

## THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Between-individual variation in nematode burden among juveniles in a wild host

#### Citation for published version:

Granroth-Wilding, H, Daunt, F, Cunningham, E & Burthe, SJ 2017, 'Between-individual variation in nematode burden among juveniles in a wild host: Variation in nematode burdens of juvenile birds' Parasitology, vol 144, no. 2, pp. 248–258. DOI: 10.1017/S0031182016001700

#### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1017/S0031182016001700

#### Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

Published In: Parasitology

#### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	Between-individual variation in nematode burden among juveniles in a wild host
2	
3	Granroth-Wilding H.M.V. <sup>1+#</sup> , Daunt F. <sup>2</sup> , Cunningham E.J.A. <sup>1*</sup> & Burthe S.J. <sup>2*</sup>
4	
5	1. Wellcome Centre for Infection, Immunity and Evolution/Institute of Evolutionary Biology,
6	University of Edinburgh, Ashworth Laboratories, Charlotte Auerbach Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FL,
7	UK
8	2. NERC Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik EH26 0QB, UK
9	<sup>+</sup> Corresponding author: Hanna Granroth-Wilding; hanna@granroth-wilding.co.uk; +44 (0)7971
10	119505
11	<sup>#</sup> Current address: Department of Biosciences, University of Helsinki, Viikinkaari 1, P.O. Box 65
12	00014 Helsinki, Finland
13	*Equal author contributions
14	
15	
16	Running title: Variation in nematode burdens of juvenile birds
17	
18	

#### 19 ABSTRACT

20 Parasite infection in young animals can affect host traits related to demographic processes such as 21 survival and reproduction, and is therefore crucial to population viability. However, variation in 22 infection among juvenile hosts is poorly understood. Experimental studies have indicated that 23 effects of parasitism can vary with host sex, hatching order and hatch date, yet it remains unclear 24 whether this is linked to differences in parasite burdens. We quantified gastrointestinal nematode 25 burdens of wild juvenile European shags (*Phalacrocorax aristotelis*) using two in situ measures 26 (endoscopy of live birds and necropsy of birds that died naturally) and one non-invasive proxy 27 measure (faecal egg counts). *In situ* methods revealed that almost all chicks were infected (98%), 28 that infections established at an early age, and that older chicks hosted more worms, but faecal egg 29 counts underestimated prevalence. We found no strong evidence that burdens differed with host sex, 30 rank or hatch date. Heavier chicks had higher burdens, demonstrating that the relationship between 31 burdens and their costs is not straightforward. In situ measures of infection are therefore a valuable 32 tool in building our understanding of the role that parasites play in the dynamics of structured 33 natural populations.

34

35 Keywords: Parasite burden, endoscope, dissection, *Contracaecum*, anisakid, seabird,

36 macroparasite, prevalence, FEC, demographic trait, growth, host-parasite interaction

#### 37 KEY FINDINGS

- 38
- We quantified nematode burdens of seabird chicks using necropsy, endoscopy and FECs
- *In situ* techniques showed 98% prevalence, early establishment and higher burdens with age
- Faecal egg counts, a proxy measure, underestimated prevalence
- Chicks with higher burdens weighed more, contrary to expectations if infection is costly
- Endoscopy of juveniles enables monitoring of wild hosts' infections across their lifetime

#### 44 **INTRODUCTION**

45

46 The costs that parasite infection can impose on their hosts can influence key demographic traits, 47 such as reproductive success and survival, which are crucial to the growth rate and hence viability 48 of populations (Albon et al., 2002; Newey et al., 2005; Redpath et al., 2006, Tompkins 2011). 49 However, parasitism is unlikely to affect all individuals in a population in the same way. Firstly, 50 individuals may host different burdens as a result of differences in exposure to parasites, 51 susceptibility to infection and resistance to its impacts. This contributes to parasite abundance 52 typically showing a skewed distribution among hosts, which is particularly well documented in 53 macroparasites (Randolph et al., 1999; Shaw and Dobson, 1995). Secondly, once parasitized, the 54 relationship between parasite load and host fitness may vary between individuals due to differences 55 in tolerance for a given parasite load. Siblings, for example, may vary in the level of maternal 56 antibodies they receive (Pihlaja et al., 2006), males may be affected more than females due to 57 immunosuppressive effects of testosterone (Mougeot et al., 2009), and the relative benefits of 58 allocating resources between fighting infection and reproduction may vary with age (Adamo et al., 59 2001). These factors may lead to different types of host responding differently to infection, with 60 consequences for key host traits related to fitness such as weight gain during critical periods of 61 growth. Understanding how parasite burdens and their impacts on hosts vary between different 62 classes of individual may therefore be crucially important for understanding the impacts of parasites 63 on heterogeneous host populations.

64 A key process for population viability is the level of offspring recruitment to the breeding 65 population. Understanding how parasitism impacts on the juvenile subset of the population is 66 therefore important for modelling population growth. Infection in early life can alter juveniles' 67 developmental trajectories (Fitze et al., 2004; Romano et al., 2011), with potentially life-long fitness 68 consequences that may further influence demographic processes such as reproduction and survival 69 long after recruitment (Lindström, 1999; Metcalfe and Monaghan, 2001; Monaghan, 2008). 70 However, despite the importance of early-life infection, between-individual patterns of parasitism 71 and the development of infections in juvenile hosts have not been widely investigated in the wild. 72 Although young hosts have been shown to exhibit systematic between-individual differences in 73 their response to experimental infection or anti-parasite treatments according to characteristics such 74 as hatching order (Granroth-Wilding et al., 2014), sex (Romano et al., 2011) or timing of breeding 75 (Reed et al., 2008), it remains unclear whether variation in response is associated with differences in

burden or differences in tolerance. Thus, quantifying individual parasite burdens across the juvenile
component of the population, where individuals' responses to infection are also known by
measuring key fitness-related traits, is central to accurately predicting parasite impacts at the
population level.

80 Quantifying parasite burdens is logistically challenging in the wild, particularly for 81 endoparasites that often make up the majority of a host's parasite biomass (Hoberg, 2005). Necropsy 82 allows direct counts of parasites in the host and is widely considered to give the most reliable 83 measure. However, this destructive method prevents longitudinal studies, which are crucial for 84 detecting associated fitness consequences such as recruitment probability and future reproductive 85 success (Fitze et al., 2004). In juvenile hosts, such sublethal impacts typical of macroparasites have 86 the potential to affect key demographic parameters over a range of timescales; avoiding destructive 87 sampling is therefore particularly important to understand the full fitness consequences of infection 88 in young hosts. Moreover, necropsy may not be viable for hosts of conservation importance. Faecal 89 egg counts (FECs) are a common non-destructive and non-invasive proxy measure of endoparasite 90 burden (e.g. Bowman and Georgi, 2009; Craig et al. 2006; Seivwright et al. 2004), but may not 91 always reflect true parasite burden due to variable rates of helminth egg production (Shaw and 92 Moss, 1989; Tompkins and Hudson, 1999), poor sensitivity at low burdens (Levecke *et al.* 2009), 93 and not representing larval helminths that do not produce eggs but can nonetheless be costly to the 94 hosts (Fagerholm and Overstreet, 2008). Recent work in wild adult seabirds has pioneered 95 endoscopy as an additional, direct and reliable method to obtain an index of gastrointestinal 96 nematode burdens in live individuals (Burthe et al., 2013). This approach has great potential for 97 quantifying the development of an individual's infection from an early age, but has not previously 98 been applied to juveniles in the wild.

99 Here, we use two *in situ* measures of parasite burden – necropsy and endoscopy – and the 100 proxy measure of FECs to quantify patterns of between-individual variation in the trophically-101 transmitted gastrointestinal nematode burden of juvenile European shags (*Phalacrocorax* 102 aristotelis, henceforth "shag"), a piscivorous seabird. Experimental manipulations of parasite load 103 in adults and chicks has shown that responses to treatment vary with host phenotype: treatment of 104 parents increases male chicks' survival, particularly late in the season, but not female chicks' (Reed 105 et al., 2008); treatment of chicks generally affects the growth rate of last-hatched siblings but not 106 the older brood members (Granroth-Wilding et al., 2014); and the impact of simultaneous treatment 107 of parents and their offspring differs between early- and late-nesting families (Granroth-Wilding et

108 al. 2015). Endoscopy of adults has found males to host more worms than females and late breeders 109 more than early breeders (Burthe et al., 2013), but among juveniles, patterns of variation in parasite 110 abundance and their link with variation in host fitness are not well quantified. It is hence unclear 111 whether these differences in treatment responses between types of juveniles arise from differences 112 in nematode burden or differences in the impact of a similar burden. Moreover, the link between 113 parasite burden and demographically important host traits is unexplored. Our objectives were 114 therefore to: 1. quantify individual parasite burdens of juveniles using two *in situ* methods, 115 endoscopy and necropsy, and compare these to a proxy measure of prevalence based on FECs; 2. identify whether burdens vary with host age, sex, hatching order and hatch date; 3. examine whether 116 117 natural variation in parasite abundance is associated with a fitness-related trait, host mass.

118

119 METHODS

120

#### 121 Host-parasite system

122 This study was carried out in 2012 in the breeding population of shags on the Isle of May National 123 Nature Reserve in south-east Scotland (56°11 N, 2°33 W) that has been the subject of an individual-124 based long-term demographic study for several decades. Shags are sexually dimorphic, with males 125 growing faster to reach an adult size c. 20% bigger than females (Daunt *et al.* 2001). The modal 126 clutch size in this population is three eggs and these hatch asynchronously, with the second and 127 third siblings (B and C chicks) hatching on average 1 and 2-3 days after the first (A chick). This 128 asynchrony results in a hierarchy of size within the brood, in which youngest siblings generally 129 grow more slowly and have higher mortality but are more plastic in response to changing 130 environmental conditions than their older counterparts (Granroth-Wilding et al. 2014; Stokland and 131 Amundsen, 1988). Breeding success declines through the season, with later breeders fledging fewer 132 chicks and producing fewer recruits (Daunt et al. 1999; Harris et al. 1994). 133 Shags on the Isle of May are infected with the gastrointestinal nematode Contracaecum

134 *rudolphii* (Anisakidae: Ascaridoidea; Hartwich 1964), which occur in the GI tract of nestling and

adult shags in this population (Reed 2007; Burthe *et al.* 2013; E. Harris, pers. comm.; S. Burthe, J.

136 Chantrey & D. Kowalek, unpublished data). All but one of 146 naturally infected adults endoscoped

to date have hosted worms, with wide variation in burdens from 2 to >80 worms (Burthe *et al*.

138 2013; S. Burthe & E. Butterfield, unpublished data). *C. rudolphii* is a widely distributed seabird

139 specialist, now recognised to comprise a complex of morphologically similar species (Anderson,

140 2000; Fagerholm and Overstreet, 2008; Hoberg, 2005; Moravec, 2009). Nestling shags obtain 141 regurgitate fish directly from their parents' throats and are infected with larval worms in the fish 142 tissue. Direct infection of chicks with adult worms dislodged from the parent's proventriculus could 143 also occur during feeding, but the importance of this transmission route is not well understood 144 (Dubinin, 1949; Fagerholm and Overstreet, 2008; Hoberg, 2005; Huizinga, 1971). Anisakid 145 infection can cause costly pathology at attachment sites such as inflammation, necrosis, 146 haemorrhaging and perforation of the stomach wall (Hoberg, 2005; Kuiken, 1999; McClelland, 147 2005), which may be compounded by secondary bacterial infections (Fagerholm and Overstreet, 2008), and is expected to activate a costly immune response (Colditz, 2008; Hasselquist and 148 149 Nilsson, 2012). Moreover, *Contracaecum* is thought to feed on fish ingested by the bird and thus 150 directly competes with the host for resources (Abollo *et al.* 2001; Anderson, 2000; Dubinin, 1949; 151 Huizinga, 1971).

152

#### 153 Quantifying nematode burdens

We quantified the nematode burden of individual shag chicks using two *in situ* techniques, endoscopy of targeted individuals or necropsy (dissections) of a subset of the study population that died naturally during a severe storm. We also conducted faecal egg counts (FECs) on faecal material collected opportunistically from both endoscoped and dissected chicks (all detailed methods below). Not all individuals produced faecal samples, precluding FECs, and no birds were both endoscoped and dissected, as endoscoped chicks were not sacrificed and endoscopy of dead animals is not reliable (S. Burthe, unpublished data).

161

#### 162 *Endoscopy*

We used a refurbished 9mm diameter medical endoscope (Olympus<sup>©</sup>, UK) to view the oesophagus 163 164 and proventriculus of conscious chicks under licence (full details of endoscopy procedure in 165 (Burthe *et al.* 2013). Endoscopy was undertaken by a trained and experienced operator (S. Burthe) 166 while an assistant held the bird still and its bill open. A cloth was placed over the bird's eyes to 167 reduce stress while the endoscope operator inserted the endoscope into the proventriculus. All 168 worms that were visible were counted as the endoscope was slowly withdrawn from the bird. We noted whether the worms were large or small. Visibility was scored on a scale of 1 to 5 (worst to 169 170 best, as in Burthe *et al.* (2013)) and included in all analyses as poorer visibility could hinder 171 accurate quantification. Endoscopy was carried out when chicks were large enough for the

endoscope to be comfortably inserted, around 25 days of age. Throughout the process, there was no
evidence of discomfort (e.g. rapid breathing). All endoscoped chicks resumed normal behaviour
immediately on being returned to the nest and all fledged successfully. All endoscopy was carried
out early in the morning, before parents had returned with the first food load of the day, to avoid
views being obstructed by recently ingested food.

177 At endoscopy, chicks were assigned a rank in the brood hierarchy according to size: in broods 178 of three, the heaviest two chicks were designated AB and the lightest C, and in broods of one or 179 two, all chicks were designated AB. Wing length was used as an additional indicator if mass 180 difference was not greater than 20g. Mass at day 25-30 accurately identifies the last-hatched chick 181 in 83% of cases but only distinguishes the first- and second-hatched (A and B) in 47% of cases, 182 whereas A and B are accurately assigned as AB in 89% of cases (data from 27 nests, with three chicks surviving to day 10, in 2010 and 2011 with accurate hatch dates; Granroth-Wilding et al. 183 184 2014). We used chick mass at endoscopy as an indicator of chick performance. At endoscopy age, the majority of growth is completed (Daunt *et al.* 2001), and fledging mass has been shown to 185 186 correlate with recruitment in a range of species (Magrath, 1991; Schwagmeyer and Mock, 2008). 187 All endoscoped chicks were blood sampled for molecular sexing (Griffiths et al. 1996).

In total, we endoscoped 45 chicks in 20 nests, of which 18 were undisturbed before endoscopy and 27 were sham-treated controls from a parallel parasite-removal experiment (full details in Supplementary Information; no individuals treated with anti-parasite drugs are included in the results presented here), injected with 0.05ml saline solution at age 10-12 days and subsequently weighed at ages 10, 15 and 25 days. A subset of chicks that remained safely accessible as they got older and more mobile were endoscoped twice (2 untreated chicks and 4 sham-treated).

194

#### 195 Necropsy

196 Sacrificing individuals for systematic necropsies was not possible as this would prevent longitudinal 197 investigations of the link between parasite burden and host survival, and moreover removing 198 individuals from this long-term study population is not desirable. However, in 2012, there was an 199 unusually prolonged period of rain and cold weather in the middle of the peak chick-rearing period, 200 lasting over two days. This caused considerable natural juvenile mortality due to waterlogging and chilling of chicks that were still downy (not yet waterproof) but too large to be efficiently sheltered 201 202 by their parents. Mortality was thus not a direct consequence of overall poor condition nor of 203 parasitism, though both factors may have contributed. Similar weather-related mass mortality

204 events of chicks during the breeding season have only occurred once in the last 15 years, so this was a rare opportunity to obtain a sample of birds for dissection. When the weather improved and it was 205 206 safe to approach nests, c. 12-36 hours after death, we collected 28 carcasses (median 20 days old, 207 interquartile range 18-26 days; median hatch date 27th May, IQR 21st-29th May; cf. endoscoped chicks, median age 31 days, IQR 28-34 days, median hatch date 17th May, IQR 15th-22nd May). 208 209 Nine of these were sham-treated controls from the parallel experiment. We also collected 6 further 210 carcasses resulting from other natural mortality, found within a day of death, for necropsy (median 211 age 25 days, IQR 25-29 days; median hatch date 2nd June, IQR 20th May-3rd June). For the 10 dissected chicks that were not of known age, we estimated age from wing length based on the 212 213 growth rate of chicks from the same year with known hatch dates (Wing = 5.81 x Age – 27.75; in mixed model accounting for repeated measures within chick,  $F_{1,147}$  = 9795, p < 0.001; without 214 random effects,  $r^2 = 0.954$ ). We assigned ranks to dissected chicks in cases where the whole brood 215 216 could be assessed either dead or alive, based on the structural measure of wing length: in broods of 217 three, the two chicks with longest wings were assigned AB and the shortest C, and in broods of one or two, all chicks were assigned AB. A sample of blood or tissue was taken from every carcass for 218 219 molecular sexing (Griffiths et al. 1996).

220 Where possible, carcasses were dissected fresh within 6 hours of recovery, or kept at +4°C for up to 24 hours (16 carcasses). If dissections could not be carried out within this time (17 221 222 carcasses), they were stored at -20°C for up to one week and defrosted before dissection. The 223 proventriculus was removed together with 3cm of oesophagus and small intestine. The removed 224 gastrointestinal portion was then opened out using one medial ventral cut and the stomach contents 225 thoroughly examined, then rinsed with water through a fine mesh. The body cavity was examined 226 for evidence of nematodes migrating away from the proventriculus following host death, and we 227 additionally examined the whole intestine of four individuals; no other visible macroparasites were 228 found (further descriptions in Supplementary Information). All worms were counted, removed and 229 stored in ethanol. To obtain an index of the maturity of the infection in the bird, during which stage 230 Contracaecum undergoes substantial growth (Fagerholm and Overstreet, 2008), worms were 231 categorized into size classes based on width (>0.75mm wide, large; <0.5mm wide, small; 159 out of 232 1436 worms (11%) in between the categories).

233

234 Faecal egg counts (FECs)

235 During endoscopy, we opportunistically collected faecal samples from 19 chicks that defecated

during handling, and from 24 dissected chicks, we obtained a faecal sample from the cloaca after
carcasses had been frozen at -20°C for long-term storage. All faecal samples were therefore stored
at -20°C after collection; previous work in this system has given no evidence that freezing affects
egg counts or prevalence (Supplementary Information). FECs were carried out using a flotation
technique (Bowman and Georgi, 2009; detailed methods in Supplementary Information). Each
sample was suspended in 20 ml saturated salt solution per 1 g of faeces and nematode eggs were
counted in 0.45 ml (0.02 g faeces) of the suspension examined under a McMaster slide.

243

#### 244 Statistical analysis

245 We first quantified patterns in parasite abundance obtained by each *in situ* parasite measure, 246 endoscopy and dissection. We considered two aspects of nematode infection: total worm burden, 247 indicating overall parasite abundance, and the proportion of worms that were large, which is likely 248 to reflect the duration of the infection. We then tested whether these indices were associated with 249 host age, as expected if chicks are exposed to infective larvae throughout their development, and 250 with phenotypic traits known to affect responses to infection: host sex, rank (AB vs. C) and hatch 251 date (Granroth-Wilding et al. 2014; Reed et al. 2008, 2012). Lastly, in endoscoped chicks, we 252 examined the association between parasite abundance and chick performance by testing whether 253 chick mass at endoscopy varied with worm count and the proportion of worms that were large.

254 In all analyses of dissected chicks, we excluded two outliers with high statistical leverage: 255 one old chicks with a very high load (a male, 45 days old, hosting 243 worms; range of other chicks 256 8-148 worms) and one very young chick (ca. 2 days old) which was the only dissection that yielded a zero burden. Neither exclusion qualitatively affected any results. Although mortality is generally 257 258 higher for C chicks in this population (Granroth-Wilding et al. 2014), all ranks were equally represented among endoscoped and dissected birds, as were males and females (for ranks across 259 techniques,  $\chi^2$  = 4.50, df = 2, p = 0.105; for sexes,  $\chi^2$  = 1.32, df = 1, p = 0.251). Among endoscoped 260 261 chicks, we confirmed that visibility score was not related to age, sex, rank or hatch date (all p > 0.4262 in a linear model). Among endoscoped chicks, hatch date (from which age was calculated) was only 263 available for the first-hatched chicks in each nest, so C chicks were assigned an age 2.5 days 264 younger than their AB siblings (median age difference across 42 nests in 2010 and 2011 with 265 accurate hatch date data) to avoid within-brood age differences confounding rank effects. Among 266 dissected chicks, the effects of age and hatch date could only be examined in separate models as the age-specific main mortality event meant that they were closely correlated (in linear model,  $r^2 = 0.72$ , 267

268 p < 0.001). In these analyses, models containing hatch date instead of age gave almost identical fits 269 ( $\Delta AICc \le 0.1$ ) and for brevity we present only the age models.

All analysis was carried out in R 3.0.2 (R Core Team, 2013) using the packages lme4 (Bates 270 271 et al. 2011) and nlme (Pinheiro et al. 2012), using (generalised) linear mixed models (LM Ms or 272 GLMMs). To account for repeated sampling of some individuals and non-independence of siblings 273 within a brood, we fitted chick within nest as nested random factors to the endoscopy data, and nest 274 as a random factor to the dissection data. Total burden was fitted as count data with poisson errors 275 and logistic link function, and proportion of large worms with binomial errors, weighted by the total 276 count, and a logit link function. Effect sizes for the proportion of large worms are presented as the 277 log odds of a worm being large. Mass was modelled in a linear mixed model including log(age) and 278 sex as fixed effects, to account for the non-linear growth curve and sexually dimorphic growth. Due 279 to the low egg prevalence in faeces preventing robust analysis of relationships between FECs and 280 host phenotypic traits or *in situ* worm burdens, we present only descriptive statistics of prevalence 281 (but see Supplementary Information for a preliminary analysis).

282 We used an information theoretic approach to model selection (Burnham and Anderson, 283 2002), identifying important explanatory variables based on the best-fitting model(s) from a 284 candidate set, which is well suited to an exploratory analysis. For each measure of parasite burden, 285 our set of candidate models contained all combinations of the explanatory variables as main effects 286 (age, hatch date, sex and rank, and additionally for endoscopy analyses, visibility) as well as an intercept-only (null) model. The best-fit model was the one that had the lowest AICc (corrected 287 288 Akaike's Information Criterion, suitable for small sample sizes) in the set, and models with a  $\Delta$ AICc 289  $\leq$  2 from the best fit model were considered an equivalent fit. Model selection based on significance 290 testing gave the same conclusions. All parameters are presented ±1 standard error, not back-291 transformed from the log (worm counts) or logit (proportion of large worms) link functions.

292 RESULTS

293 *Quantifying worm burden in situ* 

294 The ages of birds available for necropsy ranged from 2 to 45 days and for endoscopy from 25 to 49

295 days. Worm burden measured using necropsy varied from 0 to 243 worms per chick; the youngest

and oldest chicks were excluded from further analysis due to their strong leverage, giving an age

range of 12–31 days and worm counts of 8 to 148 worms per chick (n = 31; mean  $36.0 \pm 4.9$ ;

298 prevalence 100%) (fig. 1). Worm burden measured using endoscopy ranged from 0 to 30 worms

299 per chick (mean worm burden  $11.7 \pm 1.0$  worms; prevalence 98%) (fig. 1). The proportion of large

300 worms ranged from 0 to 35.7% (mean 12.9  $\pm$  1.9%) by necropsy and 0 to 100% (mean 29  $\pm$  5%) by 301 endoscopy.

Using necropsy, the youngest chick to host large worms was aged 15 days and the oldest chick without large worms was 18 days. Using endoscopy, large worms were found in chicks from the age of 26 days, (earliest available age 25 days), although chicks with no large worms occurred up to the age of 36 days.

306 Visibility during endoscopy was generally poorer for chicks than for adults endoscoped in 307 parallel studies, mainly due to the presence of semi-digested food. Visibility scores among the 308 chicks in this study ranged from 1 to 4 (mean  $2.7 \pm 0.1$ ) compared to a range of 3-5 (mean 4.24; 309 n=17) for adult shags endoscoped in the same year (S. Burthe, unpublished data).

310

311 FECs as an indicator of worm burden

We obtained faecal egg counts from 19 endoscoped and 24 dissected chicks from birds aged 25–36 days and 12-45 days respectively. Nematode eggs were only found in one third of the 43 samples available (prevalence 37%), despite a prevalence of 99% in individuals sampled using *in situ* measures. Out of the 16 faecal samples that contained worm eggs, only 7 contained more than 1 egg (4 samples with 2 eggs, 2 with 3 eggs and one with 42) and 5 were from chicks in which no large worms were seen (1 necropsy, 4 endoscopies).

- 318
- 319

#### 320 Nematode burden in relation to host traits

In necropsied chicks, aged 12–31 days, worm count was best explained by a model containing only age, with older chicks hosting more worms. A model with age and sex had similar support (table 1, fig. 2). The proportion of worms that were large was best explained by an intercept-only 324 model, with no host traits providing similar explanatory power (table 1, fig. 3).

325 Among endoscoped chicks, aged 25–49 days, total worm burden was best described by a 326 model containing age and visibility (table 1, fig. 2), with older chicks hosting more worms and 327 better visibility resulting in slightly higher worm counts (age effect size  $0.10 \pm 0.02$ 328  $\log(\text{worms})/\text{day}$ , visibility effect size  $0.10 \pm 0.06 \log(\text{worms})$  per score increment). Age was 329 supported in all five top models. Out of three equivalent-fit models, two contained a rank term (in 330 addition to age, C chicks hosted  $-0.21 \pm 0.16$  fewer worms than AB chicks). The proportion of large 331 worms was best described by a model containing only age (effect size  $0.11 \pm 0.04$  increase in 332 proportion of large worms/day) (fig. 3), with hatch date and rank each occurring twice in the three 333 equivalent-fit models (in addition to age, effect of hatch date:  $0.05 \pm 0.00$  greater proportion of large 334 worms per day; effect of rank: C chicks  $0.83 \pm 0.50$  greater proportion of large worms) (table 1).

A summary of the host traits identified as important to parasite abundance and size distribution by the two measurement techniques is given in table 2. For both necropsy and endoscopy, it is notable that individuals varied considerably in their parasite load, which contributed to many analyses yielding several equivalent-fit models that made it difficult to robustly identify phenotypic traits that influenced parasite load.

340

#### 341 Effect of infection on host performance

Chick mass at endoscopy was best explained by a model containing main effects of age and worm count (table 3, fig. 4): heavier chicks were older and had higher worm counts (in best-fit model, effect of age 241.4  $\pm$  43.2 g/log(day); effect of worm count, 11.8  $\pm$  4.8 g/worm). There was one model of equivalent fit, which contained an additional sex term (males 62.4  $\pm$  46.6 g heavier than females).

347 348

#### 349 DISCUSSION

The juvenile period is an energetically expensive phase for an individual when the costs associated with parasite infection are likely to have substantial impacts on hosts. Despite this, in comparison to adults, there is very little information for wild juvenile hosts on patterns of parasite prevalence or abundance, particularly internal parasites. Here we have used necropsy and endoscopy, implemented for the first time in juveniles in the wild, to show that infection with gastrointestinal nematodes is near-universal among nestling shags (98% prevalence) and establishes at an early age, 356 and that nematode burden increases with chick age. In contrast, the common proxy measure of 357 FECs suggested a prevalence of only 37%, demonstrating the value of endoscopy as a non-358 destructive index of *in situ* parasite burden. Previous studies have found chick sex, hatch date and 359 rank to be important in determining responses to anti-parasite treatment (Reed *et al.* 2008, 2012; 360 Granroth-Wilding *et al.* 2014, 2015), yet we found no strong evidence that worm burden varied 361 with any of these host traits. This suggests that differences in response may arise due to variation in 362 tolerance between the subclasses of juvenile as opposed to differences in burden. Further, contrary 363 to predictions, we found that individuals with high worm burdens were heavier than similar-aged 364 individuals with lower burdens.

365

#### 366 Comparison of techniques for quantifying worm burden

367 Both necropsy and endoscopy captured the same main pattern of infection in chicks but 368 unfortunately we did not have the opportunity to directly compare counts from the two techniques in the same individuals. None of the birds that suffered natural mortality had been endoscoped, 369 370 endoscoped chicks could not be sacrificed for necropsy as this would prevent long-term monitoring 371 of infection and its consequences, and endoscopy of carcasses is not feasible as reliable counts are 372 difficult to obtain from the collapsed stomach of a dead bird. Comparisons of necropsied and 373 endoscoped individuals was further constrained by the limited overlap in the ages of chicks used in 374 each technique: endoscopy was carried out on generally older birds and tended to yield lower 375 overall burdens but a higher proportion of large worms than necropsies of generally younger birds. 376 Endoscopy may have yielded lower counts because chicks' stomachs frequently contained residual food that partially obscured the view through the endoscope, a constraint that is more easily avoided 377 378 when endoscoping adults in this system (Burthe et al. 2013). Nonetheless, endoscopy counts from 379 shags have been shown to be repeatable (Burthe et al. 2013), and our successful application of this 380 technique to developing hosts thus opens opportunities for monitoring individuals' worm burdens 381 from an early stage in their long lives. Moreover, both *in situ* techniques identified similar 382 prevalences and an increase in burden with chick age, indicating that endoscopy provides a useful index of between-individual variation in worm burdens. This index has already been shown to be 383 384 valuable for quantifying the effect of anti-parasite treatment in both adults and juveniles, even at 385 low doses (Burthe et al. 2013; Supplementary Information, this study).

386 Necropsy, on the other hand, allows complete examination of the gut of the animal at any age387 and is likely to yield more accurate counts. However, as a destructive sampling technique, necropsy

388 is of limited application because removing individuals from the population is not desirable when 389 investigating longitudinal effects of parasite infection or working with protected natural 390 populations. In such cases, obtaining samples relies on natural mortality that may more strongly 391 affect certain parts of the population, such as those already paying the costs of a high parasite 392 burden. Moreover, necropsy of recovered carcasses may underestimate infection intensity due to 393 post-mortem migration of nematodes away from attachment sites. Given that the endoscope counts, 394 also likely underestimates, captured the same broad patterns of infection as necropsy, we suggest 395 that endoscopy provides an informative non-destructive index, albeit not true counts, of between-396 host variation in total parasite burden. The repeated measurement of an index of infection intensity 397 across individuals' lives that this enables, while also allowing quantification of its long-term 398 consequences for host fitness, is likely also to be of practical use in other systems.

399 Measuring long-term patterns in individuals' parasite burdens could potentially be made more 400 logistically tractable if a non-invasive proxy for worm burden was available, such as FECs. 401 However, in our system, FECs failed to detect the same levels of infection revealed by *in situ* 402 measures. Although worms were found in 98% of all chicks examined, the majority of faecal 403 samples (63%) did not contain eggs, and faecal egg presence was not related to in situ worm burden 404 (Supplementary Information). This may be due in part to chicks hosting a high proportion of worms 405 that were small, likely immature and thus not egg-producing individuals. Variation in this 406 component of the parasite community may nonetheless be important for its impacts on host fitness, 407 as larval worms can still cause severe pathology and thus have non-negligible costs (Fagerholm and 408 Overstreet, 2008; H.-P. Fagerholm, pers. comm.). The limited presence and low counts of nematode 409 eggs in host faeces in this system appears to be a feature of this system, but we cannot rule out that 410 FECs more closely reflecting natural variation in true burdens could be obtained by examining larger amount of faecal material (but see Supplementary Information), which is logistically difficult 411 412 in the field.

413

#### 414 Nematode burden in relation to host traits

The positive relationship between worm burden and chick age is consistent with expectations that chicks' infections should intensify throughout the nestling period. This increase suggests that chicks are continuously exposed to either infective larvae in fish and/or adult worms dislodged from the parent's proventriculus during feeding. Continuous exposure among chicks accords with the nearuniversal prevalence of worms among endoscoped adult shags over 6 study years (S. Burthe, 420 unpublished data), which in turn indicates regular exposure to infected fish (Anderson, 2000; 421 Fagerholm and Overstreet, 2008; Huizinga, 1971). Two further observations can also be interpreted 422 as indicative both of larval worms establishing and growing inside the chick and of ongoing direct 423 transmission of larger worms from the parent's proventriculus: the increase in the proportion of 424 large worms with age in endoscoped chicks, and the presence of nematode eggs in the faeces of a 425 12-day-old chick (lowest estimates for maturation time of larval *C. rudolphii* in the definitive host, 426 c. 1 week; Dubinin, 1949; Huizinga, 1971). Regardless of transmission mechanism, we found 427 established nematode infections in all chicks from early on in their period of rapid growth (from 6-9 428 days; Daunt et al. 2001). This supports the potential of parasitism in juvenile shags to influence 429 developmental trajectories and hence long-term performance and fitness in this long-lived species 430 (Lindström 1999; Monaghan 2008).

431 Previous studies have found host sex, timing of breeding and hatching order to be important 432 in shaping individual chicks' responses to anti-parasite treatment (Granroth-Wilding et al. 2014, 433 2015; Reed *et al.* 2008), yet here we found little evidence that these traits were strongly associated 434 with worm burden. This contrasts with adult shags, which display variation in burdens related to sex 435 and timing of breeding, traits that also affect responses to treatment (Burthe et al. 2013, Reed et al. 436 2008). Moreover, in our opportunistic necropsies, selective mortality may have confounded the 437 effects of certain host traits: similarly-aged individuals that died in the storm event had similar 438 hatch dates, for example, yet these two traits may influence infection intensity in different ways (for 439 example, burdens increasing due to continuous exposure with age versus a seasonal increase in 440 exposure to infective larvae) whose effects we were not able to separate.

441

#### 442 Effect of infection on host performance

443 Parasitism, by definition, is considered to be costly, yet we found a positive correlation 444 between parasite burden and chick mass, a fitness-related trait that is positively associated with 445 recruitment in many bird species (Schwagmeyer and Mock, 2008). This correlation may arise as 446 chicks fed at a higher rate are likely to have higher levels of exposure to parasites, yet parasite 447 infection in both parents and chicks can also affect how resources are distributed among family 448 members (Granroth-Wilding et al. 2014, 2015). Experimental approaches that tease apart the 449 relative effects of exposure, burden and host condition are therefore needed to quantify the effect of 450 parasitism on individual performance. Examining the longer-term association between juvenile 451 worm burden and success in later life should also be a priority for future endoscopy studies in this

452 system, taking advantage of the non-destructive technique to quantify the accumulation of sub-

453 lethal impacts typical of macroparasites. Such a chain of fitness effects is of particular importance

454 where parasite infection can shape hosts' developmental trajectories and life histories (Fitze *et al.* 

455 2004; Granroth-Wilding *et al.* 2014; Romano *et al.* 2011).

456

### 457 Conclusions

458 Measuring natural variation between hosts in parasite burdens is an essential link in 459 understanding the role of parasites in regulating natural populations. Here, we have developed 460 endoscopy as a non-destructive method to quantify relative parasite burdens in juveniles and 461 revealed prevalence to be significantly higher than expected from more traditional proxy measures. 462 Our demonstration of widespread infection that is established and increases from as early as 12 days 463 of age highlights the potential importance of nematode infection in shaping the contribution of 464 individual shags to population processes throughout their long life (over 20 years). However, we 465 found no evidence to suggest that parasite burdens differ between subgroups of hosts that have 466 previously been found to respond differently to parasite removal. Variation in tolerance among 467 different parts of the population may therefore play a role in governing variation between hosts in 468 how they are impacted by parasitism. Our findings suggest that endoscopy of live juveniles is an 469 informative index of natural variation in parasite burdens, finding the same patterns of infection 470 across the host population as the more direct but destructive index of necropsy. In addition, our 471 results showed that relationships between parasite burden and fitness-related traits in early life are 472 not straightforward. Hence, in combination with experimental approaches, endoscopy provides a 473 powerful tool to link variation in nematode burden with its impact on host success across a wild 474 animal's life and across subgroups of the population, enabling predictions of how parasitism 475 influences on demographic processes in structured natural populations.

- 476
- 477

#### 478 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 479 Many thanks to Scottish Natural Heritage for permission to work on the Isle of May National
- 480 Nature Reserve, to Mark Newell and Katherine Herborn for helping collect carcasses, and to Mike
- 481 Harris and Sarah Wanless for establishing and maintaining the long-term study on the Isle of May.
- 482 Thanks also to Jarrod Hadfield for statistical advice. Francesca Walker and Jarod Benton contrib-
- 483 uted to pilot work on the FEC methods. Endoscopy was carried out under UK Home Office Project
- 484 Licence nr. PPL 60/4115.
- 485
- 486
- 487 FINANCIAL SUPPORT
- 488 Development of this methodology was funded by the Centre for Ecology & Hydrology project
- 489 QUIP (QUantifying the Impact of Parasites on seabirds). HGW was supported by a NERC Doctoral
- 490 Training Grant and EC by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship.

#### 491 REFERENCES

**Abollo, E., Gestal, C., and Pascual, S.** (2001). Anisakid infection in the European shag *Phalacrocorax aristotelis aristotelis. Journal of Helminthology* **75**, 209–214.

**Adamo, S.A., Jensen, M., and Younger, M**. (2001) Changes in lifetime immunocompetence in male and female *Gryllus texensis* (formerly *G. integer*): trade-offs between immunity and reproduction. *Animal Behaviour* **62**, 417–425

**Albon, S.D., Stien, A., Irvine, R.J., Langvatn, R., Ropstad, E., and Halvorsen, O**. (2002). The role of parasites in the dynamics of a reindeer population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **269**, 1625–1632.

Anderson, R.C. (2000). *Nematode Parasites of Vertebrates: Their Development and Transmission* Wallingford, UK: CABI.**Bates, D., Maechlar, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S.** (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67,** 1-48**Bowman, D.D., and Georgi, J.R.** (2009). *Georgis' Parasitology for Veterinarians* (Elsevier Health Sciences).

**Burnham, K.P., and Anderson, D.R.** (2002). *Model Selection and Multi-Model Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach* (New York: Springer).

Burthe, S., Newell, M.A., Goodman, G., Butler, A., Bregnballe, T., Harris, E., Wanless, S., Cunningham, E.J.A., and Daunt, F. (2013). Endoscopy as a novel method for assessing endoparasite burdens in free-ranging European shags (*Phalacrocorax aristotelis*). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **4**, 207–216.

**Colditz, I.G.** (2008). Six costs of immunity to gastrointestinal nematode infections. *Parasite Immunology* **30**, 63–70.**Craig, B.H., Pilkington, J.G., and Pemberton, J.M.** (2006). Gastrointestinal nematode species burdens and host mortality in a feral sheep population. *Parasitology* **133**, 485–496.

**Daunt, F., Wanless, S., Harris, M.P., and Monaghan, P.** (1999). Experimental evidence that agespecific reproductive success is independent of environmental effects. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **266**, 1489–1493.

**Daunt, F., Monaghan, P., Wanless, S., Harris, M.P., and Griffiths, R**. (2001). Sons and daughters: age-specific differences in parental rearing capacities. *Functional Ecology* **15**, 211–216.

**Dubinin, V.B.** (1949). Experimental study of the life cycles of some parasitic worms of animals in the delta of the Volga river (in Russian). *Parasit Sb Zool Inst SSSR Parasitol. Dig. Zool. Institute Inst. Sci. USSR* **11**, 126–160.

**Fagerholm, H.P., and Overstreet, R.M.** (2008). Ascaridoid Nematodes: *Contracaecum, Porrocaecum,* and *Baylisascaris*. In *Parasitic Diseases of Wild Birds*, C.T. Atkinson, N.J. Thomas, and D.B. Hunter, eds. (New Jersey, USA: Wiley-Blackwell), pp. 413–433.

**Fitze, P.S., Clobert, J., and Richner, H.** (2004). Long-term life-history consequences of ectoparasite-modulated growth and development. *Ecology* **85**, 2018–2026.

Galaktionov, K.V. (1996). Impact of seabird helminths on host populations and ecosystems.

Bulletin of the Scandinavian Society for Parasitology 6, 50–61.

**Granroth-Wilding, H.M.V., Burthe, S.J., Lewis, S., Reed, T.E., Herborn, K.A., Newell, M.A., Takahashi, E.A., Daunt, F., and Cunningham, E.J.A.** (2014). Parasitism in early life: environmental conditions shape within-brood variation in responses to infection. *Ecology and Evolution* **4**, 3408–3419.

**Granroth-Wilding, H.M.V., Burthe, S.J., Lewis, S., Herborn, K.A., Takahashi, E.A., Daunt, F., and Cunningham, E.J.A.** (2015). Indirect effects of parasitism: costs of infection to other individuals can be greater than direct costs borne by the host. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **282,** art. 20150602.

**Griffiths, R., Daan, S., and Dijkstra, C.** (1996). Sex identification in birds using two CHD genes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **263**, 1251–1256.

**Harris, M.P., Buckland, S.T., Russell, S.M., and Wanless, S.** (1994). Post fledging survival to breeding age of Shags *Phalacrocorax aristotelis* in relation to year, date of fledging and brood size. *Journal of Avian Biology* **25**, 268–274.

**Hasselquist, D., and Nilsson, J.-A.** (2012). Physiological mechanisms mediating costs of immune responses: what can we learn from studies of birds? *Animal Behaviour* **83**, 1303–1312.

**Hoberg, E.P.** (2005). Marine birds and their helminth parasites. In *Marine Parasitology*, K. Rohde, ed. (Australia: CSIRO), pp. 414–420.

**Huizinga, H.W.** (1971). Contracaeciasis in pelicaniform Birds. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **7**, 198–204.

Kuiken, T. (1999). Review of Newcastle Disease in Cormorants. Waterbirds 22, 333–347.

Langston, N., and Hillgarth, N. (1995). Molt varies with parasites in Laysan albatrosses. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **261**, 239–243.

**Levecke, B., De Wilde, N., Vandenhoute, E., and Vercruysse, J**. (2009). Field validity and feasibility of four techniques for the detection of *Trichuris* in simians: a model for monitoring drug efficacy in public health? *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **3**, e366.

**Lindström, J.** (1999). Early development and fitness in birds and mammals. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **14**, 343–348.

**Magrath, R.** (1991). Nestling Weight and Juvenile Survival in the Blackbird, *Turdus merula*. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **60**, 335–351.

**McClelland, G.** (2005). Nematoda (roundworms). In *Marine Parasitology*, K. Rohde, ed. (Australia: CSIRO), pp. 104–116.

**Metcalfe, N.B., and Monaghan, P.** (2001). Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **16**, 254–260.

Monaghan, P. (2008). Review. Early growth conditions, phenotypic development and

environmental change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **363**, 1635–1645.

**Moravec, F.** (2009). Experimental studies on the development of *Contracaecum rudolphii* (Nematoda: Anisakidae) in copepod and fish paratenic hosts. *Folia Parasitologica* **56**, 185–193.

**Mougeot, F., Martínez-Padilla, J., Webster, L.M.I., Blount, J.D., Pérez-Rodríguez, L., and Piertney, S.B.** (2009) Honest sexual signalling mediated by parasite and testosterone effects on oxidative balance. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **276**, 1093–1100.

**Newey, S., Shaw, D.J., Kirby, A., Montieth, P., Hudson, P.J., and Thirgood, S.J.** (2005). Prevalence, intensity and aggregation of intestinal parasites in mountain hares and their potential impact on population dynamics. *International Journal for Parasitology* **35**, 367–373.

**Pihlaja, M., Siitari, H., and Alatalo, R.V.** (2006) Maternal antibodies in a wild altricial bird: effects on offspring immunity, growth and survival. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **75**, 1154–1164 .

**Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., and Team, R.D.C.** (2012). nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-126

**Randolph, S.E., Miklisova, D., Lysy, J., Rogers, D.J., and Labuda, M**. (1999). Incidence from coincidence: patterns of tick infestations on rodents facilitate transmission of tick-borne encephalitis virus. *Parasitology* **118**, 177–186.

**R Core Team** (2013). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. (Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

**Redpath, S.M., Mougeot, F., Leckie, F.M., Elston, D.A., and Hudson, P.J.** (2006). Testing the role of parasites in driving the cyclic population dynamics of a gamebird. *Ecology Letters* **9**, 410–418.

**Reed, T.E., Daunt, F., Hall, M.E., Phillips, R.A., Wanless, S., and Cunningham, E.J.A.** (2008). Parasite treatment affects maternal investment in sons. *Science* **321**, 1681–1682.

**Reed, T.E., Daunt, F., Kiploks, A.J., Burthe, S.J., Granroth-Wilding, H.M.V., Takahashi, E.A., Newell, M., Wanless, S., and Cunningham, E.J.A.** (2012). Impacts of parasites in early life: contrasting effects on juvenile growth for different family members. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e32236.

**Romano, A., Rubolini, D., Caprioli, M., Boncoraglio, G., Ambrosini, R., and Saino, N.** (2011). Sex-related effects of an immune challenge on growth and begging behavior of barn swallow nestlings. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e22805.

**Roulin, A., Brinkhof, M.W.G., Bize, P., Richner, H., Jungi, T.W., Bavoux, C., Boileau, N., and Burneleau, G.** (2003). Which chick is tasty to parasites? The importance of host immunology vs. parasite life history. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **72**, 75–81.

**Royle, N.J., Smiseth, P.T., and Kölliker, M.** (2012). *The Evolution of Parental Care* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).

Schwagmeyer, P.L., and Mock, D.W. (2008). Parental provisioning and offspring fitness: size

matters. Animal Behaviour 75, 291–298.

**Seivwright, L.J., Redpath, S.M., Mougeot, F., Watt, L., and Hudson, P.J.** (2004). Faecal egg counts provide a reliable measure of *Trichostrongylus tenuis* intensities in free-living red grouse *Lagopus scoticus*. *Journal of Helminthology* **78**, 69–76.

**Shaw, D., and Dobson, A.** (1995). Patterns of macroparasite abundance and aggregation in wildlife populations: a quantitative review. *Parasitology* **111**, S111–S133.

**Shaw, J.L., and Moss, R.** (1989). The role of parasite fecundity and longevity in the success of *Trichostrongylus tenuis* in low density red grouse populations. *Parasitology* **99**, 253–258.

**Simon, A., Thomas, D.W., Blondel, J., Lambrechts, M.M., and Perret, P.** (2003). Within-brood distribution of ectoparasite attacks on nestling blue tits: a test of the tasty chick hypothesis using inulin as a tracer. *Oikos* **102**, 551–558.

**Snow, B.** (1960). The breeding biology of the shag *Phalacrocorax aristotelis* on the island of Lundy, Bristol Channel. *Ibis* **102**, 554–575.

**Stokland, J.N., and Amundsen, T.** (1988). Initial size hierarchy in broods of the shag: relative significance of egg size and hatching asynchrony. *The Auk* **105**, 308–315.

**Tompkins, D.M., and Hudson, P.J.** (1999). Regulation of nematode fecundity in the ring-necked pheasant (*Phasianus colchicus*): not just density dependence. *Parasitology* **118**, 417–423.

**Tompkins, D.M., Dunn, A.M, Smith, M.J., and Telfer, S.** (2011) Wildlife disease: from individuals to ecosystems. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **80**, 19-38.

Wiehn, J., and Korpimäki, E. (1998). Resource levels, reproduction and resistance to haematozoan infections. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **265**, 1197–1201.

**Yoder, M., De Ley, I.T., King, I.W., Mundo-Ocampo, M., Mann, J., Blaxter, M., Poiras, L., and De Ley, P.** (2006). DESS: a versatile solution for preserving morphology and extractable DNA of nematodes. *Nematology* **8**, 367–376.

492 Table 1. The top five best-fitting models of worm burden (left columns) and the proportion of 493 worms that were large (right columns) as measured by necropsy (top model set) or endoscopy 494 (bottom model set) in relation to host phenotypic traits. Models in each set are shown in order of 495 decreasing fit with their AICc and  $\Delta$ AICc relative to the best-fit model. The candidate model set for each variable included all combinations of the following predictor variables: age, hatch date, rank, 496 497 sex, and for endoscopy also visibility. In the necropsy models, age and hatch date and could not be included in the same models as they were closely correlated. Accordingly, models containing hatch 498 499 date gave almost identical fits to those instead containing age; to illustrate a broader range of model 500 fits, we show only the age models here.

- 501
- 502

Model (total worm count)	d.f.	AICc	ΔAICc	Model (proportion of worms large)	d.f.	AICc	ΔAICc
Necropsy							
Age	3	207.7	0.0	(intercept only)	2	117.5	0.0
Age + Sex	4	208.3	0.6	Rank	3	119.9	2.4
Age + Rank	4	210.2	2.5	Sex	3	120.0	2.5
Age + Sex + Rank	5	211.5	3.8	Age	3	120.0	2.6
Sex	3	215.6	7.9	Rank + Sex	4	122.7	5.3
<u>Endoscop</u> y							
Age + Visibility	5	320.2	0.0	Age	4	190.4	0.0
Age	4	320.4	0.2	Age + Rank	5	190.5	0.1
Age + Rank	5	321.1	0.9	Age + Rank + Hatch date	6	190.5	0.1
Age + Visibility + Rank	6	321.4	1.2	Age + Hatch date	5	190.9	0.4
Age + Visibility + Hatch date	6	322.6	2.5	Age + Visibility	5	192.5	2.1

Table 2. A summary of patterns of variation in nematode burdens between shag chicks, as quantified 503 504 using necropsy or endoscopy, in relation to phenotypic host traits. Patterns were investigated in both 505 the total worm burden (top set of variables) and the proportion of worms that were large, indicative 506 of how long the chick had been infected (bottom set of variables). Traits that robustly affected worm measures (occurred in all equivalent-fit models) are indicated with a tick, traits that had some 507 508 support (occurred in more than one equivalent-fit model) are shown with a tick in brackets, and 509 traits with no robust effects are shown with a cross. Hatch date for dissected chicks is indicated with 510 a dash to show that it could not be tested simultaneously with age, as they were tightly correlated. 511

Explanatory variable	Affects necropsy counts	Affects endoscopy counts
Total burden		
Age	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Hatch date	-	х
Rank	х	(√)
Sex	х	х
<b>Proportion larg</b>	e worms	
Age	х	$\checkmark$
Hatch date	-	(√)
Rank	х	(√)
Sex	х	х

- 512 Table 3. The top 5 best fit models of mass of endoscoped chicks. The set of candidate models
- 513 included all combinations of the following variables: worm count (measured by endoscopy),
- 514 log(age), sex and rank.
- 515

Model	d.f.	AICc	ΔAICc
log(Age) + Worm count	5	553.8	0.0
log(Age) + Worm count + Sex	6	554.6	0.8
log(Age) + Worm count + Rank	6	556.1	2.2
log(Age) + Sex	5	557.1	3.3
log(Age) + Worm count + Sex + Rank	7	557.3	3.5

516 Figure 1. Histograms showing the spread of worm counts from necropsy (left panel) and endoscopy

- 517 (right panel). The dissection data does not show two high-leverage individuals excluded from the
- analysis, a hatchling with no worms and a near-fledgling with 243 worms.
- 519



523 Figure 2. Total worm burden in relation to chick age for necropsied chicks (left panel) and 524 endosocped chicks (right panel). Among endoscoped chicks (which covered an older age range than 525 necropsied chicks) there was some evidence that rank affected worm count, and to illustrate this, in 526 the endoscopy panel AB chicks are shown with solid symbols and C chicks with open symbols. The 527 regression line shown is for the best-fit model, which did not include a rank term. Excluding the 528 oldest chicks, which did not include any C chicks were found, did not alter the ordering of best-fit 529 models. Note the difference in scale for worm counts and age ranges between the two measures. 530 The mean lines show a fitted model without random effects using poisson errors and a log link, with 531 95% confidence intervals shown by the fine-dotted lines.





Figure 3. The proportion of worms that were large in relation to chick age for necropsied (left
panel) and endoscoped (right panel) chicks. In contrast to the worm count, excluding the oldest
chicks here slightly changed the order of the best-fit models to: Age + Hatch date; Age; Age +
Hatch date + Rank, Age + Rank. The mean lines show a fitted model without random effects and
the fine-dotted lines show its 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 4. The relationship among endoscoped chicks between mass at endoscopy and worm count.
The solid line shows the fitted relationship and the dotted line the 95% confidence intervals. To
account for other factors affecting mass, mass is shown as the residual from a LMM containing age
as the only predictor, following the best-fit model for chick mass.





546	Between-individual variation in nematode burden among juveniles in a wild host
547	Supplementary Information:
548	Using endoscopy to test the efficacy of anti-parasite treatment,
549	observations from dissections, and patterns in faecal egg counts
550	
551	
552	Efficacy of anti-parasite treatment
553	
554	Introduction
555	Our study system, the European shag (Phalacrocorax aristotelis, henceforth "shag") and its
556	gastrointestinal nematodes, is increasingly yielding valuable insights into the effects of parasitism
557	on individual fitness-related traits and population-level consequences in wild hosts. Although
558	parasites are known to be important influences on host demography and evolution in wild
559	vertebrates (Hudson <i>et al.</i> 2002; Tompkins <i>et al.</i> 2011), they are rarely considered as factors in
560	ecological processes in seabirds, a globally threatened group whose members are often used as
561	indicators of the state of their marine environment (Piatt, Sydeman & Wiese 2007; Croxall <i>et al</i> .
562	2012).
563	Several studies of parasitism in the shag have used anti-helminthic treatment as an
564	experimental approach to investigate the effects of nematode infection (Reed <i>et al.</i> 2008, 2012;
565	Burthe et al. 2013; Granroth-Wilding et al. 2014, 2015). The injectable, broad-spectrum
566	antihelminthic drug, Ivermectin (Panomec $^{ m C}$ , Merial UK) has thus been shown to affect chick
567	survival and growth, adult condition, and behaviour of both adults and chicks, strongly suggesting
568	that treatment affects worm burden. Ivermectin also impacts on ectoparasites, yet previous evidence
569	from this system suggests that ectoparasites contribute little to the cost of a shag's overall parasite
570	burden (Daunt <i>et al.</i> 2001). Indeed, Burthe <i>et al.</i> (2013) used endoscopy to show that a high dose of
571	ivermectin significantly reduced or removed worm burdens in the proventriculus of adult shags,
572	with no evidence that infection returned for at least 20 days after treatment. In chicks, faecal egg
573	counts (FECs) have provided an indication that treatment reduces affects worm burden, but direct
574	evidence is lacking in chicks of how treatment at the doses used in previous studies affects worm
575	burden. Demonstrating a real effect of treatment on <i>in situ</i> nematode burden is particularly
576	important given that, as we show in the main manuscript, FECs in this low-shedding system may
577	not be sensitive to small-scale variation in worm burdens.

578 Understanding the effect of treatment on parasite load is an important link in understanding 579 how between-individual variation in fitness is linked to infection status in juveniles, given that anti-580 parasite treatment experiments have suggested that infection in chicks can affect both chick growth 581 and parental condition, with long-lasting effects that may be important in population processes 582 (Granroth-Wilding *et al.* 2014, 2015). Here, we use endoscopy of chicks to quantify the effect of 583 treatment with ivermectin on worm burden in shag chicks, at the dosage used in previous work.

584

585 Methods

We combined the main endoscopy study of natural variation in parasite burden with an experiment 586 587 to investigate the efficacy of anti-parasite treatment, following protocol from previous parasite 588 removal experiments in shag chicks (full details in Granroth-Wilding et al. 2014). We visited nests 589 of three eggs every two days around predicted hatching to obtain hatching dates. When the oldest 590 chick in a brood was 10–12 days old, if all three chicks were still alive, the whole brood was 591 injected with either 0.05ml ivermectin (Panomec<sup>©</sup> by Merial, 1% wt/vol) (drug-treated broods) or 592 veterinary saline solution (sham-treated control broods). At treatment, we blood sampled chicks for 593 molecular sexing (Griffiths, Daan & Dijkstra 1996) and assigned a rank in the brood hierarchy to 594 each chick according to size, with the heaviest two assigned AB and the lightest C. We have 595 previously shown that mass at this age correctly identifies the C chick in 90% of broods (Granroth-596 Wilding *et al.* 2014). Previous work has shown that responses to treatment, and therefore potentially 597 the effect of treatment on worm burden, varied between chicks according to differences in rank, sex 598 and hatch date (Reed et al. 2008, 2012; Granroth-Wilding et al. 2014, 2015). At or after age 25 599 days, we endoscoped all surviving experimental chicks (66 chicks in 29 nests; detailed endoscopy 600 methods in the main text). We also endoscoped unmanipulated chicks from 6 nests known to have 601 had an initial brood size of three.

602 We examined the efficacy of treatment on worm burden by testing whether it affected the total 603 number of worms and the proportion of worms that were large )an indicator of the maturity of the 604 infection). We also examined the impact of treatment on chick performance by testing whether it 605 affected mass at endoscopy, which was positively associated with natural worm burdens in the main 606 investigation. Unmanipulated and sham-treated chicks were pooled as the control group (see main 607 text). All models included age as a predictor, given that older chicks host more worms and a greater 608 proportion of large worms (see main text) and are heavier. For all three response variables (worm 609 count, proportion large, chick mass), treatment was tested as a main effect and in interactions with

610 sex, rank or hatch date, factors which have previously been shown to influence the impact of

- 611 treatment (Granroth-Wilding et al. 2014, 2015; Reed et al. 2008, 2012). In this directed analysis we
- 612 used hypothesis-testing to assess the importance of each tested factor, in contrast to the more
- 613 exploratory AIC-based model selection in the main manuscript. We were unable to robustly test the
- 614 effect of ivermectin treatment on FECs as only 3 drug-treated chicks yielded faecal samples, but we
- 615 provide a qualitative discussion of these data. All modelling was conducted in R 3.0.2 (R Core
- 616 Team 2013) using the packages lme4 (Bates, Maechlar & Bolker 2011) and nlme (Pinheiro *et al.*
- 617 2012). Worm count was modelled with poisson errors and a log link, the proportion that were large
- 618 was modelled as a binomial response (weighted by total count), and mass at endoscopy was
- 619 modelled as a Gaussian response. All parameters are presented as the mean ±1 standard error.
- 620

#### 621 Results & discussion

622

623 Ivermectin-treated chicks had lower worm burdens than control chicks (mean burden of ivermectin-624 treated chicks 8.7  $\pm$  1.3 worms; mean burden of control chicks 11.0  $\pm$  1.1 worms; log-transformed 625 effect size in addition to age  $-0.54 \pm 0.26 \log(\text{worms})$ , z = -2.12, p = 0.034) (fig. S1). However, 626 treatment did not affect the proportion of worms that were large (in addition to age, effect of 627 treatment 0.34  $\pm$  0.55, z = 0.62, p = 0.537). Sex, age and hatch date did not change the effect of 628 treatment on either worm count or the proportion of worms that were large (all interactions p > 0.1). 629 This demonstrates that ivermectin is an effective anti-helminthic in live juveniles in the wild, and 630 indicates that it acts equally on all parts of the worm population. These results support the continued 631 use of ivermectin in long-term experiments into the fitness impacts of parasite infection in the wild, 632 enabling experimental work that is valuable in teasing apart correlative patterns in natural burdens 633 and concurrent variation in host fitness.

634 Chick mass at endoscopy did not differ between any ivermectin-treated and control chicks (in addition to age, effect of treatment  $18.3 \pm 61.9$ , t = 0.30, p = 0.771; interactions with sex, rank and 635 636 hatch date all p > 0.3). This is perhaps unexpected given that treatment reduced worm burden and 637 that, among naturally infected chicks, heavier chicks had higher burdens (see main text). However, 638 the lack of an effect of treatment on mass is consistent with between-year variation in the impacts of 639 anti-parasite treatment on shag chicks: breeding conditions in the experimental year were such that 640 we would expect little impact of treatment or variation between individuals (Granroth-Wilding et 641 al., 2014).

Although we could not explicitly test the effect of treatment on FECs as a proxy indicator of 642 643 worm burden, we noted that eggs were detected in the faeces of 16 out of 43 control or unmanipulated chicks (37% prevalence) but in none of the four drug-treated chicks for which we 644 645 had faecal samples (0% prevalence). This points towards treatment reducing FECs as well as reducing worm burdens measured *in situ*. Although our main study found that egg presence in 646 647 faeces does not, in this system, provide sufficient resolution to reflect natural variation in worm 648 burdens, it is notable that previous work has shown ivermectin treatment to prevent an increase in 649 FEC with age in shag chicks (Granroth-Wilding *et al.*, 2014). Together, this suggests that FECs may 650 be a useful indicator of artifical differences in worm burden in this system, providing an accessible 651 though crude tool to validate the efficacy of experimental anti-parasite treatment. 652

#### 653 **Observations from dissections**

654

As part of the main study, 33 chicks that had died naturally were dissected to obtain an alternative, direct measure of worm burden. Findings concerning between-individual variation in worm burdens are described in the main text; here, we provide a qualitative summary of observations made during dissections concerning the biology of the parasite within the host and pathology of infection.

659

660 All dissected chicks contained food, ranging from a heavily digested paste to almost-whole fish from recent feeds. Worms were found almost exclusively in the proventriculus; some worms were 661 662 present in the oesophagus of two chicks, but never in the intestine. On no occasion were worms or 663 other visible parasites observed in the body cavity outside the digestive tract. Smaller worms were found predominantly in digested food at the bottom of the stomach, whereas larger worms were 664 665 found predominantly in or on recently ingested or semi-digested boluses of fish. In most dissections, worms were also found in and under the mucous lining of the stomach. Some 666 667 attachment points on the stomach wall were characterised by hardened ulcerations, which were all 668 in the upper part of the stomach, more concentrated towards the oesophagus. 669

#### 670 Patterns in FECs

671

672 As part of the main study, we collected faecal material from 43 unmanipulated or control-treated 673 chicks to examine how well this proxy measure reflects the more reliable indices of worm burden 674 obtained through endoscopy and necropsy. FECs are commonly used as a non-invasive indicator of 675 variation in worm burden, but their reliability is variable and must be verified in each new system in 676 which they are used. In this paper, our main study revealed that eggs could only be detected at very 677 low levels in faecal material (see main manuscript), and that FECs therefore did not capture the full 678 extent of infection in juveniles, possibly as worms have not yet reached sexual maturity at these 679 early stages of infection. We therefore instead investigate whether the presence/absence of eggs, 680 indicative of an established infection, varies with *in situ* indices of worm burden and with host 681 phenotypic traits that have previously been reported to affect how individual traits are affected by 682 infection.

683

#### 684 Methods

We opportunistically collected faecal samples from 19 endoscoped chicks that defecated during 685 686 handling. From 24 dissected chicks, we obtained a faecal sample from the cloaca after carcasses had 687 been frozen at -20°C for long-term storage. All faecal samples were therefore stored at -20°C after 688 collection. Previous work in this system has given no evidence that freezing affects egg counts or 689 prevalence (in 138 faecal samples across 3 years of chicks with natural worm burdens, stored either 690 frozen or at room temperature in the non-distorting preservative DESS (Yoder et al., 2006), in a 691 binomial GLMM including year as a random effect and storage method and age as fixed effects: 692 effect of freezing compared to room-temperature DESS on egg count 0.09  $\pm$  0.8, z = -0.11, p = 693 0.910; effect on egg presence  $-1.0 \pm 0.8$ , z = -1.31, p = 0.191).

694 FECs were carried out using a flotation technique (Bowman and Georgi, 2009). The sample 695 was fully defrosted and mixed well with 20ml saturated salt solution per 1g of faeces (sample sizes, 696 including a variable proportion of nitrogenous waste, ranged from 0.1 to 1.2 g; mean 0.6 g). The 697 mixture was left for c. 10 minutes to allow organic debris to settle out and the lipid-rich eggs to 698 float up. The upper two-thirds of the water column was then mixed gently using a pipette, and an aliquot taken while raising the pipette slowly through the liquid to ensure sampling of any eggs that 699 700 had not yet reached the surface. The aliquot was placed in a McMaster slide and the portion under 701 the grid (0.15 ml) was systematically searched for nematode eggs at 40x magnification using a light

microscope. Three aliquots were examined from each bird, totalling 0.0225g of faecal material. This is sufficient to detect egg presence in adult birds in this low-shedding system (egg presence/absence in 42 adult shags with natural burdens quantified using a variable number of aliquots: using 4-10 aliquots, effect of number of aliquots on egg presence  $0.02 \pm 0.15$ , z = 0.14, p = 0.882; mean prevalence with 95% confidence intervals across 23 individuals with 10 aliquots  $32 \pm 20\%$ , across 19 individuals with 4-8 aliquots  $35 \pm 23\%$ ; across 43 chicks with 3 aliquots in this study,  $37 \pm 15\%$ ).

709 Most of our 43 faecal samples contained no eggs and only 7 contained more than 1 egg (9 710 with 1 egg, 4 samples with 2 eggs, 2 with 3 eggs and one with 42). To overcome the statistical 711 challenges presented by such a skewed distribution, FECs were analysed as a binary 712 presence/absence response with binomial errors and a logit link. Fitting egg counts with poisson 713 errors and an observation-level random effect to allow for this overdispersion gave qualitatively 714 similar results. We tested whether the probability of egg presence in FECs varied with total worm 715 burden or the number of large worms (more likely to be mature and thus producing eggs) as 716 quantified using either endoscopy or necropsy. Model selection used AICc (details in main 717 manuscript).

718

#### 719 Results and discussion

720 Among models examining the effect of worm burden as measured *in situ* (endoscopy and necropsy 721 combined) on FECs, nematode egg presence in faeces was best explained by a model containing 722 only a measurement technique term (log odds of egg presence in endoscoped chicks  $1.17 \pm 0.70$ 723 compared to dissected chicks), although this was of an equivalent fit to an intercept-only model 724 ( $\Delta$ AIC = 0.3) and a model containing containing a single large worm count term (log odds of egg 725 presence  $-0.05 \pm 0.06$  per large worm). In relation to chick phenotypic traits, egg presence was best 726 explained by chick age, which appeared in all three best-fit models (table S1, fig. S2). There was no 727 strong support for any other chick traits being associated with egg presence in faeces.

Despite the lack of evidence for any relationship between the presence of nematode eggs in faeces and the more direct *in situ* indices of infection intensity, this proxy measure did reflect the increase in worm burden with chick age that we found with both necropsy and endoscopy. As worm eggs were more likely to be found in older chicks, FECs may thus have some utility for capturing natural variation (or experimental changes to natural burdens; see above) in established infections across the population. However, the variation in the data resulting from the low prevalences mean that we cannot confidently rule out some zero counts being false negatives, and the results of the
FEC analyses should therefore be interpreted with caution. Thus, endoscopy remains a more useful
technique for capturing the full extent of infection for any given bird and across the population at
any point in its lifetime.

#### 738 **Bibliography**

739

**Bates, D., Maechlar, M., and Bolker, B.** (2011). *lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using S4 classes.* 

**Bowman, D.D., and Georgi, J.R.** (2009). *Georgis' Parasitology for Veterinarians* (Elsevier Health Sciences).

Burthe, S., Newell, M.A., Goodman, G., Butler, A., Bregnballe, T., Harris, E., Wanless, S., Cunningham, E.J.A., and Daunt, F. (2013). Endoscopy as a novel method for assessing endoparasite burdens in free-ranging European shags (*Phalacrocorax aristotelis*). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **4**, 207–216.

**Croxall, J.P., Butchart, S.H.M., Lascelles, B., Stattersfield, A.J., Sullivan, B., Symes, A., and Taylor, P.** (2012) Seabird conservation status, threats and priority actions: a global assessment. *Bird Conservation International* **22**, 1-34

740 **Daunt, F., Monaghan, P., Wanless, S., and Harris, M.** (2001) Parental age and offspring 741 ectoparasite load in European Shags *Stictocarbo aristotelis*. *Ardea* **89**, 449-455.

742

**Granroth-Wilding, H.M.V., Burthe, S.J., Lewis, S., Reed, T.E., Herborn, K.A., Newell, M.A., Takahashi, E.A., Daunt, F., and Cunningham, E.J.A.** (2014). Parasitism in early life: environmental conditions shape within-brood variation in responses to infection. *Ecology and Evolution*.

**Granroth-Wilding, H.M.V., Burthe, S.J., Lewis, S., Herborn, K.A., Takahashi, E.A., Daunt, F., and Cunningham, E.J.A.** (2015). Indirect effects of parasitism: costs of infection to other individuals can be greater than direct costs borne by the host. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **282**.

**Griffiths, R., Daan, S., and Dijkstra, C.** (1996). Sex identification in birds using two CHD genes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **263**, 1251–1256.

**Hudson, P.J., Rizzoli, A., Grenfell, B.T., Heesterbeek, H., and Dobson, A.P.** (2002) *The ecology of wildlife diseases*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

**Piatt, J.F., Sydeman, W.J., and Wiese, F.** (2007) Introduction: a modern role for seabirds as indicators. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **352**, 199–204

**Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., and Team, R.D.C.** (2012). *Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models.* 

**Randolph, S.E., Miklisova, D., Lysy, J., Rogers, D.J., and Labuda, M**. (1999). Incidence from coincidence: patterns of tick infestations on rodents facilitate transmission of tick-borne encephalitis virus. *Parasitology* **118**, 177–186.

**R Core Team** (2013). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. (Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

**Reed, T.E., Daunt, F., Hall, M.E., Phillips, R.A., Wanless, S., and Cunningham, E.J.A.** (2008). Parasite treatment affects maternal investment in sons. *Science* **321**, 1681–1682.

**Reed, T.E., Daunt, F., Kiploks, A.J., Burthe, S.J., Granroth-Wilding, H.M.V., Takahashi, E.A., Newell, M., Wanless, S., and Cunningham, E.J.A.** (2012). Impacts of parasites in early life: contrasting effects on juvenile growth for different family members. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e32236.

**Tompkins, D.M., Dunn, A.M, Smith, M.J., and Telfer, S.** (2011) Wildlife disease: from individuals to ecosystems. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **80**, 19-38.

**Yoder, M., De Ley, I.T., King, I.W., Mundo-Ocampo, M., Mann, J., Blaxter, M., Poiras, L., and De Ley, P.** (2006). DESS: a versatile solution for preserving morphology and extractable DNA of nematodes. *Nematology* **8**, 367–376.

Table S1. The top five models of best fit explaining the presence of nematode eggs in shag chick faeces. The top set of models investigated the relationship of FECs with worm counts as measured by one of two *in situ* techniques (endoscopy or dissection) on a set of candidate models using the variables technique, worm count and proportion of large worms. The bottom set investigated variation in FECs in relation to host traits, and explanatory variables used in building the candidate model set were age, sex, rank and hatch date. Models are shown with their  $\Delta$ AICc relative to the best-fit model, in order of decreasing fit. All models included a random effect of nest.

Model terms	d.f.	ΔAICc
<u>Relationship with</u> in situ worm measure	s	
Technique	3	0.0
(intercept only)	2	0.3
Large worm count	3	1.9
Large worm count + technique	4	2.3
Total worm count + technique	4	2.4
<u>Host trait</u> s		
Age	3	0.0
Age + Hatch date	4	1.0
Age + Sex	4	1.7
(intercept only)	2	2.1
Age + Rank	4	2.1

Figure S1. Worm counts measured using endoscopy in chicks of varying ages that had been treated
with ivermectin (solid symbols and line) or sham-treated not manipulated before endscopy (hollow
symbols, dotted line). The line shows the fitted mixed-effects model.



Figure S2. The relationship of egg prevalence, quantified using FECs, with chick age. The solid lineshows the fitted relationship and the dotted lines its 95% confidence intervals.

