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## PROBLEM STATEMENT

- Poor international mathematics performance testing by American students has been documented as early as the 1960s (Mayfield \& Glenn, 2008).
- Documentation of poor achievement via the achievement gap:
- Black and White students
- 1965
- Large scale surveys with national samples (Hedges \& Nowell, 1999)


## PROBLEM STATEMENT CONTINUED

- An unacceptable number of Black males between the ages of 14-16 years of age continue to fail algebra 1 mathematics at the high school level.
- The failure of algebra 1 mathematics at the high school level often has lead to a lower curriculum track assignment for the Black male student.
- The new track assignment is absent access: - STEM (Science Technology Engineering \& Mathematics)
(Riegle-Crumb \& Grodsky, 2010; Ballon, 2008)


## PURPOSE STATEMENT

- The purpose of this quantitative study was to identify those factors that contributed to the high failure rate among Black males 14-16 years of age enrolled for the first time in a high school algebra 1 course taught in an urban public school in order to find ways to navigate around these barriers inhibiting Black male success in algebra 1 and thereby increase the college acceptance rate for matriculating Black males.


## LITERATURE REVIEW

- Among $4^{\text {th }}$ grade males, Black males enter school with:
- Lower math
- Lower reading
- Lower vocabulary skills
- Specific interest has been placed on the low academic performance of the Black male when compared to other ethnic groups
(Kafele, 2012; Whitting, 2006; Sandowski, 2006


## LITERATURE REVIEW CONTINUED

- African American youth have unique issues that present barriers to success in their academic performance (Sommers, Owens, \& Pilawsky, 2008)
- Not all Black males get the opportunity to take Algebra in middle school (Davis, 2014).
- Eunsook, Sas, Sas. J. (2006).
-Efficient note taking
-Actual problem Solving



## RESEARCH QUESTION \#1

- What differences exists, if any, in the learning styles between those students that passed algebra 1 and students who failed algebra 1 during first semester of the 2016-2017 school year?


## RESEARCH QUESTION \#2

- What differences exists, if any, in the mathematics selfefficacy among students who passed algebra 1 and those students who failed algebra 1 during first semester of the 2016-2017 school year?


## RESEARCH QUESTION \#3

- What were the early predictors, if any, between the preferred learning styles, math self-efficacy scores, and the standardized test performance scores of participants 14-16 years of age who passed algebra 1 and participants who failed algebra 1 first semester of the 2016-2017 school year.


## STUDY SIGNIFICANCE

- To assist those students who still struggle
- For math instructors
- To contribute to the conversation concerning mathematics
- Participant benefits
- To start new conversation about pedagogical change


## DESIGN

## $\square$ Quantitative

Relationships in a statistical way
$\square \quad$ Chi Square analysis for RQ \#1 and RQ \#2
$\square \quad 2$ groups with nominal data
$\square \quad 2$ sample $t$-test for RQ \#3
(Leedy \& Ormond, 2013)

## PARTICIPANTS

- groups identified for the study.
-Student's who passed algebra 1 during $1^{\text {st }}$ semester of the 2016-2017 school year
-Students who failed algebra 1 during $1^{\text {st }}$ semester of the 2016-2017 school year


## INSTRUMENTS UTILIZED

Learning Style Inventory
$\square$ Math Self-Efficacy Scale
$\square \quad$ Both measurements given to participants on the same day
$\square \quad$ Total time required 1hr 5min.

## FINDINGS RQ \#1

- What differences exists, if any, in the learning styles between those students that passed algebra 1 and students who failed algebra 1 first semester of the 20162017 school year?
- RQ \#1 - No statistically significant relationship was identified.
- Specifically, $\left(\chi^{2}(4, N=41), p=.498\right)$ represents the results from the Chi Square analysis


## FINDINGS RQ \#2

- What differences exists, if any, in the mathematics selfefficacy among students who passed algebra 1 and those students who failed algebra 1 first semester of the 2016-2017 school year?
- RQ \#2- No statistically significant relationship was identified.
- Specifically, $\left(\chi^{2}(30, N=42)=, p=.312\right)$ represented the results from the Chi Square analysis.


## FINDINGS RQ \#3

- What were the early predictors, if any, between the preferred learning styles, math self-efficacy scores, and the standardized test performance scores of participants 14-16 years of age who passed algebra 1 and participants who failed algebra 1 first semester of the 2016-2017 school year.
- RQ \#3No statistically significant relationship was identified.
- Specifically, $t(40)=.19, p=.56, t(41)=.21, p=.248$, and $t(41)=.01, p=.858$ were the results generated from the $t$-test analysis.


## CONCLUSIONS

- A relationship does not exist between preferred learning styles and math success for urban Black males who passed or failed high school algebra 1
- A relationship does not exist between the math self-efficacy scores and math success for urban Black males who passed or failed high school algebra 1
- A relationship does not exist among the preferred learning style, math self-efficacy, and standardized test scores between urban Black males who passed or failed high school algebra 1


## CONCLUSIONS CONTINUED

- In tandem:
- Preferred learning styles
- Math self-efficacy
- Standardized test performance
-Do not make for suitable predictors of high school algebra 1 failure.
a The existence of the negative phenomena remains


## IMPLICATIONS

- An Investigative step has been established
- Practitioner Behaviors must change
- An explanation for the phenomena has not been identified
- Acknowledgement that other variables to algebra 1 failure exist


## LIMITATIONS

- At this time, the sample size of the participant group may not be as robust as the researcher would like.
- Pen to paper examination
- End of the day participant assessment
- More extensive use of technology


## RECOMMENDATIONS

- Recognition and acceptance of a negative phenomenon in mathematics concerning Black males.
- Future research should be both quantitative and qualitative
- Future research should be in partnership with large urban district
- Future participant groups should be $>2000$
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