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#### Abstract

Introduction: Obesity is a well-established risk factor for coronary heart disease, ischemic stroke, type 2 diabetes, cancers of the breast, colon, prostate and other organs. Objectives: To determine the prevalence of obesity and associated factors among university staffs. Methods: A cross sectional study was carried out among university staffs of University Putra Malaysia using a self-administered validated pre-tested questionnaire. Weight was measured using a digital bathroom scale (TANITA Model HD 319) and height was measured using a SECA Body Meter Model 206. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. A p value of $<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant. Overweight was defined as a body mass index (BMI) of 25 to $29.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and obesity as a BMI of equal or more than $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Results: The mean age of the 454 university staffs was 42.86 years. The overall mean BMI was $24.52 \pm 4.43 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, ranged 16.12 to 36.57 ( $25.69 \pm 3.69 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for males and $23.31 \pm 4.81 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for females). The prevalence of overweight and obesity was $31.1 \%$ ( $40.3 \%$ males and $21.5 \%$ females) and $11.8 \%$ ( $12.1 \%$ males and $11.7 \%$ females) respectively. After adjusting for all the variables in the logistic regression model, gender, age, occupation, smoking, alcohol intake and physical inactivity were the main predictors of obesity. Conclusions: The prevalence of overweight and obesity is very high among the university staffs. There is a need for a comprehensive integrated non-communicable disease prevention program. There is also a need to establish proactive networks for building up capacity in research and training, mobilizing contributions from within the country and overseas.
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## INTRODUCTION

Overweight and obesity are defined as increased body weight for height, and body mass index (BMI) is the most common indicator of overweight and obesity ${ }^{[1]}$. Obesity is a well-established risk factor for cardiovascular disease in the general population ${ }^{[2,3]}$. The risk of coronary heart disease, ischemic stroke, type 2 diabetes, cancers of the breast, colon, prostate and other organs grows steadily with increasing body mass. Relationship between overweight or obesity and cardiovascular morbidity, CVD mortality and total mortality has been reported by several prospective epidemiological studies ${ }^{[4,5,6,7]}$. Being chronically overweight contributes to osteoarthritis - a major cause of disability ${ }^{[8]}$. A moderate decrease in weight ( $10 \%$ to $15 \%$ ) has been shown to significantly improve the health of $90 \%$ of the obese patients ${ }^{[9]}$. It has reached epidemic proportions globally, and evidence suggests that the situation is likely to get worse. It has been estimated that 1.46 billion adults aged 20 and older, (1.41-1.51 billion) worldwide had BMI of $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or greater, of these 205 million males (193-217 million) and 297 million females ( $280-315$ million) were obese in 2008. The mean population BMI varied substantially between nations. The age-standardized prevalence of obesity was $9.8 \%$ in males and $13.8 \%$ in females in 2008, which were nearly twice the 1980 prevalence of $4.8 \%$ for males and $7.9 \%$ for females ${ }^{[10]}$. Recent evidence shows that the prevalence of obesity has increased worldwide over the past 30 years in both rich and poor countries, and in all segments of society ${ }^{[10]}$. Malaysia is a middle income country with a multiethnic population. In Malaysia, the prevalence of obesity amongst Malaysians 18 years and above has increased from $4.4 \%$ in $1996^{[11]}$ to $12.3 \%$ in $2004{ }^{[12]}$ and $14.0 \%$ in $2006^{[13]}$. As no or very little data is available on the extent of the problem of obesity in the university, this study has been conducted with the aim to determine the prevalence of obesity and factors associated with it among university staff in Serdang.

[^0]
## MATERIAL \& METHODS

## Study Design/Study Population

A cross-sectional study was carried out among the university staffs of University Putra Malaysia. Data was collected from February 2010 to May 2010. A list of all Malaysian staff aged 30 years and above employed in UPM served as a sampling frame. The sample was selected using probability sampling technique using table of random numbers to ensure that every one had an equal chance of being chosen. The study was confined to respondents aged 30 years and above so that the results could be compared with the previous National surveys. A validated self-administered, pre-tested questionnaire on respondent's age, gender, ethnicity, educational level, hypertension status, smoking status, alcohol consumption, and physical activity was used to collect the data. Weight, height and blood pressure measurements were also recorded.

## Body Mass Index (BMI)

Weight was measured using a digital bathroom scale (TANITA Model HD 319) and height was measured using a SECA Body Meter Model 206. The respondents were requested to stand barefoot on the middle of the weighing machine, with the head looking straight in front, arms by the side. When the reading of the weighing machine was stable, the weight was recorded. After each respondent, the weighing machine was reset to zero. It was checked frequently by the use of a known weight. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. It was classified into different categories using the National Institutes of Health- National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. In this study overweight is defined as a body mass index (BMI) of 25 to $29.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and obesity as a BMI of equal or more than $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2[14]}$.

## Blood Pressure

Blood pressure was measured after the respondents had rested for at least 5 minutes using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer. The respondents were examined in a seated position with the arm placed at the heart level. Two blood pressure measurements were taken for each respondent by one of the investigators who is a qualified nurse, and the average used in the analysis. Hypertension status of the subjects was assessed based on the US Seventh Joint National Committee report on the prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high $\mathrm{BP}^{[15]}$. Hypertension was defined as an average of two blood pressure readings at single occasion of systolic blood pressure (SBP) $\geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) $\geq 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or a self-reported diagnosis and current treatment for hypertension with antihypertensive medication and/or by self-reports of a medical diagnosis of hypertension and current treatment for hypertension with antihypertensive medication.

## Smoking status

An ever smoker (includes both current and ex- smokers) was defined as a person who reported that they have smoked more than 100 cigarettes in their entire life. A current smoker was defined as someone who presently smokes daily and has smoked within the last 30 days. An ex-smoker was defined as someone who has stopped smoking.

## Ethics

Before conducting the study ethical approval was obtained from the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University Putra Malaysia. Informed consent was also obtained from each respondent before data collection.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS version 20.0. Independent sample t-test was used to compare the means between two groups, whereas, one way ANOVA was used to compare the means between more than two groups. Logistic regression was used to determine the main predictors of obesity among respondents. Result of logistic regression was expressed as odds ratio and $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$. A p value of $<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

## RESULTS

The socio- demographic characteristics of respondents by gender are shown in Table 1. The mean age of 454 respondents was $42.86 \pm 9.62$, and ranged from 30 to 68 years. The majority of both male and female respondents were Malays. Most of the respondents were married ( $84.8 \%$ ), with academic position ( $53.7 \%$ ) and had high level of income ( $\geq$ RM 6000 ). Among the males $19.9 \%$ were current smokers. None of the females were current smokers. The majority of the respondents ( $80.8 \%$ ) were never smokers. The prevalence of hypertension was $34.4 \%$ ( $45.5 \%$ in males and $22.9 \%$ in

Table 1. Characteristics of respondents by gender

| Characteristics | Male ( $\mathrm{n}=231$ ) n (\%) | Female ( $\mathrm{n}=223$ ) n (\%) | Total ( $\mathrm{n}=454$ ) $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |
| 30-39 | 79 (34.2) | 126 (56.5) | 205 (45.2) |
| 40-49 | 69 (29.9) | 58 (26.0) | 127 (28.0) |
| 50-59 | 63 (27.3) | 37 (16.6) | 100 (22.0) |
| $\geq 60$ | 20 (8.6) | 2 (0.9) | 22 (4.8) |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| Malay | 186 (80.5) | 206 (92.4) | 392 (86.3) |
| Chinese | 30 (13.0) | 10 (4.5) | 40 (8.8) |
| Indian | 15 (6.5) | 7 (3.1) | 22 (4.9) |
| Marital status |  |  |  |
| Single | 24 (10.4) | 35 (15.7) | 59 (13.0) |
| Married | 206 (89.2) | 179 (80.3) | 385 (84.8) |
| Divorced | 1 (0.4) | 3 (1.3) | 4 (0.9) |
| Widow | 0 (0.0) | 6 (2.7) | 6 (1.3) |
| Educational level |  |  |  |
| Primary | 4 (1.7) | 3 (1.4) | 7 (1.5) |
| Secondary | 44 (19.1) | 69 (30.9) | 113 (24.9) |
| Tertiary | 183 (79.2) | 151 (67.7) | 334 (73.6) |
| Occupation |  |  |  |
| Academic | 152 (65.8) | 92 (41.3) | 244 (53.7) |
| Administrative | 18 (7.8) | 52 (23.3) | 70 (15.4) |
| Clerical | 4 (1.7) | 34 (15.2) | 38 (8.4) |
| Technician | 7 (3.1) | 0 (0.0) | 7 (1.6) |
| Supportive | 50 (21.6) | 45 (20.2) | 95 (20.9) |
| Income (RM) |  |  |  |
| Low (<3000) | 46 (19.9) | 53 (23.8) | 99 (21.8) |
| Medium (3000-5999) | 71 (30.7) | 96 (43.0) | 167 (36.8) |
| High ( $\geq 6000$ ) | 114 (49.4) | 74 (33.2) | 188 (41.4) |
| Smoking status |  |  |  |
| Current smokers | 46 (19.9) | 0 (0.0) | 46 (10.1) |
| Former smokers | 39 (16.9) | 2 (0.9) | 41 (9.1) |
| Never smokers | 146 (63.2) | 221 (99.1) | 367 (80.8) |
| Hypertension status |  |  |  |
| Normotensive | 126 (54.5) | 172 (77.1) | 298 (65.6) |
| Hypertensive | 105 (45.5) | 51 (22.9) | 156 (34.4) |

females). The results show that the overall mean BMI of respondents was $24.52 \pm 4.43 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, ranged 16.12 to 36.57 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Table 2 a and 2 b show the distribution of BMI by socio-demographic factors. Bivariate analysis using one way ANOVA and independent $t$ test showed that overall mean BMI was significantly associated with age, gender, marital status, educational level and income. Males had significantly higher mean BMI ( $25.69 \pm 3.69 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) as compared to females ( $23.31 \pm 4.81 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ). The mean BMI significantly increased with age among both males ( $\mathrm{F}=14.72, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and females $(\mathrm{F}=43.23, \mathrm{p}<0.001)$. The results show that in males the mean BMI increased steadily till the age of 59 and then showed a decline. However, in females the mean BMI increased steadily with age. In males, the mean BMI was higher up to age 49 years compared to females. Interestingly, for respondents aged 50 and above the BMI for females was higher than the males. Using Post Hoc-Tukey test for comparing all the age groups for males showed that there was a significant difference in the mean BMI between age groups 30-39 and 40-49 ( $\mathrm{p}=0.025$ ), 30-39 and 50-59 ( $\mathrm{p}<$

Table 2a. BMI distribution by socio-demographic characteristics (both gender)

|  | Mean | Median | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ | SD | $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{t}$ value | P value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 25.69 | 25.23 | $25.21-26.17$ | 3.69 | $\mathrm{t}=5.914$ | $<0.001^{*}$ |
| Female | 23.31 | 21.85 | $22.67-23.94$ | 4.81 |  |  |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-39 | 22.28 | 21.85 | $21.73-22.83$ | 4 | $\mathrm{~F}=58.33$ | $<0.001^{*}$ |
| 40-49 | 24.83 | 24.28 | $24.21-25.45$ | 3.52 |  |  |
| 50-59 | 28.15 | 27.78 | $27.40-28.89$ | 3.75 |  |  |
| 260 | 27.1 | 27.49 | $25.73-28.47$ | 3.09 |  |  |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malay | 24.47 | 23.91 | $24.02-24.92$ | 4.51 | $\mathrm{~F}=0.915$ | 0.401 |
| Chinese | 25.34 | 25.24 | $23.99-26.69$ | 4.22 |  |  |
| Indian | 23.91 | 24.25 | $22.47-25.35$ | 3.24 |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 24.77 | 24.44 | $24.33-25.21$ | 4.37 | $\mathrm{t}=-2.768$ | $0.007^{*}$ |
| Not married | 23.12 | 22.23 | $22.02-24.22$ | 4.58 |  |  |
| Educational level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 21.18 | 20.86 | $17.56-24.80$ | 3.91 | $\mathrm{~F}=8.240$ | $<0.001^{*}$ |
| Secondary | 25.82 | 25.43 | $24.93-26.71$ | 4.77 |  |  |
| Tertiary | 24.15 | 23.79 | $23.70-24.61$ | 4.23 |  |  |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic | 24.71 | 24.45 | $24.21-25.21$ | 3.93 | $\mathrm{t}=-0.965$ | 0.335 |
| Non academic | 24.3 | 23.57 | $23.63-24.98$ | 4.96 |  |  |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 23.75 | 22.78 | $22.83-24.67$ | 4.62 | $\mathrm{~F}=5.893$ |  |
| Medium | 24.04 | 23.62 | $23.33-24.75$ | 4.65 |  |  |
| High | 25.16 | $24.78-25.93$ | 4.01 |  |  |  |

* $\mathrm{p}<0.05$


Figure 1. Distribution of Body Mass Index by Age and Gender

Table 2b. BMI distribution by socio-demographic characteristics among males and females

| Characteristics | Mean | Median | 95\% CI | SD | F, t value | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-39 | 23.97 | 23.57 | 23.13-24.82 | 3.75 | $\mathrm{F}=14.725$ | <0.001* |
| 40-49 | 25.57 | 24.64 | 24.83-26.31 | 3.09 |  |  |
| 50-59 | 27.75 | 27.35 | 26.86-28.63 | 3.52 |  |  |
| $\geq 60$ | 26.45 | 27.16 | 25.34-27.56 | 2.36 |  |  |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malay | 25.65 | 25.22 | 25.09-26.21 | 3.88 | $\mathrm{F}=0.731$ | 0.489 |
| Chinese | 26.2 | 25.81 | 25.08-27.33 | 3 |  |  |
| Indian | 25.21 | 24.61 | 23.88-26.54 | 2.4 |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 25.99 | 25.64 | 25.51-26.47 | 3.5 | $\mathrm{t}=3.608$ | <0.001* |
| Not married | 23.24 | 22.43 | 21.44-25.03 | 4.34 |  |  |
| Educational level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 22.21 | 23.29 | 14.45-29.97 | 4.87 | $\mathrm{F}=2.192$ | 0.114 |
| Secondary | 26.18 | 25.9 | 25.00-27.36 | 3.87 |  |  |
| Tertiary | 25.65 | 25.14 | 25.13-26.18 | 3.6 |  |  |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic | 25.75 | 25.29 | 25.22-26.27 | 3.24 | $\mathrm{t}=0.297$ | 0.788 |
| Non academic | 25.59 | 24.64 | 24.60-26.59 | 4.45 |  |  |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 24.02 | 23.1 | 22.73-25.32 | 4.37 | $\mathrm{F}=43.238$ | <0.001* |
| Medium | 25.77 | 25.14 | 24.98-26.55 | 3.32 |  |  |
| High | 26.32 | 26.09 | 25.68-26.96 | 3.43 |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-39 | 21.22 | 20.48 | 20.55-21.89 | 3.79 | $\mathrm{F}=43.238$ | <0.001* |
| 40-49 | 23.96 | 22.9 | 22.95-24.96 | 3.81 |  |  |
| 50-59 | 28.83 | 29.21 | 27.47-30.18 | 4.07 |  |  |
| $\geq 60$ | 33.64 | 33.64 | 25.95-41.33 | 0.85 |  |  |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malay | 23.41 | 22.06 | 22.75-24.06 | 4.78 | $\mathrm{F}=0.839$ | 0.434 |
| Chinese | 22.75 | 20.32 | 18.31-27.19 | 6.2 |  |  |
| Indian | 21.11 | 21 | 18.19-24.03 | 3.15 |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 23.37 | 21.82 | 22.65-24.08 | 4.83 | $\mathrm{t}=0.379$ | 0.705 |
| Not married | 23.06 | 22.04 | 21.61-24.51 | 4.75 |  |  |
| Educational level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 19.81 | 20.86 | 14.01-25.61 | 2.33 | $\mathrm{F}=11.595$ | 0.010* |
| Secondary | 25.59 | 25.4 | 24.32-26.86 | 5.28 |  |  |
| Tertiary | 22.33 | 21.39 | 21.65-23.01 | 4.23 |  |  |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic | 23 | 21.8 | 22.09-23.91 | 4.37 | $\mathrm{t}=0.816$ | 0.415 |
| Non academic | 23.52 | 22 | 22.64-24.40 | 5.1 |  |  |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 23.51 | 22.17 | 22.17-24.85 | 4.85 | $\mathrm{F}=1.139$ | 0.322 |
| Medium | 22.77 | 21.37 | 21.74-23.80 | 5.08 |  |  |
| High | 23.86 | 23.02 | 22.84-24.88 | 4.38 |  |  |

[^1]Table 3. Respondent's BMI by health-related characteristics

| Characteristics | Mean | Median | 95\% CI | SD | F , t value | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smoking status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current smoker | 25.86 | 24.96 | 24.75-26.98 | 3.74 | $\mathrm{F}=7.857$ | <0.001* |
| Former smoker | 26.51 | 26.12 | 25.35-27.66 | 3.66 |  |  |
| Never smoked | 24.13 | 23.59 | 23.67-24.59 | 4.51 |  |  |
| Alcohol consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current drinker | 24.64 | 24.28 | 22.67-26.61 | 3.55 | $\mathrm{F}=1.035$ | 0.356 |
| Former drinker | 26.03 | 26.72 | 23.75-28.32 | 4.44 |  |  |
| Never drank | 24.46 | 24.08 | 24.03-24.88 | 4.46 |  |  |
| Activity Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inactive | 24.88 | 25.32 | 24.08-25.67 | 4.51 | $\mathrm{F}=4.160$ | 0.016* |
| Insufficiently active | 23.21 | 22.89 | 22.19-24.22 | 4.48 |  |  |
| Sufficiently active | 24.74 | 24.12 | 24.21-25.28 | 4.33 |  |  |
| Hypertension status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normotensive | 22.83 | 22.63 | 22.39-23.26 | 3.8 | $\mathrm{t}=13.207$ | <0.001* |
| Hypertensive | 27.76 | 27.75 | 27.17-28.34 | 3.72 |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smoking status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current smoker | 25.86 | 24.96 | 24.75-26.98 | 3.74 | $\mathrm{F}=0.908$ | 0.405 |
| Former smoker | 26.34 | 26.11 | 25.17-27.50 | 3.6 |  |  |
| Never smoked | 25.47 | 25.14 | 24.86-26.07 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Alcohol consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current drinker | 25.41 | 24.82 | 23.56-27.26 | 3.05 | $\mathrm{F}=0.315$ | 0.73 |
| Former drinker | 26.45 | 26.72 | 24.26-28.64 | 3.62 |  |  |
| Never drank | 25.66 | 25.23 | 25.15-26.18 | 3.74 |  |  |
| Activity Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inactive | 26.5 | 26.26 | 25.49-27.51 | 3.63 | $\mathrm{F}=1.636$ | 0.197 |
| Insufficiently active | 25.32 | 26.31 | 24.15-26.48 | 3.06 |  |  |
| Sufficiently active | 25.49 | 24.54 | 24.87-26.10 | 3.8 |  |  |
| Hypertension status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normotensive | 24.05 | 23.83 | 23.50-24.61 | 3.14 | $\mathrm{t}=8.436$ | <0.001* |
| Hypertensive | 27.66 | 27.49 | 27.01-28.31 | 3.34 |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smoking status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current smoker (Nil) | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | $t=-1.941$ | 0.054 |
| Former smoker | 29.84 | 29.84 | 0-70.41 | 4.51 |  |  |
| Never smoked | 23.25 | 21.82 | 22.61-23.88 | 4.78 |  |  |
| Alcohol consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current drinker | 19.62 | 19.62 | 0-42.19 | 2.51 | $\mathrm{F}=0.747$ | 0.475 |
| Former drinker | 24.67 | 25.33 | 13.47-35.87 | 7.03 |  |  |
| Never drank | 23.31 | 21.92 | 22.67-23.96 | 4.78 |  |  |
| Activity Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inactive | 23.74 | 22.59 | 22.64-24.84 | 4.74 | $\mathrm{F}=2.540$ | 0.081 |
| Insufficiently active | 21.93 | 19.96 | 20.55-23.31 | 4.75 |  |  |
| Sufficiently active | 23.64 | 22.11 | 22.69-24.59 | 4.82 |  |  |
| Hypertension status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normotensive | 21.93 | 21.11 | 21.33-22.53 | 3.99 | $\mathrm{t}=9.212$ | <0.001* |
| Hypertensive | 27.95 | 29 | 26.70-29.20 | 4.43 |  |  |

[^2]$0.001), 30-39$ and 60 years and above ( $\mathrm{p}=0.021$ ) and 40-49 and 50-59 ( $\mathrm{p}=0.002$ ). However, there was no significant difference in the mean BMI between age groups 40-49 and 60 years and above and 50-59 and 60 years and above. For females a significant difference was noted in mean BMI between all age groups except between respondents who were $50-59$ and 60 years and above. It was also noted that the male respondents who were married had significantly higher mean BMI than unmarried males ( $\mathrm{t}=3.60, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), whereas, no significant association was found among females. Males mean BMI increased significantly by their income level ( $\mathrm{F}=43.23, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). Using Post Hoc-Tukey test for males showed that there was a significant difference in the mean BMI between low and medium income ( $\mathrm{p}=$ 0.03 ) and low and high income ( $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ ), but no significant difference was found between medium and high income groups. This association was not significant among females. In addition, significant association was noted between females mean BMI and education ( $\mathrm{F}=11.59, \mathrm{p}=0.01$ ). Comparing all the education groups showed that there was a significant difference in the mean BMI between secondary and tertiary groups ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). No significant association was found between other education groups (Post Hoc-Tukey test). Table 3 shows the distribution of BMI by healthrelated factors. Overall, significant difference was found between mean BMI with smoking status, activity level and hypertension status. The mean BMI was significantly higher among both males $(\mathrm{t}=8.43, \mathrm{p}<0.001)$ and females $(\mathrm{t}=$ $9.21, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) who had hypertension. Table 4 shows that $31.1 \%$ ( $40.3 \%$ males and $21.5 \%$ females) of the respondents were overweight and $11.8 \%$ ( $12.1 \%$ males and $11.7 \%$ females) were obese. The majority of the respondents who were between 30-39 and 40-49 had normal weight, whereas, the majority of the respondents between 50 to 59 years old and 60 years and above were overweight or obese. Although the results showed a clear gender difference in the prevalence of overweight with more males than females, there was no significant gender difference in the prevalence of obesity. Findings also showed that $30.1 \%$ of Malays, $37.5 \%$ of Chinese and $36.4 \%$ of Indian staffs were overweight. About $12.0 \%$ of Malays, $17.5 \%$ of Chinese and none of the Indians were obese (BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ). Table 5 shows the results of the logistic regression analysis. After adjusting for all the variables in the model, gender, age, occupation, smoking, alcohol intake and physical inactivity were the main predictors of obesity.

The overall accuracy of this model to predict the subjects having obesity was $89.0 \%$ and the area under ROC curve was $77.7 \%$. Females were 2.34 times more likely to be obese, those who were 50 years and above were 8.55 times more likely to be obese as compared to those aged below 50 years ( $\mathrm{OR}=8.552,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=3.93-18.64$ ), non-academic staff were 2.51 times more likely to be obese as compared to academic staff ( $\mathrm{OR}=2.51,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.24-5.10$ ), current smokers were 3.21 times more likely to be obese as compared to never smokers ( $\mathrm{OR}=8.552,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.09-9.48$ ), those ever had consumed alcohol were 5.09 times more likely to be obese as compared to never consumed alcohol ( $\mathrm{OR}=5.09,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.65-15.67$ ) and those who were physically inactive were 2.35 times more likely to be obese as compared to those physically active ( $\mathrm{OR}=2.35,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.09-5.05$ ).

Table 4. Prevalence of obesity by age, gender and ethnicity

| Characteristic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Underweight } \\ <18.49 \end{gathered}$ | Normal weight 18.50-24.99 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Pre-obese } \\ 25.00-29.99 \end{gathered}$ | Obese class I 30.34.99 | Obese class II 35-39.99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-39 | 41 (20.0) | 118 (57.6) | 33 (16.1) | 12 (5.8) | 1 (0.5) |
| 40-49 | 3 (2.3) | 73 (57.5) | 41 (32.3) | 10 (7.9) | 0 (0.0) |
| 50-50 | 0 (0.0) | 20 (20.0) | 51 (51.0) | 23 (23.0) | 6 (6.0) |
| $\geq 60$ | 0 (0.0) | 4 (18.2) | 16 (72.7) | 2 (9.1) | 0 (0.0) |
| Total $(\mathrm{n}=454)$ | 44 (9.7) | 215 (47.4) | 141 (31.1) | 47 (10.3) | 7 (1.5) |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male ( $\mathrm{n}=231$ ) | 3 (1.3) | 107 (46.3) | 93 (40.3) | 25 (10.8) | 3 (1.3) |
| Female ( $\mathrm{n}=223$ ) | 41 (18.4) | 108 (48.4) | 48 (21.5) | 22 (9.9) | 4 (1.8) |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malay ( $\mathrm{n}=392$ ) | 39 (9.9) | 188 (48.0) | 118 (30.1) | 40 (10.2) | 7 (1.8) |
| Chinese ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | 4 (10.0) | 14 (35.0) | 15 (37.5) | 7 (17.5) | 0 (0.0) |
| Indian ( $\mathrm{n}=22$ ) | 1 (4.5) | 13 (59.1) | 8 (36.4) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |

Table 5. Logistic regression analysis of the factors associated with obesity

| Variables | $\beta$ | Adjusted OR | 95\% CI | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  | 1 |  |  |
| Female | 0.85 | 2.34 | 1.036-5.289 | 0.041 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 30 to less than 40 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 40 to less than 50 | 0.193 | 1.213 | 0.500-2.945 | 0.669 |
| 50 and above | 2.146 | 8.552 | 3.924-18.636 | <0.001 |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Staff |  | 1 |  |  |
| Non-academic Staff | 0.921 | 2.511 | 1.237-5.096 | 0.011 |
| Smoking |  |  |  |  |
| Never |  | 1 |  |  |
| Former | 0.995 | 2.706 | 0.901-8.123 | 0.076 |
| Current | 1.166 | 3.209 | 1.086-9.477 | 0.035 |
| Alcohol Intake |  |  |  |  |
| Never |  | 1 |  |  |
| Ever | 1.627 | 5.089 | $1.652-15.673$ | 0.005 |
| Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |
| Active |  | 1 |  |  |
| Insufficiently Active | -0.136 | 0.872 | 0.252-3.017 | 0.829 |
| Inactive | 0.853 | 2.347 | 1.091-5.047 | 0.029 |

Nagelkerke R Square $=0.218$; Hosmer and Lemeshow Test, $p=0.197$; the overall accuracy of this model to predict the subjects having obesity was $89.0 \%$; area under ROC curve $=77.7 \%$.

## DISCUSSION

In Malaysia, cardiovascular diseases have been the leading cause of death for the past 40 years ${ }^{[16]}$. The most important CVD risk factors are high blood pressure, obesity, high blood cholesterol, cigarette smoking, diabetes, physical inactivity and having an unhealthy reaction to stress. The prevalence of high blood pressure, cigarette smoking, diabetes, physical inactivity amongst Malaysians is high. The prevalence of hypertension amongst adults aged 30 years and above has increased from $32.9 \%$ in $1996^{[11]}$ to $40.5 \%$ in $20044^{[16]}$ and to $42.6 \%$ in $2006{ }^{[13]}$. In a study carried out by Rampal et al. in 2010 among 1,778 school children aged 13-17 years in the Putrajaya, showed that the overall prevalence of pre-hypertension and hypertension was $11.1 \%$ and $11.6 \%$ respectively ${ }^{[17]}$. The prevalence of hypertension was significantly higher in the adolescents who were overweight or obese. The results of their study also showed that there was a significant correlation between BMI and hypertension ( $\mathrm{r}=0.52, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.27, \mathrm{p}=0.001$ ) ${ }^{[17]}$. In this study overall percentage of overweight and obesity was $31.1 \%$ and $11.8 \%$ respectively as compared to the national prevalence of $29.1 \%$ and $14 \%{ }^{[13]}$. In this study those who had non-academic position were more likely than staffs with academic position to be obese. It is possible that those with academic position may have more knowledge about obesity and its complications and they try to follow a healthier lifestyle as compared to the non-academic staff. Also, obese respondents were around 3 times more likely than non-obese to be smoker. Being a smoker is due to following an unhealthy lifestyle. Therefore smokers may also not pay attention to their weight status as an important factor related to their health status. This could suggest that they may not have an appropriate diet. Therefore, their energy intake and also their knowledge about a normal and balanced diet should be considered in future studies. Staffs with hypertension were around nine times more likely than normal staffs to be obese. BMI increased significantly with age, and this was consistent with previously published studies. $\left.{ }^{[18, ~} 19,20\right] \mathrm{It}$ is also interesting to note that among the males staff only $19.9 \%$ were current smokers and none of the females were current smokers. This is very low as compared
to the national prevalence of current smokers of $46.4 \%$ in males and $1.6 \%$ in females ${ }^{[13]}$. This could either be due to the fact that tobacco control measures taken by the university and declaring the whole university as a 'Smoke Free University' have shown results or due to under reporting as smoking is regarded as a socially unacceptable behavior in the university. The prevalence of hypertension (another risk factor for NCD) in this study was $34.4 \%$ ( $45.5 \%$ in males and $22.9 \%$ in females) among university staff which is lower than the national prevalence of $42.6 \%$ ( $41.7 \%$ in males and $43.4 \%$ in females) ${ }^{[13]}$.

As the prevalence of overweight and obesity is high among the university staff, a comprehensive integrated NCD prevention program should be implemented. Meta-analyses of Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) have shown that a weight-reducing diet, combined with exercise, produces significant weight loss, reduces total cholesterol and LDLcholesterol, increases HDL-cholesterol, and improves control of blood pressure and diabetes ${ }^{[21,22]}$. A meta-analysis of RCTs found that a net weight reduction of $5.1 \mathrm{~kg}(95 \%$ CI 4.25 to 6.03 kg$)$, resulting from restricted energy intake, increased physical activity or both, reduced systolic blood pressure by $4.44 \mathrm{mmHg}(95 \% \mathrm{CI} 2.95$ to 5.93 mmHg$)$ and diastolic blood pressure by $3.57 \mathrm{mmHg}(95 \%$ CI 2.25 to 4.88 mmHg$){ }^{[23]}$. There should be more intensive inter-sectoral and intra sectoral collaboration as well as community partnership and ownership. There is also a need to establish proactive networks for building up capacity in research and training, mobilizing contributions from within the country and overseas.

In conclusion, the prevalence of overweight and obesity was 31.1\% ( $40.3 \%$ males and $21.5 \%$ females) and $11.8 \%$ ( $12.1 \%$ males and $11.7 \%$ females) respectively. Logistic regression analysis showed that obesity was associated with gender, age, occupation, smoking, alcohol intake and physical inactivity.
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