

CORE

Provided by Universiti Putra Malaysia Institutional Repository

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF VIBRIO SPECIES ISOLATED FROM SEAWATER

YUHERMAN

FSMB 2001 20

MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF VIBRIO SPECIES ISOLATED FROM SEAWATER

By

YUHERMAN

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology Universiti Putra Malaysia

July 2001



DEDICATION

TO BOTH MY PARENTS

H. MOCHAMMAD DIN BIN BURHAN

AND

HJ. MARDIANA BINTI SALEH

TO MY SON

FACHRUL FARIZAN

TO MY UNCLE

Drs. ASNOL AMRI AND FAMILY

FOR THEIR MORAL SUPPORT AND ENCOURAGEMENT



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF VIBRIO SPECIES ISOLATED FROM SEAWATER

By

YUHERMAN

July 2001

Chairman: Associate Professor Dr. Son Radu

Faculty: Food Science and Biotechnology

A study was conducted to determine the prevalence of *Vıbrio* species in seawater samples obtained from the coast of Malacca, Penang (Batu Feringgi, George Town), and Terengganu, respectively.

Four Vibrio cholerae O139, 10 V. cholerae non-O1, 7 V. cholerae O1, 160 Vibrio parahaemolyticus, and 57 Vibrio vulnificus strains were isolated from 240 seawater samples 4/32 (0.13%), 3/32 (0.09%), 2/32 (0.06%), and 24/32 (0.75%) seawater samples obtained from Malacca were positive for V. cholerae O139, V. cholerae non-O1, V. cholerae O1, and V. parahaemolyticus strains, respectively. 6/30 and 7/30 seawater samples obtained from Batu Feringgi and George Town beaches were positive for V. parahaemolyticus strains, respectively. All the V. parahaemolyticus strains were Kanagawa-negative. Fifty seven V. vulnificus strains of biotype 1 were isolated from 11 (18.33%) of 60 seawater samples



obtained around Marang beach (Terengganu). The antibiotic resistance patterns of all Vibrio species strains tested showed that all were resistant to one or more of the antibiotics tested. Of 15 antibiotics tested against all the Vibrio species strains, V. cholerae O139 serogroup, clinical V. cholerae and environmental V. cholerae have Antibiotic Resistance Index (ARI) values of 0.55, 0.56, and 0.57, respectivcely. 89, 18, 4, 8, and 4 strains of V. parahaemolyticus, V. vulnificus, V. cholerae O1, V. cholerae non-O1, and V. cholerae O139 serogroups harboured plasmid(s) with sizes ranging from 1.3 to 16 MDa, respectively. 85/120 and 29/40 isolates of V. parahaemolyticus isolated from Malacca, and Penang were positive for the presence of toxR gene, respectively, and none the strains were positive for the tdh, trh, and ctx genes. All clinical strains of V. cholerae O1 and non-O1 and environmental isolates of V. cholerae O139 were positive for the ctx gene. The Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA - Polymerase Chain Reaction assay of environmental and clinical V. cholerae O1 and non-O1 strains generated 15, 15, 7, and 9 RAPD-types with primers Gen 15003, Gen 15005, Gen 15007, and Gen 15008, respectively. All V. cholerae strains generated a total of 30 RAPD-types based on primers used, whereas 48 RAPD-types were observed among the 57 V. vulnificus strains. The RAPD-PCR of V. parahaemolyticus generated 133 and 137 RAPD-types obtained with primers Gen 15001 and Gen 15002, respectively. Combination of both these primers generated 154 types for 160 strains of V. parahaemolyticus. 28 distinct V. parahaemolyticus clusters and 20 single isolates were observed at similarity level of approximately 70% based on ERI consensus. 6 major clusters and 2 single isolates were observed at the same





similarity level among clinical and environmental isolates of *V. cholerae*. Multiple PFGE banding patterns were observed among 28/160 representative strains of *V. parahaemolyticus* with sizes ranging <48 to 340 kb. Seven different PFGE patterns were identified among the 14 strains of clinical *V. cholerae*. Twelve PFGE patterns were observed among 14 representative of 57 strains of *V. vulnificus*. ERIC-PCR and RAPD-PCR methods gave higher resolution among the three molecular methods that were used in characterization of the *Vibrio* species isolated from seawater. ERIC-PCR and Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENCIRIAN MOLIKULAR SPESIS VIBRIO YANG DIASINGKAN DARIPADA AIR LAUT

Oleh

YUHERMAN

Julai 2001

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Dr. Son Radu

Fakulti: Sains Makanan dan Bioteknologi

Suatu kajian telah dijalankan untuk mencirikan spesis Vibrio yang diasingkan daripada air laut dipantai Melaka, Penang (Batu Feringgi, George Town) dan Terengganu. Daripada 240 sampel air laut yang diuji, 4 Vibrio cholerae O139, 10 V. cholerae bukan-O1, 7 V. cholerae O1, 160 Vibrio parahaemolyticus, 57 Vibrio vulnificus diasingkan.

Kewujudan spesis Vibrio dalam sampel air laut daripada Melaka adalah sebanyak 4/32 (0.13%), 3/32 (0.09%), 2/32 (0.06%), 24/32 (0.75%) yang merupakan positif kepada kehadiran spesis V. cholerae O139, V. cholerae bukan-O1, V. cholerae O1 dan V. parahaemolyticus. Enam daripada 30 sampel air laut daripada pantai Batu Feringgi dan 7 daripada 30 sampel air laut daripada pantai George Town adalah positif dengan 16 dan 24 kultur V. parahaemolyticus dapat diasingkan. Kesemua

kultur *V. parahaemolyticus* adalah negatif-Kanagawa. Lima puluh tujuh kultur daripada biotip 1 untuk *V. vulnificus* diasingkan daripada 11 (18.33%) daripada 60 sampel air laut yang diperolehi daripada pantai Marang (Terengganu). Kesemua spesis *Vibrio* yang diuji untuk corak ketahanan antibiotik menunjukkan ketahanan terhadap satu atau lebih antibiotik. Daripada 15 antibiotik yang diuji terhadap spesis *Vibrio, V. cholerae* kumpulan sero O139, *V. cholerae* klinikal dan *V. cholerae* persekitaran menunjukkan nilai index ketahanan antibiotik sebanyak 0.55, 0.56 dan 0.57. Lapan puluh sembilan *V. parahaemolyticus*, 18 *V. vulnificus*, 4 *V. cholerae* O1, 8 *V. cholerae* bukan-O1 dan 4 *V. cholerae* kumpulan sero O139 mempunyai plasmid yang mempunyai julat saiz daripada 1.3 hingga 16 MegaDalton (MDa).

Penemuan gen virulen melalui pengeseian PCR untuk *V. parahaemolyticus* menunjukkan 75% (85/120) dan 73% (29/40) kultur *V. parahaemolyticus* daripada Melaka dan Penang memberikan bacaan positif kepada kehadiran gen *toxR*. Namun demikian, tiada bacaan positif diperolehi untuk 160 kultur *V. parahaemolyticus* untuk gen *tdh*, *trh* dan *ctx*. Kultur klinikal *V. cholerae* O1 dan bukan-O1 serta asingan daripada persekitaran untuk *V. cholerae* O139 adalah positif untuk gen *ctx*. Pengeseian RAPD-PCR untuk kultur klinikal dan persekitaran *V. cholerae* O1 dan bukan-O1 menghasilkan 15, 15, 7 dan 9 jenis RAPD dengan menggunakan primer Gen 15003, Gen 15005, Gen 15007 dan Gen 15008. Kesemua kultur *V. cholerae* menghasilkan 30 jenis RAPD untuk primer yang digunakan manakala 48 jenis RAPD diperhatikan dalam 57 kultur *V. vulnificus*. Keputusan RAPD-PCR untuk *V. parahaemolyticus* menghasilkan 133 dan 137 jenis RAPD dengan primer Gen



15001 dan Gen 15002. Gabungan kedua primer ini menghasilkan 154 jenis untuk 160 kultur V. parahaemolyticus.

Analisa corak ERIC-PCR dengan menggunakan dendrogram yang dihasilkan daripada perisian Gelcompar versi 4.1 menunjukkan 28 koloni *V. parahaemolyticus* yang spesifik dan 20 asingan diperhatikan pada peringkat yang sama sebanyak 70%. Dalam kultur *V. cholerae* yang diasingkan daripada sampel persekitaran dan klinikal, 6 koloni dan 2 asingan diperhatikan pada peringkat yang sama. Analisa corak ERIC-PCR dalam kultur *V. vulnificus* menghasilkan 12 kelompok yang utama dan 3 asingan pada peringkat yang sama.

Corak jaluran PFGE diperhatikan dalam cap jari yang dihasilkan daripada 28 wakil daripada 160 kultur *V. parahaemolyticus* dengan julat saiz lebih daripada 48 hingga 340 kilobasa. Tujuh corak PFGE telah dikenalpasti daripada 14 kultur klinikal *V. cholerae*. Dua belas corak PFGE telah dipastikan daripada 14 wakil daripada 57 kultur *V. vulnificus*.

Kaedah ERIC-PCR dan RAPD-PCR menghasilkan resolusi yang lebih tinggi diantara tiga kaedah molikular yang digunakan untuk pencirian spesis *Vibrio* yang diasingkan dari air laut. ERIC-PCR lebih tinggi kuasa diskriminasi dan diikuti oleh RAPD-PCR dan PFGE.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise to Almighty Allah, the Merciful and the Benevolent. Had it not been due to his will and favour, the completion of this study would not have been possible.

I would like to express my sincere gratefulness and appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Son Radu, who has devoted much of his time for invaluable guidance, advice, supervision, and support throughout the course of this study.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to the Dean of the Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology who is also my co-supervisor, Professor Dr. Gulam Rusul Rahmat Ali for his invaluable advice, support and continuous encouragement towards the completion of this work.

Sincere thanks are also due to my co-supervisor, Dr. Lum Keng Yeang (Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute) who has provided advice, helpful discussion, and facilities in his laboratory during the course of this study.

I would also like to express my heartfelt gratitude to Associate Professor Anders Dalsgaard from Laboratory of Fish Diseases, Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University, Frederiksberg, Denmark, who has provided advice and helpful discussion that enlightened and improved this study.



I would like to express my gratitude to Professor Dr. Mitsuaki Nishibuchi from Division of Human Environment, Center for Southeast Asian Studies, Kyoto University who has permitted to use of the results of detection of virulence genes in this study.

I would like to thank the postgraduate and graduate students, Mrs. Sahilah Abdul Mutalib, Mrs. Endang Purwati, Mr. Ahrnad Zainuri, Mr. Samuel Lihan, Ms. Roseline Ooi Wai Ling, Mrs. Rozila Alias, Mrs. Zuraini Mat Issa, Ms. Salasiah who were involved either directly or indirectly in sharing their knowledge and skills and assistance during this study.

Lastly, grateful thanks are also conveyed to my parents, H. Mochammad Din Bin Burhan and Hj. Mardiana Binti Saleh, to my son Fachrul Farizan, to my brothers and sisters Junaedi, Yasnul, Nina Gustini, Dina Herawati, to my uncle Drs. Asnol Amri and his family for their moral support and encouragement.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 30th July 2001 to conduct the final examination of Yuherman on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Molecular Characterization of *Vibrio* Species Isolated from Seawater" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

ABDUL MANAF ALI, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Faculty of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

SON RADU, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Faculty of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

GULAM RUSUL RAHMAT ALI, Ph.D.

Professor Faculty of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

LUM KENG YEANG, Ph.D.

Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI) Malaysia (Member)

ANDERS DALSGAARD, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Department of Veterinary Microbiology The Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University, Copenhagen Denmark (Independent Examiner)

MOHD. GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph.D. Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 2 2 AUG 2001

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

e-j-

AINI IDERIS, Ph.D. Professor Dean of Graduate School Universiti Putra Malaysia

08 NOV 2001



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

ERMAN Name of Candidate

Date: 22-08-2001



| Sampling Methods Isolation Identification Results Discussion IV ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING AND PLASMI PROFILING Introduction Materials and Methods Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing Disc Diffusion Testing Extraction of Plasmid DNA Reagents Results Discussion | . 90 . 90 . 91 . 92 . 93 D . 99 . 101 . 102 . 103 . 104 105 . 106 |
|--|---|
| Isolation Identification Results Discussion IV ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING AND PLASMI PROFILING Introduction Materials and Methods Materials and Methods Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing Disc Diffusion Testing Extraction of Plasmid DNA Reagents Results Discussion V V DETECTION OF VIRULENT GENES AMONG VIBRI PARAHAEMOLYTICUS, AND VIBRIO CHOLERAE O1, NON | . 90 91 92 93 D 99 99 101 101 102 103 104 105 106 |
| Identification Results Discussion IV ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING AND PLASMI PROFILING Introduction Materials and Methods Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing Disc Diffusion Testing Extraction of Plasmid DNA Reagents Results Discussion | 91 92 93 D 99 99 101 101 102 103 104 105 106 |
| Results | 92 93 D . 99 99 101 101 102 103 104 105 106 |
| Discussion IV ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING AND PLASMI PROFILING Introduction Materials and Methods Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing Disc Diffusion Testing Extraction of Plasmid DNA Reagents Results Discussion V DETECTION OF VIRULENT GENES AMONG VIBRI PARAHAEMOLYTICUS, AND VIBRIO CHOLERAE O1, NON | 93 D 99 99 101 101 102 103 104 105 106 |
| IV ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING AND PLASMI PROFILING | D . 99 . 99 . 101 . 101 . 102 . 103 . 104 105 . 106 |
| N ARTIBIOTIC SOSCERTIBLETT TESTING AND TEASING PROFILING | . 99 . 99 . 101 . 101 . 102 . 103 . 104 . 105 . 106 |
| Introduction | 99 101 101 . 102 . 103 . 104 105 . 106 |
| Materials and Methods | . 101 101 . 102 . 103 . 104 105 . 106 |
| Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing | 101 . 102 . 103 . 104 105 . 106 |
| Disc Diffusion Testing | . 102 . 103 . 104 . 105 . 106 |
| Extraction of Plasmid DNA | . 103 . 104 105 . 106 |
| Reagents Results Discussion V DETECTION OF VIRULENT GENES AMONG VIBRI PARAHAEMOLYTICUS, AND VIBRIO CHOLERAE 01, NON 01 | . 104 105 . 106 |
| Results Discussion V DETECTION OF VIRULENT GENES AMONG VIBRIA PARAHAEMOLYTICUS, AND VIBRIO CHOLERAE O1, NON 01 AND 0120 STD AND | 105 . 106 |
| Discussion V DETECTION OF VIRULENT GENES AMONG VIBRI PARAHAEMOLYTICUS, AND VIBRIO CHOLERAE 01, NON 01 AND 0120 STD AND | . 106 |
| V DETECTION OF VIRULENT GENES AMONG VIBRI PARAHAEMOLYTICUS, AND VIBRIO CHOLERAE O1, NON | • |
| V DETECTION OF VIRULENT GENES AMONG VIBRI PARAHAEMOLYTICUS, AND VIBRIO CHOLERAE O1, NON | |
| PARAHAEMOLYTICUS, AND VIBRIO CHOLERAE O1, NON | 0 |
| | J_ |
| | 121 |
| Introduction | . 121 |
| Materials and Methods | 121 |
| Rectarial Strains | 123 |
| Extraction of Genomic DNA and DCP Assay for a | 12J |
| Gene in Vibrio cholerae O1, Non-O1, and O139 Strain | ns |
| | . 123 |
| Extraction of Genomic DNA and PCR Assay for toxi | <i>ζ</i> , |
| tdh, trh, and ctx Genes in Vibrio parahaemolytic | lS |
| Strains | 124 |
| Results | 125 |
| Discussion | 126 |
| | |
| VI MOIECUIAR CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MIDNI | 0 |
| VI WOLECOLAR CHARACTERIZATION OF THE VIDRI | |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN | D |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE | D 137 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE | D 137 . 137 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE | D 137 . 137 . 142 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE | D 137 . 137 . 142 142 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE | D 137 . 137 . 142 142 . 143 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE Introduction | D 137 137 142 142 143 143 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE Introduction Materials and Methods Bacterial Strains Genomic DNA Isolation Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA – Polymeras Chain Reaction | D 137 137 142 142 143 143 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE | D 137 . 137 . 142 142 143 143 143 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE Introduction | D 137 . 137 . 142 142 143 143 144 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE Introduction Materials and Methods Bacterial Strains Genomic DNA Isolation Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA – Polymeras Chain Reaction Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus Polymerase Chain Reaction Genomic DNA Preparation for Pulsed-Field Ge | D 137 . 137 . 142 142 143 143 144 el |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE Introduction | D 137 137 142 142 143 143 144 el 146 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE Introduction Materials and Methods Bacterial Strains Genomic DNA Isolation Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA – Polymeras Chain Reaction Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus Polymerase Chain Reaction Genomic DNA Preparation for Pulsed-Field Ge Electrophoresis Spel Digestion | D 137 . 137 . 142 142 143 143 144 144 146 147 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE Introduction Materials and Methods Bacterial Strains Genomic DNA Isolation Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA – Polymeras Chain Reaction Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus Polymerase Chain Reaction Genomic DNA Preparation for Pulsed-Field Genomic DNA Proparation for Pulsed-Field Genomic DNA Preparation for Pulsed-Field Genomic Pulse | D 137 137 142 142 143 143 144 144 144 146 147 148 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE | D 137 137 142 142 143 143 143 144 el 144 el 146 148 148 148 |
| SPECIES STRAINS USING RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, AN PFGE Introduction Materials and Methods Bacterial Strains Genomic DNA Isolation Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA – Polymerase Chain Reaction Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus Polymerase Chain Reaction Genomic DNA Preparation for Pulsed-Field Genomic DNA Preparation for Pulsed-Field Genomic Spel Digestion Analysis of PFGE Patterns Results | D 137 137 142 142 143 143 144 144 144 146 147 148 148 148 148 142 |



| Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus – PCR Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis | 156 160 |
|---|------------|
| GENERAL DISCUSSION | 200 |
| CONCLUSION | 213 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 218 |
| CURRICULUM VITAE | 250 |
| PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM WORK IN THIS THESIS | 251 |





LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------------|
| 1. | Differential characteristics of <i>Vibrio</i> species pathogenic for humans | 77 |
| 2. | Reaction of V. cholerae in screening tests | 78 |
| 3. | Comparison of epidemic- and non-epidemic- associated <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> strains | 7 9 |
| 4. | Differentiation of clinical and El Tor biotypes of Vibrio cholerae | 80 |
| 5. | Serotypes of Vibrio cholerae serogroup O1 | 81 |
| 6. | Appearance of <i>Vibrio</i> colonies on isolation agar medium after 24-h incubation | 82 |
| 7. | <i>Vibrio</i> infection reported to CDC, by syndrome and complications, 1998 | 83 |
| 8. | Prevalence of Vibrio species isolated from seawater | 97 |
| 9. | Percentage of antibiotic resistance index of <i>Vibrio</i> isolated from seawater and patients | 112 |
| 10. | Antibiotic resistance patterns and plasmid profiling of <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> used in this study | 113 |
| 11. | Antibiotic resistance patterns and plasmid profiling of <i>Vibrio choleraes</i> used in this study | 116 |
| 12. | Antibiotic resistance patterns and plasmid profiling of <i>Vibrio vulnificus</i> used in this study | 117 |
| 13. | The results of the detection of virulent genes by using PCR on Vibrio parahaemolyticus strains | 130 |
| 14. | The results of the detection of genes by using PCR on <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> strains | 134 |
| 15. | The RAPD-PCR types of Vibrio parahaemolyticus strains | 164 |
| 16. | The RAPD-PCR types of Vibrio cholerae strains | 169 |
| 17. | The RAPD-PCR types of Vibrio vulnificus strains | 170 |



16



LIST OF FIGURES

| 1. | environmental <i>Vibrio</i> s /Lysine (+) / Ornithine (+) |
|----|---|
| 2. | Procedure for recovery of |
| 3. | Diagram of cholera toxin. toxin which mediate bind ganglioside GM_1 form enzimacally active subuni The A subunit is compo- which are bonded togethe toxin interacts with the 1 reduced |
| 4. | The basic PCR mechanis chosen for amplification. (denatured) by heating ar billion-fold excess of the p raised to the optimum polymerase and the prim |

A set of keys for biochemical identification of

Table

| Page |
|------|
|------|

| 1. | A set of keys for biochemical identification of environmental <i>Vibrio</i> species based on Arginine(-) /Lysine (+) / Ornithine (+) | 84 |
|----|--|-----|
| 2. | Procedure for recovery of Vibrio cholerae strains | 85 |
| 3. | Diagram of cholera toxin. The five subunits (B) of cholera toxin which mediate binding to the host cell receptor, ganglioside GM_1 form a dougnut shape with the enzimacally active subunit (A) in The centre of the ring. The A subunit is composed of two parts, A1 and A2, which are bonded together by a disulfide bond. When the toxin interacts with the host cell the disulfide bond is reduced | 86 |
| 4. | The basic PCR mechanism. (1a) A region of DNA is chosen for amplification. (1b) The strands are separated (denatured) by heating and then cooled in a million- to billion-fold excess of the primers. (1c) The temperature is raised to the optimum for a thermostable DNA polymerase and the primers are extended using the sequence of the template strands. (2a) Only one of the newly made strands is shown after a second round of heating. (2b) When cooled, primers bind to the newly made strand , which serves as a template. (2c) The newly made strand has two defined ends, each of which is determined, by the location of the primers | 87 |
| 5. | Sampling sites: (A). Malacca beach (Malacca), (B). George Town beach (Penang), (C) Batu Feringgi (Penang), and (D) Marang beach (Terengganu) | 98 |
| 6. | Plasmid extraction technique for Gram-negative bacteria | 119 |
| 7. | Agarose (0.7%) gel electrophoresis of plasmid DNA from V. parahaemolyticus isolates no. $1 - 14$ | 120 |
| 8. | Agarose (0.7%) gel electrophoresis of plasmid DNA from V. parahaemolyticus isolates no. 15 – 28 | 120 |
| 9. | Genomic DNA isolation for PCR assay | 135 |
| | | |

| 10. | Agarose (2%) gel electrophoresis of representative toxR | |
|-----|---|-----|
| | positive V. parahaemolyticus strains | 136 |



| 11. | RAPD-PCR fingerprints of V. cholerae isolated from patients and outbreaks cases obtained with primers GEN 15003 (lanes :1[A], 2[B], 3[C], 4[D], 5[E], 6[E], 7[F], 8[G]); GEN 15005 (lanes: 9[A], 10[B], 11[C], 12[D], 13[E], 14[F]); GEN 15007 (lanes: 15[A], 16[B], 17[C]) and GEN 15008 (lanes: 18[A], 19[empty], 20[B], 21[C]). Lane S contains lambda ladder DNA molecular weight markers in basepairs (bp) | 172 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 12. | RAPD-PCR fingerprints of <i>V. cholerae</i> isolated from seawater obtained primers GEN 15003 (lanes: 1[H], 2[I], 3[J], 4[K], 5[L], 6[M], 7[N], 8[empty], 9[O]) and GEN 15005 (lanes: 10[G], 11[H], 12[I], 13[J], 14[K], 15[L], 16[empty], 17[M], 18[N], 19[O]). Lane S contains lambda ladder DNA molecular weight markers in basepairs (bp) | 172 |
| 13. | RAPD-PCR fingerprints of <i>V. cholerae</i> isolated from seawater obtained with primers GEN 15007 (lanes: 1 and 8 [D], 2 and 5 [E}, 3[F], 4 and 7[G], 8[H], and GEN 15008 (lanes: 9 and 10 [D], 11[E], 12 and 13[F], 14[G], 15[H], 16 [I], 17, 18 and 19 [J]. Lane S contains lambda ladder DNA molecular weight markers in basepairs (bp) | 173 |
| 14. | RAPD-PCR analysis of V. cholerae O139 strains. Four kinds of arbitrary primers GEN 15003 (lanes: 2, 3, 4 and 5), GEN 15007 (lanes: 6, 7, 8, and 9), Gen 15008 (lanes: 10, 11, 12 and 13) and GEN 15009 (lanes: 14, 15, 16, and 17) were used for PCR. Lanes: 2, 6, 10 and 14 (VC2), 3, 7, 11 and 15 (VC15), 4, 8, 12 and 16 (VC27), 5, 9, 13, and 17 (VC38); 1 and 18, lambda DNA ladder size markers (bp) | 173 |
| 15. | RAPD-PCR fingerprints of the representative isolates V . parahaemolyticus no. 1 – 19 with primer GEN 15001. Lane S contains DNA molecular weight markers in kilobase pairs (kb) | 174 |
| 16. | RAPD-PCR fingerprints of the representative isolates V. parahaemolyticus no. 1 – 19 with primer GEN 15002. Lane S contains DNA molecular weight markers in kilobase pairs (kb) | 174 |
| 17. | RAPD-PCR fingerprints of the representative isolates of V. vulnificus no. $1 - 19$ with primer GEN 15003. Lane S contains DNA molecular weight markers in kilobase pairs | 175 |
| | (KD) | 175 |



| 18. | RAPD-PCR fingerprints of the representative isolates of V . vulnificus no. 1 – 19 with primer GEN 15009. Lane S contains DNA molecular weight markers in kilobase pairs (kb) | 175 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 19. | Dendrogram illustrating the relationships among the 157 Vibrio parahaemolyticus strains analysed by PCR fingerprinting using primer GEN 15001. VPM: Malacca strains; VPP: Penang strains | 176 |
| 20. | Dendrogram illustrating the relationships among the 150 <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> strains analysed by PCR fingerprinting using primer GEN 15002. VPM: Malacca strains; VPP: Penang strains | 179 |
| 21. | Dendrogram illustrating the relationships among the 53 <i>Vibrio vulnificus</i> strains analysed by PCR fingerprinting using primer GEN 15003. Strains no. 23, 35, 46, and 54 are untypable | 181 |
| 22. | Dendrogram illustrating the relationships among the 57 Vibrio vulnificus strains analysed by PCR fingerprinting using GEN 15009 | 182 |
| 23. | Dendrogram illustrating the relationships among the 19 representative strains of <i>V. cholerae</i> analysed by RAPD-PCR fingerprinting using primer GEN 15003 | 183 |
| 24. | Dendrogram illustrating the relationships among the 15 representative strains of <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> analysed by RAPD-PCR fingerprinting using primer GEN 15005 | 184 |
| 25. | Dendrogram illustrating the relationships among the 15 representative strains of <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> analysed by RAPD-PCR fingerprinting using primer GEN 15007 | 185 |
| 26. | Dendrogram illustrating the relationships among the 18 representative of <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> strains analysed by RAPD-PCR fingerprinting using primer GEN 15008 | 186 |
| 27. | DNA fingerprinting of 14 strains of clinical V. cholerae O1 and non-O1 generated by ERIC-PCR amplification. The first lane is 1 kb ladder molecular size markers. Lanes 1 to 4 are clinical V. cholerae non-O1. Lanes $5 - 14$ are clinical V. cholerae O1 | 187 |
| | | 107 |

•

| 28 | B. DNA fingerprinting of 17 strains of environmental V. cholerae O1 and non-O1 generated by ERIC-PCR amplification. The first lane is 1 kb ladder molecular size markers. Lanes 1 to 8, 11, and 12 are environmental V. cholerae non-O1. Lanes 9, 10, and 13 to 17 are environmental V. cholerae O1 | 187 |
|----|--|-----|
| 29 | DNA fingerprinting of the representative strains of <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> generated by ERIC-PCR amplification. The first lane is molecular size markers (1 kb ladder). Lanes 1 - 19 are <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> strains from Malacca state (VPM 1 – VPM 19) | 188 |
| 30 | DNA fingerprinting of the representative strains of V. vulnificus generated by ERIC-PCR amplification. The first lane is molecular size markers (1 kb ladder). Lanes $1 - 19$ are V. vulnificus strains from Terengganu state (VV1 - VV19) | 188 |
| 31 | Dendrogram of illustrating the relationships among the 148 <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> strains analysed by ERIC- PCR fingerprinting. Strains no. 27, 28, 29, 40, 44, 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, 142, and 157 are untypable | 189 |
| 32 | 2. Genetic relationships among isolated <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> strains of different sources. EVC: Environmental <i>V. cholerae</i> strains; CVC: Clinical <i>V. cholerae</i> strains. The dendrogram was produced by clustering of the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic means | 191 |
| 33 | Genetic relationships among isolated <i>Vibrio vulnificus</i> strains. Dendrogram was produced by clustering of the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA) | 192 |
| 34 | A flow-chart of the procedure of the simplified protocol for the preparation of DNA for PFGE analysis | 193 |
| 35 | PFGE patterns of <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> strains after DNA digestion with <i>SpeI</i> . Representative samples isolated from Malacca. Lane M is molecular size markers (in hilobases); lanes 1 – 14 are examined <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> (VPM1 – VPM14) | 194 |
| 36 | PFGE patterns of V. parahaemolyticus strains after DNA digestion with SpeI. Representative samples isolated from Penang. Lane M is molecular size markers (in kilobases); lanes $1 - 14$ are representative V. parahaemolyticus (VPP121 VPP125) | 104 |
| | $(VIIIZI = VIIIZJ) \dots \dots$ | 194 |



| 37. | PFGE patterns of overall clinical V. cholerae strains after DNA digestion with SpeI. Lane M is molecular size markers (in kilobases); lanes $1 - 14$ are V. cholerae strains examined (CVC1 - CVC14) | 195 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 38. | PFGE patterns of overall environmental V. cholerae strains after DNA digestion with SpeI. Lane M is molecular size markers (in kilobases); lanes $1 - 14$ are environmental V. cholerae strains examined (EVC1 – EVC17). Isolates no. 1 to 8 have experienced the DNA degradation | 195 |
| 39. | PFGE patterns of representative V. vulnificus strains after DNA digestion with SpeI. Lane M is molecular size markers (in kilobases); lanes $1 - 14$ are representative V. vulnificus strains (VV1 – VV14) | 196 |
| 40. | Genetic relationships among 25 representative strains of <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> isolated from Malacca (VPM1 – VPM14) and Penang (VPP120 – VPP134). Similarity analysis was performed by using the Jeffrey coefficient and clustering was done by UPGMA. CVC: clinical <i>V. cholerae</i> strains; EVC: environmental <i>V. cholerae</i> strains | 197 |
| 41. | Genetic relationships among 23 clinical and environmental <i>V. cholerae</i> strains isolated from Malacca. Similarity analysis was performed by using the Jeffrey coeficient and clustering was done by UPGMA. CVC: clinical <i>V. cholerae</i> strains; EVC: environmental <i>V. cholerae</i> strains | 198 |
| 42. | PFGE patterns of the 14 representative of 57 V. vulnificus after DNA digestion with SpeI. Lane M is molecular size markers (in kilobases); lanes $1 - 14$ are representative V. vulnificus strains (VV1 – VV14) | 199 |



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| APW | Alkaline Peptone Water |
|-------|---|
| ARI | Antibiotic Resistance Index |
| cAMP | Cyclyc Adenosine MonoPhosphate |
| CDC | Center of Disease Control and Prevention |
| CFU | Colony-Forming Unit |
| СТ | Cholera Toxin |
| CVC | Clinical Vibrio cholerae |
| DNA | Deoxyribo Nucleic Acid |
| EDTA | Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetic |
| ERIC | Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus |
| EVC | Environmental Vibrio cholerae |
| GET | Glucose-EDTA-Tris base |
| GS | Gelatin Salt |
| IMR | Institute for Medical Research |
| KIA | Kligler Iron Agar |
| KP | Kanagawa Phenomenon |
| LIA | Lysine Iron Agar |
| MDa | Mega Dalton |
| min | minute(s) |
| mCPC | modified Cellobiose-Polymyxin B-colistin |
| NCCLS | National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standard |
| O/F | Oxidase / Fermentation |
| ONPG | O-Nitrophenyl- β -Galactopyranoside |
| PCR | Polymerase Chain Reaction |
| PFGE | Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis |
| RAPD | Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA |
| rpm | round per minute |
| SDS | Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate |
| sec | second(s) |
| SOD | Superoxidase Dismutase |
| tdh | Thermostable Direct Hemolysin |
| trh | Thermostable Direct Hemolysin-Related Hemolysin |
| TCBS | Thiosulfate Citrate Bile salts Sucrose |
| TCI | Thiosulfate Chloride Iodide |
| TSA | Trypticase Soy Agar |
| TSI | Triple Sugar Iron |
| TTGA | Taurocholate Tellurite Gerlatin Agar |
| VV | Vibrio vulnificus |
| VPP | Vibrio parahaemolyticus Penang |
| VPM | Vibrio parahaemolyticus Malacca |
| μg | Micro-gram |
| μl | Micro-liter |



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria belonging to the genus Vibrio are widely distributed in the aquatic environment and are considered to be autochthonous bacteria in marine and estuarine waters (Nishibuchi and Kaper, 1985). There is considerable evidence that surface waters, especially estuary and lagoon systems with elevated salinity are natural habitats of Vibrio (Bockemuhl et al, 1986). The Vibrio from seawater have attracted increasing attention since one of them is an important cause of food poisoning in Japan, where numerous outbreaks have followed consumption of raw fish dishes. In 1951, Japanese researchers discovered that Vibrios are a common cause of illness among people who eat fish caught in bacterially contaminated water. Since then, it has been found to cause about a quarter of all reported cases of diarrhea in Japan, where fish in often eaten raw. Outbreaks have also been reported around the world, including almost all coastal states of the United States, and epidemics have occurred in Latin America and Southeast Asia. In the nineteenth century, pandemic of Asiatic cholera occurred from the Far East to Africa, other parts of Asia, Europe, and North America. During the present century, the disease appears to have been more or less limited to India and surrounding areas although epidemics have occurred in other parts of the world, including Egypt, Indonesia, Korea and the Philippines (Wistreich and Lachtman, 1988). Today, cholera infection had been reported from India, Bangladesh, Nepal, Burma, Thailand, Malaysia, Saudia Arabia, China and Pakistan, Italia, Spain, Japan, Australia (Venkateswaran et al., 1989, Amaro et al., 1990, Albert, 1994,



Desmarchelier *et al.*, 1995, Barbieri *et al.*, 1999, Radu *et al.*, 1999). However, the geographic locations of cholera outbreaks have not changed dramatically for hundreds of years. In the USA, cholera was a severe epidemic disease, and the pattern was very similar from 1800 to 1900, until the introduction of safe drinking water. Where cholera has occurred, as in Bangladesh, the pattern even in 1998 has not changed much.

There have been seven pandemics of cholera in recorded history. Even though the etiological agents of the first four pandemics are not known since they occurred in the time before such agents could be recognized, the last three pandemics are known to be due to Vibrio cholerae serogroup O1. The current global epidemics of cholera are part of the tail of the seventh pandemic, which began in the 1960s (Colwell and Huq, 1999) Since 1883 when the causative agent, the Cholera Vibrio, was discovered by Robert Koch in epidemic in Egypt and India (Davis *et al.*, 1973), the disease continues to spread in other parts of the world. The latest case, large epidemic of cholera-like disease occurs in Bangladesh caused by Vibrio cholerae O139 synonim Bengal. In this case, the causative agent or this organism was included into Vibrio cholerae non-O1. According to the Cholerae Working Group Report (1994), epidemics of cholerae caused by Vibrio cholerae O1 occur regularly in Bangladesh, however, until lately Vibrio cholerae non-O1 has been associated with sporadic cases of diarrhoeal disease in many parts of the world. This epidemic began in December 1992 in Southern Bangladesh and spread throughout the country. By the end of March 107,297 cases of diarrhea and 1473 death had been reported (Albert, 1994). This disease has also a close relationship with major public health problem confronting developing countries,