Provided by Universiti Putra Malaysia Institutional Repositor



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

FACTORS AFFECTING VARIATION IN LEVELS OF PARTICIPATION IN WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS IN IRAN

REZA BAGHERIAN IPSS 2009 7



FACTORS AFFECTING VARIATION IN LEVELS OF PARTICIPATION IN WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS IN IRAN

By

REZA BAGHERIAN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

December 2009



DEDICATION

Dedicated to my beloved family, my wife and my children;

Sina, Soroush and Melika for their support and patience

During my study in Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

FACTORS AFFECTING VARIATION IN LEVELS OF PARTICIPATION IN WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS IN IRAN

By

REZA BAGHERIAN

December 2009

Chairman: Associate Professor Dr. Bahaman Abu Samah, PhD

Institute: Institute of Social Science Studies

This study was designed to determine the factors that affect variation of level of

participation in Watershed Management Programs (WMP) in Iran. In this regard, a

conceptual model was developed to: 1) identify characteristics that lead to the

participation in WMP, and 2) determine the factors that affect variation of level of

participation in WMP. In this study the relationship of five factors with level of

participation were examined to provide the role of each factor in explaining the

variation of level of participation in WMP. These factors were; 1) socio demographic

characteristics of the respondents, 2) respondents knowledge of WMP, 3) respondents

attitude toward WMP, 4) respondents expectations of WMP, and 5) respondents

satisfaction of prior programs as independent variables and the level of participation

as dependent variable.

In order to achieve to this goal, a cross sectional survey was design and utilized. The

data were gathered through personal interview by using a set of questionnaire. The

iii

data were collected from two hundred respondents which were randomly selected from three villages in Hable-Rud basin in Iran. Descriptive analysis, factor analysis, Pearson product moment correlation, one way analysis of variance and multiple regressions were employed for analyzing data in this study.

The findings of study showed that the majority of the respondents were male (93 %) and married (93.5 %). The data showed that the average household size in the study area was 5.2 members in a household. The study showed that educational level in the study area was relatively high (18 % diploma and bachelor) and the mean of the respondent's age was 46 years. The data also showed that 55% of the respondent's main occupation was farming and the average of their total monthly income was 3.5 Million Rial per month. The findings of study also showed that 58% of the respondents were member at least in one local group and 47.5 % of the respondents were joined to the program with their self interest.

The results of this study showed that the level of the respondent's knowledge of WMP was low; however, they indicated positive attitude toward WMP. This study also showed that the respondent's expectations of WMP were moderate to high and their satisfaction of prior programs was moderate to low. The study also showed that the level of participation in WMP was moderate to low; however, people preferred more involvement in social rather than economical and environmental activities.



Study also found significant difference between, occupational groups, range of local groups once belong to it, source of motivation to join and level of participation. Pearson's correlation analysis showed that six variables: 1) satisfaction of prior programs, 2) attitude toward WMP, 3) knowledge of WMP, 4) alternative income, 5) total income, and 6) expectations of WMP have linear relationship with the level of participation in WMP. Regression analysis discovered that these variables explained 45 % of the variation in the level of participation.

The result of this study also provided some theoretical and practical implication and recommendations on the participation in WMP. This study recommends that social exchange and reason action theory are appropriate to explain the variation of level of participation. However, participation is a complex issue and future researches might benefit from pluralistic approach and perspectives to explain the participation. In this study also some implication and recommendations for policy and practice were suggested to increase the level of participation in WMP. This study also highlighted the need for future research on participation in watershed management programs by considering other factors in other populations in Iran.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebahagian keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

FAKTOR-FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI PERBEZAAN TAHAP PENGLIBATAN DALAM PROGRAM PENGURUSAN TADAHAN AIR DI IRAN

Oleh

REZA BAGHERIAN

Disember 2009

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Dr. Bahaman Abu Samah, PhD

Institut: Institut Pengajian Sains Sosial

Tahap penyertaan berkesan adalah penentu utama kepada kejayaan atau kegagalan

dalam sesebuah program pembangunan, tetapi faktor pemangkin dalam menghasilkan

penyertaan yang berjaya masih kekal sebagai satu rahsia, terutama di Iran di mana

penyertaan merupakan satu cabaran untuk sesebuah negara yang mengamalkan tradisi

lama di dalam pengurusan atas-bawah.

Kajian ini telah direka bentuk untuk analisa penyertaan masyarakat tempatan di dalam

Program Pengurusan Kawasan Tadahan atau Watershed Management Programs

(WMP) di Iran dengan menggunakan rangka kerja teori pertukaran sosial.

Berdasarkan teori pertukaran sosial dan sorotan literatur, terdapat beberapa faktor

telah diambil sebagai pemboleh ubah tak bersandar untuk menentukan faktor-faktor

yang mempengaruhi masyarakat yang menyertai WMP. Bagi mencapai matlamat ini,

dua ratus responden dipilih secara rawak daripada tiga WMP dari lembangan di

vi

Hable-Rud, Iran. Pada Ogos dan September 2008, data telah dikumpul melalui temu bual peribadi dengan menggunakan satu soal selidik. Terdapat beberapa teknik digunakan bagi menganalisis data kajian ini seperti analisis deskriptif, analisis faktor, korelasi Pearson, analisis varian dan regresi pelbagai. Penemuan kajian ini menunjukkan terdapat lapan (6) pemboleh ubah yakni, kepuasan masyarakat terhadap program tertentu, pendapatan alternatif, jumlah pendapatan, keahlian berkumpulan, sikap terhadap WMP, pengetahuan mengenai WMP, jangkaan terhadap WMP dan sumber penyertaan WMP mempunyai hubungan ketara dengan tahap penyertaan masyarakat di dalam WMP.

Analisis regresi pelbagai menemui enam pemboleh ubah bebas yang menjangkakan tahap penyertaan terbaik serta menghuraikan kira-kira 45 % variasi di dalam tahap penyertaan tersebut iaitu kepuasan masyarakat terhadap program tertentu, pendapatan alternatif, jumlah pendapatan, keahlian berkumpulan, sikap terhadap WMP, pengetahuan mengenai WMP dan jangkaan terhadap WMP. Kajian ini mengesyorkan teori pertukaran sosial adalah satu rangka kerja yang sesuai bagi menjelaskan tahap penyertaan ini.

Tetapi penyertaan merupakan satu isu yang rumit dan para pengkaji di masa hadapan mungkin memperoleh manfaat dari pendekatan pelbagai dan perspektif bagi menjelaskan tahap penyertaan ini. Beberapa cadangan juga disaran bagi meningkatkan tahap penyertaan dalam WMP. Kajian ini turut menekankan keperluan



untuk penyelidikan masa hadapan bagi penyertaan dalam WMP dengan menimbang faktor-faktor lain bagi populasi lain.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I wish to thank God almighty for his grace and strength which without it, I would have not completed this study in time. I would like to extend my deepest appreciation to my kind supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Bahaman for his valuable advice and support on this project. I am in debt to him for his help and patience. I am also grateful for the guidance of my committee members, Associate Professor Dr. Asnarulkhadi and Dr. Shamsuddin Ahmad. I would like to express my deepest gratitude for their valuable advices to me. Without their help, completion of this research would not have been possible.

I thank University Putra Malaysia for giving me this opportunity to pursue my doctoral degree in the field of rural advancement. I am also very grateful to the Dean of Graduate School Office of UPM for supporting my study. I would like also express my deepest appreciation to Prof. Saleh Hassan, Head of Institute for Social Science Studies, deputy of Institute and all staffs of IPSAS, specially Hilyana, Inani and Hanizah secretaries of IPSAS for their kindness and cooperation and Rafitah Ibrahim for translating my thesis abstract from English to Malay, and Dr. Shantha, Dr. Jeffrey for their cooperation during my study in IPSAS. Thank you for all.

I would like to convey my appreciation for Professor Haji Azimi Hamze, Professor Azhari Smail, Professor Rahim Sail, Professor Aminah Ahmad, Associate Professors Dr. Bahaman, Associate Professors Dr. Jegak Uli, Dr. Shamsuddin Ahmad, Dr. Abdul



Latif, Mr. Daniel, and Mr. Ahmad Yousof my lecturers during my PhD study in UPM.

I learned a lot from their courses which I have attended. Thank you.

I would like to extend my appreciation to Dr. Porhemmat, Head of AREO, Dr. Davoudi, Head of SCWMRI, Dr. Esmael Sharifi, Head of Watershed Management Department and my kind friends in AREO, SCWMI, and Rural Researches Center: Dr. Arab Khedri, Dr. Shokry, Mr. Ghazimoradi, Mr. Alireza Bagheri, Mr. Ghiasi, Mr. Rahmati, Dr. Shadfar, Dr. Goodarzi, Dr. Namaki, Dr. Ebrahimpour, Dr. Razavi, Mr. Effati, for their helping during my study in Malaysia. I would like to extend my appreciation to Dr. Sadeghi and Vakilpoor, my good friends and roommate in UPM, my friends Dr. Sanae, Dr. Mohaddes, Dr. Bahiraee, Mr. Nazari, Mr. Dehghan and all of other friends which is impossible to name all of them here. Thank you so much to all of you for supporting me during my study in Malaysia.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to all respondents in Arou, Hesarbon and Lazor villages who had participated in this study; I would like to say a big "thank you" for their participation. This study would not have been possible without their interest and involvement. Thank you for all. I would like to articulate my appreciation to the village council members in Arou, Hesarbon and Lazor villages who helped me a lot in this study. I would like to thank Mr. Mohammadi, Mrs. Mafi, and Mr. Mirkiaee, in Hable-Rud project department and Mr. Tavangar for their cooperation during my data collection and field study. This study would not have been possible without their cooperation and involvement.

In the last but not least, I owe my beloved family, my mother, my wife, my brothers, my father in law, my children, and my brothers and sister in law and all relatives and



families for their support during my study in Malaysia. Without their love and support, this study would not have been possible. Thank you for all your love.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 10th December 2009 to conduct the final examination of Reza Bagherian on his thesis entitled "Factors Explaining Variation of levels of Participation in Watershed Management Programs in Iran" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U. (A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Nobaya Ahmad, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Jegak Uli, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Educational studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal examiner)

Jamilah Othman, PhD

Lecturer
Institute for Social Science Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal examiner)

Ismail Baba, PhD

Professor University Science Malaysia (External examiner)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of supervisory committee were as follow:

Bahaman Abu Samah, PhD

Associate Professor Institute for Social Science Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Asnarulkhadi Abu Samah, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Shamsuddin Ahmad, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 February 2010



DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently, submitted for any other degree at University Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

REZA BAGHERIAN

DATE: 5/ 1/ 2010



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|-----------------|--|--------------|
| DEDIC | ATION | ii |
| ABSTR. | | iii |
| ABSTR. | | vi · |
| | OWLEDGEMENTS | ix :: |
| APPRO DECL A | VAL RATION | xii xiv |
| | F TABLES | XIV XVIII |
| | F FIGURES | XX |
| | F ABBREVIATIONS | xxi |
| СНАРТ | ER | |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | Background of study | 1 |
| | Problem statement | 5 |
| | Research questions | 7 |
| | Objectives of study | 8 |
| | Significance of study | 8 |
| | Research assumptions | 10 |
| | Limitations of study | 10 11 |
| | Definition of terms Chapter summary | 12 |
| | Chapter summary | 12 |
| 2 | LITRATURE REVIEW | 13 |
| | Natural Resources in Iran | 13 |
| | Watershed Management Program | 17 |
| | Participation | 22 |
| | Concept of participation | 24 |
| | Benefits of participation | 25 |
| | Level of participation Methods of participation | 27 31 |
| | Evaluating of participation | 31 |
| | Theoretical framework of study | 34 |
| | Factors affecting participation | 43 |
| | Socio demographic characteristics | 43 |
| | Knowledge and awareness | 46 |
| | Attitude toward program | 47 |
| | Expectations of program | 49 |
| | Satisfaction of prior programs | 50 |
| | Chapter summary | 51 |
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | 53 |
| | Research design | 53 |
| | Research Framework | 54 |



| | Research Hypotheses | 58 |
|---|--|-----|
| | Instruments, scales and measurements | 59 |
| | Socio demographic characteristics | 60 |
| | Knowledge of WMP | 61 |
| | Attitude toward WMP | 62 |
| | Expectations of WMP | 63 |
| | Satisfaction of prior programs | 64 |
| | Participation in WMP | 65 |
| | Validity and reliability of Instruments | 68 |
| | Population of study | 69 |
| | Sampling method | 70 |
| | Data collection procedure | 71 |
| | Data analysis processes | 72 |
| | Exploratory Data Analysis | 73 |
| | Factor Analysis | 75 |
| | Descriptive Analysis | 85 |
| | Analysis of Variance | 85 |
| | Correlation Analysis | 86 |
| | Multiple Regression Analysis | 87 |
| | Chapter summary | 87 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 88 |
| | Socio demographic characteristics of respondents | 88 |
| | Level of Knowledge of WMP | 95 |
| | General knowledge | 95 |
| | Knowledge of objectives | 95 |
| | Knowledge of functions | 96 |
| | Knowledge of components | 96 |
| | Overall knowledge of WMP | 97 |
| | Level of attitude toward WMP | 98 |
| | Level of expectations of WMP | 101 |
| | Reciprocation of WMP | 101 |
| | Justice distributive of WMP | 107 |
| | Overall expectations of WMP | 112 |
| | Level of Satisfaction of prior programs | 113 |
| | Level of Participation in WMP | 115 |
| | Social participation | 116 |
| | Economical participation | 120 |
| | Environmental participation | 123 |
| | Overall participation in WMP | 126 |
| | Comparison of participation by selected socio demographic variable | |
| | Comparison of participation by educational groups | 127 |
| | Comparison of participation by main occupation | 128 |
| | Comparison of participation by alternative occupation | 128 |
| | Comparison of participation by number of group membership | 129 |
| | Comparison of participation by source of motivation | 129 |
| | Relationship between predictor variables and participation | 132 |
| | Socio demographic and participation | 132 |
| | Knowledge of WMP and participation | 133 |
| | Attitude toward WMP and participation | 135 |



| Expectation of WMP and participation | 135 |
|--|-----|
| Satisfaction of prior programs and participation | 137 |
| Regression analysis for explaining level of participation | 138 |
| Examining the data for regression analysis | 138 |
| Regression model for explaining participation | 144 |
| Chapter summary | 146 |
| 5 SUMMARY, CONCLUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 147 |
| Summary of findings | 148 |
| Personal profiles of respondents | 148 |
| Differences of participation among respondents | 151 |
| Relationship between predictor variables and participation | 152 |
| Conclusion | 152 |
| Implications | 155 |
| Theoretical implication | 155 |
| Practical implication | 155 |
| Policy implication | 156 |
| Recommendations | 157 |
| Recommendation for theory | 157 |
| Recommendation for policy | 157 |
| Recommendation for future studies | 159 |
| REFERENCES | 160 |
| APPENDICES | |
| BIODATA OF STUDENT LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | |



LIST OF TABLES

| | Table | Page |
|-----|--|------|
| 1. | Natural resources area in Iran | 14 |
| 2. | Changes in rangelands condition from 1974 to 2005 in Iran | 16 |
| 3. | Socio demographic characteristics and their type of score | 60 |
| 4. | Reliability coeficients of research instruments | 69 |
| 5. | Population of sampling villages in study area | 70 |
| 6. | Descriptive tables of independent variables and its subscales | 74 |
| 7. | Descriptive table of participation variables and its subscales | 75 |
| 8. | KMO and Bartlett's Test of sample size for participation | 77 |
| 9. | Varimax rotation factor pattern of people participation in WMP | 80 |
| 10. | KMO and Bartlett's Test of sample size for expectation | 81 |
| 11. | Varimax rotation factor pattern of people expectation of WMP | 84 |
| 12. | Guilford rule of thumb for size and strength of the relationship | 86 |
| 13. | Socio demographic characteristics of the respondents | 93 |
| 14. | Level of respondent's knowledge of WMP | 97 |
| 15. | Level of respondents' attitude toward WMP | 100 |
| 16. | Level of environmental benefits of WMP | 104 |
| 17. | Level of socio economic benefits of WMP | 106 |
| 18. | Level of overall benefits of WMP | 107 |
| 19. | Level of equitability of WMP | 109 |
| 20. | Level of fairness of WMP | 111 |
| 21. | Level of justice distributive of WMP | 112 |
| 22. | Level of respondents overall expectations of WMP | 113 |



| 23. Level of respondents' satisfaction of prior programs | 115 |
|---|-----|
| 24. Level of respondents' social participation in WMP | 120 |
| 25. Level of respondents' economical participation in WMP | 123 |
| 26. Level of respondents' environmental participation in WMP | 126 |
| 27. Level of respondents' overall participation in WMP | 127 |
| 28. Comparison of participation by individual charactristics | 131 |
| 29. Correlation between socio demographic variables and participation | 133 |
| 30. Correlations between knowledge variables and participation | 134 |
| 31. Correlations between attitude toward WMP and participation | 135 |
| 32. Correlations between expectations of WMP and participation | 136 |
| 33. Correlations between satisfaction and participation | 137 |
| 34. Correlation matrix of independent variables | 142 |
| 35. Summary of the collinearity statistics of independent variables | 144 |
| 36. Multiple regression model for explaining participation | 145 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| | Figure | Page |
|----|---|------|
| 1. | Climate Map of Iran | 15 |
| 2. | A model of organization for WMP in Hable-Rud basin | 22 |
| 3. | Ladder of citizen participation | 28 |
| 4. | Research framework | 57 |
| 5. | Model of people participation in WMP | 67 |
| 6. | Scree plot of factors for participation variable | 77 |
| 7. | Scree plot of factors for expectation variables | 81 |
| 8. | Normal P.P. plot of regression standardized residual | 139 |
| 9. | Scotter plot of regression standardized residual values | 140 |



LIST OF ABRIVIATIONS

AREO Agricultural Research and Education Organization

FAO Food and Agricultural Organization

FRWMO Forest and Rangeland Watershed Management Organization

IPSAS Institute for Social Science Studies of UPM

SCI Statistics Center of Iran

SCWMRI Soil Conservation and Watershed Management Research Institute

SMLWR Sustainable Management of Land and Water Resources

UNDP United Nation Development Program

UPM University Putra Malaysia

WMP Watershed Management Program



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents the background of the study, problem statement, research questions, objectives of study, significance of study, assumptions of study, limitation of study and finally end by definition of terms which are used in this study.

Background of study

The term participation has gained a lot of popularity during the last years, particularly in reference to sustainable agriculture and rural development projects. Development agencies introduced concepts of participation in projects and programmes in the late 1970s and early 1980s after that the lack of beneficiaries' participation was identified as a reason for failure of many development efforts. Initially, emphasize was on popular participation. In the past years the promotion of participation in development has become more widespread and the focus has widened to include other stakeholders as well (Karl, 2000).

The public participation today is demanding a greater role in decision making processes about the management of natural resource. The new agreement about the necessity of public participation began to emerge with the 1987 World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED), which recognized the alarming rate at



which resources were depleting due to economic development. This was followed by the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil in June 1992 with the adoption of Agenda 21, which states in chapter 23, section III that" one of the fundamental prerequisites for achievement of sustainable development is broad public participation in decision-making" (Gwena M. B. 2007).

There are also several studies which highlighted the importance of participation (Platt, L. 2006; Irvin and Stansbury, 2004; Ayee, 2001). In point view of Platt (2006), lack of participation in the society is one aspect of poverty. Irvin and Stansbury (2004) believe that citizen participation will produce more public preference decision making. According to Ayee (2001) participation is linked to poverty and social exclusion. This is because participation supports efforts at self-help, which are meant to eradicate poverty and encourages the growth of democratic institutions, which creates political space for disadvantaged groups, who were originally excluded from the decision-making process.

From the point view of Wainwright & Wehrmeyer (1998), participation by citizens and users presents an important concept and strategy for planners, designers, community organizers, and government officials. According to (Searle, 1988; Nurick, 1982; Hunter, 1982) participation in decision making reflects the opportunities for influence and as a result, need satisfaction. In this regard and with reference to the above mentioned, the degree of popular participation in development programs is a major determinant of success or failure, but many opportunities for participation are met with little enthusiasm or cooperation by



citizens (Wandersman, and Gary. 1980) and the policy which makes participation efforts successful still remains a mystery. Especially in Iran participation is quite a challenge for a country with long tradition of top-down management. As Hamd Haidari and Wright (2001) have argued, during the *Shah* regime in Iran, and before the Islamic Revolution of Iran in 1979, there was a hierarchical approach to decision-making in all administrative sectors. Most projects on that time had a top-down character in their conceptualization, design and implementation. In that time, central government experts usually prepared five year plans under which annual programs were executed. Local councils generally were not effective in influencing decision-making in design and implementation of development programs.

After the Islamic Revolution of Iran in 1979, participation was guaranteed under the constitution of the Islamic Republic of Iran, which clearly says, the participation of the whole people in the determination of its political, economic, social, and cultural fate is one of the aims of the state (Article 3, point 8, quoted in Schirazi, 1993). To achieve this goal, after 1979 the government of Iran encouraged the popular participation in various programs planning (Hamd Haidari and Wright, 2001). In this regard Ministry of Jihad as a new established organization after Islamic revolution in 1979 which had great role in rural development encourages the rural people to participate in planning and implementation of development programs.

During the last years land and water resources in Iran have suffered severe degradation. Watershed management program (WMP) is one of the current approaches to sustainable management of land and water resources in Iran. It was started at the time of third Iranian 5th socio economic and cultural development plan;

