



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**PROPERTIES AND DECAY RESISTANCE OF *MAHANG* (*Macaranga*
sp.) TREATED WITH PHENOLIC RESIN AND ACRYLIC MONOMER
USING VACUUM-PRESSURE PROCESS**

**ANG AIK FEI
FH 2010 1**

PROPERTIES AND DECAY RESISTANCE OF MAHANG (*Macaranga* sp.) TREATED WITH PHENOLIC RESIN AND ACRYLIC MONOMER USING VACUUM-PRESSURE PROCESS

By

ANG AIK FEI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in the Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

April 2010



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**PROPERTIES AND DECAY RESISTANCE OF MAHANG (*Macaranga* sp.)
TREATED WITH PHENOLIC RESIN AND ACRYLIC MONOMER USING
VACUUM-PRESSURE PROCESS**

By

ANG AIK FEI

April 2010

Chair: Zaidon Ashaari, PhD

Faculty: Forestry

Mahang (*Macaranga* sp.) was treated with phenolic resin and methyl methacrylate (MMA) monomer. Impreg is a type resin treated wood while Compreg refers to resin treated compressed wood. Mahang wood was impregnated with 15, 20 and 25% (Impreg) and 15% (Compreg) phenolic resin using vacuum-pressure process. The vacuum-pressure process could maximize the penetration of resin and monomer into wood. The resin in Impreg mahang was partially cured at 65°C for 24 h prior to fully cure at 150°C for 30 min in an oven while Compreg mahang was fabricated by compressed the treated wood with 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 compression ratios (CR). The resin in treated wood was partially cured at 65°C for 56 h prior to fully cure at 150°C for 30 min under hot press. For MMA-treated wood, polymerization was carried out in a combination with a crosslinker trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate (TMPTMA). Polymerization was carried out by catalyst heat treatment at 65 °C for 2 h. The resin weight percent gain (WPG) for Impreg mahang was in the range of 33-51% whereas for Compreg mahang was 27-31%. For MMA-treated wood, a fairly consistent acrylic retention ranged from 187.5-229.6%



was found in the wood when treated with or without crosslinker. The resin WPG of Impreg mahang was increased significantly when the levels of phenolic resin increased from 15-25%. The resin WPG for Compreg mahang was not differed significantly among 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 CRs. It was found that the concentrations of crosslinker gave significant effect on the polymerization of MMA. The polymerization is at maximum with 1% crosslinker and beyond this concentration the polymerisation decreased. The density of Impreg, Compreg and MMA-treated mahang was improved significantly from 31-53%, 89-139% and 188-216%, respectively compared to untreated wood. The Impreg and MMA-treated mahang showed improvement in the dimensional stability compared to untreated wood but there is no improvement was recorded for Compreg mahang. The phenolic resin concentrations did not gave significant effect in the ASE of Impreg and Compreg mahang while the crosslinker concentrations gave significant effect in the ASE of MMA-treated mahang. Moisture excluding efficiency (MEE) of Impreg, Compreg and MMA-treated mahang was also improved significantly from 6.27-9.63%, 15.48-27.85% and 40.93-55.68%, respectively compared to untreated wood. The phenolic resin and crosslinker concentrations did not gave significant effect in the MEE. The improvement in reduction in water absorption (R) of Impreg, Compreg and MMA-treated mahang against untreated wood was ranged from 49.02-65.04%, 67.54-71.63% and 91.18-93.22%, respectively. The phenolic resin and crosslinker concentrations did not gave significant effect in the (R) except for Impreg mahang. Mechanical strength of Impreg mahang in terms of compressive stress and hardness were improved 75 to 266% and 32 to 62%, respectively compared to untreated wood. The compressive stress and hardness of MMA-treated mahang were 577 to 1387% and 219 to 386% greater than untreated wood. However, the stiffness (modulus of elasticity) did not change. All the

mechanical properties for Compreg mahang were improved significantly compared to untreated wood. In terms of specific strength (strength to density ratio), the treated material has less stiffness and less strength in lateral direction compared to untreated wood. However, the specific compressive strength perpendicular to the grain and hardness of the treated material were superior compared with the untreated. The decay resistance of Impreg, Compreg and MMA-treated mahang against white rot fungus, *Pycnopus sanguineus* was improved significantly compared to untreated wood.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**SIFAT DAN KETAHANAN PEREPUTAN KAYU MAHANG (*Macaranga sp.*)
YANG DIRAWAT DENGAN RESIN FENOL DAN MONOMER AKRILIK
MENGUNAKAN KAEDAH VAKUM-TEKANAN PROSES**

Oleh

ANG AIK FEI

April 2010

Pengerusi: Zaidon Ashaari, PhD

Fakulti: Perhutanan

Mahang (*Macaranga sp.*) dirawat dengan resin fenol dan monomer metil metakrilat. Impreg merupakan kayu yang dirawat dengan resin tanpa mampatan manakala Compreg merupakan kayu yang dirawat dengan resin dan mampatan. Kayu mahang diresapi dengan 15, 20 dan 25% (Impreg) dan 15% (Compreg) kepekatan resin fenol menggunakan tekanan vakum proses. Tekanan vakum process boleh memaximakan retensi bahan kimia dalam kayu. Resin dalam Impreg mahang dibeku sebahagian pada suhu 65 ° C selama 24 jam sebelum dibekukan sepenuhnya pada suhu 150 ° C selama 30 minit dalam oven sementara Compreg mahang adalah dibuat daripada kayu yang dimampat dengan 0.3, 0.4 dan 0.5 nisbah mampatan. Resin dalam kayu yang dirawat dibeku sebahagian pada suhu 65 ° C selama 56 jam sebelum dibekukan sepenuhnya pada suhu 150 ° C selama 30 minit di bawah tekan panas. Untuk kayu yang dirawat dengan metil metakrilat (MMA), pempolimeran dilakukan dalam kombinasi dengan agen salib penyambung trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate (TMPTMA). Pempolimeran dilakukan dengan kewujudan mangkin di bawah pemanasan pada suhu 65 ° C selama 2 jam.

Peratusan berat resin yang diperolehi untuk Impreg mahang berada di antara julat 33-51% dan Compreg mahang adalah 27-31%. Untuk kayu mahang yang dirawat dengan MMA, retensi akrilik yang konsisten dalam julat 187.5-229.6% didapati pada kayu yang dirawat samada kayu itu sudah dicampur dengan agen salib penyambung atau tanpa agen salib penyambung. WPG resin Impreg mahang meningkat secara signifikan bila tahap resin fenolik meningkat dari 15-25%. The WPG resin untuk Compreg mahang tidak berbeza secara signifikan antara 0.3, 0.4 dan 0.5 CR. Dijumpai bahawa kepekatan agen salib penyambung memberikan kesan yang signifikan terhadap pempolimeran MMA. Pempolimeran adalah maksimum pada 1% agen salib penyambung dan melebihi ini pempolimeran menurun. Kepadatan Impreg, Compreg mahang dan kayu yang dirawat dengan MMA masing-masing meningkat secara signifikan 31-53%, 89-139% dan 188-216% berbanding dengan kayu yang tidak dirawat. Impreg mahang dan kayu yang dirawat dengan MMA menunjukkan peningkatan kestabilan dimensi dibandingkan dengan kayu yang tidak dirawat tetapi tidak ada perbaikan dicatat untuk Compreg mahang. Kepekatan resin fenolik tidak memberikan kesan yang signifikan terhadap ASE Impreg dan Compreg mahang sedangkan kepekatan agen salib penyambung memberikan kesan yang signifikan terhadap ASE kayu yang dirawat dengan MMA. Kecekapan mengecualikan lembapan (MEE) untuk Impreg, Compreg mahang dan kayu yang dirawat dengan MMA juga masing-masing meningkat secara signifikan dari 6,27-9,63%, 15,48-27,85% dan 40,93-55,68% berbanding dengan kayu yang tidak dirawat. Kepekatan resin fenolik dan agen salib penyambung tidak memberikan kesan yang signifikan terhadap MEE. Perbaikan dalam pengurangan dalam penyerapan air (R) untuk Impreg, Compreg mahang dan kayu yang dirawat dengan MMA berbanding dengan kayu yang tidak dirawat adalah masing-masing di antara julat 49,02-65,04%, 67,54-

71,63% dan 91,18-93,22%. Kepekatan resin fenolik dan agen salib penyambung tidak memberikan kesan yang signifikan terhadap (R) kecuali untuk Impreg mahang. Kekuatan mekanik Impreg mahang dari segi stres penekanan dan kekerasan masing-masing meningkat 75-266% dan 32-62% berbanding dengan kayu yang tidak dirawat. Stres penekanan dan kekerasan kayu yang dirawat dengan MMA adalah masing-masing 577-1387% dan 219-386% lebih besar daripada kayu yang tidak dirawat. Bagaimanapun, kekenyalan (modulus kekenyalan) tidak berubah. Semua sifat mekanik untuk Compreg mahang telah meningkat secara signifikan berbanding dengan kayu yang tidak dirawat. Dari segi kekuatan khusus (kekuatan untuk ketumpatan nisbah), bahan yang dirawat kurang kaku dan kurang kekuatan dalam arah lateral berbanding dengan kayu yang tidak dirawat. Namun, khusus kekuatan penekanan tegak lurus kepada garisan kayu dan kekerasan daripada bahan yang dirawat lebih unggul dibandingkan dengan yang tidak dirawat. Hambatan pereputan Impreg, Compreg mahang dan kayu yang dirawat dengan MMA terhadap cendawan pelapuk putih, *Pycnoporus sanguineus* ditingkatkan secara signifikan berbanding dengan kayu yang tidak dirawat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zaidon Ashaari for his guidance, comments, and encouragement on the entire project. I also would like to express my appreciation to my co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mohd Hamami Sahri and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Edi Suhaimi Bakar for their helpful comments and assistance.

A special acknowledgement goes to the staff of the Faculty of Forestry, Prof. Dr. Nobuchi Tadashi, Mr. Muhamad Azizi Mustapa, Mr. Mohamad Rizal Abdul Rahman, Mr. Rahmat Ismail, Mr. Zamani bin Mohd. Daud, Mr. Abd. Jalal bin Aman, Mr. Khairul Izuddin bin Hashim and Ms. Siti Fazelin Mahamad.

I am also indebted to my beloved parents and my brothers for their full support and continuous prayer for my success in completing my research project paper. My warmest gratitude also goes to my friends especially Gan Peg Kee, Lee Ai Nee, Cheong Yew Loong and Wong Thye Seang who kindly helped me to complete my project on time.

Last but not least, I would like to express my sincere thanks to those who are involved either directly or indirectly in completing this project.

APPROVAL SHEET 1

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 8th April 2010 to conduct the final examination of ANG AIK FEI on his **master science** thesis entitled “**Properties and Decay Resistance of Mahang (*Macaranga sp.*) Treated with Phenolic Resin and Acrylic Monomer using Vacuum-Pressure Process**” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulation 1981. The Committee recommends that the students be awarded the Master Science.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

H’ng Paik San, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
Malaysia
(Chairman)

Faizah Abod Haris, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Jegatheswaran a/l Ratnasingam, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Abdul Khalil Shawkataly, PhD

Associate Professor
Pusat Pengajian Teknologi Industri
Universiti Sains Malaysia
Malaysia
(External Examiner)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
Date:



The thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Zaidon Ashaari, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Edi Suhaimi Bakar, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd Hamami Sahri, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 15 July 2010



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously and concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

ANG AIK FEI

Date: 3 June 2010



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
APPROVAL	ix
DECLARATION	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Background	3
1.2 Problem Statement and Justification	5
1.3 Main Objective	5
1.3.1 Specific Objectives	
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 6
2.1 Utilization of Lesser Known Species (LKS) in Malaysia	6
2.2 Mahang (<i>Macaranga</i> sp.)	8
2.2.1 General	8
2.2.2 Wood Anatomy of Mahang	8
2.2.3 General Properties of Mahang	9
2.2.4 Uses of Mahang	9
2.3 Phenol Formaldehyde (PF) Resin	10
2.4 Methyl Methacrylate (MMA)	11
2.5 Wood Modification	12
2.5.1 Methods of Wood Modification	12
2.5.2 Impregnation Modification of Wood	14
2.6 Wood Modification and Dimensional Stability	15
2.6.1 Water Resistant Coating	16
2.6.2 Hygroscopic Reduction	17
2.6.3 Crosslinking	18
2.6.4 Bulking Treatments	20
2.7 Mechanical Properties of Modified Wood	23
2.8 Resistance of Modified Wood against Rotting Fungi	25
2.9 Wood Treated with Thermosetting Resin (Impreg)	27
2.10 Thermosetting Resin-treated Compressed Wood (Compreg)	30
2.11 Wood Polymer Composite	32



3	MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY	36
3.1	Materials	36
3.2	Preparation of Chemicals	36
3.3	Preparation of Samples	37
3.4	Impregnation Treatment with Phenolic Resin (Impreg)	38
3.5	Compregnation Treatment with Phenolic Resin (Compreg)	41
3.6	Impregnation Treatment with MMA	43
3.7	Evaluation of Dimensional Stabilization	44
3.8	Evaluation of Mechanical Properties	47
3.8.1	Static Bending Test	47
3.8.2	Compression Perpendicular to Grain Test	49
3.8.3	Hardness Test (Janka Indentation Test)	50
3.9	Resistance against White Rot Fungus	51
3.9.1	Culture Media	52
3.9.2	Preparation of Test Bottles	52
3.9.3	Sterilization of Bottles	53
3.9.4	Decay Procedures	53
3.9.5	Evaluation	54
3.10	Distribution of Chemicals for Impreg, Compreg and MMA-treated Mahang	55
3.10.1	Preparation of Slides for Light Microscopic Analysis	55
3.11	Experimental Design	56
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	57
4.1	Phenolic Resin Impregnated Mahang (Impreg Mahang)	57
4.1.1	Summary of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	57
4.1.2	Treatability, Physical Properties of Impreg Mahang	58
4.1.3	Dimensional Stability of Impreg Mahang	62
4.1.4	Static Bending Strength of Impreg Mahang	64
4.1.5	Compressive Stress of Impreg Mahang	68
4.1.6	Hardness of Impreg Mahang	70
4.1.7	Specific Strength of Impreg Mahang	72
4.1.8	Resistance of Impreg Mahang against White Rot Fungus	73
4.2	Compregnation Treatment with Phenolic Resin (Compreg Mahang)	76
4.2.1	Summary of ANOVA	76
4.2.2	Treatability and Physical Properties of Compreg Mahang	77
4.2.3	Dimensional Stability of Compreg Mahang	80
4.2.4	Static Bending Strength of Compreg Mahang	83
4.2.5	Compressive Stress of Compreg Mahang	86
4.2.6	Hardness of Compreg Mahang	88
4.2.7	Specific Strength of Compreg Mahang	89
4.2.8	Resistance of Compreg mahang against White Rot Fungus	90
4.3	Methyl Methacrylate (MMA) treated Mahang	93
4.3.1	Summary of ANOVA	93
4.3.2	Polymerization of MMA in Mahang Wood	94



4.3.3	Dimensional Stability of MMA-treated Mahang	97
4.3.4	Static Bending Strength of MMA-treated Mahang	102
4.3.5	Compressive Stress of MMA-treated Mahang	105
4.3.6	Hardness of MMA-treated Mahang	106
4.3.7	Specific Strength of MMA-treated Mahang	107
4.3.8	Resistance of MMA-treated Mahang against White Rot Fungus	110
4.4	Comparison of Physical, Mechanical Properties and Decay Resistance against White Rot Fungus (<i>Pycnoporus sanguineus</i>) between Impreg, Compreg and MMA-treated Mahang	113
5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	115
	REFERENCES	120
	APPENDICES A ORIGINAL DATA	129
	APPENDICES B ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)	146
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	155



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Classification of wood modification methods (see Figure 1)	13
2. Treatment codes used in this study	39
3. Summary of ANOVA on mean values of properties for untreated and Impreg mahang	58
4. Treatability of mahang with phenolic resin using vacuum-pressure process and physical properties of Impreg product	60
5. Selected mechanical properties of Impreg mahang	66
6. Specific strength of Impreg mahang compared with untreated	73
7. Mean weight loss values of untreated and phenolic resin-treated mahang after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	75
8. Summary of ANOVA on mean values of properties for untreated and Compreg mahang	77
9. Physical properties of untreated and Compreg mahang treated with 15% phenolic resin	78
10. Selected mechanical properties of Compreg mahang	85
11. Specific strength of Compreg mahang compared with untreated	90
12. Mean weight loss values of untreated and Compreg mahang after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	93
13. Summary of ANOVA on mean values of properties for untreated and MMA-treated mahang	94
14. Treatability of mahang with MMA and physical properties of untreated and MMA-treated mahang	100
15. Selected mechanical properties of MMA-treated mahang compared with untreated Wood	104
16. Specific strength of MMA-treated mahang compared with untreated	109



17. Mean weight loss values of untreated mahang and mahang-MMA composite after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	113
18. Original data of physical properties of untreated mahang wood	129
19. Original data of physical properties of Impreg mahang (15% resin concentration)	129
20. Original data of physical properties of Impreg mahang (20% resin concentration)	130
21. Original data of physical properties of Impreg mahang (25% resin concentration)	130
22. Original data of physical properties of Compreg mahang (0.3 compression ratio)	131
23. Original data of physical properties of Compreg mahang (0.4 compression ratio)	131
24. Original data of physical properties of Compreg mahang (0.5 compression ratio)	132
25. Original data of physical properties of MMA-treated mahang (0% TMPTMA concentration)	132
26. Original data of physical properties of MMA-treated mahang (1% TMPTMA concentration)	133
27. Original data of physical properties of MMA-treated mahang (3% TMPTMA concentration)	133
28. Original data of physical properties of MMA-treated mahang (5% TMPTMA concentration)	134
29. Original data of mechanical properties of untreated mahang wood	134
30. Original data of mechanical properties of Impreg mahang (15% resin concentration)	135
31. Original data of mechanical properties of Impreg mahang (20% resin concentration)	135



32. Original data of mechanical properties of Impreg mahang (25% resin concentration)	136
33. Original data of mechanical properties of Compreg mahang (0.3 compression ratio)	136
34. Original data of mechanical properties of Compreg mahang (0.4 compression ratio)	137
35. Original data of mechanical properties of Compreg mahang (0.5 compression ratio)	137
36. Original data of mechanical properties of MMA-treated mahang (0% TMPTMA concentration)	138
37. Original data of mechanical properties of MMA-treated mahang (1% TMPTMA concentration)	138
38. Original data of mechanical properties of MMA-treated mahang (3% TMPTMA concentration)	139
39. Original data of mechanical properties of MMA-treated mahang (5% TMPTMA concentration)	139
40. Original data of weight loss of untreated mahang after exposure to <i>Pycnopus sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	140
41. Original data of weight loss of Impreg mahang (15% resin concentration) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	140
42. Original data of weight loss of Impreg mahang (20% resin concentration) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	141
43. Original data of weight loss of Impreg mahang (25% resin concentration) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	141
44. Original data of weight loss of Impreg mahang (25% resin concentration) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	142
45. Original data of weight loss of Compreg mahang (0.3 CR) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	142
46. Original data of weight loss of Compreg mahang (0.4 CR) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	143
47. Original data of weight loss of Compreg mahang (0.5 CR) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	143



48. Original data of weight loss of MMA-treated mahang (0% TMPTMA concentration) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	144
49. Original data of weight loss of MMA-treated mahang (1% TMPTMA concentration) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	144
50. Original data of weight loss of MMA-treated mahang (3% TMPTMA concentration) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	145
51. Original data of weight loss of MMA-treated mahang (5% TMPTMA concentration) after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	145
52. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for weight percent gain of Impreg mahang	146
53. ANOVA for antismelling efficiency of Impreg mahang (exposed to relative humidity 95±2% for 37 days)	146
54. ANOVA for moisture excluding efficiency of Impreg mahang	146
55. ANOVA for antismelling efficiency of Impreg mahang 147 (immersed in water for 24 h)	147
56. ANOVA for reduction in water absorption of Impreg mahang	147
57. ANOVA for weight percent gain of Compreg mahang	147
58. ANOVA for antismelling efficiency of Compreg mahang (exposed to relative humidity 95±2% for 37 days)	147
59. ANOVA for moisture excluding efficiency of Compreg mahang	148
60. ANOVA for antismelling efficiency of Compreg mahang (immersed in water for 24 h)	148
61. ANOVA for reduction in water absorption of Compreg mahang	148
62. ANOVA for polymer content of MMA-treated mahang	148
63. ANOVA for antismelling efficiency of MMA-treated mahang (exposed to relative humidity 95±2% for 37 days)	149



64. ANOVA for moisture excluding efficiency of MMA-treated mahang	149
65. ANOVA for antismelling efficiency of MMA-treated mahang (immersed in water for 24 h)	149
66. ANOVA for reduction in water absorption of MMA-treated mahang	149
67. ANOVA for static bending strength of Impreg mahang	150
68. ANOVA for compressive stress perpendicular to the grain of Impreg Mahang	150
69. ANOVA for hardness of Impreg mahang	151
70. ANOVA for static bending strength of Compreg mahang	151
71. ANOVA for compressive stress perpendicular to the grain of Compreg Mahang	152
72. ANOVA for hardness of Compreg mahang	152
73. ANOVA for static bending strength of MMA-treated mahang	153
74. ANOVA for compression perpendicular to the grain of MMA-treated mahang	153
75. ANOVA for hardness of MMA-treated mahang	154
76. ANOVA for mean weight loss of Impreg mahang after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	154
77. ANOVA for mean weight loss of Compreg mahang after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	154
78. ANOVA for mean weight loss of MMA-treated mahang after exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i> for 12 weeks	154



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Diagram Illustrating Different Types of Wood Modification at the Cellular Level (adapted from Norimoto and Gril, 1993)	13
2. Polymerization Reaction of MMA without Crosslinking Agent	33
3. Sample Cutting Pattern of Impreg, Compreg and MMA-treated Mahang	39
4. Impregnation Process	40
5. Schematic Diagram of Preparation of Compreg Mahang with Different Compression Ratios (CR)	42
6. Dimensional Stability Test for Untreated and Treated wood	47
7. Static Bending Test	49
8. Compression Perpendicular to Grain Test	50
9. Hardness Test (Janka Indentation)	51
10. Durability Test against White Rot Fungus (<i>P. sanguineus</i>)	54
11. Longitudinal Section of Impreg Mahang	61
12. Percent Change in Mechanical Properties of Impreg Mahang compared to Untreated Wood	67
13. Longitudinal section of Impreg Mahang	67
14. Longitudinal section of Impreg Mahang	70
15. View of test blocks of Impreg Mahang after 12 weeks of exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i>	75
16. Longitudinal section of Compreg Mahang taken from the edge of sample	79
17. Cross section of Compreg Mahang with 0.4 CR	79
18. Longitudinal section of Compreg Mahang taken from the edge of sample	82

19. Longitudinal section of Compreg Mahang taken from the centre part of sample	82
20. Percent Change in Mechanical Properties of Compreg mahang compared to Untreated Wood	86
21. View of test blocks of Compreg Mahang after 12 weeks of exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i>	92
22. Effect of TMPTMA concentrations on %M, %P and %C of MMA-treated Mahang	96
23. Cross section of MMA-treated Mahang without crosslinker	101
24. Cross section of MMA-treated Mahang with crosslinker	101
25. Percent Change in Mechanical Properties of MMA-treated mahang compared to Untreated Wood	107
26. Longitudinal section of MMA-treated Mahang with crosslinking agent	110
27. View of test blocks of MMA-treated Mahang after 12 weeks of exposure to <i>P. sanguineus</i>	112

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
ASE	Antiswelling Efficiency
ASTM	America Standard Testing Material
BPO	Benzoyl Peroxide
BTCA	1,2,3,4-butanetetracarboxylic Acid
CA	Carboxylic Acid
CCA	Chromated Copper Arsenate
DMDHEU	Dimethyloldihydroxyethyleneurea
D.P.X.	Dibutylphthalate Polystyrene Xylene
EMC	Equilibrium Moisture Content
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
LKS	Lesser Known Species
PCA	Polycarboxylic Acid
PEG	Polyethylene Glycol
MMA	Methyl Methacrylate
MC	Moisture Content
MEE	Moisture Excluding Efficiency
MF	Melamine Formaldehyde
MOE	Modulus of Elasticity
MOR	Modulus of Rupture
OSB	Oriental Strand Board
PF	Phenol Formaldehyde



PMMA	Polymethyl Methacrylate
R	Reduction in Water Absorption
RH	Relative Humidity
MUF	Melamine-Urea Formaldehyde
SB	Static Bending
SEM	Scanning Electron Micrograph
SHP	Sodium Hypophosphite
TMPTMA	Trimethylolpropane Trimethacrylate
UF	Urea Formaldehyde
VTC	Viscoelastic Thermal Compression
WL	Weight Loss
WPG	Weight Percent Gain



