

Hypertension: comparison of self-reported information and objective measures from the first Portuguese National Health Examination Survey (INSEF)

I Kislaya¹, AP Rodrigues¹, M Barreto¹, V Gaio¹, L Antunes¹, AJ Santos¹, S Namorado¹, AP Gil¹, B Nunes¹, CM Dias¹ ¹ Department of Epidemiology, National Health Institute Doutor Ricardo Jorge, IP, Lisbon, Portugal

INTRODUCTION

Self-reported hypertension (HTN) is often used in epidemiological studies, however its validity is often questioned due to report bias.

Undiagnosed disease and misunderstanding of medical terms used in questionnaire are major sources of measurement error associated with self-report (1). HTN is often asymptomatic, particularly at earlier stages of the disease, adding extra complexity to the use of self-reported information.

This study aims to compare self-reported and examination-based HTN prevalence in Portugal and to identify factors associated with measurement error in self-report.

RESULTS



The difference between self-reported and examination-based HTN prevalence varied from 4.9 pp for those with higher education to 13 pp for those with the 1st cycle of basic education. The self-reported HTN prevalence was 12.4 pp lower for those who had last visited their GP more than 12 months ago.

METHODS

Study design: Portuguese National Health Examination Survey (INSEF) is a cross-sectional prevalence study.

Target population: individuals aged between 25 and 74 years old, living in Portugal for more than 12 months, non-institutionalized and able to follow an interview in Portuguese.

Sample: two-stage stratified cluster sampling, n=4911.

Fieldwork: February 2015 – December 2015.

The survey combines blood pressure measurement performed according to the European Health Examination Survey procedures (2), blood collection and a general health interview.

Definitions: Self-reported prevalence of HTN was defined as the proportion of participants who reported medical diagnosis of HTN. **Examination-based prevalence** of HTN was defined as the proportion of participants whose systolic blood pressure was at least 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure was at least 90 mmHg or who reported taking prescribed antihypertensive medication in the 2 weeks before the interview among all the survey participants.

Statistical analysis: Self-reported and examination-based prevalence of HTN were estimated stratified by sex, age, region, education, income and general practitioner (GP) visit in the previous year. Logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios (OR) of incorrect HTN self-report (any type of misclassification considering examination-based data as the gold standard).



The self-reported HTN prevalence was lower than examinationbased, with 6.9 pp difference observed for those in 5th income quintile and 12 pp difference for those in the 1st income quintile.



RESULTS

Examination-based prevalence of HTN was 36.0% [CI_{95%}:34.3-37.7] and self-reported prevalence was 25.7% [CI_{95%}:23.9-27.5].



Self-reported HTN prevalence in male was 14.5 percentage points (pp) lower than the examination-based. In female, the self-reported prevalence differ from the examination-based by 6.6pp.



Incorrect report of HTN was associated with male gender (OR=2), age between 45 and 54 years old (OR=1.5), lack of general practitioner visit in the previous year (OR=1.4) and low levels of education (1^{st} cycle (OR=2) and $2/3^{rd}$ cycle of basic education (OR=1.6).

CONCLUSIONS

- Self-reports underestimated the prevalence of HTN.
- The accuracy of self-reports varied between population subgroups.
- When HTN is the key exposure or outcome, measured blood pressure may provide a more valid estimation of true associations than self-reports and allows better understanding of socioeconomic inequalities in health.

REFERENCES

 Tenkorang EY, Sedziafa P, SanoY, Kuuire V, Banchani E. Validity of Self report data in Hypertension Research: Findings from the study on Global Ageing and Adult Health. Journal of Clinical Hypertension. 2015, 17(12):977-984.
Tolonen H. EHES Manual Part B. European Level Collaboration. Helsinki, Finland: National Institute of Health and Welfare; 2013.

FUNDING

The Portuguese National Health Examination Survey 2013-2016 (INSEF) is being developed as part of the project "Improvement of epidemiological health information to support public health decision and management in Portugal. Towards reduced inequalities, improved health, and bilateral cooperation", that benefits from a 1.500.000€ Grant from Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway through the EEA Grants.

