

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

ENHANCEMENT OF JOIN POINT DESIGNATION DIAGRAMS (JPDDS) WITH PROCEDURAL LOGIC AND TIMING CONSTRAINT FOR ASPECT-ORIENTED MODELING

> **BAHRAM ZARRIN FSKTM 2010 3**



ENHANCEMENT OF JOIN POINT DESIGNATION DIAGRAMS (JPDDS) WITH PROCEDURAL LOGIC AND TIMING CONSTRAINT FOR ASPECT-ORIENTED MODELING

By

BAHRAM ZARRIN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

March 2010



My beloved father and mother



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

ENHANCEMENT OF JOIN POINT DESIGNATION DIAGRAMS (JPDDS) WITH PROCEDURAL LOGIC AND TIMING CONSTRAINT FOR ASPECT-**ORIENTED MODELING**

By

BAHRAM ZARRIN

March 2010

Chairman: Rodziah Atan, PhD

Faculty: Computer Science and Information Technology

Aspect-Oriented Software Development (AOSD) is a technology that helps achieve better

Separation of Concern (SOC) by providing mechanisms to localize cross-cutting

concerns. Aspect-Oriented Modeling (AOM) is a design technique in AOSD which

attempts to separate crosscutting concerns in the earliest steps of software development.

Queries on join points are an essential part of AOSD. Join point queries are necessary to

identify all relevant points in a program at which aspectual adaptations need to take place.

Finding appropriate means to designate such sets of relevant join points is a highly active

field of research in AOSD.

Join Point Designation Diagrams (JPDDs) are means that visualize join point queries

graphically and separately from the adaptation specification. They provide a visual means

to constrain the selection of join points based on static and dynamic, structural and

behavioral context. Based on the latest researches on JPDDs, it has been lacking of

iii

support in procedural logic by JPDDs such as loops, alternative structures, and conditional branching between object interactions in the selection criteria of the join points. It causes some join points could not be modeled by JPDDs when join point specifications get complex in aspect-oriented programs. There is another issue in JPDDs which is lack of supporting timing constraints in the join points. There is no way or notation to visualize any timing constraint in a JPDD. Since time constraint is a major issue in real time systems, this lack of support makes a gap between real time system design and join point diagrams.

In order to solve the stated problems, three new extension models are introduced in this research based on UML 2.0. Loop Condition Constraint Model (LCCM) and Alternative Constraint Model (ACM) are presented which aim to support procedural logic and reduce the redundancy of the message flows in JPDDs. Time Constraint Model (TCM) is introduced to fill the gap between real time systems and JPDDs.

Some examples are used to evaluate the proposed models. Each example firstly is modeled by JPDDs without the proposed extensions and then is modeled by JPDDs with the proposed extensions. The results of the experiments showed that the proposed extensions are able to support the missing structures and eased the designation of join points. Introducing the proposed extensions in this thesis creates new opportunities in the join point selection research.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

PENINGKATAN GAMBARAJAH PENERANGAN TITIK GABUNGAN (JPDDS)

DENGAN LOGIK PROSEDUR DAN KEKANGAN MASA BAGI PEMODELAN

BERORIENTASIKAN ASPEK

Oleh

BAHRAM ZARRIN

Mac 2010

Pengerusi: Rodziah Binti Atan, Phd

Fakulti: Sains Komputer Dan Teknologi Maklumat

Pembangunan Perisian Berorientasikan Aspek (AOSD) adalah teknologi yang membantu

mencapai Separation of Concern (SOC) yang lebih baik dengan menyediakan mekanisma

untuk menentukan tempat bagi titik pertemuan tumpuan (cross-cutting concern).

Pemodelan Berorientasikan Aspek (AOM) adalah teknik merekabentuk dalam AOSD

yang mencuba untuk memisahkan titik pertemuan tumpuan pada langkah paling awal

dalam pembangunan perisian. AOM menggunakan gambarajah Unified Modeling

Language (UML) bagi memodelkan sesuatu konsep berorientasikan aspek. Bahagian

yang mencabar dalam AOM adalah untuk menentukan pilihan titik gabungan (join point)

dan merekabentuk model bagi mereka.

Gambarajah Penerangan Titik Gabungan (JPDDs) adalah cara bagi memperlihatkan pilihan titik gabungan secara grafik dan terasing daripada adaptasi spesifikasi. Ia menyediakan cara visual bagi mengekang pilihan titik gabungan berdasarkan kepada konteks statik dan dinamik, berstruktur dan perilaku. Berdasarkan kajian terkini JPDD, terdapat kekurangan sokongan dalam logik prosedur oleh JPDDs seperti gegelung, struktur pilihan, dan pilihan bersyarat antara interaksi objek dalam kriteria pemilihan titik gabungan. Ia menyebabkan beberapa titik gabungan tidak dapat dimodelkan oleh JPDDs apabila spesifikasi titik gabungan menjadi kompleks dalam aturcara berorientasikan aspek. Terdapat juga isu dalam JPDDs iaitu kekurangan sokongan kekangan masa dalam titik gabungan. Tidak ada cara atau notasi bagi memperlihatkan sebarang kekangan masa dalam JPDDs. Oleh kerana kekangan masa adalah isu utama dalam sistem masa nyata, kekuranagn sokongan ini menyebabkan terdapat jurang di antara reka bentuk sistem masa nyata dan gambarajah titik gabungan.

Bagi menyelesaikan masalah yang dinyatakan, tiga model sambungan yang baharu diperkenalkan dalam kajian ini berasaskan kepada UML 2.0. *Loop Condition Constraint Model* (LCCM) dan *Alternative Constraint Model* (ACM) dipersembahkan yang bertujuan untuk menyokong logik prosedur dan mengurangkan keberulangan aliran mesej dalam gambarajah JPDDs. *Time Constraint Model* (TCM) diperkenalkan untuk mengisi jurang antara sistem masa nyata dan gambarajah JPDDs.

Beberapa contoh digunakan untuk menilai model yang dicadangkan. Setiap contoh pada permulaannya dimodelkan dengan JPDDs tanpa model sambungan yang dicadangkan,



dan kemudiannya dimodelkan dengan JPDDs dengan model sambungan cadangan tersebut. Keputusan ujikaji menunjukkan bahawa sambungan yang dicadangkan mampu untuk menyokong struktur yang hilang dan mempermudahkan penerangan bagi titik gabungan. Memperkenalkan sambungan yang dicadangkan dalam tesis ini mencipta peluang baharu dalam penyelidikan pemilihan titik gabungan.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Rodziah Atan for her valuable comments and advice through the course of this research. Her encouragement and professional review helped this thesis and other technical papers to be further improved.

My further gratitude goes to Dr. Muhamad Taufik Bin Abdullah for his great help and technical advices.

Also, my eternal gratitude is owed to my family who have been supportive in everything I have done. In particular, I would like to thank my mother and father for their never ending love and support.

I also want to thank of all my second family members in Malaysia, including all my friends for providing me with great friendship and experience in my academic and social life. Specially, I owe gratitude to my friend, Shahrzad for her impressive help in my thesis. Finally, thanks God for giving me another opportunity to know myself by living in Malaysia.



APPROVAL

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on **date of viva** to conduct the final examination of **Bahram Zarrin** on his **Master of Science** thesis entitled "**Enhancing Join Point Designation Diagrams (JPDDs) With Procedural Logic and Timing Constraint**" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Chairman, PhD

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Examiner 1, PhD

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Examiner 2, PhD

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (External Examiner)

External Examiner, PhD

Faculty of Science and Technology (External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia Date:



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science. Members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Rodziah Atan, Phd

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Muhamad Taufik Bin Abdullah, Phd

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 August 2010



DECLARATION

I hereby	declare	that t	the the	esis is	based	on my	orig	inal v	vork ex	cept f	or q	luota	tions	anc
citations	which	have	been	duly	ackno	wledged	1. I	also	declar	e that	it	has	not	beer
previous	ly or co	ncurre	ntly su	ıbmitt	ed for	any oth	er d	egree	at Univ	ersiti	Putr	a M	alays	sia o
other inst	titutions	S.												

	D 1 7 1
	Bahram Zarrin
Date:	



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICAT ABSTRAI ACKNOV APPROV DECLAR LIST OF I LIST OF I	CT K VLEDGN AL ATION TABLES FIGURE	S S	Page ii iii v viii ix xi xiv xv
CHAPTE	R		
1		RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	3
	1.3	Objective	4
	1.4	Research Scope Overview of Thesis	5
	1.5	Overview of Thesis	6
2		ERATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1	Introduction	8
	2.2	Object Oriented Software Development	9
	•	2.2.1 Cross Cutting Concern Problem in OOP	10
	2.3	Aspect-Oriented Software Development	12
	2.4	2.3.1 Aspect-Oriented Programming	13
	2.4	Definitions in AOSD	14
	2.5	Unified Modeling Language	16
		2.5.1 Class Diagrams	17
		2.5.2 Object Diagrams2.5.3 Sequence Diagrams	18 19
		1 0	
		2.5.4 Combined Fragment Diagrams (Alternatives, Option, and Loops)	20
		2.5.5 OCL	24
	2.6	Aspect-Oriented Modeling	24
		2.6.1 Join Point	27
		2.6.2 Join Point Selection	29
	2.7	Join Point Designation Diagrams (JPDDs)	32
	2.8	Discussion on JPDDs	38
		2.8.1 Procedural Logic and Redundant Message Flows	39
		2.8.2 Addressing Time Constraints	40
	2.9	Summary	41



3	RES	EARCH METHODOLOGY	42						
	3.1	Introduction	42						
	3.2	Steps of Methodology	42						
	3.3	Problem Analysis	44						
	3.4	Designing the Proposed Extension Models	44						
		3.4.1 Platform Selection	46						
		3.4.2 Standard Notations Selection	46						
		3.4.3 UML 2.0 Extension Mechanism	48						
	3.5	Tool Support and Implementation	51						
	3.6	Case Study	51						
	3.7	Comparison Results and Analysis	52						
	3.8	Summary	53						
4		IGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF PROPOSED	54						
		ENSIONS							
	4.1	Introduction	54						
	4.2	Loop Condition Constraint Model (LCCM)	54						
	4.3	,	60						
	4.4	,	64						
	4.5	Tool Support and Implementation	67						
		4.5.1 Modeler for JPDD (M4JPDD)	67						
		4.5.2 UML2 Metamodel	69						
		4.5.3 Graphical Editing Framework (GEF)	69						
		4.5.4 Extending M4JPDD to Support the Proposed Extensions	72						
	4.6	Summary	74						
5	RES	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION							
	5.1	Introduction	76						
	5.2	Design Metrics	77						
		5.2.1 Redundancy	78						
		5.2.2 Model Size	81						
	5.3	Case study for Loop Condition Constraint Model (LCCM)	82						
		5.3.1 Login in ATM System	82						
		5.3.2 Cell Phone Application	85						
		5.3.3 Comparison and Discussion of LCCM extension	87						
	5.4	Case Study for Alternative Constraint Model (ACM)	90						
		5.4.1 Comparison and Discussion of ACM Extension	94						
	5.5	Case study for Time Constraint Model (TCM)	96						
		5.5.1 Data Freshness	97						
		5.5.2 Exception Handling	100						
		5.5.3 Discussion of TCM Extension	103						
	5.6	Overall Results	103						
	5.7	Summary	104						
6	CON	NCLUSION	106						



REFERENC BIODATA O	111 118	
6.3	Future Works	110
6.2	Contributions	108
6.1	Conclusion	106



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3.1: Experimental Design for Extensions which Support Procedural Logic	52
5.1: Design Metrics Used to Measuring the Size of a JPDD	81
5.2: Comparing NRMFS_total in the Modeled Pointcuts	89
5.3: Comparing Model Size of the Exampled Pointcut for LCCM	90
5.4: Comparing Model Size of the Exampled Pointcut for ACM	96
5.5: Impact of LCCM on Different Quality Factors of JPDDs	104
5.6: Impact of ACM on Different Quality Factors of JPDDs	104
5.7: Impact of TCM on Different Quality Factors of JPDDs	104



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1: Study Module	5
2.1: Classloading in Tomcat	12
2.2: Class Diagram	18
2.3: A Sequence Diagram Fragment that Contains an Alternative Combination Fragment	21
2.4: JPDDs notations	33
2.5: General Format of JPDD	35
3.1: Steps of Methodology	43
3.2: General Format of JPDD	45
3.3: Different relations of constraints which defined in JPDD	50
3.2: Element Selection for Extension Models	48
3.3: Example showing stereotypes, tagged values and constraints	53
4.1: Designing LCCMs with UML 2.0 Extension Mechanisms	56
4.2: Different Types of Loop Condition Constraint Model	57
4.3: Examples for Loop Condition Constraint Model	58
4.4: Daily Withdrawal Limit Example	59
4.5: Redundancy of Message Flows in JPDDs Level	61
4.6: Designing ACM by UML 2.0 Extension Mechanisms	62
4.7: Alternative Constraint Model (ACM)	63
4.8: Designing Time Constraint Extension by UML 2.0 Extension mechanisms	65
4.9: Duration Time Constraint in a JPDD	66



4.10: Timeout Constraint in a JPDD	66
4.11: Modeler Tool for JPDD (M4JPDD)	68
4.12: MVC architecture	70
4.13: M4JPDD after adding the proposed extensions	7 4
5.1: Specifying the pointcut for login attempts with loop condition constraint model (LCCM)	83
5.2: Specifying the pointcut for login attempts without loop condition constraint model (LCCM)	84
5.3: Modeling the Pointcut take message in the cell phone application with Loop condition Constraint Model (LCCM)	86
5.4: Modeling the Pointcut take message in the cell phone application without Loop condition Constraint Model (LCCM)	86
5.5: Modeling the Pointcut for logging the interactions in the cell phone application with Alternative Constraint Model (ACM)	92
5.6: Modeling the Pointcut for logging the Incoming calls in the cell phone application with JPDD	93
5.7: Modeling the Pointcut for logging the missed calls in the cell phone application with JPDD	93
5.8: Modeling the Pointcut for logging the out going calls in the cell phone application with JPDD	93
5.9: Modeling the Pointcut to Apply Periodic Data Freshness	99
5.10: Modeling the Pointcut to Apply On Demand Data Freshness	100
5.11: Pointcut to specify exception in getting pin code from the user	101
5.12: Pointcut to specify exception in between ATM and bank system	102



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACM Alternative Constraint Model

AOM Aspect-Oriented Modeling

AOP Aspect-Oriented Programming

AOSD Aspect-Oriented Software Development

EMF Eclipse Modeling Framework

GEF Graphical Editor Framework

JPDD Join Point Designation Diagram

LCCM Loop Condition Constraint Model

NRMF Number of Redundant Message Flow

OCL Object Constraint Language

OOP Object Oriented Programming

OOSD Object Oriented Software Development

RMF Redundant Message Flow

TCM Time Constraint Model

UML Unified Modeling Language



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Aspect-Oriented Software Development (AOSD) is a novel technique for separating crosscutting concerns that are usually difficult to do in Object Oriented Software Development (OOSD).

In computer science a concern is any piece of interest or focus in a program. Typically, concerns are synonymous with features or behaviors. There are some concerns (like security, logging, etc) which cannot be implemented in a separate module in Object Oriented Software Development (OOSD) and their implementation crosscuts the other modules which is called cross cutting concerns. AOSD introduces some new concepts and artifacts to solve the problem.

Concerns which have crosscutting (like logging and security) with the other concerns and cannot be implemented in separate module are called *aspect*. A part of the code in an aspect which needs to be injected in none-crosscutting modules is called an *advice*. The places of the program which an advice needs to be called in none-crosscutting concerns are called a *join point*. A set of join points is known as a *pointcut*. The crosscutting concerns are separable at the several phases such as design or implementation level.



AOSD has been emerged from the programming level of the software development lifecycle. In the current decade there are a lot of research interests to separate crosscutting in the design level. Aspect-Oriented Modeling (AOM) attempts to separate crosscutting concerns in the earliest steps of software development (design level). A lot of models have been presented based on UML diagrams. Most of them are same in presenting aspect model and base model. The challenging area is to specify join point selection and design model for pointcuts (Stein et al., 2005).

Queries on join points are an essential part of AOSD. Join point queries are necessary to identify all relevant points in a program (i.e. in its code, or during its execution) at which aspectual adaptations need to take place.

Join Point Designation Diagrams (JPDDs) have been introduced as a novel modeling means to represent join point queries graphically and separately from the adaptation specification in distinct model artifacts (Stein et al., 2002). JPDDs provide abstractions to specify queries on classes, their features and relationships, as well as on messages in a program's control flow. They provide a visual means to constrain the selection of join points based on static and dynamic, structural and behavioral context (Stein et al., 2004a).

Join point as the key concept in Aspect-Orientation has been represented by UML 1.x in (Stein et al., 2002) to serve the needs of aspect-oriented designers of aspect-oriented programs. However UML 1.x has many features to designate several diagrams by software designers, but it is not sufficient to handle all of the modeling needs. There is



lack of some functionality as problem in UML 1.x such as lack of procedural logic required for a sequence being modeled or time constraint in sequence diagrams. Since JPDDs are represented base on UML 1.x, they cannot model join point which requires procedural logic and timing constraint.

The UML 2 has addressed these problems in UML 1.x by adding notation elements called Combined Fragment and time constraint (Ximeng, 2007) but JPDDs cannot still model the join points which require procedural logic and timing constraint.

1.2 Problem Statement

According to Stain (2006), problem of JPDDs with respect to join points is lack of supporting in procedural logic by JPDDs such as loops, alternative structures, and conditional branching between object interactions in the selection criteria of the join points. The problem causes some join points could not be modeled by JPDDs when join point specifications get complex in an aspect-oriented programs.

In order to model join points which need these kinds of structures in JPDDs, modelers either could not model them or they have to designate them by repetition of simple JPDD elements (especially message flows) which lead to the redundancy of the applied elements in the diagrams. Message flows are the basic and the most commonly used elements in the behavioral part of JPDD diagrams for showing the interactions between several objects.



Generally designing repeated elements or diagrams is a time consuming and cumbersome task which leads to increase redundancy of the elements in these diagrams.

Another issue of JPDDs according to Stain (2006) is lack of supporting timing constraint in the join points which deal with timing constraints. There is no way or notation to visualize any timing constraint in a JPDD. Since time constraint is a major issue in real time systems, this lack of support makes a gap between real time system design and join point diagrams.

Since JPDDs do not provide procedural logic structures, designation of join points which needs these structures can be done by current JPDDs but they become complex and ambiguous. It means many parts of the join points have to be modeled by repetition of the primary and simple elements that led to redundancy in the model.

On the other hand, JPDDs do not provide any notation for time constraint to support the systems which time is a vital issue in them such as real time systems. Lack of supporting this constraint by JPDDs makes a gap between them and real time system designs.

1.3 Objective

The objectives of this research are:

UPM

- To propose an enhanced JPDDs with complex procedural logic that supports loops, alternative, conditional branching structures, and timing constraint.
- To reduce the redundancy of message flows in the behavioral context of JPDDs.

1.4 Research Scope

Figure 1.1 illustrates the summary of the direction in this research where the bold lines represent the direction followed in this thesis to achieve our objectives and the dotted lines represent the other directions that are already considered in previous researches in the area of Aspect-Oriented Software Development.




Figure 1.1: Study Module



The focus of this study is on Aspect-Oriented Modeling (AOM) which is the earlier phase of AOSD rather than Aspect-Oriented Programming (AOP). Since join point modeling is the most challenging area among the kinds of aspect-oriented modeling such as base model, aspect model and adoption model, this research concentrates on join point modeling rather than the others.

In join points modeling, developers can have different conceptual models for join points attention to the model nature of their programs such as state based join points, event based join points or interaction based join point. The join points modeling focused in this thesis is interaction based join point which is more common in aspect-oriented programs.

1.5 Overview of Thesis

This thesis is organized in 6 chapters. The chapter 1 provides background of the research including Aspect-Oriented Modeling and Join Point Designation Diagram issues, the problem statement, the objective of the research, the contribution of the work, and the research scope.

Chapter 2 consists of the reviewed literature on the Aspect-Oriented Software Development. Approaches have been presented in this area with a special focusing on Join Point Designation Diagrams.

