

Psychometry and Pescatori projective test in coloproctological patients

Ana Célia Caetano^{a,b,c}, Dinis Oliveira^a, Zaida Gomes^d, Edgar Mesquita^e, Carla Rolanda^{a,b,c}

Braga Hospital; University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

Abstract

Background Psychological assessment is not commonly performed nor easily accepted by coloproctological patients. Our aim was to evaluate the psychological component of coloproctological disorders using uncommon tools.

Methods The 21-Item Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale and the Pescatori projective test were applied to coloproctological outpatients of the Gastroenterology Department of our hospital as well as to healthy volunteers.

Results Seventy patients (median age 47 years, 22 male) divided in 4 groups (functional constipation, constipated irritable bowel syndrome, benign anorectal disease and perianal Crohn's disease) and 52 healthy volunteers (age 45 years, 18 male) completed the tests. Proctological patients showed higher scores of depression ($P<0.001$), anxiety ($P<0.001$), and stress ($P<0.001$) compared to healthy participants. Compared to the control group, patients with functional constipation, irritable bowel syndrome and perianal Crohn's disease maintained the highest scores in all subscales ($P<0.05$), while patients with benign anorectal disease only had higher anxiety and stress ($P<0.001$) scores. The patients' also showed lower scores in the Pescatori projective test ($P=0.012$). A weak association between the projective test and the depression subscale was found ($P=0.05$).

Conclusion Proctological patients had higher scores of depression, anxiety and stress and lower scores in the Pescatori projective test compared to healthy controls.

Keywords Coloproctological disorders, psychometry, anxiety, stress and depression scales, projective test

Ann Gastroenterol 2017; 30 (4): 433-437

Introduction

Benign coloproctological disorders comprise a wide spectrum of diseases: functional constipation (FC), constipated irritable bowel syndrome (IBSc), benign anorectal diseases

(BAD) and perianal Crohn's disease (pCD). Although regarded as nonthreatening conditions, these diseases can be highly symptomatic and have an important impact on patients' well-being and quality of life [1-4].

Psychological factors appear to play a relevant role in these conditions [5-13]. Although several psychometric instruments have been used to evaluate gastrointestinal patients, only a few studies applied those tests to coloproctological patients [5,9,14-19]. The 21-Item Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21) is a well-established instrument for measuring depression, anxiety, and stress using 3 psychometric scales [20-22]. Recently, 2 studies evaluated the psychological component of anorectal diseases in an alternative way using projective graphics tests, such as the "Draw a Human Figure" test [19] and the "Draw a Family" test [14,19]. These tests are simple, easy to execute and well accepted by patients, and may reflect psychopathology and altered emotional states [23-25].

We aimed to characterize the psychological component of some coloproctological conditions by comparing patients to a healthy population in order to determine whether psychological evaluation should be performed as routine.

^aDepartment of Gastroenterology, Braga Hospital, Portugal (Ana Célia Caetano, Dinis Oliveira, Carla Rolanda); ^bLife and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Health Sciences, University of Minho, Braga (Ana Célia Caetano, Carla Rolanda); ^cICVS/3B's - PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães (Ana Célia Caetano, Carla Rolanda); ^dPsychological Department of Digestive Diseases Centre, Braga (Zaida Gomes); ^eStatistic Department (Edgar Mesquita), Braga Portugal

Conflict of Interest: None

Correspondence to: Ana Célia Caetano, Sete Fontes-São Victor, 4710-243 Braga, Portugal, Tel.: +35 19153 03019, e-mail: anaceliacaetanocs@gmail.com

Received 21 December 2016; accepted 13 March 2017; published online 11 April 2017

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20524/aog.2017.0145>

Patients and methods

We performed a prospective psychological assessment of coloproctological outpatients of the Gastroenterology Department of Braga Hospital between July and December of 2015. Included patients had one of the following diagnoses: 1) FC fulfilling Rome III criteria; 2) IBSc fulfilling Rome III criteria; 3) BAD in the last year (anal fissure, hemorrhoids or anal itching), confirmed by hospital anal examination; and 4) pCD proven by anal ultrasound or pelvic magnetic resonance image. Exclusion criteria were age <18 years, structural constipation and criteria for more than one of the described groups. The control group consisted of healthy volunteers with no psychiatric history or gastrointestinal symptoms, generally other Departments' outpatients or accompanying persons. The socio-demographic characteristics and clinical history of participants were collected through a questionnaire.

We chose 2 innovative instruments of psychological assessment in this area of expertise: the Portuguese version of DASS-21 [22] and the Pescatori projective test (Ppt) based on the "Draw a Human Figure" test [19]. The validated and published Portuguese version of DASS-21 [20,21,24] assigns a score of 0-3 points to each of the 7 sentences in the subscales of depression, anxiety and stress (Annex 1). The Ppt is based on the "Draw a Human Figure" test developed by Goodenough in 1926 [26] and adapted by Machover in 1949 [27] to evaluate personality traits through graphic design interpretation. The experimental scoring model used in this study was developed by Cioli, Gagliardi and Pescatori in 2015 [19]. This model scores graphic design characteristics (Table 1) and it ranges from 0 (worst result) to 10 (normal) [20,21]. The drawings were evaluated and classified by a psychologist who had no access to the patients' data.

The study complied with the standards and recommendations of the Helsinki Declaration. All participants provided informed consent. Anonymity and confidentiality were assured by coding each participant.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS 22.0. Statistical significance was achieved with $P < 0.05$. Chi-square tests were used when comparing categorical variables. Non-parametric Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed to compare two or more than two groups, respectively, when data were not normally distributed. Correlation was measured with Spearman's correlation coefficient.

Results

Seventy patients (13 FC, 18 IBSc, 19 BAD, 20 pCD) and 52 healthy individuals were included. There was no significant difference between patients and controls regarding age ($P = 0.12$), sex ($P = 0.71$), marital status ($P = 0.93$), or education

Table 1 Pescatori projective test score

Parameters	Score
Head in proportion to body	1
Presence of all the facial features	1
Presence of open eyes	1
Presence of neck	1
Presence of arms	1
Presence of hands	1
Presence of legs	1
Presence of feet	1
Proportion of the body	1
Proportion of all parts of the figure	1

($P = 0.17$). Significant differences were found when comparing the prevalence of a previous psychiatric evaluation ($P < 0.001$) (Table 2).

When DASS-21 results were compared, coloproctological patients had higher scores than healthy individuals in the three subscales: depression, anxiety, and stress ($P < 0.001$) (Table 3).

All 4 subgroups had significantly higher scores than controls in all subscales of DASS-21, except for the subscale of depression, in which BAD patients and controls had similar scores (Table 4). There were no significant differences between the subgroups as regards depression ($P = 0.19$), stress ($P = 0.09$) or anxiety ($P = 0.38$).

Regarding the Ppt, 2 patients from the FC group were excluded because they refused to draw. Globally, coloproctological patients had lower scores (mean=7.03, SD=2.07) than healthy individuals (median=7.96, SD=2.01) ($P = 0.01$). However, in a subgroup analysis, only FC and IBSc patients had significantly lower scores than controls ($P = 0.04$ for both). There were no significant differences between subgroups ($P = 0.32$).

There was an inverse correlation between the Ppt and the depression subscale ($r = -0.24$, $P = 0.05$) in coloproctological patients.

Discussion

Considering the recognizable psychological component of coloproctological disorders, our question is whether it would be relevant to request a psychological/psychiatric evaluation of these patients at some point during their follow up.

In our study, IBSc and FC patients showed higher scores of depression, anxiety and stress. These results are consistent with the current pathophysiological knowledge of these functional disorders, although most of the research in this area focuses predominantly on IBS [5,6,8,10,28,29].

The BAD patients presented higher levels of anxiety and stress, as described by Smith *et al* [11]. Anxiety and stress activate the sympathetic nervous system, increasing the internal

Table 2 Demographic data of patients and controls

	Controls	Patients	Patients			
			BAD	FC	pCD	IBSc
Age M (SD)	44.98 (16.30)	47.26 (15.73)	52.74 (14.11)	57.85 (10.57)	33.60 (10.57)	50.06 (15.94)
Sex (%)						
Male	18 (34.6)	22 (31.4)	10 (52.6)	1 (7.7)	11 (55.0)	0 (0%)
Female	34 (65.4)	48 (68.6)	9 (47.4)	12 (92.3)	9 (45.0)	18 (200)
Civil state (%)						
Single	17 (32.7)	19 (27.1)	1 (5.6)	0 (0)	14 (70.0)	4 (22.2)
Married	26 (50.0)	39 (55.7)	13 (72.2)	10 (76.9)	4 (20.0)	12 (66.7)
Other	8 (15.3)	11 (15.7)	4 (22.3)	3 (23.1)	2 (10.0)	2 (11.1)
No response	1 (1.9)	1 (1.4)	-	-	-	-
Education (%)						
Elementary (<10 years)	11 (21.2)	20 (29.0)	8 (44.4)	6 (46.2)	1 (5.0)	5 (27.8)
Middle (10-12 years)	6 (11.5)	10 (14.5)	1 (5.6)	4 (30.8)	1 (5.0)	4 (22.2)
Junior High (12-15 years)	10 (19.2)	12 (17.4)	3 (16.7)	1 (7.7)	6 (30.0)	2 (11.1)
Senior High (15-18 years)	11 (21.2)	20 (29.0)	5 (27.8)	2 (15.4)	8 (40.0)	5 (27.8)
Graduate	14 (26.9)	7 (10.1)	1 (5.6)	0 (0)	4 (20.0)	2 (11.1)
Psychiatric evaluation	0 (0)	27 (39.1)	5 (27.8)	3 (23.1)	5 (25.0)	14 (77.8)

M, mean; SD, standard deviation; BAD, benign anorectal disorders; FC, functional constipation; IBSc, constipated irritable bowel syndrome; pCD, perianal Crohn's disease

Table 3 Comparison of DASS-21 between patients and controls

	Control (n=52)	Patients (n=70)	Mann-Whitney test
DASS – Subscale depression	4.08 (3.87)	11.74 (11.52)	P<0.001
DASS – Subscale anxiety	3.21 (3.21)	12.20 (9.12)	P<0.001
DASS – Subscale stress	5.35 (4.88)	15.26 (10.00)	P<0.001

Results presented as mean

SD, standard deviation; DASS, depression anxiety and stress scale

anal sphincter pressure and potentially causing hemorrhoidal disease and anal fissures [30-32]. Some studies point to stress as the trigger to hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis suppression and consequent chronic pruritus [33]. The BAD patients and healthy volunteers had similar levels of depression. As these are usually more transitory conditions, they have only a minor impact on long-term quality of life and prospects for the future, in contrast to chronic conditions [4,11]. It would be interesting to see if, in cases of long term BAD, this dimension would be affected.

The pCD patients had higher levels of anxiety, stress and depression, in accordance with the literature [12,13,34,35]. Stress and depression may be associated with immunological changes (infiltration of T and B cells, impaired healing, altered levels of defensins) as well as microbiological and

genetic factors, all described in the genesis of perianal fistulas [36-38].

No significant differences between subgroups were observed. Likewise, Mikocka-Walus *et al* evaluated anxiety and stress levels among patients with IBD, IBS and chronic hepatitis C and found no differences between groups [18]. These results suggest that the psychological component in functional and organic coloproctological conditions has an equivalent effect, although a bigger sample might reveal differences between the subgroups.

Coloproctological patients showed significantly lower results in the Ppt compared to healthy individuals, a difference that only achieved significance in the subgroups of FC and IBSc patients. It is probable that, in these functional conditions, the presence of altered personality traits is more evident and more easily detected by the test. Comparably to the DASS-21 results, there were no significant differences between subgroups, something that a larger sample might have altered.

There was a significant inverse correlation only between the subscale of depression and the Ppt, a phenomenon that can be explained by the illustrative/expressive capacity of this projective test, which possibly reflects more the intrapsychic conflict and not the response to external pressure [22].

The present study has several limitations. The Ppt is an experimental test, a fact that adds uncertainty to our conclusions. It may be influenced by age, education, sex, work and even lack of interest/effort in the test. Another limitation was the small number of patients, which did not allow us to see differences between the pathological conditions.

Table 4 Comparison of DASS-21 between patient subgroups and controls

	Controls (n=52)	BAD (n=19) vs. control	FC (n=13) vs. control	pCD (n=20) vs. control	IBSc (n=18) vs. control
Depression	4.08 (3.87)	8.63 (8.43) P=0.07	12.46 (13.30) P=0.03	9.20 (10.00) P=0.02	17.33 (13.21) P<0.001
Anxiety	3.21 (3.21)	9.47 (5.45) P<0.001	12.62 (8.96) P<0.001	10.20 (9.15) P<0.001	17.00 (10.90) P<0.001
Stress	5.35 (4.88)	12.42 (7.07) P<0.001	14.92 (12.24) P=0.003	15.00 (9.21) P<0.001	18.78 (11.40) P<0.001

Results presented as mean

SD, standard deviation; BAD, benign anorectal disorders; FC, functional constipation; IBSc, constipated irritable bowel syndrome; Pcd, perianal Crohn's disease; DASS, depression anxiety and stress scale

Although the aim of this work was not to discriminate the role of psychological factors as a cause or consequence of coloproctological diseases, our study demonstrates the existence of a clear association. Based on our results, an initial psychological or psychiatric evaluation was proposed to 2 BAD, 8 FC, 1 pCD, and 3 IBSc patients. From our point of view, it seems that the implementation of an early evaluation, with brief, subtle and well-accepted tools that allow the prompt management of the psychological component, could be a pertinent part of care in these patients. This paradigm attitude shift could change the recurrent nature and chronic course of these conditions. The use of preventive strategies could even change the epidemiology and the treatment algorithm of these diseases.

In conclusion, proctological patients had higher scores of depression, anxiety and stress and lower scores in the Ppt. DASS-21 is a ready-to-use instrument helpful in the proctological outpatient setting. Ppt may become a valuable tool that needs further validation. The early diagnosis of the psychological component of the coloproctological functional and organic diseases should be of concern to the physician.

Summary Box

What is already known:

- Benign coloproctological disorders can be highly symptomatic and have an important impact on patients' well-being and quality of life
- Psychological factors may play a relevant role in the described conditions

What the new findings are:

- Patients with functional as well as organic coloproctological diseases had higher scores of depression, anxiety, and stress
- Benign anorectal conditions (hemorrhoids and anal fissure) had no association with depression
- Coloproctological patients had lower scores in the Pescatori projective test

References

1. Belsey J, Greenfield S, Candy D, Geraint M. Systematic review: impact of constipation on quality of life in adults and children. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010;**31**:938-949.
2. Spiegel BM. The burden of IBS: looking at metrics. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2009;**11**:265-269.
3. Mahadev S, Young JM, Selby W, Solomon MJ. Quality of life in perianal Crohn's disease: what do patients consider important? *Dis Colon Rectum* 2011;**54**:579-585.
4. Foux-Orenstein AE, Umar SB, Crowell MD. Common anorectal disorders. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y)* 2014;**10**:294-301.
5. Rao SS, Seaton K, Miller MJ, et al. Psychological profiles and quality of life differ between patients with dyssynergia and those with slow transit constipation. *J Psychosom Res* 2007;**63**:441-449.
6. Dykes S, Smilgin-Humphreys S, Bass C. Chronic idiopathic constipation: a psychological enquiry. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2001;**13**:39-44.
7. Pescatori M, Spyrou M, Pulvirenti d'Urso A. A prospective evaluation of occult disorders in obstructed defecation using the 'iceberg diagram'. *Colorectal Dis* 2005;**8**:785-789.
8. Fond G, Loundou A, Hamdani N, et al. Anxiety and depression comorbidities in irritable bowel syndrome (IBS): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2014;**264**:651-660.
9. Eriksson EM, Andrén KI, Eriksson HT, Kurlberg GK. Irritable bowel syndrome subtypes differ in body awareness, psychological symptoms and biochemical stress markers. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008;**14**:4889-4896.
10. Drossman DA, Camilleri M, Mayer EA, Whitehead WE. AGA technical review on irritable bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 2002;**123**:2108-2131.
11. Smith LE, Henrichs D, McCullah RD. Prospective studies on the etiology and treatment of pruritus ani. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1982;**25**:358-363.
12. Maconi G, Gridavilla D, Viganò C, et al. Perianal disease is associated with psychiatric co-morbidity in Crohn's disease in remission. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2014;**29**:1285-1290.
13. Mahadev S, Young JM, Selby W, Solomon MJ. Self-reported depressive symptoms and suicidal feelings in perianal Crohn's disease. *Colorectal Dis* 2012;**14**:331-335.
14. Miliacca C, Gagliardi G, Pescatori M. The 'Draw-the-Family Test' in the preoperative assessment of patients with anorectal diseases and psychological distress: a prospective controlled study. *Colorectal Dis* 2010;**12**:792-798.
15. Muscatello MR, Bruno A, Pandolfo G, et al. Depression, anxiety and anger in subtypes of irritable bowel syndrome patients. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings* 2010;**17**:64-70.
16. Kovács Z, Seres G, Kerékgyártó O, Czobor P. Psychopathological symptom dimensions in patients with gastrointestinal disorders. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings* 2010;**17**:378-386.
17. Simrén M, Svedlund J, Posserud I, Björnsson ES, Abrahamsson H.

- Health-related quality of life in patients attending a gastroenterology outpatient clinic: functional disorders versus organic diseases. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006;**4**:187-195.
18. Mikocka-Walus AA, Turnbull DA, Andrews JM, et al. Psychological problems in gastroenterology outpatients: A South Australian experience. Psychological co-morbidity in IBD, IBS and hepatitis C. *Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health* 2008;**4**:15.
 19. Cioli VM, Gagliardi G, Pescatori M. Psychological stress in patients with anal fistula. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2015;**30**:1123-1129.
 20. Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF. Manual for the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales. Sydney: Psychology Foundation of Australia; 1995.
 21. Henry JD, Crawford JR. The short-form version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS-21): construct validity and normative data in a large non-clinical sample. *Br J Clin Psychol* 2005;**44**:227-239.
 22. Pais-Ribeiro JL, Honrado A, Leal I. Contribuição para o Estudo da Adaptação Portuguesa das Escalas de Ansiedade, Depressão e Stress (EADS) de 21 Itens de Lovibond e Lovibond. *Psicologia, Saúde & Doenças* 2004;**5**:229-239.
 23. Handler L, Campbell A, Martin B. Use of graphic techniques in personality assessment: reliability, validity, and clinical utility. In: Hersen M, Hilsenroth MJ, Segal DL. (eds.) Comprehensive handbook of psychological assessment – Volume 2: Personality assessment. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2004, p. 387-404.
 24. Handler L. Anxiety indexes in the draw a person test: a scoring manual. *J Proj Tech Pers Assess* 1967;**31**:46-57.
 25. Weiner I, Roger L. Handbook of personality assessment. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons; 2008.
 26. Goodenough FL. Measurement of intelligence by drawings. New York: World Book; 1926.
 27. Machover K. Personality projection: in the drawing of a human figure. Springfield, IL: Charles C Thomas Publisher; 1949.
 28. Salvioli B, Pellegatta G, Malacarne M, et al. Autonomic nervous system dysregulation in irritable bowel syndrome. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015;**27**:423-430.
 29. Moloney RD, Johnson AC, O'Mahony SM, Dinan TG, Greenwood-Van Meerveld B, Cryan JF. Stress and the microbiota-gut-brain axis in visceral pain: Relevance to irritable bowel syndrome. *CNS Neurosci Ther* 2016;**22**:102-117.
 30. Zoghiyan KN, Fleshner P. Anal fissure. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg* 2011;**24**:22-30.
 31. Loder PB, Kamm MA, Nicholls RJ, Phillips RK. Haemorrhoids: pathology, pathophysiology and aetiology. *Br J Surg* 1994;**81**:946-954.
 32. Carlstedt A, Nordgren S, Fasth S, Appelgren L, Hultén L. Sympathetic nervous influence on the internal anal sphincter and rectum in man. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 1988;**3**:90-95.
 33. Tey HL, Wallengren J, Yosipovitch G. Psychosomatic factors in pruritus. *Clin Dermatol* 2013;**31**:31-40.
 34. Bannaga AS, Selinger CP. Inflammatory bowel disease and anxiety: links, risks, and challenges faced. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol* 2015;**8**:111-117.
 35. Cámara RJ, Schoepfer AM, Pittet V, Bégre S, von Känel R; Swiss Inflammatory Bowel Disease Cohort Study (SIBDCS) Group. Mood and nonmood components of perceived stress and exacerbation of Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2011;**17**:2358-2365.
 36. Moons WG, Shields GS. Anxiety, not anger, induces inflammatory activity: An avoidance/approach model of immune system activation. *Emotion* 2015;**15**:463-476.
 37. Marshall GD Jr. The adverse effects of psychological stress on immunoregulatory balance: applications to human inflammatory diseases. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* 2011;**31**:133-140.
 38. Tozer PJ, Whelan K, Phillips RK, Hart AL. Etiology of perianal Crohn's disease: role of genetic, microbiological, and immunological factors. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009;**15**:1591-1598.

APPENDIX 1**DASS21**

Name:

Date:

Please read each statement and circle a number 0, 1, 2 or 3 which indicates how much the statement applied to you over the past week. There are no right or wrong answers. Do not spend too much time on any statement.

The rating scale is as follows:

- 0 Did not apply to me at all
 1 Applied to me to some degree, or some of the time
 2 Applied to me to a considerable degree or a good part of time
 3 Applied to me very much or most of the time

1 (s)	I found it hard to wind down	0	1	2	3
2 (a)	I was aware of dryness of my mouth	0	1	2	3
3 (d)	I couldn't seem to experience any positive feeling at all	0	1	2	3
4 (a)	I experienced breathing difficulty (e.g. excessively rapid breathing, breathlessness in the absence of physical exertion)	0	1	2	3
5 (d)	I found it difficult to work up the initiative to do things	0	1	2	3
6 (s)	I tended to over-react to situations	0	1	2	3
7 (a)	I experienced trembling (e.g. in the hands)	0	1	2	3
8 (s)	I felt that I was using a lot of nervous energy	0	1	2	3
9 (a)	I was worried about situations in which I might panic and make a fool of myself	0	1	2	3
10 (d)	I felt that I had nothing to look forward to	0	1	2	3
11 (s)	I found myself getting agitated	0	1	2	3
12 (s)	I found it difficult to relax	0	1	2	3
13 (d)	I felt down-hearted and blue	0	1	2	3
14 (s)	I was intolerant of anything that kept me from getting on with what I was doing	0	1	2	3
15 (a)	I felt I was close to panic	0	1	2	3
16 (d)	I was unable to become enthusiastic about anything	0	1	2	3
17 (d)	I felt I wasn't worth much as a person	0	1	2	3
18 (s)	I felt that I was rather touchy	0	1	2	3
19 (a)	I was aware of the action of my heart in the absence of physical exertion (e.g. sense of heart rate increase, heart missing a beat)	0	1	2	3
20 (a)	I felt scared without any good reason	0	1	2	3
21 (d)	I felt that life was meaningless	0	1	2	3

DASS-21 Scoring Instructions

The DASS-21 should not be used to replace a face to face clinical interview. If you are experiencing significant emotional difficulties you should contact your GP for a referral to a qualified professional.

Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale - 21 Items (DASS-21)

The Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale - 21 Items (DASS-21) is a set of three self-report scales designed to measure the emotional states of depression, anxiety and stress.

Each of the three DASS-21 scales contains 7 items, divided into subscales with similar content. The depression scale assesses dysphoria, hopelessness, devaluation of life, self-deprecation, lack of interest / involvement, anhedonia and inertia. The anxiety scale assesses autonomic arousal, skeletal muscle effects, situational anxiety, and subjective experience of anxious affect. The stress scale is sensitive to levels of chronic non-specific arousal. It assesses difficulty relaxing, nervous arousal, and being easily upset / agitated, irritable / over-reactive and impatient. Scores for depression, anxiety and stress are calculated by summing the scores for the relevant items.

The DASS-21 is based on a dimensional rather than a categorical conception of psychological disorder. The assumption on which the DASS-21 development was based (and which was confirmed by the research data) is that the differences between the depression, anxiety and the stress experienced by normal subjects and clinical populations are essentially differences of degree. The DASS-21 therefore has no direct implications for the allocation of patients to discrete diagnostic categories postulated in classificatory systems such as the DSM and ICD.

Recommended cut-off scores for conventional severity labels (normal, moderate, severe) are as follows:

NB Scores on the DASS-21 will need to be multiplied by 2 to calculate the final score.

	Depression	Anxiety	Stress
Normal	0-9	0-7	0-14
Mild	10-13	8-9	15-18
Moderate	14-20	10-14	19-25
Severe	21-27	15-19	26-33
Extremely severe	28+	20+	34+

Lovibond, S.H. & Lovibond, P.F. (1995). Manual for the Depression Anxiety & Stress Scales. (2nd Ed.)Sydney: Psychology Foundation.