



# Sao Paulo School of Advanced Science on **Mass Spectrometry-based Proteomics** SP5AS-MS

August 28<sup>th</sup> - September 6<sup>th</sup> | 2017

# SECOND-HAND SMOKE EXPOSURE EFFECTS ON NASAL EPITHELIA PROTEOME

#### Sofia Neves<sup>1,2\*</sup>, SolangePacheco<sup>1\*</sup>, Fátima Vaz<sup>1,2</sup>, Peter James<sup>3</sup>, Tânia Simões<sup>1,5</sup>, Deborah Penque<sup>1,2</sup>.

#### sofia.neves@insa.min-saude.pt

1- Laboratory of proteomics, National Institute of Health Dr. Ricardo Jorge, INSA I.P, Lisbon Portugal; 2-ToxOmics- Center of Toxicogenomics and Human Health, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal; 3-Protein Technology Laboratory, Department of Immunotecnology, Lund University, Sweden; 4-CECAD Cologne-Excellence in Aging Research University of Cologne, Germany; \*both authors contributed equally to this study

# **Background and Objectives**

Environmental secondhand smoke exposure (SHS) results in a statistically significant increase in the risk of diseases such cardiovascular diseases and lung cancer. Cigarette smoke contains thousands of constituents, including several carcinogens and cytotoxic chemicals that orchestrate chronic inflammatory responses and destructive remodeling events<sup>1,2</sup>. In this work, our main objective is to uncover biomarkers of SHS exposure effects by investigating the proteome of nasal epithelia from health subjects occupationally long-term exposed to SHS.

# **Materials and Methods**



## Results

Parameters	Ν	F	S	NE	FE	SE	p-value
Subjects (N)	10	8	8	11	10	4	_
Age (yr)	$47.7\pm15.5$	$43.0\pm13.5$	$45.2 \pm 8.6$	$32.7\pm7.4$	38.8±11.1	35 ± 12.9	p>0.05 (ANOVA)
Gender (F/M)	4/6	3/5	3/5	1/10	2/8	1/3	_
Time in the workplace (years)	$7.4 \pm 11.5$	$10.1\pm7.9$	$6.4 \pm 5.2$	9.8±13.3	8.0 ±11.8	$5.9 \pm 3.5$	p>0.05 (ANOVA)
Worktime (hours/week)	$43.2\pm11.3$	52.0±4.8	45.2 ± 9.4	$37.3\pm9.1$	$36.4 \pm 8.5$	52.5 ± 25	p=0.017 (T-test)
							Exposed vs non-Exposed $\overline{x}$ 42.1 vs $\overline{x}$ 46.8 (hours/wee
Tobacco smoking parameters							
Tobacco smoking (years)	n.a	$12.9 \pm 10.8$	30.3 ± 9.7	n.a	$6.5 \pm 6.6$	$17.5 \pm 4.8$	p>0.05 (T-test) (FvsFE; SvsSE
Tobacco smoking(cigarretes/day)	n.a	$7.8 \pm 5.8$	15.1±7.7	n.a	13.5 ±17.7	19±8.3	p>0.05 (T-test) (FvsFE; SvsSI
Quit of tobacco smoking (years)	n.a	13.7±8.1	n.a.	n.a	16.3±11.9	n.a.	p>0.05 (T-test)
Pulmonary funtion parameter	s						
FVC	88.1±7.1	$96.5\pm10.7$	$104.4 \pm 20.5$	$92.8\pm7.4$	96.0±14.1	85.8±6.9	p>0.05 (ANOVA)
FEV <sub>1</sub>	89.6±9.6	96.6±13.6	99.8 ± 18.4	93.4±9.0	95.7±15.9	90.3±10.2	p>0.05 (ANOVA)
FVE <sub>1</sub> /FVC (BEST)	80.0±3.1	80.7±6.2	76.5 ± 4.6	81.7 ± 5.4	79.9±7.5	85 ± 5	p>0.05 (ANOVA)
							<b>p=0.021 (T-test)</b> Non-Smokers

#### Conclusions

**Diseases retrived by DAVID Bioinformatics Resources 6.8** 

NE+FEXN+F(non-smokers)

Prolonged occupational exposure to SHS modulates nasal epithelia proteome associated with pathways and diseases recognized as induced by tobacco smoking<sup>4</sup>. Further validation studies are needed to the better understanding the SHS exposure-induced mechanisms as risk factors for airway diseases.

**KEGG\_PATWAYS** retrived by DAVID Analysis

## Acknowledgements:

Establishments' owners/managers and their workers for cooperating to this study. Prof Paulo C Carvalho (Fiocruz Paraná, Curitiba, Brasil) for supporting PatternLab exploration. Work partially funded by Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation and Administração Central do Sistema de Saúde, Portugal. SN, SP worked under FCT fellowships.

References: 1-Hori M et al., 2016. Jpn J Clin Oncol. Oct;46(10):942-951. 2-I Lewis JB et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 17;18(3). 3- Carvalho PC, 2016. Nat Protoc. Jan;11(1):102-17. 4-de Jong K et al., 2017. Int J Mol Sci. Mar 1

