



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE EFFICACY OF A COMMERCIAL  
PREBIOTIC, PROBIOTIC AND ORGANIC ACIDS IN IMPROVING THE  
PERFORMANCE OF BROILER CHICKEN**

**MOHAMMAD HOUSHMAND**

**FP 2010 19**

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE EFFICACY OF A COMMERCIAL  
PREBIOTIC, PROBIOTIC AND ORGANIC ACIDS IN IMPROVING THE  
PERFORMANCE OF BROILER CHICKEN**

**By**

**MOHAMMAD HOUSHMAND**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia  
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**October 2010**



## **DEDICATION**

To my late mother

To my father, my brother, my sisters, my wife and children

To my late brother, Haj Habibollah, who was an honourable man and deceased to  
Allah very soon

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment  
of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE EFFICACY OF A COMMERCIAL  
PREBIOTIC, PROBIOTIC AND ORGANIC ACIDS IN IMPROVING THE  
PERFORMANCE OF BROILER CHICKEN**

By

**MOHAMMAD HOUSHMAND**

**October 2010**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Azhar Kasim, PhD**

**Faculty: Agriculture**

Non antibiotics in the form of organic acids, prebiotics, probiotics and synbiotics have the potential to eliminate the usage of antibiotics in poultry feeding. Four experiments were conducted to establish the potencies and usage levels of commercial non antibiotics feed additives in poultry.

Experiment 1 was a  $4 \times 2$  factorial arrangement of four feed additive programs (a basal diet without any feed additive as control, basal diet added with organic acids, basal diet added with prebiotic and basal diet added with probiotic) with National Research Council (NRC) recommended or low (90 % of recommended) levels of energy. A total of 640 day-old male and female broiler chicks were randomly assigned to one of eight treatments, with four littered floor pens of 20 birds. Starter and finisher diets were fed from 1 to 21 and 22 to 42 d of age, respectively. Dietary level of other nutrients, housing and general management practices were same for all treatments. Dietary inclusion of additives had no significant effect on broilers performance, intestinal villus height, crypt depth, gut pH and dietary AME. Birds fed



low energy diets were heavier (2447 g compared to 2390 g) but had inferior FCR (1.830 compared to 1.698) than those fed NRC recommended energy diets. However, protein digestibility was significantly higher for prebiotic (74.9 %) and organic acid (71.4 %) treatments compared to control (67.5 %). NRC recommended energy diets significantly increased AME and protein digestibility compared to low energy diets. No interaction was observed for measured parameters.

In Experiment 2, a total of 288 day old male Cobb chicks were randomly assigned to a  $2 \times 4$  factorial arrangement, with two levels of crude protein (NRC recommended or low) and four feed additive programs which were similar to experiment 1. Lowering dietary protein level, significantly decreased bird performance. Birds fed prebiotic supplemented diets had better FCR than control during 22-42 (1.882 vs 1.984) and 1-42 (1.769 vs 1.839) d of age. Also, supplementation with prebiotic resulted in longer duodenum villi compared to control treatment. All feed additives significantly increased antibody titers against Newcastle disease at 21 d of age.

Experiment 3 was conducted using a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement with two levels of prebiotic (with or without), two levels of crude protein (NRC recommended or low level) and two levels of stocking density (normal or high). Protein level had significant effects on broiler performance. Supplementation with prebiotic had no significant effect on performance and other parameters. Birds reared at high density, had inferior finisher (22-42 d) and overall FCR (1-42 d) compared with birds housed at normal density. Significant interactions between protein levels and stocking density were observed for body weight gain and final body weight. Prebiotic, protein level and stocking density had no significant effect on spleen and bursa ratio weight. Protein level had no significant effect on antibody titer against Newcastle disease. However, normal density resulted in higher antibody titer (2.67) against Newcastle

disease compared to high density (2.50). Stocking density had no significant effect on blood levels of glucose, cholesterol, corticosterone and heterophil to lymphocyte ratio.

In Experiment 4, effects of different feed additives on performance, tibial dyschondroplasia (TD) incidence and tibia characteristics of male broilers fed low calcium diets were studied. A completely randomized design, with 6 treatments and 5 replicates of 5 chicks was used. Experimental treatments were: 1. Basal diet containing recommended level of calcium (1 %) as control treatment, 2. Low calcium (0.67 %) diet without any additive, 3. Low calcium diet added with probiotic, 4. Low calcium diet added with prebiotic, 5. Low calcium diet added with mixture of probiotic and prebiotic, 6. Low calcium diet added with organic acids. Different treatments had no effect on TD incidence. Feeding with low calcium diet negatively influenced not only broiler performance but also tibia characteristics. However, dietary inclusion of all feed additives had beneficial effects on above mentioned parameters. In conclusion, the results of current project indicated that prebiotic and organic acids improved protein digestibility. Supplementation with probiotic, prebiotic and organic acids resulted in better immunity as measured by higher antibody titers against Newcastle disease. When low calcium diets were fed, dietary inclusion of all feed additives had beneficial effects on broilers performance as well tibia characteristic. Under condition of this project, among different feed additives, prebiotic had the most beneficial effects on broilers.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan Doktor Falsafah

**PERBANDINGAN KEBERKESANAN PREBIOTIK, PROBIOTIK DAN ASID ORGANIC KOMERSIL DALAM MENINGKATKAN PRESTASI AYAM PEDAGING**

Oleh

**MOHAMMAD HOUSHMAND**

**Oktober 2010**

**Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Azhar Kasim, PhD**

**Fakulti: Pertanian**

Bahan bukan antibiotik dalam bentuk asid organik, prebiotik, probiotik dan sinbiotik mempunyai potensi mengenepikan penggunaan antibiotik dalam pemakanan poltri. Empat eksperimen telah dijalankan bagi menentukan potensi dan tahap penggunaan bahan aditif makanan komersil tanpa antibiotik untuk poltri.

Experiment 1 adalah dalam bentuk  $4 \times 2$  susunan faktorial, iaitu empat program aditif (makanan asas tanpa aditif makanan sebagai kawalan, makanan asas ditambahkan asid organik, makanan asas ditambah prebiotik dan makanan asas ditambah probiotik), dan paras tenaga yang di syorkan oleh ‘National Research Council’ (NRC) atau pada paras tenaga rendah (90 % dari paras yang disyorkan). Sejumlah 640 ekor anak ayam daging umur sehari bercampur jantina dibahagikan secara rambang kepada setiap lapan rawatan, menggunakan petak bersarap mengandungi 20 ekor ayam setiap satu. Makanan permulaan dan penghabisan diberikan dari umur 1 ke 21 dan 22 ke 42 hari secara respektif. Paras nutrient lain dalam makanan, perumahan dan praktis pengurusan am adalah sama bagi semua rawatan. Aditif dalam makanan tidak menunjukkan kesan bererti keatas prestasi

ayam daging, ketinggian villus usus, kedalam krip, pH usus dan AME makanan. Ayam yang diberi makanan bertenaga rendah mempunyai badan lebih berat (2447 g berbanding dengan 2390 g) tetapi nisbah pertukaran makanannya adalah lebih rendah(1.830 berbanding dengan 1.698) dari ayam yang diberi makanan mengandungi tahap tenaga berdasarkan syor NRC. Disebaliknya, prebiotik (74.9 %) dan asid organic (71.4 %) secara bererti meningkatkan penghadaman protein berbanding dengan kawalan (67.5 %). Makanan berdasarkan tenaga yang disyor NRC menambahkan AME dan penghadaman protein secara bererti jika dibandingkan dengan makanan yang rendah kandungan tenaga. Tidak ada kesan interaksi pada parameter yang diukur.

Pada Experimen 2, sejumlah 288 ekor anak ayam jantan Cobb umur sehari dibahagikan secara rambang kepada rawatan berdasarkan susunan  $2 \times 4$  faktorial, dengan dua paras protein kasar, disyor NRC atau rendah dan empat program aditif makanan sama seperti pada Experimen 1. Paras protein yang rendah dalam makanan menyebabkan penurunan prestasi ayam secara bererti. Penambahan prebiotik menyebabkan penambahbaikan pada kadar pertukaran makanan berbanding dengan kawalan pada umur 22-42 (1.882 vs 1.984) dan 1-42 hari (1.769 vs 1.839). Ayam yang diberi makanan mengandungi prebiotik mempunyai villi duodenum yang lebih tinggi dibandingkan dengan kawalan. Semua aditif makanan secara bererti meningkatkan titer antibodi terhadap penyakit Newcastle pada umur 21 hari.

Experimen 3 dijalankan berpandukan susunan rawatan  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  faktorial dengan dua paras prebiotik (ada dan tiada), dua paras protein kasar, syor NRC atau paras rendah dan dua paras kepadatan kawasan (biasa atau tinggi). Paras protein secara bererti membawa kesan kepada prestasi ayam daging. Penambahan prebiotik tidak membawa kesan bererti pada prestasi dan lain-lain parameter. Ayam pada kepadatan

kawasan tinggi mempamirkan kadar pertukaran makanan inferior pada tahap penghabisan (22-42 hari) dan keseluruhan (1-42 hari) jika dibandingkan dengan ayam pada kepadatan kawasan yang normal. Interaksi bererti antara paras protein dan kepadatan kawasan dilihat pada kenaikan berat badan dan berat badan akhir. Prebiotik, paras protein dan kepadatan kawasan tidak memberi kesan bererti pada nisbah berat limpa dan bursa. Paras protein tidak membawa kesan bererti pada titer antibody terhadap penyakit Newcastle. Di sebaliknya, kepadatan kawasan yang normal menyebabkan titer antibody yang tinggi (2.67) terhadap penyakit Newcastle berbanding dengan kepadatan tinggi (2.50). Kepadatan tinggi tidak membawa kesan bererti pada paras glucose, cholesterol, corticosterone dan nisbah H/L dalam darah.

Pada Experimen 4, kesan jenis aditif makanan ke atas prestasi, insiden tibial dyschondroplasia (TD) dan ciri tibia pada ayam daging jantan yang diberi makanan mengandungi paras kalsium rendah dikaji. Rawatan secara rawak sepenuhnya, berasaskan 6 rawatan dan 5 replikasi mengandungi 5 ekor anak ayam digunakan. Rawatan ialah : 1. Makanan asas mengandungi paras kalsium yang disyorkan (1 %) sebagai kawalan, 2. Kalsium rendah (0.67 %) dalam makanan tanpa aditif, 3. Kalsium rendah dalam makanan mengandungi probiotik, 4. Kalsium rendah dalam makanan mengandungi prebiotic, 5. Kalsium rendah dalam makanan mengandungi campuran probiotik dan prebiotik, 6. Kalsium rendah dalam makanan mengandungi asid organik. Semua rawatan tidak memberi kesan kepada insiden TD. Pemberian makanan berkalsium rendah mempengaruhi prestasi ayam daging dan juga ciri tibia secara negatif. Disebaliknya, penambahan semua jenis aditif dalam makanan memberi kesan baik pada parameter tersebut. Sebagai rumusan, keputusan-keputusan ini menunjukkan prebiotik dan asid-asid organic meningkatkan penghadaman protein. Penambahan probiotik, prebiotik dan asid-asid organic

memberikan immunity lebih baik dengan pengukuran titer antibodi yang tinggi kepada penyakit Newcastle. Pemberian makanan yang mengandungi kalsium rendah dengan penambahan semua aditif makanan memberi kesan bermanfaat pada pencapaian ayam daging dan juga ciri-ciri tibia. Pada situasi projek ini, prebiotik memberi kesan yang paling bermanfaat kepada ayam daging berbanding dengan aditif makanan yang lain.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all, I would like to thank God for so many blessings. I would like to thank all the people who have contributed to this project. The author would like to express his sincerest thanks to his dissertation chair, Associated Professor Azhar Kasim for his support, patience and advices throughout the work. Thanks also extended to the other members of supervisor committee: Professor Zulkifli Idrus, Professor Mohd Hair Bejo and Dr Abdolreza Kamyab for invaluable advice, encouragement and guidance. Without their support, all my works would not be complete. I wish to acknowledge all the help provided by the Poultry Unit and Department of Animal Science staff.

I am very thankful to all of my friends for their help, cooperation and friendship: Mohammad Taghi Ahmadi, Arash Javanmard, Reza Amiri Fahleyani, Alireza Majidi, Abdolreza Solimani Farjam, Eiman Nouraei, Saeid Nikbin, Reza Tohidi, Sayed Mohammad Hashemi, Sayed Reza Hashemi, Mahdi Ebrahimi, Saeid Navid, Mohammad Ramin, Amin Mirshekari, Hedayat Nikkhah, Ali Edalati, Hossin Shams, Morteza Karami, Mokhtar Mohajer, Amir Meimandipour and Dr Latif. Finally, this project would not be possible without support from my family members. Special thanks to my father, my brother and sisters and their families for their constant encouragement, unconditional love and confidence in me throughout the years. My deepest appreciation is also extended to my wife and our lovely children, Hasti and Sobhan, for their patience, understanding and support throughout my Ph. D program. Above all, I thank God, for making all these things possible.

Thank you all.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee met on 22 October 2010 to conduct the final examination of Mohammad Houshmand on his thesis entitled "A Comparative Study on The Efficacy of A Commercial Prebiotic, Probiotic and Organic Acids in Improving the Performance of Broiler Chicken" in accordance with the Universities and University colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

**Ismail bin Idrus, PhD**

Associate Professor

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

**Abdul Razak alimon, PhD**

Professor

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal examiner)

**Mohd Yazid Abd. Manap, PhD**

Professor

Faculty of Food science and Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal examiner)

**Jeffre Dale Firman, PhD**

Professor

Animal Science center

University of Missouri

USA

(External Examiner)

---

**SHAMSUDDIN SULAIMAN**

Professor and Deputy Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Azhar bin Kazim, PhD**

Associated Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Zulkifli Idrus, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Mohd Hair Bejo, PhD**

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Abdolreza Kamyab, PhD**

Missouri Research Centre, USA  
(Member)

---

**HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :



## **DECLARATION**

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

---

**MOHAMMAD HOUSHMAND**

Date: 22 October 2010



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	x
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xvii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xix
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xx
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	1
 <b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Gut microflora	5
2.2 Probiotics	7
2.2.1 Definition	7
2.2.2 Characteristics and effects of probiotics	8
2.2.3 Probiotics microorganisms	9
2.2.4 Nutrient metabolism of common probiotic bacteria	11
2.2.5 Mode of action of probiotics	12
2.2.6 Probiotics and growth stimulation	16
2.3 Prebiotics	18
2.3.1 Definition	18
2.3.2 Prebiotics types	19
2.4 Symbiotics \ Synbiotics	28
2.5 Organic acids	29
2.5.1 Mechanisms of action	31
2.5.2 Organic Acids and nutrients utilization	33
2.5.3 Organic acids in poultry production	33
2.5.4 Other benefits of organic acids	34
2.5.5 Effects of organic acids on digestive system	35
2.5.6 Lack of consistency in organic acid benefits	36
2.6 Rapid growth problems	38
2.6.1 Skeletal (legs) problems	38
2.7 Stocking density	41
2.7.1 Detrimental effects of high stocking density on broilers	41
Feed additives and stocking density	42
 <b>3 EFFECTS OF PREBIOTIC, PROBIOTIC AND ORGANIC ACIDS ON PERFORMANCE, NUTRIENT RETENTION, GUT PH AND</b>	

<b>INTESTINAL MORPHOLOGY OF BROILER CHICKENS FED DIFFERENT LEVELS OF ENERGY</b>	44
3.1    Introduction	44
3.2    Materials and methods	46
3.2.1    Birds, housing and experimental treatments	46
3.2.2    Digesta sampling for pH measurement	49
3.2.3    Small intestine sampling	49
3.2.4    Nutrient retention experiment	50
3.2.5    Feed and digesta samples analysis	50
3.2.6    Nutrients retention calculations	52
3.2.7    Statistical analysis	53
3.3    Results and Discussion	54
3.3.1    Performance	54
3.3.2    AME, Protein Digestibility	59
3.3.3    Villus height and crypt depth	63
3.3.4    Gut pH	66
3.4    Conclusions	68
<b>4 EFFECTS OF PREBIOTIC, PROBIOTIC AND ORGANIC ACIDS ON PERFORMANCE, ANTIBODY RESPONSE AND INTESTINAL MORPHOLOGY OF BROILERS FED DIFFERENT LEVELS OF PROTEIN</b>	69
4.1    Introduction	69
4.2    Materials and methods	71
4.2.1    Birds, housing and experimental treatments	71
4.2.2    Digestive system sampling	73
4.2.3    Villus height and crypt depth	74
4.2.4    Blood sampling	74
4.2.5    Statistical analysis	75
4.3    Results and Discussion	76
4.3.1    Broiler Performance	76
4.3.2    Villus height and crypt depth	81
4.3.3    Weight and length of different parts of small intestine	85
4.3.4    Antibody titers against Newcastle disease	88
4.4    Conclusions	92
<b>5 EFFECTS OF PREBIOTIC ON PERFORMANCE, IMMUNITY AND STRESS INDICATORS OF BROILERS FED DIFFERENT LEVELS OF PROTEIN AND STOCKED AT DIFFERENT DENSITIES</b>	93
5.1    Introduction	93
5.2    Materials and methods	96
5.2.1    Birds, housing and experimental treatments	96
5.2.2    Spleen and bursa Fabricious sampling	99
5.2.3    Blood sampling	99
5.2.4    Corticostreone measurement	100
5.2.5    Statistical analysis	100
5.3    Results and discussion	101
5.3.1    Performance	101

5.3.2	Bursa and spleen weight	108
5.3.3	Antibody titer against Newcastle disease	110
5.3.4	Stress indicators	111
5.4	Conclusions	115
<b>6</b>	<b>EFFECTS OF PREBIOTIC, PROBIOTIC AND ORGANIC ACIDS ON PERFORMANCE, TIBIAL DYSCHONDROPLASIA INCIDENCE AND TIBIA CHARACTERISTICS OF BROILERS FED LOW CALCIUM DIETS</b>	<b>116</b>
6.1	Introduction	116
6.2	Materials and methods	118
6.2.1	Experimental birds and treatments	118
6.2.2	Analysis of feeds	120
6.2.3	Tibia Sampling	120
6.2.4	Tibial dyschondroplasia examination	121
6.2.5	Bone breaking strength	122
6.2.6	Tibia indexes	123
6.2.7	Blood sampling	123
6.2.8	Statistical analysis	124
6.3	Results and Discussions	125
6.3.1	Growth performance	125
6.3.2	TD incidence	129
6.3.3	Tibia characteristics	132
6.3.4	Blood parameters	135
6.4	Conclusions	138
<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS</b>		<b>139</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>144</b>
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>		<b>165</b>
<b>PUBLICATIONS</b>		<b>166</b>