Sand Trends and Habitat Degradation

Jerrod Parker*, Drew Costenbader, Yong Cao, John Epifanio University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign; Illinois Natural History Survey











Kankakee River Biodiversity

River	Number of Fish Species	Number of Threatened or Endangered Species	Threatened or Endangered fish/hr of Electrofishing
Illinois	95	7	0.24
Mississippi	104	9	0.16
Wabash	98	4	0.07
Ohio	71	1	0.01
Iroquois	66	4	2.11
Kankakee	88	8	3.80

Significantly Higher Catch Rates Blackside Darter (FT) River Redhorse (ST) Weed Shiner (SE) Kankakee Only American Brook Lamprey (ST) Blacknose Shiner (SE) Pallid Shiner (SE)

Kankakee River Sportfish



Substrate Mapping

- 2015: Side-scan sonar
 - Illinois portion of Kankakee River
 - Both shores
 - 80m Wide
 - Field calibration: Ground-Truthing



Sand Impact Study 2016

- Contiguous areas with different substrates
 - Sand
 - Sand and Rock mix (transitional)
 - Rock (coarse)



Sand Impact Study 2016



















Summary

- Diversity and sportfish populations remain high despite the sand
 - The River is healthy
- Sand accretion zones unstable
 - Sand mobilizes and deposits shift with flow
- Sand + Flow
 - Intrinsically linked
 - Difficult to separate effects on fish

Projects

- Classify substrate composition in rest of the River
 - Is the sand having a measurable effect at a larger scale?
- Northern Pike and Floodplain lake diversity
- Smallmouth Bass growth and population comparisons
 - Mackinaw River vs Kankakee River

Questions?





WISCONSIN BADGFFS "The Kankakee of old has gone, for the lands over which it spread became valuable. A mighty ditch has been excavated, extending almost its entire course to deepen and straighten its channel, and to drain away its marshes."

Earl H. Reed, Tales of a Vanishing River, 1920