

# The energy-water nexus: renewable energy and water desalination

*Roberta Fornarelli<sup>1,\*</sup>, Parisa A. Bahri<sup>1</sup>, Martin Anda<sup>1</sup>, Goen Ho<sup>1</sup>, Farhad Shahnia<sup>1</sup>, Ali Arefi<sup>1</sup>*

<sup>1</sup> School of Engineering and Information Technology, Murdoch University, 90 South Street, Murdoch 6150, Western Australia, Australia

Corresponding Author

\* Roberta Fornarelli. Email: [R.Fornarelli@murdoch.edu.au](mailto:R.Fornarelli@murdoch.edu.au)

## Abstract

The essential connection between energy and water, also defined as the energy-water nexus, has been recognized by scientists and policy makers worldwide. Integrated solutions and policies that consider both energy and water aspects into future planning have been developing at a fast pace. In this paper, we review the state of the art of the energy-water nexus, with particular focus on the integration between renewable energy and desalination technologies. We also model the integration of reverse osmosis (RO) desalination and solar photovoltaics in an edge-of-grid coastal town in Western Australia.

The current literature agrees on the sustainable use of renewable energy sources to improve the water-energy nexus in the context of water desalination. Although the integration of solar and wind energy with desalination technologies is a mature and well-proven solution at both small and large scales, the intermittency and fluctuating nature of wind and solar power still constitute the main technical challenge that has limited the diffusion of renewable energy powered desalination on a large scale. Several successful applications of renewable energy powered desalination in remote, off the grid, locations have tackled the issue of power intermittency by the use of batteries and diesel generators. Such systems often couple reverse osmosis desalination with solar photovoltaic energy. Large desalination plants have been successfully connected to wind farms and grid electricity to secure uninterrupted plant operations, thus meeting water targets in large-scale systems. Our review identifies a knowledge gap in the integration of decentralized energy systems, e.g. rooftop solar photovoltaic, with small scale RO desalination. Such configuration would benefit those regional towns that have historically suffered from weak and unreliable connections to the electricity grid, thus helping them secure both their energy and water requirements.

The modelling exercise on a renewable energy powered RO plant in an edge-of-grid town in Western Australia has identified an operating strategy that maximizes the renewable energy fraction and secures the annual supply of water. The system involves operating the RO unit for six months of the year at a daily variable load and integrating solar energy with grid electricity. Careful evaluation of the RO performance under such operating conditions is necessary to ensure a safe and reliable water treatment process.

A niche in the literature of the energy-water nexus has been identified in the integration of rooftop solar photovoltaic, grid electricity and desalination technologies applied in a regional context. A future study will consider the rollout of rooftop solar photovoltaic installations across the whole town, thus enabling the active engagement of the community by integrating the

45 households' energy demand response patterns to the operations of both rooftop photovoltaics and  
46 the desalination unit.

47  
48 **Keywords:** energy-water integration; reverse osmosis; solar photovoltaic; grid electricity.

## 49 INTRODUCTION

51  
52 The integration of water and energy within the same decision framework is a priority of  
53 current and future resource planning and strategic policy. Often defined as the energy-water  
54 nexus [1], the intimate connection between water and energy has been recognized worldwide [1-  
55 4]. Rapid population growth, shortage of water availability, as well as considerable changes in  
56 global and regional climate, exert increasing pressure on both energy and water sectors, thus  
57 leading the scientists and policy makers to think about water and energy systems as connected  
58 and coevolving [5-6]. While the need for an integrated approach is global, the high variability in  
59 fresh water distribution, energy resources, and population growth calls for regional and local  
60 assessments and interventions to identify and implement optimal policy directions and  
61 technologies. The integration of water desalination and renewable energy has become a central  
62 issue in the energy and water sectors as it improves the energy-water nexus by employing a more  
63 sustainable energy source, as well as reducing the operational costs of desalination plants [7].  
64 Despite the growing interest in coupling water and energy aspects within the same decision-  
65 making framework, their integration has progressed slowly in practice, often as a consequence of  
66 the extreme complexity of the water, energy, and climate as individual as well as integrated  
67 sectors [3].

68 Australia is a highly urbanized country with over 80% of the population living in large cities,  
69 located within 50 km of its coasts. Many regional and remote towns in Australia are  
70 characterized by weak and unreliable grid connection due to their location at the edge of the grid.  
71 Those cities suffer from more power outages compared to big cities. Concomitantly, a decline in  
72 rainfall and subsequent drying climate has put unprecedented pressure on the water sector,  
73 leading to the widespread construction of small-scale desalination plants as a way to secure water  
74 supply. However, such solution to the water problem heavily impacts on the electricity network.

75 The objective of this study is twofold. First, the state of the art of the integration between  
76 renewable energy and desalination technologies has been reviewed and the current research gaps  
77 are identified. Then, the feasibility of integrating renewable energy sources in the form of solar  
78 photovoltaics and grid electricity with reverse osmosis desalination is investigated in an edge-of-  
79 grid town that is subjected to frequent power outages and unreliable grid connection. The  
80 integrated system aims to maximize the fraction of renewable energy used to feed the  
81 desalination plant. Solar photovoltaics are chosen as a suitable renewable energy source because  
82 of their successful applications in small-scale systems as well as because of the declining trend in  
83 the price of solar panels [7].

## 84 WATER DESALINATION AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

85  
86  
87 Desalination has become the technology of choice in areas characterized by water scarcity  
88 thanks to its maturity, reliability and, in the case of seawater, an abundance of the water source.  
89 Desalination technologies are categorized as thermal and membrane desalination: Ghaffour et al.  
90 [8] predicted an installed desalination capacity of about 100 million m<sup>3</sup>/day in 2015, 68% of

91 which is produced by membrane processes and 30% by thermal processes. Amongst all  
92 desalination processes, reverse osmosis (RO) desalination is recognized as the most economical  
93 technology [8-10]. Although energy recovery systems allow a two to three fold reduction in the  
94 energy consumption, RO desalination still remains an energy-intensive treatment with an energy  
95 input of about 2.5-7 and 0.5-3 kWh/m<sup>3</sup> for seawater and brackish water applications, respectively  
96 [6].

97 In order to reduce the dependency of desalination on fossil fuel consumption and its emissions  
98 of greenhouse gases, the idea of renewable energy-powered desalination systems (RE  
99 desalination) has come forward. Reviews on the integration between renewable energy and water  
100 desalination have flourished in the past decade [7, 9-17]. Table 1 summarizes the most recent  
101 and thorough reviews considered in this study. A general agreement has been found on the  
102 technological maturity of RE desalination systems, however further studies are advisable to  
103 augment the operational flexibility of membrane systems when coupled with fluctuating sources  
104 of power such as solar and wind. Hybrid plants that combine different renewable sources with  
105 traditional electricity sources (e.g., grid or batteries) are often considered the optimal option. The  
106 major limitation to the large-scale diffusion of RE desalination has been identified in socio-  
107 economic aspects, thus increasing research efforts towards optimization modeling and socio-  
108 economic studies is suggested to promote the widespread of RE desalination technology.

109 The intermittent and fluctuating nature of the RE source constitutes the main technical  
110 challenge that has limited the application of RE-RO desalination on a large scale. Membrane  
111 manufacturers and RO plant designers advise that the RO plants should operate continuously at  
112 full capacity in order to maximize the plant's performances. On the other hand, the inconsistency  
113 in the delivery of electricity which characterizes REs causes periods of low water flow through  
114 the membrane, thus leading to lower productivity and possibly poorer permeate quality. The  
115 predictability of energy fluctuations is therefore a key factor to realize RE-RO systems. The  
116 effect of on/off cycling of power is seen immediately in the trans-membrane pressure and water  
117 flux, thus no power translates into no water being produced [18]. Conversely, a lag time has been  
118 associated with the salt concentration in the permeate [18]: the diffusion of salts through the  
119 membrane during shutdowns results in a lower quality of permeate once the plant restarts its  
120 operation. To address this issue, Thomson and Infield [19] suggested using an automated valve  
121 to reject the produced water exceeding a threshold concentration, thus improving the overall  
122 permeate quality of the system. The most critical period for a solar powered RO system has been  
123 determined within 1 and 2:30 min of a system restart [20]. After this time, both permeate quality  
124 and quantity are set back to their steady-state conditions. Moreover, the higher solar irradiance is  
125 available, the quicker the system restarts. Richards et al. [21] showed that a battery-less PV-RO  
126 system can tolerate significant fluctuations in solar irradiance (500-1200 W/m<sup>2</sup>) and power drops  
127 of up to 50% had a minimal influence on permeate water quality.

128 It is generally expected that membrane life is also affected by power intermittency, however  
129 there is only a limited number of studies that address membrane life in RE-RO plants in the long  
130 term. Interestingly, unsteady flows are regarded as a method that decreases the concentration  
131 polarization on the membrane surface, thus assisting with fouling management and ultimately  
132 lengthen membrane life [20].

133 In order to maximize the plant's performance and minimize the potential damages caused by  
134 an intermittent power source, batteries, supercapacitors, and the use of hydrogen as an energy  
135 carrier are some energy storage solutions able to provide energy buffer [21-25]. Whenever  
136 possible, hybrid-RO plants that combine a variety of REs, with conventional grid as a backup

137 electricity source, have been shown to effectively address the issues of RE availability [26-27].  
 138 Interestingly, Gold and Webber [27] found it profitable to use the energy generated by the RE  
 139 system to meet the demand of the grid, rather than using it directly for water desalination, thus  
 140 alluding to a decentralized energy system as a potential configuration worth consideration. A  
 141 knowledge gap is identified as the integration of a decentralized energy systems, e.g. rooftop  
 142 solar photovoltaic, with small-scale reverse osmosis desalination plants. Such configuration  
 143 would benefit those regional towns that have historically suffered from weak and unreliable  
 144 connections to the electricity grid, thus helping them secure both their energy and water  
 145 requirements.

146  
 147 **Table 1.** Selected review papers on renewable energy powered desalination.

Authors	Year	Desalination technology	Renewable energy source	Focus of the review
[11] Ghaffour et al.	2015	RO, ED, MSF, MED, AD, MD	Solar; Geothermal	Hybrid systems that incorporate solar and geothermal with innovative desalination technologies
[10] Goosen et al.	2014	RO	Solar; Wind; Wave; Geothermal	Review of technologies with a thorough analysis of social, economic and environmental aspects that are needed to foster the widespread use of RE-desalination
[12] Schafer et al.	2014	RO	Solar; Wind	Review of current RE-desalination solutions with particular attention to developing countries and remote regions
[9] Al-Karaghoul and Kazmerski	2013	RO, ED, MSF, MED	Solar; Wind; Geothermal	Economics of desalination processes on their own as well as integrated with RE
[13] Ma and Lu	2011	RO, ED, MSF, MED	Wind	Review of existing desalination processes coupled with wind energy: principles and technology limitations
[14] Al-Karaghoul et al.	2010	RO, ED	Solar PV	Operational features and system designs of typical PV-RO and PV-ED systems; suitability and optimization for PV operation
[15] Gude et al.	2010	RO, ED, MSF, MED	Solar; Wind; Geothermal	Review of principles and detailed analysis of selection criteria for RE-desalination, with focus on costs for desalination processes with capacities in the range 200 - 40,000 m <sup>3</sup> /day
[16] Charcosset	2009	RO, ED, MD	Solar; Wind; Wave; Hydrostatic pressure	State of the art review on membrane processes: principles, plant design and implementation
[17] Eltawil et al.	2009	RO, ED, MSF, MED	Solar; Wind; Biomass; Geothermal	State of the art technologies in desalination and renewable energy, with emphasis on economics and system capacities
[7] Ghermandi and Messalem	2009	RO	Solar	In depth analysis of real scale plants that combine solar energy and RO desalination

RO: reverse osmosis; ED: electro dialysis; MSF: multi-stage flash; MED: multi-effect distillation; AD: Adsorption desalination; MD: membrane distillation; PV: photovoltaic

148  
 149 **CASE STUDY**

150  
 151 The country town of Denmark is a small coastal town located in the Southern Region of  
 152 Western Australia, about 400 kilometers south of Perth (Figure 1). The town's electricity is  
 153 supplied by a coal-based power plant located 300 km north, which characterizes Denmark as an  
 154 edge of grid community that faces considerable reliability and power quality issues. A  
 155 community-driven and owned wind farm has been operating since February 2013, supplying

156 about 30% of the 14 gigawatt hours annual electric energy of Denmark. The water demand is  
157 currently supplied by two freshwater dams, Quickup Dam and Denmark River Dam, located 7  
158 km north of town (Figure 1). Water resources have been increasingly under stress, and in 2014  
159 the town experienced its second driest year on record. The current proposal of the water service  
160 provider to tackle the issue of water shortages is to deploy a brackish RO plant that treats the  
161 river water, which is characterized by peak salinity values as high as 1,500 mg/L.



162

163

**Figure 1.** Location of the study site.

164 In this study, RO desalination of river water has been considered as the primary source of  
165 water supply to Denmark and the integration of the proposed RO plant with a photovoltaic solar-  
166 based energy has been modeled. A PV-grid system is considered as a potentially suitable  
167 solution to provide energy to the RO plant. The PV system is proposed as a centralized PV array.

168 As the objective of the system is to maximize the contribution of REs to the RO plant, three  
169 alternative configurations are modeled:

- 170 • *Case 1* considers the RO plant is running 24/7 for the entire year at a constant load.
- 171 • *Case 2* investigates the opportunity to run the RO plant 24/7 for six months only, from  
172 November to April being the summer dry season, at a capacity that is double the one of  
173 *Case 1*. This configuration will guarantee the annual water demand is met while  
174 maximizing the use of PVs.
- 175 • *Case 3* looks at further improving the use of PVs by defining a daily routine of the RO  
176 plant operations. The RO plant works at full capacity when the solar power is maximum  
177 (i.e., for 4 hours a day, from 10 am to 2 pm) and at 50% capacity for the rest of the day  
178 with electricity sourced from the PV and grid. The RO plant runs 24/7 for six months of  
179 the year.

180 ROSA software from DOW Chemical Company is used to design and model the RO plant [28-  
181 29]. The current annual water demand of Denmark is set at 354,552 m<sup>3</sup>. The feed flow rate is  
182 calculated for each configuration based on the annual water demand and a recovery rate of 75%.  
183 A feed flow rate of 54 and 108 m<sup>3</sup>/h is calculated for *Case 1* and *Case 2*, respectively. Two feed  
184 flow rates are calculated for *Case 3*: a 100% capacity flow rate (185 m<sup>3</sup>/h) that is treated for 4  
185 hours/day, and a 50% capacity flow rate (93 m<sup>3</sup>/h) that runs for 20 hours/day.

186 The energy supply system is modelled by HOMER [29,30]. The costs of initial setup,  
187 replacement, and other technical details of each energy component are derived in [30]. The  
188 operation and maintenance (O&M) costs are considered as 1% of the capital costs. The project  
189 lifetime is set to 25 years.

190

## 191 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

192

193 The power consumption of the RO plant varies for each case. The design details (e.g., number  
194 of membranes and number of stages) are varied in each simulation in order to meet the design  
195 requirements (e.g., constant crossflow and minimum flow in each membrane element). The  
196 permeate flux is kept at 20-25 L/m<sup>2</sup>/h.

197 The power and energy consumptions are listed in Table 2 and are related to the water  
198 desalination process (the electrical consumption of water pumping and transport is excluded  
199 from the current study). One of the objectives of this study is to maximize the fraction of RE  
200 used to supply the RO plant. To this end, *Case 2* and *Case 3* allow a larger exploitation of the  
201 solar resource by running the RO plant only when the output power of the PV system is at its  
202 maximum. Although *Case 2* and *Case 3* have a higher power consumption than *Case 1*, these  
203 alternative configurations aim at supplying their additional power demand from the PV system.

204

205

**Table 2.** Power requirement of the RO plant under different scenarios.

	Feed flow rate (m <sup>3</sup> /h)	Feed pressure (bar)	Power consumption (kW)	Specific energy consumption (kWh/m <sup>3</sup> )
Case 1	54	13	25	0.6
Case 2	108	13	50	0.6
Case 3	93 - 185	11 - 15	36 - 98	0.5 - 0.7

206

207 Table 3 summarizes the outcomes of the modeling of the energy components. In a grid-only  
208 system, the cost of energy (COE) over the project lifetime is the same for each case and equal to

209 0.29 \$/kWh. This is because the same energy is required annually in all cases. Amongst all  
 210 simulations, the lowest COE is found for the PV-grid system in *Case 3* (0.22 \$/kWh). Although  
 211 the highest capital costs are associated with this alternative due to the largest installation of PV  
 212 system and inverter, the O&M costs are substantially lower, and the total net present cost (NPC)  
 213 for *Case 3* is 12 and 14% lower than those of *Case 1* and *Case 2*, respectively. Moreover, 75% of  
 214 the total energy required in *Case 3* is supplied by RE, making this alternative more sustainable  
 215 over the project lifetime. Interestingly, *Case 2* has slightly higher costs than *Case 1*, which  
 216 makes a tiny difference between these two choices. Among these two, *Case 1* seems to be  
 217 preferable than *Case 2*.

218  
 219 **Table 3.** Optimization results for the power supply system to the river water RO plant.

	System type	PV capacity (kW)	RE fraction (%)	Inverter capacity (kW)	EPgrid (MWh/y)	ESgrid (MWh/y)	Capital costs (k\$)	O&M costs (k\$/year)	NPC (k\$)	COE (\$/kWh)
Case 1	Grid	0	0	0	219	0	0	64	812	0.29
	PV - Grid	115	55	50	136	47	145	39	649	0.23
Case 2	Grid	0	0	0	217	0	0	63	805	0.29
	PV - Grid	135	59	60	139	77	171	39	667	0.24
Case 3	Grid	0	0	0	201	0	0	58	746	0.29
	PV - Grid	190	74	100	98	134	252	25	570	0.22

220 PV: photovoltaic; RE: renewable energy; EPgrid: energy purchased from grid; ESgrid: energy sold to grid; O&M: operations  
 221 and maintenance; NPC: net present cost; COE: cost of energy  
 222

223 Based on the modeling results, the optimally integrated water-energy configuration is the one  
 224 proposed in *Case 3*, which considers a daily variable water flow rate feeding into the RO plant,  
 225 with the RO operations being limited to the summer months of November to April. This  
 226 configuration maximizes the RE fraction to nearly 75% and minimizes the total NPC and COE  
 227 over the lifetime of the project while guaranteeing the production of the required annual water  
 228 demand to Denmark.

229 The optimal case from an energy perspective is certainly the most challenging one for the  
 230 operation of the RO plant because of the daily variation of the water feed flow rate, and the six-  
 231 month halt of the plant. Based on the available literature and common practice, the feasibility of  
 232 an RO system under a daily variable load and supplied by a PV-grid system (*Case 3*) is in line  
 233 with general practice and recent discoveries [18,20,21]. However, a unified agreement of RO  
 234 performance under power fluctuations has not been found yet, and the issue of variable water  
 235 feed flow has to be assessed on a case-by-case basis. Pilot trials and sharing practical knowledge  
 236 are required to shed light on optimal RO operations. Also, it is pivotal for membrane  
 237 manufacturers and RO plant operators to move towards increased flexibility of membrane  
 238 systems in order to improve the integration of RO plants with RE and sustainable desalination  
 239 systems.

240 A centralized PV system is considered in the analyses carried out in HOMER. Assuming that  
 241 each 1.8 m<sup>2</sup> PV panel can provide 0.25 kW and considering a inverter efficiency of 90%, the size  
 242 of the area required by the PV system of *Case 3* becomes approximately 1,600 m<sup>2</sup>. Irrespective  
 243 of the availability of the required land, and following the findings of [27], a novel scheme can be  
 244 considered for the PV-RO system as modeled in this study. Such scheme considers a  
 245 decentralized PV system to be composed of many distributed rooftop PVs installed on private

246 dwellings within the community. In this way, each residential customer can use solar energy  
247 when it is needed and available, while the remaining excess generation is fed back to the grid  
248 with the RO plant being always fed by the grid.  
249

## 250 CONCLUSION

251  
252 The integration between renewable energy and desalination technologies is a vital  
253 technological development within the energy-water nexus framework. Renewable energy  
254 powered desalination guarantees a sustainable solution to a safe water supply worldwide, whilst  
255 improving the sustainability of the energy supply. Recent reviews agree on the technological  
256 maturity of RE desalination systems, however further studies are advisable towards improving  
257 the operational flexibility of membrane systems when coupled with fluctuating sources of power  
258 such as solar and wind. Improved membrane manufacturing technologies combined with hybrid  
259 plants that integrate different renewable energy sources are often considered the optimal option.

260 This study shows that a RO plant characterized by a daily variable load and operating during  
261 the warmest six months is capable to meet the annual water demand as well as maximize the  
262 renewable energy fraction by solar PV. This configuration maximizes the RE fraction to nearly  
263 75% and the total COE is modeled at 0.22 \$/kWh. The feasibility of an RO system under a daily  
264 variable load and supplied by a PV-grid system is in line with general practice and recent  
265 discoveries.

266 A future study will consider the rollout of solar PVs across the small town of Denmark. To  
267 the best of the authors' knowledge, no modeling and optimization studies that include both  
268 technical as well as management issues have been done on a system that integrates water  
269 treatment with solar energy in the form of rooftop PVs. The vision of a decentralized rooftop PV  
270 system incorporated within the community is expected to enhance the integration of the water  
271 system (i.e., the RO plant) and energy system (i.e., rooftop PVs) with the active engagement of  
272 the community, thus promoting sustainable planning and operations in the context of the energy-  
273 water nexus.

## 274 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

275  
276  
277 This work has been funded under the Collaborative Research Grant Scheme at Murdoch  
278 University, Australia. The authors are grateful to Prof Wendell Ela at Murdoch University for his  
279 insightful comments. They also acknowledge the support of the Western Australian Department  
280 of Water and Western Power for sharing their knowledge on the water and energy systems  
281 currently adopted in the selected study site.  
282

## 283 REFERENCES

284 (1) Lubega, W. N.; Farid, A. M. Quantitative engineering systems modeling and analysis of  
285 the energy–water nexus. *Appl. Energy* **2014**, *135*, 142-157.

286 (2) Scott, C. A.; Pierce, S. A.; Pasqualetti, M. J.; Jones, A. L.; Montz, B. E.; Hoover, J. H.  
287 Policy and institutional dimensions of the water–energy nexus. *Energy Policy* **2011**, *39*(10),  
288 6622-6630.

289 (3) Hussey, K.; Pittock, J. The energy-water nexus: Managing the links between energy and  
290 water for a sustainable future. *Ecology and Society* **2012**, *17*(1), 31.



- 291 (4) Bartos, M. D.; Chester, M. V. The conservation nexus: Valuing interdependent water and  
292 energy savings in Arizona. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*(4), 2139-2149.
- 293 (5) Kenway, S. J.; Lant, P. A.; Priestley, A.; Daniels, P. The connection between water and  
294 energy in cities: a review. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *63*(9), 1983-1990.
- 295 (6) Siddiqi, A.; Anadon, L. D. The water–energy nexus in Middle East and North  
296 Africa. *Energy policy* **2011**, *39*(8), 4529-4540.
- 297 (7) Ghermandi, A.; Messalem, R. Solar-driven desalination with reverse osmosis: the state of  
298 the art. *Desalin. Water Treat.* **2009**, *7*(1-3), 285-296.
- 299 (8) Ghaffour, N.; Missimer, T. M.; Amy, G. L. Technical review and evaluation of the  
300 economics of water desalination: current and future challenges for better water supply  
301 sustainability. *Desalination* **2013**, *309*, 197-207.
- 302 (9) Al-Karaghoul, A.; Kazmerski, L. L. Energy consumption and water production cost of  
303 conventional and renewable-energy-powered desalination processes. *Renewable Sustainable*  
304 *Energy Rev.* **2013**, *24*, 343-356.
- 305 (10) Goosen, M. F.; Mahmoudi, H.; Ghaffour, N. Today's and future challenges in  
306 applications of renewable energy technologies for desalination. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.*  
307 **2014**, *44*(9), 929-999.
- 308 (11) Ghaffour, N.; Bundschuh, J.; Mahmoudi, H.; Goosen, M. F. Renewable energy-driven  
309 desalination technologies: A comprehensive review on challenges and potential applications of  
310 integrated systems. *Desalination* **2015**, *356*, 94-114.
- 311 (12) Schäfer, A. I.; Hughes, G.; Richards, B. S. Renewable energy powered membrane  
312 technology: A leapfrog approach to rural water treatment in developing countries? *Renewable*  
313 *Sustainable Energy Rev.* **2014**, *40*, 542-556.
- 314 (13) Ma, Q.; Lu, H. Wind energy technologies integrated with desalination systems: Review  
315 and state-of-the-art. *Desalination* **2011**, *277*(1), 274-280.
- 316 (14) Al-Karaghoul, A.; Renne, D.; Kazmerski, L. L. Technical and economic assessment of  
317 photovoltaic-driven desalination systems. *Renewable Energy* **2010**, *35*(2), 323-328.
- 318 (15) Gude, V. G.; Nirmalakhandan, N.; Deng, S. Renewable and sustainable approaches for  
319 desalination. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.* **2010**, *14*(9), 2641-2654.
- 320 (16) Charcosset, C. A review of membrane processes and renewable energies for  
321 desalination. *Desalination* **2009**, *245*(1), 214-231.
- 322 (17) Eltawil, M. A.; Zhengming, Z.; Yuan, L. A review of renewable energy technologies  
323 integrated with desalination systems. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.* **2009**, *13*(9), 2245-  
324 2262.
- 325 (18) Park, G. L.; Schäfer, A. I.; Richards, B. S. The effect of intermittent operation on a wind-  
326 powered membrane system for brackish water desalination. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *65*(5),  
327 867-874.
- 328 (19) Thomson, M.; Infield, D. Laboratory demonstration of a photovoltaic-powered seawater  
329 reverse-osmosis system without batteries. *Desalination* **2005**, *183*(1), 105-111.
- 330 (20) Richards, B. S.; Capão, D. P.; Früh, W. G.; Schäfer, A. I. Renewable energy powered  
331 membrane technology: Impact of solar irradiance fluctuations on performance of a brackish  
332 water reverse osmosis system. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2015**, *156*, 379-390.
- 333 (21) Richards, B. S.; Capão, D. P. S.; Schäfer, A. I. Renewable energy powered membrane  
334 technology. 2. The effect of energy fluctuations on performance of a photovoltaic hybrid  
335 membrane system. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42*(12), 4563-4569.

- 336 (22) Richards, B. S.; Schäfer, A. I. Design considerations for a solar-powered desalination  
337 system for remote communities in Australia. *Desalination* **2002**, *144*(1), 193-199.
- 338 (23) Richards, B. S.; Park, G. L.; Pietzsch, T.; Schäfer, A. I. Renewable energy powered  
339 membrane technology: brackish water desalination system operated using real wind fluctuations  
340 and energy buffering. *J. Memb. Sci.* **2014b**, *468*, 224-232.
- 341 (24) Ipsakis, D.; Voutetakis, S.; Seferlis, P.; Stergiopoulos, F.; Elmasides, C. Power  
342 management strategies for a stand-alone power system using renewable energy sources and  
343 hydrogen storage. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2009**, *34*(16), 7081-7095.
- 344 (25) Clarke, D. P.; Al-Abdeli, Y. M.; Kothapalli, G. The impact of renewable energy  
345 intermittency on the operational characteristics of a stand-alone hydrogen generation system with  
346 on-site water production. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2013**, *38*(28), 12253-12265.
- 347 (26) Kershman, S. A.; Rheinländer, J.; Neumann, T.; Goebel, O. Hybrid wind/PV and  
348 conventional power for desalination in Libya—GECOL's facility for medium and small scale  
349 research at Ras Ejder. *Desalination* **2005**, *183*(1), 1-12.
- 350 (27) Gold, G. M.; Webber, M. E. The energy-water nexus: an analysis and comparison of  
351 various configurations integrating desalination with renewable power. *Resources* **2015**, *4*(2),  
352 227-276.
- 353 (28) Dow. Design a reverse osmosis system: design equations and parameters. Technical  
354 Manual; **2006**.
- 355 (29) Bourouni, K.; M'Barek, T. B.; Al Tae, A. Design and optimization of desalination  
356 reverse osmosis plants driven by renewable energies using genetic algorithms. *Renewable*  
357 *Energy* **2011**, *36*(3), 936-950.
- 358 (30) Ali, L.; Shahnia, F. Selection of a suitable standalone power supply system for an off-  
359 grid town in Western Australia. IEEE 16<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. on Environment and Electrical Engineering  
360 (EEEIC), pp.1-6, Florence, Italy, **2016**.