two basic synthetic approaches, which involve either ring assembly or coupling methodologies.

The most common preparation of terpyridines by ring assembly reaction is the well-known Kröhnke condensation. Introduced in 1976, this synthetic method is based on ring closure of 1,5-diketones in the presence of an ammonia source. This methodology was applied to the preparation of various $4^{\prime}$-substituted tpy derivatives, the suitable 1,5diketone intermediate being obtained by a Michael addition between a pyridinium salt and an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone. The desired $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone was prepared by an aldol condensation between 2 acetylpyridine derivatives and an aldehyde in an alkaline media, with subsequent isolation of the product [16].

Although ring assembly is still the most prevalent strategy, modern palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling procedures have become increasingly competitive over the last few years and may eventually supersede ring closure reactions due to their multiplicity and efficiency. Modern palladium(0)-catalyzed coupling reactions like Suzuki [17] and Stille
[18] couplings combine the desired efficiency and simplicity with controllable substitution possibilities. The Stille cross-coupling, in particular, has become a popular terpyridine preparation route, due to its universal buiding-block principle, its multigram product accessibility and the well-directed functionalization at almost every desired position of the terpyridine rings [19]. The electron poor pyridines are less effective in the Suzuki reaction due to the weaker nucleophilicity of pyridyl-boronates with respect to other organometallic reagents, such as the organo-tin involved in Stille reaction [20]. However, these approaches suffer from the poor availability of the required starting materials. The synthesis often involves harsh reaction conditions, many functional groups are not tolerated and the isolated yields are in many cases remarkably poor. Other known methods of achieving tpy derivatives are the Tohda [21] and the Sauer [22] methodologies, or even the pyrolysis of hydrazonium salts [23].

During the last decade, our research group has reported a large number of push-pull $\pi$-conjugated heterocyclic systems as well as the corresponding metal complexes bearing electron-deficient azine (pyridine, quinoline, phenanthroline), diazine (pyridazine or phthalazine derivatives) or flavin derivatives which act simultaneously as electron acceptors and receptor moieties. These systems have found several applications such as SHG chromophores [24], optical chemosensors [25], DNA intercalators [26], heterogeneous catalysts [27], etc.

Based on our earlier work we were motivated to extend these studies in order to explore the potential application of push-pull substituted tpy derivatives $\mathbf{3}$ as well as the corresponding Ru complexes 5 in which the terpyridine system plays the dual role of acceptor group and receptor moiety for the complexation with Ru (Scheme 1). The purpose of this investigation is to evaluate the tuning of linear and nonlinear optical and electronic properties of novel donor-acceptor substituted terpyridines 3 and their Ru complexes 5 that can be achieved by functionalization of these systems with donor groups $/ \pi$ bridges with different electronic nature (aromatic or heteroaromatic, functionalized with alkoxy or $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dialkylamino- groups) linked to the terpyridine electron deficient system. Consequently, two new series of heterocyclic chromophores 3 and their Ru complexes 5 have been designed and synthesized and the influence of the donor groups/ $\pi$-spacers was studied by combined experimental studies of the electronic, linear and nonlinear optical properties of these push-pull systems.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Synthesis and characterization

A series of $2,2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridines with donor groups attached in the $4^{\prime}$-position were designed in order to study the effect of the different substituents on the optical and electronic properties of the molecule and to be further used as organic ligands in the preparation of $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}$
complexes. All terpyridine ligands were synthesized, in fair to good yields (20-69 \%) by Kröhnke condensation, a ring assembly methodology, between 2-acetylpyridine 2, and aldehyde precursors 1a-g bearing the selected donor groups, in the presence of ammonia and potassium hydroxide (Scheme 1). The pure ligands were obtained after washing the resulting precipitate with ice-cold aqueous solution of ethanol (50\%) and drying under reduced pressure. The donor moieties used are not only of aromatic nature like 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl or $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ -dimethylnaphthalen-1-amine, but heteroaromatic donor groups such as thiophene or pyrrole were also employed. Ligands 3a [28], 3c [28b,29], 3e [30], and $\mathbf{3 g}$ [6i], have been already reported and used in supramolecular chemistry, bioimaging and/or DNA targeting. On the other hand, the novel ligands $\mathbf{3 b}$, 3d, 3f were completely characterized by the usual spectroscopic techniques.

The synthesis of the novel $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}(3)(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\right]^{-}$complexes 5 , was performed in two steps, as previously described for similar Ru ${ }^{\text {II }}$ complexes [3c]: (i) preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {III }}(3) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right.$ ] intermediate complexes 4, by reaction of the corresponding ligands 3 with ruthenium(III) chloride in refluxing ethanol under an inert atmosphere; (ii) synthesis of the desired final complexes 5 by refluxing a mixture of 4 and potassium thiocyanate in water/DMF (1:2) in the presence of trimethylamine. Substitution of anionic ligands and reduction of metal center take place in the same step, as $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$induces a larger ruthenium(II) stabilization. The intermediate complexes 4 are insoluble and were used directly for the second reaction step without characterization. The final isolated products were obtained in fair to good overall yields (34-59 \%) as black solids, and are a mixture of two isomers due to the ambidentate nature of the thiocyanate ligands [31]. Reaction for the preparation of complex 5e provided a highly insoluble product, likely a polymeric coordination compound involving the residual coordinating ability of imidazole moiety on tpy 3e. Due to its insolubility this product was not considered for further studies.

## 2.2. ${ }^{1} H$ NMR and FTIR studies

An analysis of the structures and charge transfer transitions of terpyridine push-pull chromophores 3 was made by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (Table 1). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts reflect a charge separation in the ground state. Therefore, the analysis of these data in push-pull derivatives 3 functionalized with different donor groups linked to the terpyridine acceptor moiety also confirms their push-pull character with a significant intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) from the donor to the acceptor group and a high polarizability of the whole donor-acceptor $\pi$ conjugated systems.

The relative electron donating strength of the donor moiety attached to the terpyridine core in $4^{\prime}$-position can be estimated through the analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, for example, by comparison of the chemical shift for $3^{\prime}$ - and $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ of the terpyridine core, which are, in this case, the protons in the electron withdrawing moiety with better resolution. The signal under consideration appears as a singlet that integrates two protons ( $3^{\prime}-$ and $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ ) due to the lack of other

Table 1
Yields, UV-visible absorption, emission and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data for terpyridine ligands 3.

| Cpds | $\eta$ (\%) | UV-Vis |  | Fluorescence |  |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\qquad$ <br> $3^{\prime}$ - and $5^{\prime}-$ <br> H (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\max } \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\lambda_{\text {em }}(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\Phi_{\text {F }}$ | Stokes' <br> shift (nm) |  |
| 3a | 53 | 286 | 25,778 | 359 | 0.59 | 73 | 8.62 |
| 3b | 20 | 292 | 29,333 | 389 | 0.55 | 97 | 8.56 |
| 3c | 55 | 286 | 24,083 | 435 | 0.24 | 149 | 8.62 |
| 3d | 26 | 283 | 22,675 | 412 | 0.06 | 129 | 8.73 |
| 3 e | 69 | 274 | 32,631 | 354 | 0.21 | 80 | 8.69 |
| 3f | 51 | 288 | 25,258 | 413 | 0.09 | 125 | 8.35 |
| 3 g | 33 | 292 | 31,532 | 436 | 0.27 | 144 | 8.83 |

neighbouring protons and the equivalent magnetic field experienced by both (Table 1). A stronger electron donating ability of the donor moiety, improves the internal charge transfer (ICT) in the push-pull system, moving the electron density towards the acceptor end group. On account of the additional electron density, an upfield shift of the aforementioned singlet is expected (decrease of the chemical shift), due to the weaker magnetic field felt by the nuclei. Ligands $\mathbf{3 d}, \mathbf{3 e}$ and $\mathbf{3 g}$, functionalized with a pyrrolyl-1 H -phenyl, imidazolyl- 1 H -phenyl or N ethylcarbazolyl groups, respectively, present the highest chemical shift for the $3^{\prime}-$ and $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ at $\delta 8.76,8.69$ and 8.83 ppm , respectively, suggesting the weakest electron donor effect probably due to the resonance effect of the aromatic rings. Ligands $\mathbf{3 a}$, and $\mathbf{3 c}$ exhibit a singlet for the same protons at $\delta 8.62 \mathrm{ppm}$. For ligands $\mathbf{3 b}(\mathrm{R}=5$-hexylthiophene) and $\mathbf{f}(\mathrm{R}=N, N$-dimethylnaphthalen-1-amine $), 3^{\prime}$ - and $5^{\prime}$-H are the most upfield positioned of all the compounds ( $\delta 8.56$ and 8.35 ppm , respectively) indicating the strongest relative donating effect among the employed substituents.

For all $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {II }}(3)(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\right]^{-}$complexes, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showed two signals at $\delta 1.26$ and 3.14 ppm (a triplet that integrates for 9 protons and a quartet integrating for 6 protons, respectively) that are attributed to the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}$counterion. The spectra also indicate the presence of two isomers for each compound, which has been previously observed in analogous $\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {II }}$ complexes. The formation of the isomers is caused by the ambidentate nature of the thiocyanate ligand that can be N - or S -bound. Most of the signals of the two isomers are overlapped, therefore only the data of the most abundant isomer is reported. The isomeric ratio was estimated from the integrals of the most separated peak at $\delta$ $\sim 8.4 \mathrm{ppm}[31 \mathrm{~b}]$.

The isomeric composition of complexes 5 can also be studied by FTIR spectroscopy. In fact, the $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$coordination mode is expected to considerably affect stretching frequencies of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds in thiocyanate ligand. In analogous ruthenium(II) complexes it was observed that the $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})$ band occurs at a slightly higher frequency for the S-bound isomer, although the two peaks are not resolved when both isomers are present in comparable amount. On the other hand, it has been reported that the $\nu$ (C-S) band falls at distinct frequencies in the two isomers (higher for the N -coordinated thiocyanate) and displays different intensities (more intense for the S-bound isomer) [31b-c]. Stretching frequency data pertaining to coordinated thiocyanate, obtained from FTIR measurement performed on the examined complexes 5 are collected in Table 2 (and in Supporting Information).

Complexes 5 present two bands compatible with the expected $\nu$ (CS) transitions: one falling in the $780-790 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range and the other close to $750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. On the basis of literature data [31b-c], they can be ascribed to the N -bound and S-bound isomers, respectively. The presence of both bands suggests the existence of at least two isomers, in agreement with data from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. The bands centred at about $785 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( N -bound $\mathrm{NCS}^{-}$) appear to be more intense than the corresponding bands positioned at about $750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (S-bound NCS ${ }^{-}$), suggesting that the more abundant form should be the $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}(3)(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\right]^{-}$ ( N -bound) isomer.

It should be noted that only one signal ascribed to C-N stretching of coordinated thiocyanate could be observed at about $2100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in all

Table 2
Stretching frequencies for coordinated thiocianate in complexes 5.

| Complex | $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S})\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | N-bound | S-bound |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | 2093 | 781 | 750 |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | 2093 | 782 | 752 |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | 2095 | 783 | 752 |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | 2097 | 785 | 752 |
| $\mathbf{5 f}$ | 2104 | 791 | 754 |
| $\mathbf{5 g}$ | 2095 | 784 | 748 |



Fig. 1. Normalized absorption and emission spectra for terpyridine derivatives 3a-g, in ethanol.
the examined complexes. These peaks generally exhibit an asymmetric shape and in some cases ( $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 c}$, and $\mathbf{5 g}$ ) a shoulder can be observed, confirming that, bands corresponding to the two isomers are overlapped.

### 2.3. Study of the optical properties

The UV-Vis spectra of terpyridines 3 in ethanol at room temperature are provided in Fig. 1. All compounds exhibit a strong band of absorption between 272 and 292 nm that could be assigned to $\pi-\pi^{*}$ transitions of the terpyridine part [32]. Analysis of the UV-vis data of the ligands suggest that the wavelength of maximum absorption is dependant of the electron donating ability of the (hetero)aromatic groups linked at 4 '-position of the terpyridine system, as well as of its $\pi$ conjugated length. Ligands 3d and 3e exhibit the shortest wavelengths of absorption maxima, indicating the weaker relative electron donating strength of the groups linked in $4^{\prime}$-position of the terpyridine moiety. Ligands $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 f}$ display the longest absorption wavelengths (with exception of $\mathbf{3 g}$ ) corresponding to the terpyridines functionalized with donor groups with stronger electron donor abilities. On the other hand, ligand 3 g exhibits the longer wavelength of maximum absorption due to the longer $\pi$-conjugation length of the carbazole heterocycle.

The three last aforementioned compounds, with the longest wavelengths of maximum absorption, ( $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{3 f}$ and $\mathbf{3 g}$ ) also exhibit lower energy shoulders in the absorption spectra (319, 330 and 348 nm , respectively), that seem to be due to contributions from both parts of the conjugated system, and could be ascribed to ICT process [32].

Ligands 3 were excited at the wavelength of maximum absorption, at room temperature, in order to study their fluorescence properties (Fig. 1). Ligands 3d and $\mathbf{3 f}$ show weak emissive properties, with relative fluorescence quantum yields of 0.06 and 0.09 , respectively, while ligands $3 \mathbf{c}, 3 \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{3 g}$ exhibit moderate relative quantum yields of fluorescence in the range of $0.21-0.27$. The strongest emissive properties were observed for ligands $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$, bearing a thiophene donor moieties, which exhibit relative fluorescence quantum yields of 0.59 and 0.55 , respectively.

For complexes 5, the absorbance and emission data were obtained using DMF as solvent due to their very poor solubility in other solvents. The absorbance of DMF was found to rapidly increase below 300 nm ,
thus preventing a safe evaluation of the molar extinction coefficient for the ligand-centred $\pi-\pi *$ bands. Values for these peaks are estimated to be in the range $28,000-35,000 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, with the only exception of 5 g that appears to have a higher $\varepsilon$ (up to $45,000 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The spectra for all complexes (Fig. 2) present very broad absorption bands in the $550-600 \mathrm{~nm}$ region, possibly formed by the superposition of different MLCT bands, as already observed in analogous complexes [3c,31a]. This region appears as a broad plateau or as a large band with a maximum close to 540 nm and a shoulder at approximately 600 nm , with molar extinction coefficients ranging between 7700 and 8900 $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Another common feature is the presence of a MLCT band at about 400 nm [3c,31a], often appearing as a shoulder of the most blueshifted $\pi-\pi^{*}$ transition bands. Complexes $\mathbf{5 f}$ and $\mathbf{5 g}$ display blue-shifted (about 370 nm ) and more intense bands, which cannot be ascribed for certain to MLCT transitions, as they could be obscured by absorptions due to ligand-centred transitions (e.g. CT transitions involving electronrich aromatic amines and electron-poor pyridine ring coordinated to $R u^{\text {II }}$ ).

When complexes 5 were excited within the broad MLCT absorption band (540-600 nm) at 298 K in an air-equilibrated DMF solution, they exhibited an emission band at about 815 nm (Fig. 2, Table 3). The luminescence spectral profile is independent of excitation wavelength, and the excitation spectrum matches well with the absorption spectrum. Similar emission spectra for analogous $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}} \text { (tpy)(NCS) }\right]^{-}$complexes have been previously reported in literature [3c,33]. Under the same experimental conditions, all the complexes emit almost at the same wavelength, suggesting that the electron-donor substituents appended on the terpyridine backbone have a very slight influence on the energy of the luminescence process. Moreover, emission bands display moderately different emission intensities, $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 a}$, and $\mathbf{5 d}$ being the complexes that show the more intense relative emission.

### 2.4. Electrochemical study

The terpyridine ligands $\mathbf{3}$ were studied by cyclic voltammetry (CV), in order to evaluate their redox properties. All the examined tpy derivatives undergo a reversible or quasi-reversible reduction process at potential values between -1.64 V and $-1.79 \mathrm{~V} v s$ NHE, as expected on the basis of previous investigations on different tpy derivatives [34].


Fig. 2. Normalized absorbance and emission spectra for $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes 5, in DMF.

Table 3
Yields, absorption and emission data for $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes 5, in DMF.

| Complex | $\eta$ (\%) | UV-Vis |  |  | Fluorescence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\max } \pi- \\ & \pi^{*}(\mathrm{~nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\max } \text { MLCT } \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \text { MLCT } \\ & \left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{aligned}$ | Intensity ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ |
| 5a | 50 | 325, | 600-550 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8700 | 818 | 0.32 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 305, \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | 395 | 10,300 |  |  |
| 5b | 34 | 340, | 575-545 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8900 | 818 | 0.26 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 310, \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | $400^{\text {d }}$ | 10,500 |  |  |
| 5c | 56 | 310, | 580-545 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8000 | 815 | 0.98 |
|  |  | 285 | $400^{\text {d }}$ | 8000 |  |  |
| 5d | 59 | 325, | $610^{\text {d }}$ | 8200 | 818 | 0.48 |
|  |  | 290 | 540 | 8600 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $400{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 17,800 |  |  |
| 5f | 52 | 315, | $600^{\text {d }}$ | 8200 | 815 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 280 | 550 | 8600 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 370 | 17,800 |  |  |
| 5 g | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 310 \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | $610^{\text {d }}$ | 7000 | 815 | 0.80 |
|  |  |  | 535 | 8600 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 365 | 23,000 |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to the excessive noise a data smoothing algorithm was used to obtain the reported values.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Intensities relative to the highest recorded value among the prepared complexes.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Instead of a well-defined maximum, a very broad plateau is observed.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Appears as a shoulder of a more intense band.

In particular, terpyridines bearing electron rich substituents (3c, 3f, and $\mathbf{3 g}$ ) show potentials remarkably lower than the other considered ligands. Compounds $\mathbf{3 f}$ and $\mathbf{3 g}$ also undergo redox process at positive potential values, attributable to the oxidation of the aromatic amine moieties (Table 4).

Cyclic voltammetry was also used to investigate the redox behaviour of the $\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {II }}$ complexes. The $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}$ values corresponding to $\mathrm{Ru}{ }^{\mathrm{II} / \mathrm{III}}$ couple fall between 0.73 V and 0.77 V vs NHE , in agreement with previous electrochemical studies carried out on analogous complexes [3c]. Potential values ascribed to the ligand-centred reduction processes are distinctly less negative (between -1.27 and -1.33 V ) than

Table 4
Electrochemical data for the terpyridine derivatives $\mathbf{3}$ in DMF.

| Cpds | $\mathrm{V} v s \mathrm{NHE}(\mathrm{V})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}\left(3 / 3^{+}\right)$ | $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}\left(3 / 3^{-}\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 a}$ | - | -1.64 |
| $\mathbf{3 b}$ | - | -1.68 |
| $\mathbf{3 c}$ | - | -1.77 |
| $\mathbf{3 d}$ | - | -1.69 |
| $\mathbf{3 e}$ | - | -1.67 |
| $\mathbf{3 f}$ | 1.07 | -1.77 |
| $\mathbf{3 g}$ | $1.58^{\mathrm{a}}$ | -1.79 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Value referred to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}$. Not reversible process: only the oxidation peak is observed in the CV profile.

Table 5
Electrochemical data for $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes 5 in DMF.

| Cpds | $\mathrm{V} v s$ NHE (V) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{Ru}^{\text {II/III }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}$ tpy/tpy | Others |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | 0.77 | -1.25 | - |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | 0.76 | -1.25 | - |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | 0.73 | -1.33 | - |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | 0.77 | -1.29 | - |
| $\mathbf{5 f}$ | 0.77 | -1.30 | $1.54^{\mathrm{a}}, 1.42^{\mathrm{a}}, 1.13,-0.15$ |
| $\mathbf{5 g}$ | 0.74 | -1.33 | $1.76^{\mathrm{a}}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Value referred to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}$. Not reversible process: only the oxidation peak is observed in the CV profile.
the corresponding values determined for compounds 3, as expected due to the positive charge of the metal ion (Table 5).

The most negative potential values were obtained for complexes $\mathbf{5 g}$, $\mathbf{5 c}$, and $\mathbf{5 f}$, similarly to what observed for the corresponding free ligands ( $\mathbf{3 g}, \mathbf{3 c}$, and $\mathbf{3 f}$ ). It indicates that in the CV experimental conditions these functionalized terpy derivatives exhibit the strongest elec-tron-donor behaviour among the investigated ones, and disfavour to an higher extent the reduction process. Complex $\mathbf{5 g}$ undergoes an additional irreversible oxidation process at 1.76 V , which is attributed to the
oxidation of the aromatic amine substituent, taking place at higher potential value than the corresponding uncomplexed ligand $\mathbf{3 g}$. Complex 5 f presents additional signals, both at negative and positive potentials; in particular, three close peaks observed between 1.1 and 1.6 V can be ascribed to the oxidation of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylnaphthalen-1amine moiety taking place at higher potential values if compared to ligand 3f. In some experiments, a small irreversible signal was observed at approximately -0.9 V , after occasional exposure to atmospheric humidity occurring during the preparation of the complex solutions, while it was absent in CV profile obtained in pure DMF/[ $\left.\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$.

The range in which the ruthenium oxidation potentials are distributed is very narrow and most complexes present the same oxidation potential, with $5 \mathbf{c}$ and 5 g complexes displaying the lowest values of the sequence. The presence of conjugated electron rich substituents (particularly $\mathbf{3 c}$ and $\mathbf{3 g}$ ), as expected, stabilizes the oxidized $\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {III }}$ species, in addition to destabilizing the reduced ligand, as mentioned above.

### 2.5. Nonlinear optical properties

The molecular first hyperpolarizabilities $\beta$ of terpyridine derivatives 3 were obtained by hyper-Rayleigh scattering (HRS) technique [35] at a fundamental wavelength of 1064 nm of a laser beam. Dioxane was used as the solvent, and the $\beta$ values were measured against a reference solution of $p$-nitroaniline ( $p \mathrm{NA}$ ) [36] in order to obtain quantitative values, while care was taken to properly account for possible fluorescence of the dyes (see experimental section for more details). The static hyperpolarizability $\beta_{0}$ values [37] were calculated using a very simple two-level model neglecting damping. They are therefore only indicative and should be treated with caution (Table 6).

It is clear that the electronic donor ability and the increase of the $\pi$ conjugation of the groups substituted in 4'-position of the terpyridine system, have a clear influence on the nonlinearities $\beta$ of compounds 3. Therefore, 9-ethyl-9H-carbazolyl moiety being an electron rich moiety, and the highest conjugated group, gives rise to a higher hyperpolarizability for compound $3 \mathrm{~g}\left(\beta=610 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$, compared to the other push-pull terpyridine derivatives 3 . As expected, other terpyridine derivatives functionalized with stronger electron donor groups and/or higher conjugated moieties exhibit higher $\beta$ values (e.g. 3d and 3f) compared to the other derivatives. On the other hand, comparison of the $\beta$ values for $\mathbf{3 d}\left(\beta=216 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ and $\mathbf{3 e}$ ( $\left.\beta=180 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ showed that the substitution of the electrondeficient imidazole heterocycle on the $\pi$-bridge by the electron-rich pyrrole leads to larger values of the molecular hyperpolarizability $\beta$ while maintaining the same electron-acceptor terpyridine group. Also noteworthy is the difference in the second order nonlinear response of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}\left(\beta=80 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ and $\mathbf{3 b}\left(\beta=185 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ which differ solely by the addition of an alkyl chain in the latter. We

Table 6
UV-visible absorption, $\beta$ values, $\beta_{0}$ values for $p N A$ and for terpyridine derivatives 3 . ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Cpds | $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\beta^{\mathrm{b}}\left(10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ | $\beta_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}\left(10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3a | 286 | 80 | 53 |
| 3b | 287 | 185 | 120 |
| 3c | 285 | d | - |
| 3d | 286 | 216 | 140 |
| 3e | 285 | 180 | 120 |
| 3f | 287 | 215 | 141 |
| 3g | 296 | 610 | 390 |
| $\boldsymbol{p N A}$ | 352 | 40.1 | - |

[^1]Table 7
UV-visible absorption, $\beta$ values, $\beta_{0}$ values for $p$ NA and for terpyridine derivative $\mathbf{3 c}$ and $\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {II }}$ complexe 5 c . ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Cpds | $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\beta^{\mathrm{b}}\left(10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ | $\beta_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}\left(10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 c}$ | 286 | 153 | 102 |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | 283,317 | 50 | 30 |
| pNA | 370 | 62 | 28 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Experimental first hyperpolarizabilities $\beta$ and spectroscopic data measured in methanol solutions.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ All compounds are transparent at the 1064 nm fundamental wavelength and the hyperpolarizability values are reported using the T-convention.
${ }^{c}$ Data corrected for resonance enhancement at 532 nm using the two-level model with $\beta_{0}=\beta\left[1-\left(\lambda_{\max } / 1064\right)^{2}\right]\left[1-\left(\lambda_{\max } / 532\right)^{2}\right]$; damping factors not included 1064 nm .
speculate that the alkyl chain serves as an electron donor, allowing more charge to be concentrated on the thiophene ring in the HOMO. However, the size of the effect is rather surprising and it would be interesting to explore this point by performing DFT calculations.

Attempts were made in order to measure the first hyperpolarizabilities $\beta$ for complexes 5 in methanol solutions [36] due to their insolubility in dioxane. Nevertheless, due to strong overlapping fluorescence, it was only possible to obtain reliable results for complex 5 c . In order to compare the effect of the complexation on the $\beta$ values for terpyridine derivatives, the study of SHG for ligand 3 c was also performed in methanol solution. Therefore, comparison of the $\beta$ values for terpyridine ligand $\mathbf{3 c}\left(\beta=153 \times 10^{-30}\right.$ esu $)$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$ ( $\beta=50 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{esu}$ ) showed that the corresponding $\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {II }}$ complex exhibits a lower value of the molecular hyperpolarizability $\beta$ (Table 7), which is in agreement with previous findings concerning terpyridines complexes [5b-h]. Our reported value for compound 5 c might be artificially lower due to the MLCT absorption band that overlaps with the second harmonic wavelength at 532 nm .

## 3. Conclusions

Starting from commercially available precursors as well as by using simple and convenient procedures, several push-pull terpyridines 3 were obtained in fair to good yields by Kröhnke condensation. Terpyridine derivatives $\mathbf{3}$ were also used as ligands for the synthesis of novel $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}(3)(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\right]^{-}$complexes 5 , which display a broad and intense absorption in the visible range, and they have been isolated as a mixture of two main isomers due to the ambidentate nature of $\mathrm{SCN}^{-} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and FTIR-ATR studies suggested that isomer containing all N bound thiocyanate ligands is the most abundant. The electrochemical and, linear and nonlinear optical properties of these organic and organometallic $\pi$-conjugated systems can be readily tuned by varying the electron donating character of the (hetero)aromatic subunit linked to the electron-deficient terpyridine system in compounds 3 , as well as in the corresponding $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes 5 .

Hyper-Rayleigh scattering was used to determine the first hyperpolarizability, $\beta$, of terpyridines 3 . Optical and electrochemical properties for compounds $\mathbf{3}$ indicate that, they could be candidates as novel second order nonlinear optical chromophores.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. Materials and methods

All commercially available reagents and solvents were used as received. Reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography, 0.25 mm thick precoated silica plates (Merck Fertigplatten Kieselgel 60 F254), and spots were visualised under UV light. Melting points were determined on a Gallenkamp apparatus and are uncorrected. NMR spectra of the ligands were obtained on a Brucker Avance II 400 at an operating frequency of 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and
100.6 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, using the solvent peak as internal reference. The solvents are indicated in parenthesis before the chemical shifts values ( $\delta$ relative to TMS). Peak assignments were made by comparison of chemical shifts, peak multiplicities and $J$ values, and were supported by spin decoupling-double resonance and bidimensional heteronuclear HMBC (heteronuclear multiple bond coherence) and HMQC (heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence) techniques. NMR spectra of the complexes were obtained on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer ( 400 MHz ) operating at 9.37 T , located at Centro Grandi Strumenti, University of Pavia. Infrared spectra of ligands were recorded by a BOMEM MB 104 spectrophotometer. Infrared spectra of complexes were obtained by a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer equipped with a UATR accessory. UV-vis absorption spectra of the ligands were obtained using a Shimadzu UV/2501PC spectrophotometer. UV-Vis absorption spectra of the complexes were recorded on a Varian Cary 50 spectrophotometer, using DMF as solvent. Emission spectra of the ligands were collected using a FluoroMax-4 spectrofluorometer. Fluorescence quantum yields were measured in comparison with a solution of quinine sulphate in $0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ as standard and corrected for the refraction index of the solvents [38]. Emission spectra of the complexes were recorded on a Varian Cary Eclipse fluorimeter, using DMF as solvent. Mass spectrometry analysis were performed at the C.A.C.T.I. - Unidad de Espectrometria de Masas of the University of Vigo, Spain.

### 4.2. Synthesis

4.2.1. General procedure for the synthesis of terpyridine ligands 3 through Kröhnke condensation

2-Acetylpyridine 2 ( 3 mol ) was added to a solution of the appropriate aldehyde 1a-g ( 1.5 mol ) in ethanol ( 20 mL ). Potassium hydroxide pellets ( 3.6 mol ), and $25 \%$ aqueous ammonia ( 15 mL ) were then added to the solution. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 72 h . The resultant precipitate was filtered, washed with ice cold $50 \%$ aqueous ethanol and dried under reduced pressure to give the pure compounds 3a-g.
4.2.1.1. 2-(6'-(Pyridin-2"-yl)-4'-(thiophen-2"I'-yl)pyridin-2'-yl)pyridine, $3 \boldsymbol{a}$ [28]. Green solid (53 \%). Mp: 197-199 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (liquid film) $\nu 3057$, 3011, 1598, 1564, 1547, 1463, 1444, 1360, 1389, 1264, 1232, 1148, 1121, 1091, 1091, 1043, 1010, 985, 885, 832, 788, 771, 734, 704, $679 \mathrm{~cm}-1 \quad \lambda_{\max }$ (ethanol)/nm $286\left(\varepsilon / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} 25,778\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.25$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4$ and $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), 7.50-7.54 (m, 2H, H-5, H-5"), 7.79 (d, 1H, J = 5.2 Hz, H-3 ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), 7.94 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), 8.00-8.05 (m, 2H, H-4, H-4"), 8.62-8.65 (m, 4H, H-3, H-3", H-3', H-5'), 8.75-8.77 (m, 2H, H-6, H-6") ppm.
4.2.1.2. 2-(4'-(5"-hexylthiophen-2"-yl)-6'-(pyridin-2"'-yl)pyridin-2'-yl) pyridine, 3b. Beije solid (20 \%). Mp: 70-72 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (liquid film) $\nu 3380$, 3055, 3013, 2926, 2855, 2683, 2304, 1984, 1957, 1858, 1756, 1733, 1696, 1599, 1583, 1566, 1552, 1468, 1437, 1398, 1377, 1340, 1265, 1233, 1201, 1147, 1125, 1092, 1077, 1058, 1043, 990, 965, $847 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ $\lambda_{\max }\left(\right.$ ethanol)/nm $292\left(\varepsilon / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} 29,333\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.24-1.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.61-1.68 (m, 2H, CH $\left.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.81\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.95$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}$ ), $7.49-7.52$ (m, 2H, H-5, H-5"'), 7.73 (d, 1 H , $\left.J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.98-8.03$ (m, 2H, H-4, H-4"'), 8.56 (s, 2H, H-3', H$5^{\prime}$ ), 8.60 (d, 2H, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), 8.73-8.75 (m, 2H, H-6, H-6"') ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.9,22.0,28.1,29.5,30.9$, 30.9, 115.5, 120.9, 124.6, 126.5, 137.4, 137.8, 142.9, 148.2, 149.3, 154.8, 155.7 ppm . MS (EI) $m / z(\%)=399\left([M]^{+}, 24\right), 328$ (100). HRMS: $m / z$ (EI) for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$; calcd 399.1769; found: 399.1772.
4.2.1.3. 2-(4'-(3", $4^{\prime \prime}$-dimethoxyphenyl)-6'-(pyridin-2""-yl)pyridin-2'-yl) pyridine, 3c [28b,29]. Light brown solid (55 \%). Mp: 77-77 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (liquid film) $\nu$ 3400, 2992, 2929, 2898, 2830, 2355, 1602, 1584, 1520,
$1468,1391,1322,1260,1207,1166,1147,1077,1024,990,885,851$, $786,762,730 \mathrm{~cm}-1 \lambda_{\text {max }}$ (ethanol)/nm $286\left(\varepsilon / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} 24,083\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.83$ (t, 3H, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.90 (t, 3H, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 7.12 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, H-3"), 7.41-7.52 (m, 4H, H-5, H-5"', H-2", H$6^{\prime \prime}$ ), 7.99-8.04 (m, 2H, H-4, H-4"'), 8.62-8.64 (m, 4H, H-3', H-5', H-3, $\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), 8.74-8.76 (m, 2H, H-6, H-6"'I) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 55.6,55.8,110.1,112.2,117.6,119.7,120.9,124.5,137.4$, 149.3, 149.5, 150.1, 155.1, 155.5 ppm .
4.2.1.4. 2-(4'-(4"-(1H-pyrrol-1"'-yl)phenyl)-6'-(pyridin-2"'-yl)pyridin-2'yl)pyridine, 3d. Brown solid ( $26 \%$ ). Mp: dec $>200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (liquid film) $\nu$ 3145, 3012, 1609, 1586, 1566, 1529, 1425, 1390, 1334, 1266, 1120, 1069, 991, 897, $828 \mathrm{~cm}-1 \quad \lambda_{\max }$ (ethanol)/nm $283\left(\varepsilon / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ 22,675). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.31$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-$ $2^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), $7.48-7.54$ (m, 4H, H-5, H-5"I', H-3"', H-4"'), 7.78 (d, 2H, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$ ), 8.00-8.06 (m, 4H, Н-4, Н-4"I', H-2", Н-6"), 8.65 (d, 2H, H-3, H-3 ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), 8.76 (s, 2H, H-3', H-5'), 8.76-8.77 (m, 2H, H6 , H-6"') ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 110.9,117.6,118.9$, $119.8,120.9,124.5,128.3,134.0,137.5,140.7,148.6,149.3,154.9$, 155.7 ppm . MS (EI) $m / z(\%)=374\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 100\right), 296$ (14). HRMS: $m / z$ (EI) for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$; calcd 374.1531; found: 374.1534 .
4.2.1.5. 2-(4'-(4"-(1h-imidazole-1"I-yl)phenyl)-6'-(pyridin-2"I'-yl)pyridin-$2^{\prime}$-yl)pyridine, $3 \boldsymbol{e}$ [30]. Brown solid ( $69 \%$ ). $\mathrm{Mp}: 210-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (liquid film) $~ 3582,3415,2357,1916,1609,1587,1567,1528,1469,1441$, 1425, 1392, 1331, 1309, 1264, 1200, 1148, 1119, 1076, 1059, 992, 962, 903, $888 \mathrm{~cm}-1 \lambda_{\text {max }}$ (ethanol)/nm $274\left(\varepsilon / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} 65,261\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.15-7.16$ (m, 1H, H-4"'), 7.49-7.52 (m, 2H, H-5, H-5 ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), 7.83-7.85 (m, 3H, H-5"', H-3", H-5"), 7.99-8.04 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}$ ), 8.36-8.37 (m, 1H, H-2"I), 8.62 (d, 2H, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), 8.69 (s, 2H, H-3', H-5'), 8.73-8.75 (m, 2H, H-6, H-6 ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ ) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 117.8,117.9,120.9$, $121.1,124.6,128.5,130.2,135.6,135.8,137.5,137.7,148.4,149.4$, 154.9, 155.8 ppm .
4.2.1.6. $N, N$-dimethyl-4-(2',6'-di(pyridin-2"-yl)pyridin-4'-yl)naphthalen-1-amine, 3f. Beije solid ( $33 \%$ ). Mp: $165-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (liquid film) $\nu 3058$, 3004, 2940, 2869, 2834, 2787, 2308, 1986, 1959, 1921, 1892, 1857, 1731, 1647, 1598, 1579, 1565, 1537, 1513, 1464, 1443, 1425, 1390, 1355, 1332, 1265, 1200, 1142, 1118, 1100, 1064, 1048, 989, $825 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ $\lambda_{\text {max }}\left(\right.$ ethanol)/nm $288\left(\varepsilon / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} 25,258\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2), 7.48-7.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime \prime}\right.$, H-7, H-6, H-3), $7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8), 8.02-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-$ $4^{\prime \prime}$ ), 8.27 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 8.35 (s, 2H, H-5', H-3'), 8.69-8.72 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime \prime}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 113.6, 120.9, 121.6, 124.5, 124.7, 125.1, 125.4, 126.8, 127.4, 128.2, 131.4, 131.4, 137.5, 149.4, 150.2, 151.3, 154.9, 155.2 ppm. MS (EI) m/ $z(\%)=402\left([M]^{+}, 100\right), 385(30)$. HRMS: $m / z(E I)$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$; calcd 402.1844; found: 402.1842.
4.2.1.7. 9-Ethyl-3-(2',6'-di(pyridin-2"-yl)pyridin-4'-yl)-9H-carbazole, $3 g$ [6i]. Beije solid (51 \%). Mp: 167-169 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (liquid film) $\nu 3583$, 3053, 3016, 2977, 2935, 2896, 2685, 2519, 2305, 1928, 1884, 1695, 1662, 1626, 1595, 1584, 1567, 1547, 1491, 1469, 1439, 1415, 1395, 1347, 1332, 1265, 1234, 1156, 1129, 1087, 1037, 992, $792 \mathrm{~cm}-1 \lambda_{\max }$ (ethanol)/nm $272\left(\varepsilon / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} 63,065\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.33\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.46\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.23-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6)$, 7.47-7.54 (m, 3H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{H}-7$ ), $7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8)$, 7.77 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5), 8.01-8.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{H}-8\right), 8.38$ (d, 1H, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 8.67 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \prime$ ), $8.77-8.79$ (m, 3H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 8.83 (s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 13.8,37.2,109.4,109.9,117.9,119.1$, 119.2, 120.9, 121.1, 122.4, 123.1, 124.5, 124.7, 126.3, 128.2, 137.5, $140.1,140.2,149.3,150.5,155.3,155.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) $m / z$ (\%) $=426$ $\left([M]^{+}, 84\right), 411$ (100). HRMS: $m / z$ (EI) for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$; calcd 426.1844; found: 426.1843.
4.2.2. General procedure for the synthesis of the $\left[R u^{I I I}(3) C l_{3}\right]$ intermediate complexes 4 from the respective terpyridine ligands 3

This procedure is a variation of a reported synthesis on $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {III }}(\mathrm{tpy}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]$ complexes with terpyridine ligands [39]. The envisaged terpyridine ligand (3a-g, 1 equiv.) and ruthenium trichloride hydrate ( 1 equiv.) were suspended in degassed ethanol ( 100 mL of solvent per 1 mmol of reagent), and refluxed under nitrogen for $3.5-4.5 \mathrm{~h}$. After a few hours at room temperature the suspension was filtered on a Buchner funnel. The solid was washed with abundant ethanol until the filtered liquid appears as colorless, followed with three portions of diethyl ether and dried under vacuum to give the intermediates 4 ( $\eta$ 47-79 \%). The compounds were used for the next reaction step without further characterization.
4.2.3. General procedure for the synthesis of the $\left[R u^{I I}(3)(N C S)_{3}\right]^{-}$ complexes 5 from the respective intermediates 4

This procedure is based on a reported synthesis of a $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}\right.$ (tpy) $\left.(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\right]^{-}$complex with a terpyridine ligand [31a]. KSCN (40-45 equiv.) dissolved in water ( 0.5 mL per mL of DMF) was added to a solution of intermediate 4 ( $0.05-0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 200 mL per mmol of 4) , and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h . After this time, trimethylamine (10-15 equiv.) was added to the solution, and reflux was continued for 20 min . The solution was concentrated with a rotavapor until only a few drops of black solution were left, and water was added to afford a very fine dark violet precipitate. The suspension was dried at the rotavapor and a second portion of water was added, affording larger grains of solid. The suspension was agitated for a few minutes and then left standing for a few hours to ensure the complete dissolution of thiocyanates and chlorides. The suspension was filtered on a Buchner funnel, and the solid washed with at least three portions of water and dried under vacuum, to give the products 5 .
4.2.3.1. Complex 5a: $E t_{3} N H\left[R u(3 a)(N C S)_{3}\right]$. Black solid (69 \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta$ (main isomer, approximately $85 \%$ of the total) $1.26(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.0$ and 3.8 Hz$), 7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8$ and 5.4 $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1$ and 7.8 Hz$), 8.43$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S I) \mathrm{m} /$ $z(\%)=590\left([M]^{+}, 100\right), 417$ (96), 403 (42), 389 (29), 255 (23). HRMS: $m / z$ (ESI) $[M]^{+}$found 590.9127; $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RuS}_{4}$ requires 590.9134.
4.2.3.2. Complex 5b: $E t_{3} N H\left[R u(3 b)(N C S)_{3}\right]$. Black solid (73 \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta$ (main isomer, approximately $85 \%$ of the total) $0.95(3 \mathrm{H}$, broad), $1.26(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50-1.35(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.96$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8$ and 5.4 Hz$), 7.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01(2 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $\left.J_{1} \approx J_{2}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.95(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). MS (ESI) $m / z(\%)=677$ (55), 676 (26), $675\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}\right.$, 100), 674 (60), 673 (38), 672 (39), 417 (67). HRMS: $m / z$ (ESI) [M] ${ }^{+}$ found 675.0069; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RuS}_{4}$ requires 675.0073.
4.2.3.3. Complex 5c: $E t_{3} N H\left[R u(3 c)(N C S)_{3}\right]$. Black solid (71 \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta$ (main isomer, approximately $80 \%$ of the total) $1.26(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.14(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.96$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=8.4$ and 2.2 Hz ), $7.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.5$ and 5.5 Hz$), 7.97(2 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=7.9$ and 7.5 Hz$), 8.39-8.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ESI) $m / z(\%)=646$ (55), 645 (25), 644 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 100$ ), 643 (61), 642 (39), 641 (40), 417 (38). HRMS: $m / z$ (ESI) $[M]^{+}$found 644.9777; $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{RuS}_{3}$ requires 644.9781 .
4.2.3.4. Complex 5d: $E t_{3} N H\left[R u(3 d)(N C S)_{3}\right]$. Black solid (77 \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta$ (main isomer, approximately $80 \%$ of the total) $1.26(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.43-6.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.39-7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8$ and 5.3 Hz$), 7.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$,
$J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1$ and 7.7 Hz$), 8.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI) $m / z(\%)=651$ (53), $649\left([M]^{+}, 100\right), 648$ (61), 647 (38), 646 (38), 417 (29). HRMS: $m / z$ (ESI) [M] ${ }^{+}$found 649.9833; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{RuS}_{3}$ requires 649.9839 .
4.2.3.5. Complex 5f: $E t_{3} N H\left[R u(3 f)(N C S)_{3}\right]$. Black solid (76 \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta$ (main isomer, approximately $70 \%$ of the total) $1.26(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.00(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.14(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.75-7.60(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.45-8.30(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} . \mathrm{d})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / z(\%)=$ 680 (56), 678 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 100$ ), 677 (62), 676 (39), 675 (40), 417 (44). HRMS: $m / z$ (ESI) $[M]^{+}$found 678.0153; $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{RuS}_{3}$ requires 678.0148.
4.2.3.6. Complex 5 g : $E t_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left[\mathrm{Ru}(3 g)(N C S)_{3}\right]$. Black Solid (71 \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD} \mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta$ (main isomer, approximately $85 \%$ of the total) $1.26(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.65-7.55(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.70$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) m / z(\%)=705$ (20), 704 (57), 703 (32), 702 ([M] ${ }^{+}, 100$ ), 701 (63), 700 (40), 699 (39), 696 (16), 442 (10), 440 (16), 419 (9), 418 (23), 417 (95), 404 (15), 403 (62), 397 (11), 389 (31), 375 (16). HRMS: $m / z$ (ESI) [M] ${ }^{+}$ found 702.0142; $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{RuS}_{3}$ requires 702.0153.

### 4.3. Cyclic voltammetry

Electrochemical measurements were performed by a BAS 100B/W apparatus. Ligands 3 and complexes 5 were dissolved in anhydrous DMF containing $0.1 \mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and the solutions were kept under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. A three electrodes cell was used with Pt as working electrode, Pt wire as counter electrode and $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$as reference electrode $\left(\mathrm{Ag}\right.$ wire in $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ and $0.1 \mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ dissolved in DMF). The $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$couple was used to calibrate the reference electrode (in these conditions $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}\right)=+0.470 \mathrm{mV}$ vs SCE, +0.711 vs NHE) [34]. CV experiments were performed at different scan rates 20, 200 , and $800 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$.

### 4.4. Nonlinear optical study using the hyper-Rayleigh scattering (HRS) method

Hyper-Rayleigh scattering (HRS) was used to measure the angleaveraged first hyperpolarizability $\beta$ of the molecules studied [35]. The experimental set-up for hyper-Rayleigh measurements has previously been described in detail [35b]. A Q-switched Nd:YAG laser operating at a 10 Hz repetition rate with approximately 10 mJ of energy per pulse and a pulse duration (FWHM) close to 12 ns is used to excite Hyper Rayleigh scattering with an incident wavelength of 1064 nm . The hyper-Rayleigh signal was normalized at each pulse by using a small fraction of the laser pulse to generate a second harmonic signal from a KDP crystal to compensate for fluctuations in the temporal profile of the laser pulses due to longitudinal mode beating. Dioxane and methanol were used as a solvent, and the $\beta$ values were calibrated using a reference solution of $p$-nitroaniline ( $p \mathrm{NA}$ ) also dissolved in dioxane or methanol at a concentration of $1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ (external reference method) [36]. The hyperpolarizability of pNA dissolved in dioxane or methanol is known from EFISH measurements carried out at the same fundamental wavelength. Following reference [36b] we have chosen to report our values using the so-called T (Taylor expansion) convention. All solutions were filtered ( $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ porosity) to avoid spurious signals from suspended impurities. The small hyper Rayleigh signal that arises from dioxane or methanol was taken into account. We took particular care to avoid reporting artificially high hyperpolarizabilities due to a possible contamination of the hyper Rayleigh signal by molecular
fluorescence near 532 nm . Measurements were carried out using two different interference filters with different transmission pass bands centred near the second harmonic at 532 nm allowing us to estimate and correct for any fluorescence emitted near 532 nm .
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Experimental first hyperpolarizabilities $\beta$ and spectroscopic data measured in dioxane solutions.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All compounds are transparent at the 1064 nm fundamental wavelength and the hyperpolarizability values are reported using the T-convention.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Data corrected for resonance enhancement at 532 nm using the two-level model with $\beta_{0}=\beta\left[1-\left(\lambda_{\max } / 1064\right)^{2}\right]\left[1-\left(\lambda_{\max } / 532\right)^{2}\right]$; damping factors not included 1064 nm .
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Due to overlapping fluorescence it was not possible to measure the $\beta$ value.

