



University of Dundee

# Dry-processing of long-term wet-stored fly ash for use as an addition in concrete

McCarthy, M. J.; Zheng, L.; Dhir, R. K.; Tella, G.

Published in: Cement and Concrete Composites

DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.10.004

Publication date: 2018

**Document Version** Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

*Citation for published version (APA):* McCarthy, M. J., Zheng, L., Dhir, R. K., & Tella, G. (2018). Dry-processing of long-term wet-stored fly ash for use as an addition in concrete. Cement and Concrete Composites, 92, 205-215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.10.004

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Dry-processing of long-term wet-stored fly ash for use as an addition in concrete M.J. McCarthy, L. Zheng, R.K. Dhir, G. Tella

PII: S0958-9465(16)30684-9

DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.10.004

**Reference: CECO 2920** 

To appear in: Cement and Concrete Composites

**Received Date: 2 November 2016** 

Revised Date: 16 June 2017

Accepted Date: 14 October 2017

Please cite this article as: M.J. McCarthy, L. Zheng, R.K. Dhir, G. Tella, Dry-processing of long-term wet-stored fly ash for use as an addition in concrete, Cement and Concrete Composites (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.10.004.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# DRY-PROCESSING OF LONG-TERM WET-STORED FLY ASH FOR USE AS AN ADDITION IN CONCRETE

M J McCarthy<sup>1</sup>, L Zheng<sup>1</sup>, R K Dhir<sup>1</sup> and G Tella<sup>1</sup>

**Keywords:** Wet-stored fly ash; Drying; Sieving, air classifying and grinding; Reactivity; Use in concrete.

Word: 5491 (Excluding references) Tables: 7 Figures: 9

<sup>1</sup>Concrete Technology Unit Civil Engineering School of Science and Engineering University of Dundee DD1 4HN Scotland, UK

Contact: m.j.mccarthy@dundee.ac.uk Tel: +44 1382 384924 Fax: +44 1382 384389

#### Dry-processing of Long-term Wet-stored Fly Ash for Use as an Addition in Concrete

### M J McCarthy, L Zheng, R K Dhir and G Tella

#### Abstract

The paper describes a study carried out to explore the potential for recovery of fly ash from wet-storage areas for use as an addition in concrete, and involved drying and processing material to achieve finer fractions/reduce particle size. Three relatively coarse fly ashes with medium/high carbon contents (loss-on-ignition; LOI) were obtained from two UK lagoons and a stockpile. Initially, drying (105 °C) and screening (600  $\mu$ m) were carried out, giving acceptable handling properties. Thereafter, processing using (63  $\mu$ m) sieving, air classifying or grinding was investigated. The methods gave increased fineness levels (greatest with grinding), with some reductions in LOI also found after sieving and air classifying. Assessment of fly ash reactivity indicated improvements with processing, and most noticeable effects by grinding. Tests on concrete found that both consistence (slump) and compressive (cube) strength increased with processing and tended to follow fly ash fineness. Further analysis showed that strength could be related to the sub 10  $\mu$ m particle level in fly ash. This appeared to be irrespective of processing method, with progressive increases (in strength) up to sub 10  $\mu$ m contents of about 60% (maximum in the study). Concrete tests for water absorption and intrinsic (air) permeability, carbonation and chloride diffusion showed that these were also influenced by fly ash sub 10  $\mu$ m content. The factors affecting behaviour are discussed and practical implications of the research considered.

#### 1. Introduction

Fly ash has found increasing use as an addition (cement component) in recent years, giving benefits to concrete's performance, including aspects of durability, and environmental impact [1, 2]. With moves from coal-firing in electricity generation to alternative methods, the availability of fly ash for concrete is being affected [3]. As a result, changes to sourcing material are likely, with probable developments in seaborne trade [4]. Furthermore, attention is being given to the potential for using the reserves of wet-stored fly ash (from lagoons and stockpiles) that have been collected around power stations [5], in some cases, over many years. For example, in the UK, quantities of about 50 Mt of fly ash are believed to be available for recovery [6].

Studies on siliceous fly ash (Class F, ASTM C618 [7]) in lagoons and stockpiles suggest material may be variable, with a range of fineness levels and moderate to high carbon contents [8]. Short-term tests on wetstored fly ash (laboratory and site, mainly up to 6 or 18 months) indicate that agglomeration occurs, with minor changes in bulk chemistry, and the presence of sulfate-based products on particle surfaces/reduced free lime contents also noted [9, 10]. These were influenced by fly ash properties (e.g. free lime content), storage conditions (e.g. moisture level) and holding period [10], with reactivity potential affected [9, 11]. Wet storage effects at actual fly ash sites (various exposure conditions/periods) have been noted to range from little change in the material [12, 13], to processes including dissolution of components at particle surfaces/formation of secondary phases [13-15]. Hence, evaluation of individual storage areas is likely to be a necessary part of fly ash recovery. Research indicates that one route to using wet-stored siliceous fly ash as an addition in concrete could be through adjustments to the mix, e.g. superplasticizing admixture dose and w/c ratio, to match the fresh and hardened properties of concrete containing dry material [16]. However, a practical difficulty with wet-stored fly ash, that may be encountered in concrete production, is handling and transportation. Indeed, this appears to be reflected in limits on moisture level, or reference to drying of fly ash (before use), covered in Standards for the material [7, 17]. Laboratory tests on wet fly ash in a small aggregate hopper, suggest that issues including the quantity of material, the period it is stored for (before discharge) and vibration or forced action at the hopper outlet are important with regard to use in wet form [12].

Another option for using lagoon or stockpile fly ash in concrete, could then be through drying and processing. Drying of fly ash can enable recovery of handling properties following wet storage and has been used with the material previously on a large-scale [18]. Thereafter, techniques for dry processing of fly ash could be used, if required, to achieve properties for concrete (e.g. to BS EN 450-1 [17]), or else to potentially enhance performance. This paper reports on a study carried out to investigate the feasibility of this approach to recover wet-stored siliceous fly ash for use as an addition in concrete. Note that fly ash with higher lime contents (Class C to ASTM C618 [7]) is likely to be more affected by wet-storage (c.f. self-setting) and this type of material was not, therefore, considered in the work described.

#### 2. Research Programme

Wet fly ash samples were obtained from three UK power stations (two from lagoons and the other a stockpile). These were initially dried (at 105 °C) and passed through a screen (600  $\mu$ m) before processing, which was carried out either by (i) separating particles (63  $\mu$ m sieving or air classifying), or (ii) directly reducing their size (grinding). These techniques were selected as there is experience using them with dry fly ash, at full or laboratory-scales, and they are familiar to the fly ash/cement industries. Given the carbon levels (loss-on-ignition (LOI)) of the fly ashes (with two around the BS EN 450-1 [17] limit (9.0%)) no attempt was made, at this stage, to directly achieve reductions by processing.

The materials were physically and chemically characterized before and after processing to evaluate the effects occurring. Tests were also made to consider the influence of processing on hydration/pozzolanic activity of the PC/fly ash combinations, with heat development and calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>) levels evaluated in

paste. The study then examined the materials in concrete of average structural strength class. This included initial tests on fresh properties (consistence (slump)) and compressive (cube) strength development, before considering water absorption and intrinsic (air) permeability, and aspects of durability (carbonation and chloride diffusion).

#### 3. Materials

#### **3.1** Sources and Properties of Recovered Fly Ashes

Recovered fly ash was sourced from three storage areas, with two from lagoons (LFA1 and LFA2; bituminous coal) and the other from a stockpile (SFA1; anthracitic coal). Given the storage periods involved, and changes in coal/burning conditions with time, it was not possible to obtain reliable reference materials. Comparisons were, therefore, made with regard to Standard requirements (BS EN 450-1 [17]), which is likely to be the approach that would be followed in a practical recovery situation. A summary of the test methods [19-21] used to investigate the fly ash characteristics is given in Table 1.

LFA1 was from a lagoon where material had been deposited over the previous 30 years. LFA2 had been introduced to its lagoon about 3 years prior to sampling. SFA1 was kept in a stockpile at the site for 4 to 5 years. The lagoons had been drained at the time of recovery, enabling access for this to be carried out by hand auger. Samples were taken at a small distance from exposed fly ash surfaces. The typical moisture contents of LFA1 and 2 on receipt at the laboratory were 43.8 and 19.3% respectively, while that of SFA1 was 27.5%.

The physical and chemical characteristics of recovered fly ashes (following oven drying at 105 °C) are given in Table 2. LFA1 and LFA2 had fineness levels above the 40.0% limit (retained on a 45  $\mu$ m sieve) of BS EN 450-1 [17], while SFA1 was slightly below this, with a similar ranking noted between these and particle size distribution data. Such properties are, in part, likely to reflect wet-storage (agglomeration) effects referred to above. The LOI of LFA1 was 6.4%, while LFA2 and SFA1 were 10.3% and 15.1%, with both, therefore, exceeding the BS EN 450-1 [17] limit. Earlier work [9, 10] suggests increases in LOI of around 0.5 to 1.0% can be obtained after wet-storage (6 months, laboratory) compared to dry material, reflecting decomposition (during the test) of reaction products formed. The particle densities are around what may be expected for the materials, given the above properties, and can also be influenced by wet storage, as noted previously. The sum of the main oxides (silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>) + alumina (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) + iron oxide (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)) was greater than 70%, with calcium oxide (CaO) contents less than 3.0%, alkalis (Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>eq</sub>) 1.7 to 2.1% and sulfate (SO<sub>3</sub>) 0.5 to 0.6%. The latter three are at the lower end of the typical range [22] and may relate to the loss of soluble components from particle surfaces during wet storage [9]. Typically potassium oxide (K<sub>2</sub>O) is higher in fly ash than sodium oxide (Na<sub>2</sub>O) [23] and the differences with LFA1 may reflect the presence of (sodium) chloride (Cl) in the material (also noted in LFA2). This is likely to reflect salt water used to wet fly ash, or the site location (estuarine/coastal), and would be an issue for steel in concrete. The crystalline contents were lower/non-crystalline higher in SFA1 than LFA1 and LFA2, which had similar values.

#### **3.2.** Other Materials

A single Portland cement (PC, CEM1, Strength Class 42.5N) to BS EN 197-1 [24] was used in the reactivity and concrete tests and its main characteristics are given in Table 2. The aggregates, to BS EN 12620 [25], were natural gravel in 10/20 and 4/10 mm sizes and a medium grade sand. The particle densities for coarse and fine aggregate were 2620 and 2600 kg/m<sup>3</sup> with water absorption 0.8 and 0.9% (laboratory dry to saturated surface dry). The aggregates were laboratory dried before use.

#### 4. Preparation of Specimens and Test Methods

Paste specimens (w/c ratio, 0.5; 30% fly ash in cement) for PC/fly ash reactivity studies were prepared by hand mixing. Concrete (w/c ratio 0.53; 30% fly ash in cement) was produced in a horizontal, forced action, laboratory pan mixer following the method described in BS 1881, Part 125 [26]. Heat development monitoring (of paste) was initiated following mixing. For the other tests, paste/concrete, the cast speciments were seal-cured/stored under damp hessian and polythene sheeting in the laboratory for the first 24 hours, with curing in water at 20 °C, thereafter. A summary of the test methods, essentially following procedures described in British/European standards, or techniques developed at the University of Dundee [27-32] are given in Table 3, with curing carried out until pre-treatment/testing at 28 days, unless noted otherwise.

### 5. Processing Techniques

Given the moisture contents and characteristics (fineness) of the recovered fly ashes, drying and processing to achieve properties for use in concrete were carried out. Initially, fly ash was oven dried at 105 °C and then screened at 600  $\mu$ m. This material (referred to as 'reference') had a similar appearance to typical (dry) fly ash

and could flow. As noted above, the techniques used for processing were dry sieving, air classifying and grinding. The first two involve particle separation, with the fine fraction of these tested, while the latter reduced the size of all material considered. The target for processing was to achieve fly ash of fineness to Category S in BS EN 450-1 [17], i.e. not more than 12.0% retained on a 45 µm sieve, with suitable procedures established, for each of the above, to achieve this. Although means of assessing energy requirements were outwith the scope of the study, it should be recognised that these (and associated costs) would be different between the methods.

Dry sieving was used, since it represents a simple approach to separating material and developments have seen this receive attention for processing fly ash [6, 33]. The method is influenced by several factors [34], including the size and shape of particles relative to the sieve apertures and the mesh size itself. It has been noted [33] that with the differences in particle size distribution between fly ash and carbon particles, sieving can be effective in separating these. In the study, passage of material through a 63  $\mu$ m sieve was carried out, which was suitable for the materials following drying and in achieving the above target (Category S) fineness.

Air classification is used with dry fly ash in practice [22, 35], so it meets fineness requirements for concrete and has been adopted in a few studies to process material [36, 37]. The method separates particles from an air stream (depending on their size and density) as they pass through a chamber of specific geometry, with the feed velocity normally controlling the size separation [35]. This was carried out for the study with an air classification unit by a company providing a separation service. Reductions in LOI with air classifying of fly ash have been noted to depend on the fineness of carbon [35].

Grinding provides a means of reducing particle size and is used in the cement industry, and its application with dry fly ash has also been reported in several studies [38-42]. Grinding of fly ash used a Fritsch Pulverisette laboratory ball mill, comprising two grinding bowls of 250 ml capacity, with 6 x 20 mm balls in each. The samples are primarily crushed by the impact of the grinding balls in the system [43] and this was carried out in batches for 20 minutes, at an average rotation speed. It was expected that the technique, given the closed system, would have little influence on the carbon content of fly ash.

### 6. Characteristics of Processed Materials

### 6.1 Physical properties and LOI

The physical properties and LOI of reference and processed fly ashes are shown in Table 4. Initial screening at 600  $\mu$ m (i.e. reference material) lowered the 45  $\mu$ m sieve retention by about 10 to 20%, with LOI reduced by about 0.5 to 1.0%. Similar values/slight increases in particle densities were also noted. The property ranking generally followed that of the recovered materials.

Sieving at 63  $\mu$ m and air classifying effectively extended the changes with initial screening. In all but one case, material following these processes had 45  $\mu$ m sieve retentions of 12.0% or less. The LOIs also reduced, with greatest effect for fly ashes with higher initial values and for 63  $\mu$ m sieving (3.0 to 4.5%) compared to air classifying (0.5 to 2.0%). LOIs before and after processing using these techniques, in terms of BS EN 450-1 [17] categories, were as follows,

LFA1, Reference: Category B; 63 µm sieve: Category A; Air classifying: Category B

LFA2, Reference: non-conforming; 63 µm sieve: Category B; Air classifying: Category C

SFA1, Reference: non-conforming; 63 µm sieve: non-conforming; Air classifying: non-conforming

Increases in particle density of around 100 kg/m<sup>3</sup> were noted after 63 µm sieving, while similar/slightly greater values were found with air classifying, compared to the reference. The changes obtained correspond to the removal of coarser particles (fly ash and carbon), as well as those present in agglomerates, with agreements in ranking between LOI and particle density also noted. The differences in fly ash properties following separation are influenced by the feed (reference) material properties and factors associated with the processing methods, as described above.

Following grinding, all materials had increased fineness (0.6 to 8.1% retained on the 45 µm sieve). LOI was within about 1.0% of the reference, relating to the closed system. Particle density was found to increase for all materials and was higher for grinding than the other methods. This has been reported previously with dry fly ash [40] and is considered to reflect breakdown of (coarser) fly ash and porous carbon particles [38]. For wet material, this is also likely for agglomerates, while reductions in inter-particle space may also contribute.

The particle size distributions of the recovered and processed fly ashes are shown in Figure 1. These indicate some differences in ranking compared to 45  $\mu$ m sieve retentions for the various materials. In general, after processing, grinding gave finest material, with air classification next, followed by 63  $\mu$ m sieving. The greatest effects with the different processes tended to occur with increasing coarseness of the recovered fly ash.

Scanning electron microscopy images, showing the particle morphologies for LFA1 are given in Figure 2. Recovered material from this storage area comprised spherical particles (with a range of sizes) and some of irregular shape. There was also evidence of agglomeration and clustering of particles with roughening of their surfaces. These correspond to some of the effects referred to above, with features similar to those noted previously for wet-stored fly ash [e.g. 9, 10].

The images following 63 µm sieving and air classifying of fly ash resemble the recovered material, with agglomerates/clustering and greater quantities of smaller spherical particles, generally noted. The ground material indicates the presence of irregular/angular shaped particles and a few spheres. In this case, the effects are likely to correspond to the breakdown of coarser spherical fly ash particles, agglomerates present due to wet storage, as well as carbon.

#### 6.2 Chemical Composition

The bulk oxide compositions obtained, using X-ray fluorescence spectrometry, are given for selective recovered and processed materials in Table 5. It is possible with 63 µm sieving and air classifying that differences occurring could correspond to reductions in carbon present [8]. Similarly, where components in fly ash concentrate in different size fractions, this may also be noted with these separation methods [37]. The closed system associated with grinding might be expected to have minor effects.

There were small variations in silica and alumina contents following 63  $\mu$ m sieving and air classifying compared to recovered fly ash, with little influence of particle size. Similar differences were obtained between these components for recovered and ground materials. The presence of iron has been noted in fly ash particles greater than 20  $\mu$ m [8], however, its contents didn't appear to be affected by processing. Calcium oxide was slightly higher in processed fly ash, with small differences in sulfate contents generally occurring. While potassium oxide changed little, reductions occurred with sodium oxide, which tended to follow chloride levels.

The main mineral components of selected fly ashes, estimated by Reitveld curve fitting, are given in Table 6. These show increases in crystalline/reductions in non-crystalline components with 63 µm sieving and air classifying, compared to recovered material. This differs from dry fly ash, where little change [44] or increases in crystalline phases (mainly mullite) have been found for coarser size fractions [45]. The reason for this is not known, however, for the values obtained, influences on the material's reactivity would be expected to be small. Greater changes were noted after grinding, with increases in crystalline/reductions in non-crystalline components. These may reflect exposure of internal (particle) crystalline material [42, 45], recorded during X-ray diffraction measurements. As (outer) fly ash particle surfaces remain accessible after grinding, the apparent reduction in non-crystalline (reactive) components would seem unlikely to influence reactivity.

# 7. Reaction Characteristics

The results from calorimetry tests evaluating heat development are shown in Table 7. These indicate small differences in time to main peak for reference and processed materials. The magnitude of peaks were comparable for the reference,  $63 \mu m$  sieve and air classified fly ashes, with ground material higher. The total heat at 72 hours increased with processing and was also greatest for grinding. Given the limited reaction of fly ash during this early period, the results suggest that, as for dry material, with greater fineness following processing, the surface area may provide increased sites, thereby promoting PC hydration [23].

The calcium hydroxide contents using thermogravimetric analysis are given in Figure 3 for LFA1 and SFA1. The results follow typical behaviour for PC/fly ash, with initial increases reflecting PC hydration at early ages, and reductions with time due to pozzolanic reactions. The ground fly ashes tended to have higher calcium hydroxide contents at early ages, generally agreeing with the calorimetry tests, with little clear effect between the references and other processed fly ashes. Reductions in calcium hydroxide were noted from 7 to 14 days (later for the references), which is the period pozzolanic activity is typically noted from at standard curing temperatures [46]. These increased with processing of fly ash, compared to the references, with greatest effects at the end of the test by grinding.

### 8. Processed Material in Concrete

A concrete mix of average structural strength class was tested. This had a cement content of  $350 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , with fly ash at a level of 30%. The water content was 185  $l/m^3$  (with no admixtures) and the fine to total aggregate ratio 32%.

### 8.1 Fresh Properties

The results from consistence tests (slump) are shown against fly ash fineness (45  $\mu$ m sieve retention) in Figure 4, and gave R<sup>2</sup> of 0.72. As indicated, slump was lowest for the reference fly ashes (70 to 115 mm), with increases as the materials became finer (and LOI reduced in some cases). In general, similar slump values were obtained for concrete containing the 63  $\mu$ m sieved and air classified fly ashes, with greatest values following grinding. Overall, it was noted that a 10% reduction in 45  $\mu$ m sieve retention gave an increase in slump of around 25 mm.

The benefits of fly ash (particularly finer material) on water demand of concrete are well known and relate to various effects including, particle shape and texture, dispersion of cement flocs and influences on particle packing [47, 48]. Wet storage has been found to reduce slump compared to dry fly ash concrete, which appears to reflect agglomeration/roughening of particles and their influences on factors affecting water demand [11]. The results with sieving and air classifying may relate to reduced coarse fly ash and carbon particles in the material and fewer agglomerates, i.e. removal of components tending to increase water demand, and agrees with the effects of air classifying on dry fly ash reported previously [36].

Research indicates that grinding of dry fly ash can cause water demand to both increase [39], and reduce (which may reflect fewer hollow particles present) and then increase [41], depending on the duration used. Factors including changes in surface area and particle shape of fly ash, and influences on particle packing have been noted to influence behaviour [39, 41, 42]. These would be expected to occur during the grinding of wet-stored fly ash, with breakdown of agglomerates also likely to affect the inter-particle space and shape of the material. It appears that for the grinding conditions used in the study, the various effects referred to give a net improvement in consistence of concrete.

### 8.2 Strength Development

The compressive (cube) strength development results for concrete are given in Figure 5, where a comparison between 28 day strength and fly ash fineness ( $45 \mu m$  sieve retention) is also made. The results follow typical strength development behaviour for fly ash concrete, with increases occurring during the 90 day test period, but at a reducing rate. Differences in strength of about 2.0 to 3.0 MPa were found between reference fly ash concretes to 28 days, with SFA1 tending to give higher strengths thereafter. Although, it is not possible to quantify the effects of wet storage on fly ash properties, referred to earlier, for example reactivity potential [11], these are likely to be reflected in the data.

The results also indicate small differences in strength between fly ash concretes at early ages, with benefits through processing tending to become more noticeable with time. The strength increases compared to the reference fly ashes ranged from 0.5 to 5.0 MPa for 63  $\mu$ m sieve and air classified material from 28 days onwards, and 3.5 to 7.5 MPa for ground material over this period. A comparison of 28 day strength results and fineness for all materials (Figure 5(d)) shows general agreement between these, with gradual increases as 45  $\mu$ m sieve retention reduced. Previous research has found similar behaviour with dry fly ash (unprocessed and processed) [40, 49, 50] and the results also reflect the thermogravimetric analysis described above.

The quantity of particles in dry fly ash less than  $10 \,\mu$ m have been noted to influence the material's performance [36, 51]. To examine this for wet-stored processed fly ash, a comparison was made between sub 10  $\mu$ m content and 28 day strength. For the reference fly ash concretes, the sub 10  $\mu$ m contents of the recovered fly ashes were used (and in subsequent comparisons made for other concrete properties). The relationship, shown in Figure 6, indicates an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.81, suggesting that effects with dry fly ash may apply to wet material following processing. Furthermore, it appears that the sub 10  $\mu$ m content, irrespective of the processing method, is an important factor affecting behaviour. Data in the current study indicate progressive increases in strength up to a sub 10  $\mu$ m content of about 60% (ground fly ash).

#### 8.3 Water Absorption and Intrinsic (Air) Permeability

Measurements of water absorption (initial surface absorption at 10 minutes (ISAT-10)) and intrinsic (air) permeability are shown against the sub 10  $\mu$ m content of fly ash in Figure 7. Variability tests in the laboratory for these methods on comparable concretes, with a single operator, indicate typical coefficients of variation of

9.5% and 10.0% respectively. The data show similar type behaviour to that for strength, with reduced absorption and permeability with increasing sub 10  $\mu$ m particle content of fly ash in concrete and R<sup>2</sup> values of 0.72 and 0.90 between these. The lowest water absorption and intrinsic (air) permeability results were obtained by grinding fly ash, with 63  $\mu$ m sieved and air classified material giving less improvement, compared to the reference.

Studies investigating the effects of fly ash fineness in paste have noted that as this increases, the porosity and average pore size reduce [50]. Other work with fly ash in concrete at equal w/c ratio indicates slight reductions in absorption with increasing fineness and wet storage of the material [11]. It has also been suggested that the physical effect of fine grains of fly ash, densely packing in cement, reduce wall effects [52], enhancing the interfacial region between paste and aggregate, and thereby durability potential. The data suggest similar effects may occur with the processed wet-stored fly ash.

#### 8.4 Durability

#### 8.4.1 Carbonation

Accelerated carbonation test results at 12 weeks are given against fly ash sub 10  $\mu$ m particle content in Figure 8. Previous work suggests that a week under the test conditions (See Table 3) for concrete, is equivalent to about 1.5 years of outside-protected exposure [53]. Variability tests with the method indicate a coefficient of variation of 9.0 % for similar concretes. The data give higher carbonation depths than found in earlier studies [49], however the reason for this is not known. They also show similarities to the properties above, with carbonation depth reducing with increasing fly ash sub 10  $\mu$ m content in concrete. Thus, small improvements were achieved with 63  $\mu$ m sieving and air classification, with greatest benefits by grinding.

Carbonation of concrete is influenced by the microstructure and pore fluid chemistry. A study investigating carbonation for dry and unprocessed wet-stored fly ash (at equal strength) gave similar results between these, with some differences in air transportation (permeability) rates and lime consumption also noted [54]. In the current study, water absorption and intrinsic (air) permeability reduce following processing (and increased fly ash fineness), with a comparable effect for calcium hydroxide (c.f. thermogravimetric analysis). Given the similar relationships between the water and air transport properties/carbonation and fly ash sub 10  $\mu$ m content, it appears that physical influences have an important role in the process.

### 8.4.2 Chloride diffusion

Chloride diffusion results for the test concretes are given in Figure 9, with respect to the sub 10  $\mu$ m content of fly ash. Typical variability data for the test with similar concretes give a coefficient of variation of around 5.0%. The results indicate low values for chloride diffusion, comparable to those obtained with the test method previously. Similar behaviour to that of the other properties considered above, with a gradual reduction in chloride diffusion with increasing fly ash sub 10  $\mu$ m content in concrete, was also noted. Thus, the results for chloride diffusion, as with carbonation, indicate gradual improvements compared to the reference by 63  $\mu$ m sieving and air classifying of fly ash, and greatest effects by grinding.

Chloride ingress is affected by the physical and chemical properties of concrete. The ability of fly ash to (i) densify the concrete microstructure and (ii) adsorb and chemically bind chloride, affect the process [55]. A study on dry fly ash concrete found increased resistance to chloride penetration with fineness (achieved by particle separation), reflecting influences on water demand (w/c ratio) and microstructure [56]. Other research suggests comparable chloride diffusion rates for dry and unprocessed wet-stored fly ash concrete (at equal strength) [54]. From the results in Section 8.3, it appears that, as with carbonation, the effects of processed wet-stored fly ash on the physical properties of concrete influence chloride diffusion. Further work would be necessary to establish the role of (ii) above, on the process.

#### 9. Practical Implications

With the possible changes in sourcing of fly ash and the quantities available for recovery from wet-storage areas, there is growing interest in this material. The current study has examined potential for drying and processing wet fly ash for use in concrete. The materials sampled were relatively coarse and of medium to high LOI. It was possible by drying/initial screening and then sieving, air classifying, or grinding fly ash to achieve comparable handling properties to dry material and Category S fineness levels to BS EN 450-1 [17]. Although not the main focus, some reductions in LOI were obtained for the particle separation methods (sieving and air classifying) used.

The benefits achieved following drying and processing of wet fly ash were influenced by the degree to which its particle size was reduced, generally increasing as this became greater and appearing not to depend on the method used. The effects applied to the fresh and hardened properties of concrete, including strength, water absorption and intrinsic (air) permeability, and aspects of durability, up to a sub 10  $\mu$ m content of about 60% (with ground fly ash). Given the behaviour observed, greater enhancements may be possible with further reductions in particle size.

It is important to recognise that the energy (and cost) requirements between processing techniques will be different and what can be achieved for fly ash using a particular approach would, from a practical point of view, need to be balanced against these. This and factors including carbon removal, which may be necessary in some cases, scaling-up and other issues with wet-stored fly ash and its use in concrete are currently being investigated.

### 10. Conclusions

- Relatively coarse fly ash samples of medium to high LOI were recovered from UK lagoon and stockpile storage areas. Following drying (105 °C) and initial screening (600 μm), processing was carried out by sieving (63 μm), air classifying and grinding. These enabled fineness, essentially of Category S to BS EN 450-1 [13] to be achieved (greatest with grinding). Sieving and air classifying also gave small reductions in LOI (particularly the former), with little or no change in this noted by grinding.
- 2. Small differences in the bulk chemistry of fly ash were generally found following processing compared to recovered material. However, some changes in mineral composition were obtained with processing, in particular grinding. Reactivity studies with paste indicated increases in heat development (at 72 hours) and reductions in calcium hydroxide following processing, compared to reference fly ashes. Greatest effects for these were found with grinding of the materials.
- 3. The consistence of concrete (slump) increased with fly ash fineness following processing, irrespective of the method used. Small differences between reference and processed fly ash concrete strengths were noted at early test ages, with benefits tending to become more noticeable with time. Concrete strengths at 28 days for reference and processed fly ashes could be related to the material's fineness (45 µm sieve retention). The results also indicate an influence of fly ash sub 10 µm particle content on this, with improvements up to the 60% level obtained (for ground fly ash) during the tests.

# {PAGE }

- 4. Other concrete properties, including water absorption and intrinsic (air) permeability, carbonation and chloride diffusion could also be related to the sub 10 µm content of fly ash. Thus for the tests carried out, progressive improvements in performance were obtained with sieved and air classified material, compared to the reference, with grinding consistently giving best properties.
- 5. The practical implications of the study suggest that drying/screening of wet-stored fly ash to reestablish handling properties and the application of processes to achieve finer fractions/reduce particle size, provide options for its recovery and use as an addition in concrete. Other issues associated with processing and its effects require to be investigated and are being considered in a related study.

# 11. Acknowledgements

The Authors would like to acknowledge the RMC Environment Fund (Application Reference 557E), Innogy PLC, John Doyle Ltd, Castle Cement Ltd and Aggregate Industries UK Ltd for supporting the work described. These organisations and the UK Quality Ash Association are thanked for their technical input to the project. The contribution of Bradley Pulverizer Ltd, UK, in carrying out the air classification is much appreciated.

### 12. References

[1] Concrete Society (2011). Cementitious materials: the effect of GGBS, fly ash, silica fume and limestone fines on the properties of concrete. Technical Report 74. The Concrete Society, Camberley, Surrey, UK.

[2] Mineral Products Association (2015). Embodied CO<sub>2</sub>e of UK cement, additions and cementitious material. Fact Sheet 18, { HYPERLINK "http://cement.mineralproducts.org" <u>}/documents/Factsheet\_18.pdf</u>, 8 pp, (last accessed, 12 June 2017).

[3] National Precast Concrete Association (2017). Fly ash trends downwards. { HYPERLINK "http://precast.org/2017/03/fly-ash-trends-downward/" }, March 2017, (last accessed, 16 June 2017).

[4] Duve T. (2015). Seaborne trades of fly ash and global trends of cementitious products. AshtransConference,CopenhagenDenmark,2015."http://ashtrans.eu/presentations%202015.html"]. (last accessed, 14 June 2017).

[5] Baker L, Gupta A, Gasiorwski S and Frach F. (2015). Tribo-electric beneficiation of landfilled and ponded fly ash. Ash at Work (American Coal Ash Association), Issue 2, pp 28-32.

[6] Carroll RA (2015). Coal combustion products in the UK and the potential of stockpile ash. World of Coal Ash International Conference, 2015. Nashville, TN, USA. { HYPERLINK "http://www.flyash.info" }, (last accessed, 14 June 2017).

[7] American Society for Testing and Materials. ASTM C618 (2015). Standard specification for coal fly ash and raw or calcined natural pozzolan for use in concrete. American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania, USA.

[8] McCarthy MJ, Jones MR, Zheng L, Robl TL and Groppo JG (2013). Characterizing long-term wet-stored fly ash following carbon and particle size separation. Fuel Journal, Vol 111, pp 430-430.

# {PAGE }

[9] McCarthy MJ, Tittle PAJ and Dhir RK (1998). Lagoon PFA: feasibility for use as a binder in concrete. Materials and Structures, Vol 31, pp 699-706.

[10] McCarthy MJ, Tittle PAJ and Dhir RK (1999). Characterisation of conditioned PFA for use as a cement component in concrete. Magazine of Concrete Research, Vol 51, No 3, pp 191-206.

[11] McCarthy MJ, Tittle PAJ and Dhir RK (2000). Influences of conditioned PFA as a cement component in concrete. Magazine of Concrete Research, Vol 52, No 5, pp 329-343.

[12] McCarthy MJ, Robl TL and Csetenyi LJ (2017). Recovery, processing, and usage of wet-stored fly ash. Chapter 14, Coal Combustion Products (CCP's) - Characteristics, Utilization and Beneficiation (Ed Robl, Oberlink and Jones), Elsevier, Oxford, UK, pp 343-368.

[13] Zevenberge C, Bradley JP, van Reeuwijk LP and Shyam AK. (1999). Clay formation during weathering of alkaline coal fly ash. International Ash utilization Symposium, Lexington, Kentucky, Paper No.14, 8 pp. (www.flyash.info)

[14] Eze CP, Nyale SM, Akinyeye RO, Gitari WM, Akinyemi SA, Fatoba OO and Petrik LF (2013). Chemical, mineralogical and morphological changes in weathered coal fly ash: A case study of a brine impacted wet ash dump. Journal of Environmental Management, Vol 129, pp 479-492.

[15] Donahoe R. Weathering processes and secondary minerals formed in coal ash (2006). EPRI, Palo Alto, CA, and Southern Company Services, Inc., Birmingham, AL, 1012582.

[16] McCarthy MJ, Tittle PAJ, Dhir RK and Kii HK (2001). Mix proportioning and engineering properties of conditioned PFA concrete. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol 31, No 2, pp 321-326.

[17] British Standards Institution. BS EN 450-1 (2012). Fly ash for concrete: Definition, specifications and conformity criteria. British Standards Institution, London, UK.

[18] Carroll RA (2014). Fly ash for concrete and the potential for stockpile ash. EuroCoalAsh 2014, International Conference, Munich, Germany, VGB PowerTech, Essen, Germany, pp 209-220.

[19] British Standards Institution. BS EN 451-1 (1995). Method of testing fly ash. Determination of fineness by wet sieving. BSI, London, UK.

[20] British Standards Institution. BS EN 196-2 (2013). Method of testing cement. Chemical analysis of cement. BSI, London, UK.

[21] British Standards Institution. BS EN 196-6 (2010). Methods of testing cement. Determination of fineness. BSI, London, UK.

[22] Sear LKA (2001). The properties and use of coal fly ash: a valuable industrial by-product. Thomas Telford, London, UK, 261 pp.

[23] Massazza F (1998). Pozzolana and pozzolanic cements. Chapter 10, Lea's Chemistry of Cement and Concrete. (Ed) P C Hewlett. Butterworth- Heinemann, Oxford, UK. pp 471-635.

[24] British Standards Institution. BS EN 197-1 (2011). Cement, Part 1: Composition, specifications and conformity criteria for common cements. BSI, London, UK.

[25] British Standards Institution. BS EN 12620 (2002+2008 A1). Aggregates for concrete. BSI, London, UK.

[26] British Standards Institution. BS 1881-125 (2013) Testing concrete. Methods for mixing and sampling fresh concrete in the laboratory. BSI, London, UK.

[27] British Standards Institution. BS EN 12350-2 (2009). Testing fresh concrete. Slump-test. BSI, London, UK.

[28] British Standards Institution. BS EN 12390-3 (2009). Testing hardened concrete. Compressive strength of test specimens. BSI, London, UK.

[29] British Standards Institution. BS 1881-208 (1996). Testing concrete. Recommendations for the determination of the initial surface absorption of concrete. BSI, London, UK.

[30] Dhir RK, Hewlett PC and Chan YN (1989). Near surface characteristics of concrete: intrinsic permeability. Magazine of Concrete Research, Vol 41, No. 147, pp 87-97.

[31] British Standards Institution. BS 1881-210 (2013). Testing hardened concrete. Determination of the potential carbonation resistance of concrete. Accelerated carbonation method. BSI, London, UK.

[32] Dhir RK, Jones MR, Ahmed HEH and Seneviratne AMG (1990). Rapid estimation of chloride diffusion coefficient in concrete. Magazine of Concrete Research, Vol 42, No. 152, 177-185.

[33] Soong Y, Schoffstall MR, Gray ML and Knoer JP (2002). Dry beneficiation of high loss-on-ignition fly ash. Separation and Purification Technology Vol 26, pp 177-184.

[34] Lui KS (2009). Some factors affecting sieve performance and efficiency. Power Technology, Vol 109, pp 208-213.

[35] Groppo JG, Robl TL and Hower JC. (2004). The beneficiation of coal combustion ash. Energy, waste and the environment: a geochemical perspective (Ed Gieré and Stille). Geological Society Special Publication No 236. The Geological Society, London, pp247-263

[36] Payá J, Monzo J, Pera-Mora E, Borrachero MV, Tercero R and Pinillos C (1995). Early-strength development of Portland cement mortars containing air classified fly ashes. Cement and Concrete Research. Vol 25, No 2, pp 449-456.

[37] Jones MR, McCarthy A and Booth APPG (2006). Characteristics of the ultrafine component of fly ash. Fuel, Vol 85, pp 2250-2259.

[38] Payá J, Monzó J, Borrachero MV, Pera-Mora E. (1995). Mechanical treatment of fly ashes. Part 1: Physico-chemical characterization of ground fly ashes. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol 25, No 7, pp 1469-1479.

[39] Payá J, Monzó J, Borrachero MV, Pera-Mora E and González-López E. (1996). Mechanical treatment of fly ashes. Part II: particle morphologies in ground fly ash (GFA) and workability of GFA cements. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol 26, No 2, pp 225-236.

[40] Kiattikonol K, Jaturapitakkul C, Songpiriyakij S and Chutubtim S. (2001). A study of ground coarse fly ashes with different finenesses from various sources as pozzolanic material. Cement and Concrete Composites, Vol 23, pp 335-343

[41] Shi C and Shao Y. (2002). What is the most effective way to activate the reactivity of fly ashes? 2nd Material Specialty Conference of the Canadian Society for Civil Engineering. Montréal, Québec, Canada, June 5-8, 2002, pp 1-10

[42]. Hela R and Orsakova D. (2013). The mechanical activation of fly ash. Procedia Engineering, Vol 65, pp 87-93.

[43] Ryou J. (2004). Examination of grinding techniques for the reuse of by-products of cement production. Materials Letter Vol 58, No 3-4, pp 425-427.

[44] Dhir RK, McCarthy MJ and Magee BJ. (1996). Use of PFA to EN 450 in structural concrete. DOE Research Contract 39/3/185, Report: CTU / 196, University of Dundee, 133 pp.

[45] Matsunaga T, Kim JK, Hardcastle S and Rohatgi PK (2002). Crystallinity and selected properties of fly ash particles. Materials Science and Engineering: A. Vol 325, No 1-2, pp 333-343.

[46] Papadakis VG (1999). Effect of fly ash on Portland cement systems Part I. Low-calcium fly ash. Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 29, pp. 1727-1736.

[47] Joshi RC and Lohtia RP (1997). Fly ash in concrete: production properties and use. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 269 pp.

[48] Thomas MDA (2013). Supplementary cementing materials in concrete. CRC Press, Taylor & Frances Group. Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 179 pp.

[49] Dhir, R.K. McCarthy, M.J. and Magee, B.J (1998). Impact of BS EN 450 PFA on concrete construction in the UK. Construction and Building Materials. Vol 12, No 1, pp 59-74.

[50] Chindaprasirt P, Jaturapitakkul C and Sinsiri T (2005). Effect of fly ash fineness on compressive strength and pore size of blended cement paste. Cement & Concrete Composites Vol 27, pp 425-428.

[51] Gambhir SK (2013). Concrete technology: theory and practice, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, Tata McGraw Hill, New Delhi, 774 pp.

[52] Isaia GC, Gastaldini A.LG and Moraes R (2003). Physical and pozzolanic action of mineral additions on the mechanical strength of high-performance concrete. Cement and Concrete Composites, Vol 25, No 1, pp 69-76

[53] Kandasami S, Jones MR, Harrison TA and Khanna G (2012). Benchmarking UK concretes using an accelerated carbonation test. Magazine of Concrete Research, Vol 64, No 8, pp 697-706.

[54] McCarthy MJ, Tittle PAJ and Dhir RK (2017). Corrosion of reinforcement in concrete containing wetstored fly ash. Cement and Concrete Composites (submitted).

[55] Dyer TD (2014). Concrete durability. CRC Press, Taylor & Frances Group. Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 431 pp.

[56] Chindaprasirt P, Chotithanorm C, Cao HT and Sirivivatnanon V (2007). Influence of fly ash fineness on the chloride penetration of concrete. Construction and Building Materials, Vol 21, pp 356-361.

# List of Tables

- Table 1. Summary of test methods used for characterizing fly ash in the study
- Table 2. Physical and chemical characteristics of PC and recovered fly ash samples
- Table 3. Summary of test methods used for paste and concrete in the study
- Table 4. Physical characteristics and LOI of reference and processed fly ashes
- Table 5. Bulk oxide composition of selective recovered and processed fly ashes, % by mass
- Table 6. Main mineral composition of selective recovered and processed fly ashes, % by mass
- Table 7. Heat evolution characteristics of reference and processed fly ashes

Property	Relevant Method/Standard	Specimen Details	Test Arrangement
Fineness/Particle Size Distribution	BS EN 451-2 [19]	1.0 g powder sample/ 45 μm sieve	Wet-sieving carried out for 1 minute under fixed pressure. Residue after drying compared to original sample mass.
	Laser particle size analysis+	1.0 g dispersed in 800 ml of water in ultrasonic bath	Particle size distribution determined from degree of scattering of collimated laser beam passing through the sample and using equipment software.
Loss-On-Ignition (LOI)	BS EN 196-2 [20]	1.0 g powder sample in a crucible	Ignition of sample carried out at 950 °C for 1 hour. Change in mass compared to initial sample mass.
Particle Density	BS EN 196-6 [21]	5.0 g sample in de- ionised/de-aired water	Pyknometer (50 ml) with fly ash sample vacuum de-aired. Particle density determined by displacement of water.
Bulk Oxide Composition	X-ray fluorescence+	Pressed homogenised powder pellet	X-ray fluorescence spectrometer with Rh K $\alpha$ source.
Mineralogical Composition	X-ray diffraction+	Homogenised powder sample	X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα source. Internal standard (5.0% corundum) and Rietveld curve fitting used for analysis.
Morphology	Scanning electron microscopy+	Fly ash layer on carbon tape/aluminium stub, gold/palladium coated	Scanning electron microscope with 15 kV accelerating voltage.

Table 1. Summary of test methods used for characterizing fly ash in the study

Note: + Tests following in-house methods as indicated

		Fly Ash Samples			
Property	PC	Lagoo	n Ash	Stockpile Ash	
	-	LFA1	LFA2	SFA1	
Fineness, 45µm sieve retention, %	364*	48.2	44.0	33.8	
LOI, %	1.4	6.4	10.3	15.1	
Particle Density, kg/m <sup>3</sup>	3140	2120	1970	1980	
Particle Size Distribution	,μm				
d <sub>10</sub>	-	6.2	4.6	2.6	
d <sub>50</sub>	-	46.1	40.6	21.9	
<b>d</b> <sub>90</sub>	-	133.6	139.0	95.7	
Bulk Oxide Composition	, % by mass				
$SiO_2$	21.5	48.3	46.9	49.8	
$Al_2O_3$	5.4	27.2	28.7	23.9	
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.6	6.2	5.1	5.7	
CaO	64.2	2.9	1.8	2.4	
MgO	2.6	1.6	1.7	1.2	
$TiO_2$	0.3	1.5	1.4	1.4	
$K_2O$	0.7	1.2	1.5	2.1	
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.3	1.4	0.8	0.5	
$P_2O_5$	0.1	0.6	0.4	0.8	
Cl	0.0	2.1	1.0	0.0	
$SO_3$	2.8	0.5	0.5	0.6	
Main Mineral Composition, % by mass					
Quartz	-	10.8	4.6	3.8	
Mullite	-	16.6	18.0	8.0	
Non-crystalline+	-	65.3	66.8	72.7	

Table 2 Physical and chemical characteristics of PC and recovered fly ash samples

\* Specific surface area,  $m^2/kg$  (Blaine method)

+ By difference

Material / Property	Relevant Method/Standard	Specimen Details	Test Arrangement
Paste			
Heat Development	Iso-thermal Conduction calorimetry+	PC/30% fly ash freshly mixed paste (w/c ratio 0.5)	Test carried out for heat development over 72 hours. Electrical output from equipment monitored to enable heat evolution rates to be determined.
Hydration/Pozzolanic Activity	Thermogravimetric analysis+	Powder sample from PC/30% fly ash paste (w/c ratio 0.5) 25 mm cube (over-dried at 105 °C).	$\begin{array}{l} Ca(OH)_2 \ determined \ by \ mass \ change \ in \ N_2 \\ atmosphere \ over \ temperature \ range \ 450 \ to \\ 510 \ ^\circ C \ during \ a \ rise \ to \ 1000 \ ^\circ C \ at \\ 20 \ ^\circ C/min. \ Tests \ carried \ out \ on \ samples \ up \\ to \ 90 \ days. \end{array}$
Concrete			
Consistence (Slump)	BS EN 12350–2 [27]	300 mm cone of hand- compacted concrete	Reduction in height on removal of cone taken as measure of consistence.
Compressive Strength	BS EN 12390-3 [28]	2 No. 100 mm cubes	Tested in compression periodically up to 90 days.
Water Absorption	Initial surface absorption BS 1881–208 [29]	2 No. faces 150 mm cube (oven-dried at 105 °C)	200 mm head of water applied for 10 minutes. Measurement of rate of water absorption into specimen through capillary tube taken at this time.
Intrinsic (Air) Permeability	Dundee University [30]	54 mm $\phi \times$ 50 mm cores taken from 100 mm cubes (oven-dried at 105 °C)	Specimens positioned in permeability cell. Air pressures from 0.21 to 0.76 MPa applied until steady-state achieved. Calculation based on Darcy's Law.
Carbonation	BS 1881–210 [31]	75 mm × 75 mm × 300 mm prism (dried in laboratory air for 14 days, then wax sealed on 5 faces)	Specimens exposed to 4.0% CO <sub>2</sub> , 20 °C, 55% relative humidity for 12 weeks. Carbonation depth measured on slice removed from prism, using pH indicator.
Chloride Diffusion	Dundee University [32]	100 mm φ × 25 mm slices taken from 300 mm cylinder (24 hour pre-saturation)	Specimens in diffusion cells, downstream Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub> /partially immersed in 5.0 M NaCl solution. Calculation of diffusion coefficient based on Fick's First Law.

Note: + Tests following in-house methods as indicated

Samples		Characteristics of Fly Ash						
		Fineness, 45 µm Sieve Retention, %	LOI, %	Particle Density, kg/m <sup>3</sup>				
	Reference*	26.8	6.1	2120				
ΙΕΛΊ	63 µm sieved	12.0	3.2	2210				
LFAI	Air classified	6.1	5.8	2120				
	Ground	8.1	5.3	2310				
	Reference	33.4	9.7	2010				
ΙΕΛΊ	63 µm sieved	8.7	5.1	2130				
LIAZ	Air classified	8.1	7.6	2020				
	Ground	6.3	10.8	2170				
SFA1	Reference	23.8	14.2	2060				
	63 µm sieved	10.2	10.7	2160				
	Air classified	12.9	13.1	2100				
	Ground	0.6	14.1	2230				

Table 4 Physical characteristics and LOI of reference and processed fly ashes

\* Recovered material screened at 600  $\mu m$ 

Samples	SiO	ALO	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	CaO	ΜσΟ	TiO	K <sub>2</sub> O	Na	PaOs	Cl	SO
Bumpies	5102	111203	10203	CuO	mgo	1102	1120	11420	1205	CI	503
LFA1											
Recovered	48.3	27.2	6.2	2.9	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.4	0.6	2.1	0.5
63 µm sieved	48.3	27.6	7.7	3.7	2.1	1.5	1.3	0.5	0.6	0.5	1.1
Air classified	47.6	29.9	6.1	3.4	2.3	1.6	1.2	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.7
Ground	50.0	27.1	5.7	3.3	1.9	1.4	1.3	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.6
LFA2											
Recovered	46.9	28.7	5.1	1.8	1.7	1.4	1.5	0.8	0.4	1.0	0.5
Air classified	44.7	26.8	5.9	5.9	1.7	1.4	1.6	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.7
Ground	49.0	26.9	6.7	2.4	1.9	1.4	1.5	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.4

Table 5 Bulk oxide composition of selective recovered and processed fly ashes, % by mass

Table 6 Main mineral composition of selective recovered and processed fly ashes, % by mass

	Samples	Quartz	Mullite	LOI	Non-crystalline*
	Recovered	10.8	16.6	6.4	65.3
LFA1	63 µm sieved	10.9	22.3	3.2	60.9
	Air classified	8.7	26.3	5.8	57.3
	Ground	16.8	30.4	5.3	45.7
LFA2	Recovered	4.6	18.0	10.3	66.8
L1 1 12	Ground	10.7	32.6	10.8	43.7
SFA1	Recovered	3.8	8.0	15.1	72.7
51711	Air classified	5.3	12.6	13.1	68.2

\* By difference

Samples -		Peak Ra	Total Heat,	
		Time, hours	Value, W/kg	kJ/kg (72 hours)
Reference*		9.0	2.97	204.0
LFA1	63 µm sieved	8.6	2.98	208.4
	Air classified	8.0	3.06	206.5
	Ground	9.3	3.20	222.4
<u> </u>	Reference	9.0	2.98	204.0
SFA1	63 µm sieved	9.6	2.90	207.7
	Air classified	8.7	3.00	209.7
	Ground	8.6	3.23	217.3

Table 7 Heat evolution characteristics of reference and processed fly ashes

\* Recovered material screened at 600  $\mu m$ 

# List of Figures

Figure 1 Typical particle size distributions of recovered and processed fly ashes for (a) LFA1, (b) LFA2, (c) SFA1

Figure 2 (a) to (d) Scanning electron microscopy images of recovered and processed LFA1 (1600x)

Figure 3 Change in calcium hudroxide of paste (w/c = 0.50) with time for reference and processed (a) LFA1 and (b) SFA1

Figure 4 Effect of fly ash fineness (45  $\mu$ m sieve retention) on slump of concrete (w/c = 0.53)

Figure 5 (a) to (c) Strength development of fly ash concretes (w/c = 0.53) and (d) relationship between fly ash fineness (45  $\mu$ m sieve retention) and 28 day compressive strength (cube)

Figure 6 Relationship between sub 10  $\mu$ m content of fly ash and 28 day compressive strength (cube) of concrete (w/c = 0.53)

Figure 7 Relationship between sub 10  $\mu$ m content of fly ash and (a) water absorption (ISAT-10) and (b) permeability (intrinsic (air)) of concrete (w/c = 0.53)

Figure 8 Relationship between sub 10  $\mu$ m content of fly ash and carbonation depth of concrete (w/c = 0.53; Exposure: 20 °C, 4.0% CO<sub>2</sub>, 55% relative humidity)

Figure 9 Relationship between sub 10 µm content of fly ashes and chloride diffusion coefficient of concrete (Two compartment cell, 20 °C, upstream 5.0 M NaCl/downstream saturated Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>)



Figure 1 Typical particle size distributions of recovered and processed fly ashes for (a) LFA1, (b) LFA2, (c) SFA1



(a) Recovered

(b) 63µm sieved



(c) Air classified

(d) Ground





Figure 3 Change in calcium hydroxide of paste (w/c = 0.50) with time for reference and processed (a) LFA1 and (b) SFA1



Figure 4 Effect of fly ash fineness (45  $\mu$ m sieve retention) on slump of concrete (w/c = 0.53)



Figure 5 (a) to (c) Strength development of fly ash concretes (w/c = 0.53) and (d) relationship between fly ash fineness (45  $\mu$ m sieve retention) and 28 day compressive strength (cube)



Figure 6 Relationship between sub 10  $\mu$ m content of fly ash and 28 day compressive strength (cube) of concrete (w/c = 0.53)



Figure 7 Relationship between sub 10  $\mu$ m content of fly ash and (a) water absorption (ISAT-10) and (b) permeability (intrinsic (air)) of concrete (w/c = 0.53)



Figure 8 Relationship between sub 10  $\mu$ m content of fly ash and carbonation depth of concrete (w/c = 0.53; Exposure: 20 °C, 4.0% CO<sub>2</sub>, 55% relative humidity)



Figure 9 Relationship between sub 10 µm content of fly ashes and chloride diffusion coefficient of concrete (Two compartment cell, 20 °C, upstream 5.0 M NaCl/downstream saturated Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>)