# On the resting abyss of a two-layered ocean

## F. CRISCIANI

Istituto Sperimentale Talassografico del CNR - Trieste, Italy

(ricevuto il 18 Ottobre 1996; revisionato il 18 Aprile 1997; approvato il 16 Maggio 1997)

**Summary.** — In the framework of the theory of geostrophic contours, a sufficient condition is pointed out in order that the lower layer of a two-layered ocean be motionless.

PACS 92.10.Dh – Dynamics of the deep ocean.

# 1. – Introduction

The complexity of the vertical structure of the horizontal flow in geophysical fluid dynamics has induced investigators to resort to a hierarchy of schematizations of the fluid in motion, in order to circumvent the mathematical complexity of the problem at hand, and to make any progress possible. In making an analysis of basin scale ocean circulation, we note the coexistence of two different kinds of simple models since the very beginning of modern physical oceanography [1-3]: those with homogeneous density in a single layer, and those with two layers with different density values. The second case represents the simplest baroclinic extension of the first one and it is sometimes further simplified, assuming the lower layer to be at rest, so that the ocean circulation is dynamically described as the motion of a single fluid layer above a resting abyss. A typical case is reported in [4]. However, as far as the choice of a moving or a resting layer depends on the subjective judgement of the investigator, the resulting model can be satisfactory at most for its given purpose, but certainly not deductive enough. This difficulty has only been overcome [5-7] in the early years of the past decade, through the theory of the geostrophic contours, which deals with the mechanism of motion transmission from the upper to the lower layer with the *possible* formation of a pool of recirculating fluid in the lower layer. On the basis of this theory, we deduce a sufficient condition for the lower layer to be at rest. While the motion in the pool region (if any) is completely determined by resorting to a weak frictional coupling between the layers, our criterion purely depends on the leading vorticity equations in the limit of low dissipation. On grounds of uniformity, we keep our notation to be the same as in Pedlosky [8], who has extensively discussed the two-layer model in his recent monograph.

© Società Italiana di Fisica

45

#### 2. – The criterion

The dimensional vorticity equations controlling the basin dynamics of a two-layered ocean model are

(1) 
$$F_1 J(\psi_1, \psi_2) + \beta \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x} = \frac{f_0}{H_1} w_{\rm E}, \quad \text{upper layer,}$$

(2) 
$$F_2 J(\psi_2, \psi_1) + \beta \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x} = 0$$
, lower layer,

where  $H_i$ , i = 1, 2 is the layer thickness,  $F_i = f_0^2 / \gamma H_i$  is the Froude number and  $\gamma = g(\Delta \rho / \rho_0)$  is the reduced gravity. The Ekman pumping vertical velocity  $w_{\rm E}$  plays the role of forcing, since it is established by the external wind stress curl field.

Multiplying eq. (1) by  $H_1$ , eq. (2) by  $H_2$  and adding the results, we obtain

(3) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (H_1 \psi_1 + H_2 \psi_2) = \frac{f_0}{\beta} w_{\rm E}$$

Once the barotropic transport streamfunction  $\psi_{\rm B} = (H_1 \psi_1 + H_2 \psi_2)/H$  is introduced  $(H = H_1 + H_2$  is the total fluid depth), we have from eq. (3)

$$\beta \frac{\partial \psi_{\rm B}}{\partial x} = \frac{f_0}{H} w_{\rm E}$$

and hence

(4) 
$$\psi_{\rm B} = -\frac{f_0}{\beta H} \int_x^{x_{\rm e}} w_{\rm E}(x', y) \, \mathrm{d}x'.$$

In eq. (4)  $x_e$  is the longitude of the eastern boundary, where the Sverdrup transport streamfunction  $\psi_B$  vanishes. Differentiating (4) with respect to y results in, as a function of  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ ,

(5) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} (H_1 \psi_1 + H_2 \psi_2) = -\frac{f_0}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_x^{x_e} w_{\rm E}(x', y) \, \mathrm{d}x'.$$

Consider now the lower layer, where eq. (2) holds. In order to decouple this equation from eq. (1), we express  $\partial \psi_1 / \partial x$  and  $\partial \psi_1 / \partial y$  as a function of  $\psi_2$  and  $w_E$  by using eqs. (3) and (5) in eq. (2). The result is

(6) 
$$J\left(\psi_2, \beta y - \widehat{F} \frac{f_0}{\beta H} \int_x^{x_e} w_E(x', y) \, \mathrm{d}x'\right) = 0,$$

where  $\widehat{F} = f_0^2 H / \gamma H_1 H_2$ . Equation (6) means that a functional dependence between the

two arguments of the Jacobian operator holds and therefore we can write

(7) 
$$\psi_2(x, y) = \Psi_2\left(\beta y - \widehat{F} \frac{f_0}{\beta H} \int_x^{x_e} w_{\mathrm{E}}(x', y) \,\mathrm{d}x'\right).$$

About the dependence of  $\Psi_2$  on its argument, we observe that the eastern boundary is a streamline of  $\psi_2$ . Without loss of generality we can assume  $\psi_2(x_e, y) = 0$ . This boundary condition implies, via eq. (7), that  $\Psi_2(\beta y) = 0$  for every y in the latitudinal strip of the basin, say for  $0 \le y \le L$ . As  $\beta y$  is here the argument of  $\Psi_2$ , putting  $\beta y = \xi$ , we are able to state the explicit functional dependence of  $\Psi_2$  on  $\xi$  within the interval  $[0, \beta L]$ :

(8) 
$$\Psi_2(\xi) = 0 \quad \forall \xi \in [0, \beta L].$$

In particular, if, for every longitude x of the fluid domain

$$\beta y - \widehat{F} \frac{f_0}{\beta H} \int_x^{x_e} w_{\mathrm{E}}(x', y) \, \mathrm{d}x' \in [0, \beta L],$$

that is to say

(9) 
$$0 \leq \beta y - \widehat{F} \frac{f_0}{\beta H} \int_x^{x_e} w_{\mathrm{E}}(x', y) \, \mathrm{d}x' \leq \beta L$$

then  $\psi_2(x, y) = 0$  (for  $x < x_e$  as well) and the lower layer is at rest. We clarify this point. If  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  is such that

$$\beta \overline{y} - \widehat{F} \frac{f_0}{\beta H} \int_{\overline{x}}^{x_e} w_{\rm E}(x', \overline{y}) \, \mathrm{d}x' = \beta y \,,$$

then

$$\psi_2(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \Psi_2 \left( \beta \overline{y} - \widehat{F} \frac{f_0}{\beta H} \int_{\overline{x}}^{x_e} w_E(x', \overline{y}) dx' \right) = \Psi_2(\beta y) = 0,$$

so  $\psi_2$  takes the same vanishing value along the line connecting  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  to  $(x_e, y)$  which therefore belongs to a *blocked* geostrophic contour. Inequality (9) states a sufficient condition in order that the sole upper layer be in motion. On the contrary, a necessary condition for the formation of a pool region in motion in the lower layer is that there exists a point  $x_r$  such that

(10) 
$$\beta y - \widehat{F} \frac{f_0}{\beta H} \int_{x_{\rm e}}^{x_{\rm e}} w_{\rm E}(x', y) \, \mathrm{d}x' > \beta L \, .$$

Obviously, if inequality (9) is fulfilled, then  $\psi_1 \equiv \psi_B$ . We stress once again that the dependence of  $\Psi_2$  on  $\xi$  for  $\xi > \beta L$ , outside the interval  $[0, \beta L]$ , cannot be obtained readily since, to determine in this case the amplitude of  $\psi_2$ , eqs. (1) and (2) must be supplemented by higher-order viscosity terms (see ref. [8] for details).

### 3. – Concluding remarks

We apply the criterion above to an idealized subtropical gyre whose northernmost latitude y = L satisfies, by definition, the equation  $w_{\rm E}(L) = 0$ , assuming moreover, for simplicity, a longitude-independent Ekman pumping. If the gyre extends in longitude from  $x_{\rm w}$  to  $x_{\rm e}$ , by using the truncated expansion  $w_{\rm E} \approx [\partial w_{\rm e} / \partial y]_{y=L}(y-L)$  into inequality (9), the criterion itself takes the form

(11) 
$$\frac{\beta^2 H}{\widehat{F}f_0[\partial w_{\rm E}/\partial y]_{u-L}} \ge x_{\rm e} - x_{\rm w}.$$

Inequality (11) is equivalent to the statement that the zonal Sverdrup transport  $u_{\rm B} = -\partial \psi_{\rm B}/\partial y$  evaluated from (4) is *not* large enough to arrest, at some longitude and at the latitude L where  $u_{\rm B}$  is strongest, the baroclinic propagating Rossby wave on the interface, whose speed is  $\beta/\hat{F}$ . In fact the condition

$$\left[-\frac{\partial \psi_{\mathrm{B}}}{\partial y}\right]_{y=L} \leq \frac{\beta}{\widehat{F}} \ , \qquad \forall x \in [x_{\mathrm{w}}, \, x_{\mathrm{e}}]$$

takes explicitly the form

$$\frac{f_0}{\beta H} \left[ \frac{\partial w_{\rm E}}{\partial y} \right]_{y \,=\, L} (x_{\rm e} - x) \leqslant \frac{\beta}{\widehat{F}} \ , \qquad \forall x \in [x_{\rm w}, \, x_{\rm e}]$$

that just coincides with inequality (11). The same analysis but for the reverted condition (10) is reported in [8].

Finally, we note from inequality (11) that the criterion is sensitive to the east-west extension of the basin in the sense that a large extension does not favour a resting abyss.

\* \* \*

The author wishes to thank Prof. J. PEDLOSKY for a useful discussion on the subject of the present paper.

### REFERENCES

- SVERDRUP H. U., Wind-driven currents in a baroclinic ocean; with application to the equatorial currents of the eastern Pacific, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 33 (1947) 318-326.
- [2] STOMMEL H., The westward intensification of wind-driven ocean currents, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union, 29 (1948) 202-206.
- [3] MUNK W. H., On the wind-driven ocean circulation, J. Meteor., 7 (1950) 79-93.
- [4] VERONIS G., Model of World Ocean Circulation: I. Wind-driven, two-layer, J. Marine Res., 31 (1973) 228-288.
- [5] RHINES P. B. and YOUNG W. R., A theory of the wind-driven circulation. I. Mid-ocean gyres, J. Marine Res., 40 (Suppl.) (1982) 559-596.
- [6] RHINES P. B. and YOUNG W. R., Homogenization of potential vorticity in planetary gyres, J. Fluid Mech., 122 (1982) 347-367.
- [7] YOUNG W. R. and RHINES P. B., A theory of the wind-driven circulation. II. Gyres with western boundary layers, J. Marine Res., 40 (1982) 849-872.
- [8] PEDLOSKY J., Ocean Circulation Theory (Springer-Verlag) 1996.