



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**DEVELOPMENT OF AN EXPERT SYSTEM FOR ASSESSMENT OF
REINFORCED CONCRETE BRIDGE CONDITION**

YAVUZ YARDIM

FK 2002 70

**DEVELOPMENT OF AN EXPERT SYSTEM FOR ASSESSMENT OF
REINFORCED CONCRETE BRIDGE CONDITION**

By

YAVUZ YARDIM

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfillment of the Requirements for Degree of Master of Science**

August 2002



Specially Dedicated
To my
Father (Ahmet Yardim)
Mother (Leyla Yardim)
My Grand Mother (Fatma Gencol)
Brother (Yasar Yardim)
Uncle (Cevdet Yardim)



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

**DEVELOPMENT OF AN EXPERT SYSTEM FOR ASSESSMENT OF
REINFORCED CONCRETE BRIDGE CONDITION**

By

YAVUZ YARDIM

August 2002

Chairman : Dr. Mohammed Saleh Jaafar

Faculty : Engineering

Condition assessment of Reinforced Concrete Bridge is a complex subject imbued with uncertainty and vagueness. This complexity arises from numbers and relations of problems in reinforced concrete bridges. Condition assessment process requires deep knowledge of the behavior of Reinforced Concrete Bridge, awareness of change, good understanding of design process and skillful person. This requirement can be achieved through a comprehensive expert system, which may represent human expertise.

The aim of this project is to develop an expert system for condition assessment of reinforced concrete bridges. The system should be an efficient tool to guide the field inspectors during identification of potential problems associated with existing reinforced concrete bridges. It is developed by integrating the existing condition assessment procedures by the Public Work Department (JKR), which is only based on visual inspection, and the results obtained through non-destructive test (NDT) techniques and finite element analysis.



The system has been successfully developed. Its final output, which not only is displayed on the monitor but also can be saved in a computer file and sent to a printer. Having this computation power, one file is created for each bridge at a particular area and quantitative comparison can be done faster and much more precisely than the available methods of condition assessment.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk mendapatkan ijazah Master Sains

**PEMBANGUNAN SISTEM PINTAR BAGI PENILAIAN KEADAAN
JAMBATAN KONKRIT BERTETULANG**

Oleh

YAVUZ YARDIM

Ogos 2002

Pengerusi: Dr.Mohammed Saleh Jaafar

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Penilaian keadaan jambatan konkrit bertetulang adalah merupakan suatu subjek yang kompleks dan melibatkan ketidakpastian. Keadaan kompleks ini adalah disebabkan oleh berbagai dan masalah yang berhubungkait dengan jambatan konkrit bertetulang.

Proses penilaian keadaan jambatan ini memerlukan pengetahuan yang mendalam mengenai sifat-sifat perilaku jambatan konkrit bertetulang, keperihatinan tentang perubahan, kefahaman yang baik tentang proses rekabentuk dan kemahiran seseorang. Keperluan-keperluan ini boleh dicapai melalui sistem pintar yang komprehensif yang mana ianya boleh menggantikan kepakaran manusia.

Tujuan projek ini adalah untuk membangunkan sistem pintar bagi penilaian keadaan jambatan konkrit bertetulang. Sistem ini mestilah merupakan suatu alat yang cekap untuk membantu penyelia sewaktu mengenalpasti masalah berbangkit yang berkaitan dengan jambatan konkrit bertetulang. Sistem ini dibangunkan dengan mengintegrasikan prosedur penilaian keadaan jambatan yang sediaada oleh Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR) yang mana

ianya hanyalah berdasarkan kepada pemerhatian secara visual dan keputusan yang diperolehi melalui teknik Ujian Tanpa Musnah (NDT) dan analisis Kaedah Unsur Terhingga.

Sistem ini telah dibangunkan dengan jayanya. Hasil terakhirnya, bukan sekadar boleh ditayangkan oleh monitor komputer akan tetapi ianya boleh disimpan di dalam fail komputer dan kemudian ianya boleh dihantar ke pencetak bagi tujuan cetakan.

Menerusi perkembangan teknologi komputer, satu fail dicipta bagi setiap jambatan untuk sesuatu kawasan dan perbandingan secara kuantitatif boleh dilakukan dengan lebih cepat dan lebih tepat berbanding dengan kaedah penilaian keadaan jambatan yang sediaada.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, my deepest thanks to ALLAH for He has guided me through and provided me wisdom, strength and comfort to complete the degree successfully.

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Mohd. Saleh Jaafar , Dr Waleed A.M. Thanoon, Prof. Anvar Asahrabov for all their guidance, help, tutelage and invaluable advice during my master project and the preparation as well as completion of this thesis. Their criticisms and suggestions have been most constructive and are highly appreciated. Their patience, trust and enthusiasm have left deep impression that could not be expressed in words.

Accordingly, I would like to say thank to the Ministry of Science, Technology and the Environment, Malaysia for financial support (Graduate Assistantship) (IRPA Grants No: 02-03-04-0090), which gave me the opportunity to pursue my master degree in Malaysia.

I would like to thank my study and house friend Shibli Rusel and my friends; Chong Kua Ping, Ahmed Muhammed , Humayun Kabir, Mehmet Artiklar and my family members; Nesrin, Narin ,Dilek, Yasin, and Yildirim for their encouragement and always making all things easier and more enjoyable for me .

I hope any who are not mentioned by name will recognize my gratitude for their kindness, advice, and moral support on completion of my degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia. To all other contributed as well as assisted me in providing different inputs in one way or another to the successful completion of my study throughout my student life , they are conferred my appreciation.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 10th August 2002 to conduct the final examination of Yavuz Yardim on his Master of Science thesis entitled “Development of an Expert System for Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Bridge Condition” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are follows:

THAMER AHMED MOHAMED, Ph.D.

Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia.
(Chairman)

MOHD SALEH BIN JAAFAR, Ir., Ph.D.


Associate Professor
Head of the Department
Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia.
(Member)

WALEED A.M. THANOON, Ph.D.

Associate Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia.
(Member)

ANVAR ASHRABOV, Ph.D.

Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia.
(Member)



SHAMSHER MOHAMAD RAMADILI, Ph.D.

Professor/ Deputy Dean
Deputy Dean, School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 9 OCT 2002

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement of the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

IR. DR MOHD SALEH BIN JAAFAR

Associate Professor
Head of the Department
Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia.
(Chairman)

DR WALEED A.M. THANOON

Associate Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia.
(Member)

PROFESSOR ANVAR ASHRABOV

Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia.
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.
Professor / Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.



YAVUZ YARDIM

Date: 9/10/2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL SHEETS	viii
DECLARATION FORM	x
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xviii

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION		
	1.1	General	1.1
	1.2	Research Objective	1.3
	1.3	Scope of Work	1.3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW		
	2.1	Human Mind and Problem Solving	2.1
	2.2	Artificial Intelligence	2.3
		2.2.1 From AI to Expert Systems	2.4
	2.3	Expert System	2.5
		2.3.1 What is an Expert System?	2.5
		2.3.2 History of Expert System Development	2.10
		2.3.3 Limitations of Expert Systems	2.10
	2.4	Representation of Uncertain Data	2.11
		2.4.1 Certainty Factor Method	2.12
		2.4.2 Fuzzy Logic	2.13
	2.5	Existing Bridge Rating Systems	2.14
		2.5.1 Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR) Condition Rating	2.14
		2.5.1.1 Material Condition Rating System	2.14
		2.5.1.2 Performance Condition Rating System	2.15
		2.5.1.3 JKR Rating System for a Bridge Structure	2.16
		2.5.2 Deterioration Degree (DD) Coefficient Method	2.16
		2.5.3 Method of Classification and Quantification of Structures	2.21



2.5.4	Others Bridge Inspection Systems	2.23
2.5.4.1	Guidelines for Inspection and Maintenance of Bridges	2.23
2.5.4.2	Bridge Inspection Guide (BIG)	2.24
2.6	Existing Development of Expert System for Structures	2.24
2.6.1	Expert System with Learning Ability for Retrofitting Steel Bridges	2.24
2.6.2	The Development of Computer-Aided System for Quantitative Assessment of Deterioration Effects in Concrete Bridge	2.26
2.6.2.1	Inspection Type	2.28
2.6.2.2	Concrete Bridge Rating	2.30
2.6.3	Expert System for Risk Assessment of Concrete Dam	2.32
2.6.4	The Use of an Expert System in Selecting Paint Schemes to Protect Structural Steelwork	2.37
2.6.5	Expert System for Industrial Residuals Application Assessment.	2.38
2.7	Summary	2.39
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introductions	3.1
3.2	Architecture of an Expert System	3.2
3.2.1	Knowledge Representation	3.3
3.2.1.1	Rules	3.5
3.2.1.2	Frames	3.9
3.2.2	Representing Uncertainty in the Knowledge Base	3.10
3.3	Architecture Of The Expert System For Condition Assessment	3.11
3.4	Sub- Expert System	3.13
3.4.1	System Flow	3.14
3.4.2	Probability of Answers	3.14
3.4.3	Question Importance	3.15
3.4.4	Question Developer	3.15
3.4.5	Logic of Question Developer	3.16
3.4.6	Creating the Knowledge Base	3.19
3.4.7	User Interface	3.21
3.4.8	Getting Results	3.23
3.4.9	Conclusion of Sup Expert System	3.25
3.5	Data Base of Expert System	3.27
3.6	Knowledge Base of Expert System	3.27
3.6.1	Types of Bridge Inspection	3.27
3.6.2	Administrative data	3.33
3.6.3	Technical Data	3.33
3.6.4	Geometrical Data	3.35
3.6.5	Inspection of the Reinforced Concrete Bridge	3.37

	3.6.5.1	Wearing Surface (wearing coat)	3.39
	3.6.5.2	Expansion Joints	3.40
	3.6.5.3	Bearings	3.41
	3.6.5.4	Structural Elements of Deck (i.e. of superstructure)	3.43
	3.6.5.5	Abutments And Piers (And Wing Walls)	3.48
	3.6.5.6	Stems (i.e. columns or shafts or walls) of Piers and Abutments	3.52
	3.6.5.7	Foundation	3.54
	3.6.5.8	Supplementary Items	3.56
	3.6.5.9	Utilities	3.59
3.7		File System	3.60
4		DISCUSSION AND DEVELOPMENT OF EXPERT SYSTEM	
4.1		Introduction	4.1
4.2		System Flow	4.2
	4.2.1	Standard Report Form	4.2
	4.2.2	Administration Data	4.3
	4.2.3	Geometric Data	4.7
4.3		Bridge Inspection	4.10
	4.3.1	Items to be Inspected	4.13
	4.3.2	Bridge Component	4.15
4.4		JKR Material Rating	4.17
4.5		File System	4.22
5		SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	
5.1		Comparison Between Current JKR System and the New Developed System	5.1
5.2		Conclusion	5.10
		REFERENCES	R.1
		APPENDICES	A.1
		BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	B.1



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Research in artificial intelligence (AI)	2.4
2.2	Classification of Expert Systems' Application	2.8
2.3	Causal Network and Network Model for Reasoning Retrofitting Method (Mikami 1989)	2.26
2.4	Partial Representation of the Inference Tree	2.35
2.5	Applied Forces and Cracking Modes on Typical Joint: (a) Tee Joint; (b) Butt Joint; (c) Lap Joint (Mikami 1989)	2.43
3.1	Components of an Expert System	3.4
3.2	Example of an AND/OR Chart	3.8
3.3	Architecture Layout of the Expert System for Condition Assessment of Bridge	3.12
3.4	General Frame of Sub-Expert System	3.13
3.5	Impotency of Question	3.15
3.6	Develop Question, Load Data Base, Ask from Data Base, Edit and Use	3.16
3.7.a	Importance of the Questions	3.18
3.7.b	Importance of the Questions	3.18
3.8	Get Question and Answers from Data Base for Link to the Related Distress by Choosing the Ideal Probability of Answers	3.19
3.9	Relations Between Answers and Distress	3.20
3.10	Settled Relation Between KB and Importance	3.21
3.11	Ideal Settling of Program for Each Distress	3.21
3.12	User Interface of Sub Expert System	3.22
3.13	Considered Input Selection Key in by Inspector	3.23



3.14	Integration of KB and Input Selection for Each Cause of Distress	3.24
3.15	Result Ranges Between -50 to 50	3.24
3.16.a	Getting Values from First Question	3.26
3.16.b	Getting Values from Second Question	3.26
3.16.c	Getting Final Results by Using the Formula	3.26
3.17	Types of Bridge Inspection	3.28
3.18	Routine Condition Inspections	3.29
3.19	Detailed Inspection	3.30
3.20	Major Inspections	3.32
3.21	Special Inspections	3.32
3.22	Administration Data of bridge	3.34
3.23	Technical Data of Bridge	3.36
3.24	Components of Bridge	3.36
3.25	Geometric Data of Bridge	3.37
3.26	File System	3.61
4.1	Standard Reporting Form for Malaysian Bridges	4.3
4.2	Administration Data Form	4.4
4.3	System and Service Type of Bridge	4.6
4.4	Saving of Administration Data Form	4.7
4.5	Geometric Data Form	4.8
4.6	Saving of Geometric Data Report	4.10
4.7	Type of Inspection	4.11

4.8	Sequence of Inspection	4.12
4.9	Type of Bridge Inspection	4.12
4.10	Explanation of Inspection Type	4.13
4.11.a	Items to be Inspected Form Setting	4.14
4.11.b	Items to be Inspected Form Setting	4.14
4.12	Items to be Inspected	4.15
4.13	Bridge Components Form 1	4.16
4.14	Setting of Bridge Components Report	4.16
4.15	Report Designer Form	4.17
4.16	Bridge Component Report	4.17
4.17	Startup Form of JKR Material Rating System	4.18
4.18	Possible Distress on Bridge Member	4.19
4.19	Possible Distress on Bridge Member with Definitions of Severity of Distress	4.19
4.20	Explanatory Facility for Distress (non-Structural Cracks)	4.20
4.21	Report of Distress (Plastic Settlement Cracks)	4.20
4.22	Output of JKR Rating System	4.21
4.23	Final Report of JKR Rating System	4.21
4.24	File System for All Programs	4.22
4.25	Final Out put of Comprehensive Expert System for The Simple Case Study	4.23
5.1	JKR Standard Reporting Form	5.2
5.2	Administration form of Developed System	5.2
5.3	Recording of Administration Data	5.3

5.4	Geometric Form of Developed System	5.3
5.5	Recording of Geometric Data	5.4
5.6	Items to be Inspected form of Developed System	5.5
5.7	Items to be Inspected Report For Deck Slab	5.5
5.8	Checklist of the System for Deck Slab which Adopted form JKR Checklist	5.6
5.9	Checklist of the System for Pier which Adopted form JKR Checklist	5.6
5.10	Checklist of the System for Abutment which Adopted form JKR Checklist	5.7
5.11	Checklist of the System for Parapet which Adopted form JKR Checklist	5.7



LIST OF TABLE

Table		Page
2.1	Early Expert Systems (David W. Rolston 1988)	2.11
2.2	Performance Condition Rating of Components (JKR 1995)	2.16
2.3	JKR Rating System for Bridge Structure (JKR 1995)	2.17
2.4	Intensity Factor (IF)	2.19
2.5	Structural Degree of Deterioration (DD)	2.21
2.6	Retrofitting Methods (Mikami 1989)	2.27
2.7	Calibration Chart for Rebound Schmidt Hammer	2.32
2.8	Calibration Chart for Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity	2.32
2.9	Causes and Effects of General Problems	2.36
2.10	Possible Distresses on a Beam	2.40
3.1	Case Study of Crack	3.17
5.1	Comparison of new system and JKR system for bridge SG.Bera	5.8
5.2	Comparison of new system and JKR system for bridge SG. SRI	5.9
5.3	Comparison of new system and JKR system for bridge Sungai Bilut	5.9
5.4	Comparison of new system and JKR system for bridge Jambatan Paya Lang	5.9
5.5	Comparison of new system and JKR system for bridge SG.Belenggu	5.10
5.6	Comparison of new system and JKR system for bridge Sungai Jelai	5.10

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Highway is the highest form of technology for land transportation and a very important subject for the developed countries; even the length of highway could be shown to indicate countries' development level. Along the highways most critical and delicate points are bridges. The tragic collapse of the Silver Bridge in US on Dec.15, 1967, resulted in the deaths of 46 people (FHWA 2000). The collapse is a very good example of new technology's problems and it led to the development of bridge inspection standards. The standard outline defines qualifications of bridge inspector, the scope of bridge inspection programs, and provides standardized methods of evaluation and appraisal of bridge conditions. The condition assessment of bridge, however, requires extensive research to be conducted in the areas of distressed concrete structures.

The condition assessment process of bridge requires deep knowledge of the behavior of reinforced concrete bridges, awareness of changes, good understanding of design process, and, most importantly, skillful personnel. At the same time, bridge condition assessment is a laborious and expensive exercise. It requires a lot of quality and knowledgeable personnel to be on site. One possible solution to overcome this obstacle and to fulfill the



need for comprehensive inspection is by the use of computer-assisted tools such as expert system.

Expert systems have been defined as consulting systems that simulate the problem solving ability of human experts through the use of expertise drawn from an information base and specific rules employed to interpret such knowledge (Ignizio 1991). The expert system is used to aid in making recommendations, and it allows an expert to concentrate on more difficult aspects of the task, enforces consistency, and preserves valuable knowledge which would otherwise be lost. Expert system, moreover, is applied when expert is not available.

Currently, inspection practice for bridges depends on visual inspection to evaluate the condition assessment. The system requires an input not only from visual inspection but also from that of confirmatory non-destructive tests and distress investigations, through structural analysis. The actual role of the expert system in this area is to develop an engineering decision – making tool to assist an inspector during the inspection of an existing reinforced concrete bridges with consideration of non-destructive tests and structural analysis. The tool can identify the nature of problem, their causes assess and aid inspector to draw a proper conclusion regarding the condition of an existing bridge.

1.2 Research Objective

This project aims to develop an expert system for bridge condition assessment. The system is based on the following combinations:

- a) Data- base system; includes all possible concrete bridge components
- b) Sub-expert system; with the use of certainty factor method, an expert system tool, can be developed to give the probability of problem occurrences.
- c) Knowledge base system; based on existing knowledge and JKR rating systems
- d) File system; include all the information from field inspection and comprehensive expert system results.

1.3 Scope of Work

The scope of this study includes the following steps;

1. To study literature of early expert systems, which have been developed for commercial and research purpose, and inspection systems, which have been using currently by different countries for condition assessment of bridges.
2. To filter most popular expert systems and discover best way to adopt expert system on bridge condition assessment.

3. To chose suitable programming language for development of the bridge condition assessment program.
4. to collect data for bridge condition assessment and modify the data for programming purpose.
5. To develop the system based on selected expert system type, program language, and collected data.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Human Mind and Problem Solving

The thinking of the human mind is an extremely complicated process. In modeling the information processing of the mind, separate short-term and long-term memories are identified. Data is continuously entered to the brain through the five sensory organs or sensors and stored in the short-term memory temporarily. The human mind filters this data, decides what is important, and stores the important information in the long-term memory in complicated networks of some kind. The information stored in the long-term memory is mostly in symbolic forms and patterns, i.e. objects and relationships among them. Research in human cognition suggests that this information is stored in the brain as clusters of symbols or chunks of information.

The human brain is slow in storing information. It takes approximately seven seconds to store a chunk of information in the long-term memory. This is the reason why it usually takes years to become an expert in a particular domain. On the other hand, the human brain is incredibly efficient in symbolic pattern recognition and retrieving the information stored in the long-term memory. The processing cycle for accessing a chunk of information is estimated at approximately 70 milliseconds (Townsend and Feucht, 1986). However human brain is slow and



weak due to long-term memory, people involved intelligent machines and systems which can store information faster and more save than human brain.

As a very simple example of the pattern recognition and information retrieval capability of the human mind, read the following and then try to remember it:

Dlgnoe tgae gdrbie si a nspnsuieo rbgdei

Now, do the same thing with the following:

Golden Gate Bridge is a suspension bridge

Needless to say, storing and retrieving the second piece of information is much faster than the first one. For the second case, the reader clusters the information into seven chunks and therefore needs to remember only seven chunks of information. In contrast, in the first case he or she must remember 41 chunks of information. Research in human cognition suggests that the human brain can keep from four to seven chunks of information in short-term memory simultaneously. It is this pattern recognition and chunking of information that is used most effectively by an expert in a particular domain. Chess masters can duplicate the chessboard by observing a game for a few seconds. They cannot simply memorize the positions of 32 pieces on a given board. Instead, they cluster the pieces into a few recognizable patterns of pieces. While the human mind is weak in numerical processing compared with the simplest calculator, it can outperform the largest and fastest computers in symbolic processing and reasoning. Simulating the symbol processing ability of the human brain has been a subject of particular interest to people involved in developing intelligent machines.