

Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences 5(1): 45-60 (2011)

Λ_r -Sets and Separation Axioms

¹M. J. Jeyanthi, ²Adem Kilicman, ³S. Pious Missier and ⁴P.Thangavelu

¹*Aditanar College of Arts and Science,
Tiruchendur-628 216 (T.N.), India.*

²*Institute of Mathematical Research and
Department of Mathematics, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia*

³*Post Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics,
V.O.Chidambaram College, Thoothukudi-628 008 (T.N.), India*

⁴*Department of Mathematics, Aditanar College of Arts and Science,
Tiruchendur-628 216 (T.N.), India*

*E-mail: ¹jeyanthimanickaraj@gmail.com, ²akilicman@putra.upm.edu.my,
³smissier@gmail.com and ⁴ptvelu12@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT

Separation axioms are among the most common and important and interesting concepts in topology as well as in bitopologies. In this paper, we introduce Λ_r -sets and some weak separation axioms using Λ_r -open sets and Λ_r -closure operator.

Keywords: Λ_r -sets, Λ_r -open sets and $\Lambda_r - T_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2$ spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54D10

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The separation axioms are important and interesting concepts among the topological spaces. Most of the definitions appeared simple, however the topological structure and properties might be complex and not always that easy to comprehend. For example, in digital topology, several spaces that fails to satisfy to be T_1 which are important in the study of the geometric and topological properties of digital images. Caldas and Dontchev (2000) characterized the concepts of Λ_s -sets and \mathbb{V}_s -sets in topological spaces. By using the regularly open and regularly closed sets these structures can also be extended to the bitopological spaces. For more details on regularly

pairwise open and closed sets, see for example, Fawakhreh and Kilicman (2002), Kilicman and Salleh (2007a), Kilicman and Salleh (2007b) and Kilicman and Salleh (2008). The purpose of this paper is to continue the research along these directions but this time by utilizing regularly-open sets. For details, see Fawakhreh and Kilicman (2004), Fawakhreh and Kilicman (2006) and Kilicman and Salleh (2009). Caldas and Jafari (2004) introduced the notions of $\Lambda_\delta-T_0$, $\Lambda_\delta-T_1$, and $\Lambda_\delta-T_2$ topological spaces. In this paper, we introduce some Λ_r -separation axioms in topological spaces. To define and investigate the axioms, we use the Λ_r -open sets. We call these axioms as Λ_r-T_0 , Λ_r-T_1 , and Λ_r-T_2 .

Throughout the paper (X, τ) (or simply X) will always denote a topological space. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and S be a subset of X . Then S is called regularly-open if $S = \text{Int}(\text{cl } S)$. The complement $S^c (= X - S)$ of a regularly-open set S is called the regularly-closed set. The family of all regularly-open sets (resp. regularly-closed sets) will be denoted by $\text{RO}(X, \tau)$ (resp. $\text{RC}(X, \tau)$). A subset S of X is called Λ -set if it is the intersection of open sets containing S . The complement of Λ -set is called the V -set.

Λ_r -SETS AND V_r -SETS

Definition 2.1 Let S be a subset of a topological space (X, τ) . We define the sets $\Lambda_r(S)$ and $V_r(S)$ as follows:

$$\Lambda_r(S) = \bigcap \{G / G \in \text{RO}(X, \tau) \text{ and } S \subseteq G\}$$

$$V_r(S) = \bigcup \{F / F \in \text{RC}(X, \tau) \text{ and } S \supseteq F\}$$

Lemma 2.2 For subsets S, Q and $S_i, i \in I$, of a topological space (X, τ) , the following properties hold:

- (1) $S \subseteq \Lambda_r(S)$
- (2) $Q \subseteq S \Rightarrow \Lambda_r(Q) \subseteq \Lambda_r(S)$
- (3) $\Lambda_r(\Lambda_r(S)) = \Lambda_r(S)$
- (4) If $S \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$, then $S = \Lambda_r(S)$
- (5) $\Lambda_r(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i) \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_r(S_i)$

- (6) $\Lambda_r(\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} \Lambda_r(S_i)$
 (7) $\Lambda_r(S^c) = (V_r(S))^c$

Proof.

- (1) Let $x \notin \Lambda_r(S)$. Then there exists a regularly-open set G such that $S \subseteq G$ and $x \notin G$. Hence $x \notin S$ and so $S \subseteq \Lambda_r(S)$.
- (2) Let $x \notin \Lambda_r(S)$. Then there exists a regularly-open set G such that $S \subseteq G$ and $x \notin G$. By our assumption $Q \subseteq S$, $Q \subseteq G$ and hence $x \notin \Lambda_r(Q)$. This shows (2).
- (3) From (1) and (2), $\Lambda_r(S) \subseteq \Lambda_r(\Lambda_r(S))$. If $x \notin \Lambda_r(S)$, then there exists a regularly-open set G such that $S \subseteq G$ and $x \notin G$. From the definition of $\Lambda_r(S)$, $\Lambda_r(S) \subseteq G$ and hence $x \notin \Lambda_r(\Lambda_r(S))$.
 Therefore $\Lambda_r(\Lambda_r(S)) \subseteq \Lambda_r(S)$. This proves (3).
- (4) It directly follows from the definition of $\Lambda_r(S)$ and lemma 2.2(1).
- (5) From (2), $\Lambda_r(S_i) \subseteq \Lambda_r(S)$ for each $i \in I$ where $S = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$ and hence

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_r(S_i) \subseteq \Lambda_r(S) = \Lambda_r(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i).$$
- (6) From (2), $\Lambda_r(S) \subseteq \Lambda_r(S_i)$ for each $i \in I$ where $S = \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$ and hence

$$\Lambda_r(S) = \Lambda_r(\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} \Lambda_r(S_i).$$
- (7) Let $x \in \Lambda_r(S^c)$. Then for every regularly-open set G containing S^c , $x \in G$. Hence $x \notin G^c$, for every regularly-closed set $G^c \subseteq S$.
 Therefore $x \notin V_r(S)$ and hence $x \in (V_r(S))^c$.
 Similarly, $(V_r(S))^c \subseteq \Lambda_r(S^c)$. Hence (7) is proved.

By using the above lemma, we can easily verify the next result.

Lemma 2.3 For subsets S, Q and $S_i, i \in I$, of a topological space (X, τ) , the following properties hold:

- (1) $V_r(S) \subseteq S$
 (2) $Q \subseteq S \Rightarrow V_r(Q) \subseteq V_r(S)$
 (3) $V_r(V_r(S)) = V_r(S)$
 (4) If $S \in RC(X, \tau)$, then $S = V_r(S)$

$$(5) \quad V_r(\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} V_r(S_i)$$

$$(6) \quad V_r(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i) \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} V_r(S_i)$$

In general, we have

$\Lambda_r(S \cap Q) \neq \Lambda_r(S) \cap \Lambda_r(Q)$ and $\Lambda_r(S \cap Q) \neq \Lambda_r(S) \cup \Lambda_r(Q)$ as the following examples show.

Example 2.4

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

Then $RO(X, \tau) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$. Take $S = \{b\}$ and $Q = \{c\}$.

Then $\Lambda_r(S) = \{b, c\}$, $\Lambda_r(Q) = \{b, c\}$, $\Lambda_r(S) \cap \Lambda_r(Q) = \{b, c\}$ but $\Lambda_r(S \cap Q) = \emptyset$.

Example 2.5

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$.

Then $RO(X, \tau) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$. Take $S = \{a\}$ and $Q = \{b\}$.

Then $\Lambda_r(S) = \{a\}$, $\Lambda_r(Q) = \{b\}$, $\Lambda_r(S) \cup \Lambda_r(Q) = \{a, b\}$ but $\Lambda_r(S \cup Q) = X$.

Definition 2.6 A subset S of a space (X, τ) is called a

- (1) regular- Λ -set, briefly Λ_r -set if $S = \Lambda_r(S)$
- (2) regular- V -set, briefly V_r -set if $S = V_r(S)$

The set of all Λ_r -sets (resp. V_r -sets) is denoted by $\Lambda_r(X, \tau)$ (resp. $V_r(X, \tau)$).

Remark 2.7 Clearly regular- Λ -sets are Λ -sets and regular- V -sets are V -sets. Observe that a subset S is a regular- Λ -set if S^c is a regular- V -set. Also observe that every regular- Λ -set is a regularly-open set.

Proposition 2.8 For a space (X, τ) , the following statements hold:

- (1) ϕ and X are Λ_r -sets and V_r -sets
- (2) Every union of V_r -sets is a V_r -set
- (3) Every intersection of Λ_r -sets is a Λ_r -set.

Proof.

- (1) It is obvious.
- (2) Let $\{S_i / i \in I\}$ be a family of V_r -sets in (X, τ) . Then $S_i = V_r(S_i)$ for each $i \in I$. Let $S = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$. Then $V_r(S) = V_r(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i) \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} V_r(S_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i = S$. Also $V_r(S) \subseteq S$ and hence S is a V_r -set.
- (3) By using lemma 2.2(6) and 2.2(1), we get (3).

The following example shows that union of Λ_r -sets need not be a Λ_r -set.

Example 2.9

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$.

Then $RO(X, \tau) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$ and $\Lambda_r(X, \tau) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$. Here $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ are Λ_r -sets but $\{a\} \cup \{b\} = \{a, b\}$ is not a Λ_r -set.

Similar to the previous case the following example shows that intersection of V_r -sets need not be a V_r -set.

Example 2.10

Let X and τ be defined as in example 2.9.

Then $V_r(X, \tau) = \{X, \phi, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$. Here $\{b, c\}$ and $\{a, c\}$ are V_r -sets but $\{b, c\} \cap \{a, c\} = \{c\}$ is not a V_r -set.

In order to achieve our purpose, we recall the following definition (Jain (1980)).

Definition 2.11 Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then the regular-closure of A , denoted by $\text{rcl}(A)$ is defined by

$$\text{rcl}(A) = \bigcap \{F / F \in \text{RC}(X, \tau) \text{ and } F \supset A\}.$$

Lemma 2.12 Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $x \in X$. Then $y \in \Lambda_r(\{x\})$ if $x \in \text{rcl}(\{y\})$.

Proof.

Suppose $y \in \Lambda_r(\{x\})$. Then for every regularly-open set $G \supseteq \{x\}$, $y \in G$. If $x \notin \text{rcl}(\{y\})$, then $\exists H \in \text{RC}(X, \tau)$ such that $\{y\} \subset H$ and $x \notin H$. That implies $x \in X - H$, $X - H \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ and $y \notin X - H$. Take $X - H = G$.

Then $G \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$, $\{x\} \subseteq G$ and $y \notin G$. By this contradiction, we get $x \in \text{rcl}(\{y\})$. Conversely, suppose $x \in \text{rcl}(\{y\})$. Then for every regularly-closed set $G \supseteq \{y\}$, $x \in G$. If $y \notin \Lambda_r(\{x\})$, then $\exists H \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ such that $\{x\} \subseteq H$ and $y \notin H$. Take $X - H = G$. Then $G \in \text{RC}(X, \tau)$, $y \in G$ and $x \notin G$. So there exists a regularly-closed set $G \supseteq \{y\}$ such that $x \notin G$. By this contradiction, we get $y \in \Lambda_r(\{x\})$.

Theorem 2.13 The following statements are equivalent for any points x and y in a topological space (X, τ)

- (1) $\Lambda_r(\{x\}) \neq \Lambda_r(\{y\})$
- (2) $\text{rcl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{rcl}(\{y\})$

Proof.

(1) \rightarrow (2): Suppose $\Lambda_r(\{x\}) \neq \Lambda_r(\{y\})$. Then $\exists z \in X$ such that $z \in \Lambda_r(\{x\})$ and $z \notin \Lambda_r(\{y\})$. Therefore $x \in \text{rcl}(\{z\})$ and $y \notin \text{rcl}(\{z\})$. Hence $\{x\} \cap \text{rcl}(\{z\}) \neq \emptyset$ and $\{y\} \cap \text{rcl}(\{z\}) = \emptyset$. Since $x \in \text{rcl}(\{z\})$, $\text{rcl}(\{x\}) \subset \text{rcl}(\{z\})$ and hence $\{y\} \cap \text{rcl}(\{x\}) = \emptyset$. Thus $\text{rcl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{rcl}(\{y\})$.

(2) \rightarrow (1): Suppose $\text{rcl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{rcl}(\{y\})$. Then $\exists z \in X$ such that $z \in \text{rcl}(\{x\})$ and $z \notin \text{rcl}(\{y\})$. Therefore $x \in \Lambda_r(\{z\})$ and $y \notin \Lambda_r(\{z\})$. So there exists a regularly-open set $G \supseteq \{z\}$ such that $x \in G$ and $y \notin G$. Hence $y \notin \Lambda_r(\{x\})$ and hence $\Lambda_r(\{x\}) \neq \Lambda_r(\{y\})$.

Lemma 2.14 Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$. Then $\Lambda_r(A) = \{x \in X / \text{rcl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$.

Proof.

Let $x \in \Lambda_r(A)$. Since $A \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$, $A = \Lambda_r(A)$. Also $x \in \text{rcl}(\{x\})$ and hence $\text{rcl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, let $x \in X$ such that $\text{rcl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. If $x \notin \Lambda_r(A)$, then there exists $V \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ such that $A \subseteq V$ and $x \notin V$. Let $y \in \text{rcl}(\{x\}) \cap A$. Since $y \in \text{rcl}(\{x\})$, $x \in \Lambda_r(\{y\})$. Therefore for every regularly-open set $G \supseteq \{y\}$ in (X, τ) , $x \in G$. Since $y \in A$ and $A \subseteq V$, $y \in V$ where $V \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$. Hence $x \in V$. By this contradiction, we get $x \in \Lambda_r(A)$.

Recall that a topological space (X, τ) is called a r - R_0 space (Jain (1980)) if for every regularly-open set G , $x \in G \Rightarrow \text{rcl}(\{x\}) \subset G$.

Theorem 2.15 For a topological space (X, τ) , the following properties are equivalent

- (1) (X, τ) is a r - R_0 space
- (2) For any $x \in X$, $\text{rcl}(\{x\}) \subset \Lambda_r(\{x\})$

Proof.

(1) \rightarrow (2): Let $y \notin \Lambda_r(\{x\})$. Then there exists $V \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ such that $V \supseteq \{x\}$, $y \notin V$. Since $x \in V \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$, by (1) $\text{rcl}(\{x\}) \subset V$. Hence $y \notin \text{rcl}(\{x\})$. Therefore $\text{rcl}(\{x\}) \subset \Lambda_r(\{x\})$.

(2) \rightarrow (1): Let $V \in RO(X, \tau)$ and $x \in V$. Suppose $y \in \Lambda_r(\{x\})$. Then for every regularly-open set $G \supseteq \{x\}$, $y \in G$. Hence $y \in V$ and hence $\Lambda_r(\{x\}) \subset V$. By (2), $rcl(\{x\}) \subset V$. Hence (X, τ) is a r - R_0 space.

Result 2.16 If F is regularly-open in (X, τ) and $x \in F$, then $\Lambda_r(\{x\}) \subset F$.

Proof. It directly follows from the definition of $\Lambda_r(\{x\})$.

Λ_r -CLOSED SETS AND ITS PROPERTIES

Definition 3.1

- (1) Let A be a subset of a space (X, τ) . Then A is called a Λ_r -closed set if $A = S \cap C$ where S is a Λ_r -set and C is a closed set.
- (2) The complement of a Λ_r -closed set is called a Λ_r -open set.
- (3) The collection of all Λ_r -open sets in (X, τ) is denoted by $\Lambda_r O(X, \tau)$. The collection of all Λ_r -closed sets in (X, τ) is denoted by $\Lambda_r C(X, \tau)$.
- (4) A point $x \in X$ is called a Λ_r -cluster point of A if for every Λ_r -open set U containing x , $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$.
- (5) The set of all Λ_r -cluster points of A is called the Λ_r -closure of A and is denoted by $\Lambda_r - cl(A)$.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and A, B and A_k where $k \in I$, subsets of X . Then we have the following properties.

Property 3.2 $A \subset \Lambda_r - cl(A)$.

Proof. Let $x \notin \Lambda_r - cl(A)$. Then x is not a Λ_r -cluster point of A . So there exists a Λ_r -open set U containing x such that $A \cap U = \emptyset$ and hence $x \notin A$.

Property 3.3 $\Lambda_r - cl(A) = \bigcap \{F/A \subset F \text{ and } F \text{ is } \Lambda_r \text{-closed}\}$.

Proof. Let $x \notin \Lambda_r - cl(A)$. Then there exists a Λ_r -open set U containing x such that $A \cap U = \emptyset$. Take $F = U^c$. Then F is Λ_r -closed, $A \subset F$ and

$x \notin F$ and hence $x \notin \bigcap \{F/A \subset F \text{ and } F \text{ is } \Lambda_r\text{-closed}\}$. Similarly, $\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A) \subset \bigcap \{F/A \subset F \text{ and } F \text{ is } \Lambda_r\text{-closed}\}$.

Property 3.4 If $A \subset B$, then $\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A) \subset \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(B)$.

Proof. Let $x \notin \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(B)$. Then there exists a Λ_r -open set U containing x such that $B \cap U = \emptyset$. Since $A \subset U$, $A \cap U = \emptyset$ and hence x is not a Λ_r -cluster point of A . Therefore $x \notin \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$.

Property 3.5 A is Λ_r -closed if $A = \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$.

Proof.

Suppose A is Λ_r -closed. Let $x \notin A$. Then $x \in A^c$ and A^c is Λ_r -open. Take $A^c = U$. Then U is a Λ_r -open set containing x and $A \cap U = \emptyset$ and hence $x \notin \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$. By using Property 3.2, we get $A = \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$. Conversely, suppose $A = \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$. Since $A = \bigcap \{F/A \subset F \text{ and } F \text{ is } \Lambda_r\text{-closed}\}$ by Property 3.3, A is Λ_r -closed.

Property 3.6 $\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$ is Λ_r -closed.

Proof.

By using the Properties 3.2 and 3.4, we have $\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A) \subset \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A))$. Let $x \in \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A))$. That implies x is a Λ_r -cluster point of $\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$. That implies for every Λ_r -open set U containing x , $(\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Let $y \in \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A) \cap U$. Then y is a Λ_r -cluster point of A . Therefore for every Λ_r -open set G containing y , $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Since U is Λ_r -open and $y \in U$, $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and hence $x \in \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$. Hence $\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A) = \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A))$. By Property 3.5, $\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$ is Λ_r -closed.

Remark 3.7

- (1) X and \emptyset are both Λ_r -open and Λ_r -closed.
- (2) By using the Properties 3.3 and 3.6, $\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$ is the smallest Λ_r -closed set containing A .

Property 3.8 If A_k is Λ_r -closed for each $k \in I$, then $\bigcap_{k \in I} A_k$ is Λ_r -closed.

Proof.

Let $A = \bigcap_{k \in I} A_k$ and $x \in \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$. Then x is a Λ_r -cluster point of A . Hence for every Λ_r -open set U containing x , $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$. That implies $(\bigcap_{k \in I} A_k) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. That implies $A_k \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for each $k \in I$. If $x \notin A$, then for some $i \in I$, $x \notin A_i$. Since A_i is Λ_r -closed, $A_i = \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A_i)$ and hence $x \notin \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A_i)$. Therefore x is not a Λ_r -cluster point of A_i . So there exists a Λ_r -open set V containing x such that $A_i \cap V = \emptyset$. By this contradiction, $x \in A$. Therefore $\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A) \subset A$ and hence $A = \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(A)$. By using the Property 3.5, A is Λ_r -closed. That is, $\bigcap_{k \in I} A_k$ is Λ_r -closed.

Remark 3.9 The union of Λ_r -closed sets need not be Λ_r -closed. For example, let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ are Λ_r -closed but $\{a\} \cup \{b\} = \{a, b\}$ is not a Λ_r -closed set.

Property 3.10 If A_k is Λ_r -open for each $k \in I$, then $\bigcup_{k \in I} A_k$ is Λ_r -open.

Definition 3.11 Let (X, τ) be a topological space, $A \subset X$. Then Λ_r -kernel of A is defined by $\Lambda_r\text{-ker}(A) = \bigcap \{G/G \in \Lambda_r O(X, \tau) \text{ and } A \subset G\}$.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and A, B be subsets of X . Let $x, y \in X$. Then we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.12 $A \subset \Lambda_r\text{-ker}(A)$

Proof. Let $x \notin \Lambda_r\text{-ker}(A)$. Then there exists $V \in \Lambda_r O(X, \tau)$ such that $A \subset V$ and $x \notin V$ and hence $x \notin A$.

Lemma 3.13 If $A \subset B$, then $\Lambda_r\text{-ker}(A) \subset \Lambda_r\text{-ker}(B)$.

Proof. Let $x \notin \Lambda_r\text{-ker}(B)$. Then there exists $G \in \Lambda_r O(X, \tau)$ such that $B \subset G$ and $x \notin G$. Since $A \subset B$, $A \subset G$ and hence $x \notin \Lambda_r\text{-ker}(A)$.

Lemma 3.14 $\Lambda_r - \ker(A) = \Lambda_r - \ker(\Lambda_r - \ker(A))$.

Proof. Let $x \in \Lambda_r - \ker(\Lambda_r - \ker(A))$. Then for every Λ_r -open set $G \supset \Lambda_r - \ker(A)$, $x \in G$. Since $A \subset \Lambda_r - \ker(A)$, for every Λ_r -open set $G \supset A$, $x \in G$.

Hence $x \in \Lambda_r - \ker(A)$. Therefore $\Lambda_r - \ker(\Lambda_r - \ker(A)) \subset \Lambda_r - \ker(A)$. Also $\Lambda_r - \ker(A) \subset \Lambda_r - \ker(\Lambda_r - \ker(A))$. Hence $\Lambda_r - \ker(A) = \Lambda_r - \ker(\Lambda_r - \ker(A))$.

Lemma 3.15 $y \in \Lambda_r - \ker(\{x\})$ if $x \in \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$.

Proof. $y \notin \Lambda_r - \ker(\{x\}) \Leftrightarrow \exists a \Lambda_r$ -open set $V \supset \{x\}$ such that $y \notin V \Leftrightarrow \exists a \Lambda_r$ -open set $V \supset \{x\}$ such that $\{y\} \cap V = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow x$ is not a Λ_r -cluster point of $\{y\} \Leftrightarrow x \notin \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$.

Lemma 3.16 $\Lambda_r - \ker(A) = \{x / \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$.

Proof. Let $x \in \Lambda_r - \ker(A)$. Then for every Λ_r -open set $G \supset A$, $x \in G$. Suppose $\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then $A \subset X - (\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}))$. Take $V = X - (\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}))$. Then V is a Λ_r -open set containing A and $x \notin V$. By this contradiction, we get $\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, let $x \in X$ such that $\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let $y \in \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}) \cap A$. Then y is a Λ_r -cluster point of $\{x\}$. Therefore for every Λ_r -open set U containing y , $U \cap \{x\} \neq \emptyset$ and hence $x \in U$. If $x \notin \Lambda_r - \ker(A)$, then \exists a Λ_r -open set $V \supset A$ such that $x \notin V$. Since $y \in A$, V is a Λ_r -open set containing y and $x \notin V$. By this contradiction, we get $x \in \Lambda_r - \ker(A)$.

$\Lambda_r - T_k$ SPACES

Definition 4.1 (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r - T_0$ if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , \exists a Λ_r -open set containing one of the points but not the other.

Theorem 4.2 (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r - T_0$ if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , $\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}) \neq \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$.

Proof.

Necessity: Let (X, τ) be a $\Lambda_r - T_0$ space. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then \exists a Λ_r -open set V containing one of the points but not the other, say $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$. Then V^c is a Λ_r -closed set containing y but not x . But $\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$ is the smallest Λ_r -closed set containing y . Therefore $\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\}) \subset V^c$ and hence $x \notin \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$. Thus $\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}) \neq \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$.

Sufficiency: Suppose $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$ and $\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}) \neq \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$. Let $z \in X$ such that $z \in \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\})$ but $z \notin \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$. If $x \in \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$, then $\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\}) \subset \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$ and hence $z \in \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $x \notin \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\})$. That implies $x \in (\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\}))^c$. Therefore $(\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{y\}))^c$ is a Λ_r -open set containing x but not y . Hence (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r - T_0$.

Definition 4.3 (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r - T_1$ if for any pair of distinct points x, y of X , there is a Λ_r -open set U in X such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$ and there is a Λ_r -open set V in X such that $y \in V$ and $x \notin V$.

Remark 4.4 Every $\Lambda_r - T_1$ space is $\Lambda_r - T_0$ space. But the converse need not be true. For example, let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r - T_0$ space but not $\Lambda_r - T_1$ space.

Theorem 4.5 For a space (X, τ) , the following are equivalent

- (1) (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r - T_1$
- (2) For every $x \in X$, $\{x\} = \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(\{x\})$
- (3) For each $x \in X$, the intersection of all Λ_r -open sets containing x is $\{x\}$.

Proof.

(1) \rightarrow (2): Suppose $y \neq x$ in X . Then \exists a Λ_r -open set V such that $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$. If $x \in \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(\{y\})$, then x is a Λ_r -cluster point of $\{y\}$. That implies for every Λ_r -open set U containing x , $\{y\} \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Here V is a Λ_r -open set containing x . Therefore $\{y\} \cap V \neq \emptyset$ implies $y \in V$. This is a contradiction. Thus $x \notin \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(\{y\})$. Hence for a point x , $y \notin \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(\{x\})$. Thus $\{x\} = \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(\{x\})$.

(2) \rightarrow (3): $x \in \Lambda_r\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \Leftrightarrow x$ is a Λ_r -cluster point of $\{x\} \Leftrightarrow$ for every Λ_r -open set U containing x , $\{x\} \cap U \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap \{G/G \in \Lambda_r O(X, \tau) \text{ and } \{x\} \subset G\}$. Therefore $\Lambda_r\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \bigcap \{G/G \in \Lambda_r O(X, \tau) \text{ and } \{x\} \subset G\}$. By (2), $\{x\} = \bigcap \{G/G \in \Lambda_r O(X, \tau) \text{ and } \{x\} \subset G\}$.

(3) \rightarrow (1): Let $x \neq y$ in X . By (3), and $\{\{x\} \subset G\}$. Hence \exists one Λ_r -open set V containing x but not y . Similarly, \exists one Λ_r -open set U containing y but not x . Hence (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r\text{-}T_1$.

Theorem 4.6 A space (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r\text{-}T_1$ if the singletons are Λ_r -closed sets.

Proof. Suppose (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r\text{-}T_1$. Let $x \in X$ and $y \in \{x\}^c$. Then $x \neq y$ and so \exists a Λ_r -open set U_y such that $y \in U_y$ but $x \notin U_y$. Therefore $y \in U_y \subset \{x\}^c$. That is, $\{x\}^c = \bigcup \{U_y/y \in \{x\}^c\}$ is Λ_r -open. Hence $\{x\}$ is Λ_r -closed. Conversely, let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Then $y \in \{x\}^c$ and $\{x\}^c$ is a Λ_r -open set containing y but not x . Similarly, $\{y\}^c$ is a Λ_r -open set containing x but not y . Hence (X, τ) is a $\Lambda_r\text{-}T_1$ space.

Definition 4.7 (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r\text{-}T_2$ if for each pair of distinct points x and y in X , \exists a Λ_r -open set U and a Λ_r -open set V in X such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Remark 4.8 Every $\Lambda_r - T_2$ space is $\Lambda_r - T_1$.

Theorem 4.9 For a topological space (X, τ) , the following are equivalent:

- (1) (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r - T_2$
- (2) If $x \in X$, then for each $y \neq x$, there is a Λ_r -open set U containing x such that $y \notin \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(U)$
- (3) For each $x \in X, \{x\} = \cap \{\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(U)/U$ is a Λ_r -open set containing $x\}$

Proof.

(1) \rightarrow (2): Let $x \in X$. Then for each $y \neq x, \exists \Lambda_r$ -open sets A and B such that $x \in A, y \in B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Then $x \in A \subset X - B$. Take $X - B = F$. Then F is Λ_r -closed, $A \subset F$ and $y \notin F$. That implies $y \notin \{F/F$ is Λ_r -closed and $A \subset F\} = \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(A)$.

(2) \rightarrow (1): Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. By (2), \exists a Λ_r -open set U containing x such that $y \notin \Lambda_r - \text{cl}(U)$. Therefore $y \in X - (\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(U)), X - (\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(U))$ is Λ_r -open and $x \notin X - (\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(U))$. Also $U \cap X - (\Lambda_r - \text{cl}(U)) = \emptyset$. Hence (X, τ) is $\Lambda_r - T_2$.

(2) \leftrightarrow (1): It is obvious.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge that this research was partially supported by the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovations (MOSTI), Malaysia under the e-Science Grant 06-01-04-SF0115. The authors also wish to thank the referees for their constructive comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Athisayaponmani, S. and Thivagar, M. Lellis. 2007. Another form of separation axioms. *Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology*, **13**(4): 380-385.

- Caldas, M. and Dontchev, J. G. 2000. Λ_s -sets and V_s -sets. *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math.*, **21**: 21-30.
- Caldas, M., Ganster, M., Jafari, S. and Noiri, T. 2004. On Λ_p -sets and functions. *Mem.Fac.Sci.Kochi Univ.(Math.)*, **25**: 1-8.
- Caldas, M. and Jafari, S. 2003. On some low separation axioms in topological space. *Houston Journal of Math.*, **29**: 93-104.
- Fawakhreh, A. J. and Kilicman, A. 2002. Mappings and some decompositions of continuity on nearly Lindelof spaces. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **97**(3): 199-206.
- Fawakhreh, A. J. and Kilicman, A. 2004. Some Semiregular properties and generalizations of Lindelof Spaces, *Matematicki Vesnik*, **56**(3-4), 77-80.
- Fawakhreh, A. J. and Kilicman, A. 2006. Mappings and decompositions of continuity on almost Lindelof spaces. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Art. ID 98760: 7 pages.
- Ganster, M., Jafari, S. and Noiri, T. 2002. On pre- Λ -sets and pre- V -sets. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **95**(4): 337-343.
- Jain, R. C. 1980. *The role of regularly open sets in general topology spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Meerut Univ. Inst. Adv. Stud. Meerut, India.
- Kar, A. and Bhattacharyya, Paritosh. 1990. Some weak separation axioms, *Bull. Coll. Math. Soc.*, **82**: 415-422.
- Kilicman, A. and Salleh, Z. 2007a. On pairwise Lindelof bitopological spaces. *Topology Appl.*, **154**(8):1600-1607.
- Kilicman, A. and Salleh, Z. 2007b. Pairwise almost Lindelof bitopological spaces. *Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **1**(2): 227-238.
- Kilicman, A. and Salleh, Z. 2008. Pairwise weakly regular-Lindelof spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, Art. ID 184243: 13 pages.
- Kilicman, A. and Salleh, Z. 2009. A note on pairwise continuous mappings and bitopological spaces. *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **2**(3): 325-337.

M.J.Jeyanthi, Adem Kilicman, S.Pious Missier & P.Thangavelu

Nour, T. M. 1995. A Note on five separation axioms in bitopological spaces.
Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **26**(7): 669-674.