

Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences **1(1)**: 103 - 108 (2007)

## Chromatic Equivalence Class of the Join of Certain Tripartite Graphs

<sup>1,3</sup>G.C. Lau & <sup>2,3</sup>Y.H. Peng

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of I. T. and Quantitative Science  
Universiti Teknologi MARA (Johor Branch)  
Segamat, Johor, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, and

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Mathematical Research  
Universiti Putra Malaysia 43400 UPM Serdang, Malaysia  
E-mail: [yhpeng@fsas.upm.edu.my](mailto:yhpeng@fsas.upm.edu.my)

### ABSTRACT

For a simple graph  $G$ , let  $P(G;\lambda)$  be the chromatic polynomial of  $G$ . Two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  are said to be chromatically equivalent, denoted  $G \sim H$  if  $P(G;\lambda) = P(H;\lambda)$ . A graph  $G$  is said to be chromatically unique, if  $H \sim G$  implies that  $H \cong G$ . Chia [4] determined the chromatic equivalence class of the graph consisting of the join of  $p$  copies of the path each of length 3. In this paper, we determined the chromatic equivalence class of the graph consisting of the join of  $p$  copies of the complete tripartite graph  $K_{1,2,3}$ .  
MSC: 05C15;05C60

**Keywords:** Tripartite graphs; Chromatic polynomial; Chromatic equivalence class

### INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected, simple and loopless. For a graph  $G$ , we denote by  $P(G;\lambda)$  (or  $P(G)$ ), the chromatic polynomial of  $G$ . Two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  are said to be *chromatically equivalent*, or  *$\chi$ -equivalent*, denoted  $G \sim H$  if  $P(G) = P(H)$ . It is clear that the relation " $\sim$ " is an equivalence relation on the family of graphs. We denote by  $[G]$  the equivalence class determined by  $G$  under " $\sim$ ". A graph  $G$  is said to be *chromatically unique*, or  *$\chi$ -unique*, if  $[G] = \{G\}$ , i.e.,  $H \sim G$  implies that  $H \cong G$ . Many families of  *$\chi$ -unique* graphs are known (see [8, 9]), relatively fewer results concerning the chromatic equivalence class of graphs are known (see [2, 3, 4]). In this paper, our main purpose is to determine the chromatic equivalence class of the graph consisting of the join of  $p$  copies of the complete tripartite graph  $K_{1,2,3}$ .

In what follows, we let  $K_n$  denote the complete graph on  $n$  vertices,  $K_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t}$  the complete  $t$ -partite graph having  $n_i$  vertices in the  $i$ -th partite set,  $P_n$  and  $C_n$  the path and cycle on  $n$  vertices, respectively and  $\chi(G)$  the chromatic number of  $G$ . Let  $W_n$  denote the wheel of order  $n$  and  $U_n$  the graph obtained from  $W_n$  by deleting a spoke of  $W_n$ . Also let  $n(A, G)$  denote the number of subgraph  $A$  in  $G$  and  $i(A, G)$  the number of induced subgraph  $A$  in  $G$ .

The join of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , denoted  $G + H$ , is the graph obtained from the union of  $G$  and  $H$  by joining every vertex of  $G$  to every vertex of  $H$ .

Let  $F$  be a graph and let  $G = F + F + \dots + F$  or  $pF$  denote the join of  $p$  ( $\geq 2$ ) copies of  $F$ . We wish to determine  $[G]$ . Let  $J_p(F)$  denote the set of all graphs  $H$  which are of the form  $H = H_1 + H_2 + \dots + H_p$ , where  $H_i \in [F]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

In [4], Chia posed the following problem

**Problem:** *What are those graphs  $F$  for which  $J_p(F) = [G]$ ?*

and solve the problem for the case  $F = P_4$ . In this paper, by making very minor modification to the technique used in [4], we solve the above problem for the case  $F = K_{1,2,3}$ .

### PRELIMINARY RESULTS AND NOTATIONS

A spanning subgraph is called a *clique cover* if its connected components are complete graphs. Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices. Let  $s_k(G)$  denote the number of clique cover of  $G$  with  $k$  connected components,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If the chromatic polynomial of  $G$  is

$$P(G, \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(\overline{G})(\lambda)_k \text{ where } (\lambda)_k = \lambda(\lambda-1)\cdots(\lambda-k+1), \text{ then the polynomial}$$

$$\sigma(G, k) = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(\overline{G})x^k \text{ is called the } \sigma\text{-polynomial of } G \text{ (see Brenti(1992)). It is easy}$$

to see that  $\sigma(G, x) = x^n$  if and only if  $G = K_n$  since  $s_k(G) = 0$  for  $k < \chi(G) = n$ . Also note that  $s_n(G) = 1$  and  $s_{n-1}(G) = m$  if  $G$  has  $m$  edges. Clearly,  $P(G, \lambda) = P(H, \lambda)$  if and only if  $\sigma(G, x) = \sigma(H, x)$  and  $s_k(G) = s_k(H)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

If  $\sigma(G, x) = xf(x)$  for some irreducible polynomial  $f(x)$  over the rational number field, then  $\sigma(G, x)$  is said to be irreducible.

*Lemma 2.1.* (Farrell (1980)) Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two graphs such that  $G \sim H$ . Then  $G$  and  $H$  have the same number of vertices, edges and triangles. If both  $G$  and  $H$  has no  $K_4$  as subgraph, then  $i(C_4, G) = i(C_4, H)$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & -i(C_5, G) + i(K_{2,3}, G) + 2i(U_5, G) + 3i(W_5, G) \\ & = -i(C_5, H) + i(K_{2,3}, H) + 2i(U_5, H) + 3i(W_5, H). \end{aligned}$$

*Lemma 2.2.* (Brenti (1992)) Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two disjoint graphs. Then

$$\sigma(G + H, x) = \sigma(G, x)\sigma(H, x).$$

In particular,

$$\sigma(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t}, x) = \prod_{i=1}^t \sigma(O_{n_i}, x).$$

*Lemma 2.3.* (Liu (1992)) Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Assume that  $G$  is not the complete graph  $K_n$ . Then

$$s_{n-2}(G) \leq \binom{m-1}{2}$$

and equality holds if and only if  $G$  is the path  $P_{m+1}$ .

### A CHROMATIC EQUIVALENCE CLASS

We first have the following lemma which follows readily from Lemma 2.1.

*Lemma 3.1.*  $[K_{1,2,3}] = \{K_{1,2,3}, K_{2,2,2} - e\}$  where  $e$  is an edge of  $K_{2,2,2}$ .

We now have our main theorem as follow.

*Theorem 3.1.* Let  $G = K_{1,2,3} + K_{1,2,3} + \dots + K_{1,2,3}$  be the join of  $p$  copies of  $K_{1,2,3}$ . Then  $[G] = J_p(K_{1,2,3})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $H \sim G$ , we will show that  $H \in J_p(K_{1,2,3})$ . Since  $P(G) = P(H)$  implies that  $\sigma(G) = \sigma(H)$ , it is more convenient to look at  $\sigma(G)$  and  $\sigma(H)$ . First note that  $\sigma(K_{1,3}) = x(x^3 + 3x^2 + x) = \sigma(K_{2,2} - e)$  with  $[K_{1,3}] = \{K_{1,3}, K_{2,2} - e\}$ , and  $\sigma(K_{1,2,3}) = x(x^2 + x)(x^3 + 3x^2 + x) = P(K_{2,2,2} - e)$ . So,  $\sigma(G) = [x(x^2 + x)(x^3 + 3x^2 + x)]^p = [(x^2 + x)(x^4 + 3x^3 + x^2)]^p$ , having  $p$  irreducible factors of  $x$ ,  $x^2 + x$  and  $x^3 + 3x^2 + x$  respectively.

Let  $n$  and  $m$  denote the number of vertices and edges in  $H$  respectively. Then  $n = 6p$  and  $m = 36 \binom{p}{2} + 11p = 18p^2 - 7p$  so that  $\sigma(H) = \sigma(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{6p} s_i(\bar{G})x^i$ . Moreover,  $H$  is uniquely  $3p$ -colorable as  $G$  is so.

Let  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{3p}$  be the color classes of the unique  $3p$ -coloring of  $H$ . Let  $V_{ij}$  denote the subgraph induced by  $V_i \cup V_j, i \neq j$ . Call  $V_{ij}$  a 2-color subgraph of  $H$ .

*Case (i):* Every  $V_i$  has exactly two vertices.

In this case,  $V_{ij}$  is either a path  $P_4$  or else a cycle  $C_4$  because, by Theorem 12.16 of [6],  $V_{ij}$  is connected for  $i \neq j$ . Note that the number of 2-color subgraphs in  $H$  is  $\binom{3p}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(9p^2 - 5p) + p$ . By looking at the number of edges in  $H$ , we see that exactly  $p$

of the 2-color subgraphs  $V_{ij}$  are  $P_4$  and the rest of the 2-color subgraphs are  $C_4$ . This means that  $\overline{H}$  has only  $P_4$  and  $K_2$  as subgraph so that  $H = s\overline{P_4} + r\overline{K_2}$  ( $s, r \geq 0$ ). Consequently,

$$\sigma(H) = [(x^4 + 3x^3 + x^2)^s (x^2 + x)^r] = [x(x^2 + x)(x^3 + 3x^2 + x)]^p = \sigma(G).$$

Obviously,  $s, r \geq 1$  so that  $\sigma(H) = (x^4 + 3x^3 + x^2)(x^2 + x)\sigma(H_1)$  and that by Lemma 3.1,  $H = (K_{2,2,2} - e) + H_1$  for some graph  $H_1$ . Since  $\sigma(H_1) = [x(x^2 + x)(x^3 + 3x^2 + x)]^{p-1}$ , by induction on  $p$ , we have  $H_1 \in J_{p-1}(K_{1,2,3})$ . This implies that  $H \in J_p(K_{1,2,3})$ .

*Case (ii):* Not every  $V_i$  has exactly two vertices.

Then there is a  $j$  such that  $|V_j| = 1$ . Without loss of generality, let  $|V_j| = i$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r, r \geq 1$ . Then  $H = K_r + H_*$  for some graph  $H_*$ . Let  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_t$  be the connected components of  $\overline{H_*}$ . Then  $H = K_r + \overline{F_1} + \dots + \overline{F_t}$  with  $H_* = \overline{F_1} + \dots + \overline{F_t}$ .

If for some  $i, F_i = K_3$ , then  $\overline{H}$  contains a subgraph  $K_1 \cup K_3$ . This means that  $H = K_{1,3} + H'$  for some graph  $H'$  and so

$$\sigma(H) = (x^4 + 3x^3 + x^2)\sigma(H') = [x(x^2 + x)(x^3 + 3x^2 + x)]^p = \sigma(G).$$

Clearly,  $\sigma(H')$  must contain a factor  $(x^2 + x)$  so that  $\sigma(H) = (x^4 + 3x^3 + x^2)\sigma(H'')\sigma(H_1)$  (where  $\sigma(H'') = x^2 + x$ ) for some graph  $H_1$ . Obviously,  $\overline{H''} = K_2$ . Hence,  $H = K_{1,2,3} + H_1$  with  $\sigma(H_1) = [x(x^2 + x)(x^3 + 3x^2 + x)]^{p-1}$ . Again, by induction on  $p$ , we have  $H \in J_p(K_{1,2,3})$ .

If for some  $i, F_i = K_2$ , then  $H = K_2 + H'$ . By the similar argument as above,  $\sigma(H')$  must contain a factor  $(x^3 + 3x^2 + x)$  so that  $H = K_{1,2,3} + H_1$  or  $(K_{2,2,2} - e) + H_1$  with  $\sigma(H_1) = [x(x^2 + x)(x^3 + 3x^2 + x)]^{p-1}$ . Again, by induction on  $p$ , we have  $H \in J_p(K_{1,2,3})$ .

If for some  $i, F_i = P_4 (=K_{2,2} - e)$ , then  $H = P_4 + H'$ . By the similar argument as above,  $\sigma(H')$  must contain a factor  $(x^2 + x)$  so that  $H = (K_{2,2,2} - e) + H_1$  with  $\sigma(H_1) = [x(x^2 + x)(x^3 + 3x^2 + x)]^{p-1}$ . Again, by induction on  $p$ , we have  $H \in J_p(K_{1,2,3})$ .

So, assume that  $F_i$  is not  $K_2, K_3$  or  $P_4$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Let  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  denote the number of vertices and edges in  $F_i$  respectively. Then  $\sum_{i=1}^t m_i = 4p$ , the number of edges in  $\overline{H}$ .

If  $n_i \leq 3$ , then  $F_i = P_3$ . However, this is impossible because  $\sigma(G)$  does not contain

$(x^3 + 2x^2)$  as a factor. Hence,  $n_i \geq 4$ . This implies that  $6p = |V(G)| = r + \sum_{i=1}^t n_i \geq r + 4t$  so that  $t < 3p/2$  because  $r \geq 1$ .

Since  $H = K_r + H_*$ , we have  $\sigma(H) = x^r \cdot \sigma(H_*)$ . It follows that  $s_{n-2}(\overline{H}) = s_{n_*-2}(\overline{H_*})$  where  $n_*$  is the number of vertices in  $H_*$ . Note that

$$\sigma(H_*) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_*} s_j(\overline{H_*})x^j = \prod_{i=1}^t \sigma(\overline{F_i})$$

where

$$\sigma(\overline{F_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} s_k(F_i)x^k = x^{m_i} + m_i x^{m_i-1} + s_{n_i-2}(F_i)x^{n_i-2} + \dots,$$

$i = 1, \dots, t$ .

By multiplying all the terms in  $\prod_{i=1}^t \sigma(\overline{F_i})$  and by equating the coefficient of  $x^{n_*-2}$ , we have by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n_*-2}(\overline{H_*}) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq t} m_i m_j + \sum_{i=1}^t s_{n_i-2}(F_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} m_i m_j + \sum_{i=1}^t \binom{m_i - 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, 
$$\begin{aligned} s_{n_*-2}(\overline{H_*}) &\leq \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq t} 2m_i m_j + \sum_{i=1}^t (m_i^2 - 3m_i + 2)}{2} \\ &= \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^t m_i\right)^2 - 3\sum_{i=1}^t m_i + 2t}{2} \\ &= \frac{16p^2 - 12p + 2t}{2} \\ &< \frac{16p^2 - 9p}{2} \end{aligned}$$

because  $t < 3p/2$ . However, this is a contradiction because  $s_{n-2}(\overline{H}) = s_{6p-2}(\overline{G}) =$

$$4p + 16 \binom{p}{2} = (16p^2 - 8p) / 2 > s_{n_*-2}(\overline{H_*}).$$

This completes the proof.

**Remark:** Note that for even  $p$ , our main result is a special case of Theorem 5.1 in (Ho, (2004)).

G.C. Lau & Y.H. Peng

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- F. BRENTI, Expansions of chromatic polynomial and log-concavity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 332 (1992) 729-756.
- C.Y. CHAO, On tree of polygons, *Arch. Math.* 45 (1985) 180-185.
- G.L. CHIA, On the chromatic equivalence class of a family of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 162 (1996) 285-289.
- G.L. CHIA, On the chromatic equivalence class of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 178 (1998) 15-23.
- E.J. FARRELL, On chromatic coefficients, *Discrete Math.* 29 (1980) 257-264.
- F. HARARY, *Graph Theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969).
- C.K. HO, On graphs determined by their chromatic polynomials, Ph.D. thesis (2004) University Malaya, Malaysia.
- K.M. KOH AND K.L. TEO, The search for chromatically unique graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 6 (1990) 259-285.
- K.M. KOH AND K.L. TEO, The search for chromatically unique graphs - II, *Discrete Math.* 172 (1997) 59-78.
- R.Y. LIU, Chromatic uniqueness of  $K_n - E(kP_s - rPt)$ , *J. System Sci. Math. Sci.* 12 (1992) 207-214 (Chinese, English Summary).