Effective identifiability criteria for tensors and polynomials

Alex Massarenti¹

Universidade Federal Fluminense, Rua Alexandre Moura 8 - São Domingos, 24210-200 Niterói, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Massimiliano Mella

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Ferrara, Via Machiavelli 35, 44121 Ferrara, Italy

Giovanni Staglianò

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Catania, Viale Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

Abstract

A tensor T, in a given tensor space, is said to be h-identifiable if it admits a unique decomposition as a sum of h rank one tensors. A criterion for h-identifiability is called effective if it is satisfied in a dense, open subset of the set of rank h tensors. In this paper we give effective h-identifiability criteria for a large class of tensors. We then improve these criteria for some symmetric tensors. For instance, this allows us to give a complete set of effective identifiability criteria for ternary quintic polynomials. Finally, we implement our identifiability algorithms in Macaulay2.

Keywords: Tensor decomposition, Waring decomposition, effective identifiability.

1. Introduction

A tensor rank decomposition of a tensor T, lying in a given tensor space over a field k, is an expression of the type

$$T = \lambda_1 U_1 + \dots + \lambda_h U_h \tag{1.1}$$

where the U_i 's are linearly independent rank one tensors, $\lambda_i \in k^*$, and k is either the real or complex field. The rank of T, denoted by rank(T), is the minimal positive integer h such that T admits a decomposition as in (1.1).

Tensor decomposition problems and techniques are of relevance in both pure and applied mathematics. For instance, tensor decomposition algorithms have applications in psycho-metrics, chemometrics, signal processing, numerical linear algebra, computer vision, numerical analysis, neuroscience and graph analysis [15], [7], [6], [18], [22], [23]. In pure mathematics tensor decomposition issues naturally arise in constructing and studying moduli spaces of all possible additive decompositions of a general tensor into a given number of rank one tensors [8], [9], [21], [20], [26], [29].

Email addresses: alexmassarenti@id.uff.br (Alex Massarenti), mll@unife.it (Massimiliano Mella), giovannistagliano@gmail.com (Giovanni Staglianò)

We say that a tensor rank one decomposition has the *generic identifiability property* if the expression (1.1) is unique, up to permutations and scaling of the factors, on a dense open subset of the set of tensors admitting an expression as in (1.1). This uniqueness property is useful in several application, we refer to [4] and [11] for an account.

We would like to mention that in [11], using new numerical methods and higher order flattenings the authors discovered several new cases of identifiability, and furthermore they proposed a conjecture on generic identifiability.

Given a tensor rank one decomposition of length h as in (1.1) the problem of *specific identifiability* consists in proving that such a decomposition is unique. Following [4] we call an algorithm for specific identifiability *effective* if it is sufficient to prove identifiability on a dense open subset of the set of tensors admitting a decomposition as in (1.1). Therefore, an algorithm is effective if its constraints are satisfied generically, in other words if the same algorithm proves generic identifiability as well.

In this paper we consider symmetric tensors, mixed skew-symmetric tensors, and mixed symmetric tensors. The corresponding rank one tensors are parametrized respectively by Veronese varieties, Segre-Grassmann varieties, and Segre-Veronese varieties. We provide h-identifiability effective criteria for these spaces, under suitable numerical assumptions on h. Our algorithms are based on the existence of suitable flattenings of a given tensor admitting a decomposition as in (1.1). We would like to stress that we do not need to know an explicit decomposition but just the fact that such a decomposition exists.

Recall that the border rank $\underline{\operatorname{rank}}(T)$ of a tensor T is the smallest integer r>0 such that T is in the Zariski closure, in the tensor space where T belongs, of the set of tensors of rank r. In particular $\underline{\operatorname{rank}}(T) \leq \operatorname{rank}(T)$. Roughly speaking, our methods require that suitable linear spaces, defined in terms of flattenings, intersect the relevant varieties parametrizing rank one tensors in a zero-dimension scheme of a given length. Such a zero dimensional scheme is not required to be reduced and then our criteria can be applied also in border rank identifiability problems, see Remark 3.7.

Symmetric tensors can also be interpreted as homogeneous polynomials. By rephrasing (1.1) in the symmetric case we say that a polynomial rank one decomposition of a homogeneous degree d polynomial $F \in k[x_0, ..., x_n]_d$ is an expression of the type

$$F = \lambda_1 L_1^d + \dots + \lambda_h L_h^d \tag{1.2}$$

where L_i are linearly independent degree 1 polynomials, $\lambda_i \in k^*$, and k is either the real or complex field. Let h(n,d) be the minimum integer such that a general $F \in k[x_0,...,x_n]_d$ admits a decomposition as in (1.2). The number h(n,d) has been determined in [1] and h(n,d)-identifiability very seldom holds [24], [25], [10]. Indeed, by [10, Theorem 1] a general polynomial $F \in k[x_0,...,x_n]_d$ is h(n,d)-identifiable only in the following cases:

- n = 1, d = 2m + 1, h(n, d) = m [28],
- n = d = 3, h(3,3) = 5 [28],
- -n = 2, d = 5, h(2,5) = 7 [12].

In Theorem 3.8 we provide effective *h*-identifiability criteria for these polynomials and combined with the previous results this furnishes a complete set of identifiability criteria for these, and few more, polynomials. We would like to stress that the identifiability criteria in Theorem 3.8 give new proves of the uniqueness of the decomposition for the general polynomial in the three cases

listed above. Finally, in Section 3.9 we implemented our identifiability algorithms in Macaulay2 [19].

Acknowledgments

The authors are members of the Gruppo Nazionale per le Strutture Algebriche, Geometriche e le loro Applicazioni of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica "F. Severi" (GNSAGA-INDAM). M. Mella is partially supported by PRIN "Geometry of Algebraic Varieties" (MIUR 2015EYPTSB_005). We thank the referees for the careful reading that helped us improve a first version of this paper.

2. Tensors and flattenings

Let $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_p)$ and $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_p)$ be two *p*-uples of positive integers. Set

$$d = d_1 + \dots + d_p$$
, $n = n_1 + \dots + n_p$, and $N(\underline{n}, \underline{d}) = \prod_{i=1}^p \binom{n_i + d_i}{n_i}$.

Let V_1, \ldots, V_p be vector spaces of dimensions $n_1 + 1 \le n_2 + 1 \le \cdots \le n_p + 1$, and consider the product

$$\mathbb{P}^{\underline{n}} = \mathbb{P}(V_1^*) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}(V_n^*).$$

The line bundle

$$O_{\mathbb{P}^{\underline{n}}}(d_1,\ldots,d_p)=O_{\mathbb{P}(V_1^*)}(d_1)\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes O_{\mathbb{P}(V_1^*)}(d_p)$$

induces an embedding

$$\sigma v_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{n}}: \quad \mathbb{P}(V_1^*) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}(V_p^*) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Sym}^{d_1} V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{d_p} V_p^*) = \mathbb{P}^{N(\underline{n},\underline{d})-1},$$

$$([v_1], \dots, [v_p]) \quad \longmapsto \quad [v_1^{d_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_p^{d_p}]$$

where $v_i \in V_i$. We call the image

$$SV_{\overline{d}}^{\underline{n}} = \sigma v_{\overline{d}}^{\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^{\underline{n}}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N(\underline{n},\underline{d})-1}$$

a *Segre-Veronese variety*. It is a smooth variety of dimension n and degree $\frac{(n_1+\cdots+n_p)!}{n_1!\dots n_p!}d_1^{n_1}\dots d_p^{n_p}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N(\underline{n},\underline{d})-1}$.

When p=1, SV_d^n is a Veronese variety. In this case we write V_d^n for SV_d^n , and v_d^n for the Veronese embedding. When $d_1=\cdots=d_p=1$, $SV_{1,\ldots,1}^n$ is a Segre variety. In this case we write S^n for SV_1^n , and σ^n for the Segre embedding. Note that

$$\sigma v_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{n}} = \sigma^{\underline{n'}} \circ \left(v_{d_1}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times v_{d_p}^{n_p} \right),$$

where $\underline{n}' = (N(n_1, d_1) - 1, \dots, N(n_p, d_p) - 1).$

Similarly, given a *p*-uple of *k*-vector spaces $(V_1^{n_1},...,V_p^{n_p})$ and *p*-uple of positive integers $\underline{d} = (d_1,...,d_p)$ we may consider the Segre-Plücker embedding

$$\sigma \pi_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{n}}: Gr(d_1, n_1) \times \cdots \times Gr(d_p, n_p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{d_1} V_1^{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bigwedge^{d_p} V_p^{n_p}) = \mathbb{P}^{N(\underline{n},\underline{d})-1},$$

$$([H_1], \dots, [H_p]) \longmapsto [H_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes H_p]$$

where $N(\underline{n}, \underline{d}) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} \binom{n_i}{d_i}$. We call the image

$$\mathcal{SG}_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{n}} = \sigma \pi_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{n}}(Gr(d_1, n_1) \times \cdots \times Gr(d_p, n_p)) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N(\underline{n},\underline{d})}$$

a Segre-Grassmann variety.

The *h-secant variety* $\mathbb{S}ec_h(X)$, of an irreducible, non-degenerate *n*-dimensional variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, is the Zariski closure of the union of the linear spaces spanned by collections of *h* points on *X*. The *expected dimension* of $\mathbb{S}ec_h(X)$ is

$$\operatorname{expdim}(\mathbb{S}ec_h(X)) := \min\{nh + h - 1, N\}.$$

However, the actual dimension of $\mathbb{S}ec_h(X)$ might be smaller than the expected one. Indeed, this happens when through a general point of $\mathbb{S}ec_h(X)$ there are infinitely many (h-1)-planes h-secant to X. We will say that X is h-defective if $\dim(\mathbb{S}ec_h(X)) < \exp\dim(\mathbb{S}ec_h(X))$.

The following remark was the starting point of the investigation in [21].

Remark 2.1. If a polynomial $F \in k[x_0, ..., x_n]_d$ admits a decomposition as in (1.2) then $F \in \mathbb{S}ec_h(\mathcal{V}_d^n)$, and conversely a general $F \in \mathbb{S}ec_h(\mathcal{V}_d^n)$ can be written as in (1.2). If $F = \lambda_1 L_1^d + ... + \lambda_h L_h^d$ is a decomposition then the partial derivatives of order s of F can be decomposed as linear combinations of L_1^{d-s} , ..., L_h^{d-s} as well.

These partial derivatives are $\binom{n+s}{n}$ homogeneous polynomials of degree d-s spanning a linear space $H_{\partial,s} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(k[x_0,...,x_n]_{d-s})$. Therefore, the linear space $\langle L_1^{d-s},...,L_h^{d-s} \rangle$ contains $H_{\partial,s}$.

Our first aim is to generalize Remark 2.1 to tensors. The natural tools to replace partial derivatives are flattenings.

2.1. Flattenings

Let $V_1, ..., V_p$ be k-vector spaces of finite dimension, and consider the tensor product $V_1 \otimes ... \otimes V_p = (V_{a_1} \otimes ... \otimes V_{a_s}) \otimes (V_{b_1} \otimes ... \otimes V_{b_{p-s}}) = V_A \otimes V_B$ with $A \cup B = \{1, ..., p\}, B = A^c$. Then we may interpret a tensor

$$T \in V_1 \otimes ... \otimes V_p = V_A \otimes V_B$$

as a linear map $\widetilde{T}: V_A^* \to V_{A^c}$. Clearly, if the rank of T is at most r then the rank of \widetilde{T} is at most r as well. Indeed, a decomposition of T as a linear combination of r rank one tensors yields a linear subspace of V_{A^c} , generated by the corresponding rank one tensors, containing $\widetilde{T}(V_A^*) \subseteq V_{A^c}$. The matrix associated to the linear map \widetilde{T} is called an (A, B)-flattening of T.

In the case of mixed tensors we can consider the embedding

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{d_1} V_1 \otimes ... \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{d_p} V_p \hookrightarrow V_A \otimes V_B$$

where $V_A = \operatorname{Sym}^{a_1} V_1 \otimes ... \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{a_p} V_p$, $V_B = \operatorname{Sym}^{b_1} V_1 \otimes ... \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{b_p} V_p$, with $d_i = a_i + b_i$ for any i = 1, ..., p. In particular, if n = 1 we may interpret a tensor $F \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d_1} V_1$ as a degree d_1 homogeneous polynomial on $\mathbb{P}(V_1^*)$. In this case the matrix associated to the linear map $\widetilde{F}: V_A^* \to V_B$ is nothing but the a_1 -th *catalecticant matrix* of F, that is the matrix whose lines are the coefficient of the partial derivatives of order a_1 of F. This identifies the linear space $H_{\partial,s}$ in Remark 2.1 with $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{F}(V_A^*)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V_B)$, where $a_1 = s, b_1 = d - a_1 = d - s$.

Similarly, by considering the inclusion

$$\bigwedge^{d_1} V_1 \otimes ... \otimes \bigwedge^{d_p}_4 V_p \hookrightarrow V_A \otimes V_B$$

where $V_A = \bigwedge^{a_1} V_1 \otimes ... \otimes \bigwedge^{a_p} V_p$, $V_B = \bigwedge^{b_1} V_1 \otimes ... \otimes \bigwedge^{b_p} V_p$, with $d_i = a_i + b_i$ for any i = 1, ..., p, we get the so called *skew-flattenings*. We refer to [17] for details on the subject.

3. Effective identifiability

In this section we give h-identifiability criteria for tensors, and we derive effective h-identifiability criteria, under some constraints on h.

Proposition 3.1. Let $T \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d_1} V_1 \otimes ... \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{d_n} V_n$ be a tensor admitting a decomposition $T = \sum_{i=1}^h \lambda_i U_i$ as in (1.1). Fix an (A, B)-flattening $\widetilde{T}: V_A^* \to V_B$ of T such that $N(\underline{n}, \underline{a}) \geq h$, and assume that

- *i)* the linear space $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_{\Delta}^*))$ has dimension h-1,
- $ii) \ \dim(\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*)) \cap \mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}^{\underline{n}}_{\underline{b}}) = 0,$
- iii) $\deg(\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*)) \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\overline{b}}^{\underline{n}}) = h.$

where $\underline{b} = (b_1, ..., b_n)$. Then T is h-identifiable and it has rank h.

In particular, in the symmetric case we have the following. Let $F \in k[x_0, ..., x_n]_d$ be a polynomial admitting a decomposition $F = \sum_{i=1}^h \lambda_i L_i^d$. Fix and integer s such that $\binom{n+s}{n} \ge h > \binom{n+s-1}{n}$. Assume that

- i) the linear space $H_{\partial,s}$ generated by the partial derivatives of order s of F has dimension h-1,
- ii) dim $(H_{\partial,s} \cap \mathcal{V}_{d-s}^n) = 0$,
- iii) deg $(H_{\partial,s} \cap \mathcal{V}_{d-s}^n) = h$.

Then F is h-identifiable and it has rank h.

Proof. Assume that $T = \sum_{i=1}^h \lambda_i U_i = \sum_{i=1}^h \mu_i W_i$ admits two different decompositions. Since $\dim(\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*))) = h - 1$ by Section 2.1 we have $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*)) = \left\langle \widetilde{U}_1, ..., \widetilde{U}_h \right\rangle = \left\langle \widetilde{W}_1, ..., \widetilde{W}_h \right\rangle$, where $\widetilde{U}_i, \widetilde{W}_i$ are the rank one tensors in $\mathbb{P}(V_B)$ induced by U_i and W_i respectively. Hence there are at least h+1 points in the intersection $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*)) \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\underline{b}}^n$, contradicting iii).

Next, we check when the conditions in Proposition 3.1 define effective criteria.

Proposition 3.2. The criterion in Proposition 3.1 is effective when $N(\underline{n},\underline{b}) > h + \dim(SV_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{n}})$ in the mixed symmetric case. In particular, in the symmetric case the criterion is effective when $\binom{n+d-s}{n} > h + n$.

Proof. Let $[T] \in \mathbb{S}ec_h(\mathcal{SV}_{\underline{d}}^n)$ be a general point. Assume that $\dim(\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*))) \leq h-2$. This condition forces the (A, B)-flattening matrix to have rank at most h-1. On the other hand, by [27, Proposition 4.1] these minors do not vanish on $\mathbb{S}ec_h(\mathcal{SV}_{\underline{d}}^n)$ and therefore define a closed subset of $\mathbb{S}ec_h(\mathcal{SV}_{\underline{d}}^n)$. To conclude observe that by the Trisecant Lemma [3, Proposition 2.6], the general h-secant (h-1)-linear space intersects $\mathcal{SV}_{\underline{b}}^n$ in h points as long as $N(\underline{n},\underline{b}) > h+n$. □

We may slightly improve Proposition 3.2, under suitable numerical assumption.

Proposition 3.3. Let $T \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d_1} V_1 \otimes ... \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{d_p} V_p$ be a tensor admitting a decomposition $T = \sum_{i=1}^h \lambda_i U_i$. Fix an (A, B)-flattening $\widetilde{T} : V_A^* \to V_B$ of T such that $N(\underline{n}, \underline{a}) \geq h$, and assume that

- *i)* the linear space $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*))$ has dimension h-1,
- $ii) \dim(\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*)) \cap \mathcal{S}V_{\overline{b}}^{\underline{n}}) = 0,$
- iii) $h + n = N(\underline{n}, \underline{b}),$
- $iv) \operatorname{deg}(SV_{\overline{b}}^{\underline{n}}) \le h+1,$
- v) $\deg(\langle [U_1], \ldots, [U_h] \rangle \cap SV_{\frac{n}{d}}^n) = h.$

Then T is h-identifiable and the criterion is effective.

In particular, in the symmetric case we have the following. Let $F \in k[x_0, ..., x_n]_d$ be a polynomial admitting a decomposition $F = \sum_{i=1}^h \lambda_i L_i^d$. Fix an integer s such that $\binom{n+s}{n} \ge h > \binom{n+s-1}{n}$. Assume that:

- i) the linear space $H_{\partial,s}$ generated by the partial derivatives of order s of F has dimension h-1.
- $ii) \dim(H_{\partial,s} \cap \mathcal{V}_{d-s}^n) = 0,$
- iii) $h+n=\binom{n+d-s}{n}$,
- $iv) \ (d-s)^n \le h+1,$
- $v) \operatorname{deg}(\langle [L_1^d], \dots, [L_h^d] \rangle \cap \mathcal{V}_d^n) = h.$

Then F is h-identifiable and the criterion is effective.

Proof. Assume that $T = \sum_{i=1}^h \lambda_i U_i = \sum_{i=1}^h \mu_i W_i$ admits two different decompositions. Since $\dim(\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*))) = h - 1$ by Section 2.1 we have $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*)) = \left\langle \widetilde{U}_1, ..., \widetilde{U}_h \right\rangle = \left\langle \widetilde{W}_1, ..., \widetilde{W}_h \right\rangle$, where $\widetilde{U}_i, \widetilde{W}_i$ are the rank one tensors in $\mathbb{P}(V_B)$ induced by U_i and W_i respectively. Assumptions ii), iii), and iv) show that $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*))$ intersects $\mathcal{SV}_{\underline{b}}^n$ in at most h + 1 points. Therefore, without loss of generality we may assume that $U_i = W_i$, for i = 1, ..., h - 1. By construction we have

$$\langle W_1, \ldots, W_h \rangle = \langle W_1, \ldots, W_{h-1}, T \rangle = \langle U_1, \ldots, U_{h-1}, T \rangle = \langle U_1, \ldots, U_h \rangle$$

hence $\deg(\langle U_1, \dots, U_h \rangle \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{n}}) \geq h + 1$ contradicting assumption v). The criterion is effective again by the Trisecant Lemma [3, Proposition 2.6].

Remark 3.4. Propositions, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 can be easily extended to the skew-symmetric case, using the skew-flattenings in Section 2.1, and the Segre-Grassmann variety instead of the Segre-Veronese variety. We leave the details to the reader.

Next, we work out our criterion in some interesting cases, for the readers' convenience we report also the skew-symmetric case.

Corollary 3.5. Let us consider the tensor space $\operatorname{Sym}^{d_1} V_1^{n_1} \otimes ... \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{d_p} V_p^{n_p}$ with $n_1 = \cdots = n_p = n$, and set $m_i = \lfloor \frac{d_i}{2} \rfloor$. If

$$h < \prod_{i=1}^{p} \binom{n-1+m_i}{n-1} - p(n-1)$$

then the criterion in Proposition 3.1 is effective, while for tensors in $\bigwedge^{d_1} V_1^{n_1} \otimes ... \otimes \bigwedge^{d_p} V_p^{n_1}$ with $n_1 = \cdots = n_p = n$ the criterion in Proposition 3.1 is effective when

$$h < \prod_{i=1}^{p} \binom{n}{m_i} - \prod_{i=1}^{p} m_i (n - m_i).$$

Now, consider $V_1^{n_1} \otimes \otimes V_p^{n_p}$ with $n_1 = \cdots = n_p = n$ and set $m = \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$. If

$$h < n^m - m(n-1)$$

then the criterion in Proposition 3.1 is effective.

Finally, let $V_1^{n_1} \otimes \otimes V_p^{n_p}$ be an unbalanced product, that is $n_1 > 1 + \prod_{i=2}^p n_i - \sum_{i=2}^p (n_i - 1)$. If

$$h < \prod_{i=2}^{p} n_i - \sum_{i=2}^{p} (n_i - 1)$$

then the criterion in Proposition 3.1 is effective.

Proof. In the mixed symmetric case consider the flattening

$$\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Sym}^{\lceil \frac{d_{i}}{2} \rceil} V_{i}^{n}\right)^{*} \to \bigotimes_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Sym}^{\lfloor \frac{d_{i}}{2} \rfloor} V_{i}^{n}$$

and apply Proposition 3.2.

In the mixed skew-symmetric case it is enough to consider the analogous skew-flattening and to argue as in the proofs of Propositions 3.1, 3.2 with the Segre-Grassmann variety instead of the Segre-Veronese variety.

Similarly, in the second case we choose the flattening

$$\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\left\lceil\frac{p}{2}\right\rceil}V_i^n\right)^*\to\bigotimes_{i=\left\lceil\frac{p}{2}\right\rceil+1}^pV_i^n$$

and apply Proposition 3.2.

Finally, in the unbalanced case we consider the flattening

$$(V_1^{n_1})^* \to \bigotimes_{i=2}^p V_i^{n_i}$$

and again we apply Proposition 3.2.

Remark 3.6. For Veronese varieties our results are equivalent to the identifiability criterion given by A. Iarrobino and V. Kanev in [14, Theorem 2.6]. Recently, in [4] L. Chiantini, G. Ottaviani and N. Vannieuwenhoven improved Kruskal criterion [16] by means of the reshaped Kruskal criterion [4, Section 4].

In the p-factor Segre case our results are weaker than reshaped Kruskal [4, Proposition 16] for p odd but they perform better for p even. For unbalanced Segre our criteria perform better than [4, Proposition 17].

Remark 3.7. The algorithm in Proposition 3.1 works for the border rank as well. Indeed, let T be a tensor, and $P_t = U_{1,t} + \cdots + U_{r,t}$, $Q_t = W_{1,t} + \cdots + W_{r,t}$ be two sequence of rank r tensors such that $\lim_{t \to 0} P_t = \lim_{t \to 0} Q_t = T$, and $\lim_{t \to 0} \{U_{1,t}, \dots, U_{r,t}\} \neq \lim_{t \to 0} \{W_{1,t}, \dots, W_{r,t}\}$. Fix an (A, B)-flattening $\widetilde{T}: V_A^* \to V_B$ of T such that $N(\underline{n}, \underline{a}) \geq r$, and let us denote by $\widetilde{U}_{i,t}, \widetilde{W}_{j,t}, \widetilde{P}_t$, \widetilde{Q}_t the corresponding flattenings of $U_{i,t}, W_{j,t}, P_t, Q_t$. Then $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{P}_t(V_A^*)) \subseteq \langle \widetilde{U}_{1,t}, \dots, \widetilde{U}_{r,t} \rangle$ and $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{Q}_t(V_A^*)) \subseteq \langle \widetilde{W}_{1,t}, \dots, \widetilde{W}_{r,t} \rangle$ yield $\lim_{t \to 0} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{P}_t(V_A^*)) \subset \Gamma_U$, $\lim_{t \to 0} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{Q}_t(V_A^*)) \subset \Gamma_V$, where $\Gamma_U = \lim_{t \to 0} \langle \widetilde{U}_{1,t}, \dots, \widetilde{U}_{r,t} \rangle$ and $\Gamma_V = \lim_{t \to 0} \langle \widetilde{W}_{1,t}, \dots, \widetilde{W}_{r,t} \rangle$.

Now, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}'(V_B)$ be the variety parametrizing rank one tensors. Since by hypothesis $\dim(\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*))) = r - 1$ we have that $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*)) = \lim_{t \to 0} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{P}_t(V_A^*)) = \lim_{t \to 0} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{Q}_t(V_A^*))$ forces $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*)) = \Gamma_U = \Gamma_V$. Finally, since

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \{\widetilde{U}_{1,t},\ldots,\widetilde{U}_{r,t}\} \subseteq X \cap \Gamma_U = X \cap \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}), \lim_{t\to 0} \{\widetilde{W}_{1,t},\ldots,\widetilde{W}_{r,t}\} \subseteq X \cap \Gamma_V = X \cap \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*))$$

and $\lim_{t\to 0} \{\widetilde{U}_{1,t},\ldots,\widetilde{U}_{r,t}\} \neq \lim_{t\to 0} \{\widetilde{W}_{1,t},\ldots,\widetilde{W}_{r,t}\}$ we get that $\deg(\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{T}(V_A^*))\cap X) \geq r+1$, a contradiction with hypothesis iii) of Proposition 3.1.

Finally, we give an effective 7-identifiability criterion for plane quintics, and we extend it to the cases listed in Section 1 when the uniqueness of decomposition holds for a general polynomial

Theorem 3.8. Let $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_0,...,x_n]_d$ be a polynomial, and $H_{\partial,s}$ the linear span of its partial derivatives of order s in $\mathbb{P}(k[x_0,...,x_n]_{d-s})$.

Assume that:

- $(n, d, h, s) \in \{(1, 2h 1, h, h 2), (2, 5, 7, 2), (3, 3, 5, 1)\},\$
- $H_{\partial,s}$ has dimension $\binom{n+s}{n} 1$
- $H_{\partial,s} \cap \mathcal{V}_{d-s}^n$ is empty.

Then F is h-identifiable.

Proof. Let us consider the case (n, d, h, s) = (2, 5, 7, 2). Assume that F admits two different decompositions $F = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \lambda_i L_i^5 = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \mu_i l_i^5$. Consider the second partial derivatives of F and their span $H_{\partial,2} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$. By Remark 2.1 a decomposition of F induces a decomposition of its partial derivatives, hence we have

$$H_L := \langle L_1^3, ..., L_7^3 \rangle \supset H_{\partial, 2} \subset \langle l_1^3, ..., l_7^3 \rangle =: H_L$$

By hypothesis dim $H_{\partial,2} = 5$ and $H_{\partial,2} \cap \mathcal{V}_2^3 = \emptyset$, these yield:

- i) $H_{\partial,2} = H_L \cap H_l$. Indeed, dim $H_{\partial,2} = 5$ and $H_{\partial,2} \cap \mathcal{V}_2^3 = \emptyset$ yield dim $(H_L) = \dim(H_l) = 6$. Then $H_{\partial,2} \subsetneq H_L \cap H_l$ would imply $H_L = H_l$, and since dim $(\mathcal{V}_3^2) + \dim(H_L) < 9$ this would force $\{L_1, \ldots, L_7\} = \{l_1, \ldots, l_7\}$. A contradiction.
- ii) $L_i \neq l_j$ for any $i, j \in \{1, ..., 7\}$,
- iii) $H_L \cap \mathcal{V}_3^2$ and $H_l \cap \mathcal{V}_3^2$ are zero dimensional and $\sharp (H_L \cap \mathcal{V}_3^2) = \sharp (H_l \cap \mathcal{V}_3^2) = 7$.

Let $H := \langle H_L, H_l \rangle$ then H intersects \mathcal{V}_3^2 in at least 14 points and therefore $H \cap \mathcal{V}_3^2$ contains a curve Γ of degree $3\gamma \le 6$. Let $|\Lambda|$ be the pencil of hyperplanes containing H. Then any element of the linear system $|\Lambda_{|\mathcal{V}_2^3}|$ is of the form $\Gamma \cup \Sigma$, where Σ is an element of a pencil of curves $|\Sigma|$. Let S be the degree of the base locus of $|\Sigma|$. The hypothesis $H_{\partial,2} \cap \mathcal{V}_2^3 = \emptyset$ and iii) yield

$$s + 6\gamma = 14$$
.

On the other hand we only have the following possibilities:

- $\gamma = 1$ and s = 4,
- $\gamma = 2$ and s = 1.

This contradiction proves the statement.

For 4-uples (n, d, h, s) = (1, 2h - 1, h, h - 2), (3, 3, 5, 1) we may argue similarly to derive h-identifiability criteria and we leave the details to the reader.

For some special values our methods yield a complete set of identifiability criteria.

Corollary 3.9. Let $V(n,d) := k[x_0, ..., x_n]_d$ be the vector space of homogeneous polynomial of degree d, with k a field of characteristic zero. Assume that the pair (n,d) is in the following list

$$(1, d), (2, 3), (2, 4), (2, 5), (2, 6), (3, 3), (3, 4).$$

Then there is an effective criteria for specific s-identifiability for V(n, d) for every s where generic s-identifiability holds.

Proof. Let $k = \mathbb{C}$ be the complex field. For pairs (1,d), d odd, (2,5), (3,3) we apply the identifiability conditions expressed in Theorem 3.8 for the generic rank and Proposition 3.2 for subgeneric ranks. For (2,4) Proposition 3.2 applies to ranks less then or equal to 4, and for rank 5 there is not generic identifiability due to defectivity. For (3,4) Proposition 3.2 applies to ranks less than or equal to 6 and Proposition 3.3 applies to rank 7, while rank 8 is not generically identifiable, [5]. For (2,6) we apply Proposition 3.2 for $s \le 7$ and Proposition 3.3 for s = 8, while rank 9 is not generically identifiable, due to weak defectivity [5].

To conclude we only need to extend the results to a general field k of characteristic zero. For this let $F = \sum_{i=1}^{k_i} \lambda_i L_i^d$ be a polynomial rank one decomposition over k. Then since $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$ via a field extension we may consider it over $\mathbb C$ and apply the criterion to prove identifiability over $\mathbb C$ and hence over k.

3.9. Macaulay2 implementation

Finally, we implement our identifiability algorithms in Macaulay2 [19]. The package is in the ancillary file Identifiability.m2. After loading this package in Macaulay2, the main method available is certifyIdentifiability.

The easiest ways to use this method are either by inputting a mixed symmetric tensor T, represented by a multihomogeneous polynomial, and a positive integer h, or by inputting one of its decompositions $T = T_1 + \cdots + T_h$ into h rank one mixed symmetric tensors. Then the method returns the boolean value true if the constraints of the correspondent h-identifiability criterion are satisfied for T. For more details see the documentation (viewHelp certifyIdentifiability).

By [13] we know that tensor problems are usually NP-Hard and we should not expect any reasonably fast algorithm for computing tensor decompositions. On the other hand, in restricted settings, the existing algorithms like TensorLab [30], TensorToolbox in Matlab [2] and the homotopy technique in [11], work in a reasonable amount of time. The aim of our algorithm is to have a fast identifiability test avoiding such a computation. In what follows we show how it works in some cases.

```
Macaulay2, version 1.9.2
with packages: ConwayPolynomials, Elimination, IntegralClosure, LLLBases,
               PrimaryDecomposition, ReesAlgebra, TangentCone
i1 : loadPackage "Identifiability";
--** Identifiability (v0.3) loaded **--
-- Example 1 -- Random degree 5 polynomial in 3 variables
i2 : P2 = QQ[x,y,z];
i3 : T = for i in 1...7 list (random(1,P2))^5;
i4 : time certifyIdentifiability(sum T,7)
-- got symmetric tensor of dimension 3 and degree 5
-- applying Theorem 3.8 (7-identifiability for 3-forms of degree 5)...
-- 7-identifiability certified
     -- used 0.257789 seconds
o4 = true
i5 : time certifyIdentifiability matrix{T}
-- got symmetric tensor of dimension 3 and degree 5
-- applying Theorem 3.8 (7-identifiability for 3-forms of degree 5)...
-- 7-identifiability certified
     -- used 0.228473 seconds
o5 = true
i6 : -- first 6 summands of T
     T' = T_{0..5};
i7 : time certifyIdentifiability(sum T',6)
-- got symmetric tensor of dimension 3 and degree 5
-- specific 6-identifiability certified
     -- used 0.0363902 seconds
o7 = true
i8 : time certifyIdentifiability matrix{T'}
-- got symmetric tensor of dimension 3 and degree 5
-- 6-identifiability certified
```

```
-- used 0.0511795 seconds
o8 = true
-- Example 2 -- the command below creates a random mixed symmetric
-- tensor of dimensions {2,5,4}, multidegree {3,2,3}, rank<=5
i9 : T = multirandom(\{2,5,4\},\{3,2,3\},5);
i10 : -- number terms of the tensor T
      # terms T
010 = 1200
ill: time certifyIdentifiability(T,5)
-- got mixed symmetric tensor of dimensions {2, 5, 4}
   and multidegree {3, 2, 3}
-- specific 5-identifiability certified
     -- used 4.54164 seconds
o11 = true
-- Example 3 -- Random 1 x 7 matrix of degree 4 polynomials in 4 variables
i12 : decomposition = multirandom'({4},{4},7);
i13 : time certifyIdentifiability decomposition
-- got symmetric tensor of dimension 4 and degree 4
-- applying Proposition 3.3...
-- 7-identifiability certified
     -- used 1.03492 seconds
o13 = true
-- Example 4 -- Random 1 x 8 matrix of degree 6 polynomials in 3 variables
i14 : decomposition = multirandom'({3},{6},8);
i15 : time certifyIdentifiability decomposition
-- got symmetric tensor of dimension 3 and degree 6
-- applying Proposition 3.3...
-- 8-identifiability certified
     -- used 0.440192 seconds
o15 = true
-- Example 5 -- Random degree 3 polynomial in 4 variables of rank<=5
i16 : F = multirandom({4},{3},5);
i17 : time certifyIdentifiability(F,5)
-- got symmetric tensor of dimension 4 and degree 3
-- applying Theorem 3.8 (5-identifiability for 4-forms of degree 3)...
-- 5-identifiability certified
     -- used 0.098442 seconds
o18 = true
-- Example 6 -- Random degree 69 polynomial in 2 variables
i19 : P1 = QQ[x,y];
i20 : F = random(69,P1);
i21 : time certifyIdentifiability(F,35)
-- got symmetric tensor of dimension 2 and degree 69
-- applying Theorem 3.8 (35-identifiability for 2-forms of degree 69)...
-- 35-identifiability certified
     -- used 469.406 seconds
o21 = true
```

- Alexander, J., Hirschowitz, A., 1995. Polynomial interpolation in several variables. J. Algebraic Geom. 4 (2), 201–222.
- [2] Bader, B. W., Kolda, T. G., February 2015. Matlab tensor toolbox version 2.6. Available online. URL http://www.sandia.gov/~tgkolda/TensorToolbox/
- [3] Chiantini, L., Ciliberto, C., 2002. Weakly defective varieties. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (1), 151–178. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-01-02810-0
- [4] Chiantini, L., Ottaviani, G., Vannieuwenhoven, N., 2017. Effective Criteria for Specific Identifiability of Tensors and Forms. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 38 (2), 656–681. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/16M1090132
- [5] Chiantini, L., Ottaviani, G., Vannieuwenhoven, N., 2017. On generic identifiability of symmetric tensors of subgeneric rank. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 369 (6), 4021–4042. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/tran/6762
- [6] Comon, P., Golub, G., Lim, L., Mourrain, B., 2008. Symmetric tensors and symmetric tensor rank. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 30 (3), 1254–1279. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/060661569
- [7] Comon, P., Mourrain, B., 1996. Decomposition of quantics in sums of powers of linear forms. Signal Processing 53 (2), 93–107.
 - URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165168496000795
- [8] Dolgachev, I. V., 2004. Dual homogeneous forms and varieties of power sums. Milan J. Math. 72, 163–187.URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00032-004-0029-2
- [9] Dolgachev, I. V., Kanev, V., 1993. Polar covariants of plane cubics and quartics. Adv. Math. 98 (2), 216–301.URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/aima.1993.1016
- [10] Galuppi, F., Mella, M., 2017. Identifiability of homogeneous polynomials and cremona transformations. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2017-0043, https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.06895v2.
- [11] Hauenstein, J. D., Oeding, L., Ottaviani, G., Sommese, A. J., 2016. Homotopy techniques for tensor decomposition and perfect identifiability. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, DOI 10.1515/ crelle-2016-0067, https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.00090.
- [12] Hilbert, D., 1888. Lettre adresse m. hermite. Journal de Mathmatiques Pures et Appliques, 249–256. URL http://eudml.org/doc/234573
- [13] Hillar, C. J., Lim, L.-H., 2013. Most tensor problems are NP-hard. J. ACM 60 (6), Art. 45, 39. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/2512329
- [14] Iarrobino, A., Kanev, V., 1999. Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci. Vol. 1721 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, appendix C by Iarrobino and Steven L. Kleiman. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BFb0093426
- [15] Kolda, T. G., Bader, B. W., 2009. Tensor decompositions and applications. SIAM Rev. 51 (3), 455–500. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/07070111X
- [16] Kruskal, J. B., 1977. Three-way arrays: rank and uniqueness of trilinear decompositions, with application to arithmetic complexity and statistics. Linear Algebra and Appl. 18 (2), 95–138.
- [17] Landsberg, J. M., 2012. Tensors: geometry and applications. Vol. 128 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [18] Landsberg, J. M., Ottaviani, G., 2015. New lower bounds for the border rank of matrix multiplication. Theory Comput. 11, 285–298. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.4086/toc.2015.v011a011
- [19] Macaulay2, 1992. Macaulay2 a software system devoted to supporting research in algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.
- [20] Massarenti, A., 2016. Generalized varieties of sums of powers. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 47 (3), 911–934. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00574-016-0196-0
- [21] Massarenti, A., Mella, M., 2013. Birational aspects of the geometry of varieties of sums of powers. Adv. Math. 243, 187-202. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2013.04.006
- [22] Massarenti, A., Raviolo, E., 2013. The rank of $n \times n$ matrix multiplication is at least $3n^2 2\sqrt{2}n^{\frac{3}{2}} 3n$. Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (11), 4500–4509. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2013.01.031
- [23] Massarenti, A., Raviolo, E., 2014. Corrigendum to "The rank of $n \times n$ matrix multiplication is at least $3n^2 2\sqrt{2}n^{\frac{3}{2}} 3n$ " [Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (11) (2013) 4500–4509] [mr3034546]. Linear Algebra Appl. 445, 369–371. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2013.12.009
- [24] Mella, M., 2006. Singularities of linear systems and the Waring problem. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (12), 5523–

- 5538.
- $URL\ \mathtt{http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-06-03893-1}$
- [25] Mella, M., 2009. Base loci of linear systems and the Waring problem. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1), 91–98. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09545-2
- [26] Ranestad, K., Schreyer, F.-O., 2000. Varieties of sums of powers. J. Reine Angew. Math. 525, 147–181. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.2000.064
- [27] Simis, A., Ulrich, B., 2000. On the ideal of an embedded join. J. Algebra 226 (1), 1–14. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1999.8091
- [28] Sylvester, J. J., 1904. The Collected Mathematical Papers. Vol. 1. Cambridge University Press.
- [29] Takagi, H., Zucconi, F., 2011. Spin curves and Scorza quartics. Math. Ann. 349 (3), 623–645. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00208-010-0530-6
- [30] Vervliet, N., Debals, O., Sorber, L., Barel, M. V., Lathauwer, L. D., March 2016. Tensorlab 3.0. Available online. URL https://www.tensorlab.net/