

Protecting and improving the nation's health

# Rapid review on safeguarding to inform the Healthy Child Programme 5 to 19

Appendices

# About Public Health England

Public Health England exists to protect and improve the nation's health and wellbeing, and reduce health inequalities. We do this through world-leading science, knowledge and intelligence, advocacy, partnerships and the delivery of specialist public health services. We are an executive agency of the Department of Health and Social Care, and a distinct delivery organisation with operational autonomy. We provide government, local government, the NHS, Parliament, industry and the public with evidence-based professional, scientific and delivery expertise and support.

Public Health England Wellington House 133-155 Waterloo Road London SE1 8UG Tel: 020 7654 8000 www.gov.uk/phe Twitter: @PHE\_uk Facebook: www.facebook.com/PublicHealthEngland

Prepared by: Nick Axford, Keira Lowther, Luke Timmons, Gretchen Bjornstad, Lucy Brook, Laura Webb and Shreya Sonthalia

#### © Crown copyright 2018

You may re-use this information (excluding logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0. To view this licence, visit OGL or email psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk. Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

Published February 2018 PHE publications gateway number: 2017689



PHE supports the UN Sustainable Development Goals



# Contents

Appendix A: Search and review process	4
<ol> <li>Systematic review level evidence</li> <li>Primary study evidence</li> <li>Final stages for sections 1 and 2</li> </ol>	4 8 10
Appendix B: Data extraction tables for systematic reviews	13
Appendix C: Data extraction tables for primary studies	189

# Appendix A: search and review process

Two main searches were undertaken. The first was for systematic review evidence for fro 2006 onwards, effectively covering the 10-year period from 2006 to 2015.<sup>1</sup> The second search sought to identify additional primary studies – randomised controlled trials (RCTs) – not included in the systematic reviews. The period covered by this latter search was determined on a case-by-case basis depending on the most recent systematic review in the respective subject area. Both of the systematic searches were supplemented by a consultation of selected subject experts in the field, who were invited to identify relevant studies (systematic reviews or RCTs). This consultation also served as a helpful check on the comprehensiveness of the searches undertaken.

# 1. Systematic review level evidence

A range of electronic databases was searched to identify systematic reviews of interventions that met the agreed inclusion criteria.

## Databases searched

The following key electronic health, social science and education databases were searched for systematic reviews: Web of Knowledge, PsycInfo, PsycArticles, Google Scholar, NICE, Cochrane Library, Campbell Library, Health Technology Assessment Database (NIHR Centre for Reviews and Dissemination CRD Database), PubMed, EPPI-Centre database of systematic reviews, and Applied Social Sciences Index and Abstracts (ASSIA).

## Inclusion criteria

Systematic reviews, meta-analyses or reviews of reviews in the English language, published from January 2006 onwards, that meet the following criteria:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The original search and review work was completed in mid-2015. In order to check that the findings of this review were up-todate ahead of report sign-off, a subsequent search for relevant systematic reviews took place in April 2017. On the basis of this, 2 extra systematic reviews were added (Euser et al., 2015; Chen and Chan, 2016 [published with advance access in 2015]). This ensured that all relevant systematic reviews published up until the end of 2015 are included in this review. A further 6 reviews published in 2016 and 2017 that meet the inclusion criteria were identified (Altafim and Linhares, 2016; Huey et al., 2016; Salam et al., 2016; Wong et al., 2016; Coore-Desai et al., 2017; Jennings et al., 2017). Owing to time and resource limitations it was not possible to undertake data extraction and critical appraisal for these, but it is the judgement of the authors of the present review that the conclusions of these additional studies do not contradict – and indeed are broadly in line with – the conclusions of this report. Other potentially relevant studies published in 2015-2017 were also screened in April 2017 but excluded for reasons listed in the Introduction chapter to the report.

- Population Detailing evidence on the effects of interventions on children and young people within the age range 5 to 19 years.
- Interventions Universal and selective interventions, services and programmes that are aimed at preventing or intervening early with safeguarding issues for children and young people. This includes public health interventions for children and young people who present low level safeguarding concerns, and interventions that seek to meet the safeguarding needs of young carers.
- Outcomes of interest A range of outcomes, including the following:
  - Child protection and welfare
  - Child sexual abuse and exploitation
  - Intimate Partner Violence (IPV)
  - Female Genital Mutilation (FGM)
  - Gangs and gang violence
- Study designs All systematic reviews, meta-analyses and reviews of reviews were included.
- Years Studies needed to have been published in the period January 2006 to 2015

# Search terms

A broad set of terms was used to increase the sensitivity of the search:

- Terms to identify systematic reviews or reviews of reviews: (systematic review\* OR review\* OR meta-analys\* OR metaanalys\*)
- Terms to identify the population: (Teen\* OR Adolescen\* OR Young people OR Young person OR child\* OR boy\* OR girl\* OR youth OR juvenile OR schoolchild\*)
- Terms to identify intervention: (intervention OR program\* OR service OR prevention)
- Terms to identify the target of the intervention:
  - Child protection and welfare (ill-treat\* OR maltreat\* OR abus\* OR neglect, AND, physical OR emotional OR sex\*)
  - Child sexual abuse and exploitation (sex\* AND coerc\* OR intimidat\* OR exploit\* OR abuse OR attack\* OR offence\* OR offense\* OR molest\*, ALSO rape OR incest)
  - Female genital mutilation (female\* OR woman OR women OR girl\* AND genital AND/OR mutilation OR circumcis\* OR cutting, ALSO FGM)
  - Domestic violence (violen\* OR sexual abuse OR physical abuse OR psychological abuse, OR batter\*, OR assault\*, OR aggress\* AND, domestic, OR famil\*, OR parent\*, OR carer, OR partner, OR couple\*, OR husband, OR wife, OR women, OR spous\*, OR intimate, OR cohabitat\*)
  - Gangs and gang violence (gang OR group AND violen\* OR aggress\* OR injury).

The Campbell Systematic Review Database, Cochrane and the EPPI Centre database were searched chronologically for relevant reviews. For the NICE guidance, public health guidelines and clinical guidelines were searched for the relevant subject areas.

#### Selection process

Studies were selected for inclusion by 2 reviewers based on abstracts and, where necessary, full papers. Disagreements were resolved through consultation with the project lead.

#### Limitations

The review only included papers published in English and excluded systematic reviews that do not focus on intervention effectiveness.

As agreed with Public Health England, the review did not include interventions designed to address issues arguably connected but not entirely concerned with safeguarding, for example bullying and obesity.

As the review focuses on promotion, universal prevention and selective prevention, studies were also excluded if they focus primarily on interventions for children where maltreatment has already occurred, such as interventions designed to ameliorate or mitigate the impact of safeguarding failures (for example survivors of child sexual abuse).

Other exclusion criteria were as follows:

- Studies that extend beyond the focus of the review on promotion, universal prevention and selective prevention
- Studies that do not clearly cover any part of the 5 to 19 years age range, or which cover only a small part of the age range of interest as part of a wider age range (for example 0 to 5s)
- Studies that duplicate other included reports published in another form (for instance an article in a peer-reviewed journal that is essentially a summary of a Cochrane review)
- Studies that are earlier versions of a subsequently updated review that is included (such as a Cochrane review from 2010 that was updated in 2014)
- Studies that are hard to access (commonly PhD dissertations and conference proceedings)
- Studies that are literature reviews but which do not explicitly use a systematic review approach
- Studies that focus on preventing youth violence but do not explicitly focus on preventing gang-related youth violence

• Studies in a location with poor applicability to a UK setting (reviews were prioritised reviews where a clear majority of the included studies were conducted in developed or high-income countries).<sup>2</sup>

#### Data extraction and critical appraisal

A range of data was extracted from each of the included reviews using a standard data extraction form. As part of this, all included systematic reviews were critically appraised to assess the reliability of the reported findings. The form included the following categories and was accompanied by training and written guidance for reviewers:

#### Content

Intervention(s) reviewed Intervention aim Intervention delivery Intervention frequency and duration Intervention target and setting Timing or age of recommended recipient

#### **Critical appraisal**

Review addresses a clearly focused question Type of studies included Comprehensive search undertaken Quality of included studies assessed What results are presented? Precision of results Applicable to UK settings – what is the context? Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?

#### Results

Included studies Outcomes measured Results Author conclusions

#### Other information

Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support Messages on effective implementation Recommendations for workforce skills and training Recommended further research

<sup>2</sup> The exception is Chapter 5 on FGM, as no systematic reviews or primary studies meeting the inclusion criteria and on this subject could be identified in high-income countries.

Rapid review on safeguarding to inform the Healthy Child Programme 5 to 19: Appendices

## 2. Primary study evidence

The search for primary studies focused on identifying relevant randomised controlled trials (RCTs) published since the most recent systematic review in the respective subject areas.<sup>3</sup> As with systematic reviews, studies were selected for inclusion by 2 reviewers based on abstracts and full papers. Disagreements were resolved through consultation with the project lead. Individual studies that had already been reported in reviews included in the study were excluded.

#### Databases searched

The databases of Web of Knowledge, PsycInfo, PsycArticles, Google Scholar, Health Technology Assessment Database (NIHR Centre for Reviews and Dissemination CRD Database), PubMed, and Applied Social Sciences Index and Abstracts (ASSIA) will be searched.

#### Inclusion criteria

Original research published in the English language meeting the following criteria:

#### Population

Detailing evidence on the effects of interventions on children and young people aged 5-19 years.

#### Interventions

Universal and selective interventions, services and programmes that are aimed at preventing safeguarding issues for children and young people aged 5-19 years.

#### **Outcomes of interest**

A range of outcomes, including the following:

- Child abuse and neglect
- Child sexual abuse and exploitation
- Intimate partner violence (IPV)

<sup>3</sup> Time periods covered by the RCT searches were as follows: child abuse and neglect (2013-2015); child sexual abuse and sexual exploitation (2014-2015); FGM (2011-2015); IPV (2013-2015); and gang violence (2007-2015). Searches were conducted from the date of the most recent systematic review, the exceptions being where only a small number of studies had been identified and there was a concern that some may have been missed (for example gang violence), or where a new systematic review was subsequently published (for example IPV). Owing to when the searches took place, it is possible that RCTs published in the later part of 2015 were not identified (likely to be a small number, if any). Primary studies included in Chapter 2 are additional to (i.e. not cited in) the 2 additional reviews that were identified in April 2017 and subsequently added to that chapter.

- Female genital mutilation (FGM)
- Gangs and gang violence

#### Study designs

Randomised controlled trial (RCT) design studies.

#### Years

This depended on the latest date used in the search process conducted in the most recent systematic review for each subject area. The search for RCTs began from that point. For example, if a review published in 2014 searched for studies up until the end of 2013, the new search started from January 2014.

## Search terms

The identical broad set of terms described above was used, with one exception as follows (in place of terms to identify 'Systematic reviews or reviews of reviews'):

 Randomised controlled trial, OR randomized controlled trial, OR random assignment, OR random allocation, OR RCT

#### **Selection process**

Studies were selected for inclusion by 2 reviewers after a title and abstract screen. Final inclusion decisions were taken based on full papers. Disagreements were resolved through consultation with the project lead.

## Limitations

Studies not published in English, or already reported in one of the systematic reviews, or focusing on children who have already been abused or maltreated (i.e. mitigating the impact of safeguarding failures) were excluded. Studies that claim to be RCTs but that do not use random allocation were excluded.

Other exclusion criteria were as follows:

- Studies that do not clearly cover any part of the 5 to 19 years age range, or cover only a small part as part of a wider age range (for example 0 to 5s)
- Studies that duplicate other included studies published in another form (for instance an unpublished paper subsequently published in article form in a peer-reviewed journal)
- Studies that are hard to access (commonly PhD dissertations and conference proceedings)
- Studies that focus on preventing youth violence but not explicitly on preventing gang-related youth violence
- Studies that are in a location with poor applicability to a UK setting (studies in developed or high-income countries were prioritised)

Rapid review on safeguarding to inform the Healthy Child Programme 5 to 19: Appendices

#### Data extraction and critical appraisal

A range of data was extracted from each of the included studies using a standard data extraction form. The form included the following categories and was accompanied by training and written guidance for reviewers:

#### Intervention

Intervention aim Intervention target and setting Intervention content (including frequency and duration) Intervention delivery

#### **Critical appraisal**

Study addresses a clearly focused issue Assignment randomised Groups similar at start of study Participants properly accounted for at conclusion Groups treated equally apart from experimental intervention Coders blind to treatment Precision of results Applicable to UK settings Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?

#### Results

Outcomes measured Effect of intervention (including size of effect) Author conclusions Recommendations for further research

## 3. Final stages for sections 1 and 2

The following steps were taken with the data extracted from the systematic reviews and primary research studies, to synthesise the findings into the report:

#### Data analysis

Data extracted from each of the included reviews and RCT studies were analysed thematically to help understand the following:

- The best ways of intervening via promotion and universal and selective prevention to improve outcomes in the identified topic areas – this focuses on evidence of effectiveness
- Key messages on how best to identify families in need of additional support (for example when this should be done, where, by whom, using what assessment tools)
- Key messages on how to ensure the effective implementation of safeguarding

• Key messages for workforce skills and training

## Quality assurance

Suitably qualified staff undertook the search and review work. They received training beforehand and bespoke guidance to supplement their existing knowledge and experience. In order to help ensure consistency and accuracy, a proportion (c.10%) of data extraction forms were completed by 2 researchers working independently and and then compared. Reviewers also received comments on their reviews (from NA and KL) and were asked to make amendments accordingly – both to those reviews and others where similar issues arose. All completed data extraction forms were read by at least 2 members of the team and, in some cases, amended further after checking the original source.

## Comment and feedback

The draft review was shared for comment with members of the expert advisory group and the funder, and draft individual chapters were shared with expert peer reviewers. Chapters were revised in the light of comments received.

## References

Altafim, E. R. P., and Linhares, M. B. M. (2016). Universal violence and child maltreatment prevention programs for parents: a systematic review. *Psychosocial Intervention*, 25 (1), 27-38.

Chen, M., and Chan, K. L. (2016). Effects of parenting programs on child maltreatment prevention: a meta-analysis. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 17 (1), 88-104.

Coore-Desai, C., Reece, J-A., and Shakespeare-Pellington, S. (2017). The prevention of violence in childhood through parenting programmes: a global review. *Psychology, Health and Medicine*, 22 (S1), 166-186.

Euser, S., Alink, L. R. A., Stoltenborgh, M., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., and van IJzendoorn, M. H. (2015). A gloomy picture: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials reveals disappointing effectiveness of programmes aiming at preventing child maltreatment. *BMC Public Health*, 15: 1068. DOI 10.1186/s12889-015-2387-9.

Huey, S. J., Lewine, G., and Rubenson, M. (2016). A brief review and meta-analysis of gang intervention trials in North America. In: Maxson, C. L. and Esbensen, F-A. (Eds.) *Gang Transitions and Transformations in an International Context*. Switzerland: Springer.

Jennings, W. G., Okeem, C., Piquero, A. R., Sellers, C. S., Theobald, D., and Farrington, D. P. (2017). Dating and intimate partner violence among young persons aged 15-30: evidence from a systematic review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 33 (1), 107-125.

Salam, R. A., Faqqah, A., Sajjad, N., Lassi, Z. S., Das, J. K., Kaufman, M., and Bhutta, Z. A. (2016). Improving adolescent sexual and reproductive health: a systematic review of potential interventions. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 59 (4 Suppl), S11-S28.

Wong, J. S., Gravel, J., Bouchard, M., Descormiers, K., and Morselli, C. (2016). Promises kept? A meta-analysis of gang membership prevention programs. *Journal of Criminological Research, Policy and Practice*, 2 (2), 134-147.

# Appendix B: Data extraction tables for systematic reviews

Preventing child abuse and neglect (pp. 14-68)
Preventing child sexual abuse and exploitation (pp. 69-80)
Preventing intimate partner violence (IPV) (pp. 81-153)
Preventing female genital mutilation (FGM) (pp. 154-166)
Preventing gang involvement and gang violence (pp. 167-188)

# Preventing child abuse and neglect

# Barlow et al. (2006)

#### Content

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Barlow et al. (2006)	This is a review of systematic reviews. Reviewed systematic reviews "in which the primary studies evaluated the effectiveness of targeted or indicated interventions for child physical abuse or neglect." (p. 6). Interventions included home visiting programmes, multimodal	To prevent child physical abuse, to reduce or ameliorate abuse and neglect.	Early multimodal preventative programmes: multi- component community-based interventions typically comprising family support, preschool education or childcare and community development. Parenting programmes: either on a one-to-one basis or in groups with the aim of changing parenting practices. IFPS: Intensive support for families at	Early multimodal preventative programmes: no details of delivery. Parenting programmes: brief – up to 30 weeks. No frequency data. Intensive family preservation services (IFPS): no data.	The inclusion criteria for the review in relation to participants were "[p]arents at risk of abusing or who had already abused or neglected their children." Early multimodal preventative programmes: No data reported. Parenting	Early multimodal preventative programmes: no data. Parenting programmes: no data. Intensive family preservation services (IFPS): no data.

interventions,	risk of out of home	programmes:
parenting	placement. No data on	High-risk
programmes,	delivery team.	parents/ at-risk
intensive family		families (parents
preservation, and		who have
social support/other		abused their
interventions.		children or are
		at risk of
Home visiting not		becoming
reported here as it		abusive). Also
is implemented		low IQ.
when the child was		
less than 5 years		IFPS: families
old [not relevant for		whose children
age-group covered		are at risk of
by this review for		out-of-home
PHE].		placement.
-		

# Critical appraisal

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Barlow et al. (2006)	Yes – what is the available evidence from	Systematic reviews.	Yes. Electronic database search: Medline, Psych	Two reviewers assessed the quality of reviews	Effect sizes, relative risk or percentage	Effect sizes reported with 95% confidence	Uncertain. It is unclear where the included	Some cost- benefit analysis undertaken,

systematic	Info, CINAHL	independently,	reduction	intervals	studies took	finding that
reviews about	and Social	rated them out	due to	where	place,	programmes
the	Science	of 9 (based on	intervention.	available.	although the	were cost-
effectiveness	Citation Index.	quality of			authors'	effective.
of		search, clearly			conclusions	
interventions		focused			note that	
to prevent or		question, clarity			many did	
treat child		and precision of			not take	
physical		results,			place in the	
abuse and		transferability			UK yet the	
neglect?		etc.) and			interventions	
- C		excluded those			have been	
		that scored			adapted for	
		below 4.			use in a UK	
					context.	

# Results

Author	Included	Outcomes	Results	Author conclusions
(Year)	studies	measured		
Barlow et	15 systematic	Early	Early multimodal preventative	"The included reviews identified some
al. (2006)	reviews of	multimodal	programmes: Difficult to disaggregate	evidence to support the use of parenting
	relevant	preventative	effect of each mode or component.	programmes based on approaches such as
	RCTS, QEDs,	programmes:	Some large effects on measures of	cognitive behavioural therapy, parent-child
	controlled and	further abuse,	parenting and abuse, reductions in	interaction therapy and other well-
	uncontrolled	rates of	further abuse. Moderate effects of	recognised models such as the Webster-
	studies, meta-	abuse or	hospital-based perinatal programmes,	Stratton Incredible Years series to improve
	analyses of	neglect, out-	perinatal coaching with home visiting,	some aspects of parent, child and family
	mainly non-	of-home	and agency counselling, (none from	functioning, both preventively and

randomised designs, comparative studies, mixed method studies, and studies of unspecified design.	placement, parental knowledge attitudes and behaviour. Parenting programmes: parenting practices, basic childcare, safety, nutrition, problem solving, positive parent-child interactions and child behaviour management. IFPS: out-of- home placements	support groups) on parental knowledge attitudes and behaviour. Parenting programmes: moderate overall impact. Large improvement in parental knowledge, moderate in terms of behaviour and small in terms of attitude. IFPS: significant improvements in family functioning, parental disposition, children's performance, delinquency, relationships with peers, child symptomatology and maltreatment after the intervention, improved parental reports of child care and children's conduct. Other interventions including social support, and family-focused: family- focused interventions effective in improving different aspects of family functioning that are related to child abuse and neglect, such as child management skills and skills to regulate negative emotional states.	<ul> <li>therapeutically. Currently there is, however, inadequate evidence about their impact on objective measures of child abuse and neglect, due in part to the absence of long-term follow-up." (p. 24).</li> <li>"There is considerable scope for preventing many of the problems that are associated with abusive and neglectful parenting through the implementation of early interventions aimed at improving parenting practices.</li> <li>There is also considerable scope for intervening with parents who have abused or neglected their children with a view to improving outcomes such as parenting practices.</li> <li>Overall, the most effective interventions (both targeted and indicated) comprised multiple components that were flexible and capable of addressing the different facets of abusive and neglectful parenting.</li> <li>Effective parent-focused interventions</li> </ul>
		abuse and neglect, such as child management skills and skills to	abusive and neglectful parenting.

force, where cognitive beh therapy was more effective programmes more effective supportive or a combination on parental knowledge, be outlook. One review found moderate effect of social s programmes but another f effect.	e. Didactic ve than on of both ehaviour or d a support
--	---

# Other information

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Barlow et al. (2006)	Comprehensive family assessments should be given to families at risk to identify negative interactions in the first instance, followed by a tailored, flexible and	Authors conclude that effectiveness seems to be positively correlated to duration – longer studies with many visits had larger effect sizes than shorter programmes. The lowest	"there have been extensive changes made to the ways in which services and professionals work together to reduce abuse in the UK. However, more still needs to be done	More interventions should be evaluated in terms of cost-effectiveness and cost benefit.

multimodal intervention.	effect was for programmes	to provide families who are	
	with 12 or fewer visits and	at risk of abuse or who	
	that were less than 6	have a history of physical	
	months in duration.	abuse with access to	
		programmes specifically	
	Authors recommend the	aimed at changing their	
	use of multi-component	parenting practices and	
	interventions such as	regulating negative	
	programmes that combine	emotional states" (p.25).	
	both home visiting and		
	centre-based services for		
	children with additional		
	social support.		

# Chen and Chan (2016)

#### Content

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Chen and Chan (2016)	Primary, secondary or tertiary parenting programmes that particularly focused on child maltreatment. Programmes involved home visiting or parent training.	To prevent or reduce child maltreatment and modify associated factors (studies focusing specifically on sexual abuse were excluded).	No information.	No information.	Programmes were delivered to parents: general populations (32% of the 7,142 participants), families at-risk of maltreatment (61% of participants) and families where maltreatment had previously occurred (7% of participants). "A total of 13 programs involved both mothers and fathers as participants, and 18 programs only had mothers as participants. The majority of participants in the parenting programs were under the age of 30, with young children below the age of 5. Most participants had lower income or were	Little information, except that the majority of participants were under 30 years with children aged under 5.

	unemployed, with low levels of education. Single parents constituted a considerable percentage of the sample
	population, ranging from 12% to 100%." (p. 93).

# Critical appraisal

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Chen and Chan (2016)	Yes: what is the effectiveness of parenting programmes in preventing child maltreatment?	"RCT designs with at least one control group and one intervention group" (p. 89)	Yes, 9 databases were searched "to identify studies published on or before September 2013 [] As an additional search strategy, we manually searched the references of	Yes – using a modified CONSORT checklist containing 10 items relating to the research method. "None of the studies achieved the maximum quality	Effect size (Cohen's d) from meta- analysis	95% CI and p- value	Yes – studies mostly conducted in developed countries (US, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, England), with 2 from Thailand	No information.

	review articles and contacted authors of published articles to acquire gray literature, including unpublished studies and program reports from research groups." (p. 89).	score. The scores ranged from 6 to 11." (p. 93). The maximum possible score was 12.		and Iran respectively.	
--	---	---	--	---------------------------	--

# Results

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Chen and Chan (2016)	37 studies evaluating 31 programmes were included. Sample sizes ranged from 30 to 1,173.	"The research outcomes were extracted at posttest and follow-up periods and included 3 main parts, namely, the reduction in child	In summary: parenting programmes reduced substantiated and self-reported child maltreatment reports and reduced the potential for child maltreatment. Programmes also reduced risk factors and enhanced protective factors associated with child maltreatment. Effects on parental depression and stress were limited.	"[P]arenting programs effectively prevent child maltreatment by reducing risk factors and enhancing protective factors [] [They] can be used as effective primary, secondary, and tertiary interventions for child maltreatment." (p. 101).

maltreatment, the reduction in parental risk factors, and the enhancement of parental protective factors. The measurement of child maltreatment included substantiated child maltreatment rate [] and reported child maltreatment []. Because many studies used the Child Abuse Potential (CAP) Inventory, we also examined the probability of child maltreatment []." (p. 90).Eight studies reported official reports of child maltreatment, 11 studies used CAP and 11 reported	<ul> <li>Child maltreatment:</li> <li>"Twenty-nine programs demonstrated positive impacts on child maltreatment prevention and only 2 reported negative impacts [] The total weighted effect size was 0.296 under the random effects model." (p. 93)</li> <li>Risk factors:</li> <li>"a moderate effect on the reduction in ineffective parenting (d=.612)." (p. 95)</li> <li>"The effect size on the reduction of parental depression was very small (d = .026)"</li> <li>"Parenting programs demonstrated minor negative effects on the reduction in parental stress (d = - .002)."</li> <li>"The effect on poor parents' relationship was also minor and negative (d =034); however, there was only one study evaluating this outcome"</li> <li><i>Protective factors</i>:</li> <li>"a positive effect on the enhancement</li> </ul>	"The results of this study demonstrate that parenting programs may have a long- term positive effect in preventing child maltreatment from posttest to follow-up periods. However, only 13 studies conducted follow-up evaluations, and these program effects were evaluated at 9 different time points. We cannot draw conclusions about variation in program effect over time [] The effect sizes of different program outcomes varied greatly, and there was also wide variation within the group of studies using the same measurement. The effects were positive for studies using most outcome measures, although there was bias in the use of these measurements. The official child maltreatment reports, CTSPC, and CAP, are the
--	---	---

on the re- risk factor the Pare Conflict Scale (C	• "increased disagreement with actics inappropriate child-rearing attitudes	most direct means to measure program effects, and a total of 24 parenting programs employed these measurements. The results of our analysis demonstrate a small but positive effect in reducing the number of substantiated child maltreatment reports, psychological aggression, harsh discipline, corporal punishment, and neglect. The effect size of CAP was particularly consistent (I <sup>2</sup> 1/4 8.325). Parents were found to be less likely to maltreat their children after intervention. However, given that the decrease in CAP score might not guarantee the reduction in the probability of future abuse, we should be cautious when applying this finding into practice []." (pp. 97-98).
---	--	--

## Other information

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Chen and Chan (2016)	No information.	<ul> <li>"[H]igh dosage of parenting programs may not guarantee favorable effects for the participants." (p. 100)</li> <li>"The starting time of parenting programs influences program effects. Parenting programs that started on or before the prenatal period were helpful in preventing child maltreatment from ever occurring." (p. 100).</li> <li>"Compared to programs with mother as the sole participants, the programs involving fathers achieved lower effect size. The finding may indicate that fathers did not gain as much as mothers</li> </ul>	"Parents' risk of child maltreatment decreased after the interventions, regardless of the qualification of the direct service providers of the parenting programs." (p. 100).	<ul> <li>"Researchers should examine what works (and how it works) in the intervention process [], thereby clarifying the mechanisms underlying successful programs.</li> <li>"More studies are needed to study program effectiveness at follow-up periods and discuss how the positive effects may be sustained.</li> <li>"[F]urther moderator analyses are needed because there is still wide variance within single moderator variable groups.</li> <li>"It would also be meaningful to explore whether focusing on a special group of people [for example parents with disabilities] increases the efficacy of intervention programs." (p. 101).</li> </ul>

from parenting program, although fathers play an important role in parenting and the parent-child relationship. Modification of parenting programs to suit fathers may require more attention." (p. 100).	
"[T]he program effects were not significantly associated with the service delivery method or the use of home visitors." (p. 100).	

# Euser et al. (2015)

# Content

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Euser et al. (2015)	Parent programmes to prevent or reduce child maltreatment. Programmes fell broadly into 3 categories: (1) those focused on providing support (for example social, emotional, material) to improve overall family functioning; (2) those providing training for parents to improve their parenting skills; and (3) those combining parent training and	To (1) prevent the occurrence of child maltreatment in the general population or with at-risk but non-maltreating families, or (2) to reduce the incidence of child maltreatment in maltreating families. [Only (1) is within the scope of the review. When the age group of focus was taken into	Support groups in centre-based settings, or personal home visits, or a combination of the two. All were delivered by (para)professionals. [All 3 relevant studies were delivered to individuals. One was delivered in the home and 2 in centres.]	"The number of sessions and the duration varies from program to program." p.3) [All 3 relevant studies included 24 sessions.]	Three categories: (1) general population, (2) at- risk families, and (3) maltreating families, Settings were centres or the family home.	Eight of the 27 samples (covering 20 interventions) included children aged 5-19 years. Of these, only 3 targeted at-risk populations. The remaining 5 targeted maltreating families and are thus beyond the scope of this review.

support. account, only 3 interventions were directly relevant to the present review: Behavioural Couples Therapy, Parents Skills Behavioural Couples Therapy, and Family Connections.]	
--	--

# Critical appraisal

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Euser et al. (2015)	Yes: how effective are parent programmes in preventing or reducing	RCTs (23) – cluster RCTs were excluded	Yes: "Eligible studies were identified using a systematic search in 3 electronic databases (Web of	Risk of bias was coded for but the results are not	Meta- analysis, followed by moderator and meta- regression	95% Cl and p- value	Arguably yes: "The large majority of the studies (n=23;	No information.

child	Science, ERIC,	presented	analyses	85%) were
maltreatment?	and PsychInfo)	in the	-	conducted
	using the terms	article.		in the USA
	child abuse and/or			[] the 4
	child neglect			studies
	and/or child			from
	maltreatment			outside the
	combined with			USA were
	interven* or			all from
	preven*, and			different
	written in English.			countries."
	Studies published			(p. 8).
	up until the end of			
	2012 were			
	identified, and no			
	earliest time point			
	was specified." (p.			
	4)			

# Results

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Euser et al. (2015)	23 articles covering 27 independent samples. [Only 3 studies	Studies were only included if they reported on actual maltreatment outcomes	There was no overall effect on maltreatment, but there were moderator effects (for example where programmes included parent training, or where duration and number of sessions were moderate rather than short or long).	"[T]he current meta- analysis did not show significant combined effects of intervention programs in randomized controlled

are directly	(details of	"The combined effect size of the 27 intervention	trials on the reduction
relevant for the	measures not	effects on maltreatment in the general population,	or prevention of child
current review.	reported).	families at-risk for maltreatment or maltreating	maltreatment in the
Two of these		families was $d = 0.13(N=4883; 95 \% CI: 0.05-0.21;$	general population, at-
had a small		p<.01), in a heterogeneous set of outcomes ( $Q =$	risk or maltreating
			S.
sample of 15		56.06, $p < .01$ ). The trim-and-fill approach showed	families. Taking into
each, and the		that 9 studies should be trimmed and filled (Fig. 3),	account the presence
third of 154.		with a resulting non-significant adjusted combined	of publication bias
Three different		effect size of d = 0.02 (95 % CI: -0.06, 0.11). This	against smaller studies
programmes		pattern of results suggests publication bias favoring	with non-significant
were		the publication of smaller studies with significant	results in this research
evaluated.]		findings." (p. 8).	domain, we failed to
			find a significant overall
		"Although no significant combined effect was found,	effect." (p. 10)
		moderator analyses indicated significant differences	
		in effects among subsets of studies [] The	"[S]ome interventions
		moderator analysis for focus of the intervention	were effective in
		program showed a significant contrast: programs	improving parenting or
		with a focus on parent training, either with (d=0.37)	child health, but did not
		or without support (d=0.37) were significantly more	effectively prevent or
		effective than programs that solely provide support	reduce child
		(d=0.03), Q(3) = 15.85, p<.01. Furthermore,	maltreatment." (p.11)
		interventions with a moderate number of sessions	
		(16-30; d=0.37) were significantly more effective	"More RCTs are
		compared to interventions with fewer $(d=0.05)$ or	needed to further
		more sessions (d=0.03), Q(2) = 9.65, p<.01. The	unravel which factors
		moderator analysis for duration of the intervention	are associated with
		showed comparable results: only interventions with	program effectiveness.
		a duration of 6 to 12 months yielded significant	Because currently
		effect sizes (d=0.23), whereas interventions with a	existing programs
1		1 on our sizes (u=0.20), whereas interventions with a	chisting programs

duration charter than 6 months (d=0.22) or longer	appeared to only
duration shorter than 6 months (d=0.22) or longer than twelve months (d=0.04) did not significantly	appeared to only reduce and not prevent
reduce child maltreatment, $Q(2) = 6.04$ , p<.05." (p.	child maltreatment,
8). However, taking into account year of publication	efforts in the field of
and sample size, neither duration of programme nor	preventive intervention
number of sessions significantly predicted	should also focus on
intervention effects.	the development and
	testing of preventive
"Interventions were significantly more effective in	programs for families at
maltreating samples (d=0.35) than in at-risk	risk for child
samples (d=0.05), $Q(1) = 9.31$ , p<.01." (p. 8)	maltreatment." (p. 1).
However, "controlling for year of publication and	maniedament. (p. r).
sample size, interventions targeting maltreating	
samples did not yield larger effect sizes compared	
to at risk samples." (p. 10).	
(p).	
"Meta-regression analyses with one predictor at a	
time revealed that intervention effects were	
significantly moderated by year of publication	
(z=2.11, p<.05, k=27) and sample size (z=-2.83,	
p<.01, k=27). Studies that were published more	
recently and studies with smaller sample sizes	
yielded larger effect sizes. Furthermore, age of the	
child at the start of the intervention yielded a	
significant positive regression weight (z=4.27,	
p<.001, k=27), indicating that interventions targeting	
families with older children had larger effects.	
Neither socioeconomic status nor ethnicity of the	
sample significantly influenced the effectiveness of	
interventions." (p. 8) "The effect of child age at the	

	start of the intervention failed to be a significant moderator when year of publication and sample size were taken into account (z=1.74, p=.08, k=27)." (p. 10).	
--	---	--

## Other information

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Euser et al. (2015)	No information.	"Programs with a moderate duration (6 to 12 months) or a moderate number of sessions (16 to 30) yielded significantly higher effect sizes compared to shorter or longer programs and programs with fewer or more sessions." (p. 11). "[W]e found significant intervention effects in	No information.	"First, we clearly need more RCTs that examine the effect of intervention programs on the prevention or reduction of child maltreatment, also outside the USA and in low- and middle-income countries [] Moreover, effectiveness studies of intervention programs that aim to reduce or prevent child maltreatment should take child maltreatment as their primary outcome measure [] [E]ffect sizes of intervention programs will be more reliable when more than one method is used to examine how often child maltreatment occurs [] [F]uture studies should examine whether they are also effective in reducing the number and duration of out-of- home placements [] [F]uture research should focus on the development and testing of prevention

		mal-treating samples, but not in at-risk samples, indicating that programs are only effective in reducing (but not preventing) child maltreatment." (p.	programs. Results of our meta-analysis indicate that so far intervention programs are only effective in reducing child maltreatment, and thus only protect children when the harm has been done." (p. 12)
--	--	---	--

# Klevens and Whitaker (2007)

#### Content

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Klevens and Whitaker (2007)	Universal and high risk, preventative and therapeutic for any type of child maltreatment. Interventions implemented before the occurrence of abuse or maltreatment.	To prevent the occurrence of child physical abuse, neglect or unspecified child maltreatment. A focus on child abuse was the most common (41.5% interventions).	No information.	"Interventions ranged from 12 min to 1,140 hr with a median of 22.9 hr spread over 1 day to 312 weeks with a median of 19 weeks" (pp. 366, 370).	Universal (35.6%) and high risk populations (64.4%); no further information. Interventions took place across a variety of settings (for example health centres (10%), schools (6.9%, mass media (2.1%)) but most commonly at home (25%) and in community centres (25%).	Not specified – it can be inferred, from intervention setting, that some interventions were targeted at school-aged children and parents.

# Critical appraisal

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Klevens and Whitaker (2007)	Yes. To identify gaps in the development of primary prevention programmes to prevent child maltreatment and to recommend future directions for developing interventions from a public health perspective.	Reports of interventions aiming to reduce child abuse; the majority had no evaluation component (51.1%), others included inter alia process evaluation, randomised controlled trial, and time series designs.	Yes. Systematic review of literature for 1980-2004 using existing databases (Medline, PsycINFO, ERIC (1980-2004), National Criminal Justice Reference Service, National Child Abuse and Neglect Clearinghouse, Inside Conferences (1993-2003), Conference Papers Index (1973-2003),	No.	Narrative summary of studies, and table identifying studies as evaluated and non- evaluated interventions by risk factor.	High level – stated change or not.	Insufficient information to assess (countries in which studies took place not mentioned)	Not assessed.

Google, and
Youth Tree
USA).
References cited
in literature
reviews
published in the
past 5 years
were reviewed
manually to
identify any
missing relevant
publications.

# Results

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Klevens and Whitaker (2007)	140 studies of 188 interventions. "Evaluation (n) (%) - None (96) 51.1 - Process (1) 0.5 - One group post-measure (2) 1.1	Impact on child maltreatment and on a range of risk factors, organised in the following categories: individual (for example low level of education, unwanted	Half of the programmes identified reported some type of evaluation, but less than a quarter (n=46) were deemed to be 'rigorous' evaluations (defined as a randomised controlled trial, controlled trial with no baseline differences or baseline differences controlled for in analyses, or interrupted time series).	"[T]here were several notable gaps in the body of work. First, only about one fourth of those programs included a rigorous evaluation. Thus, the effectiveness of a majority of primary prevention programs for child maltreatment is still unknown." (p. 370). "Only 3 programmes specifically targeted neglect, the most common form of child maltreatment" (p. 370).

- One group pre- post (31) 16.5 - Nonequivalent groups (14) 7.4 - Controlled trial (12) 6.4 - Randomized controlled trial (32) 17.0 - Time series/regression analysis (2) 1.1" (p. 366)	pregnancy, parenting skills); family (for example stress, family conflict/partner violence); neighbourhood (for example child care, access to services); and societal (social tolerance of abuse).	Of these, 17 studies measured programme impact on child maltreatment, with 9 finding reductions. An additional 20 programmes measured programme impact on one or more of the targeted risk factors, with 18 reporting reductions. More than one third of programmes identified targeted ≥3 risk factors, and almost all risk factors were targeted. Some factors were very popular (for example social isolation, parenting knowledge, access to services) whereas efforts to modify others were very limited (for example teenage pregnancy*, cognitive inflexibility, attributional biases, social skills deficits, harsh discipline, family conflict and partner violence*, poverty*, social disorganisation, lack of cohesion, fragmented services, and social norms tolerating violence toward children*). [Those with asterisks are highly prevalent in the general population.]	"Even among programmes that purported to address physical abuse and neglect, the elements that specifically addressed neglect were unclear." (p. 370). "There is an uneven distribution of primary prevention strategies that address modifiable risk and protective factors across the social ecological model [] There were limited efforts to modify some risk factors, mainly, teenage pregnancy, cognitive inflexibility, attributional biases, social skills deficits, harsh discipline, family conflict and partner violence, poverty, social disorganization, lack of community cohesion, fragmented social services, and social norms that tolerate violence toward children." (p. 370). "[T]his review found a large number of primary prevention programs for child maltreatment that addressed a broad range of risk factors. Yet few have been rigorously evaluated, and, of those, only a handful has demonstrated impact on child maltreatment or its risk factors." (p. 373).
---	---	---	--

Other info	rmation			
Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Klevens and Whitaker (2007)	No information.	No information.	No information.	<ul> <li>"[I]t is equally important to measure final outcomes such as child maltreatment and other related health outcomes until the link between risk factors and outcomes is known with greater certainty." (p. 370).</li> <li>"Researchers/policy analysts should take advantage of experimental research in welfare reform and poverty reduction efforts to examine how those efforts may affect on child maltreatment. Given that most children living in poverty are not maltreated, efforts to develop prevention programs among the poor would benefit greatly from research identifying protective factors in the midst of poverty." (p. 371).</li> <li>"[E]valuation of existing interventions and the development of new preventive interventions should target prevalent and, heretofore, neglected risk factors such as poverty, social norms tolerating violence toward children, partner violence, and teenage pregnancy." (p. 373).</li> <li>The authors advocate the further development and evaluation of programmes "delivered by the public or to the public or that require the least effort by recipients" (p. 372) because they</li> </ul>

are "theoretically appealing from a cost-containment perspective" (p. 372). Examples include: "policies that increase the value society places on children (e.g., tax policies, public investment in child care and education, salaries of caregivers and teachers) or that protect the welfare of families with children (e.g., livable minimum wage, subsidized housing in safe communities, increasing the availability of affordable high-quality child care, paid maternity/paternity leave) and promoting scientifically based child-rearing strategies through mass media" (p. 372).
"Community-level interventions that increase social cohesion or community organization [] as well as interventions enhancing availability, coordination, and integration of social services needed by families and children [] would also fall into the category of interventions delivered to the public and should be further developed and rigorously evaluated." (p. 372).

# Lundahl et al. (2006)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Lundahl et al. (2006)	Parent training programmes (group or individual) to reduce parents' risk of abusing a child. Little information provided about intervention content, but "the programmes evaluated generally assessed some aspect of parents' personal functioning and/or indicators of child-rearing skills" (p. 252). Programmes were divided into behavioural, non-behavioural or a mixture.	Reduce parents' risk of abusing a child (physical/ emotional abuse plus neglect, not sexual abuse).	Delivered to a group or an individual, sometimes supplemente d with home visits. No information as to who delivers the programmes.	Limited information but frequency varied across programmes: authors divided programmes into low or high number of sessions (those in the low number of session groups received 12 sessions or fewer).	Parents either convicted of child abuse or at risk of abusing. Home or office setting.	No information about the ages of children in the studies or programmes. (The ages of children were coded for use in a moderator analysis but no data on ages are provided.)

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Lundahl et al. (2006)	Yes – to examine the effectiveness of parent training programmes targeting parents judged to be at risk of abusing a child.	Inclusion criteria stated that studies needed to have pre- and post- intervention data on at least 5 participants and contain enough data to calculate an effect size.	Yes – 3 databases searched, and broad search terms used, though unpublished studies eliminated.	Yes – methodological rigour of studies coded on an 8-point scale.	Meta-analyses with fail-safe <i>n;</i> average effect sizes for each outcome. Presence of moderators such as study design, sample characteristics, delivery method etc.	Effect sizes (Cohen's d). 95% confidence intervals.	Unclear – countries not specified.	Not assessed. Notes that it would be assumed that adding home visitation would increase cost, but that judicious use of home/on- site delivery may mitigate costs associated with office overheads.

Author	Included studies	Outcomes	Results	Author conclusions
(Year)		measured		
Lundahl et	23 studies (17	4 outcomes were	"Immediately following parent training, parents	"Our results indicate
al. (2006)	pre-post only	measured:	evidenced moderate, but significant, positive gains	parent training is effective
	designs; 4	parents' attitude	in all outcome constructs." (p. 255)	in reducing the risk that a
	studies	towards abuse;		parent will physically
	comparing one	emotional	Moderate average effect sizes provided for each	abuse, verbally abuse, or
	treatment group	adjustment; child-	outcome: parental attitudes towards abuse (0.60);	neglect a child.
	to one control	rearing skills; and	emotional adjustment (0.53); child-rearing skills	Immediately following
	group; 2 studies	actual	(0.51); and documented abuse (0.45). No values	parent training, parents
	comparing 2	documented	were homogenous, however, as evidenced by	reported significant and
	treatment	abuse.	significant Q <sub>w</sub> statistics.	meaningful changes in
	groups to one			attitudes and emotions
	control group).	The measures	For the parental attitudes, emotional adjustment,	linked to abuse and
		used were	and child-rearing behaviour outcome classes, the	observed child-rearing
		frequently	fail-safe <i>n</i> s [i.e. the number of unpublished studies	behaviors and
		standardised, and	with an effect size of 0.00 needed to reduce an	substantiated abuse." (p.
		were parent self-	overall obtained effect size to a certain level] were	258).
		report,	22, 21, and 20 respectively. For the documented	
		observations and	abuse outcome, the fail-safe <i>n</i> was only 3.75.	The results of this study
		state records		help elucidate the best
		assessing need	The small amount of studies specifically targeting	conditions under which
		for care and	documented child abusers showed greater	parent training can be
		recidivism rates.	emotional gains compared to those only targeting	most effective in reducing
			parents at risk of becoming an abuser (d=1.26 vs. d=0.45 p<0.05).	parental abuse or neglect.
				Parent training

Moderator analysis – the inclusion of home visitors produced significant changes in attitudes (p <.05) and child-rearing behaviours (p <.10), and conducting the training in both home and office settings increased effectiveness and was significantly better than office-only delivery in changing attitudes and behaviours (p <.01). Higher number of training sessions (p<0.05), and delivery through both group and individual modes rather than any one mode (p<0.01) improved child rearing attitudes but not behaviours.No mediator analyses were conducted.Follow-up did not measure child abuse, but found durability of impact on child-rearing attitudes, child- rearing behaviours and emotional adjustment. "Positive changes in emotional adjustment. "Positive changes in emotional adjustment. "Positive changes in emotional adjustment. "Positive changes in emotional support the use of parent training." (p. 260)Effect sizes may be negatively associated with rigour ratings – studies with poorer methodology achieved higher effect sizes, meaning that better studies may demonstrate a more realistic outcome for parent training rogrammes and thus have lower effect sizes.	programmes should include both a group and an individual delivery element to maximise gains, in addition to a home visitor. "Non-behavioral programs shifted parental attitudes more than did behavioral programs, and behavioral programs taught child management skills better than did non-behavioral programs. Rather than choosing between a behavioral or non- behavioral program, elements of both should be considered. Indeed, our data suggest that mixing these 2 theoretical orientations does not result in undesired outcomes and tends to promote positive outcomes." (p. 260).
--	---

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Lundahl et al. (2006)	No information.	The inclusion of home visitors in the programmes may provide parents with emotional support and better allow them to individualise the lessons they have learned in the training classes. One on one support may provide time and space for parents to work on changing attitudes and beliefs. Success is more likely when programmes offer a home visitor or offer treatment in a combination of settings as well as including an individualised treatment component. The review found that "a higher number of sessions was associated with greater changes in attitudes linked to abuse but not with child- rearing behaviors. Although speculative given that this mixed finding is inconsistent with predictions, it may be that parents' attitudes and beliefs are more difficult to change compared to child-rearing practices Parental attitudes changed more through a mixture of	No information.	Authors recommend that more research needs to be conducted with families with documented cases of child abuse to see if the results will hold. "Also, we note that the studies in this sample defined abuse broadly with little or no differentiation between physical abuse, neglect, or verbal abuse. This lack of specificity may undermine decision making at the individual case level." (p. 260). "Parents who completed parent training were more likely to rely on noncoercive strategies, such as

group and individual delivery than either mode	expression of warmth and
alone Parent training programs that relied	democratic reasoning, when
solely on group delivery were less effective in	interacting with their children
changing child-rearing practices compared to	and were less likely to rely on
those that involved some amount of individual	coercive strategies, such as
delivery, though this finding was not	the use of physical force or
statistically significant in the present study but	threatsThe above
has been documented elsewhere." (p. 259).	mentioned general findings
	offer generic support for
"Programs that included behavioral principles	providing parent training to
showed more positive changes in parental	parents considered to be at
behavior compared to studies that did not By	risk to abuse a child. Yet,
contrast, nonbehavioral programs were more	such generic findings do little
successful in changing attitudes linked to	to guide the design of future
abuse possibly because such programs stress	parent training programs.
the importance of adopting democratic or	Examining the influence of
authoritative parenting philosophies Rather	certain predefined
than choosing between a behavioral or non-	characteristics of the
behavioral program, elements of both should	programs across studies can,
be considered." (p. 260).	however, yield information
	that can guide the design of
	future parent training
	programs" (p. 259).

# McCloskey (2011)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
McCloskey (2011)	Parenting interventions. "Programs were often designed to change parental attitudes, modify behaviors or attitudes associated with abuse, reduce parental stress, improve general parenting knowledge and skills, reinforce positive relationship dynamics, reduce coercion, and in some cases reduce child conduct problems associated with abuse histories" (p. 9).	To reduce the risk of child abuse and neglect in families.	A range of people delivered the interventions: psychologists, case managers, mental health professionals, therapists, and paraprofessionals.	"The duration of programs lasted from about 2 weeks to more than a year" (p. 9). However, included interventions were delivered mostly weekly for between 6 to 16 weeks. Most clinic- based interventions lasted 8-16	Parents of infants, toddlers or children under 17 who are abusive or are at risk of being abusive: "The parent had to show either heightened statistical risk for child abuse or neglect (e.g., teenage parent, substance dependency) or a documented history of some form of child maltreatment." (p. 9). The majority of parents were low-income	The review targeted studies of parents of children aged 0 to 17, but in the included studies children were all aged under 12. Of the 22 studies, 9 focused on children aged 0 to 5 and 11 included children aged 5 and above. For 2 studies the age range was unclear.

Review included home	weeks.	mothers with less
visiting, group-based		than a high school
parenting programmes	Sessions	education. In the
and more therapeutic	tended to be	US, most studies
interventions delivered	around 1 to	enlisted minorities.
on a one-to-one basis.	2 hours	
	each.	Settings were the
		family home and
		clinics.

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
McCloskey (2011)	Yes. To evaluate how parenting programmes succeed at: (1) eliminating child abuse as manifest in official reports and in-person	RCTs or studies with a form of random allocation.	Yes. Electronic database searches (Medline, PubMED, PsychINFO, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, Google Scholar) plus references from	Yes. Cochrane grading system was used (rating RCTs from A to C). Studies were scored 0 to 6 for risk of bias. "Studies with	Narrative summary of results; original p values from each study reported.	p values but no effect sizes.	Yes. Studies mostly conducted in English- speaking high-income countries: USA (15), Australia (2), Canada (2), New	Not assessed.

assessme (2) altering parenting behaviour attitudes associate with abus and (3) enhancing parent-ch relationsh and positi parenting skills as buffers	g autho conta s or grey I Main d are ba e; on stu publis g peer-I Id journa ips ve	hed in exclude reviewed (p. 8).	ry low sizes ting lisa- re	aland (1), ( (1), Iran

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
McCloskey (2011)	22 RCTs	Parent self-report of child abuse potential, parent-child relationship, parenting practices and attitudes. Child maltreatment or harsh parenting was the target	Although 11 of the 22 included studies targeted children in the relevant age group (5 to 19 years), only 7 of these were primary prevention (the remaining mostly focused on preventing re- occurrence of child abuse). The	"Two-thirds of the studies showed positive effects of parenting interventions on either child abuse rates in official records or according to parents' self-reports. Studies which included multiple measures of parental attitudes or

risk behaviour for	findings from the primary prevention	behavior tended to report more
measurement; close	studies [i.e. focused on preventing	significant results. Despite the
correlates of abuse	the occurrence rather than the re-	relative success of some
were also measured -	occurrence of abuse] are as follows:	programs, several home visiting
parenting stress,	One study of summaries fathers?	evaluations reported almost
parenting style, and	One study of supporting fathers'	entirely null results. Portability of
attitudes.	involvement found a significant	these programs may be of limited
	intervention effect on parent-child	value. Any intervention would
Measures were self-	relationship, but not on parental	need to be adapted to suit local
report, observational,	stress or parenting attitudes.	realities." (p. 3)
interview-based or		
agency record-based.	Another study found that methadone	"Overall, most of the intervention
	maintained parents improved on	programs yielded encouraging
	reliable change index, CAPI [Child	results. Yet, one-third (7 of the 22)
	Abuse Potential Inventory] and	reported no differences between
	Parenting Stress Index due to the	intervention and control groups on
	Parents Under Pressure	abuse-related measures." (p. 34)
	intervention.	
	A study of Incredible Vears found	"Some findings strongly support
	A study of Incredible Years found	parenting interventions; others
	significant effects on parent-child	raise questions about their value.
	interaction (although the review	Home visiting programs which are
	authors suggest the measure was	an important context for the
	subject to bias), and another found	delivery of counseling and
	Incredible Years to be effective for	parenting training appear least
	positive discipline, co-parenting,	effective insofar as their impact on
	harsh parenting and quality of the	child abuse rates. Still, the highly
	home environment.	promising work of Bugental et al.,
	A study of SOS! Group Training	(2009) suggests that there are
	A study of 303: Group Training	ways to augment these programs

found a significant effect on self- reported abuse measured using the Conflict Tactics Scale. Two studies evaluated Triple P. Triple P was effective for reducing abuse reports, out-of-home placements and injuries. An expanded version of Triple P showed no further advantages over the standard programme.	and make them more effective. Parent-Child Intervention Therapy [school-aged children] is consistently strong in directly reducing child abuse rates. It may be difficult to transport, but possibly worth the effort. It remains uncertain how enduring the effects of this treatment program are. Finally, Cowan et al.'s (2009) program to transform men into compassionate fathers holds great promise, especially for those who want to reverse the trend in gender-based violence" (p.36).
--	---

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
McCloskey (2011)	No information.	Notes that some programmes such as Parent-Child Interaction Therapy are difficult to transport to new contexts. "Any intervention would need to be adapted to suit local realities" (p.3).	Interventions such as Parent-Child Interaction Therapy require implementers to be trained as a therapist. Other effective interventions include CBT, which also requires trained professionals to administer. There are some messages about how to develop culturally appropriate interventions in less resourced settings, with the implication that this would need specialised training for the workforce on how to work with cultural norms and lack of resources.	Very few research recommendations made: the authors suggested that "more needs to be done in the field towards promoting uniform measurement" (p. 36).

## Mikton and Butchart (2009)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Mikton and Butchart (2009)	Universal or selective child maltreatment prevention interventions. 7 main types of interventions were covered: home visiting, parent education, child sexual abuse prevention, abusive head trauma prevention, multi-	Preventing child maltreatment.	Parent education programmes were delivered in groups, covering parenting knowledge and skills. Child sexual abuse prevention programmes were universal programmes, covering body ownership, touch and recognition of abusive situations, and disclosure. The delivery of multicomponent interventions covered family support, parenting skills, preschool education and child-care.	Not specified.	Interventions had to be universal or selective; indicated interventions were excluded. Parent education programmes targeted at parents and delivered in centres. Child sexual abuse prevention programmes were delivered to children in schools.	Age of children not specified in search criteria and not always clear from narrative summary of included studies, but clear that some studies that were identified included school-aged children and their parents. [The review included early childhood home visitation programmes and programmes to prevent abusive

(	component			head trauma (also
i	interventions,	There were no details of the	Multicomponent	referred to as
r	media-based	delivery of media-based	interventions were	Shaken Baby
i	interventions,	interventions.	targeted at	Syndrome), both of
5	support and		parents and	which by definition
r	mutual aid	The delivery agent was not	children, in	lie outside the age-
Q	groups.	specified for any	multiple settings.	range 5 to 19 years
		programme type.		that is the focus of
			It was not	this review.]
			specified at whom	
			media-based	
			interventions were	
			targeted.	

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehen sive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Mikton and Butchart (2009)	Yes – sought to synthesise evidence on the effectiveness of universal and selective	A systematic review of reviews. Included systematic or comprehensi ve reviews	Yes, focused on recent evidence (2000-2008) and searched	Yes. AMSTAR used to rate systematic review quality: "The mean	Evidence for effective- ness scores – adapted from an	Tabled summary of effective- ness scores with	Yes – 82.9% of outcome evaluations from systematic reviews	Not assessed.

child	evaluating	numerous	AMSTAR	existing	legend.	conducted
maltreatment	the	electronic	score of 6.3	system.	5	in US.
prevention	effectiveness	databases.	indicated that	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Other
programmes	of universal		the quality of			studies
	or selective	"Only easily	the			primarily
	(but not	accessible	systematic			from North
	indicated)	reviews	reviews is			America,
	interventions.	were	[] only			Europe and
	For inclusion,	included	moderate."			Australasia.
	reviews	(i.e.	(p. 358)			0.6% of the
	needed to	published in				studies
	include at	a peer-	Quality of			were
	least one of	reviewed	individual			conducted
	the following	journal, a	outcome			in country
	outcomes:	book, or	studies rated			settings not
	physical	online)." (p.	as follows:			applicable
	abuse, sexual	353)	internal			to the UK
	abuse,		validity rated			(China and
	neglect, or		by study			Colombia).
	emotional		design (RCT,			
	abuse		non-			
	perpetrated		randomised			
	by a parent or		controlled, or			
	caretaker		no control			
	against a		group); and			
	child (bullying		construct			
	and		validity of			
	witnessing		outcome			
	intimate		measure			
	partner		(direct			

violence were excluded).	child		
	maltreatment, proxy		
	measure, or risk factors).		

Author (Year)	Included	Outcomes	Results	Author conclusions
	studies	measured		
Mikton and	26 systematic	Direct measures	Evidence for parent education programmes was mixed,	"Cumulative
Butchart	reviews met	of child	with 2 meta-analyses reporting small-to-medium effect	knowledge on child
(2009)	the inclusion	maltreatment	sizes, while others described effects on the risk factors	maltreatment
	criteria,	and risk factors	for child maltreatment but no evidence of effectiveness	prevention is ill
	summarising	were measured	on actual child treatment	served by an ever
	298 outcome	and reported for		increasing
	evaluations	all categories of	Child sexual abuse prevention programmes, delivered in	accumulation of
	and 85 reviews	review. It was	schools, consistently reported effectiveness for	methodologically
	and	not specified	strengthening protective factors but not for reducing	questionable
	commentaries.	what these were	actual abuse.	studies." (p. 358)
		for parent		
	Systematic	education	Evidence for the effectiveness of multi-component	"There is evidence
	reviews	programmes,	interventions was found to be mixed, insufficient or	that 4 of the 7 main
	composed of	multicomponent	promising.	types of universal
	140 RCTs, 82	interventions or		and selective
	non-RCTs, 45	media	Two media reviews found mixed or insufficient evidence,	interventions to
	studies with no	interventions.	while one identified a large effect size for the reduction	prevent actual child
	control group,		of risk factors for child maltreatment	maltreatment are

9 classed as "other", 4 "not clear from report", and 18 "missing" (Pg. 357). 57). For child abuse, outcome resilience factors s knowled sexual a protectiv behaviou future se abuse w measure	<ul> <li>"Four of the 7 types of universal and selective interventions examined in the 26 reviews are promisin for preventing actual child maltreatment: home visiting parent education, abusive head trauma prevention ar multi-component programmes [] The evidence, in relation to actual child mistreatment, on the 3 remaining types – child sexual abuse prevention, media-based interventions, and social support and mutual aid grout – is either insufficient or mixed." (pp. 357-358)</li> <li>"Of the 3 meta-analyses that examined the association"</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>head trauma</li> <li>prevention and multi-component</li> <li>programmes] but methodological</li> <li>weaknesses in both the reviews and the individual studies</li> <li>included in them</li> </ul>
---	--	--

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Mikton and Butchart (2009)	No information.	No information.	No information.	"More controlled trials using actual outcomes of maltreatment are needed" (p. 358) with a higher standard of methodological rigour.

## Mishna et al. (2011)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Mishna et al. (2011)	Cyber abuse prevention interventions. Interventions comprised preventive education concerning cyber safety for children and young people. Four types were deemed to be of interest: technology to block or filter access to inappropriate online content; cyber abuse prevention programmes for children; cyber abuse prevention programmes for parents; and therapeutic interventions for child victims of cyber abuse. In the event, evaluations of 3 programmes were identified: I- SAFE and Missing (both	To increase children and young people's internet safety knowledge and decrease risky online behaviour.	Two delivered by teachers, 1 programme delivered by researcher.	Duration varied from 1-6 weeks, with sessions lasting 40-50 minutes each.	Universal prevention for children and young people who use the internet. School settings.	Included studies of programmes targeting young people in grades 5 to 8 (aged 10 to 14) although inclusion criteria covered a wider age-range (5 to 19 years).

psychoeducational prevention programmes), and HAHASO ("Help, Assert Yourself, Humor, Avoid, Self-talk, Own			
it") (an anti-bullying programme).			

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Mishna et al. (2011)	Yes. To examine the effectiveness of cyber abuse interventions in increasing Internet safety knowledge and decreasing risky online behaviour.	Experimental or 2-group quasi- experimental research design that included a no treatment or minimal treatment control group; with random allocation or parallel-group design created through	Yes. Electronic databases searched; key journals handsearched; personal communication with experts to request articles; grey literature search. Focused on evaluations in	No: articles screened for relevance but not assessed for quality.	Effect sizes. No meta- analysis due to heterogeneity.	Effect sizes reported for each outcome, with standard error and z score with indication of statistical significance for differences between treatment	Yes: 2 US, 1 Canada.	Not assessed.

naturally occurring groups.	the past 10 years.	and control groups.	
groups.			

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Mishna et al. (2011)	3 pre-post designs with a control group, non-random allocation.	1 study measured multiple aspects of internet safety knowledge; 1 study measured multiple aspects of change in internet safety behaviours and attitudes; 1 study measured multiple aspects of cyber-bullying behaviour. There is little information about the measures used; one study used known rating scales. There is no information on whether the measures are reported by teachers, parents or	<ul> <li>"Significant results were found between pre- and posttest scores related to Internet safety knowledge. Most results related to risky online behavior were not significant." (p. 5)</li> <li>Generally, intervention effects for internet behaviour were in the right direction but did not reach significance.</li> <li>Overall, results were mixed. Taking the 3 interventions in turn:</li> <li>I-SAFE: comparisons of effect sizes between treatment and control groups were significant at the p&lt;0.05 level, except for the 'inappropriate online behaviour' outcome. Results indicate that children retained knowledge but did not change behaviour.</li> </ul>	"Results provide evidence that participation in psycho-educational Internet safety interventions is associated with an increase in Internet safety knowledge but is not significantly associated with a change in risky online behavior" (p. 5).

	the students themselves; measures appear to be to be student self-report but this is not explicitly stated.	Missing: Most comparisons between groups were nonsignificant at the p<0.05 level. The majority of attitudes and behaviour were unchanged after the intervention, except for likelihood of revealing personal information, which was reduced. HAHASO: All but one effect size was negative, indicating that the control groups had more changes between pre- and post- test than treatment groups, although none of the differences between treatment and control were statistically significant at p<0.05.	
--	--	---	--

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Mishna et al. (2011)	Students receiving interventions were more likely to discuss online safety with friends – this may make it more likely that online issues will be shared and thus risks identified earlier.	Successfully implemented interventions require the teachers delivering them to have a sound knowledge of the	Teachers need training and education about the internet and to be competent at using technology so they can effectively educate young people about the risks.	"Additional research is vital to greater understanding in this important field. The research implication growing out of this review is that additional research is necessary to explore the link between Internet safety knowledge generation and risky online behavior. While research that can clearly delineate the

Parents, caregivers and teachers need to be better educated regarding technology and the internet and need to engage more with children who use it.	internet and be adept at using technology. "Educational initiatives for parents must include a contextualized understanding of the importance of technology in the lives of children and youth in order to build an appreciation of the complexity of online risk behavior." (p. 12)	impact of psychoeducational interventions on Internet safety knowledge is important, the link between psychoeducational interventions and risky online behavior change remains unclear. "Further research is also necessary to explore the impact of these forms of interventions on younger children as well as older youth, given that the studies in this review focused only on middle school children in grades 5 to 8. Additionally, research that explores the use of technological interventions with children and youth is necessary to explore opportunities to reduce risk through software filtering and blocking programs. Lastly, research that explores anti-bullying strategies with a greater focus on cyber bullying is vital in order to examine opportunities to reduce cyber bullying among children and adolescents." (p. 12).
--	---	--

# Poole et al. (2014)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Poole et al. (2014)	Universal interventions with a population-level mass media campaign component aimed at preventing child physical abuse (CPA) or corporal punishment (CP) delivered via various forms of mass communication or in community services with wide population access. Five of the 15 campaigns reported on included at least	Preventing or reducing child physical abuse or corporal punishment	Not specified further than "various forms of mass communication (for example, TV, radio, bill-boards, posters, report cards) or were delivered via community services with broad population access (e.g. hospitals, pediatric offices or schools)." (p. 391) It is stated that most campaigns	Campaigns ranged from 6 weeks to 9 years with most lasting 1 to 2 years.	Universal with populations including from general public to adults >18 years, caregivers, and parents of children of a specific age (ranging from newborns to 18 years old). The setting for the intervention	Target audiences for the campaigns included the general public, adults aged over 18 years, parents/caregivers (generally), and parents and caregivers with children of a certain age (including as young as newborns). Seven studies focused exclusively on newborns or parents of children aged 0 to 5 [i.e. outside the scope of the prevent review].

the universal	used broadcast	varied from	
Level 1 media	media (for	media-based	
component of the	example public	to	
Triple P	service	community-	
programme.	announcements),	based in	
	others used print	hospitals,	
	media, online	schools, or	
	resources or	paediatric	
	telephone	offices.	
	support.		

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Poole et al. (2014)	Yes – to better understand the impact of universal campaign interventions with a media component aimed at preventing	Evaluations reporting on outcomes (no other criteria).	Yes. Five search methods used: search of standard journal databases (for example PubMed, PsycINFO); search of Google; review of literature	No.	Narrative summary of change, statistical values also included for some studies.	Significance (t-values, p- values, and degrees of freedom), odds ratio, confidence intervals, effect size (Cohen's d), percentage	Yes – all from high- income countries. Just over half of studies included from the US (n=9), others from	Not assessed.

child	reviews of	prevalence	Australia
physical	general child	and change.	(n=2), UK
abuse.	abuse		(n=2), New
	campaigns;		Zealand
	review of		(n=2),
	websites of		Canada
	health or public		(n=1), and
	health		Japan
	organisation		(n=1).
	websites; direct		
	contact of		
	authors and/or		
	sponsoring		
	organisations for		
	which		
	campaigns but		
	no evaluations		
	had been		
	identified.		

Author (Year)	Included	Outcomes	Results	Author conclusions
	studies	measured		
Poole et al.	17 studies –	The following	Child abuse outcomes	"CPA incidence was
(2014)	RCT was the	outcomes were	The incidence of child physical abuse was measured	assessed in only 3
	most common	measured:	in 3 studies, 2 of which are relevant for the current	studies and
	type (n=7),	Behaviours (for	review (the third focused on preventing abusive head	decreased
	although time	example calls to	injuries in young children). The first study reported a	significantly in 2.

corios (n-2)	helplines,	decrease from prot to past intervention of 07.7% in	Studies also found
series (n=2), pre/post (n=3),	incidences of	decrease from pre- to post-intervention of 97.7% in the incidence of child abuse reports related to report	significant reductions
time series	abusive head		in relevant outcomes
		cards that were part of the intervention (statistical	
(with	injuries,	significance not reported). The second study was an	such as dysfunctional
comparison)	substantiated	RCT and found a significant reduction in	parenting, child
(n=1) and	child	substantiated child maltreatment (d=1.09, p<0.03)	problem behaviors
survey designs		and in child maltreatment injuries (d=1.14, p<0.02).	and parental anger as
(n=4) were	child		well as increases in
also included.	maltreatment	Other behaviour change	parental self-efficacy
	injuries)	This was measured in 11 studies. Positive effects	and knowledge of
6 studies		were seen for: child behaviour problems;	concepts and actions
reported	Attitudes (for	dysfunctional or coercive parenting; increases in calls	relevant to preventing
formative	example towards	to helplines to report child abuse cases; increases in	child abuse [] The
evaluation	family violence)	the number of callers wanting to seek assistance from	evidence base for
procedures		the helpline or report parental alcohol and drug	universal campaigns
and nearly all	Beliefs (for	abuse; increases in the number of attempts by	designed to prevent
reported at	example	parents and/or community members to prevent child	CPA remains
least one form	preventing child	abuse through strategies promoted in the campaign,	inconclusive due to
of process	abuse and	such as assisting parents by watching their children	the limited availability
evaluation.	neglect, parental	and sharing information about excessive infant crying	of rigorous
	confidence)	with other caregivers.	evaluations; however,
	,		Triple-P is a notable
	Knowledge (for	Attitudes	exception. Given the
	example about	Attitudes were assessed in 7 studies but only one	potential for such
	child	found a significant improvement in positive attitudes	interventions to shift
	development or	towards preventing child abuse from pre- to post-	population norms
	sources of help	intervention and across 2 groups.	relevant to CPA and
	with parenting)		reduce rates of CPA,
	1 37		there is a need to
	Intentions (for	Knowledge	further develop and

example to use positive discipline strategies)	Knowledge was assessed in 6 studies. Positive effects were seen for: child development and community resources (i.e. knowing where to get information about parenting).	rigorously evaluate such campaigns." (p. 388)
	Parental self-efficacy and anger [additional indicators that were frequently assessed] Significant increases in parenting self-efficacy or competence were reported in 3 of 4 studies, while	"[M]any of the interventions reviewed produced significant parent and child behavioural
	significantly decreased parental anger or frustration was reported in 2 of 3 studies. One study assessed	effects." (p. 427)
	and found improvements in parents' intentions to use appropriate and positive child discipline strategies.	"[O]f the 2 interventions that demonstrated
	Six of the 17 studies focused specifically on Shaken Baby Syndrome (SBS) [results not reported here as outside the age group covered by the review (5 to 19 year-olds)].	statistically significant decreases in CPA, one targeted reductions in SBS [Shaken Baby
	Five studies in this review involved Triple P: 2 examined the entire Triple P programme and 3 examined Level 1 only. All Triple P studies were RCTs except for one non-equivalent groups design. Significant changes in beliefs, knowledge, parental emotions and/or behaviours were seen in all 5 studies. Key significant findings include: decreases in	Syndrome] and addressed all identified 8 risk factors and the other used the full Triple P program and addressed 7 of the
	child problem behaviours, decreases in dysfunctional parenting, and increases in parental self-efficacy.	identified risk factors." (pp. 427-428).

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Poole et al. (2014)	No information.	Study identified how frequently certain risk factors were targeted but was unable to link this to programme effectiveness. "The following risk factors were most frequently targeted in campaigns: lack of knowledge regarding positive parenting techniques, parental impulsivity, the stigma of asking for help, inadequate social support and inappropriate expectations for a child's developmental stage." (p. 388) Two potentially important factors were identified: (a) cultural relevance and (b) tailoring content to child developmental stage: "Beyond the key risk factors that we tracked, program effectiveness might well be tied to other key intervention adaptations and sensitivities that were not well documented, such as attention to issues of cultural relevance and stages of	No information.	<ul> <li>"[T]here is a need to better understand what risk factors are targeted and how successfully, specifically in universal campaigns for CPA prevention." (p. 390)</li> <li>"We would encourage future program evaluators to address both of these issues whenever possible: that is, to explicitly assess key targeted risk factors and, of course, to use the strongest methodologies possible to ensure greater confidence in results." (p. 428).</li> <li>"Triple P and effective SBS program materials should undergo further rigorous evaluation to confirm their effectiveness in reducing CPA. The use of helplines also appears promising and should be integrated</li> </ul>

child development. On the former issue,	into future interventions and further
while many of the reviewed campaigns	evaluated." (p. 429).
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	evaluateu. (p. 429).
reached broad audiences, not all of the	
campaigns were designed to be culturally	"Overall, more rigorous evaluations
sensitive or tailored to different ethnic	should be conducted in order to
groups [] Extent of intervention tailoring	broaden the evidence base for these
to relevant stages of child development	types of interventions. Future
might also be an important factor in	evaluation studies would benefit from
program success, particularly given that	the inclusion of clear program theory
appropriate parenting instructions and CPA	descriptions along with a clear review
risk varies by child age." (p. 428).	of targeted risk factors and their
	linkages with program messages and
"Ma recommend at minimum	
"We recommend, at minimum,	components. Further development
incorporating the most promising risk	and testing of universal CPA
factors into CPA prevention programming,	prevention campaigns is important
including reducing parental impulsivity,	given their potential for community-
reducing the stigma associated with asking	level impact." (pp. 429-430).
for parenting help, increasing social	
support for parents, increasing knowledge	
and use of positive parenting techniques	
and increasing knowledge of appropriate	
expectations for a child's developmental	
stage." (p. 429).	

# Preventing child sexual abuse and exploitation

## Topping and Barron (2009)

Author	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention	Intervention	Intervention	Intervention	Timing
(Year)		aim	delivery	frequency and	target and	
				duration	setting	
Topping and	School-based child sexual	To prevent	Various. Most	Most	School	Broad range of
Barron	abuse prevention	child sexual	programmes	programmes	children age	ages, most studies
(2009)	programmes.	abuse.	were led by	were one to 2	5 years and	focusing on ages 5
			teachers	sessions lasting	older.	and older (most for
	In studies included,		(occasionally	45 minutes to		primary school
	programme content varied		with trained	one hour,	School-	children and
	but core themes included		facilitators	although range	based	weighted towards
	<i>inter alia</i> teaching children		present). Other	was 1 to 26	setting.	younger ages).
	to: recognise sexual and		providers	sessions lasting		
	other types of abuse;		included	between 10 to		Programmes
	distinguish between		programme staff	20 minutes and		included children
	appropriate touching; tell		members,	1 to 2 hours.		from Kindergarten
	the difference between		trained			to grade 9 (ages 5to
	good and bad secrets; say		volunteers,			16), but one
	'no' or avoid unwanted		counsellor,			programme has
	approaches; and tell an		school nurse,			participants from
	adult.		mental health			ages 16 to 28 and
			professionals,			one programme is
	Regarding pedagogical		theatre group,			targeted at parents.

elements: all programmes included discussion; several involved modelling (for example plays, puppet shows) or interactive learning (for example role play, skills rehearsal); a minority used picture cards, posters, comic strips, abuse prevention songs, and pencil-and- paper exercises.	high school students, social service staff, amateur actors, community workers.		
---	---	--	--

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehen- sive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Topping and Barron (2009)	Yes – to systematically and critically review evidence from 1990- for the effectiveness	Efficacy evaluations, defined as having an "evaluation methodology with specified	Yes – keywords identified from multiple sources, computerised searches undertaken,	Yes – detailed assessment of methodologi- cal limitations of studies	Effect sizes presented, meta-analysis conducted, narrative summary of results presented by	Table of p values and effect sizes presented for studies where	Yes – studies mainly from North America and the UK (occasionally from	Not assessed: no studies looked at cost- effectiveness.

of school- based programmes for the primary prevention of child sexual abuse.	supplemented with a manual search of the 2 most pertinent journals. All studies selected for inclusion also had their own reference lists considered for inclusion; resources used were journals and unpublished reports. Search focused on the period 1990 to 2005.	presented.	outcome and certain socio- demographic characteristics.	this was possible.	Australia, New Zealand and other areas of Europe).	
--	---	------------	--	-----------------------	--	--

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes	Results	Author conclusions
Topping and Barron (2009)	22 studies of 18 different school- based child sexual abuse prevention programmes (11 with control group, 8 without control group, 3 with partial control group)	9 outcomes were measured: personal safety knowledge, self- protection skills, emotional impact, risk perception, touch discrimination, reported response to actual threat or abuse, changes in disclosures, maintenance of gains, negative programme effects. Wide range of measures used but few used in more than one study and few had known	Most studies reported positive and statistically significant results but most studies also had methodological limitations and none of the studies reported any details regarding implementation fidelity or cost- effectiveness. All programmes targeted reduced abuse but could not reliably and validly measure the outcome (p. 454). Follow-up evidence is poor and any positive effects are mainly confined to knowledge gains. Negative programme effects were found in over half of studies (mostly mild, short and small in number, and including fear, embarrassment, wariness of touch). In more detail: Most studies found a significant impact on increasing all children's knowledge or awareness and/or abuse prevention skills. There was little evidence of change in disclosure. There was limited follow-up evidence of the actual use and effectiveness of prevention	"Programs delivered in school offer wide access but compared with clinical programs are likely to be briefer and involve less expert and confident leaders. There are a small number of studies of any quality. Nonetheless, given the positive claims in such studies about gains in knowledge, the continuation and extension of such programs may seem somewhat supported. "However, this critical review cautions against such a superficial interpretation. Although many studies showed positive and statistically significant effects of some sort, effect-size analysis indicated that, in some cases, this reflected sample size, and the real gains were very diverse. Not one of the 22 studies reviewed included data on implementation fidelity. Consequently, we do not know what these studies were actually evaluating. Evidence on

psychometric	skills. Evidence for the maintenance of	maintenance of program gains at
properties.	gains was mixed.	follow-up is sketchy and largely confined to knowledge gains.
	11 studies indicated gains in self-	Evidence on generalization of gains
	protection skills. "Only one study	into real-life contexts is even harder
	reported no difference in self-	to find. What evidence there is relies
	protection skills between control and	on a small number of retrospective
	experimental groups." (p. 447)	self-report surveys concerning
	"Over a third of studies reported some	unknown programs. Worryingly,
	"Over a third of studies reported some	there is evidence of negative program effects from many studies,
	kind of emotional gain for participants. Measures used included an anxiety	even when not looked for
	inventory, a self-esteem inventory and	systematically. Furthermore, there is
	a locus-of-control scale." (p. 447)	no published evidence on cost-
		effectiveness of programs.
	Effect on perceptions of risk was	
	inconclusive based on the mixed	"All programs targeted reduced
	results of included studies.	abuse, but could not reliably and validly measure that outcome." (p.
	"Over half the studies reported some negative effects for participants. These	454)
	were rarely measured in a consistent	Based on programmes that showed
	or standardized way. They tended to	4+ gains and moderate-to-high
	be based on parental or teacher	effect sizes, the authors concluded
	observations rather than asking the	that effective school-based sexual
	children themselves. Negative effects	abuse prevention programmes need
	reported were mostly small in number,	to: incorporate modelling, group
	mild in nature, and of short duration."	discussion and skills rehearsal; be at
	(p. 452)	least 4 to 5 sessions long; have the capacity to be delivered by a range
		capacity to be delivered by a range

		of personnel; and involve active parent input (evidence for the latter was limited).

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Topping and Barron (2009)	The advantages of school- based programmes are that they: are able to reach all children; locate the programme in a system that can offer continuity of support; and can raise awareness in salient peer and adult groups (including parents). Two papers identified worse outcomes for children from low socio- economic backgrounds. The first of these attributed	As discussed above, the authors concluded that effective school- based sexual abuse prevention programmes need to: incorporate modelling, group discussion and skills rehearsal; be at least 4 to 5 sessions long; have the capacity to be delivered by a range of personnel; and involve active parent input (evidence for the latter was	Authors noted that "Programs delivered in schools offer wide access but compared with clinical programs are likely to be briefer and involve less expert and confident leaders." (p. 454)	Extensive research recommendations made (pp. 455-457). These include <i>inter</i> <i>alia</i> : more experimental design studies (i.e. RCTs); better methodological quality; improved reporting; attention to fidelity and cost-effectiveness; larger sample sizes; more studies of older children; investigation of most protective core knowledge and skills; testing variations of aspects of delivery (for example length, provider, amount of training for providers, amount of parent involvement); and ensuring that measures extend beyond knowledge to other areas.
	worse skills and knowledge to negative parental reaction to disclosure and	limited). More effective		theoretical underpinnings of the program components need to be made clear, even if these are various" (p. 442).

and the second found	programmes can be operated by a variety of personnel.	"Future research must systematically address developmental and cultural differences with fine granularity, identifying specific concepts presenting difficulty and designing programs accordingly." (p. 446)	
		The authors recommend that longer-term follow-ups are implemented to assess whether negative impacts of some of the programmes are transitory and can be ameliorated.	

## Walsh et al. (2015)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Walsh et al. (2015)	School-based education programmes for the prevention of child sexual abuse. Intervention content takes different forms, which are located on a continuum from didactic approaches (for example passively received talk or lecture) to more active approaches based on behavioural modeling, (for example role play, practising self- protection skills).	Child sexual abuse prevention.	Mixed but <i>inter alia</i> volunteers, educators, counsellors, school nurses, teachers, school psychologists, school social workers, unspecified 'instructors', employees of child abuse prevention agency, school district sexual abuse coordinators, mental health professionals, community workers.	Duration of interventions was between a single 45-minute session and 8 20-minute sessions on consecutive days.	Target: school children. Universal prevention. School setting (23 primary schools, 1 special school for adolescents with intellectual disabilities).	5 to 18 year olds.

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Walsh et al. (2015)	Yes. To systematically assess evidence of the effectiveness of school- based education programmes for the prevention of child sexual abuse.	RCTs, cluster RCTs and quasi-RCTs in which participants were sequentially allocated.	Yes – searching of databases (CENTRAL, Ovid MEDLINE, EMBASE plus 11 others) and trial registers, reference lists and search of list-servs for unpublished studies.	Yes – assessment for various biases. Used GRADE evidence rating method. Overall quality deemed 'moderate'.	Meta- analysis for each outcome.	Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals and p value for each outcome.	Yes – 24 trials, in high or upper- middle income economies (16 US, 3 Canada, 1 China, 1 Germany, 1 Spain, 1 Taiwan, 1 Turkey).	Not assessed.

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Walsh et al. (2015)	24 trials (7 RCTs, 11 cluster- RCTs, 6 quasi- RCTs).	6 outcomes measured: protective behaviours; knowledge of sexual abuse or sexual abuse prevention concepts; retention of protective behaviours over time; retention of knowledge over time; harm (anxiety and fear); and disclosures of sexual abuse. Type of outcome measure included	<ul> <li>Evidence for effectiveness of intervention on protective behaviours (odds ratio (OR) 5.71, 95% confidence interval (Cl) 1.98 to 16.51),</li> <li>Evidence for effectiveness of intervention on questionnaire-based knowledge (standardised mean difference (SMD) 0.61, 95% Cl 0.45 to 0.78), but with substantial heterogeneity</li> <li>Evidence for effectiveness of intervention on vignette-based knowledge (SMD 0.45, 95% Cl 0.24 to 0.65), but with substantial heterogeneity.</li> <li>Evidence for effectiveness of intervention on knowledge retention beyond the immediate assessment (SMD 0.78, 95% Cl 0.38 to 1.17) to 6 months (SMD 0.69, 95% Cl 0.51 to 0.87).</li> <li>No increase or decrease in anxiety or fear in intervention participants (as an indicator of harm from intervention) (SMD -0.08, 95% Cl -0.22 to 0.07).</li> <li>Evidence for effectiveness of intervention on disclosure of previous or current sexual abuse (OR 3.56, 95% Cl 1.13 to 11.24), with no heterogeneity. However, adjusting for clustering made results statistically insignificant (ICC: 0.1 OR 3.04, 95% Cl 0.75 to 12.33;</li> </ul>	Sexual abuse prevention strategies must be targeted at the child but increased child awareness about sexual abuse does not absolve adults of their responsibility to protect them. "The studies included in this review show evidence of improvements in protective behaviours and knowledge among children exposed to school-based programmes, regardless of the type of programme. The results might have differed had the true ICCs [intracluster correlation coefficients] or cluster-adjusted results been available. There is evidence that children's knowledge does not deteriorate over time, although this requires further research with longer-term follow-up. Programme participation does not generate increased or

parent and student self- report questionnaires or vignettes, and official records of sexual abuse disclosures to school staff, child protective services or police.	ICC: 0.2 OR 2.95, 95% CI 0.69 to 12.61). Insufficient data for subgroup analyses (with the exception of participant age, for which subgroup analyses were conducted). Retention of protective factors was only reported by 3 of the 24 trials and this data was incomplete, therefore it was not included in a meta-analysis.	decreased child anxiety or fear, however there is a need for ongoing monitoring of both positive and negative short- and long-term effects. The results show that programme participation may increase the odds of disclosure, however there is a need for more programme evaluations to routinely collect such data." (p. 8).
---	---	---

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Walsh et al. (2015)	Children's social networks (community/society/family) need to be closely monitored so that early intervention can be applied in the case of suspected or intended abuse to prevent it from happening in the first place.	Implementation of interventions in schools should be part of a wider community initiative promoting child safety.	Community capacity for sexual abuse prevention may be raised by training teachers and involving parents in programme content.	"Further investigation of the moderators of programme effects is required along with longitudinal or data linkage studies that can assess actual prevention of child sexual abuse." (p. 8) "Future evaluations must be

		more comprehensive, use valid, reliable, standardised measures, and be more precisely reported, according to evidence-based guidelines for reporting of clinical trials such as the CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) Statement." (p. 57).
		"Longer follow-up periods for measurement of study outcomes beyond 6 months are essential to monitor maintenance effects." (p.58).

## Preventing intimate partner violence (IPV)

## British Columbia Centre of Excellence for Women's Health (2013)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and	Intervention target and setting	Timing
				duration		
British	The review	Identifying,	For the 4 sub-	For the 4 sub-	The review focused on	There are no
Columbia	examined	preventing,	types of the first	type of the	interventions/approaches	details of specific
Centre of	"interventions to	reducing and	category,	first category,	that were based in health	age ranges across
Excellence	identify, prevent,	responding to	namely	namely	care, social care and	the studies. The
for	reduce and	domestic	interventions to	interventions	specialised domestic	inclusion criteria
Women's	respond to	violence.	prevent	to prevent	violence service settings.	indicated
Health	domestic		domestic	domestic		populations of
(2013)	violence		violence from	violence from	For the 4 sub-type of the	adults, young
	between family		happening:	happening:	first category, namely	people/teenagers,
	members or				interventions to prevent	'elders' (65+ years)
	between people		(i) Prevention	(i) Prevention	domestic violence from	and children.
	who are (or have		approaches for	approaches	happening:	Desults are not
	been) intimate		young people:	for young	(i) Drayantian	Results are not
	partners." (p.		delivered often	people:	(i) Prevention	separated for age, other than
	10).		by teachers or	2-day healthy	approaches for young	
	The review		not reported.	relationship	people: urban socio-	questions relating to children who are
	The review		(ii) Madia	programme, 5	economically diagdycentegod youth	
	examined 5		(ii) Media	2-hour	disadvantaged youth	exposed to
	types of		campaigns:	sessions, 7-8	delivered in education	domestic violence.

intervention (the	delivered via a	educational	setting; adolescent	
first of which is	variety of radio,	sessions.	African-American males	
most relevant for	TV, print		in a correction facility;	
the current	(newspaper,	(ii) Media	educational package for	
review and	posters,	campaigns: 12	schools and youth	
therefore the	leaflets) and	90-second	groups.	
focus of	online articles	episodes of a		
attention):	and	radio drama;	(ii) Media campaigns:	
	advertisements.	TV and online	listeners of radio station,	
1. Interventions	Print items	for 4 weeks;	potential domestic	
to prevent	included in	multimedia	violence victims and	
domestic	health and	over 7	bystanders in whole	
violence from	social care	months, print	populations in rural	
happening, of	facilities.	and television	areas; potential abusers	
which there were		over 9	and victims. Setting	
4 sub-types: (i)	(iii)	months.	includes general public	
prevention	Interventions		sphere but also health	
approaches for	implemented in	(iii)	and social care settings.	
young people;	health settings:	Interventions		
(ii) media	domestic	implemented	(iii) Interventions	
campaigns; (iii)	violence leaflet	in health	implemented in health	
interventions	in emergency	settings: not	settings: Emergency	
implemented in	room [Accident	reported.	department [Accident &	
health settings;	& Emergency]		Emergency]. For patients	
and (iv)	washroom.	(iv)	and visitors.	
interventions in	<i>/</i> . \	Interventions		
community	(iv)	in community	(iv) Interventions in	
settings for at-	Interventions in	settings for at-	community settings for	
risk women.	community	risk women:	at-risk women: Includes	
	settings for at-	Community-	women aged 22 to 55	

<ul> <li>2. Intervention or approach help safely identify and where appropriate intervene to prevent, domestic violence.</li> <li>3. Intervention or approach which are effective in helping all t working in hand social of to respond to domestic violence.</li> </ul>	nes to hose health care	risk women: home visiting programme delivered by graduate students, therapists and programme director	based clinic or home visiting for pregnant teenagers over 10 weeks; weekly groups of 10 to 30 adolescent parents for 12 weeks.	years, with mild or moderate learning disabilities, also adolescent parents. Interventions delivered in the home, at high school, in an adult day care centre.	
4. Interventi and approa which are effective in identifying a responding children wh exposed to	ches ind to				

domestic violence in the various settings identified.			
5. The most effective types of partnership and partnership approaches for assessing and responding to domestic violence.			

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
British Columbia Centre of Excellence for Women's	Yes. Five specific questions are addressed in the	Study types included RCTs, case- control studies, interrupted	Yes. Twenty-four databases were searched from 2000 to May 2012. Ninety-two websites were	Yes. Used the standard tools for National Institute for	Narrative review of findings.	p-values are reported within the narrative for	Yes. Studies were conducted in US (105), UK (21),	Not assessed.

Health	review.	time series,	searched	Health and	individual	Canada
(2013)		cohort	manually for	Clinical	studies.	(14),
		studies,	relevant grey	Excellence		Scotland
		cross-	literature	(NICE)		(2),
		sectional	materials.	public		Australia
		studies,	Citation lists for	health		(1),
		observational	all included	evidence		Netherlands
		studies,	studies were	reviews.		(1), New
		systematic	scanned, a			Zealand (1),
		reviews or	collective virtual			Spain (1),
		qualitative	inquiry process			Germany
		studies that	was conducted			(1) and
		were not	and a call for			Sweden (1).
		already	evidence was			"or !!
		covered in	issued by NICE.			"Studies
		an included				from non-
		systematic				OECD
		review.				countries
						(low- and
		Interventions				middle-
		that involved				income
		activities of				countries)
		the police,				and select
		criminal				OECD
		justice,				countries
		education,				were
		early years				excluded
		and services				because of
		for young				the likely
		people that				difficulty in

were not linked to health and social care were excluded.			generalising the findings from such studies to the UK context." (p. 24)	
			It is noted, however, that some studies were conducted with specific minority groups.	

Author	Included	Outcomes	Results	Author conclusions
(Year)	studies	measured		
British	Studies covered	DV prevention	DV prevention	DV prevention
Columbia	the prevention	"The majority of	"While there is limited evidence on primary	"The contextual
Centre of	of domestic	studies measured	prevention programs for young people, there is	literature recommends
Excellence	violence (14	attitudes and	modest evidence that prevention programs that	the development of
for Women's	primary studies	knowledge or	target young people at risk for partner violence	further tailored,
Health	plus 2	exposure to	may improve knowledge, attitudinal (towards	community based
(2013)	systematic	educational	violence and gender roles) and interpersonal	approaches to violence

reviews), identification of domestic violence (28), responses to domestic violence (76: victims, 33; perpetrators, 33; elders, 3; couples, 7), interventions for children exposed to domestic violence (13) and partnership approaches to assessing and responding to domestic violence (21)	materials and messages, rather than behavioural outcomes" (p. 50). Responses to DV victims Outcomes varied according to the intervention approach but included access to support, mental health outcomes and incidences of IPV. Responses to DV perpetrators Attitudinal, psychological and interpersonal outcomes among abusers. Partnership approaches to DV Various abuse- related measures, including family	outcomes media campaigns have the potential to raise awareness of DV and services but may be hindered by issues with implementation Only weak evidence was available for prevention interventions implemented in health care settings there was weak evidence related to prevention programs implemented in community settings for high-risk women." (pp. 11-12) Responses to DV victims "There is moderate evidence that advocacy services may improve women's access to community resources, reduce rates of IPV, improve safety, decrease depression, reduce various stressors, and improve parenting stress and children's well-being [] There is moderate evidence that skill building (teaching, training, experiential or group learning) on a range of topics with victims of partner violence has positive effects on victims' coping, well- being, decision- making abilities, safety and reduction of coercive and violent behaviour There is moderate evidence that counselling interventions may improve: PTSD symptoms, depression, anxiety, self-esteem, stress management, independence, support, re-occurrence of violence, birth outcomes for pregnant women, motivational level, readiness to change, and/ or forgiveness There is moderate evidence that therapy interventions may be effective for improving various PTSD	prevention, along with interventions that address multiple levels of prevention." (p. 12) Responses to DV "Overall, there is a lack of research to address 'honour' based violence or forced marriage, and a lack of evidence on tailored approaches for diverse women and women at different levels of risk." (p. 15) Partnership approaches to DV "In general, the majority of studies found that partnership approaches were associated with improvements in various abuse-related measures A key aspect of improving the response to DV is the involvement of related service systems, such as the alcohol treatment
---	--	--	---

conflict, re- victimisation, response to and safety for victims and referrals to support services.	symptoms, depression, trauma symptoms, psychological and social outcomes, parenting/ family- related outcomes and in some cases may reduce likelihood of future IPV or re-abuse." (p. 14) Responses to DV perpetrators "There is moderate evidence that individual interventions for abusers may improve: aggressive feelings towards partner, attitudinal change, understandings of violence and accountability, or short-term help seeking. Some interventions also reported improvements in violent behaviours or recidivism, while others demonstrated no effect Overall, interventions appeared to have a greater effect on attitudinal	system." (pp. 305-306)
	outcomes rather than recidivism/violence outcomes." (p. 14) "There is inconsistent evidence that these interventions (short duration group approaches) reduce recidivism/ abuse outcomes However, there is moderate evidence that these short duration group interventions improve attitudinal, psychological and interpersonal outcomes among abusers There is inconsistent evidence regarding the effect of long duration group interventions for male abusers on recidivism or abuse outcomes The evidence of effectiveness for long duration group interventions on attitudinal,	

psychological and interpersonal outcomes is also inconsistent." (p. 15)
Partnership Approaches to DV "There is moderate that partnerships to address DV were effective at: increasing referrals, reducing further violence, or supporting victims of DV There is also moderate evidence that partnership approaches have been effective in improving relationships, practices and policies of partner agencies to address DV" (p. 18)

Author	Messages about how best to	Messages on effective	Messages on	Author research
(Year)	identify families in need of	implementation	workforce skills and	recommendations
	additional support		training	
British	"Moderate evidence revealed	DV prevention	"The evidence on the	DV prevention
Columbia	that the length of the tool used,	"Only weak evidence was	effectiveness of	"The need for longitudinal
Centre of	the types of questions asked	available for prevention	provider education	research to examine the
Excellence	and screening tool used []	interventions implemented	interventions for	effect of prevention
for Women's	resulted in differences in	in health care settings []	improving screening	programming on behaviour
Health	identification (rates, types of	there was weak evidence	practices or clinical	change has also been
(2013)	violence and groups identified)	related to prevention	enquiry is	noted [] More robust
	[] however [] it is not	programs implemented in	inconsistent.	studies are required to
	possible to determine which	community settings for high-	Interventions were	determine effective
	particular tool or tools are most	risk women [] While	typically aimed at	approaches to preventing
	effective [] some moderately	evidence was limited to 2	increasing health care	DV among these groups."
	rated studies reported that	studies, findings suggest	providers' ability to	

women were more likely to	that engaging high risk	raise the issue,	(p. 12)
disclose IPV in a self-report	groups may require tailored	screen for or detect	
compared to a face- to-face	and innovative approaches	DV among their	DV identification
format [] There is moderate	to programme delivery." (pp.	patients. Some	"Further research is
evidence that cueing improves	11-12)	studies reported an	required to examine and
discussion of, disclosure of and		increase in	address the barriers
referrals or services provided	Partnership approaches to	awareness, screening	providers face in identifying
for DV among some	DV	and documentation of	and responding to DV." (p.
populations." (p. 12)	"Studies identified the	DV; in other studies,	13)
	following enabling factors as	improvements were	
"There is weak evidence that	key to partnership working:	modest or limited." (p.	Responses to DV
the implementation of policy or	strong leadership,	13)	"Further research is
organizational changes to	management and		required to address the
screening for DV improves	coordination, active		need for a spectrum of
screening rates, referral rates	membership, community		services, and tailored and
and/or provider comfort with	involvement, strong		coordinated responses for
and ability to screen There is	relationships and		those who have
moderate evidence that	communication, training and		experienced DV." (p. 15)
universal screening or routine	resources, are associated		
enquiry for DV in pregnancy,	with effective partnership		Partnership approaches to
when supported by staff training	working. However, the		DV
and organizational support,	following barriers were		"There was a lack of
improves screening practices	reported: lack of resources		research addressing
and documentation of DV." (p.	(financial and human),		'honour'-based violence,
13)	differences in the culture of		approaches for diverse
, ,	agencies/ organizations,		sub-groups of women and
"While interventions and	leadership and management		men, or integrated DV and
approaches examined do reveal	issues, lack of commitment,		substance use services."
some modest improvements in	limited monitoring, and		(p. 18)
rates of identification or	addressing diverse		
	addrosonny divorso		

practices and knowledge per related to the identification of to DV, there appear to be of significant challenges in an achieving identification, referral and support goals. Although few ca studies examined interventions beyond the point of identification, some studies reported low rates of follow-up with women who had been identified as at risk." (p. 13)	
--	--

## De Koker et al. (2014)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
De Koker et al. (2014)	Interventions that seek to prevent and/or reduce intimate partner violence (physical, sexual and psychological) perpetration and victimisation among adolescents. "All interventions but one included a curriculum that consisted of sessions on, for example, personal safety, sexuality, and related health problem-solving or communication skills." (p. 5)	Primary and/or secondary prevention of interpersonal violence perpetration and victimisation among adolescents (the age of the majority of the sample had to be 11 to 19 years).	Teachers delivered 2 of the interventions. Other interventions were delivered by attorneys, school staff, sports coaches or trained facilitators.	The duration of interventions ranged from 1 to 5 months. Where reported, session duration ranged from 45mins to 3 hours. Total hours of delivery was reported for 4 of the 6 interventions and ranged from 3 to 50 hours, with an even distribution through this range.	The review includes universal interventions only and all studies recruited from schools. Five interventions were school- based, with 2 of these including a community component. One intervention was community- based and was delivered on school premises but outside of school hours. Studies that	Across the studies ages ranged from 11 to 26 years. One trial did not report on age but participants were recruited from middle school where the age range is 11 to 14 years.

	focused on a specialised population, such as young drug users or adolescents in juvenile	
excluded.	institutions, were	

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
De Koker et al. (2014)	Yes – to evaluate the effects of interventions to prevent (primary and secondary) IPV perpetration and victimisation	RCTs, cluster RCTs or quasi-RCTs of interventions aiming to prevent perpetration and victimisation of any kind of	Yes. "PUBMED, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Science Direct, EMbase, PsychLIT, ISI	Yes. "Three authors independently completed a risk of bias assessment for each included study using the Cochrane Collaboration's	A narrative summary of results with effect sizes with 95% confidence intervals and p-value reported for individual studies.	95% confidence intervals and p- values.	Yes. Trials were conducted in America [assumed to be US, though not stated explicitly] (4), Canada (1)	Not assessed.

among male and female adolescents.	interpersonal violence, targeting adolescents aged 10-19 years. Any intervention addressing a specialised group (for example young drug users or adolescents in juvenile institutions) was excluded.	Web of Science, Scopus, and the Cochrane database of Systematic Reviews." (p. 4)	tool." (p. 4)	"A meta- analysis was not performed because of variations in interventions and outcome measures among the 6 trials." (p. 11).		and South Africa (1).	
--	---	--	---------------	---	--	--------------------------	--

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
De Koker et al. (2014)	The review included 8 articles and one trial report, describing 6 RCTs.	Perpetration and victimisation of intimate partner violence (physical,	"Three of the 6 interventions demonstrated positive effects on IPV outcomes for both sexes. Two trials, Safe Dates and Fourth R, found that those in the intervention arm	"Interventions targeting perpetration and victimization of IPV among adolescents can

All trials were cluster RCTs with units of randomisation including communities, schools, classes or classes and schools.	sexual and/or psychological).	reported less perpetration of physical IPV. The Safe Dates trial found that those in the intervention arm reported less sexual and psychological IPV perpetration compared with those in the control arm. The Shifting Boundaries trial found that those in the 2 intervention arms (the school-based and the combined class- and school-based intervention groups) reported less IPV perpetration and victimization (types not specified). The classroom-only intervention was not effective in reducing IPV perpetration and victimization. Three interventions Ending Violence, Stepping Stones, Coaching Boys found that there was no statistically significant impact on any of the IPV outcomes measured; however, the prevalence of perpetration was lower among men in the Stepping Stones intervention arm, compared with those in the control arm 2-year follow- up." (p. 9)	be effective. Those interventions are more likely to be based in multiple settings, and focus on key people in the adolescents' environment." (p. 1)
--	----------------------------------	--	--

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
De Koker et al. (2014)	No information.	Effective interventions "were based in multiple settings (school and community) and focused on key adults in the adolescents' environment (such as teachers, parents, and community members). They addressed relationship skills and measured more than one type of IPV (e.g. physical and sexual)." (p. 11). Interventions that were not effective "were of shorter duration [] they consisted of curriculum only" (p. 12).	No information.	"Future trials should assess perpetration and victimization of IPV among male and female adolescents with and without prior experiences with IPV, taking gender differences into account." (p. 1)

## De La Rue et al. (2014)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
De La Rue et al. (2014)	School-based interventions designed to prevent and/or reduce teenage dating violence (interventions could also seek to change other outcomes). In order to be included, programmes needed to seek explicitly to reduce teen dating violence behaviours, change attitudes towards teen dating violence, increase bystander intervention to	To prevent and/or reduce teen dating violence or sexual violence in intimate relationships.	Interventions were delivered by teachers (15), community professionals (4) or research staff/graduate students (4)	The majority of interventions ranged from 1 day to 15 weeks, with the exception of one 60-week intervention. The duration of sessions, where reported, ranged from 40 to 80 minutes. The frequency of sessions was only reported for one programme, where 5 1-hour sessions were delivered over 5 days.	Interventions were delivered to pupils in school settings (middle and high schools). Studies of interventions that used other settings (for example community centres) were excluded. The age group of	Interventions were delivered in middle and high school across grades 6 to 12, where pupils are aged 11 to 18 years.

reduce perpetration or increase peer support for victims of dating violence.	interest was children and young people in
Authors grouped programmes into	grades 4 to 12 (9 to 18 years).
categories: universal, psycho- educational, individual or	
classroom level.	

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
De La Rue et al. (2014)	Yes. Review evaluated the impact of dating violence prevention programmes implemented	Studies needed to have a well- defined control group. Evaluation methods included	Yes. "Various electronic bibliographic databases were searched in July 2013, along with government databases,	Yes. "The review team assessed the methodological quality of studies using the risk of bias tool developed by the	Effect sizes from meta- analysis. The number of studies included in each meta- analysis	95% confidence intervals.	Yes. All but one of the studies was conducted in the US (the one other study was	Not assessed.

in middle	RCTs,	grey literature	Cochrane	ranged	conducted	
and high	quasi-RCTs	databases, and	Methods	from 3 to	in Canada).	
schools on	and quasi-	citations in	group." (p. 23).	13.		
changing	experimental	other reviews."	Studies			
attitudes or	designs.	(p. 5).	included had			
beliefs	Studies		medium-high			
supportive of	comparing		risk of bias.			
teen dating	interventions					
violence,	to another					
reducing	dating					
incidents of	violence					
dating	programme					
violence	that is					
perpetration,	considered					
or reducing	to be					
incidents of	effective					
dating	were					
violence	excluded.					
victimisation.						

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
De La Rue et al. (2014)	23 studies, reported in 21 papers, of school- based interventions for	Attitudes towards teenage dating violence, perpetration and victimisation of	Statistically significant post-test effects favouring the intervention were reported for knowledge (ES = .22, CI = .05, .39), attitudes (ES = .14, CI = .10, .19), rape myths acceptance (ES =47, CI =68,26),	"The results of this review are tentatively encouraging, but also highlight the need for modifications to

preventing and/or reducing teenage dating violence. Studies included RCTs (10), QEDs (12) and a quasi- RCT (1).	teenage dating violence (verbal, relational, physical, sexual), knowledge around teenage dating violence, acceptance of rape myths and Conflict Tactics Scale. Only one study measured bystander behaviour, so this could not be reviewed.	victimisation (ES =21, CI =41,02), and Conflict Tactics Scale (ES .18, CI = .12, .23). There was no post-test effect on perpetration. Follow-up effects were reported for knowledge (ES = .36, CI = .01, .71), attitudes (ES = .11, CI = .01, .22) and perpetration (ES =11, CI =21,01). There were no follow- up effects for victimisation or the Conflict Tactics Scale. Only one study reported rape myth acceptance at follow-up, so analysis was not conducted. "This systematic review found that prevention programs do have an impact on teen dating violence knowledge and attitudes. At post- test, students in the intervention conditions increased their knowledge and endorsed attitudes that were less accepting of violence in relationships. In addition, at post-test, prevention students were less accepting of rape myths and reported an increased awareness of appropriate approaches to conflict resolution. The positive results for teen dating violence knowledge and attitudes were supported at follow-up. However, the results for dating violence perpetration and victimization were less encouraging. Although only a limited number of studies focused on these outcomes, the results indicated that	programs in order to support schools using time and resources to implement teen dating violence prevention programs. Specifically, programs will need to be refined so that they support behavior change, with future research focusing on program development that explicitly seeks to incorporate skill-building components in an effort to impart behavior change." (p. 7). "[T]he plethora of programs presented and the limited evidence to support behavior change creates challenges in recommending specific approaches for schools." (p. 55)
---	---	---	--

prevention programs are not impacting these behaviors to a great extent. Moderation	
analysis did not find any significant variables that impacted the effect sizes." (pp. 6-7)	

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
De La Rue et al. (2014)	No information.	There were no significant moderating effects of intervention duration, facilitator, percentage of males, or average age. "[T]his review was not able to identify how program type (i.e., universal, psycho- educational, individual or classroom level) contributed to	moderating effect relating to who delivered the programme	"[F]uture research should explore the role of bystanders more explicitly, examining how prevention programs may shift the peer culture to be less tolerant of dating violence." (p. 7) "[R]esearchers should attempt to clarify whether changes in knowledge and attitudes will actually lead to behavior change [] Moving forward, studies need to incorporate both measures of perpetration and victimization, and work with schools to satisfactorily address issues around confidentiality and mandated reporting. In addition, it will likely prove beneficial to develop more nuanced measures of these constructs given the low prevalence of many of these behaviors within adolescent relationships [] Developmental timing is also key. Prevention studies should employ

differential efficacy in preventing perpetration and victimization in dating relationships." (p. 55).	longitudinal studies including youth from early to late adolescence to examine predictors of the onset of and changes in teen dating violence behaviors over time. It may be that increases in knowledge and changing attitudes allow students to make healthier choices when they face increasing levels of intimacy in their dating relationships [] [T]his review was not able to identify how program type (i.e., universal, psycho- educational, individual or classroom level) contributed to differential efficacy in preventing perpetration and victimization in dating relationships. It may be helpful for research to focus on specific programs that are effective and easily accessible to schools, and explore how modifications specific to the needs of the individual school impact the effects of the program." (pp. 54-55)
---	--

## DeGue et al. (2014)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
DeGue et al. (2014)	Programmes for the primary prevention of sexual violence perpetration. Interventions consisted of: interactive presentations, didactic-only lectures, film/media presentations, active participation (for example role play), live theatre/dramatic performances, written materials, poster campaigns, community activities/policy	To prevent sexual violence perpetration. (The review was not confined to interpersonal violence i.e. it also covered sexual violence where the perpetrator might be a stranger.)	Interventions were delivered by: professionals in related fields; peer facilitators; teachers/school staff; advanced student facilitators; or 'other'. The majority were implemented by peer facilitators, advanced students, or school/agency staff. One-quarter of interventions were	Frequency ranged from 1 to 8 sessions, (mean 2.6 sessions per programme). Duration ranged widely from 10 to 450 minutes (mean 75 minutes per session). Total exposure was equally weighted between session lengths of less than one hour (49.5%) and	The intervention target was predominantly college students, (60%) but also involved 5 to 9 <sup>th</sup> graders (ages 10 to 15). The majority of interventions were set on college campuses (70%). Other settings were high school,	Age range 10 to 47.5 years (mean age 18.9 years).

development.	implemented by professionals with expertise and extensive knowledge of the programme (for example programme developers, sexual violence prevention practitioners).	more than one hour (50.5%). Most programmes were one session only (72.7%).	middle school, elementary school, the community and other/mixed settings.	
--------------	---	--	--	--

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
DeGue et al. (2014)	Yes. "The review had 2 goals: 1) to describe and assess the breadth, quality, and evolution of evaluation	Experimental studies, quasi- experimental studies, single-group pre-post design.	Yes – online database searches, manual reviews of journals, personal communications with authors to retrieve	Yes – authors specified definition of rigorous evaluation design and strength of evidence of	Narrative summary of results.	No quantitative results presented.	Uncertain. Article gives no information as to where the studies took place.	Not assessed.

research in	Studies	unpublished	effectiveness.		
this area; and	where 2	reports, hand-			
2) to	interventions	searching of			
summarize	were	reference lists.			
the best	combined				
available	and				
research	compared to				
evidence for	a control				
sexual	group were				
violence	excluded.				
prevention	Studies that				
practitioners	compared 2				
by	interventions				
categorizing	in the				
programs	absence of a				
with regard to	no				
their	intervention				
evidence of	control group				
effectiveness	were also				
on sexual	excluded.				
violence					
behavioral					
outcomes in					
a rigorous					
evaluation."					
(p. 346)					

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
DeGue et al.	140 studies in 104	8 outcome types	The majority of studies had mixed effects.	"The majority of sexual
(2014)	evaluation reports:	measured relating to		violence prevention
	82 experimental	sexual violence:	For the target age group for the present	strategies in the
	studies, 35 quasi-	sexually violent	review (i.e. 5 to 19 year-olds), 2	evaluation literature are
	experimental, 23	behaviour; rape	programmes (both universal school-	brief, psycho-
	pre-post.	proclivity; attitudes;	based dating violence prevention	educational programs
		knowledge; bystanding	programmes) had positive effects. Safe	focused on increasing
		behaviour; bystanding	Dates had a positive effect on	knowledge or changing
		intentions; relevant	victimisation and perpetration of self-	attitudes, none of
		skills; affect/arousal to	reported sexual violence involving a	which have shown
		violence.	dating partner (4 years after receiving the	evidence of
			programme). Shifting Boundaries (school	effectiveness on
		All measures were self-	building level version i.e. addressing	sexually violent
		report, unless specified.	policy and safety concerns in school) was	behavior using a
		Other types of outcome	effective in reducing self-reported	rigorous evaluation
		measure included	perpetration and victimisation of sexual	design. Based on
		teacher reports and FBI	harassment and peer sexual violence, as	evaluation studies
		annual data on rape	well as sexual violence victimisation (but	included in the current
		occurrences.	not perpetration).	review, only 3 primary
			Shifting Roundarian (alagoroom loval)	prevention strategies have demonstrated
			Shifting Boundaries (classroom level) reported no effect.	
				significant effects on sexually violent
			Law and Justice curriculum and	behavior in a rigorous
			Interaction-based Treatment reported	outcome evaluation."
			potential harmful effects through rigorous	(p. 346)
			evaluation.	(p. 0.10)

	,
SHARRP Consent 101 and Acquaintance	
Rape Education programme reported	"FN 41
positive effects on sexual violence	"[M]ore rigorous
behavior in a non-rigorous evaluation or	evaluation research on
positive effects on risk factors or related	various prevention
outcomes in a rigorous evaluation.	approaches is needed
	before we can expect
Coaching Boys into Men and Expect	to see measurable
Respect are regarded as having	reductions in sexual
"substantial potential for impacting	violence at the
sexually violent behavior if subjected to	population level." (p.
rigorous evaluation on those outcomes"	356).
(p. 359). This is because they exhibit	556).
some of the features associated with	"In summary, after
	nearly 30 years of
positive effects (see 'Other information'	research, the field has
section below).	
	produced very few
[No effect sizes given. Patterns of	evaluation studies
intervention effects (positive, negative,	using a research
mixed or null) are given as percentages	design that, if well-
for each outcome type.]	conducted, would
	permit conclusions
On null effects, authors noted that "most	regarding the
of these programs have shown positive	effectiveness of the
effects on other related outcomes,	intervention for
including potential risk factors or	preventing sexually
moderators. In some cases, positive	violent behavior. This
effects on behavioural outcomes were	shortage of rigorous
identified using non-rigorous evaluation	research accounts, in
<b>a a</b>	large part, for the lack
designs" (p.358).	large part, for the lack

	On harmful effects, authors suggested that they may reflect "increased awareness and enhanced reporting in the intervention group" (p. 358) or alternatively that participants "had an adverse reaction to the content" (p. 358). They further suggest that "It is possible that many, if not most, of the interventions identified as having insufficient evidence or being in need of more research would not prove effective if rigorously evaluated. Most of the programs reviewed were brief, one-session psycho-educational programs conducted with college students [] [N]one of these programs have provided consistent evidence of impact on sexual violence outcomes, and most have not shown evidence of lasting	of evidence-based interventions available to practitioners to date." (p. 356).
	impact on the risk factors or related outcomes that were measured." (pp. 358 to 359)	

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
DeGue et al. (2014)	Authors suggest targeting younger populations: "There is consensus that college men and women are at a particularly high risk for sexual violence perpetration and victimization, making this a key population for intervention. However, because many college men have already engaged in sexual violence before arriving on campus or will shortly thereafter [],	Several acknowledged characteristics of effective prevention strategies could be applied more to interventions to prevent sexual violence: Comprehensive: They could be more comprehensive in terms of having multiple intervention components and affecting multiple settings to address a range of risk and protective factors for sexual violence. The programmes evaluated tend to be one-dimensional (single setting and narrow set of strategies to address individual attitude sand knowledge regarding sexual violence). Learning methods: They could apply more varied and active skills-based learning methods (to help participants acquire and retain knowledge and skills) rather than relying on single	It is known generally that "[e]ffective programs tend to have staff or implementers that are stable, committed, competent, and can connect effectively with participants [] Sufficient "buy- in" to the program model is also important to credibly deliver and reinforce program messages." (p. 357). However, only about one-	Overall: "[F]urther investment in rigorous evaluation research is critical to ensuring sustained movement toward the identification of evidence- based strategies for the prevention of sexually violent behavior. Such research should focus on comprehensive, theory-based strategies across levels of the social ecology and build on the best available research evidence to identify a complement of effective approaches for implementation and move us closer to ending sexual violence in communities." (p. 360). Relationships: Programmes are beginning to do more in terms of nurturing positive relationships between participants and their parents, peers or other adults (for example involving parents in dating violence prevention, or training young

prevention	and often more didactic approaches.	quarter of the	people to serve as active
initiatives that	<b>•</b> "	interventions	bystanders). These approaches have
address this age	Sufficient dose: They could provide a	reviewed were	yet to demonstrate effects on sexual
group may miss	sufficient (higher) higher dose to	implemented by	violence perpetration in rigorous
the window of	change behaviour and have lasting	professionals	evaluations but research is ongoing.
opportunity to	effects: "[I]nterventions with	with expertise	
prevent sexual	consistently positive effects in this	related to sexual	Cultural fit: "Future program
violence before it	review tended to be 2 to 3 times	violence	development and evaluation research
starts. Primary	longer, on average, than interventions	prevention and	efforts should gauge the extent to
prevention efforts	with null, negative, or mixed effects"	extensive	which interventions with culturally
may be best	(p. 357). Of course, "[t]he most	knowledge of	specific approaches result in
targeted at	efficient interventions would balance	the programme	increased cultural relevance,
younger	the necessity of providing a sufficient	model (for	recruitment, retention, and impact on
populations—	dose to achieve intended outcomes	example	preventing sexual violence." (p. 357)
before college." (p.	with the need for long-term	programme	
356).	sustainability and scalability." (p.	developers,	Broader focus: "Explicit attention to
	357).	sexual violence	an expanded range of risk factors in
		prevention	intervention development and a
	General: "[W]e join others in the field	practitioners).	broader set of behavior change
	[] in calling for a paradigm shift in	The majority	theories [] may result in more
	sexual violence prevention that	were delivered	integrative and effective models of
	moves us away from low-dose	by peer	prevention." (p. 359).
	educational programming in	facilitators,	
	adulthood and toward investment in	advanced	Staff and training: "The sexual
	the development and rigorous	students or	violence prevention field would
	evaluation of more comprehensive,	school/agency	benefit from more extensive
	multi-level strategies (for example,	staff without	descriptions of program staff and
	those that include individuals,	subject	training and implementation research
	parents, and peers) that target	expertise.	to determine characteristics of
	younger populations and seek to		program staff that may enhance the

	modify community and contextual supports for violence." (p. 359).	preventative effects of our programs" (p. 357).

# Fellmeth et al. (2013)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Fellmeth et al. (2013)	Universal or targeted educational (mostly) and skills-based programmes aimed at preventing relationship and dating violence in adolescents and/or young adults. The review focuses on primary and secondary preventive interventions. Only interventions that "actively provide the participants with knowledge and skills aimed at preventing initial or further relationship violence" (p. 6) were included.	The prevention of initial or further relationship and dating violence.	"Interventions were delivered by study authors, established teaching staff in the institutions being studied or members of a third-party organisation specialising in the delivery of such interventions." (p. 23)	"The duration of interventions ranged from a single, 50- minute session to 18 sessions delivered over 4 months" (p. 20). It was most common for interventions to provide information delivered in a single session ranging from 50- 90 minutes.	Interventions were delivered in university (25), high school (10) and the community (3). The majority of interventions were delivered universally, with 5 studies targeting high- risk groups such as adjudicated adolescent males, individuals or couples known to be at high risk of dating	Adolescents aged 12-18 and young adults aged 19-25 years. [Results not disaggregated to adolescents only.]

Any intervention that did not clearly state the prevention of dating or relationship violence in the aims was excluded, as were multiple intervention programmes where the effects of violence prevention	aggression and individuals with a history of maltreatment.
components could not be isolated.	

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Fellmeth et al. (2013)	Yes. To assess the efficacy of educational and skills- based interventions	Study designs included RCTs, cluster- RCTs and	Yes. "We searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL),	Yes. "One review author assessed the risk of bias in each study using The Cochrane	A meta- analysis of 33 studies is reported. The number of studies included for	95% confidence intervals.	The studies were conducted in the US (n=37) or the Republic of Korea (n=1).	Not assessed.

designed to prevent relationship and dating violence in adolescents and young adults.	quasi- RCTs.	MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, 6 other databases and a trials register on 7 May 2012. We handsearched the references lists of key articles and 2 journals (Journal of Interpersonal Violence and Child Abuse and Neglect). We also contacted researchers in the field." (p. 2)	Collaboration's 'Risk of bias' tool [] with each of the other review authors independently conducting a 'Risk of bias' assessment and comparing their results to those of the first review author." (pp. 13-14).	each outcome ranged from 4 to 22. Results are presented using effect estimates (risk ratio and standard mean difference). An additional 5 studies were excluded from the meta- analysis	However, the authors comment that "Interventions addressing relationship violence are likely to be highly culturally sensitive and it is important to understand what types of interventions are effective in different settings." (p. 40)	
		also contacted researchers in	13-14).	were excluded from the	in different settings." (p.	

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Fellmeth et al. (2013)	The review included 38 studies of educational and skills-based programmes aimed at preventing relationship and dating violence in adolescents and/or young adults. Studies included 18 RCTs, 18 cluster-RCTs and 2 quasi-RCTs. The meta-analysis included 33 of these studies.	Episodes of relationship and dating violence, attitudes towards relationship and dating violence, behaviour in dating and relationship violence, knowledge of dating and relationship violence and skills related to dating and relationship violence.	There was an overall effect on knowledge (EE = .44, CI = .28, .60). However, there was substantial heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 57\%$ ). There was no effect on episodes of relationship violence events (categorical data) (RR = .77, CI = .53, 1.13), occurrence of relationship violence (continuous data) (SMD = - .05, CI =19, .09), attitudes (SMD = .08, CI =06, .22), behaviour towards relationship violence (SMD = 07, CI =31, .16) or skills related to relationship violence (SMD = .03, CI =11, .17). "Overall, therefore, this review has found no evidence of an effect of interventions on the outcomes reported." (p. 32)	"Studies included in this review showed no evidence of effectiveness of interventions on episodes of relationship violence or on attitudes, behaviours and skills related to relationship violence. We found a small increase in knowledge but there was evidence of substantial heterogeneity among studies." (p. 3) "Importantly, our results show no evidence of effect, rather than evidence of no effect. Therefore, current interventions should not necessarily be stopped, but rather further research and more methodologically sound studies should be conducted." (p. 39)

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Fellmeth et al. (2013)	No information.	"Because schools play an important role in the development of social behaviour, they provide an appropriate environment to target children and adolescents in the prevention of dating violence and subsequently other forms of relationship violence." (p. 7) "[W]e found significant subgroup differences between interventions aimed at general audiences and those aimed at high-risk audiences in the episodes of relationship violence experienced [] and attitudes towards relationship violence." (p. 29). In both cases the difference was in favour of	"Most studies provided training (to varying degrees) for the personnel delivering the interventions. Of these, some described ways of minimising the potential for performance bias, such as providing personnel with a script or detailed guidance to follow." (p. 23)	"The current evidence is predominantly focused on assessing changes in attitudes and knowledge. Research into the effects of interventions on incidence of relationship or dating violence, and exploration of the relationship between attitudes and knowledge and skills, behaviour and episodes of violence are needed [] It is possible that in order to reduce the occurrence of relationship violence effectively, a number of interventions across both educational and community settings as well as within homes and families is required." (p. 39) "Further studies with longer-term follow-up are

the high-risk group.	required, and study
There were no significant	authors should use standardised and validated
subgroup differences across duration of sessions	measurement instruments to maximise comparability
or the number of sessions	of results." (p. 3)
received.	

# Lundgren and Amin (2015)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Lundgren and Amin (2015)	Intimate partner violence (IPV) and sexual violence (SV) prevention. The interventions can be categorised as parenting programmes (n=8), targeted interventions for children and adolescents exposed to violence (n=3), school-based (n=31; including 10 interventions to prevent sexual assault among university students), community- based (n=16), and economic	To prevent IPV and SV.	School-based interventions use methods such as: computer-based interactive learning; participatory-based learning (games, theatre, and debates); curriculum- based learning; parent, peer mediator, and teacher training; and community involvement. Some programmes also map and address violence "hot spots."	There is very little information regarding intervention frequency and duration. One programme lasted 6 weeks, one programme lasted 10 months.	Universal and indicated prevention. Target populations were: parents; school children and university students; and children and adolescents who had experienced child maltreatment or who were exposed to parental IPV.	10-26 years. The review initially targeted interventions for 10-19 year olds but found too few so it expanded the criteria to 15- 26 year olds as well. "Eight programs targeted youth under 15 years, although 2 programs focused on 14 to 16-year olds, one on 11 to 17-year olds, and one on 10 to 17-year

empowerment (n=2).	programmes use	Parenting olds." (p. 544)
	group education and	programmes
[Programmes that	activities (theatre,	were delivered
targeted children and	poster contests, and	in one-to-one
adolescents who had	community service),	settings or in
experienced	peer mentor training,	group settings.
maltreatment or been	relationship skill-	
exposed to parental	building, and	A significant
IPV, and sexual	"bystander"	proportion of
assault prevention	approaches.	interventions
programmes are not		were school-
relevant here.]	Community-based	based or
	programmes include	community-
	group education,	based.
	community	
	mobilisation, social	Economic
	norm marketing,	empowerment
	media campaigns,	programmes
	mentorship, and	were for
	identification of safe	women and
	spaces.	girls only.

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Lundgren and Amin (2015)	Yes. To identify effective approaches to prevent adolescent IPV and SV and to identify critical knowledge gaps.	Not specified beyond quantitative and/or qualitative evaluation results of a violence prevention intervention. Included RCTs, QEDs, pre- post designs, systematic reviews, meta- analyses and other	Yes. Electronic search for peer- reviewed and grey literature. PubMED, GoogleScholar, PsycINFO and SciVerse Science Direct were searched. Relevant websites were searched, as was Google for unpublished research. Previous SV literature review references were hand-searched. Comprehensive list of search	Yes. Rated as effective / emerging / ineffective / unclear based on the strength of evidence, generalisabi lity of results to developing country settings and replication beyond the initial pilot.	Narrative summary.	No quantitative results.	"When available, preference was given to interventions tested in low- and middle- income countries, although studies from higher income countries were included if there was strong evidence of their effectiveness. " (p. S44)	Not assessed.

designs.	terms included.	Despite this,
		most studies
		were from the
		US (plus at
		least one
		programme
		each from
		Tanzania,
		Spain, India
		and Uganda).

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Lundgren	61 interventions	2 categories of	Results separated by programme type.	"The results of this
and Amin	identified. At least	outcomes measured:		review suggest that
(2015)	14 interventions	attitudes (norms,	Parenting programmes are effective in high-	promising
	evaluated with	bystander	income countries. Parenting programmes can	approaches to
	comparison groups	acceptance); and	reduce conduct disorders and later antisocial	prevent intimate
	(6 RCT, 8 QED).	behaviour (experience,	behaviour, both linked to future partner	partner violence and
		perpetration).	violence. They can also prevent child	sexual violence
			maltreatment, associated with IPV and SV.	among adolescents
		Almost all studies	However, there is no longitudinal evidence to	should be replicated
		measured changes in	support saying that parenting programmes	and scaled up in
		gender attitudes and	have an impact on IPV and SV.	different settings,
		the acceptability of IPV		including school-
		and SV.	School-based programmes showed emerging	based dating
			evidence for improving gender-equitable	violence, parenting,

It is unclear what measures were used; some were self-report.	<ul> <li>attitudes and self-reported likelihood to intervene in situations of bullying and partner violence. Dating violence prevention programmes were shown to be effective in preventing physical, sexual and emotional violence in adolescent dating relationships and may also help to prevent IPV and SV among adults.</li> <li>Some community-based interventions saw mixed results and some decreased self-reported perpetration of violence and harassment with increases in equitable gender norms, awareness of SV and the likelihood of intervening in violent situations. Evidence from sports programmes was shown to be mixed. Those programmes targeting boys and young men were shown to be effective in reducing self-reported violence perpetration but there was no effect for girls.</li> <li>There was limited effectiveness that economic empowerment interventions</li> </ul>	and community- based interventions." (p. S42) "However, lack of rigorous evidence limits conclusions regarding the effectiveness of adolescent IPV and SV prevention programs and indicates a need for more robust evaluation." (p. S42)
---	---	---

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Lundgren and Amin (2015)	No information.	<ul> <li>"Results suggest that programs with longer term investments and repeated exposure to ideas delivered in different settings over time have better results than single awareness-raising or discussion sessions." (p. S42)</li> <li>Authors advocate policy-level efforts to promote greater gender equality (for example regarding access to employment) and to increase safe spaces for adolescents (for example improving street lighting).</li> <li>Efforts involving community leaders and members are</li> </ul>	No information.	Longer-term follow-ups need to be conducted to assess the effects of programmes: "Given that the most promising interventions seek to build social, economic, and health assets, longitudinal studies are needed to determine whether they lower the likelihood of relationship violence over time." (p. S49) "[T]here is little empirical evidence on the essential elements of successful programs, such as the ideal dosage of interventions or whether single or mixed sex groups are more effective." (p. S49) "Efforts are needed to expand the evidence base to include wider geographic scope, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, and to encompass settings beyond schools. Also, only a handful of programs focused on boys and girls less than 15 years, and there are few tested interventions for vulnerable groups such as migrants, out-of-school youth, or domestic workers." (p. S49)

needed to challenge social norms that condone gender- based violence. Examples include: social marketing or mass media/edutainment efforts aimed at adolescents; and integrating curricula on preventing gender-based violence (for example healthy relationship skills, gender equitable norm foundation) into school-based sexual and reproductive health and HIV programmes (for example life skills education, comprehensive sexuality education)	"Active and meaningful youth and girl participation in gender-based violence prevention efforts is not well described in the studies reviewed and needs greater emphasis, as do strength-based approaches which build on adolescent and community assets." (p. S49) "Achieving real impact will require working at scale over a sustained period. Only a handful of successful programs have been replicated, and no documentation was found of any operating at scale. In fact, the scalability of programs that promote gender-equitable attitudes is often questioned given required resource levels. During piloting, implementers must keep in mind resources constraints to avoid developing programs that cannot be sustained or scaled up." (p. S49)
---	---

## Petering et al. (2014)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Petering et al. (2014)	Prevention programmes for intimate partner violence in the general youth population and the at-risk youth population. General population programme content included changing attitudes toward dating violence, increasing awareness of IPV, increasing knowledge of the consequences of IPV. Two programmes contained content relating to sexual harassment, substance use and condom use.	To prevent youth intimate partner violence (IPV), and to decrease youth IPV victimisation and perpetration. "[M]ost interventions were designed to change behavior in multiple domains including knowledge, attitudes,	Health teachers in school- based programmes. Male coaches were used for a sports- based programme for male athletes.	General population programme interventions ranged from a single 40-minute session to a 21- lesson curriculum of approximately 28 total hours. "At-risk youth programme interventions ranged from a brief 3-session class to 24 sessions implemented over a 6-month period. Most	Target: At-risk youth and general youth populations (one programme targeted parents of adolescents; one programme targeted males only). 7 included studies were conducted with general youth populations and 6 with at-risk youth populations At-risk youth population included: youths with previous experience of violence; low-income Latino / Hispanic American youth; youth in a high-risk school programme; youth with maltreatment histories; female youth receiving teen	Young people aged 12 to 26.

content included	perpetration	interventions	pregnancy assistance.
activities designed to	and	included short	
change behaviour in	victimization	weekly sessions	Setting:
multiple domains	behaviors,	(45 to 90	The general population
(knowledge, attitudes,	conflict	minutes) except	programme setting was
perpetration and	resolution	for one 8-hour	public schools with the
victimisation behaviors,	skills, and	intervention that	exception of one
conflict resolution skills,	communication	was	programme, which mailed
and communication	patterns." (p.	implemented	materials to parents.
patterns). Two	124)	over a 2-day	For the at-risk youth, targets
programmes contained		period." (pp. 113,	and settings included
unique content relating		123)	specialised school settings
to legal issues and			for high-risk youth; public
ethnic pride.			middle schools; and
			community agencies.

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Petering et al. (2014)	1) How does IPV prevention programming implemented	Experimental (RCT); pre- test/post-test non- experimental	PRISMA guidelines followed. Databases searched.	Research judged as high quality if it included sampling	Qualitative synthesis.	No quantitative results.	Yes: 10 US; 1 Canada; 2 unspecified locations, but assumed to be	Not assessed.

in at-risk	design	procedures,	US.
youth		study	
populations		design,	[One
compare		control	programme
with		group,	specifically
programming		random	targeted
implemented		assignment,	Hispanic/Latino
in the		assessment	youth which
general		instruments	may not be
youth		and	applicable to
population?		outcome	UK settings.]
		measures.	

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Petering et al. (2014)	13 studies were included (6 for homeless youth; 7 for general population) General population studies were 6 RCTs and one non-	General population: All measured physical abuse, some measured psychological or physical violence, often using a modified version of the Conflicts Tactics Scale or other recognised validated tool. 9 primary outcomes measured across all studies: attitudes toward	Results are presented in a narrative synthesis and are not broken down by quantitative outcome. Overall, the review found substantial variation in results over both populations. Results for general youth population Most programmes found positive effects for some	General youth population "Recent IPV prevention research with the general youth population has produced mixed results. This review found many intervention programs that resulted in significant change in the desired direction but measures were inconsistent, positive trends seemed to dissipate at long-term follow-up, and studies were limited by either small sample sizes or nonexperimental designs.

experimental	IPV and gender norms;	aspects, with one study [21-	Overall, there are still very few
pre/posttest	conflict resolution skills;	lesson curriculum lasting 28	prevention programs that are
design.	healthy relationship skills;	hours] finding positive effects	implemented widely and have
5	help-seeking behaviors;	for peer dating violence at the	shown strong positive results
At-risk youth	knowledge of the criminal	2.5 year follow-up. A family	directly related to IPV victimization
studies were 4	justice system related to	intervention led to a decrease	and perpetration. Unfortunately,
non-	IPV; bystander efficacy;	in acceptance of dating abuse	these programs are limited by their
experimental	emotional distress;	and a delayed onset of	generalizability (suburban, school-
pre/post-test	increased knowledge and	physical dating abuse	based high school youth), the
designs and 2	awareness of IPV;	victimisation. One study tested	settings where they are
randomised	victimisation and	2 types of intervention: one	implemented (school), age range
experimental	perpetration across	focused on interaction styles	(14 to 18 years), and length
designs.	various domains	and the other on law and	(intensive). Fortunately, the
0	(physical, sexual,	justice. While both positively	developers of these programs are
	psychological, and	affected student awareness,	beginning to adapt the interventions
	emotional).	attitudes and knowledge, only	to new populations [] and new
		the students engaged in the	settings [] but the results are
	Outcomes predominantly	interaction curriculum showed	mixed and still emerging." (p. 124)
	measured with valid and	decreases in rates of	
	reliable rating scales;	victimisation. An athletic coach	At-risk youth population
	apart from one developed	intervention resulted in positive	"This review found that most IPV
	by authors and one	bystander effects but had no	prevention programs among at risk
	dichotomous scale.	significant effects on	youth appear to be in a
		perpetration and recognition of	developmental stage. Four of the 6
	One study failed to	abusive behaviors. Mediators	at-risk studies had nonexperimental
	include any measure of	were also tested; one study (of	designs […] Variation in
	youth-related violence	Safe Dates) found results were	measurements and instruments
	behaviours.	mediated by increased	across these studies made it difficult
		knowledge of dating violence	to compare results and reach
		norms, gender role norms and	conclusions about program

	awareness of community services. Results for at-risk youth population "Many of the interventions saw significant change in the desired direction" (p.125). [An 18-session programme] showed the most substantial changes following intervention (in both victimisation and perpetration). Girls reported a higher level of initial abuse and perpetration but also experienced greater changes compared with boys.	effectiveness." (pp. 126-127) General and at-risk youth populations "The strongest studies across both groups showed changes in IPV- related behavior over long periods [] but these results were from programs that have been implemented for more than 20 years, which raises concern about the possibility of key changes in youth dating norms, school policies, and social structures that may affect the relevance of their results. These studies also had the strongest design with randomization, large sample sizes, and multiple follow-up periods. Both programs were highly intensive because they were implemented schoolwide over 10 [] and 21 sessions." (p. 130)
--	---	---

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Petering et al. (2014)	One purpose of this review was to assess programmes' potential applicability to homeless young people. Authors note the difficulty of applying early intervention strategies with this population as these primary prevention programmes target behaviours at the earliest stage. Since many young people may have already experienced violence in childhood before becoming homeless, this is likely to present challenges in later IPV prevention efforts. This suggests that it is difficult to identify people in need of additional support with intimate partner	The strongest programmes were the longest in duration and the most intensive. Authors appear to suggest that programmes should be given the resources to be implemented over a longer time period as this is more likely to allow time for behavioural change: "Intensity appeared to be important in overall program success. Very few programs were shorter than 10 hours, and the shorter programs showed little to no results. Effective reduction in IPV perpetration and victimization requires behavioral change, which may not be feasible in a brief format." (p.127)	Authors recommend using youth peers as leaders. Incorporating a network- based peer-leader model for intimate partner violence may be beneficial as has been seen in HIV- prevention programmes. The implication with this is that the homeless youth peers would need training for a leader role.	"It is important that future research on IPV prevention focus on consistency with target outcomes and measures. A consistent measure of IPV perpetration and victimization is necessary to make comparisons to previous results and accurate conclusions regarding the efficacy and effectiveness of the programs. The development of a measure that accurately captures IPV youth would improve the state of future IPV prevention research." (p. 129) "Future IPV prevention research should also be consistent in design and include a long-term follow-

violence prevention.	"The focus should be on	up after the initial posttest
	increasing knowledge and	assessment. This review
	awareness of IPV as well	found that in the few
	as knowledge of healthy	studies with a 6-month
	relationship patterns at a	follow-up, many results
	younger age. There should	that were positive at
	be a strong focus on help-	posttest had dissipated.
	seeking; empowerment;	Therefore sufficient follow-
	access to resources such	up seems necessary to
	as law enforcement,	develop conclusions
	lawyers, and housing;	regarding the intervention's
	increased bystander	long-term effectiveness. As
	efficacy; and immediate	with measures,
	and long-term safety	consistency is necessary in
	because the likelihood of	accurate comparison of
	being exposed to	program effectiveness
	victimization is also high."	research. Additionally,
	(p.128)	incorporating booster
		sessions into future
		programing may contribute
		to long-term effectiveness
		of IPV prevention
		programs." (p. 130).

## Stanley et al. (2015a)

Author	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention	Intervention	Intervention	Intervention	Timing
(Year)		aim	delivery	frequency and	target and	
				duration	setting	
Stanley et al. (2015a)	"[I]nterventions addressing domestic abuse for children and young people under 18 years of age in the general population." (p. xxiii) [This study was a mixed knowledge review comprising 4 overlapping phases. This review of the study focuses primarily on the systematic review phase (the other phases involved a mapping survey, a review of grey literature and a consultation with experts,	Prevention of domestic violence (victimisation and perpetration) in young people.	school sports coaches but also by a range of external volunteers and professionals, including lawyers, counsellors,	Duration varied greatly across the interventions and ranged from 3 35- minute sessions to 21 sessions (totalling 28 hours). The majority of interventions contained around 10 to 15	setting All interventions except one were school-based. The majority of interventions were delivered in urban settings.	Programmes targeted young people in grades 6 to 12 (11 to 18 years). Many programmes targeted a range of grades. The authors did not locate any formal research examining programmes for
	young people and practitioners).]		and police officers.	sessions.		children aged under 10 years.

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehe nsive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Stanley et al. (2015a)	Yes: "What is the nature of, underlying theory for, and evidence of effect of interventions designed to help children and young people avoid and/or deal with domestic abuse, and what interventions work to trigger effective mechanisms for change in specific	Meta- analyses, research reviews, controlled studies, before-and- after studies, independent case evaluations, qualitative and ethno- graphic studies. (p. 9)	Yes. A wide range of databases were searched, supple- mented by other methods (for example formal contact with leading authors in the field).	Yes: "[Q]uality screening was undertaken using relevant Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) tools." (p. 9)	Narrative summary of results along with a table indicating the specificity of findings.	Varies from study to study – confi- dence intervals, Cohen's d, SE, odds ratio, SD,	Varies. In the quantitative part of the systematic review, all studies except one were from high-income countries. Of the 9 controlled studies, 8 were in US and 1 in Canada. Of the other studies with quantitative data, 7 were in US, 3 in Canada, 2 in UK and 1 in India. In the grey	Attempted but "Very little evidence was identified on costs and cost- effectiveness." (p. v)

groups and individuals in			literature review, all	
which specific			studies were	
contexts?" (p.			from the UK.	
6)				
,			However,	
			"[t]he	
			systematic	
			review	
			identified	
			concerns	
			about the	
			transferability	
			of school	
			programmes,	
			which appear	
			to have a	
			considerable	
			degree of	
			cultural	
			specificity." (p.	
			xxv)	

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Stanley et al. (2015a)	In total there were 31 papers in the systematic review, covering 23 separate programmes. 28 articles reported quantitative data, covering 20 separate programmes – 13 papers reported data from 9 "controlled" studies and 15 papers reported on 14 "Cohort and case– control studies" (p. 55).	Knowledge; attitudes/beliefs; behaviours (for example communication skills, help seeking); and incidence of victimisation and perpetration.	Overall: "The evidence for interventions achieving changes in knowledge and attitudes was stronger than that for behavioural change." (p. v) "The systematic review found that where statistically significant findings were reported, the effect sizes were generally low or moderate. Larger effect sizes were seen in measures of knowledge and attitudes, although the differences in these tended to decrease over time. The only relatively large and statistically significant finding in a well-designed study in relation to behaviour was found in perpetration of physical dating violence in the previous year in Wolfe et al.' s evaluation of the 'Fourth R' programme, where the effect was only in boys. An increase in help-seeking was evident in some studies." (p. xxvi)	Overall conclusions from the study: "- Many of the long-term costs of domestic abuse are borne by the health service; there is, therefore, a strong argument for health services contributing more funding to prevention initiatives for children and young people. - Evidence about the lack of transferability of programmes suggests that strategic planning and development should focus on developing and testing interventions that are already being widely delivered in the UK. - Improving the readiness of schools to deliver programmes should include training and information reporting on current evidence for the school's leadership, governors and parents. - The values and attitudes of the peer group emerged as a crucial mechanism for change and it is therefore appropriate to continue to deliver interventions to whole populations of children and young people. While
	Six papers		"The reviews of the qualitative and	schools provide a natural choice of

	reported	arow literature abowed that abildren	acting for programme delivery years
	reported	grey literature showed that children	setting for programme delivery, young
	qualitative data	and young people who received these	people outside mainstream schools
	(including 3 of	interventions generally enjoyed them	should not be omitted, as this group is
	those that	and found them valuable. Their	likely to include young people at high
	reported	criticisms were focused on a	risk who may require additional
	quantitative	need for programmes delivered in	services.
	data).	school to be longer." (p. xxvi)	<ul> <li>Programme take-up and effectiveness</li> </ul>
			appear to be influenced by those
	In addition, 18	"Shifting social norms in the peer	children and young people who are at
	independently	group emerged as a key mechanism	high risk of experiencing domestic
	conducted	of change." (p. v)	abuse in their own or their parents'
	evaluations		relationships. Identifying this group of
	(details of study	Two authors (Taylor et al (Shifting	children so that they can receive further
	design not	Boundaries) and Jaffe et al) identified	support could happen in the course of
	clear) of	negative effects from the	delivering interventions to a whole class
	programmes	programmes: increases in sexual	or school.
	were found	harassment and perpetration of	- School-based programmes should
1	through UK grey	violence and negative changes in	build close links with relevant support
	literature.	attitude scores.	services that can respond to children's
			and young people's disclosures of
		Gender analysis revealed mixed	domestic abuse and offer additional
		effects and no difference in response	interventions to those at high risk.
		in 8/21 papers.	- Interventions need to acknowledge
			diversity among children and young
		Media campaigns emerged as	people, and programmes need to be
		increasingly important in shaping the	developed for LGBT and disabled young
		climate within which a specific	people as well as for those from minority
		intervention is received and they also	ethnic groups.
		function as a source for materials	0 1
		used in the delivery of preventative	- Teachers require training and support
			from those with specialist knowledge

programmes.Findings from grey literature were similar. "Evaluations of 13 programmes reported findings that suggested that children and young people gained increased knowledge and understanding of the nature and extent of domestic abuse after participating in programmes. In addition, where addressed, learning was reported about help-seeking, rights in relationships and gender equality. Some attitude change was reported in 5 studies. In only one programme was behavioural change reported as an outcome – the 'Educational Domestic Abuse Project' ('Project Salus') – although no detail was presented in the report." (p. 92)"The lack of strong evidence for effects on perpetration and victimisation across the included programmes might be because follow- up needs to be longer than even the longest study reported here (4 years	and skills in domestic abuse. This training could be provided at the level of teachers' qualifying education as well as at post-qualification level. - A statutory basis for delivering these interventions would enable schools, programme designers and staff to take a longer-term view which could include building ongoing evaluation, including analysis of costs, into programme delivery." (pp. xxvi-xxvii) "Most of the studies focused on young people in the age range 10-16 years. We were unable to find any controlled studies relating to children below the age of 10 years and those that included 10-year-olds reported their data alongside those from older children in their studies. Given the lack of even a moderate effect on most outcomes except short-term knowledge achieved by most of the programmes included in this review, it might be assumed that values, attitudes and behaviours are firmly established via family and community and early
	family and community and early socialisation by the time children are 10 years old or older. Interventions undertaken with younger children might

and as they engage in relationships over time. This remains to be	yield better results. There is available evidence for such interventions in the
demonstrated in (very) long-term formal controlled studies." (p. 76)	grey literature…" (p. 77)

Author (Year)Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional supportMessages on effective implementationMessages on workforce skills and trainingAuthor research recommendationsStanley et al. (2015a)"The evidence from the included studies that those who drop out of general population programmes are, in general, those who are likely to be less prosocial suggests that these programmes might be good at screening for such at-risk individuals, but may not be the"The review emphasised the importance of a school's 'readiness' to introduce preventative interventions which need to be supported across all aspects of school life. Involving young people in the design and delivery of programmes increases authenticity and this emerged as a key ingredient in achieving impact. Longer interventions delivered by appropriately trained staff appeared likely to be more effective." (p. v)"Teachers emerged as well placed to embed interventions in schools but they require training and support from those with specialist knowledge in domestic abuse." (p. v) [] "This training could be provided at the level of teachers' qualifying education as well as at post- qualification level." (p. xxvii)Recommendations based on the whole study: "Future UK research home-grown programmes, and the evaluation of interventions for younger children and of media campaigns." (p. xxi)					
et al. (2015a)from the included studies that those who drop out of general population programmes are, in general, those who are likely to be less prosocial suggests that these programmes might be good at screening for such at-risk individuals, but may not be theof a school's 'readiness' to introduce preventative interventions which need to be supported across all aspects of school life. Involving young people in the design and delivery of programmes increases authenticity and this emerged as a key ingredient in achieving impact. Longer interventions delivered by appropriately trained staff appeared likely to be more effective." (p. v)placed to embed interventions in schools but they require training and support from those with specialist knowledge in domestic abuse." (p. v) [] "This training could be provided at the level of teachers' qualifying education as well as at post- qualification level." (p. xxvii)based on the whole study:(Particular UK research should include the rigorous testing of home-grown programmes, appropriately trained staff appeared likely to be more effective." (p. v)programmes, at-risk individuals, which appear to have a considerableplaced to embed interventions in schools but they require those with specialist knowledge in domestic abuse." (p. v) []based on the whole study:(2015a)of a school's 'readiness' to introduce programmes are, in general, those who are likely to be less prosocial screening for such at-risk individuals, but may not be theof a school's freadiness' to introduce propriately trained staff appeared the transferability of school programmes, which appear to have a considerableplaced to embed interventions <th></th> <th>how best to identify families in need of additional</th> <th>Messages on effective implementation</th> <th></th> <th></th>		how best to identify families in need of additional	Messages on effective implementation		
I most appropriate I degree of cultural specificity. excessive I information reporting on I Ridorous testing of	et al.	from the included studies that those who drop out of general population programmes are, in general, those who are likely to be less prosocial suggests that these programmes might be good at screening for such at-risk individuals,	of a school's 'readiness' to introduce preventative interventions which need to be supported across all aspects of school life. Involving young people in the design and delivery of programmes increases authenticity and this emerged as a key ingredient in achieving impact. Longer interventions delivered by appropriately trained staff appeared likely to be more effective." (p. v) Some studies identified concerns about the transferability of school programmes,	placed to embed interventions in schools but they require training and support from those with specialist knowledge in domestic abuse." (p. v) [] "This training could be provided at the level of teachers' qualifying education as well as at post- qualification level." (p. xxvii) "Improving the readiness of schools to deliver programmes	based on the whole study: "Future UK research should include the rigorous testing of home-grown programmes, and the evaluation of interventions for younger children and of media campaigns."

who need inter 57) "The indic num inclu a stru- from of stu were at ba	oach for those are most in d of effective ventions." (p. re are ations in a ber of the ded studies of ong influence small groups udents who at higher risk aseline [] might suggest	fidelity to a programme can also be a limitation when the context that the programme was originally designed for is very different from that to which it is being rolled out [] Indeed, these studies suggest that dynamic sensitivity to local context is much more likely to trigger mechanisms of change based on that specific context than strict allegiance to the original programme design, despite evidence of contextual non-alignment." (p. 76) "Survey mapping implementation noted provision appeared patchy, there was a	current evidence for the school's leadership, governors and parents." (p. xxvi) "For most staff, the training was crucial to their being able to deliver or support a programme." (p. 87) "An Ofsted report that identified that only 39% of secondary schools were teaching PSHE was cited. The low status of the subject and the lack of PSHE training at the qualifying level meant that	home-grown school- based domestic abuse prevention programmes in the setting of the UK is recommended. School-based interventions for younger children delivered in the UK context require independent and longer-term evaluation.
popu appr funct scree ident	a whole- Ilation oach can tion as a ening tool to tify those ig people who	problem with sustainability with limited funding, mostly not from health services. Most preventative interventions are delivered in secondary schools, although, increasingly, programmes are being developed and delivered for children in primary schools, where the	although some teachers had accessed specialist PSHE training post qualification, some of those teachers delivering material on healthy relationships were not trained PSHE teachers and lacked the	Media campaigns that aim to prevent domestic abuse need to be more rigorously and independently evaluated.
are a of eit perp becc of int abus	at greater risk	focus is on keeping safe and on issues such as friendship, bullying and respect rather than explicitly addressing interpersonal abuse" (p. xxiv) "[P]rogramme implementation needs to pay attention to the wider social context,	relevant skills and confidence" (p. 119) "it was argued that training was essential to achieve programme fidelity" (p. 130)	Careful consideration needs to be given to which outcome measures are appropriate when evaluating these

from more in-	to assess local readiness for the	"The cost of any training for	preventative
depth support." (p. 76)	programme to be put into place." (p. 68)	the facilitators also needs to be considered: time and travel	interventions.
"One function of interventions	"The strength of the relationship between the school and the external provider of a programme was considered to be essential for its	costs of the trainer, training material, and travel for trainees and the cost of	Future evaluation of the cost-effectiveness of preventative
delivered in schools might be to identify these young people [at	"It was generally agreed that	covering their absence. In the case of all of the programmes identified here, facilitator training was mentioned as	initiatives in domestic abuse should include a rigorous costing methodology.
increased risk] and to offer them further interventions that	programmes needed to be 'tailored to the [local] culture' [] and that consideration needed to be given to a community's or a school's readiness for	being a necessity, although no detailed information on training was collected." (p. 135)	The mixed-methods approach used for
provide more intensive support, that appeal to	an intervention." (p. 129) "At the macro level of national policy, the	"The consultation element of this study suggested that more attention should be given to	this study has proved fruitful, and integrating qualitative research in an
them and that are not stigmatising. This reiterates the	experts involved in the consultation groups and interviews noted that framing the delivery of preventative interventions	the readiness of schools to deliver programmes: this would include the availability	evaluation is likely to be more informative than confining
arguments reported above concerning the need for	in domestic abuse as a statutory requirement made for wider and more consistent implementation as well as providing a strong message from	of training for and of support from the school's leadership, governors and parents, as well as considering issues such as	programme testing to randomised controlled trials.
delivered in schools to be	government that contributed to shifting social norms." (p. 158)	school values and the wider curriculum. Information about the current state of the	Public health initiatives are complex
linked to services for responding to disclosures of	"Media campaigns emerged as increasingly important in shaping the climate within which a specific	evidence base could be included in such training, and the research team have	and wide reaching. Evaluation should acknowledge this by

abuse." (p. 161)	intervention is received and they also function as a source for materials used in the delivery of preventative programmes." (p. xxv)	produced a short briefing paper summarising the study findings, which is aimed at senior management teams in schools." (p. 167)	adopting a broad perspective, taking account of costs and benefits to all sectors of society." (p. xxvii)
------------------	---	---	--

## Whitaker et al. (2006)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Whitaker et al. (2006)	Interventions targeting the primary prevention of perpetration behaviour related to intimate partner violence. (Studies of interventions designed to prevent victimisation were excluded.) "Although the search for primary prevention efforts was intended to be broad and possibly include a variety of strategies, all the articles that ultimately met the inclusion criteria	Primary prevention of the perpetration of intimate partner violence.	Interventions were delivered by teachers (4 evaluations), community- based professionals such as social workers, advocates, police officers and abuse survivors (5) or both (2). One of these studies reported that research staff delivered part of the intervention (teachers and community staff were also	Six studies reported on intervention duration, ranging from 2 to 36 hours. The majority of interventions were brief, with only 2 consisting of more than 5 hours delivery. Where reported, delivery ranged from half a day to 4 months.	Of the 11 interventions, the majority (9) were delivered in school settings. One was delivered in school and community settings and one was delivered in the community. The majority of interventions were universal,	Programmes targeted middle school students, high school students and adolescents aged 14 to 16 years (collectively this covers the age- range 10-18 years). Across the 9 studies that reported on age, the mean was 14.6 years.

were adolescent dating violence prevention programs." (p. 153).	involved).	with one community- based intervention targeting 14- 16 year olds at risk of developing abusive relationships.	

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Whitaker et al. (2006)	Yes – reviews the impact of adolescent partner violence prevention programm es that	Primary interven- tions that targeted perpetra- tion behav- iours. Pro- grammes	Yes. "Several electronic databases were searched: PsychInfo, Sociological Abstracts, Medline, National Criminal Justice Reference	Yes. "We rated quality of each study using an overall measure of study quality that included the various	Narrative summary of results. A table is also included that indicates the direction of effects for individual	Details of statistical analyses are not reported.	Uncertain. The review does not report the countries where studies took place. It is noted though that	Not assessed.

target the perpetratio n of partner violence, and their future developme nt and evaluation.	that aimed to prevent victimisa- tion were excluded. A pre/post design, or a design using a compari- son group (RCT / quasi- RCT) was required.	Service (NCJRS), Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC), Criminal Justice Periodicals Index, Applied Social Sciences Index and Abstracts, and Wilson Social Sciences Abstracts." (p. 153) The authors identified papers published in English between 1990 and March 2003. The paper had to be in a peer-reviewed article, book chapter or government report.	threats to validity [] we also created an index of quality for the evaluation methods." (p. 153) "Study quality was generally poor due to relatively short follow- up periods, high attrition rates and poor measure- ment." (p. 151)	studies.		"only one study reported an intervention that was designed for a specific racial or ethnic group, and only one other study reported a programme being conducted predomi- nantly in a community of colour." (p. 162)	
--	---	--	---	----------	--	---	--

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Whitaker et al. (2006)	Fifteen articles were identified and these included 11 different evaluations. Of these, 6 were RCTs, one of which had no control group (allocated to 2 interventions). Three were quasi- RCTs, and 2 used a pre/post design.	Knowledge (typically of information targeted by the intervention), attitudes (around justification, norms, date rape and sex roles) and behaviour relating to intimate partner violence perpetration.	"Overall, 9 of the 11 studies reported at least one positive intervention effect (i.e., for knowledge, attitude, or behavior)." (p. 160) Attitudes: Nine studies reported change in attitudes, one "reported some attitudinal changes in the non- desired direction for boys in the intervention group, and this trend increased at the 6-week interview [] 5 of 9 reported a positive intervention effect, and 3 reported no effect of the intervention." (p. 160) Knowledge: Six studies reported change in knowledge. Five reported outcomes favouring the intervention group, 2 of these also reported positive effects at follow-up. One reported outcomes favouring the intervention group for 5 of 9 items. Behaviour: 'Of the 4 studies that measured behavior, 2 found a positive intervention impact. Those 2 studies had the most comprehensive interventions, using both individual-	"In summary, current primary prevention efforts for the perpetration of partner violence consist almost exclusively of universal, school-based, dating violence prevention programs that target individual-level factors. The programs reviewed showed little variability with regard to theoretical foundations, intervention strategies, or targeted populations. Conclusions about the effectiveness of school-based dating violence prevention programs are premature, but such programs are likely to be an important component of primary prevention strategies for partner violence. More work is needed regarding program development to expand the theoretical basis for interventions, and to develop targeted and culturally

	level curricula and other community- based interventions." (p. 1). One of these studies reported that "girls and boys in the intervention group were 3.2 and 1.9 times less likely than girls and boys in the control group, to have perpetrated physical partner violence." (p. 160)	sensitive interventions in settings other than schools." (p. 164)
--	--	---

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Whitaker et al. (2006)	No information.	The 2 studies that identified intervention effects on behaviour included both individual- level curricula and other community-based activities (i.e. they were more comprehensive in their approach).	While details of facilitator training are included, there is no analysis of whether any particular training or skills set led to better outcomes.	"[M]ore data are needed to make stronger conclusions, and more work must be done to understand how the content of such programs changes behavior and the specific change mechanisms that they employ." (p. 160) Recommendations for intervention development include: an expansion of the range of theoretical bases for programmes i.e. beyond a combination of feminist theory and social-learning (or cognitive-

	behavioural) theory; tailoring interventions to make them culturally sensitive/specific; the development of targeted interventions (i.e. selective programmes to directly address risk factors believed to lead to partner violence, such as being abused or neglected, witnessing partner violence at home, and substance use); and identifying new settings for interventions (in particular non- school-based settings such as community organisations to reach young people at risk who might not engage with school activities).
	Studies need to: plan for longer follow-up periods; measure behaviour as well as knowledge and attitudes; ensure sufficient retention; and undertake mediator analyses to test the theoretical predictors of behaviour change.

## Whitaker et al. (2013)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Whitaker et al. (2013)	Intimate partner violence prevention (victimisation and/or perpetration). Studies were curriculum-based (with the exception of one which consisted of a booklet and follow- up calls with parents). Generally, interventions focused on physical and psychological partner violence, though some	To prevent intimate partner violence (IPV) (victimisation and/or perpetration). [Focus on primary prevention]	Little information; all programmes delivered by "interventionists" (p. 181) with the exception of one parent-delivered programme.	Not all studies reported information. For those that did, the frequency was between 3 and 21 sessions (mean 8.3) and the duration of the programmes was between 3 and 36 hours (mean	Mostly targeted at middle school/high school teenagers. Beyond this, 3 studies respectively targeted: women (mean age 41); college students (mean age 20); and engaged couples (mean age 23). Study settings were mostly school (68%); 32% were conducted in non-school settings such as college campuses, juvenile court, youth centres or other community locations, or in families' locations of choice.	Mostly middle or high school aged teenagers (grades 6 to 12, approximately 11 to 18 years old).

targeted sexual	11 hours). The majority of
violence as well.	programmes were
	universal prevention; 3
Content was varied	exceptions were those
across	that targeted,
programmes;	respectively, young
elements included	people with histories of
changes in social	maltreatment,
norms, positive	adjudicated youth and
relationship skills	pregnant teenagers.
development, and	
the legal aspect of	Most (17/19) were
partner violence.	delivered in group
	settings.

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Whitaker et al. (2013)	Yes. To provide a comprehensive report on scientific studies aimed	Experimental or quasi- experimental studies with a control or comparison	Yes – electronic database searches (of PubMed and Web of Science); hand	Yes – studies were divided into rigorous / non-rigorous design according to	Narrative summary of results.	Some odds ratios and some 95% confidence intervals presented	Yes. All but one study (Kenya) conducted in the US.	Not assessed.

at preventing g IPV.	group.	searches of the bibliographies of included articles.	whether they had: randomised designs; measurement of IPV behaviour; sufficient follow-up; and independent assessors.		from the papers (not an analysis by review authors).		
-------------------------	--------	---	---	--	--	--	--

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes	Results	Author conclusions
Whitaker et al. (2013)	19 (15 RCTs and 4 QEDs).	IPV behaviours, attitudes, beliefs, knowledge, and other variables relevant to IPV and to the particular intervention (for example help- seeking, communication skills, related outcomes	The article focuses on the impact on IPV outcomes for those studies deemed to be the most methodologically rigorous. 9 studies were deemed to be highly rigorous in design (randomised designs, measurement of IPV behaviour, sufficient follow-up, independent assessors). Of these 9, 7 demonstrated some positive impact on IPV behaviour. For school-based studies (n=4): 1 study [Safe Dates] found a positive effect on	"Seven of the 9 [studies] reported some positive impact on IPV behavior, reducing perpetration, victimization, or both, with no negative impacts. However, no studies were replicated (i.e., each intervention has only a single study to support its effectiveness). Also, although many of the interventions report common

targeted). All studies used self-report measures. Some also used partner- report measures.	partner violence perpetration (psychological abuse, mild physical abuse, and sexual abuse) and on physical IPV victimisation (this was at 4-year follow-up); 1 study [Fourth R] found less IPV in intervention schools than in control schools but this effect was present for boys only (i.e. not girls); 2 other school-based studies did not find statistically significant effects on behavioural measures (although in 1 of these studies one of the interventions had an iatrogenic effect, with greater perpetration of physical and sexual partner violence compared to control). Thus "only one [Safe Dates] found unqualified positive effects on IPV behaviour" (p. 186). For non school-based studies (n=5): "[A]II 5 reported some positive results on reducing IPV behaviour (although [X study] results did not reach traditional levels of statistical significance at p = 0.06)" (p. 186). 1 study (of a programme for young people whose parents were involved in the child	intervention elements, it is not possible to dissect programs into elements to determine the active ingredients. Thus, drawing strong conclusions about any particular program, the group of programs, or the key ingredients in the effective programs remains very difficult at the present time." (p. 186) "There are certainly several programs with findings that provide optimism with regard to dating violence prevention. That said, the IPV prevention field has progressed in a somewhat unorganized manner, without a unifying theme or theory to guide prevention efforts. The various programs reviewed here included many similar
	IPV behaviour (although [X study] results did not reach traditional levels of statistical significance at $p = 0.06$ )" (p. 186). 1 study (of a programme for young people whose	manner, without a unifying theme or theory to guide prevention efforts. The various programs reviewed
	parents were involved in the child protection system) found a positive intervention effect (reduction in both physical abuse perpetration and victimisation) with subgroup findings (perpetration effects stronger for girls,	nere included many similar constructs in their interventions—attitude change interventions, social interactions, information about gender roles—and
	victimisation effects stronger for boys) and	some seemed to produce

mediation analyses (no between group difference for any presumed mediators – for example emotional support, positive conflict resolution); 1 [in Kenya, focused broadly on women's health] found a positive intervention effect for IPV victimisation but not controlling behaviours, or attitudes towards IPV or gender roles; 2 couples interventions showed positive findings (for example IPV, relationship skills), although in one [for pregnant	positive effects (e.g., Foshee's Safe Dates [1996]), while others did not (Taylor et al.'s [2010] interaction-based intervention). Thus, there is much more work to do to understand which programs are effective, why they are effective, and how to disseminate them broadly without compromising
skills), although in one [for pregnant	without compromising
teenagers and their male partners] the positive result for IPV was of borderline	effectiveness." (p. 190).
significance.	

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Whitaker et al. (2013)	Primary prevention should target everyone. For selective prevention, there are clear socio- demographic, family and individual risk factors for IPV perpetration and victimisation. Author identifies groups that are at high-risk for IPV: young,	The scope of intervention settings is expanding beyond middle and high schools (for example to parents, couples, community venues, elementary schools). Important because these	No information.	There is a question over whether IPV prevention efforts should target specific IPV-related knowledge, attitudes (for example gender roles) and behaviours (for example interactions with intimate

<ul> <li>pregnant teenagers and their partners; adjudicated youth who had committed violent offences; teenagers whose parents were involved in child protective systems; and poor parenting behaviours (linked longitudinally to the development of IPV in teenagers).</li> <li>"One challenge when working with selective populations is that, depending on the age of the target, many of the individuals in the intervention have already perpetrated or experienced IPV. Thus, there is a need to consider prior IPV involvement in designing and delivering interventions and to conceptualize some effects as secondary, rather than primary, prevention." (p. 189)</li> </ul>	settings have proven useful for changing other forms of adolescent behaviour (for example parent interventions and teenage conduct, sexual behaviour and substance use). However, some venues not really used for example media-based approaches (important given young people's exposure to media) or policy interventions.		partners) or target more general social, emotional and behavioural skills. "Future investigations that focus primarily on changing knowledge or attitudes may need to validate the hypothesized change process by testing mediational models of effects on IPV behaviors." (p. 189)
--	---	--	--

# Preventing female genital mutilation (FGM)

## Berg and Denison (2012b)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Berg and Denison (2012b)	<ul> <li>Interventions to reduce the prevalence of female genital mutilation/cutting (FGM/C). Four types of intervention:</li> <li>(1) Training health professionals regarding attitudes towards FGM, knowledge in caring for women and of complications.</li> <li>(2) Education of female students, to shape participants' beliefs and knowledge through talks, group discussions, role-play and educational aids.</li> <li>(3) Multifaceted community activities that included educational outreach, behaviour change communication, and</li> </ul>	To prevent female genital mutilation / cutting.	There is no information available regarding who specifically delivered the interventions.	No information regarding frequency. Duration of intervention s ranged from 2 weeks at the individual level to 11 months at community level.	Targeted at: health personnel; female students; community populations, village populations. Also included girls aged 10 or under who were not involved in the interventions but who were used as markers of prevalence of FGM/C before and after interventions. Six of the 8 studies had primarily Muslim	Health personnel (median age 38); female students (mean age 19); community population (mean age between 26 and 34); village population (mean age 35/36).

community-level advocacy	participants.
(meetings, theatre, mass media).	
	Interventions were
(4) Empowerment through	delivered in groups
education (programme delivered	or in one-to-one
by Tostan non-profit organisation)	settings in clinics,
that included 4 educational	a university, a
modules for women to develop a	refugee camp, and
participatory approach to	village locations.
stopping FGM.	

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehen- sive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Berg and Denison (2012b)	Yes. To review the best available evidence on intervention s designed to prevent FGM/C.	Study designs with a comparison group: randomised controlled trials and controlled before-after	Yes – 13 electronic databases searched, reference lists hand- searched, personal communication with experts to	Yes. Study quality was evaluated as 'weak', 'moderate' or 'strong' using the McMaster University, Effective Public Health	Narrative summary and meta- analysis.	Relative risk, adjusted absolute risk difference, 95% confidence intervals.	No in the sense that all studies were set in Africa in cultures where FGM/C is prevalent (Burkina	Not assessed.

studies.	identify	Practice	Faso,
	additional	Project,	Egypt,
	papers.	Quality	Ethiopia,
	Search terms	Assessment	Somalia,
	provided in	Tool for	Kenya, Mali
	footnote.	Quantitative	(2 studies),
		Studies (bias,	Nigeria, and
		design,	Senegal),
		collection	although
		methods).	there are
		The authors	families in
		categorised	the UK from
		all the studies	countries
		as weak,	where the
		(none were	prevalence
		RCTs).	of FGM is
		The quality of	high.
		evidence was	-
		assessed	
		through	
		GRADE.	

Author	Included	Outcomes	Results	Author conclusions
(Year)	studies	measured		
Berg and Denison (2012b)	Eight before-and- after studies with control group (i.e. QEDs). All but one study had a no intervention comparison group. One study had an educational module for the control group.	6 outcomes were measured: knowledge, awareness, beliefs/attitudes, intentions, behaviours, and prevalence of FGM/C. Measures were self-report; information was collected via face-to-face structured interviews or questionnaires. Prevalence of FGM/C was measured in girls aged 10 or under. No biological	Training of health personnel The intervention did not have an effect on outcomes measured (for example participants' knowledge of FGM/C or their wish to play a role in educating health clinic clients about FGM/C). However, the authors stated that the study is very old (1998) and that knowledge among health personnel in Mali and their willingness to abandon the practice may be higher today. Education of female students This intervention had a statistically significant effect on knowledge about the dangers of FGM/C (the only outcome reported). Multifaceted community activities Mixed evidence of impact within and between studies but some evidence of statistically significant positive effects on outcomes such as the belief that FGM/C compromises the rights of women, knowing the harmful consequences of FGM/C, women encouraging someone not to perform FGM/C on their daughter and not intending to do it themselves either, and men not believing there are benefits to FGM/C. One study found a statistically significant effect favouring the comparison group for the belief that FGM/C violates women's rights.	"Findings indicate that 19 of 49 outcomes (with baseline similarity) were significantly different at study level, mostly favoring the intervention, but results from 4 meta-analyses showed considerable heterogeneity. The limited effectiveness and weak overall quality of the evidence from the studies appear related to methodological limitations of the studies and shortcomings in the implementation of the interventions. Nevertheless, the findings point to possible advantageous developments from the interventions." (p. 135). "This systematic review

outcome measures, such as physical examinations, were used.	<ul> <li>Village empowerment (Tostan)</li> <li>One study found no effect (being opposed to FGM/C).</li> <li>There was mixed evidence of impact within and between the other 2 studies, with some evidence of statistically significant positive effects on outcomes such as girls under 10 years who had been cut, knowledge of consequences of FGM/C, disapproving of FGM/C and no intention to perform FGM/C on daughter (men only).</li> <li>Four meta-analyses were possible:</li> <li>1. Two of the multifaceted interventions did not demonstrate an increased belief that FGM violates human rights: RR =1.30(CI 0.46–3.66).</li> <li>2. Two of the village empowerment studies were included in 3 meta-analyses, which showed: (i) no statistically significant impact on women's knowledge of the harmful consequences of FGM/C (RR 1.85 (CI 0.65–5.22)); a statistically significant impact on men's knowledge of the harmful consequences of FGM/C (RR 1.85 (CI 0.65–5.22)); and (iii) a statistically significant effect on reducing the prevalence of FGM among girls aged 10 and younger ((RR = 0.77, CI 0.64–0.92).</li> </ul>	of the effectiveness of the best available evidence of FGM/C abandonment interventions included 8 diverse studies from Africa. Although the studies were characterized by low methodological quality and low quality of documentation, requiring that we view their results cautiously, the results nevertheless point to possible advantageous developments as a result of the interventions. Thus, these studies, which can be considered first-generation evaluations of interventions to prevent FGM/C, offer reason to be optimistic that with sustained efforts. FGM/C
	=2.11 (1.00–4.42)); and (iii) a statistically significant effect on reducing the prevalence of FGM among girls	interventions to prevent FGM/C, offer reason to

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Berg and Denison (2012b)	No information.	"Programs to stem FGM/C should marshal local resources—for example, by drawing on the authority of key opinion leaders. Because the practice is strongly reinforced by social norms and belief systems, encouraging the larger community and the authorities who uphold social customs to question unhealthy norms is essential." (p. 143). The authors suggested that 2 reasons for lack of evidence of effectiveness are probable: (1) Lack of 'fit' or relevance owing to inadequate pre-programme planning i.e. not studying target population sufficiently and therefore not designing culturally relevant programmes. (2) Weak implementation fidelity (for example low exposure to the intervention).	There may be a potential benefit to be gained from using local health workers to educate women about FGM/C dangers but only if sufficient training is provided. In the case of the intervention that involved training health personnel, the original study's authors concede that the training was too short.	Comparison group studies need to have baseline equivalence in terms of prognostic factors (studies of community-based interventions included in this review were biased in favour of intervention group). Also, prevalence of FGM/C measured using biological data from medical examination is the preferable outcome measure (rather than intention to perform FGM/C, or prevalence measured via self- report). "To stem the practice [of FGM/C] future intervention studies should be developed in partnership with local communities (and with the particular categories of individuals and institutions most appropriate for the setting) and be situated within appropriate historical, cultural, and policy contexts." (p. 143).

## Berg and Denison (2013)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Berg and Denison (2013)	Anti-Female genital mutilation/cutting (FGM/C) interventions. Multiple papers reporting 4 interventions in 7 countries/contexts. There were 5 broad categories of intervention: training, formal classroom education, media communication, outreach and advocacy, and informal adult education (the programme	To prevent female genital mutilation and cutting in girls.	No information on who delivers the programme.	There are few details on interventions' frequency or duration. 1 programme lasted 2 hours, other programmes lasted between ~12 months and 18 months 1 programme occurred over 2 sessions.	Men and women: includes health personnel, female students and community members/villagers. Most settings not specified, but include health clinics, a hostel, refugee camp, and communities/villages.	Participants' ages are not specified. Participants are adult but the intervention relates to preventing FGM/C in participants' daughters.

Tos	veloped by stan, a non-profit janisation).		
dat pre par rec dat	ose replication of a reported in evious FGM per, with more eent follow-up a on TOSTAN ogramme.		

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Berg and Denison (2013)	Yes. To review the effective- ness of anti- FGM/C interven- tions from a realist	Randomised controlled trials, quasi- randomised trials, controlled before-and- after studies, and	Yes. Electronic databases [African Index Medicus, Anthropology Plus, British Nursing Index and Archive, The Cochrane Library	Yes. Methodologi cal quality of studies was assessed using design- specific	Narrative summary. Meta- analysis for synthesis 1.	Absolute and relative risk.	No in the sense that interventions were implemented in sub- Saharan Africa, although there	Not assessed.

ti e d c r n c (( c ti h v s	berspec- ive, which entails ad- dressing context- mecha- nisms-out- comes CMO) configura- ions to nelp ex- blain inter- ventions' success and failure.	interrupted time series designs. Context factors were identified with cross- sectional quantitative studies, qualitative studies, and mixed- methods studies.	(CENTRAL, CDR, DARE), EMBASE, EPOC, MEDLINE, PILOTS, POPLINE, PsychINFO, Social Services Abstracts, Sociological Abstracts, WHOLIS) were searched. Databases of grey literature and those of relevant organisations were searched. Back-referencing and forward- citation was conducted. Experts were contacted.	checklists.			are families in the UK from countries where the prevalence of FGM is high.	
--	---	---	--	-------------	--	--	---	--

Author (Year) Included studies

Outcomes measured Results

Author conclusions

Berg and	35 (8 effectiveness	"Intervention	Overall, the success of the interventions	"The results of this
Denison	studies (controlled	outcomes were	was described as "limited" (p. 332). Results	analysis point to
(2013)	before-and-after	comprehensive,	were separated by programme type.	conditions that facilitate
	studies) and 27	including rates of		the success of FGM/C
	context studies).	FGM/C, behaviour	Health personnel training programme	abandonment
		and intentions related	Improvements in reducing the practice of	programmes in different
		to FGM/C and	FGM/C were observed but this was found	settings. Health
		attitudes towards and	not to be due to the intervention (no	professionals in
		beliefs and	statistically significant impact).	countries which practice
		knowledge related to		FGM/C, advocates,
		the practice." (p.	Female students' education programme	educators, law-makers
		323).	Increased knowledge about the dangers of	and organizations such
			FGM/C (statistically significant).	as the UN and WHO
		Specific outcome		may benefit from
		measures not	Communication programme	incorporating this
		reported.	Statistically significant positive effects on	knowledge into future
			the proportion of: women who encouraged	efforts to reduce the risk
			someone not to perform FGM/C on their	of FGM/C." (p. 322).
			daughter; women with no intention of	<u> </u>
			performing FGM/C on their own daughter;	"The general implication
			men who did not believe there were	for future programmes
			benefits from FGM/C; and men who	to reduce the
			believed most community members	prevalence of FGM/C is
			favoured discontinuation of FGM/C.	that gathering
				appropriate and
			Outreach and advocacy programmes	sufficient data before
			One study found a statistically significant	developing a strategy to
			increase favouring the comparison group in	address a group's
			the proportion of people who believed that	particular needs and
			FGM/C compromised women's human	wishes will facilitate a

rights. The other study found a statistically significant increase in the proportion of community members who: had no intention to perform FGM/C; believed that FGM/C compromised women's human rights; and knew of harmful consequences of FGM/C.
Tostan adult education programme Three studies, which showed a decrease in the proportion of 0-10 year-old girls who were cut (RR 0.77) and an increase in: the proportion of women who knew at least 2 consequences of FGM/C (RR 2.92); the proportion of men who knew at least 2 consequences of FGM/C (RR 3.10); the proportion of women who regretted having had daughter cut (RR 1.26); the proportion of men who had no intention to perform FGM/C on daughter (RR 1.05); the proportion of men who disapproved of FGM/C (RR 1.10); the proportion of women who disapproved of FGM/C (RR 1.04); and the proportion of men who believed FGM/C was unnecessary (RR 1.06).

A	uthor	Messages	Messages on effective	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research
(Y	'ear)	about how	implementation		recommendations

	best to identify families in need of additional support			
Berg and Denison (2013)	No information. reported	Exposure is key. For the community programme, the dosage of programme messages appeared to be an important factor; outcomes improved with higher exposure to activities and media information. Lack of implementation fidelity was given as a reason for the limited effects of some types of intervention. In most cases the driving force for changing FGM/C- related behaviour was thought to be the dissemination of information, especially on the consequences of FGM/C for the individual concerned, in the belief that this would improve knowledge and in turn change attitudes. However, the authors suggested that future	Getting religious leaders involved and in agreement to promote the religious undesirability of the FGM/C custom would help to get families onside. "The involvement of skilled, community-based facilitators with background characteristics similar to those of the target population will help to ensure that the language and messages used are relevant, appropriate and make the target population relate better to a sensitive, context-bound issue such as FGM/C." (p. 332). In the case of Tostan, the negligible and small positive effects (depending on context) may be explained in part by insufficient training of facilitators, as they were uncomfortable with the module topics. There were also difficulties recruiting and retaining facilitators from the target communities. Thus cultural sensitivities will need to be addressed for future iterations of the programme and strategies for training and retaining the workforce developed.	No specific research recommendations made, only recommendations for future programme development.

programmes need to im- gathering appropriate at sufficient data before developing a strategy to address a particular gro needs. For example: (i) there is a strong link bei FGM/C and religion, a for could be on religious interpretation of the cus undesirability rather tha stressing health complity or human rights; and (ii) where FGM/C is practiss widely and a deep-seate tradition, efforts might b framed in terms of relate issues, such as parents concern for the health a well-being of their daug	<ul> <li>reflection on what FGM/C meant in the target culture and why it was perpetuated rather than outright condemnation appeared to be a valuable method of delivery. Authors</li> <li>valuable method of delivery. Authors</li> <li>recommend that where FGM/C is entrenched in the traditions and religions of the country, that programme content and delivery is framed around wider issues such as the health and wellbeing of the daughters.</li> <li>Religious sensitivities need to be considered. In the case of one outreach and advocacy intervention, "it is possible that efforts by a Christian group to end a practice which is closely linked with Islam antagonised the target community" (p.327).</li> </ul>
---	---

## Preventing gang involvement and gang violence

## Fisher, Gardner and Montgomery (2008)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Fisher, Gardner and Montgomery (2008)	Cognitive behavioural interventions for preventing gang involvement. The cognitive-behavioural element had to be the majority (i.e. 50% or more) of the intervention. No further details since no relevant studies were identified for the review.	To prevent gang involvement among youth aged 7 to 16 not already in a gang.	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	Children not already in a gang. No information for setting because no relevant studies were identified.	Young people aged 7 to 16.

Author	Review	Type of	Comprehensive	Quality of	What	Precision of	Applicable	Do benefits
(Year)	addresses a	studies	search undertaken	included	results are	results	to UK	outweigh
	clearly focused	included		studies	presented?		settings	harms and
	question			assessed				costs?
Fisher,	Yes. To	RCTs or	Yes –3-part strategy:	Yes –	None.	N/A (no	N/A (no	N/A (no
Gardner and	determine the	quasi-	electronic searching	quality		relevant	relevant	relevant
Montgomery	effectiveness	RCTs.	of multiple	screening		studies	studies	studies
(2008)	of cognitive-		databases; personal	resulted		identified).	identified).	identified).
	behavioural		communications	in all				
	interventions		(contacting relevant	studies				
	for preventing		organisations /	being				
	youth gang		individuals / list-	excluded.				
	involvement for		servs; and hand-					
	children and		searching pertinent					
	young people		reference lists and					
	(ages 6 to 17).		websites.					

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Fisher, Gardner and Montgomery (2008)	None. 13 potentially relevant studies were identified but 9 of these were excluded	No outcomes measured as no studies were included. However, the 11 pre-specified outcomes to be measured were: Primary Gang membership status; convictions for	N/A – "No randomised controlled trials or quasi- randomised	"This review found no evidence from randomised controlled trials or quasi- randomised controlled trials regarding the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of cognitive- behavioural interventions for gang

because the programme was not suitable (i.e. not focused on gang prevention, did not include a cognitive behavioural element) or the study was not an evaluation. The remaining 4 evaluations were excluded for reasons of study design and poor quality (none qualified as an RCT or quasi-RCT). All 4 concerned the Gang Resistance Education And Training (GREAT) programme in the US.	gang-related delinquent behaviour and criminal offences Secondary Measures of behavioural, cognitive or social skills; delinquent behaviour and criminal offences external to gang activities; association with delinquent peers; illegal drug abuse; hospitalisation or injury; firearm possession; truancy; achievement of scholastic benchmarks; employment status. Many intended outcome measures not specifically reported but were to include: a peer delinquency scale, hospital records, self report, school report, conviction records. Authors state outcome measures would have needed to meet minimum standards of having their psychometric properties published, and being self-report or completed by an independent rater or relative.	controlled trials were found that fulfilled the inclusion criteria" (p. 12).	prevention. Four excluded studies examining Gang Resistance Education and Training (GREAT) found mixed but generally weak indications of programme effect [] However, [] study design excluded all of these studies from analysis. Therefore, based on the findings of this systematic review, it is impossible to reach any conclusions regarding the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of cognitive- behavioural interventions for preventing youth gang involvement." (p. 12) "The only possible conclusions from this review [] are the urgent need for additional primary evaluations of cognitive-behavioural interventions for gang prevention and the importance of high standards required of the research conducted to provide meaningful findings that can guide future programmes and policies." (p. 3)
---	---	--	--

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Fisher, Gardner and Montgomery (2008)	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	"[T]he main recommendation for future practice is to demand rigorous primary evaluations that include gang-related outcomes for any existing or developing cognitive-behavioural prevention programmes. Such rigorous evaluations are urgently needed to develop this research field and guide future funding and intervention profiles [] The paucity of research and the insufficient attention to methodological rigour in conducting and funding these evaluations, 2 of which were executed under the auspices of the United States Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms and the National Institute of Justice, are unacceptable. Researchers, funding institutions, and policy makers all must demand higher standards of social research to succeed in reducing youth gang involvement and the associated crime and delinquency." (p. 13)

## Fisher, Montgomery and Gardner (2008)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Fisher, Montgomery and Gardner (2008)	Opportunities provision to prevent youth gang involvement. Opportunities provision techniques include tutoring, remedial education, job training and job placement. Opportunities provision had to be the majority component of the intervention. No further information on interventions since no relevant studies were identified.	To prevent gang involvement among youths aged 7 to 16 not currently involved in a gang.	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	Young people aged 7-16 who were not already in a gang. No information for setting because no relevant studies were identified.	Young people aged 7 to 16.

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Fisher, Montgomery and Gardner (2008)	Yes – to determine the effectiveness of opportunities provision for preventing youth gang involvement for children and young people aged 7 to 16.	RCTs and quasi- RCTs.	Yes – 3-part search strategy undertaken: electronic database searches; personal communications (for example contacting relevant organisations, individuals and list-servs); and pertinent websites/ reference lists hand-searched.	Yes – according to quality categories described in the Cochrane handbook. However, the quality screening process excluded all studies.	None – no randomised controlled trials or quasi- randomised controlled trials were identified.	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	N/A (no relevant studies identified).

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Fisher,	None. 18 papers were	As no studies were included there were	N/A. There are	"No evidence from
Montgomery	potentially relevant and	no outcomes to be measured.	no results as	randomised controlled trials
and Gardner	had full text		no relevant	or quasi-randomised
(2008)	assessments, but 16	However, the authors pre-specified 10	studies were	controlled trials currently
	were excluded as	outcomes that they were intending to	identified. All	exists regarding the
	unsuitable (i.e. were	measure:	identified	effectiveness of opportunities
	not evaluations, were		studies were	provision for gang prevention.
	not addressing a gang	Primary	excluded for	Only 2 studies addressed
	prevention	Gang membership; gang-related	irrelevant	opportunities provision as a
	programme, did not	delinquent behaviour and criminal	study design	gang prevention strategy, a
	include any gang-	offences.	or	case study and a qualitative
	related outcomes, did		unacceptable	study, both of which had such
	not include any	Secondary	methodological	substantial methodological
	opportunities provision	Employment status; truancy;	flaws.	limitations that even
	components, or	achievement of scholastic benchmarks;		speculative conclusions as to
	presented preliminary	delinquent behaviour and criminal		the impact of opportunities
	findings for outcomes	offences external to gang activities;		provision were impossible.
	reported in another	association with delinquent peers; illegal		Rigorous primary evaluations
	paper). 2 reports were	drug abuse; hospitalisation or injury from		of gang prevention strategies
	at least partially	gang-related or delinquent activities;		are crucial to develop this
	relevant, but were	firearm possession.		research field, justify funding
	excluded because of			of existing interventions, and
	methodological flaws	Potential measurement instruments were		guide future gang prevention
	(for example no	to have included self-report measures or		programmes and policies." (p.
	comparison group,	official reports (for example from school,		2)
	qualitative case study).	police, probation services or court).		

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Fisher, Montgomery and Gardner (2008)	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	N/A (no relevant studies identified).	"The complete lack of evidence from randomised controlled trials, quasi-randomised controlled trials, or excluded studies found by this extremely sensitive search of all available literature makes it very difficult to advise practitioners as to future intervention and policy efforts. The only possible conclusion is the urgent need for good quality primary research regarding opportunities provision for gang prevention. Consequently, the only potential recommendation for practitioners is to demand rigorous evaluations of gang prevention programmes that include opportunities provision components, evaluations that can guide future funding and intervention profiles. "As stated above, the paucity of good quality research regarding gang prevention programmes and specifically gang prevention programmes based on opportunities provision must be addressed. That this review found only 2 excluded studies with considerable methodological flaws so as to prevent even speculative conclusions is a reflection of this paucity and the insufficient international commitment to delinguent youth,

	gangs, and good quality social research. This research void must be remedied to ensure responsible funding choices and succeed in reducing youth gang involvement and the associated crime and delinquency. A review looking at the impact of opportunities provision on delinquency more generally would perhaps be of value." (p. 8)
--	---

## Hodgkinson et al. (2009)

Author (Year)	Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Hodgkinson et al. (2009)	Interventions to reduce or prevent gang-related criminal activity. Types included: educational, enforcement, criminal justice, legal, psychological, organisation and management, opportunities provision, community mobilisation, social inclusion, comprehensive, situational, vocational skills training, other, diversion. The in-depth review focused on multifaceted or comprehensive interventions (i.e. involving more than one of the above types of intervention). More interventions take an incentives approach rather than a sanctions approach, despite	To reduce or prevent gang- related anti-social or criminal behaviour.	Delivered by the community and agencies, including youth workers, aggression retrainers, and detached workers.	No details about interventions' frequency or duration.	Gang members had to be one of the populations targeted (they did not have to be the only one i.e. could also include those at risk of becoming gang members). The majority of interventions took place at least partially on the street. Other locations included schools, organised community settings, home, police premises, criminal justice	Up to 25 years old. Interventions were broken down into age groups. 22% of participants were in a mixed age group; 70% of studies did not specify ages.

commitment to comprehensive approach interventions. Interventions include <i>inter alia</i> : outreach programmes, counselling, aggression retraining, gang injunctions, programmes tackling abandoned buildings and known drug dens, community monitoring and deterrence interventions, detached work	institution, correctional institutions, other educational institutions and the workplace. (Interventions could involve more than one of these settings.)
interventions, detached work procedures, interventions that	
enhance communications between different agencies,	
crisis intervention work.	

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Hodgkinson et al. (2009)	questionYes:1. Are com- prehensive	For the in- depth review: evaluations	Yes – comprehensive search: 59 databases	Yes – Maryland Scale used; each study	Narrative synthesis and meta- analysis	Effect sizes; 95% confi-	All studies for the in- depth review were	Not assessed: "None of the studies in the

intervent more effective at reducing gang rela criminal activity at anti-social behaviou than usu service provision 2. What intervent are effect in preven or reduci gang-rela criminal activity at anti-social behaviou	ec- and researcher- ated manipu- lated) with a al comparison ur group (i.e. al scoring ≥3 on the Naryland Scale of Scientific Methods). trive nting ing ated	including social science, health, education, psychology and criminology, 4 websites and 6 sources of grey literature in addition to hand-searching bibliographies.	also assigned a weight of evidence classification based on methodologi- cal quality, appropriate- ness of study design and relevance of study design to review question.	where possible.	dence intervals.	conducted in the US; authors specifically note that they cannot be sure of the transferabil- ity of the intervention to a UK context.	review consider the cost benefit of any of the interven- tions." (p. 61)
--	--	--	---	--------------------	---------------------	---	---

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Hodgkinson et al. (2009)	208 studies were identified and mapped but 17 met the criteria for in- depth review: 10 naturally occurring evaluations (i.e. Maryland Level 3) and 7 researcher- manipulated evaluations (i.e. Maryland Level 4). No RCTs (Maryland Level 5).	1 of 3 key outcomes measured in all studies: reduction in crime; change in subject behaviour; change in the attitudes of the community. Other outcomes measured include arrest rates, recidivism rates, instances of violent crime, narcotic offence rate, homicide rate, court appearances, general offences and instances of gang crime.	Overall, and according to authors of the evaluations concerned: 10 studies had positive results; 5 studies had mixed or inconclusive results; and 2 studies had negative results. (Caution is advised as these differ from the results of the effect sizes given in the papers.) Only one outcome was focused on in detail in the review results (i.e. by authors of the systematic review). For those studies that had outcomes related to reduction in crime: 7 studies had positive results, 4 had inconclusive or mixed results, 1 had negative results. However, in a meta-analysis involving 9 of the 12 studies (3 were excluded because they were outliers), the pooled effect size (corrected Hedges' g) was 0.09 (CI 0.00-0.18). The result was not statistically significant (p=0.062). "The synthesis found that, overall, the comprehensive interventions	<ul> <li>"The review identified a number of mechanisms of change which were present in those interventions associated with positive outcomes. In the higher quality studies with positive effects, the comprehensive interventions included one or more of the following mechanisms of change:</li> <li>case management / provision of a personalised holistic approach;</li> <li>community involvement in the planning of interventions;</li> <li>community involvement in the delivery of interventions;</li> <li>expertise shared between agencies;</li> <li>delivery of incentives to change offending</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>had a positive, but not statistically significant, effect on reducing crime outcomes compared with usual service provision (i.e. whatever was in place either in a comparison area or before the specific intervention)."</li> <li>p. 5)</li> <li>Exploratory subgroup analysis was undertaken, which found that that "comprehensive interventions which include the mechanisms of personalisation, community involvement in planning and delivery, and/or sharing of expertise between agencies may have greater</li> </ul>	behaviour, as part of a wider comprehensive intervention approach. "It is not clear whether mechanisms of change are effective in isolation, or in some combination with others to produce the desired outcome. In addition, the evidence does not suggest an association between the number of components in a comprehensive intervention and effect size.
involvement in planning and delivery, and/or sharing of expertise	number of components in a comprehensive

Author (Year)	Messages about how to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Hodgkinson et al. (2009)	No information.	Multi-agency working (shared decision-making, information sharing, pooling of resources, and shared expertise) was associated with small but non-statistically significant positive effects. Involvement of the community in planning and delivery of intervention was associated with positive and narrowly statistically significant positive effects. Offering incentives (with or without sanctions) records a statistically significant positive result. The evidence for interventions providing sanctions was weaker. Interventions using marketing/ publicity or problem solving approaches showed positive but not	"Involving local communities may lead to an improved understanding of the problem and improved motivation of the community to do something about the problem because they feel empowered and listened to by people in positions of power. The distinction is whether communities are actively involved	"There is insufficient evidence to justify a policy recommendation to use or not to use comprehensive interventions as a means of tackling gang violence. Nevertheless the pooled estimate of effect of the high/medium quality studies is positive. This pooled effect size of d=0.09 may in conventional interpretation (e.g. Cohen, 1998) appear to be 'small'. However there is an argument that an effect of this size obtained from real 'field based' experiments could be important. A second issue is that all the interventions evaluated in the studies included in this review took place in the USA, and Review Group cannot therefore be sure of the transferability to a UK context. "It is argued that [] the results suggest that comprehensive interventions

	<ul> <li>statistically significant results.</li> <li>Providing a personalised or tailored holistic service to subjects produced a positive and significant effect.</li> <li>"The major caveat in interpreting these findings is that it is the same 3 studies operating in each of these change mechanisms, and the same 4 in all but community planning involvement. It is therefore impossible to determine which one of these theories of change is having this (weak) effect, or if all are necessary to have any impact on gang crime." (p. 58)</li> </ul>	in delivering aspects of the intervention or simply supporting those that do." (p. 46)	<ul> <li>warrant further rigorous evaluation in a UK context. Policy should therefore support the use of such interventions only in the context of rigorous evaluation.</li> <li>"Furthermore, it is argued that the design of comprehensive interventions in context should allow further investigation of those mechanisms of change which the analysis carried out here suggest are important for the design of successful comprehensive interventions." (p. 62)</li> </ul>
--	---	---	--

# Wong et al. (2012)

#### Content

Author (Year)Intervention(s) reviewed	Intervention aim	Intervention delivery	Intervention frequency and duration	Intervention target and setting	Timing
Wong et al.Street gang cor strategies.(2012)Interventions we categorised as prevention [mos relevant for this study], gang activity regulation justice system based intervention, comprehensive interventions ar holistic 	ere gang membership or gang-related delinquency or crime. on, nd irst	Primary prevention programmes delivered by police officers, or by "appropriate adult role models" in the community. No details were reported for the programmes for gang membership prevention.	Frequency of the 2 school- based preventive awareness programmes was 13 to 16 classroom sessions delivered either weekly or over 2 years. The third preventive awareness programme provided recreational activities and counselling	Of the 3 primary prevention interventions, 2 were set in school (n=2) and one in the community. Programmes designed to reduce gang membership amongst at-risk populations (young delinquents, youths with low SES or living in at-risk neighbourhoods, school dropouts or other at-risk conditions) were set in the community (n=2), as part of after school activities (n=1), in a classroom session (n=1) and one-to-one with	Primary prevention programmes delivered to school-aged children in grades 6 to 8 (11 to 14 years), with the exception of community-based programme for young people aged 13-20. The school-based gang membership prevention programme was delivered to children aged 13 to 14. Other

prevention		10pm and	schools, police or the	delivered to
awareness		2am at the	courts.	children based on
programmes and s		weekend.		presumed need
gang membership			Other programmes were	(ethnicity,
prevention		No details on	comprehensive (n=5	residential area,
programmes for		the gang	studies) or holistic (n=4	socio-economic
at-risk populations		membership	studies, meaning that	group,
		prevention	they contain strategies	professional
		programmes.	from all 3 levels of	opinion) rather
			intervention.	than age.

Author (Year)	Review addresses a clearly focused question	Type of studies included	Comprehensive search undertaken	Quality of included studies assessed	What results are presented?	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Do benefits outweigh harms and costs?
Wong et al. (2012)	Yes. To address what strategies are most effective in preventing or reducing gang-related crime and	Evaluation studies (no further details).	Yes: 22 English and 3 French bibliographic databases; grey literature; reference lists and key journals; websites of relevant organisations;	No.	Narrative synthesis. It was deemed not possible to conduct a meta- analysis.	Effect sizes. 95% CI.	Yes. The location of each study is not made clear but the inclusion criteria specified Canada, US,	Not assessed.

delinquency.	and 30 expert	Australia or	
	CVs.	Europe	
		only.	

Author (Year)	Included studies	Outcomes measured	Results	Author conclusions
Wong et al. (2012)	38 (QEDs, pretest/posttest, RCTs, cohort- based interrupted time series, one group time series).	For preventive awareness strategies 5 outcomes were measured: drug use, gang membership, property offences, offences against the person, and other. For gang membership prevention strategies, many outcomes were measured, including: drug use, gang avoidance and gang membership, criminal justice system interaction, gang behaviours, and intermediate	<ol> <li>Prevention strategies showed little signs of effectiveness, which can be explained by an overly broad approach to gang prevention, which includes the majority of young people who will never consider gangs in the first place. Some positive effects were noted in terms of gang membership and improvements in desirable attitudes towards gangs and police.</li> <li>Gang membership: community-based programme (Logan Square prevention) reported a reduction in gang membership and carrying a weapon, and a targeted outreach programme (Gang Prevention Through Targeted Outreach (GPTTO)) reduced truancy, delayed the onset of gang behaviour, reduced the likelihood of initiating marijuana use, elevated academic performance, and increased the number of</li> </ol>	"[A]t this point in time not enough gang prevention/intervention evaluations exist, and those that do are not typically designed with sufficiently rigorous methods that produce outcomes that meet the conditions necessary for inclusion in a meta- analysis." (p.36) "Much work is still needed in the fields of prevention and intervention. This report attempts to guide policy considering the reliable evidence available to date. Only by focusing on greater cooperation between academics and

outcomes such as	positive friends.	policymakers and by
truancy, delayed		ensuring that
onset of gang	Studies of other interventions (including	methodologically sound
behaviour, reduced	Broader Urban Involvement and	evaluations accompany
likelihood of initiating	Leadership Development programme	any initiative will it be
marijuana use,	(BUILD) and Youth Development Workers	possible to identify and
elevated academic	Program (YDW)) reported changes in a	maintain effective
performance,	positive direction but with no statistical	strategies for the control of
increased number of	significance. An evaluation of the National	street gangs." (p.37)
positive friends,	Youth Gang Drug Prevention (NYGDP)	
youths' sense of	programme showed no effect.	Authors advocated a "less
efficacy, decreased	- 5	is more" approach –
drug use and crime,	3) Comprehensive programmes such as	targeting the most pressing
increased school	Project Safe Neighborhoods in Chicago,	issue and achieving small
performance and	which includes preventive awareness	victories instead of trying to
more family support.	component (school-based curriculum), a	tackle every problem at
	gang membership prevention component	once.
For comprehensive	(targeted outreach to at-risk young people)	61100.
approaches, 3	and a gang activity suppression	The authors made 3 major
outcomes were	component (intelligence gathering targeted	recommendations about
measured: property	at violent gang members), have shown	gang prevention (pp. 37-
crimes, violent crimes	clear signs of effectiveness. However	38):
and drug crimes.	consistent evidence of the effectiveness of	50).
and drug chimes.		1 Whore oppropriate
For bolistic	the Spergel Model specifically is lacking,	1. Where appropriate,
For holistic	due to a lack of guidance on	research-based
approaches, 5	implementation, unrealistic expectations	delinquency prevention
outcomes were	regarding stakeholder partnerships, and	programmes rather than
measured: gang-	reliance on a one-size-fits-all approach.	gang membership
related crimes, gang-		prevention programmes
related violent crimes,	4) Holistic programmes: CeaseFire	(such as GREAT) should

	property crimes, violent crimes, drug crimes.	Chicago included preventive awareness, gang membership prevention, and gang activity prevention, and found statistically significant decreases in actual and attempted shootings. The Gang Reduction Program (GRP) includes a preventive awareness strategy, gang membership prevention, gang activity prevention, gang activity suppression, and a probation gang intervention. In LA this intervention showed a reduction in gang-related incidents and calls for shots fired, while in Milwaukee and North Miami Beach the evaluations found no impact, while in Richmond the evaluation found that the situation worsened in the target area.	<ul> <li>be utilised.</li> <li>2. Risk factors for gang membership should be used as guidance for programme delivery and dosage.</li> <li>3. There should be a focus on early prevention (as early 9 years).</li> </ul>
--	---	--	---

## Other information

Author (Year)	Messages about how best to identify families in need of additional support	Messages on effective implementation	Messages on workforce skills and training	Author research recommendations
Wong et al. (2012)	The authors noted that prior delinquency is the biggest risk factor for joining gangs. Monitoring young people who have been involved in delinquency may help to identify	"The prevention strategy literature showed that there is no single cause for gang membership, and no easily pinpointed factor that could be identified and eliminated." (p. 6). The review authors suggested that prevention	No information.	"On gang control strategies in general, 3 major recommendations were discussed: the need for more

those who are more likely a gang.	to join programmes need to be closely targeted to research-based risk factors for gang membership.	evaluations applying scientific methodologies; that
Early prevention from as age 9 is necessary as you people tend to join gangs between grades 8 and 10 13-16), although general	early as ung The more specific the targeted population, the more evaluations tended	programs should be built on empirical research findings, not intuition and common sense; and
prevention may not be effort or a good use of resource they target many people a tiny proportion of those at risk of joining a gang.	es, since unexpectedly shown to be ineffective due but only to implementation fidelity issues, which	that evaluations should be planned from the inception of a program and used to readjust strategies as necessary." (p. 6)
Identified risk factors for g membership include: imp of delinquent friends; non delinquent problem beha series of negative life eve favourable attitudes abou breaking the law; lack of	ortance are developed by logically extrapolating from empirical observations and not from anecdotal theorizing or 'common sense'" (p. 37) (p. 37)	
supervision / monitoring; commitment to negative		

# Appendix C: Data extraction tables for primary studies

Preventing child sexual abuse and exploitation (pp. 190-201)

Preventing intimate partner violence (IPV) (pp. 202-223)

Preventing gang involvement and gang violence (pp. 224-227)

# Preventing child sexual abuse and exploitation

# Rheingold et al. (2007)

Author (Year)	Intervention aim	Intervention target and setting	Intervention content (including frequency and duration)	Intervention delivery
Rheingold et al. (2007)	Reduce the prevalence of childhood sexual abuse (CSA) through mass media.	Parents with children under age 18. Setting – research centres in shopping malls.	Developed by a non-profit national organisation called 'Darkness to Light' [DTL]. Video public announcements – 2 30 to 60 second public service announcements (PSA) aimed at raising awareness of the issue of CSA (for example prevalence, consequences). Educational pamphlet – 10 minutes – containing information about the prevalence and consequences of CSA, skills to recognise abuse and skills to decrease risk of CSA and also skills in how to respond with CSA is suspected.	Via video and pamphlet. Instruction from trained interviewer ("recruited for their ability to interact courteously with the public and to follow standard procedures" p. 355).

Study addresses a clearly focused issue	Assignment randomised	Groups similar at start of study	Participants properly accounted for at conclusion	Groups treated equally apart from experimental intervention	Coders blind to treatment	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?
Yes – impact of media campaigns on preventing and reducing CSA.	Yes – 200 parents were randomised to the video group, the pamphlet group, the video and pamphlet control, or the no media control group. No further breakdown of the conditions was provided.	Baseline sample characteristics not described for equivalence. As there was no pretest, establishing equivalence on outcome measures is not possible.	Yes – participants lost were either unresponsive to telephone and mail outreach or were not accessible at time of follow- up. 37% of baseline participants took part in the follow-up. There was no evidence of differential attrition.	Yes – all participants were compensated for their time and completed identical assessment measures.	No. The interviewers were responsible for administering the media materials.	p-values and F- statistic.	Yes – across the US.	Not assessed.

Results			
Outcomes measured	Effect of intervention (including size of effect)	Author conclusions	Author research recommendations
CSA knowledge, CSA attitudes, CSA primary prevention response behaviours (assessed using both hypothetical vignettes and self- reported actual behaviour (for example calling a hotline number))	The combined intervention had significantly greater knowledge than no intervention at posttest, but knowledge declined over time and the difference between groups was not significant at follow-up. The 2 individual intervention groups had no significant effect compared to no intervention. There were no significant differences between any groups for attitude. In terms of behaviour measures, the booklet group performed significantly better than the PSA and control groups in terms of preventive strategies in response to hypothetical vignettes, although effects were small. No differences were found for the other 4 dimensions of prevention behaviours. In more detail: Knowledge	"Overall, findings indicate that that the [] media campaign had significant impact on short-term knowledge, no significant impact on attitudes, and significant impact on primary prevention responses to hypothetical vignettes. No differences were noted across groups related to actual behavioral responses at follow-up. Specifically, exposure to [] PSA-plus-booklet campaign positively affected knowledge as compared to no campaign exposure." (p. 360) "It appears the PSAs alone were not as influential as the booklet or the booklet combined with the PSAs." (p. 360)	"[F]uture research should continue to examine actual behaviors in adults for taking protective measures to prevent CSA." (p. 361) "[A] large-scale longitudinal study with a sufficient sample to address the relatively low base rate of CSA would be necessary to directly assess a decrease in incidence of CSA." (p. 361)
	"Results indicated that the intervention groups did differ in their post-intervention <i>knowledge</i> , $F(3, 199) = 3.01$ , $p < .05$ . Post hoc analyses examining the mean difference among the different	"This is consistent with past research demonstrating that public health media campaigns	"Future studies examining this intervention should
	intervention conditions revealed that those in the combined (PSA + pamphlet) condition had	are effective tools for increasing knowledge about health-related	expand on the current measures

	icantly higher knowledge scores than those in	information" (p. 360)	utilized to have
	o intervention condition. Effect size []	<i>"_</i>	more thorough
	77. Individual interventions alone were not	"Providing additional community-	assessment of
3	icantly different from the combined or no-	based multifaceted trainings to	knowledge and
	ention condition. [] Results revealed a	complement this media campaign	reported behaviors."
signifi	icant effect of time, $F(1, 70) = 10.71$ , $p < .01$ ,	may have a greater impact on	(p. 361)
sugge	esting that participants' knowledge of CSA	attitudes and beliefs." (p. 360)	
decre	ased over time. Furthermore, the observed		"Future studies
differe	ences at posttest were no longer significant at	"[C]ommunity-based efforts (i.e.,	should look at
the fo	llow-up time, $F(3, 70) = 1.20$ , $p = .32$ . Loss of	media campaign plus information	demographic
power	r may have accounted for this result." (p.	provided in community-based	factors that may
358)		setting), such as seen in the	interact with CSA
		obesity and HIV prevention	prevention
Attitud	des	literature, would be an appropriate	outcomes. Further
"The r	results were non-significant, <i>F</i> (9, 199) = .46 ,	next step to improving media	research examining
<i>p</i> = .9	0, indicating that there were no differences	campaigns aimed to prevent	cultural differences
amon	g intervention conditions in their post-	CSA." (p. 361)	that are relevant to
interv	ention CSA Myth scores. [] results were	~~~ <i>`</i>	the development of
	gnificant, for the main effect of time, F(9, 70)	"Overall, media materials [] are	CSA prevention
	p = .50, and for the interaction term, $F(9, 70)$	relatively inexpensive and have	programs is also
	<i>p</i> = .71." (p. 358)	great potential to reach a vast	warranted. Learning
		array of the public than many	more about
Behav	viour	other primary prevention	differences among
(i) Re	garding hypothetical behavioural responses:	modalities. Findings from the	ethnic minority
	ults indicated that the intervention groups had	current study, although minimal,	populations
	icant differences in their mean number of	indicate some support that a CSA	regarding their
5	ted primary prevention behaviors, $F(3) = 3.01$ ,	prevention media campaign may	reactions and
	5. Trends were noted for secondary	affect awareness and potentially	responses to
	ention, $F(3) = 2.12$ , $p = .10$ , and unhelpful,	primary prevention behaviors.	prevention
	= 2.56, $p = .06$ . No significant differences	Even a small impact across a	strategies can help

were found among recognition of red flags, $F(3) = 1.32$ , $p = .27$ ; information gathering, $F(3) = .25$ , $p = .87$ ; or vague/other responses, $F(3) = 1.07$ , $p = .36$ . Post hoc analyses examining the mean differences among the different intervention conditions revealed that those in the booklet condition had a significantly greater mean number of primary prevention responses than the PSA and no intervention conditions (mean differences of .81, $p < .01$ and .60, $p < .05$ , respectively). ES for these differences were .35 and .26, respectively. The combined conditions .[] The observed differences at posttest were no longer significant at follow-up, $F(3) = .57$ , $p = .64$ , nor was the main effect of time, $F(1) = 2.40$ , $p = .13$ ." (p. 359) (ii) Regarding actual behaviour: There were no statistically significant differences between groups at one-month follow-up for reported engagement in actual prevention behaviours.	wide audience could have broad public health implications. An effectiveness study allowing for a full media blitz in a community would assist in further determining the overall potential impact of the DTL [Darkness to Light] media campaign. However, the current study also indicates that a media campaign alone may not be sufficient in preventing CSA. Examining interventions that complement media campaign approaches would benefit the CSA prevention field." (p. 361)	refine our approaches to be more culturally sensitive or relevant." (p. 361) "An effectiveness study allowing for a full media blitz in a community would assist in further determining the overall potential impact of the DTL [Darkness to Light] media campaign." (p. 361)
---	---	--

## Rheingold et al. (2015)

Author (Year)	Intervention aim	Intervention target and setting	Intervention content (including frequency and duration)	Intervention delivery
Rheingold et al. (2015)	"[T]o train adults in preventing (primary prevention), recognizing, and responding to CSA [childhood sexual abuse] (secondary prevention)." (p. 375)	Childcare professionals (regardless of their level of training) from youth services organisations such as day- care centres, churches and schools. Setting – child advocacy centre or web-based.	"Stewards of Children (Stewards) [is a] a 2 1/2-h workshop to train adults in preventing (primary prevention), recognizing, and responding to CSA (secondary prevention). Stewards exists in 2 formats: (1) in-person with a facilitator presenting the curriculum and leading discussions and (2) an interactive web-based training." (p. 375) The intervention was developed by Darkness to Light (D2L) – "a national non-profit organization focused on educational CSA prevention programs aimed at adults" (p. 375). In-person Stewards – one-off 2½ hour group training. "Participants received workbooks containing the full program curriculum based upon "The 7 Steps to Protecting our Children," D2L's core, educational tool for CSA prevention. Topics addressed include the following: (1) education about CSA prevalence rates, risks, and outcomes; (2) ways of minimizing opportunities for CSA to occur; (3) talking about CSA with adults and children; (4) recognizing signs of CSA; (5) appropriate responses when a child discloses CSA; (6) problem-solving barriers to preventive actions on an individual level and organizational	A "seasoned facilitator" delivered the in- person training. No further detail was provided on the type of persons who were facilitators. Web-based participants contacted the site-coordinator once they had completed their training.

level; and (7) involving the community in CSA reduction. The facilitator uses a 1 1/4-h DVD, which integrates segments of CSA survivors relating their stories of abuse and recovery with segments from experts in the field. The facilitator stops the video at 3 points to lead discussions." (p. 377)
Web-based Stewards – Over a 2-week period. "The web- based training includes video and is comparable in content and length to the in-person training. " (p. 377)

Study addresses a clearly focused issue	Assignment randomised	Groups similar at start of study	Participants properly accounted for at conclusion	Groups treated equally apart from experimental intervention	Coders blind to treatment	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?
Yes	Yes – 352 participants were randomised: 116 to waitlist control (1 dropped out for not meeting	Yes. "No significant demo- graphic differences were noted between conditions, providing evidence	Even though stated as ITT, 3 participants in the control group were excluded from the 3-month follow-up as they were exposed to study intervention and were thus not included in the analysis.	Yes –3-arm trial: waitlist vs. in-person training vs. web-based training.	Not reported.	p values, t-statistic, beta values, and standard error.	Yes – study took place in mainland US.	Not measured.

 study	that		-		1 1	
criteria); 116		Otherwise, quite a lot				
to web-	tion was	of information				
based	effective."	provided:				
training (1	(p. 376)					
excluded for	(p. 570)	"Eight participants				
not meeting	Baseline	were excluded from				
study	equiva-	behavior analyses				
	lence on	5				
criteria); and 117 to in-		only as they indicated	ļ			
	knowledge	that, due to a change				
person	and	in job status, they had				
training (2	attitude is	no opportunity to				
excluded for	not	engage in assessed				
not meeting	reported	behaviors." (p. 379).				
study	as these					
criteria).	outcomes	"[C]ompleters of the	ļ			
	were not	3-month follow-up				
Block	measured	assessment did not				
design was	at	significantly differ				
used.	baseline.	from those lost to				
		follow-up with respect				
Assessment	There was	to gender, race, and				
s were	no	education. However,				
conducted	difference	those lost to the 3-				
at baseline,	at baseline	month follow-up				
post-	between	were, on average,				
intervention	the	younger" (p. 379)				
and follow-	interventio					
up (planned	n groups	Control: 2 dropped				
for 3 months	(considere	out due to scheduling				

after	d together)	difficulties, 17 lost to			
intervention,	and control	3-month assessment			
but	group on	as they could not be			
conducted	the	reached, 3 excluded			
on average	number of	from 3-month			
4.5 months	self-	assessment due to			
after	reported	exposure to			
interven-	preventive	intervention.			
tion).	behav-				
Behaviours	iours.	Web-based training:			
were		19 dropped out prior			
measured at		to 3-month			
baseline		assessment due to			
and follow-		scheduling difficulties.			
up, while		9 lost to 3-month			
knowledge		assessment, as they			
and attitude		could not be reached.			
were					
measured		In-person training: 21			
post-		dropped out prior to			
intervention		pre-intervention			
and at		assessment and did			
follow-up to		not attend in-person			
avoid		condition – 20 could			
priming		not be reached and 1			
during		death in family. 10			
training.		were lost to 3-month			
		assessment as they			
		could not be reached.			

Results			
Outcomes measured	Effect of intervention (including size of effect)	Author conclusions	Author research recommendations
CSA knowledge, CSA attitudes, and CSA prevention behaviours.	The analysis combined participants in both the in- person and web-based training groups in one intervention condition. Positive effects were observed for CSA knowledge, attitudes and prevention behaviours. There were no differences between the 2 intervention groups based on whether the intervention was delivered in person or via the internet. CSA knowledge "[At post-intervention] [k]nowledge was significantly lower for the waitlist condition, $\beta$ = -1.11, SE = 0.14, t (301) = -7.68, p < 0.001. Between post- intervention and the 3-month follow-up, knowledge increased more for the waitlist condition than the Stewards condition, $\beta$ = 0.54, SE = 0.17, t (558) = 3.18, p = 0.002. However, at the 3-	"This multi-site randomized controlled trial indicated that Stewards improved knowledge, CSA attitudes, and preventive behaviors among childcare professionals. Results are encouraging, given that this very brief training produced at least short term (3 to 4 months) changes in both CSA knowledge and preventive behaviors." (p. 382) "Interestingly, knowledge decreased slightly for the intervention groups and increased slightly for the waitlist group between the training and the 3-month follow-up. Decreases for the intervention groups could be due to loss of knowledge over time, indicating that this brief intervention may not be substantial enough to produce long-term knowledge gains. Thus, future work aimed at magnifying the potency of the intervention may be warranted." (p. 382) "Stewards show significant differences in attitudes about CSA from the waitlist group; however, in looking at overall mean scores, these differences may not be clinically meaningful." (p. 382) "Study findings are consistent with this past research. Participants who received Stewards endorsed more frequent preventive behaviors. Minimal differences were found between in-person and web-based delivery modes, suggesting that these modes of training were equally	"Future work should focus on strategies for increasing effectiveness of this promising program." (p. 384)

month follow-up, the overall	effective." (p. 382)	
level of knowledge remained higher for the Stewards condition, $\chi^2(1)=11.67$ ,	"[S]tudy results indicate that a brief training for childcare professionals may impact CSA prevention knowledge and	
p<0.001." (p. 380)	behavior, albeit the practical implications is still unclear. Although these findings are statistically significant, it is	
CSA attitudes For the full model, at post-	premature to suggest clinically relevant shifts to the prevention of incidence or prevalence. Successful CSA prevention has major public health benefits, and investing in	
intervention, the myths score was significantly higher in the waitlist condition, $\beta = 1.75$ , SE =	the development and implementation of evidence-based strategies is a high priority [] Child-focused CSA prevention	
0.79, t $(301) = 2.22$ , p = 0.027. Between post-intervention and	alone is not likely to protect children fully from CSA, as we cannot prepare children for the diversity of approaches the	
the 3-month follow-up, the change in myths did not differ for the 2 conditions, $\beta = -0.13$ ,	potential offenders may utilize []." (p. 383) "Adult-focused programs are likely to have added benefit	
SE = $0.79$ , t (560) = $-0.17$ , p = $0.867$ . However, at the 3-month follow-up, the overall myth score	when used in conjunction with evidence-based child-focused programs. In addition, positive findings for web-based CSA educational approaches should encourage the use of	
was higher for the waitlist condition, $\chi^2(1) = 3.85$ , p = 0.047.	technology in the development of programs. Web-based programs are of particular interest as they can be delivered widely, efficiently, and at low cost, making them accessible to agencies with limited resources. Thus, web-based	
CSA prevention behaviours "For the full model, at post-	approaches may assist in overcoming barriers to participation and minimizing health disparities.	
intervention (which is the baseline assessment for behavior measure), the number of behaviors did not differ for the 2 conditions, $\beta$ =	"In summary, CSA prevention programs that target adults are needed [], as they can be used to supplement benefits gained from child-focused programs. This study is one of few that examines the impact of a CSA risk reduction program in a	

p=0.296. However, between post-intervention and the 3- month follow-up, the change in the number of Behaviors increased significantly more for the Stewards condition than the waitlist condition, $\beta = -1.30$ , SE = 0.48, t (545) = -2.72, p = 0.007." (p. 380)	large well-controlled multi-site trial. Overall, findings indicate that a brief workshop for childcare professionals produces moderate increases in awareness and CSA preventive behaviors. Future work should focus on strategies for increasing effectiveness of this promising program." (p. 384) "[I]t may be prudent to continue to focus the training of childcare professionals on behaviorally specific risk reduction techniques for both primary (e.g., making all contacts potentially observable) and secondary (e.g., practicing mandated reporting scenarios) prevention efforts and providing more instruction on how to talk to children about body safety and CSA." (p. 383)	
---	--	--

# Preventing intimate partner violence (IPV)

## Foshee et al. (2015)

#### Intervention

Author	Intervention aim	Intervention target and	Intervention content (including frequency and	Intervention
(Year)		setting	duration)	delivery
Foshee et al. (2015)	Prevent dating abuse among adolescents exposed to domestic violence.	Mothers who had previously but no longer experienced an abusive relationship and their adolescent (12 to 16 years old) who had been exposed to domestic violence.	Six booklets – first for mothers only then 5 further booklets for mother and adolescent. Booklets are made up of dating abuse prevention information and interactive activities for the mothers to complete with their adolescent. Booklets are posted to families once every 2 weeks.	Self- administered using booklets posted to families.
		Setting – flexible; a booklet is mailed to participants.	Programme is called Moms and Teens for Safe Dates (MTSD) and adapted from Families for Safe Dates.	

Study addresses a clearly focused issue	Assignment randomised	Groups similar at start of study	Participants properly accounted for at conclusion	Groups treated equally apart from experimental intervention	Coders blind to treatment	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?
Yes –	Yes. No	Yes: "There	"Of the 409	It is unclear	Not	p-values,	Yes –	Not
prevention	detail of	were no	recruited families,	whether	reported.	b-values	across	assessed.
of dating	numbers	significant	305 mothers (75%)	control			several	

abuse.	randomised	differences at	and 295	participants		states in	
	to each	baseline	adolescents (72%)	were equally		the US.	
	condition,	between the	completed the 6-	compensated			
	but 409	groups on any	month follow-up	for their			
	families	of the	interview." (p. 999)	participation.			
	participated.	demographic,					
		moderating, or	"There were no				
	Assessment	5	treatment group				
	was	behavior	differences in the				
	conducted	outcomes." (p.	amount of attrition				
	at baseline,	1002).	between baseline				
	post-		and the follow-up"				
	intervention		(p. 999) and "those				
	and 6		who had been				
	months		victims of cyber				
	after		dating abuse [at				
	intervention		baseline] were				
	completion.		more likely to drop out by follow-up				
			than those who				
			had not been				
			victimized by cyber				
			dating abuse (b				
			= .05; p = .02)"				
			(Pg. 999). No other				
			evidence of				
			differential attrition.				

Outcomes measured	Effect of intervention (including size of effect)	Author conclusions	Author research
			recommendations
Programme effects	The analyses first tested whether effects on dating	"In this first randomized	"[I]t is still possible
were assessed on 8	abuse varied by pre-specified moderators (mother's	controlled trial of a dating	that the significant
dating abuse	psychological health, amount of exposure to domestic	abuse prevention	interactions were due
behaviours: the	violence, and adolescent gender and ethnicity). Main	program for adolescents	to chance alone and
perpetration of and	effects were examined if there were no differential	exposed to domestic	it will be important to
victimisation from	effects.	violence, we found	determine if these
psychological, cyber,		favorable effects of the	inter- actions can be
physical and sexual	On victimisation from dating abuse:	MTSD program in	replicated in future
dating abuse.	"the MTSD program had significant effects on	preventing the	evaluations of the
	victimization from psychological dating abuse [] for	perpetration of and	MTSD program." (p.
Measures were taken	adolescents who had high exposure to domestic	victimization from multiple	1008)
6 months post	violence [] (b = -0.8311, p = .0158), but not for	types of dating abuse	<i></i>
intervention	adolescents with average [] (b = $-0.2727$ , p = $.1891$ )	among adolescents with	"[F]uture evaluations
completion.	or low exposure to domestic violence $[]$ (b = 0.2857,	higher but not lower	of the MTSD program
	p = .3881). The Cohen's d for those who had high	exposure to domestic	are needed to
	exposure to domestic violence was 0.17." (p. 1003)	violence." (p. 1008)	determine whether
	"The MTOD pressure had significant effects on	"Cirreificent offects of the	the program effects,
	"The MTSD program had significant effects on	"Significant effects of the	and particularly the
	victimization of physical dating abuse [] for	MTSD program were	differential program
	adolescents who had high exposure to domestic	found, but the effects	effects, can be
	violence (b = $-0.4066$ , p = $.0512$ ), but not for	varied by the dating abuse outcome	replicated." (p. 1008)
	adolescents with average (b = $-0.0145$ , p = $.9085$ ) or	considered and the	
	low exposure to domestic violence ( $b = 0.3776$ , p = .0608). The Cohen's d for those who had high	amount of exposure the	
	exposure to domestic violence was 0.14." (p. 1003)	adolescent had had to	
	exposure to domestic violence was 0.14. (p. 1003)	domestic violence." (p.	
	There were no programme effects on victimization	1005)	
		1000/	

from cyber or sexual dating abuse.	
	"The program appeared
On the perpetration of dating abuse	to be more effective for
"The MTSD program had significant effects on the	adolescents at the
perpetration of psychological dating abuse [] for	greatest risk as defined
adolescents who had high exposure to domestic	by greater exposure to
violence (b = $-0.9394$ , p = $.0006$ ), but not for	domestic violence." (p.
adolescents who had average ( $b = -0.2248$ , p	1005)
= .1719) or low exposure to domestic violence (b =	, ,
0.4899, p = .0614). The Cohen's d for this outcome	"Although significant
was 0.24 for adolescents who had high exposure."	program effects were
(pp. 1003-1004)	present for those with
	higher exposure to
"[B]eing exposed to the program buffered the	domestic violence, the
negative effects of exposure to domestic violence of	,
dating abuse: the amount of exposure to domestic	effects is likely small." (p.
violence was predictive of the perpetration of	1006)
psychological dating abuse in the control group (b	1000)
= .38, p = .0002) but not in the treatment group (b =	- "There were no program
(0.0002) but not in the treatment group (b = $.0934$ , p = $.3425$ )." (p. 1004)	effects on the sexual
(934, p = .3423). (p. 1004)	
"The MTCD program had eignificant program offect	dating abuse outcomes."
"The MTSD program had significant program effects	s (p. 1006)
on the perpetration of cyber dating abuse [] for	"Thorowers no
adolescents who had high exposure to domestic	"There were no
violence (b = $-0.3879$ , p = $.0336$ ), but not for	significant iatrogenic
adolescents who had average (b = $-0.0945$ , p	effects of the MTSD
= .3912) or low exposure to domestic violence (b =	program." (p. 1006)
0.1989, $p = .2575$ ). The Cohen's d associated with	
program effects for adolescents with high exposure	
domestic violence was 0.15. There were no program	n that a dating abuse

effects on the perpetration of physical or sexual dating abuse." (p. 1005)	prevention program designed for adolescents exposed to domestic	
There were no moderated or main effects on the perpetration of sexual or physical dating abuse.	violence can have important positive effects." (p. 995)	

## Miller et al. (2013)

Author (Year)	Intervention aim	Intervention target and setting	Intervention content (including frequency and duration)	Intervention delivery
Miller et al. (2013)	Reduce dating violence.	Male athletes in Grades 9 to 11 (aged 14-18) participating in athletics in 16 high schools Setting – high schools across California.	Coaching Boys Into Men (CBIM): "The intervention consisted of training athletic coaches to integrate violence prevention messages into coaching activities through brief, weekly, scripted discussions with athletes." (p. 108) A series of training cards guide coaches through weekly 15-minute discussions throughout the sports season [12 weeks]. Lessons highlight respect, nonviolence, and interrupting abusive behaviours among peers.	High school athletic coaches.

Critical appra	usal
----------------	------

Study addresses a clearly focused issue	Assignment randomised	Groups similar at start of study	Participants properly accounted for at conclusion	Groups treated equally apart from experi- mental inter- vention	Coders blind to treatment	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?
Yes – reduce dating violence prevalence through increasing "the likelihood that youth will intervene when they see peers engaging in disrespectful and abusive behaviors." (p. 108)	Yes – cluster randomisa- tion. 8 high schools randomly assigned to each condition (750 participants in the intervention and 763 in control).	No – at baseline the control group had signifi- cantly lower intention to intervene scores, and signifi- cantly higher gender attitudes scores and negative bystander behaviours scores.	Yes – 28% attrition in intervention and 14% in control. Some evidence of differential attrition: dropouts more likely to be Hispanic, less likely to be White, more likely to have less-equitable gender attitudes at baseline, reported greater abuse perpetration at baseline and were less likely to recognise abusive behaviours at baseline. Participants lost to follow up at end of season due to being	Yes – both groups were athletic sports teams.	Not stated, although measures were self- report.	Effect size and indication of p< 0.05	Yes – high schools in the US, although authors caution that "As a cluster RCT located in urban public schools in California, findings may not generalize to other settings" (p. 111).	Not assessed

Control athletes were more likely to be white and have a parent with higher education compared to inter- vention athletes.	response (intervention n= 131, control n=37). Further loss to follow- up at 12-month due to the same reasons	
--	--	--

Outcomes measured	Effect of intervention (including size of effect)	Author conclusions	Author research
			recommendations
Primary: "intentions	"No intervention effects were found for intentions to	"Twelve-month follow-up	None stated.
to intervene,	intervene, gender-equitable attitudes, recognition of	from this cluster RCT	
recognition of	abuse, or positive bystander behaviors" (p. 110).	demonstrated not only	
abusive behaviors,		reductions in negative	
and gender-equitable	Negative bystander behaviours	bystander intervention	
attitudes." (p. 108)	"[B]oth intervention and control athletes' adjusted mean	behaviors (fewer	
	scores decreased over time, but the mean change was	intervention athletes	

O a a a mala ma		a second second second second second
Secondary:	greater for intervention athletes, with an estimated	supporting peers' abusive
bystander	intervention effect (adjusted mean intervention vs control	behaviors) but also less
behaviours and	difference in change over time) of -0.41 (95% CI= -0.72, -	abuse perpetration. These
abuse perpetration.	0.10)." (p. 110).	findings suggest the
	(p. 110).	possibility that this program,
	"TTC at a second to be at a sheat of a line line to the second	
Follow-up data	"Effects on negative bystander behaviors did not change	which requires few
collected 12 months	with greater intervention intensity (-0.41, 95% CI= -0.81, -	resources, utilizing coaches
after baseline data	0.02). Such effects increased slightly for abuse	as key influencers, may
collection.	perpetration (-0.21, 95% CI= -0.35, -0.07)." (p. 111)	buffer against the initiation
		of dating violence
	Abuse perpetration	ě
	Abuse perpetration	perpetration during a critical
	"Among intervention athletes, 16.5% reported any past-3-	developmental period for
	month abuse perpetration (physical, sexual, or emotional)	youth." (p. 111)
	toward a female partner at baseline compared to 14.7%	
	at 12-month follow-up; in contrast, 14.3% of control	"CBIM is not intended as a
	athletes reported any past-3-month perpetration at	comprehensive violence
	baseline, which increased to 19.5% at 12 months.	prevention program and
		should be viewed as one
	Relative to controls, intervention athletes demonstrated	promising strategy to
	less overall past-3-month abuse perpetration at 12	encourage conversations
	months, an estimated intervention effect of -0.15 (95%	about masculinity and
	CI = -0.27, -0.03)." (p. 110)	violence prevention. " (p.
	0 = -0.27, -0.03. (p. 110)	
		112)

## Miller et al. (2015)

(Year)				
		setting	duration)	delivery
(2015) ef br re ab ar in so ce ac ac ac ac ac ac ac ac ac ac ac ac ac	To test the effectiveness of a prief universal elationship abuse education and counselling intervention in achool health eentres to address adolescent elationship abuse (ARA: ohysical, sexual, or psychological abuse in the context of a past or present omantic elationship)	Young people aged 14- 19 years attending School Health Centres (SHCs) for any reason. "SHCs offer the opportunity to reach adolescents experiencing ARA (targeted intervention), identify adolescents at risk for ARA (early intervention), and offer universal education about ARA (primary prevention)." (p. 77)	duration) "The School Health Center Healthy Adolescent Relationships Program (SHARP) is a provider- delivered intervention implemented within routine SHC visits. The intervention is universal, inclusive of all genders, sexual orientation, and clinic visit types, addressing a range of abusive behaviors, including cyber dating abuse (the use of social media to abuse a partner)." (p. 77) "Provider discussion of healthy and unhealthy relationships is integrated into each clinical encounter with the provision of the palm-size brochure to every patient regardless of reason for visit. Even in the absence of disclosure, patients are encouraged to take extra brochures for friends. SHC providers reported the time required to review the brochure with a student was typically less than a minute but could lead to longer discussions when ARA was disclosed. In addition to the provider-delivered intervention, each of the intervention SHCs involved their youth advisory boards to organize school-wide	delivery Clinicians and staff at intervention SHCs. They received a 3-hour training on the SHARP intervention about ARA impact on health and how to introduce the brochure, conduct ARA assessment and make a warm referral to a victim service advocate (connecting a patient to an advocate via telephone or in person).

Study addresses a clearly focused issue	Assignment randomised	Groups similar at start of study	Participants properly accounted for at conclusion	Groups treated equally apart from experimental intervention	Coders blind to treatment	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?
Yes	11 SHCs (10 clinic clusters) were evenly randomised into intervention and control arms using computer- generated randomisation. After randomisation, 3 schools withdrew, leaving 8 SHCs (7 clusters: 4 intervention, 3 control).	"Control participants had lower baseline scores on recognition of abusive behaviors and were more likely to report recent physical or sexual abuse at baseline (16% vs 10%, P = .01) compared with intervention participants. Both arms were similar at baseline	Yes: 90% retention at follow-up in intervention arm, and 95% retention in control arm. "Participants who did not complete the follow-up survey tended to be younger compared with those who completed (p = .09). Non- completers were more likely to report recent ARA at baseline (63% vs 51%; P	Yes.	Survey data were collected via computer with questions read through headphones.	Effect size, adjusted mean difference (AMD) and p value.	Potentially. Study took place in Northern California, US.	Not assessed.

on recognition of sexual coercion, and knowledge and use of ARA-related resources "	significantly between intervention (10%) and control (5%; P			
resources." (p. 80)	= .12)." (p. 80)			

Outcomes measured	Effect of intervention (including size of effect)	Author conclusions	Author research recommendations
3 primary outcomes for full sample: 1. Recognition of abusive behaviours 2. Intentions to intervene 3. Knowledge of adolescent relationship abuse (ARA) resources	Overall effects "No differences between intervention and control emerged in intentions to intervene, knowledge of and recent use of ARA-related resources, or self- efficacy to use harm reduction strategies. Compared with controls, at follow-up, intervention participants demonstrated greater increases in recognition of sexual coercion (AMD = 0.10 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.01 to 0.18]." (p. 81).	"Findings suggest the potential utility of a brief SHC provider-delivered intervention, which discusses healthy relationships, integrating education, and connection to resources as part of routine care. Changes in prespecified outcomes of	"Findings from 8 SHCs in 1 region also cannot be generalized to all US high schools. A larger cluster RCT with a greater number of clusters, more geographically diverse clinics, and
<ol> <li>secondary outcome</li> <li>for full sample:</li> <li>Self-efficacy to use</li> <li>harm reduction</li> <li>behaviours</li> </ol>	Effects by baseline ARA "Among those reporting recent ARA at baseline, intervention participants demonstrated an increase in recognition of ARA (AMD = 0.14 [0.01 to 0.27]) and knowledge of ARA resources (AMD = 0.26	ARA knowledge and attitudes for the entire sample were not significant. Exposure to the SHARP intervention was associated	longer-term follow-up with assessment of health outcomes is needed." (p. 83).

	[0.09 to 0.43]) compared with controls." (p. 81)	with improvements in	"Although youth did
Additional outcomes for		recognition of sexual	report increases in
patients reporting	"Fewer intervention participants experiencing ARA	coercion, and among youth	knowledge and use of
recent ARA at baseline:	at baseline reported ARA at follow-up compared	recently experiencing ARA,	resources in the
5. Disclosure of ARA	with controls (65% vs 80%; MRD =17 [21 to -	improvements in recognition	intervention arm, no
during the clinic visit	.12]), including cyber dating abuse (62% vs 76%;	of ARA and knowledge of	changes in intentions
6. Recent (past 3	MRD = -0.15 [-0.22 to -0.09]) and physical or	ARA resources. Disclosure	to intervene were
months) ARA at follow-	sexual abuse (16% vs 24%, MRD = -0.07 [-0.12 to	to SHC providers about	observed. Given the
up	-0.01]." (p. 81)	unhealthy relationships was	effectiveness of
7. Use of harm		greater among participants	bystander
reduction behaviours	"Among participants not experiencing ARA at	in the intervention clinics.	approaches in
and use of ARA	baseline, the intervention was associated with less	Although the intervention did	preventing
resources	likelihood of recent physical or sexual abuse at	not have significant effects	interpersonal
	follow-up (7.3% vs 7.4%; MRD = -0.02 [-0.04 to -	on use of harm reduction	violence, further
	0.001])." (p. 81)	strategies, relative	studies are needed to
	Post has intervention intensity adjusted analyzes <sup>4</sup>	reductions in overall ARA,	identify how to
	Post-hoc intervention intensity-adjusted analyses <sup>4</sup>	as well as cyber dating	encourage positive
	"Intensity-adjusted intervention effects were	abuse and physical/sexual	helping behaviors
	associated with increased knowledge (AMD = $0.25$	violence victimization are	among high school
	[0.11 to 0.39]) of ARA resources and increased self-efficacy to use harm reduction strategies (AMD	promising [] Brief interventions such as	students." (p. 83)
	= 0.33 [0.06  to  0.60]) among intervention	SHARP embedded in	
	participants compared with controls. For ARA	clinical settings are a	
	disclosure during the clinic visit, the intervention	promising strategy for	
	intensity adjusted odds ratio for the intervention	prevention and intervention,	
	was 9.30 (2.44 to 35.51)." (p. 81).	yet uptake by providers	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "In posthoc analyses, intervention participants were assigned a "score" for intervention intensity, with 0.5 assigned if the participant reported no discussion with provider and no receipt of brochure, 0.75 for either provider discussion or brochure, and 1.0 if the participant received both." (p. 80).

remains an anticipated challenge." (p. 81). "Given multiple pressures on providers and time limitations, scaling up provider-based interventions such as SHARP will require attention to system-level changes. Practice-based supports such as electronic health record prompts and involvement of nonclinical staff may facilitate intervention implementation. Additionally, testing the
health record prompts and
staff may facilitate
messaging, computerized interventions, and related
strategies is needed to enhance clinic-based
assessment and counseling for ARA." (p. 82)

## Peskin et al. (2014)

Author (Year)	Intervention aim	Intervention target and setting	Intervention content (including frequency and duration)	Intervention delivery
Peskin et al. (2014)	To reduce dating violence behaviour among ethnic-minority middle school young people (a population at high risk for dating violence).	10 middle schools in a large, urban school district in southeast Texas. "In all schools, more than 90% of the student body was eligible for free or reduced lunch, an indicator of economic disadvantage." (p. 1472)	It's Your GameKeep It Real (IYG) includes both classroom and computer-based activities in a 24-lesson curriculum (12 lessons in seventh grade [age 12 to 13], 12 lessons in eighth grade [age 13 to 14]). Computer-based activities are set within a virtual world environment and include interactive skills-training exercises, peer role model videos, quizzes, animations, fact sheets, and "real world" style adolescent serials. In addition to group-based classroom activities, the curriculum includes 6 parent-child homework activities and individualised journaling activities at each grade level to help students personalise information. (p. 1473)	Trained facilitators implemented all lessons using a detailed teaching manual.

## Critical appraisal

Critical appraisal								
Study addresses a clearly focused issue	Assignment randomised	Groups similar at start of study	Participants properly accounted for at conclusion	Groups treated equally apart from experimental intervention	Coders blind to treatment	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?
Yes	Randomised at the school level to intervention (n=5) or control (n=5)	Broadly. "With the exception of race /ethnicity and age, there were no significant differences in baseline demographic characteristics and dating violence behaviors between the 2 groups." (p. 1473)	With the exception of family structure and age, there were no significant differences in baseline demographic characteristics or dating violence behaviour between those students lost to follow-up and those who completed the 9 <sup>th</sup> -grade survey.	Intervention schools received It's Your Game [intervention], control schools received usual health education (taught from the state- approved health textbook).	All data were collected using 30 to 45-minute audio computer- assisted self- interviews on laptop computers. Students were provided with head- phones to ensure their privacy. (p. 1473)	AOR (adjusted odds ratio) and 95% CI	Potentially. Study took place in a large urban district in Texas with predominant ly ethnic minority students.	Not assessed.

Attrition in the study cohort was non- differential between the conditions. (p. 1472)	
Only students who were enrolled in their originally randomised school in 8 <sup>th</sup> grade and completed the corresponding survey (i.e. not those who completed the previous baseline survey) were included in analysis. Thus, not completely ITT.	

Results			
Outcomes	Effect of intervention (including size of effect)	Author conclusions	Author research
measured			recommendations
(1) physical dating	Compared with those in the intervention,	"IYG significantly reduced 3 of 4 dating	"Additional study,
violence	control students had significantly higher odds	violence outcomes among ethnic-	however, is
victimisation,	of: physical dating violence victimisation	minority middle school youths.	needed to
(2) physical dating	(adjusted OR [AOR] = 1.52; 95% confidence	Although further study is warranted to	determine if IYG
violence	interval [CI] = 1.20, 1.92); emotional dating	determine if IYG should be widely	should be widely
perpetration,	violence victimisation (AOR = 1.74; 95% CI =	disseminated to prevent dating	disseminated in
(3) emotional	1.36, 2.24); and emotional dating violence	violence, it is one of only a handful of	dating violence
dating violence	perpetration (AOR = $1.58$ ; $95\%$ CI = $1.11$ ,	school-based programs that are	prevention efforts."
perpetration, and	2.26). However, the odds of physical dating	effective in reducing adolescent dating	(p. 1476)
(4) emotional	violence perpetration were not significantly	violence behaviour." (p. 1471)	
dating violence	different between the 2 groups. (p. 1473)		
victimisation.		"As hypothesized, we found that, by	
	Results varied by gender and ethnicity.	ninth grade, students who did not	
Measured in the	Compared to the intervention, girls and boys in	receive IYG had significantly higher	
Autumn term of at	the control group had significantly higher odds	odds of physical dating violence	
9 <sup>th</sup> grade (i.e. the	of: physical dating violence victimisation (AOR	victimization, emotional and physical	
school year after	= 1.39; 95% CI = 1.05, 1.84 and AOR = 1.84;	dating violence victimization, and	
the programme	95% CI = 1.23, 2.74, respectively); and	emotional dating violence perpetration;	
ended).	emotional dating violence victimisation (AOR = 2.03; 95% CI = 1.44, 2.84 and AOR = 1.47;	however, the odds of physical dating	
		violence perpetration did not significantly differ between the 2	
	95% CI = 1.06, 2.04, respectively). However, only boys (not girls) in the control group had	groups. We also found that IYG effects	
	significantly higher odds of emotional dating	varied by gender and race/ethnicity."	
	violence perpetration (AOR = 1.85; 95% CI =	(p. 1474)	
	1.61, 2.13).	(P. (-)-)	
	1.01, 2.10).	"This null finding [for physical violence	
	Among African American students, only	perpetration] suggested that future	
	Among Amean American students, only	perpetration suggested that future	

physical dating violence victimisation was significantly higher odds in the control compared with the intervention (AOR = 1.65; 95% CI = 1.19, 2.28).Among Hispanic students, compared with the intervention students in the control group had higher odds of: emotional victimisation (AOR = 1.78; 95% CI = 1.22, 2.60); and emotional perpetration (AOR = 1.67; 95% CI = 1.00, 2.79).	dating violence programs for ethnic- minority youths should include (1) skills training in effective communication and conflict resolution; (2) skills training for managing emotional responses, such as anger and stress that could be triggers for physical dating violence perpetration and (3) role-modelling activities to help promote equal gender norms within dating relationships." (Pg. 1475)	
---	---	--

## Rowe et al. (2015)

## Intervention

Author (Year)	Intervention aim	Intervention target and setting	Intervention content (including frequency and duration)	Intervention delivery
Rowe et al. (2015)	To reduce male- to female sexual victimisation among adolescent girls by providing them with training and realistic practice with assertive resistance skills.	Sample from an all-girls urban public high school serving a predominantly minority ethnic population in a large Southwestern city. All students in 9 <sup>th</sup> through 12 <sup>th</sup> grades (ages 14 to 18) were eligible. Self- selecting sample represented 38% of all eligible students (mean age 15.63).	My Voice, My Choice [MVMC] is a single 90-minute assertive resistance training programme that emphasises skill practice in an immersive virtual environment (IVE). It is delivered to groups of 2 to 4 students. "The participants experienced the IVE through a virtual reality headset. The virtual environment for all simulations was a virtual bedroom, in which the participant was seated on a couch to the right of a male avatar. In real space, the male actor, who also provided the verbal component of the simulations, was seated to the left of the participant, so that his speech would be consistent with the position of the virtual avatar." (p. 319) Sessions began with approximately 30 minutes of discussion and modelling of assertive resistance skills. Next, the facilitator introduced the practice portion of the session, which lasted approximately 60 minutes. Each participant completed 3 virtual simulations, in which the actor engaged in verbal sexual coercion of increasing levels of severity. After each simulation, the participant received constructive feedback from the female facilitator and fellow group members.	Female facilitators were clinical psychology doctoral students with at least one year of clinical training.

## Critical appraisal

Study addresses a clearly focused issue	Assignment randomised	Groups similar at start of study	Participants properly accounted for at conclusion	Groups treated equally apart from experimental intervention	Coders blind to treatment	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?
Yes.	Yes. 83 students randomised to intervention (n=47) or to a wait-list control (n=36) immediately after completing the baseline assessment using random- numbers table generated prior to initiating the study. (p. 318)	Only difference identified is intervention group had higher prevalence of ever being in a relationship. Otherwise equivalent.	Yes. CONSORT diagram provided. 5 participants from the intervention group did not complete follow- up and were excluded from analysis. No differences between participants who did / did not complete study on any measured demographic or study variable.	No evidence otherwise. Control group received intervention after data collection completed.	Yes: measures were self- report.	Beta value, test statistic or odds ratio and p value.	Yes. Study took place in a large city in the US (predominantly ethnic minority population).	Not assessed.

### Results

Results			
Outcomes measured	Effect of intervention (including size	Author conclusions	Author research recommendations
	of effect)		
Sexual victimisation	MVMC [intervention] participants	"Our findings suggest that	"We believe this line of research
Physical victimisation	were less likely to report sexual	MVMC, which consists of	provides important support for
Psychological	victimisation during follow-up than	a single 90-minute	prevention efforts that target risk-
victimisation (inc.	were participants in the control group	session that teaches	reduction by training girls to use
subscales for threatening	(b = −.77, OR = .47, t(70) = −2.29, p	adolescent girls how to	assertive resistance skills." (p. 323)
behaviour, relational	< .05). 35% of individual differences	assertively resist	<i>"</i>
abuse, and verbal abuse)	in the occurrence of sexual	unwanted sexual	"Important next steps include
Psychological distress	victimisation over the 3-month follow-	advances and gives them	evaluating MVMC with a larger
	up period was explained by	opportunities to practice	sample and over a longer period of
Follow-up assessments	assignment to condition (p. 322).	these skills in an IVE, can	time and examining potential
were completed monthly		reduce risk of sexual	mediators of effects [] We also
for 3 months after	No effect for physical or psychological	victimization for a 3-	hope to explore its efficacy with
baseline.	victimisation or psychological	month period following	other age groups [] as well as
	distress. However, prior victimisation	the intervention." (p. 323)	with males. Boys and men can be
	moderated the association between	" <b>O</b>	victims of sexual violence as well
	the intervention and psychological	"Our results also suggest	as perpetrators, and training and
	distress (b = $55$ , p = $.05$ ): "the	that MVMC can reduce	practice of assertive resistance
	interaction accounted for 10.6% of	risk for psychological	skills in IVEs may help them to
	unexplained between-subjects	victimization and	effectively resist sexual pressure
	variability in psychological distress"	psychological distress,	as well as to increase awareness
	(p. 323). Prior victimisation also	but only among those	of and sensitivity to refusal cues
	moderated the intervention effect on	girls with relatively higher	from others." (p. 325)
	psychological victimisation (b = $19$ ,	levels of prior	
	t(70) = -2.86, p b .01 accounting for	victimization." (p. 324)	
	11.9% of unexplained variability in		
	psychological victimization). (p. 323)		

# Preventing gang involvement and gang violence

## Esbensen et al. (2012, 2013)

#### Intervention

Author (Year)	Intervention aim	Intervention target and setting	Intervention content (including frequency and duration)	Intervention delivery
Esbensen et al. (2012, 2013)	The intervention (GREAT – Gang Resistance Education and Training) aims to "teach youths to avoid gang membership; prevent violence and criminal activity; and assist youths to develop positive relationships with law enforcement." (2012, p. 129)	Target – young people in early adolescence in 6 <sup>th</sup> or 7 <sup>th</sup> grade (ages 11 to 13). Setting – in schools.	<ul> <li>13, 40-minute, once a week lessons taught primarily by uniformed police officers (also sheriff's deputies, federal agents, and District Attorneys).</li> <li>Targets "school commitment, school performance, association with conventional or delinquent peers, susceptibility to peer influence, involvement in conventional activities, empathy, self-control (impulsivity, risk-seeking, self-centeredness, and anger control), perceived guilt, neutralisation techniques (for lying, stealing, and hitting), and moral disengagement" (2013, p. 379). Teaches students about "crime and its effect on victims, cultural diversity, conflict resolution skills, meeting basic needs (without a gang), responsibility, and goal setting" (2013, p. 377).</li> </ul>	Via lessons from uniformed police officers in schools.

## Critical appraisal

Critical appra	Critical appraisal							
Study addresses a clearly focused issue	Assignment randomised	Groups similar at start of study	Participants properly accounted for at conclusion	Groups treated equally apart from experimental intervention	Coders blind to treatment	Precision of results	Applicable to UK settings	Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?
Yes – whether the intervention is effective in: preventing gang membership, violence and criminal behaviour; encouraging positive relationships with law enforcement officials; and addressing potential mediating factors (skills and attitudes).	Yes. 31 schools from 7 cities, with 195 classrooms allocated to intervention (n=102) or control (n=93) groups.	Some differences, which tend to favour the intervention group. Authors state the random assignment process was "moderately successful" (p. 139) and control for pre-test measures in all of the analyses.	Yes. "The retention rates across the 6 waves of data included in the outcome analyses reported in this article were 98.3%, 94.6%, 87.3%, 82.8%, 74.2%, and 71.9%, respectively, for Wave 1 (pretest) through Wave 6 (4 years posttreatment)." (2013, p. 383)	Yes, it would appear so. Teachers and students were compensated regardless of group and this was based on form return. Some districts did not allow teachers to be compensated directly, so this went to the school or district in the teacher's honour.	Not reported.	Programme effect as percentage reduction, p-values, b- values, standard error.	Yes, potentially – in the US.	Not assessed.

## Results

Outcomes measured	Effect of intervention (including size of effect)	Author conclusions	Author research
			recommendations
The programme is	Overview	"Clearly, this program is no "silver	"Future studies
assessed for a	One-year follow-up: "Of the 33 outcome	bullet" but these findings suggest that	might find it
variety of outcomes:	measures included in the analyses, one	G.R.E.A.T. can be effectively included	useful to collect
	behavioral (gang membership) and 10	as a primary prevention component of a	measures of
(1) Attitudinal	attitudinal/perceptual differences were found	larger community-wide effort to reduce	school
outcomes (i.e.	at the .05 significance level between the	gang membership and youth violence. It	disciplinary
impulsivity, risk-	G.R.E.A.T. and non-G.R.E.A.T." (2012, pp.	is important to note that the effect sizes	reports, police
seeking, anger, self-	141-142)	were modest (ranging from .05 to .20)	reports, and other
centeredness,		and that no differences were found	indicators."
attitudes towards	Four-year follow-up: "Across the entire set of	between students in G.R.E.A.T. and	(2013, p. 404).
police (ATP),	33 outcome measures, the differences	non-G.R.E.A.T. classrooms for a	
prosocial peers, peer	tended to be small but slightly favored the	number of important mediating factors.	
pressure, negative	treatment group, with the mean Cohen's d =	However, the fact that statistically	
peer commitment,	0.017 for the 28 measures to which it	significant differences were found for 11	
positive peer	applies. The differences reached p < .05 for	outcome measures (and another 3 with	
commitment,	3 measures and $p < .10$ for a total of seven,	marginal significance) should be	
delinquent peers,	which is somewhat more than expected by	considered very promising, especially in	
lying neutralisations,	chance, but not to a statistically significant	light of the fact that these effects were	
stealing	degree." (2013, p. 388)	produced after just 13 class periods	
neutralisations, hitting		(approximately 40 minutes in length)."	
neutralisations,	Attitudinal outcomes	(2012, pp. 144-145)	
school commitment,	In the intervention group – average over		
guilt, conflict	Waves 2 (post-test) and 3 (12 months post-	"The results identify positive program	
resolution, calming	intervention) (p < 0.05): "more positive	effects on a number (10 of 33) of these	
others, refusal skills,	attitudes to police (ES = $.076$ ); more positive	program objectives. Compared with	
prosocial involvement	attitudes about police in classrooms (ES	students in the control classrooms,	
index, empathy,	= .204); less positive attitudes about gangs	students in G.R.E.A.T. classrooms	

active listening,	(ES = .114); more use of refusal skills (ES	expressed more positive attitudes to the	
problem solving, self-	= .090); more resistance to peer pressure	police and lower odds of gang	
efficacy, awareness	(ES = .079); higher collective efficacy (ES	membership. They reported also more	
of services, collective	= .125); less use of hitting neutralisations (ES	use of refusal skills, lower support for	
efficacy, attitudes	= .105); fewer associations with delinquent	neutralizations regarding violence, less	
about gangs, and	peers (ES = .083); less self-centeredness	favorable attitudes about gangs, lower	
altruism)	(ES = .054); and less anger (ES = .057)."	levels of risk seeking and anger, higher	
	(2012, p. 142)	levels of altruism, and a higher degree	
(2) Behavioural		of collective efficacy. It is important to	
outcomes (i.e.	In the intervention group through 4 years (p <	highlight that 8 of the 10 differences	
delinquency	0.05): "More positive attitudes to police (ES =	found across 4 years posttreatment also	
(frequency and	0.058)* More positive attitudes about police	were evident among the 11 differences	
variety), violent	in classrooms (ES = $0.144$ )* Less positive	1-year post program delivery, indicating	
offending (frequency	attitudes about gangs (ES = 0.094)* More	a sustained, long-term program effect	
and variety), and	use of refusal skills (ES = 0.049)* Higher	on those outcomes." (2013, p. 399).	
violent offending.	collective efficacy (ES = $0.096$ )* Less use of	"The C $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T}$ program is no personal	
	hitting neutralizations (ES = 0.079)* Less	"The G.R.E.A.T. program is no panacea for the gang problems confronting many	
	anger (ES = 0.049)*" (2013, p. 390)	schools and neighborhoods. However,	
		our findings suggest that G.R.E.A.T.	
	Behavioural outcomes	holds promise as a primary gang-	
	In the intervention group – average over	prevention program, overall and in	
	Waves 2 (post-test) and 3 (12 months post-	several of our 7 individual research	
	intervention) ( $p < 0.05$ ): "lower rates of gang	sites. Although it is important to note	
	membership (39% reduction in odds)" (2012,	that the effect sizes are small (ranging	
	p. 142).	from 0.05 to 0.14 over 48 months	
	In the intervention group through 4 years (p <	posttreatment), it is equally important to	
	0.05): "Lower rates of gang membership	emphasize that this is a low-dosage	
	(24% reduction in odds)" (2013, p. 390).	program." (2013, p. 404).	
	(27.01600000000000000000000000000000000000		

Rapid review on safeguarding to inform the Healthy Child Programme 5 to 19: Appendices