Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci. 39 (1): 55 - 72 (2016)



TROPICAL AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE

Journal homepage: http://www.pertanika.upm.edu.my/

Characterisation of Pathogenesis-Related Genes and Resistance Gene Candidates in Banana (Musa acuminata) and Their **Expression during Host-Pathogen Interaction**

Chee-Yong Yang¹, Sathyapriya, H.¹ and Mui-Yun Wong^{1,2*}

¹Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia; ²Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

Amplified chitinase gene sequence shared 99% homology with Musa acuminata class III acidic chitinase and beta-1,3-glucanase gene sequence was 100% homologous to Musa x paradisiaca beta-1,3-glucanase. Three nucleotide-binding sites and the leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) type of putative RGCs and one serine/threonine kinase gene were characterised at the amino acid level. Kinase-2 (LVLDDVW) and kinase-3 (GSRIIITTRD) motifs in the nucleotide-binding domain were highly conserved in RGC2 and RGC3 and these genes belong to the non-TIR-NBS class RGCs. RGC1 was also clustered into non-TIR-NBS class RGCs; however, many residue substitutions were present in the kinase-2 and kinase-3 motifs. The sub-domain IX (LTEKSDVYSFGVVL) of serine/threonine protein kinase was highly conserved in RGC5 and it shared highest homology with PTH-2 from muskmelon. RT-PCR analysis revealed the differential expression of PR and RGC genes exhibited by different banana genotypes over sampling time. Chitinase was expressed during banana-FocR4 interaction in all three banana genotypes. However, its expression was high and constant in 'Rastali Mutiara' during banana-FocR4 interaction and resulted in very low disease severity in FocR4 inoculated plants (2%) compared to 'Rastali wild-type (16%) and 'Jari Buaya' (8%) at six weeks after inoculation. This suggests that chitinase may play an important role in disease resistance against FocR4. Besides, our study also shows that

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 23 September 2014 Accepted: 13 November 2015

E-mail addresses: muiyun@upm.edu.my (Mui-Yun Wong), ycycpt@yahoo.com (Chee-Yong Yang), h spriya@yahoo.com (Sathyapriya, H.)

'Rastali Mutiara' can be a potential source of disease-resistant genes for molecular breeding of banana.

Keywords: Banana, Fusarium wilt, nucleotide-binding site, PR proteins, resistance-gene candidate, serine/ threonine kinase

^{*} Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

Banana is one of the important global food commodities. The commercial and subsistence production is seriously threatened by *Fusarium* wilt caused by soilborne *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* (Foc). Foc race 4 (FocR4) is considered economically important as it causes significant plantation losses in bananaproducing countries predominantly in the Asia-Pacific region (Aquino *et al.*, 2013). To date, the existing control measures for this disease are not satisfactory.

Banana plants respond to attacks of pathogens by activating defence-related genes from different groups based on biological function and pattern of induction. The production of pathogenesis-related (PR) protein is a vital defence response against biological stress and pathogenic infection. Most PR proteins play a key role in plant defence in response to fungal infection. Previous studies have demonstrated that PR proteins show in vitro antifungal activity either individually or in combination (De Bolle et al., 1993; Melchers et al., 1993; Sela-Buurlage et al., 1993; Koiwa et al., 1997; Saikia et al., 2005; Ye & Ng, 2005; De A Campos et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2012). PR proteins have already been shown to be good candidates for engineering fungalresistant crops (Punja & Zhang, 1993; Jach et al., 1995; Lin et al., 1995; Tabei et al., 1998; Datta et al., 2001; Kalpana et al., 2006). Productive interactions between chitinase and glucanase transgenes in vivo point to combinatorial expression of antimicrobial genes as an effective approach

in engineering enhanced crop protection against fungal diseases (Zhu *et al.*, 1994).

On the other hand, breeding for resistance is the most appropriate approach to control the pathogen in the field. Carlier et al. (2000) has reported that sources of resistance to pathogens exist in germplasm across the Musa genus. Development of FocR4-resistant cultivars can be possibly done through introgression of Resistance (R) genes into susceptible cultivars (Miller et al., 2008). Joshi et al. (2010) reported that more than 50 R genes have been cloned and characterised from monoand dicotyledonous plants through mapbased mapping, transposon tagging and genome homologues analysis. Most R genes identified up to now are members of the cytoplasmic nucleotide-binding and leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) class. It has been reported that NBS-LRR-type R genes confer resistance to a wide variety of pathogens and pests (Dangl & Jones, 2001). According to Meyers et al. (1999) and Pan et al. (2000), the NBS-LRR-class of R genes is divided into two distinct subclasses based on the presence or absence of an N-terminal with homology to the Drosophila Toll and human Interleukin-1 receptors (TIR). The TIR subclass appears to be restricted to dicotyledonous species, whereas the non-TIR subclass is widely distributed in both mono- and dicotyledonous species (Meyers et al., 1999; Pan et al., 2000; Cannon et al., 2002).

Isolation and characterisation of NBStype sequences, called resistance gene candidates (RGCs), using PCR-based approach based on degenerate primers have been reported in a great number of plants including banana. For instance, Pei *et al.* (2007) have isolated, characterised and analysed 12 resistance gene analogues (RGAs) in banana (*Musa* spp.). In addition, 20 fragments of RGAs have been isolated from wilt resistant Goldfinger (AAAB) banana (Sun *et al.*, 2009). Besides this, Lu *et al.* (2011) also have reported the isolation and characterisation of four RGAs in commercial banana species.

On top of that, Way (2006) has partially isolated and studied the expression of five putative RGCs from the local banana crop, 'Jari Buaya', in specific interaction of host with pathogen FocR4. However, there was lack of functional study of these RGCs. It would be most useful if we could identify potential defence genes that are involved in the banana-FocR4 interaction. With better understanding of RGCs discovered and its mechanism in disease resistance, we may contribute to disease management based upon genetic improvement in banana. Thus, this study was carried out to screen for the presence of selected defence genes in the genome of various local banana genotypes and relate their expressions during hostpathogen interaction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and DNA extraction

Four cultivars of local banana (*Musa acuminata*) were used including three cultivated triploid species and one cultivated diploid species. 'Rastali wild type' (AAB) was obtained from Johor Plant Tech Sdn Bhd (Ayer Hitam, Johor). 'Rastali Mutiara'

(AAB) and 'Jari Buaya' (AA) were obtained from United Plantations Berhad (Teluk Intan, Perak), while 'Rastali Transgenic' (AAB) was provided by Professor Maziah Mahmood from the Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia. 'Rastali Mutiara' is known to be tolerant to FocR4, while 'Jari Buaya' is known to be resistant to FocR4 (Chai et al., 2004). For gene expression study, only three banana genotypes were used due to the unavailability of 'Rastali Transgenic'. Genomic DNA was extracted from the lower stem of each healthy banana cultivar (one-month old seedlings) using a Genomic Purification Kit (Fermentas, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of DNA samples was adjusted to 10 ng/µL before use.

Primer Sets

Primers targeted for chitinase and beta-1,3-glucanase were designed based on the conserved regions of similar sequences obtained from GenBank. Primers targeted to Resistance Gene Candidate (RGC) 1, 2 and 3 were designed based on isolated cDNA sequences which were amplified using degenerate primers (Way, 2006). Sequences of RGC1, 2 and 3 belong to NBS-LRR type of Resistance gene. Primers targeted to RGC5 were designed based on isolated cDNA sequences belonging to kinase class. In this study, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) of banana was used as an internal positive control. Details for the primer sequences of each gene are illustrated in Table 1.

Gene	^z Tm (°C)	Product size (bp)	Forward primer (5'-3') Reverse primer (5'-3')	Genbank accession number/ Reference
GAPDH	58	124	F:GCAGGTCAAGCATCTTTGATGCCA R:ATGTGGCGGATCAGGTCGATTACA	AY821550
chitinase	47	224	F:TGCTGTTATTTGCGTTCCTG R: GTTGTTCCGAGGGTCACAGT	AY525367
beta-1,3- glucanase	48	194	F:CCCTCAGGAACTCCAACATC R:GAGGATGTACTGCGCCAGAT	EU014210
RGC1	55	449	F:ATGGCGCTTCTTCTCATGTCGC R:TCAACAACGAGCTCAAGGAGAA	Way, 2006
RGC2	45	426	F:CCTGTGTCCTTTAGATATTGGGCA R:TGGTAAAATCAAAGCCAGCTTCCG	Way, 2006
RGC3	45	448	F:CCTGTGTCCTTTAGATATTGGGCA R:TCGCTCAGAAGTTGTTCAATGATGG	Way, 2006
RGC5	55	~400	F:CGTACTTCTTCAGCGAGGCGGA R:ACGTCAAGACCACCAACATCC	Way, 2006

 TABLE 1

 Primer sequences and PCR annealing conditions used to amplify target genes

^z Annealing temperature for PCR amplification

PCR Amplification

PCR amplification of each gene was performed in a final volume of 25 μ L. PCR-reaction mixture contained 0.2 U *Taq* DNA polymerase, 1X PCR buffer, 3.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 0.4 μ M of each primer, 10 ng DNA template and milli-Q of water added up to 25 μ L. The PCR reactions were performed using a Biometra T-Professional thermocycler (Goettingen, Germany) and programmed as follows: 95 °C for 3 min; 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 45-55 °C (depending on Tm of primers) for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min; and an additional elongation period of 10 min at 72 °C.

DNA Sequence Analysis

Amplified products were separated on 2.0% agarose gel and visualised under UV light. PCR products were purified using a Gel

Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany). DNA sequencing was performed using 3730 x 1 DNA analyser (Applied Biosystems ABI, USA) by NextGene Company (Selangor, Malaysia). The identity of chitinase, beta-1,3-glucanase and RGCs was analysed by comparison of DNA and amino acid sequences with the GenBank database using BLASTX and BLASTP (Altschul et al., 1990) algorithms. Multiple sequence alignment with Clustal-X (Thompson et al., 1997) was then conducted along with three RGCs (RGC1, 2 and 3), five R genes previously reported in other plant species (tobacco N; Arabidopsis RPM1; flax M; rice XA1 and potato RGA2) and one Musa AAB resistance gene analogue (banana MRGL2). The same NBS-encoding R genes or RGAs were used for protein phylogenetic analysis. RGC5 was aligned using Clustal-X along with serine/threonine kinase genes of other plants (muskmelon PTH-2; potato Pto-like; tomato Pto and Fen). The construction of a neighbour-joining tree (Saitou & Nei, 1987) was conducted using the MEGA4.0.2 software, and the reliability of tree branches was evaluated using the Bootstrap method with 1000 bootstrap iterations (Felsenstein, 1985).

Preparation of Inoculum and Inoculation

A pure isolate of FocR4 obtained from the fungal culture collection of the Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, was cultured on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and incubated for seven days at 26±2 °C. Spores were harvested with sterile distilled water and adjusted for a stock solution at 4×10^5 spores/mL. One-month old seedlings of three different banana genotypes, 'Rastali wild type', 'Rastali Mutiara' and 'Jari Buaya', were inoculated by soil drenching with 100 mL stock solution of FocR4 for each plant and maintained in the glasshouse. The plants were watered daily and fertilised monthly with NPK (15:15:15, W:W:W). Three sampling intervals were carried out at 0, 2 and 4 weeks after FocR4 inoculation (WAI).

Disease development was evaluated based on foliar symptom at weekly intervals until 6 WAI and expressed as percentage disease severity (% DS) using a scale of 0 to 5; 0 = healthy plant; 1 = lowest leaf with yellowish streaks and brown necrosis absent; 2 = less than 25-50% of the total number of leaves with yellowish streaks and brown necrosis present; 3 = more than 50-75% of the total number of leaves with yellowish streaks and brown necrosis present; 4 = 100% of total number of leaves with yellowish streaks and brown necrosis present; 5 = plant collapsed and died due to severe wilting. DS (%) of *Fusarium* wilt was calculated based on the following formula:

Σ (No. of diseased plants in each	
rating category x Severity rating)	w 100
Total no. of plants assessed x	x 100
Highest scale	

The experiment was conducted in randomised complete block design (RCBD) with four replications, where each replicate comprised 10 seedlings. All data were analysed by ANOVA using SAS 9.0. The mean comparison was performed using least significant difference (LSD) at $p \le 0.05$).

RNA Extraction and RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from the lower stem of various banana genotypes for each sampling interval using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany). The integrity and concentration of total RNA of each genotype were determined using UV-spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, USA) and agarose gel electrophoresis. A two-step RT-PCR amplification was performed. First strand cDNA was constructed using Omniscript Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Germany). Primers targeted to GAPDH, chitinase, beta-1,3-glucanase, RGC1, RGC2 and RGC5 genes were used in this study. Each PCR reaction was performed in a final volume of 25 µL in a PCR- reaction mixture containing 0.2 unit *Taq* DNA polymerase, 1X PCR buffer, 3.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 0.4 μ M of each forward and reverse primers, 50 ng cDNA template and milli-Q water. The RT-PCR amplifications were performed in a Biometra T-Professional thermocycler (Goettingen, Germany) and programmed as follows: 95 °C for 3 min; 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 45-55 °C (depending on Tm of primers) for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min; and an additional elongation period of 10 min at 72 °C. PCR products were separated on 2.0 % agarose gel and visualised under UV light.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PCR Amplification of Targetted Defencerelated Genes and Sequence Analysis

PCR amplification of chitinase, beta-1,3glucanase and RGCs resulted in a single DNA band of approximately expected size in the gel (Table 1). Identical results were produced in three replicate experiments. Sequences of banana RGCs and chitinase were submitted to the GenBank with accession numbers as follows: RGC1 (KC864792; 449 bp), RGC2 (KC864793; 409 bp), RGC3 (KC864794; 443 bp), RGC5 (KF006850; 365 bp) and chitinase (KC864795; 224 bp). However, the sequence of beta-1,3-glucanase (194 bp) was not submitted to the GenBank due to its short sequence.

DNA sequence analysis of PR genes revealed that the amplified chitinase gene sequence showed 99% homology (E value = 2e-109) to *Musa acuminata* class III acidic chitinase (AY525367). Meanwhile, beta-1, 3-glucanase gene sequence was 100% homologous (E value = 1e-95) to *Musa* x paradisiaca beta-1,3-glucanase (EF051254). On the other hand, the nucleotide sequences of the isolated RGC genes were translated into amino acid sequences using the ExPASy Translate Tool (http://web.expasy.org/ translate/) and the amino acid sequences of banana Resistance Gene Candidates (RGCs) were compared with the protein sequences deposited in the GenBank using BLASTP algorithm (Table 2). The RGC1 showed 100% identity with NBS-type resistance protein of Musa sp. (ACK44409.1 and ABY75803.1), followed by more than 99% identity with NBS-LRR-type disease resistance protein of Musa acuminata (ABB96971.1). RGC2 showed 100% identity with NBS-type resistance protein of Musa ABB group (ACK44406.1) and Musa acuminata AAA Group (ABW96279.1). It also showed 100% identity with putative disease resistance protein of Musa balbisiana (CBW30194.1). RGC3 showed 100% identity with NBS resistance protein of Musa ABB Group (ACK44406.1), NBS-LRR disease resistance protein of Musa AAB Group (CAP66295.1) and NBS-LRR class resistance protein of Musa acuminata AAA Group (ABW96279.1). RGC5 showed 100% identity with Pto-like serine/threonine kinase of Capsicum chinense (AAQ82660.1) and putative Pto-like serine/threonine kinase of Solanum sucrense (AAK82707.1).

<i>Musa</i> NBS	GenBank protein accession showing the highest similarity	GenBank ID	Identity ^z	E-value
RGC1	NBS resistance protein (Musa ABB Group)	ACK44409.1	100	5e-100
	Resistance gene candidate NBS-type protein, partial (<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. <i>malaccensis</i>)	ABY75803.1	100	8e-99
	NBS-LRR type disease resistance protein (<i>Musa acuminata</i>)	ABB96971.1	99	8e-100
RGC2	NBS resistance protein (Musa ABB Group)	ACK44406.1	100	2e-85
	NBS-LRR class resistance protein (<i>Musa acuminata</i> AAA Group)	ABW96279.1	100	1e-24
	Putative disease resistance protein (Musa balbisiana)	CBW30194.1	100	1e-81
RGC3	NBS resistance protein (Musa ABB Group)	ACK44406.1	100	4e-93
	NBS-LRR disease resistance protein (<i>Musa</i> AAB Group)	CAP66295.1	100	4e-43
	NBS-LRR class resistance protein (<i>Musa acuminata</i> AAA Group)	ABW96279.1	100	1e-28
RGC5	Pto-like serine/threonine kinase (Capsicum chinense)	AAQ82660.1	100	3e-60
	Putative Pto-like serine/threonine kinase (Solanum sucrense)	AAK82707.1	100	4e-59

Similarity between banana RGC sequences and GenBank accessions carried out using the BLASTP algorithm

^z Amino acid identity

TABLE 2

Sequence Analysis of Resistance Gene Candidates for Conserved Motif

The amino acid sequences of three RGCs (RGC1, 2 and 3) isolated in this investigation were compared with other known R genes from different plants using the Clustal-X multiple alignment programme. As shown in Fig.1, kinase-2 (LVLDDVW), one of the crucial motifs of the NBS domain, was highly conserved among RGC2, RGC3 and the known NBS-LRR R-proteins. However, a little diversity existed in the kinase-2 motif of RGC1 where it was substituted by VLLDDVW. The same trend has been reported in *Musa* RGA-L (Pei *et al.*, 2007). Besides this, kinase-3 motif (GSRIIITTRD)

was present in all RGCs, but more residue substitutions were observed. Furthermore, all RGCs had a conserved tryptophan (W) residue at the end of the kinase-2 domain (Fig.1). Absence or presence of TIR domain is used to classify the NBS-LRR genes into two different subfamilies where subfamily I contains the TIR element while subfamily II lacks it (Meyers et al., 1999; Pan et al., 2000). Several reports have demonstrated that the last residue of the kinase-2 domain can be used to predict with 95 % accuracy whether an RGA belongs to the TIR-NBS or to the non-TIR-NBS family; conservation of tryptophan (W) at this location is tightly linked to non-TIR R genes (RPM1, XA1,

MARKEY SYNW

RGC2QXI RGC3QXI	GXIKASFRTTIWVC FNDGXIKASFRTTIWVC FNDFXTCDIFQVRVWVC	VSQEFSETDLLRNIIEGAGGK VSQEFSETDLLRNIIKGAGGN
RGC3ĝKi	FNDGXIKASFRTTIWVC FNDFXTCDIFQVRVWVC	VSQEFSETDLLRNIIKGAGGN-
	FNDFXTCDIFQVRVWVC	the state of the second s
HRGL2GVGKTFLAGK)		VTQKFSEIELLKQI IDETREN
RGA2 KDEIVKILINNVSDACHLSVLPILGMGGLGKTTLACH	FNDORVTEHFKSKIWIC	VSEDFDEKRLIKAIVESIEGRP-
XA1 METIKOLINSNRSNGITVLPIVGNGGIGKTTLAGL	CEDLVIKSOFNVKIWVY	VSDKFDVVKITROILDHVSNOB-
RPM1 KGKLIGRLLSPEPCRIVVAVVGNGGSGRTTLSAN)	FKSOSVRRHFESYAWVT	ISKSYVIEDVFR7MIKEFYREAL
N DTHLEKIESLLEIGINGVRINGINGNGGVGKTFIARA	PDTLLGRMDSSYOFDGACPI	LKDIKENKRGNHSLONALLSELI
H DERVEVILENLSLDSKSVTXVGLYGXGGIGKTFTAXA	YNKISSKFORCCFVONVRAS	WOECKDGIFILORKLVSEILFM
RGC1FNNE	EENTRDYHVVIMIEVA	NSEILNVVDXQKIIANRLGLP
kinase-2		kinase-3
: :::***:		: ::* :
RGC2HDGEQSRSLLEPLVEGLLRGNEFLLVLDDVWD/	QIWDDLLPNF-LQGG	AAGSRVLVTTRNAGIARO
RGC3HDG3QSRSLLEPLVEGLLRGNEFLLVLDDVkD/	QIWDDLLRNP-LQGG	AAGSRVLVTTRNAGLARG
HR.GL2YREDNTKAELQPMLRDAVRGKSLFLVLDDVWQA	DVW/DLLRNPILQSG	VANERILVTTRYENIARC
RGA2LLGENDLAPLORKLOELLNGKRYLLVLDOVWNF	DOOKWANLRAVLEVG	ASGASVLTTTRLERVGSI
XA1 HEGISNLDTLOCOLEECHKSKKFLIVLDOVNE)	RTCOWKELLAPLEPNDOVE	SSQEEATGNMIILTTRIQSIAKS
RPM1 TQIPAELYSLGYRELVEKLVEYLQSKRYIVVLDOVKT	GLWREISIALPDG	IYGSRVNHTTRDNNVASI
N REKANYNNEEDGREGMASRLRSKKVLIVLDDIDN	DHYLEYLAGDLDWPG	NGSRIIITTRDKHLIER
H SVGF7NDSGGRKMIKERVSKSKILVVLDOVDER	PKFEDIL/SCFXDPDS	GTRFIITSRNQNVLSH
RGC1WNESETERERSTFLARALKERKFVVLLDOVKKE	PQLADVGIPTPSSDN	GCKLILASRSNQVCVE
DCC2 MY		
PGC3 WXSAFUHRMELEPEDGKSLLCXXATMATERED	YUXDZ	
HPGL2 IGSARTHROKI LODDSGVELLCKKAFVSGGPP-DH	สมหาริตก	
PGA2 MGPLOPARLSNLSORDCWLLEWORDERGVOPETIN	NINATORE	
Yal IGTWOSIKIPALYODDIWSLFKUHAFGN-DKHDSST	GLOVI,GRO	
DDMI DVALASTYRETELLYRDEAWULKSWARDASI BACON	WINDTARK	
N NDIIVRUPALDDRESTOLEROPADCVENDER	NEEKISLE	
W INDECKLYPUGSVSBCHSLTLPSKHAP7	DYETTAND	
DCC1 MCDKEDWEWDCLCDWESLDLEDSVLWLSUSANTDH	- 62GWIR	
nous No-one-man-enougebergekontone solution	loganoon-	

Fig.1: Multiple alignment of amino acid sequences of *Musa* RGCs with known NBS class R genes constructed with Clustal X. The R genes used were tobacco N (GenBank accession No. BAD12594.1); Arabidopsis RPM1 (GenBank accession No. NP187360.1), flax M (GenBank accession No. AAB47618.1); rice XA1 (GenBank accession No. BAA25068.1), potato RGA2 (GenBank accession No. AAP45188.2) and banana MRGL2 (GenBank accession No. HQ 199834.1). The conserved motifs identified are shown in the top panel. The presence of motif FXXXXW before kinase-2 in RGCs (2 and 3), Arabidopsis RPM1, potato RGA2, rice XA1 and banana MRGL2 indicates the non-TIR class as described by Pan *et al.* (2000).

RGA2), whereas conservation of aspartic acid (D) or its uncharged derivative aspartate (N) is characteristic of the TIR class of R genes (N and M) (Meyers *et al.*, 1999; Pan *et al.*, 2000; Jeong *et al.*, 2001; Song *et al.*, 2003). In this study, the conservation of tryptophan (W) residue at the end of the kinase-2 domain in RGC1, 2 and 3 evidently confirmed that R genes in banana were non-TIR-NBS-LRR type. This can be further confirmed with the presence of motif FXXXXW before the motif of kinase-2 in RGCs (2 and 3), RPM1, RGA2, MRGL2 and XA1, which also indicates the non-TIR class as described by Pan *et al.* (2000).

On the other hand, multiple-sequence alignment of RGC5 and known serine/ threonine protein kinase genes showed significant homology to the sub-domain IX (LTEKSDVYSFGVVL) of serine/ threonine protein kinase gene particularly motif DxxxxG (Fig.2). However, residue substitutes were present in the deduced amino acid of RGC5 along with the subdomain VII through XI (except sub-domain

subdomain	**	:****	1	::.*	::**	VII	*:	*.*	**	I	* *	:*.	:*:	VIII * :	***	,	۱	۲۱ ***	***
PTH-2 RGC5	DVKT -VKT	TNILI	DEN LDDN	FVAB LVAB	VADI	GLS	KTG KAA	PSL	DOT	HVS HVS	TAV TAV	KGS KGS	FG FG	YLE YLE	PEY	FR	ROO	LTE LTE	KSD
Pto-like	DVKS	ANILI	DES	FMAR	VADI	GLS	KTG.	PEL	DQT	HVS	TAV	KGS	FG	'LE	PEY	FR	RQQ	LTE	KSD
Pto	DVKS	SNIL	DEN	FVPB	ITDE	GLS	KTR	PQL	YQT		TDV	KGI	FG	1 IE	PEY	FI	KGR	LTE	KSD
Fen	DVKC	TNILI	DEN	FVPB	ITDE	GIS	ктм	PEL	DQT	HLS	TIV	RGN	IG	1 I P	PEY	AL	NGQ	LTE	KSD
subdomain	****	IX	***	*:*.			*	X	:		:.	*	*:	1	**	:.	XI .		**:
PTH-2	VYSE	GVVLN	EVL	CTRE	ALNI	VLP	REQ	VNI	AEW	AMT	WOK	KGN	IDI	IIM	DPN	LV	GKV	NPA	SLK
RGC5	VYSE	GVVLE	EVL	CARE	ALNI	ALP	REQ	VNL	AEW	AMO	WER	KG	LE	KII	DPH	LV	GTI	SSA	SLK
Pto-like	VYSF	GVVLE	EVL	CARE	VIDE	SLP	REM	VNL	AEW	AMK	WOK	RGC	LE	DIV	DPN	LV	GKI	RPE	SLR
Pto	VYSF	GVVL	EVL	CARS	AMV	SLP	REM	VNL	AEW.	AVE	SHN	NGC	LB	DIV	DPN	LA	DKI	RPE	SLR
Fen	VYSF	GVVL	EVL	CARF	ALYI	LS	EM	MSS	DD-		TQK	MCC	LE	2IV	DPA	IA	AKI	RPE	SLR
aubdomain	;																		
PTH-2	REGE	TAEK																	
RGC5	KY		-																
Pto-like	REGE	TAVOC																	
Pto	REGE	TAVKO																	
Fen	MFGE	TAMK																	

Fig.2: Multiple alignment of amino acid sequences of RGC 5 with serine/threonine kinase genes of other plants constructed with Clustal X. The serine/threonine kinase genes genes used were PTH-2 (GenBank accession No. AAL83882.1) from muskmelon, Pto-like serine/threonine kinase (GenBank accession No. AAK82715.1) from potato, Pto (GenBank accession No. AAB47421.1) and Fen (GenBank accession No. AAF76314.1) from tomato. Roman numerals identify the serine/threonine kinase subdomains as described by Vallad *et al.* (2001).

IX). This phenomenon was also represented in chestnut rose (Xu & Deng, 2010) and bean (Vallad *et al.*, 2001).

Phylogenetic Analysis of RGCs and Other Cloned R Genes

The deduced amino acid sequences of the three RGCs (RGC 1, 2 and 3) and several known NBS-LRR R-proteins from other plant species and banana plants were pooled for phylogenetic analysis. The resulting neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree (Fig.3) indicated that the known R proteins and RGCs could be classified into two groups: TIR- and non-TIR-NBS-LRR R-proteins. All RGCs isolated in this work were grouped into non-TIR-NBS-LRR type. RGC2 and 3 were significantly homologues and clustered within subclass containing non-TIR-NBS-LRR R-proteins. In addition, the amino acids encoded by these RGCs had the largest similarity with MRGL2 from Musa (AAB), suggesting that these genes are orthologs. As shown in Fig.3, none of the banana RGCs shared homology with the TIR-NBS-LRR R-proteins, namely N from tobacco and M from flax. The result obtained was in agreement with the hypothesis that the non-TIR subfamily was present in both mono- and dicotyledonous taxa (Pan et al., 2000). On the other hand, RGC1 was divided into a separate subclass of non-TIR-NBS-LRR R-proteins from RGC2 and 3 (Fig.3). This indicated the presence of a diverse gene family coding for proteins with NBS-LRR domains in banana as previously reported by Miller



Fig.3: Phylogenetic tree of the deduced amino acid sequences of RGCs based on the neighbour-joining method. The numbers on the branches indicate the percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates). The sources of known R genes were the same as shown in the note of Fig.1.

et al. (2008). The phylogenetic analysis also suggested that RGC1 is probably a new class of non-TIR RGCs in banana. Nevertheless, Pei *et al.* (2007) reported that this phenomenon was dependent on the known NBS-LRR R-proteins included in the analysis as references and requires a more comprehensive study.

Meanwhile, RGC5 was clustered together with PTH-2, a resistance gene homolog of muskmelon and Pto-like serine/ threonine kinase from potato (Fig.4). However, phylogenetic analysis revealed that RGC5 had close relationship with PTH-2 from muskmelon, but not with Pto resistance gene from tomato.

Expression of Defence-associated Genes during Host-Pathogen Interaction

The GAPDH gene, two PR genes and four RGCs were detected in the genome of all four banana genotypes with the exception of beta-1,3-glucanase gene, which was not detected in 'Jari Buaya' (Fig.5).

RT-PCR analysis revealed the differential expression of PR and putative RGC genes exhibited by different banana genotypes over time (Fig.6). RGC3 was not used because the gene sequence showed an exact match with RGC2 through sequence analysis. The expression pattern of defenceassociated genes in 'Rastali wild-type' (A) and 'Jari Buaya' (C) was similar, except for RGC2. As shown in Fig.6, the band intensity of chitinase was high in 'Rastali Mutiara' (B) compared to 'Rastali wild type' (A) and 'Jari Buaya' (C) before (0 WAI) and after FocR4 inoculation (2 and 4 WAI). This could be correlated with the absence of disease symptoms throughout the period of study (Fig.8). The induction and rapid accumulation of SolChi, a gene encoding an acidic isoform of class III chitinase upon infection with Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. lycopersici in a genotype-resistant tomato suggested its putative role in defence against fungal pathogens (Amaral et al., 2012).



Fig.4: Phylogenetic tree of the deduced amino acid sequences of RGC5 with serine/threonine kinase genes of other plants based on the neighbour-joining method. The numbers on the branches indicate the percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates). The sources of serine/threonine kinase genes of other plants were the same as shown in the note of Fig.2.



Fig.5: Detection of defence-associated genes and internal control (GAPDH) in various banana genotypes using 10 ng of genomic DNA. Lane M = 100 bp DNA ladder, 1 = 'Rastali wild type', 2 = 'Rastali Mutiara', 3 = 'Rastali Transformed', 4 = 'Jari Buaya'. The sizes of amplified products after sequencing were as follows, GADPH = 390 bp, chitinase = 224 bp, beta-1,3-glucanase = 194 bp, RGC1 = 449 bp, RGC2 = 409 bp, RGC3 = 443 bp, RGC5 = 365 bp.

Chee-Yong Yang, Sathyapriya, H. and Mui-Yun Wong



Fig.6: Expression of defence-associated genes and internal control (GAPDH) in various banana genotypes after challenge-inoculation with FocR4 at different intervals. Lane M = 100 bp DNA ladder, -ve = without templates, 0-4 = sampling weeks, A = 'Rastali wild type', B = 'Rastali Mutiara', C = 'Jari Buaya'. The sizes of amplified products after sequencing were as follows: GADPH = 124 bp, chitinase = 224 bp, beta-1,3-glucanase = 194 bp, RGC1 = 449 bp, RGC2 = 409 bp, RGC3 = 443 bp, RGC5 = 365 bp.



Fig.7: Disease severity of various banana genotypes according to weeks after challenge-inoculation with FocR4.

Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci. 39 (1) 66 - 72 (2016)



Fig.8: *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* R4-inoculated banana (*Musa acuminata*) plants from three different cultivars under glasshouse conditions at 6 weeks after inoculation (WAI), A: 'Rastali' Wild-type, B: 'Rastali Mutiara', C: 'Jari Buaya'.

Likewise, Malafaia et al. (2013) also have associated the expression of chitinase with resistance of resistant tomato cultivar against Fusarium wilt. Moreover, the upregulation of chitinase in both 'Yueyoukang 1', the resistant-banana cultivar and 'Brazilian', the susceptible-banana cultivar after FocTR4 infection, has been related to plant defence in banana roots (Bai et al., 2013). Hence, the higher and constant expression of chitinase against FocR4 in 'Rastali Mutiara' may possibly contribute to the lower percentage of disease severity and also explain the tolerant nature of this cultivar as claimed by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations (Chai et al., 2004). On the other hand, temporal change of chitinase expression in both 'Rastali wild type' and 'Jari Buaya' as shown in Fig.6 suggested that a defence mechanism has likely been activated in these cultivars upon FocR4 infection; however, it had negative correlation with disease resistance to Fusarium wilt (Fig.7).

Beta-1,3-glucanase only expressed in 'Rastali Mutiara' at 0 WAI and its expression was not detected in 'Rastali wild type' (A) and 'Jari Buaya' (C) during any period of sampling. This was inconsistent with the previous finding reported by Bai *et al.* (2013). According to Ebrahim *et al.* (2011), different clones of the same plant species can exhibit different production of beta-1,3-glucanase after pathogen inoculation. For instance, the activity of beta-1,3glucanase enzyme increased in the tolerant clone of *Hevea brasiliensis* upon infection with *Corynespora cassilicola*, while in the susceptible clone it decreased (Philip *et al.*, 2001). Nonetheless, a more comprehensive study should be done on the expression of beta-1,3-glucanase in 'Rastali Mutiara', a tolerant variety against FocR4, to determine the factors affecting its down-regulation during host-pathogen interaction.

The expression level of RGC2 was high before inoculation in 'Rastali wild-type' (A) and 'Rastali Mutiara' (B). Conversely, its expression was down-regulated after inoculation at 2 WAI followed by slight upregulation at 4 WAI in 'Rastali wild-type' (A). On the other hand, the expression of RGC2 was not detected after inoculation in 'Rastali Mutiara' (B) at 2 and 4 WAI. In 'Jari Buaya' (C), the expression of RGC2 was similar before inoculation and 2 WAI, but was not detected at 4 WAI. The expression of RGC2 in Rastali Mutiara (B) was negatively correlated with disease assessment and recorded a lower disease incidence in 'Rastali Mutiara' compared to 'Rastali wild-type' and 'Jari Buaya' (Fig.7). Resistance gene candidates from different plant species have been previously associated with resistance to phytopathogens. For example, expression of RGA1, RGA2, RGA5 and RGA23 was associated with resistance to Plasmopara viticola in grapevine (Wang et al., 2013). Moreover, Peraza-Echeverria et al. (2007) have reported the association of RGC2 isolated from Musa acuminata subsp. malaccensis with resistance against Fusarium oxysporum. Although RGC2 has not been correlated with resistance to Fusarium wilt disease in this study, its

potential role in disease resistance could be tested in future using new technologies of the post-genomic era, such as RNA interference (RNAi) as proposed by Waterhouse and Helliwell (2003).

Furthermore, RGC1 and RGC5 were not expressed during pathogen-host interaction even though it was detected in the genome of all banana genotypes studied (Fig.5). Previous studies have shown that most of the R genes encode nucleotide binding site (NBS), leucine rich repeat (LRR) motif and serine-threonine protein kinase. However, Meyers et al. (1999) have reported that this type of NBS motif and serine-threonine kinase not only encoded in R genes but also encoded as important genes involved in development and signal transduction. From this result, we propose that RGC1 and RGC5 were not involved in activation of defence response against pathogen attack in Musa acuminata-FocR4 interaction. In future research, we will focus on cloning and sequence analysis of full-length Musa RGC1 and RGC5 in order to acquire a better understanding of its function in banana.

CONCLUSION

In this study, two PR proteins and four RGCs were isolated from different banana genotypes. All RGCs that were characterised at the amino acid level may provide a basis for cloning the full length of diseaseresistant gene where only a couple of cases of R genes in banana have been reported so far. In addition, the expression pattern exhibited by these PR proteins and RGCs during host-pathogen interaction was also demonstrated in this study. Chitinase, with constant expression over time in all 'Rastali' genotypes studied, may play an important role in disease resistance against FocR4. Intense expression of chitinase in 'Rastali Mutiara' and occurrence of very low disease severity (2%) in FocR4 inoculated plants demonstrated that this cultivar can be a good source of resistance.

REFERENCES

- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 215(3), 403-410.
- Amaral, D. O. J., Almeida, C. M. A., Correia, M. T. S., Lima, V. L. M. & Silva, M. V. (2012). Isolation and characterization of chitinase from tomato infected by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici. Journal of Phytopathology*, *160*(11-12), 741-744.
- Aquino, A. P., Bandoles, G. G. & Lim, V. A. (2013). R&D and policy directions for effective control of *Fusarium* wilt disease of Cavendish banana in the Asia-Pacific region. *FFTC Agricultural Policy Articles*. Retrieved from http://ap.fftc. agnet.org/ap_db.php?id=163
- Bai, T. T., Xie, W. B., Zhou, P. P., Wu, Z. L., Xiao, W. C., Zhou, L.,.. & Li, H. P. (2013). Transcriptome and expression profile analysis of highly resistant and susceptible banana roots challenged with *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* Tropical Race 4. *PLos One*, 8(9), e73945. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0073945.
- Cannon, S. B., Zhu, H., Baumgarten, A. M., Spangler, R., May, G., Cook, D. R., & Young, N. D. (2002). Diversity, distribution, and ancient taxonomic relationships within the TIR and non-TIR NBS-LRR resistance gene subfamilies. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 54(4), 548-562.

- Chai, M., Ho, Y. W., Liew, K. W., & Asif, J. M. (2004). *Biotechnology and in vitro mutagenesis* for banana improvement. Proceeding of FAO/ IAEA Research Coordination Meeting (RCM) of banana coordinated research project. Leuven, Belgium.
- Carlier, J., Fouré, E., Gauhl, F., Jones, D. R., LePoivre, P., Mourichon, X., Pasberg-Gauhl, C., & Romero, R. A. (2000). Black leaf streak. In D. R. Jones (Ed.), *Diseases of banana, abaca and enset* (pp. 37-79). Wallingford: CAB International.
- Dangl, J. L., & Jones, J. D. (2001). Plant pathogens and integrated defence responses to infection. *Nature*, 411(6839), 826-833.
- Datta, K., Tu, J., Oliva, N., Ona, I., Velazhahan, R., Mew, T. W., Muthukrishnan, S., & Datta, S.K. (2001). Enhanced resistance to sheath blight by constitutive expression of infection-related rice chitinase in transgenic elite indica rice cultivars. *Plant Science*, 160(3), 405-414.
- De A Campos, M., Silva, M. S., Magalhães, C. P., Ribeiro, S. G., Sarto, R. P. D., Vieira, E. A., & Grossi de Sá, M. F. (2008). Expression in *Escherichia coli*, purification, refolding and antifungal activity of an osmotin from *Solanum nigrum*. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 7, 7.
- De Bolle, M. F. C., David, K. M. M., Rees, S. B., Vanderleyden, J., Cammue, B. P. A., & Broekaert, W. F. (1993). Cloning and characterization of a cDNA encoding an antimicrobial chitin-binding protein from amaranth, *Amaranthus caudatus*. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 22(6), 1187-1190.
- Ebrahim, S., Usha, K., & Singh, B. (2011). Pathogenesis related (PR) proteins in plant defence mechanism. In A. Méndez-Vilas Badajoz (Ed.), Science against microbial pathogens: communicating current research and technological advances (pp. 1043-1054). Spain: Formatex.

- Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution*, 39(4), 783-791.
- Jach, G., Gornhardt, B., Mundy, J., Logemann, J., Pinsdorf, E., Leah, R., Schell, J. & Maas, C. (1995). Enhanced quantitative resistance against fungal disease by combinatorial expression of different antifungal proteins in transgenic tobacco. *The Plant Journal*, 8(1), 97-109.
- Jeong, S. C., Hayes, A. J., Biyashev, R. M., & Saghai, M. M. A. (2001). Diversity and evolution of a non-TIR-NBS sequence family that clusters to a chromosomal 'hotspot' for disease resistance genes in soybean. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 103(2-3), 406-414.
- Joshi, R. K., Mohanty, S., Subudhi, E., & Nayak, S. (2010). Isolation and characterization of NBS-LRR-resistance gene candidates in turmeric (*Curcuma longa cv. surama*). Genetics and Molecular Research, 9(3), 1796-1806.
- Kalpana, K., Maruthasalam, S., Rajesh, T., Poovannan, K., Kumar, K., Kokiladevi, E.,.. ,& Balasubramanian, P. (2006). Engineering sheath blight resistance in elite indica rice cultivars using genes encoding defence proteins. *Plant Science*, 170(2), 203-215.
- Koiwa, H., Kato, H., Nakatsu, T., Oda, J., Yamada, Y., & Sato, F. (1997). Purification and characterization of tobacco pathogenesis-related protein PR-5d, an antifungal thaumatin-like protein. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 38(7), 783-791.
- Lin, W., Anuratha, C. S., Datta, K., Potrykus, I., Muthukrishnan, S. & Datta, S. K. (1995). Genetic engineering of rice for resistance to sheath blight. *Biotechnology*, 13(7), 686-691.
- Lu, H., Lin, J., Chua, A. C. N., Chung, T., Tsai, I., Tzen, J. T. C. & Chou, W. (2012). Cloning and expression of pathogenesis-related protein 4 from jelly fig (*Ficus awkeotsang* Makino)

achenes associated with ribonuclease, chitinase and anti-fungal activities. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, *56*, 1-13.

- Lu, Y., Xu, W. H., Xie, Y. X., Zhang, X., Pu, J. J., Qi, Y. X., & Li, H. P. (2011). Isolation and characterization of nucleotide-binding site and C-terminal leucine-rich repeat-resistance gene candidates in bananas. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 10(4), 3098-3108.
- Malafaia, C. B., Silva, T. D., do Amaral, D. O. J., de Almeida, C. M. A., da Silva, M. L. R. B, dos Santos Corella, M. T., & Silva, M. V. (2013). Evaluation of the resistance and differential induction of chitinases in tomato in response to inoculation with *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici. Plant Physiology and Pathology*, 1, 3.
- Melchers, L. S., Sela-Buurlage, M. B., Vloemans, S. A., Woloshuk, C. P., Van Roekel, J. S. C., Pen, J., Van den Elzen, P. J. M., & Cornelissen, B. J. C. (1993). Extracellular targeting of the vacuolar tobacco protein AP24, chitinase and β-1,3-glucanase in transgenic plants. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 21(4), 586-593.
- Meyers, B. C., Dickerman, A. W., Michelmore, R. W., Sivaramakrishnan, S., Sobral, B. W., & Young, N. D. (1999). Plant disease resistance genes encode members of an ancient and diverse protein family within the nucleotide-binding superfamily. *Plant Journal*, 20(3), 317-332.
- Miller, R. N. G., Bertioli, D. J., Baurens, F. C., Santos, C. M. R., Alves, P. C., Martins, N. F., Togawa, R. C., Souza, M. T., & Pappas, G. J. (2008). Analysis of non-TIR NBS-LRR resistance gene analogs in *Musa acuminata* Colla: Isolation, RFLP marker development, and physical mapping. *BMC Plant Biology*, 8, 15.
- Pan, Q., Wendel, J., & Fluhr, R. (2000). Divergent evolution of plant NBS-LRR resistance gene homologues in dicot and cereal genomes. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 50(3), 203-213.

- Pei, X., Li, S., Jiang, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, Z., & Jia, S. (2007). Isolation, characterization and phylogenetic analysis of the resistance gene analogues (RGAs) in banana (*Musa* spp.). *Plant Science*, 172(6), 1166-1174.
- Peraza-Echeverria, S., James-Kay, A., Canti-Canchè, B. & Castillo-Castro, E. (2007). Structural and phylogenetic analysis of Pto-type disease resistance gene candidates in banana. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*, 278(4), 443-453.
- Philip, S., Joseph, A., Kumar, A., Jacob, C., & Kothandaraman, R. (2001). Detection of β-1,3glucanase isoforms against *Corynespora* leaf disease of rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*). *Indian Journal of Natural Rubber Research*, 14, 1-6.
- Punja, Z. K., & Zhang, Y. Y. (1993). Plant chitinases and their roles in resistance to fungal diseases. *Journal of Nemotology*, 25(4), 526-540.
- Saikia, R., Singh, B. P., Kumar, R. & Arora, D. K. (2005). Detection of pathogenesis-related proteins-chitinase and β-1,3-glucanase in induced pea. *Current Science*, 89(4), 659-663.
- Saitou, N., & Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 4(4), 406-425.
- Sela-Buurlage, M. B., Ponstein, A. S., Bres-Vloemans, S. A., Melchers, L. S., Van den Elzen, P. J. M., & Cornelissen, B. J. C. (1993). Only specific tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) chitinase and β-1,3-glucanase exhibit antifungal activity. *Plant Physiology*, 101(3), 857-863.
- Song, J., Bradeen, J. M., Naess, S. K., Raasch, J. A., Wielgus, S. M., Haberlach, G. T., Liu, J., Kuang, H., Austin-Philips, S., Buell, C. R., & Helgeson, J. P. (2003). Gene RB cloned from *Solanum bulbocastanum* confers broad spectrum resistance to potato late blight (pp. 9128-9133). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 100(16).

- Sun, D., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Mo, Y., & Xie, J. (2009). Cloning and analysis of *Fusarium* wilt resistance gene analogs in "Goldfinger' banana. *Molecular Plant Breeding*, 7(6), 1215-1222.
- Tabei, Y., Kitade, S., Nishizawa, Y., Kikuchi, N., Kayano, T., Hibi, T., & Akutsu, K. (1998). Transgenic cucumber plants harbouring a rice chitinase gene exhibit enhanced resistance to gray mold (*Botrytis cinerea*). *Plant Cell Reports*, 17(2), 159-164.
- Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., & Higgins, D. G. (1997). The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 997(25), 4876-4882.
- Vallad, G., Rivkin, M., Ballejos, C., & Mcclean, P. (2001). Cloning and homology modelling of a Pto-like kinase family of common bean. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 103(6-7), 1046-1058.
- Wang, P., Liu, C., Wang, D., Liang, Hao, K., & Fan, J. (2013). Isolation of resistance gene analogs from grapevine resistant to downy mildew. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 150, 326-333.

- Waterhouse, P. M., & Helliwell, C. A. (2003). Exploring plant genomes by RNA-induced gene silencing. *Nature Reviews*, 4(1), 29-38.
- Way, C. P. (2006). Biochemical and molecular studies of active and passive defence systems in Musa acuminata L. cv. 'Jari Buaya'. (Master's dissertation). Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Xu, Q., & Deng, X. (2010). Cloning and phylogenetic analyses of serine/threonine kinase class defencerelated genes in a wild fruit crop 'chestnut rose'. *BMC Research Notes*, 3, 202.
- Ye, X., & Ng, T. B. (2005). A chitinase with antifungal activity from the mung bean. *Protein Expression* and Purification, 43(2), 230-236.
- Zhu, Q., Maher, E. A., Masoud, S., Dixon, R. A., & Lamb, C. J. (1994). Enhanced protection against fungal attack by constitutive co-expression of chitinase and glucanase genes in transgenic tobacco. *Nature Biotechnology*, 12, 807-812.