This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems

Xiaojun Wei*, Stana Živanović

School of Engineering, University of Warwick, CV4 7AL, UK

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: x.wei.3@warwick.ac.uk; S.Zivanovic@warwick.ac.uk

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to propose a novel theoretical framework for dynamic identification in a structure occupied by a single human. The framework enables the prediction of the dynamics of the human-structure system from the known properties of the individual system components, the identification of human body dynamics from the known dynamics of the empty structure and the human-structure system and the identification of the properties of the structure from the known dynamics of the human and the human-structure system. The novelty of the proposed framework is the provision of closed-form solutions in terms of frequency response functions obtained by curve fitting measured data. The advantages of the framework over existing methods are that there is neither need for nonlinear optimisation nor need for spatial/modal models of the empty structure and the human-structure system. In addition, the second-order perturbation method is employed to quantify the effect of uncertainties in human body dynamics on the dynamic identification of the empty structure and the human-structure system. The explicit formulation makes the method computationally efficient and straightforward to use. A series of numerical examples and experiments are provided to illustrate the working of the method.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

Keywords: Human-structure interaction; system identification; human body dynamics; explicit framework; frequency response function.

1. Introduction

Dynamic interaction between a human and a low-frequency structure supporting the human is a well-recognised phenomenon that has become increasingly prominent over the last two decades due to the increase in slenderness of modern structures [1-4]. Naturally, the dynamic properties of the human-structure system are influenced by the interplay of dynamics of the two subsystems and they differ from those of the structure itself [1-7]. When considering the vertical flexural vibration modes of a structure, the human occupancy is known to cause a shift in the natural frequency and an increase in the damping ratio [3, 8-10]. Knowledge of the dynamic properties of both the occupant(s) and the structure is crucial for developing better understanding of the extent of the human-structure interaction and its influence on the dynamic response analysis and vibration control design for structures accommodating humans.

In structural engineering applications, the dynamics of a human are usually described using a single-degree-of-freedom (SDOF) mass-spring-damper model [3, 6, 9-14]. The dynamics of a structure are often described utilising a spatial model or a modal model (having, say, n DOFs) that can be established using either finite element method or modal analysis [15]. The human-structure system can then be represented by a $n+1$ DOFs model whose modal properties are determined from an eigenvalue analysis, either numerically or analytically [9, 15, 16].

Key challenge in studying human-structure systems is the identification of the properties of the human model. Several approaches have been proposed for this purpose. For example, Griffin and his colleagues [17, 18] estimated the dynamic properties of a human in a standing

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

or sitting posture by curve fitting measured driving-point apparent masses. On the other hand, Foschi et al. [19] estimated the frequency and damping ratio of a human in a standing posture by minimising differences between the computed and measured displacement responses of the human-floor system exposed to a heel-drop impact. Zheng and Brownjohn [6] measured frequency response functions (FRFs) of both the empty structure and the human-structure system. After identifying a SDOF modal model for a vibration mode of interest from the measured FRFs of the empty structure, they combined this model with assumed properties of the human to derive the eigenvalues of the human-structure system. They used a nonlinear optimisation method to identify the properties of the human that result in the best match between the eigenvalues of the human-structure system and the measured counterparts. This procedure was also employed by Shahabpoor et al. [13] to identify a SDOF model for a walking human. Sachse [2] used a similar procedure for identifying the human's dynamic properties, the only difference being that she compared the measured and calculated FRFs of the human-structure system rather than the eigenvalues. This method was also used by Van Nimmen et al. [14] to identify a SDOF model for a stationary crowd. Jones et al. [20] summarised the dynamic properties of the human in a standing posture reported in the literature. The properties vary significantly between individuals: natural frequency was in the range from 3.3 Hz to 10.4 Hz while damping ratio was between 33% and 69%. Human body dynamics are also found to vary with postures [14, 18, 21].

Most research is devoted to identifying the dynamics of the human body and predicting the dynamics of human-structure systems. These studies were performed with a sole purpose in mind: to develop dynamic models of humans, either standing or sitting, and then to add them to the dynamic model of an empty structure, usually a grandstand, to predict the dynamic response of the human-structure system in sports or music events [10, 20, 22]. Little attention has been paid to identifying the dynamics of the empty structure provided the dynamics of

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

the human-structure system are known. This scenario is relevant in manually operated impact hammer modal testing in which a hammer operator is present on the structure during data collection. The identification of dynamic properties of the structure routinely neglects the presence of the hammer operator and it assumes that the dynamics of the empty structure are the same as those of the hammer operator-structure system. This assumption might be erroneous since the interaction between a single human and a structure is important in some cases, such as for ultra-lightweight fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) footbridges. Although some existing methods for identifying human body dynamics [2, 6, 13, 14] can also be used, at least in some cases, for the dynamic identification of the empty structure, they are not necessarily convenient to apply.

To the best knowledge of the authors, there does not exist a single theoretical framework which offers both closed-form solutions and flexibility of being used for any of the three applications as and when needed, i.e. the prediction of the dynamics of the human-structure system when the dynamics of individual systems are known, the identification of human body dynamics when the empty structure and human-structure system dynamics are known and the identification of the empty structure when the human and human-structure dynamics are known. This paper proposes a unifying and simple to implement framework for determining the dynamics of any one of the three systems in terms of the dynamics of the other two. The framework provides closed-form solutions for identifying the dynamics of the system under study and therefore does not require utilisation of nonlinear optimisation techniques inherent to some other studies [2, 6, 13, 14]. The framework utilises curve-fitted FRFs (i.e. receptances, mobilities or accelerances) directly as opposed to using FRFs to derive spatial or modal models of the empty structure and the human-structure system required in some other studies [2, 6, 13, 14]. In addition, the second-order perturbation method is utilised to quantify the effect of uncertainties in human body dynamics on the dynamic identification of the

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

empty structure and the human-structure system. The paper focuses on low-frequency structures (i.e. vibration modes with natural frequencies up to about 8Hz) on which the human-structure interaction is expected to be strongest. In this frequency region, the human is modelled as a SDOF system since only their first vibration mode is likely to interact with the structure. The proposed method is applicable for problems involving humans in any stationary posture (e.g. standing, sitting and crouching to perform the impact hammer test). Future work will be dedicated to generalise the framework for the crowd-structure interaction.

Following this introductory section, Section 2 introduces the novel method in the context of identifying properties of a human-structure system. Use of the proposed method for identifying human body dynamics is presented in Section 3, whilst its use for estimating dynamics of the empty structure is presented in Section 4. Each section is supported by numerical examples and/or experiments. Conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. Identification of the dynamics of a human-structure system

This section presents the theoretical framework followed by a numerical example. The proposed framework was inspired by the studies in the research fields of vibration control [23-25] and nonlinear dynamics [26].

2.1. Theoretical framework

The equation of forced vibration of a linear structure having n DOFs may be cast in second order form as

$$\mathbf{M}_s\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_s + \mathbf{C}_s\dot{\mathbf{x}}_s + \mathbf{K}_s\mathbf{x}_s = \mathbf{f}_s \tag{1}$$

where

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

119 $$\mathbf{M}_s = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}^s & \text{L} & m_{1(n-1)}^s & m_{1n}^s \\ \text{M} & \text{O} & \text{M} & \text{M} \\ m_{(n-1)1}^s & \text{L} & m_{(n-1)(n-1)}^s & m_{(n-1)n}^s \\ m_{n1}^s & \text{L} & m_{n(n-1)}^s & m_{nn}^s \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{C}_s = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}^s & \text{L} & c_{1(n-1)}^s & c_{1n}^s \\ \text{M} & \text{O} & \text{M} & \text{M} \\ c_{(n-1)1}^s & \text{L} & c_{(n-1)(n-1)}^s & c_{(n-1)n}^s \\ c_{n1}^s & \text{L} & c_{n(n-1)}^s & c_{nn}^s \end{bmatrix},$$

120 $$\mathbf{K}_s = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11}^s & \text{L} & k_{1(n-1)}^s & k_{1n}^s \\ \text{M} & \text{O} & \text{M} & \text{M} \\ k_{(n-1)1}^s & \text{L} & k_{(n-1)(n-1)}^s & k_{(n-1)n}^s \\ k_{n1}^s & \text{L} & k_{n(n-1)}^s & k_{nn}^s \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_s = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^s \\ \text{M} \\ x_{n-1}^s \\ x_n^s \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{f}_s = \begin{bmatrix} f_1^s \\ \text{M} \\ f_{n-1}^s \\ f_n^s \end{bmatrix}.$$

121 $\mathbf{M}_s$, $\mathbf{C}_s$ and $\mathbf{K}_s \in R^{n\times n}$ are the mass, damping and stiffness matrices. $\mathbf{f}_s$, $\mathbf{x}_s$, $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_s$, $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_s \in R^{n\times 1}$ are
122 the external force, displacement, velocity and acceleration vectors, respectively. R denotes
123 the field of real numbers. Dot denotes the derivative with respect to time.

124 Eq. (1) may be written in Laplace domain as

125 $$\mathbf{Z}_s(s)\bar{\mathbf{x}}_s(s) = \bar{\mathbf{f}}_s(s) \tag{2}$$

126 where $\mathbf{Z}_s(s) = \left(\mathbf{M}_s s^2 + \mathbf{C}_s s + \mathbf{K}_s\right)$, s is the Laplace variable, whilst $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_s(s)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_s(s)$ are the
127 Laplace transforms of displacement and force vectors.

128 When a stationary human occupies a structure, the structure and the human form a new joint
129 system whose dynamics are influenced by the dynamics of the two individual components. In
130 line with the previous research, the human is modelled as a SDOF system having mass m_h,
131 damping c_h and stiffness k_h. m_h is assumed to represent the full mass of the human, as
132 implemented in some previous studies [11, 19, 27-30]. Without loss of generality, it is

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

133 assumed that the human is located at the n-th degree of freedom of the structure. Therefore,

134 the forced-vibration of the human-structure system can be described by

135 $$\mathbf{M}_{sh}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{sh}+\mathbf{C}_{sh}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{sh}+\mathbf{K}_{sh}\mathbf{x}_{sh}=\mathbf{f}_{sh} \tag{3}$$

136 where

137 $$\mathbf{M}_{sh}=\begin{bmatrix} m_{11}^{s} & \text{L} & m_{1(n-1)}^{s} & m_{1n}^{s} & 0 \\ \text{M} & \text{O} & \text{M} & \text{M} & \text{M} \\ m_{(n-1)1}^{s} & \text{L} & m_{(n-1)(n-1)}^{s} & m_{(n-1)n}^{s} & 0 \\ m_{n1}^{s} & \text{L} & m_{n(n-1)}^{s} & m_{nn}^{s} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{L} & 0 & 0 & m_{h} \end{bmatrix},\ \mathbf{C}_{sh}=\begin{bmatrix} c_{11}^{s} & \text{L} & c_{1(n-1)}^{s} & c_{1n}^{s} & 0 \\ \text{M} & \text{O} & \text{M} & \text{M} & \text{M} \\ c_{(n-1)1}^{s} & \text{L} & c_{(n-1)(n-1)}^{s} & c_{(n-1)n}^{s} & 0 \\ c_{n1}^{s} & \text{L} & c_{n(n-1)}^{s} & c_{nn}^{s}+c_{h} & -c_{h} \\ 0 & \text{L} & 0 & -c_{h} & c_{h} \end{bmatrix},$$

138 $$\mathbf{K}_{sh}=\begin{bmatrix} k_{11}^{s} & \text{L} & k_{1(n-1)}^{s} & k_{1n}^{s} & 0 \\ \text{M} & \text{O} & \text{M} & \text{M} & \text{M} \\ k_{(n-1)1}^{s} & \text{L} & k_{(n-1)(n-1)}^{s} & k_{(n-1)n}^{s} & 0 \\ k_{n1}^{s} & \text{L} & k_{n(n-1)}^{s} & k_{nn}^{s}+k_{h} & -k_{h} \\ 0 & \text{L} & 0 & -k_{h} & k_{h} \end{bmatrix},\ \mathbf{x}_{sh}=\begin{bmatrix} x_{1}^{s} \\ \text{M} \\ x_{n-1}^{s} \\ x_{n}^{s} \\ x_{h} \end{bmatrix},\ \mathbf{f}_{sh}=\begin{bmatrix} f_{1}^{s} \\ \text{M} \\ f_{n-1}^{s} \\ f_{n}^{s} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

139 Eq. (3) may be expressed in Laplace domain as

140 $$\mathbf{Z}_{sh}(s)\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{sh}(s)=\bar{\mathbf{f}}_{sh}(s) \tag{4}$$

141 where

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Z}_{sh}(s) &= \left(\mathbf{M}_{sh}s^2 + \mathbf{C}_{sh}s + \mathbf{K}_{sh}\right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_s(s)_{n\times n} & \mathbf{0}_{n\times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times n} & m_h s^2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{(n-1)\times(n-1)} & \mathbf{0}_{(n-1)\times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{(n-1)\times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times(n-1)} & (c_h s + k_h) & -(c_h s + k_h) \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times(n-1)} & -(c_h s + k_h) & (c_h s + k_h) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_s(s)_{n\times n} & \mathbf{0}_{n\times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times n} & m_h s^2 \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{u}(s)\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(s) \\ &= \mathbf{Z}_{sm}(s) + \mathbf{u}(s)\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(s).\end{aligned}$$

142

143 In this expression, $\mathbf{u}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{L} & 0 & \sqrt{c_h s + k_h} & -\sqrt{c_h s + k_h} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$, $(\bullet)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the transpose of $(\bullet)$.

144 For the sake of clarity, dimensions of some matrices are stated in the equation.

145 The receptance matrix of the human-structure system is

$$\mathbf{H}_{sh}(s) = \left(\mathbf{Z}_{sh}(s)\right)^{-1} = \left(\mathbf{Z}_{sm}(s) + \mathbf{u}(s)\mathbf{u}^{T}(s)\right)^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

146

147 Let us denote

$$\mathbf{H}_{sm}(s) = \mathbf{Z}_{sm}^{-1}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_s(s) & \mathbf{0}_{n\times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times n} & \dfrac{1}{m_h s^2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

148

149 where $\mathbf{H}_s(s) = \mathbf{Z}_s^{-1}(s)$ is the receptance matrix of the empty structure.

150 According to the Sherman-Morrison formula [31], the receptance matrix of the human-
151 structure system can be re-written as

$$\mathbf{H}_{sh}(s) = \mathbf{H}_{sm}(s) - \frac{\mathbf{H}_{sm}(s)\mathbf{u}(s)\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(s)\mathbf{H}_{sm}(s)}{1 + \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(s)\mathbf{H}_{sm}(s)\mathbf{u}(s)}. \tag{7}$$

152

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

153 Therefore, the receptance matrix of the human-structure system can be obtained using the
154 receptance matrix of the empty structure and the mass, damping and stiffness properties of
155 the human.

156 The pn-th $(p \le n)$ receptance of the human-structure system may be obtained by pre-
157 multiplying and post-multiplying Eq. (7) by $\mathbf{e}_p^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathbf{e}_n$, respectively,

158 $$h_{pn}^{sh}(s) = \mathbf{e}_p^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}_{sm}(s) \mathbf{e}_n - \frac{\mathbf{e}_p^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}_{sm}(s) \mathbf{u}(s) \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(s) \mathbf{H}_{sm}(s) \mathbf{e}_n}{1 + \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(s) \mathbf{H}_{sm}(s) \mathbf{u}(s)} \tag{8}$$

159 where $\mathbf{e}_i^{\mathrm{T}}$ is a vector of dimension $(n+1)\times 1$, whose i-th entry is unity and the other entries are
160 zero.

161 Due to

162 $$\mathbf{e}_p^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}_{sm}(s) \mathbf{e}_n = h_{pn}^{s}(s) \tag{9}$$

163 $$\mathbf{e}_p^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}_{sm}(s) \mathbf{u}(s) = \sqrt{c_h s + k_h}\, h_{pn}^{s}(s) \tag{10}$$

164 $$\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(s) \mathbf{H}_{sm}(s) \mathbf{e}_n = \sqrt{c_h s + k_h}\, h_{nn}^{s}(s) \tag{11}$$

165 and

166 $$\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(s) \mathbf{H}_{sm}(s) \mathbf{u}(s) = (c_h s + k_h) \left(h_{nn}^{s}(s) + \frac{1}{m_h s^2} \right) \tag{12}$$

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

where $h_{nn}^{s}(s)$ is the direct receptance at the n-th DOF and $h_{pn}^{s}(s)$ is the cross receptance between the p-th output and the n-th input, both related to the empty structure, Eq. (8) now becomes

$$h_{pn}^{sh}(s)=h_{pn}^{s}(s)-\frac{\left(c_h s+k_h\right)h_{pn}^{s}(s)h_{nn}^{s}(s)}{1+\left(c_h s+k_h\right)\left(h_{nn}^{s}(s)+\frac{1}{m_h s^2}\right)} \tag{13}$$

which indicates that the pn-th cross receptance of the human-structure system may be calculated using the $h_{nn}^{s}(s)$ and $h_{pn}^{s}(s)$ of the empty structure and the dynamic properties of the human.

If $p=n$, then

$$h_{nn}^{sh}(s)=h_{nn}^{s}(s)-\frac{\left(c_h s+k_h\right)h_{nn}^{s}(s)h_{nn}^{s}(s)}{1+\left(c_h s+k_h\right)\left(h_{nn}^{s}(s)+\frac{1}{m_h s^2}\right)} \tag{14}$$

which indicates that the direct receptance of the human-structure system could be calculated using $h_{nn}^{s}(s)$ of the empty structure and the dynamic properties of the human.

The denominator of Eqs. (13) or (14) generates the characteristic equation

$$1+\left(c_h s+k_h\right)\left(h_{nn}^{s}(s)+\frac{1}{m_h s^2}\right)=0 \tag{15}$$

from which the eigenvalues (and therefore natural frequencies and damping ratios) of the human-structure system can be calculated.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

2.2. Effect of uncertainties in human properties on predicting the dynamics of the human-structure system

The dynamic properties of the human body may vary due to small postural changes and/or changes in vibration response level. These variations inevitably affect the dynamic prediction of the human-structure system. The second-order perturbation method [32] could be used to estimate the effect of the uncertainties. The perturbation method is based on the Taylor series expansion of the system response around the mean value of the input parameters and it is used to compute the expectations and moments of the output parameters.

Here, the small variations in the stiffness and damping of the human body are considered. No variation in the mass is considered since the mass can be measured accurately. It is assumed that the stiffness and damping of the human body are independent normal random variables. The expectation and standard deviation of the stiffness are E_{k_h} and σ_{k_h}, respectively, while for the damping these are E_{c_h} and σ_{c_h}.

The magnitude and phase of the pn-th receptance of the joint system may be expressed as

$$\left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right| = \sqrt{\left(\mathrm{Re}\left(h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right)\right)^2 + \left(\mathrm{Im}\left(h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right)\right)^2} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\angle h_{pn}^{sh}(s) = \arctan\left(\frac{\mathrm{Im}\left(h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{Re}\left(h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right)}\right) \tag{17}$$

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

where $|(\mathrm{g})|$ is the magnitude of (g); $\angle(\mathrm{g})$ is the phase of (g); and $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{g})$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{g})$ are the real and imaginary parts of (g). Eq. (13) infers that the magnitude and phase are functions of the damping and stiffness of the human body. The expectation and standard deviation of the magnitude can be expressed as Eqs. (18) and (19) using the second-order perturbation method [32].

$$E\left(\left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right|\right) \approx \left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right|\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right|}{\partial c_h^2}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\sigma_{c_h}^2 + \frac{\partial^2\left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right|}{\partial k_h^2}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\sigma_{k_h}^2\right) \tag{18}$$

and

$$\sigma\left(\left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right|\right) \approx \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial\left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right|}{\partial c_h}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\right)^2\sigma_{c_h}^2 + \left(\frac{\partial\left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right|}{\partial k_h}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\right)^2\sigma_{k_h}^2} \tag{19}$$

where $E(\mathrm{g})$ is the expectation of (g); $\sigma(\mathrm{g})$ is the standard deviation of (g); $\dfrac{\partial\left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right|}{\partial \mathrm{z}}$ and $\dfrac{\partial^2\left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right|}{\partial \mathrm{z}^2}$ are the first and second-order partial derivatives of $\left|h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right|$ with respect to z, $\left(z=c_h \text{ or } k_h\right)$, respectively.

Similarly, the expectation and standard deviation of the phase can be expressed as,

$$E\left(\angle h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right) \approx \angle h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\angle h_{pn}^{sh}(s)}{\partial c_h^2}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\sigma_{c_h}^2 + \frac{\partial^2\angle h_{pn}^{sh}(s)}{\partial k_h^2}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\sigma_{k_h}^2\right) \tag{20}$$

and

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

213 $$\sigma\left(\angle h_{pn}^{sh}(s)\right) \approx \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial \angle h_{pn}^{sh}(s)}{\partial c_h}\bigg|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\right)^2 \sigma_{c_h}^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \angle h_{pn}^{sh}(s)}{\partial k_h}\bigg|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\right)^2 \sigma_{k_h}^2} \quad (21)$$

214 ### 2.3. Numerical example: 2DOF human-structure system

215 Let us consider a SDOF structure occupied by a SDOF human shown in Fig. 1. The dynamic
216 properties of the individual human and the structure as well as those of the human-structure
217 system (obtained from eigenvalue analysis of the 2DOF model) are given in Table 1. The first
218 vibration mode of the human-structure system having frequency f_1 and damping ratio ζ_1 is
219 dominated by structural motion. The second mode (frequency f_2 and damping ratio ζ_2) is
220 dominated by human motion.



221

222 **Fig. 1 2DOF model of human-structure system**

223 If the direct receptance of the empty structure were measured accurately, it would have
224 resulted in

225 $$h_{11}^{s}(s) = \frac{1}{m_s s^2 + c_s s + k_s} = \frac{1}{650 s^2 + 792.31 s + 6.04\times 10^5}. \quad (22)$$

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

Taking into account that the mass, damping and stiffness of the human body are $m_h = 62$ kg, $c_h = 1.44\times10^3$ N·s·m^{-1} and $k_h = 6.12\times10^4$ N·m^{-1}, respectively, the direct receptance of the human-structure system $h_{11}^{sh}(s)$ can be calculated from Eq. (14)

$$h_{11}^{sh}(s) = \frac{1}{650s^2 + 792.31s + 6.04\times10^5} - \frac{\left(1.44\times10^3 s + 6.12\times10^4\right)\left(\frac{1}{650s^2 + 792.31s + 6.04\times10^5}\right)^2}{1+\left(1.44\times10^3 s + 6.12\times10^4\right)\left(\frac{1}{650s^2 + 792.31s + 6.04\times10^5} + \frac{1}{62s^2}\right)} \tag{23}$$

The characteristic equation

$$1+\left(1.44\times10^3 s + 6.12\times10^4\right)\left(\frac{1}{650s^2 + 792.31s + 6.04\times10^5} + \frac{1}{62s^2}\right) = 0 \tag{24}$$

generates the eigenvalues of the human-structure system $\mu_{1,3} = -2.0595 \pm 28.2310\text{i}$ s^{-1} and $\mu_{2,4} = -11.2826 \pm 31.8841\text{i}$ s^{-1}, which are the same as those shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Dynamic properties of the human-structure system

Dynamic property	Empty structure	Human*	Human-structure system
Mass (kg)	$m_s = 650$	$m_h = 62$	/
Damping (N·s·m^{-1})	$c_s = 792.31$	$c_h = 1.44\times10^3$	/
Stiffness (N·m^{-1})	$k_s = 6.04\times10^5$	$k_h = 6.12\times10^4$	/
Frequency (Hz)	$f_s = 4.85$	$f_s = 5.0$	$f_1 = 4.51$, $f_2 = 5.38$
Damping ratio (%)	$\zeta_s = 2.0$	$\zeta_s = 37.0$	$\zeta_1 = 7.3$, $\zeta_2 = 33.4$
Eigenvalues (s^{-1})	/	/	$\mu_{1,3} = -2.0595 \pm 28.2310\text{i}$ $\mu_{2,4} = -11.2826 \pm 31.8841\text{i}$
Eigenvectors	/	/	$\mathbf{v}_{1,3} = [0.3747 \pm 0.3132\text{i} \quad 1]$ $\mathbf{v}_{2,4} = [-0.0960 \pm 0.1282\text{i} \quad 1]$

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

235 * The human dynamic properties correspond to the fundamental mode of the human model
236 for standing posture specified in ISO 5982 [33].

237 The synthesised direct receptance of the human-structure system described by Eq. (23),
238 shown as the thick dashed line in Fig. 2, accurately reproduces the actual receptance of the
239 human-structure system shown as the thin solid line. Fig. 2 also shows that the presence of
240 the human shifts the frequency from 4.85 Hz for the empty structure (dash-dotted line) to
241 4.51 Hz for the human-structure system. It also significantly increases the damping ratio of
242 the mode dominated by structural motion (from 2.0% to 7.3%). The mode dominated by
243 human motion is heavily damped which is the reason that it cannot be observed in the
244 receptance graph for the human-structure system. The structure in this example is an actual
245 16.9 m long glass FRP composite bridge [34]. The example demonstrates that the presence of
246 a single human can significantly modify the dynamics of the empty structure.



(a) **(b)**

247 **Fig. 2 Receptances of the human-structure system and the structure: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase**

248 Let us assume the expectation and standard deviation of the damping of the human body are
249 $E_{c_h} = 1.44\times10^3$ N·s·m^{-1} and $\sigma_{c_h} = 0.1c_h$ N·s·m^{-1}, respectively, while the counterparts for the
250 stiffness are $E_{k_h} = 6.12\times10^4$ N·m^{-1} and $\sigma_{k_h} = 0.1k_h$ N·m^{-1} (The corresponding expectations

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

and standard deviations of the frequency and damping ratio are $E_{f_h} = 5.0\,\text{Hz}$ and $\sigma_{f_h} = 0.25$ Hz and $E_{\zeta_h} = 37.0\%$ and $\sigma_{\zeta_h} = 4.0\%$, estimated using the second-order perturbation method [32]). By using the proposed uncertainty estimation method in Section 2.2, the expectation and standard deviation of the direct receptance of the human-structure system can be obtained, as plotted in Fig. 3. The coefficient of variation (CoV) of 10% for both the damping and stiffness of the human body led to the maximum CoV of 9% for the magnitude and phase of the predicted FRF of the human-structure system. The predicted expectations and standard deviations shown in Fig. 3 were verified using Monte Carlo simulations (sample size = 1000).



(a) **(b)**

Fig. 3 The direct receptance of the human-structure system: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase; Solid line - Expectation; Error bar - Standard deviation

3. Identification of the dynamics of the human body

In this section, formulas for identifying the dynamics of a stationary human occupying a structure are presented, and their use is demonstrated in an experiment conducted on a laboratory bridge.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

3.1. Theoretical derivations

Let us assume that the direct receptance at the n-th DOF h_{nn}^{s} of the empty structure is available (for example, through modal testing). In addition, let us assume that the direct receptance at the n-th DOF or the cross receptance between the p-th output and the n-th input of the human-structure system is also available resulting in identifying the complex conjugate eigenvalues μ_k^{sh} and $\overline{\mu}_k^{sh}$ of the k-th mode dominated by structural motion of the human-structure system. The eigenvalues μ_k^{sh} and $\overline{\mu}_k^{sh}$ should satisfy Eq. (15), i.e.

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_h \\ k_h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_k^{sh} & 1 \\ \overline{\mu}_k^{sh} & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -\dfrac{\left(\mu_k^{sh}\right)^2 m_h}{\left(\mu_k^{sh}\right)^2 m_h h_{nn}^{s}\left(\mu_k^{sh}\right)+1} \\ -\dfrac{\left(\overline{\mu}_k^{sh}\right)^2 m_h}{\left(\overline{\mu}_k^{sh}\right)^2 m_h h_{nn}^{s}\left(\overline{\mu}_k^{sh}\right)+1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{25}$$

Eq. (25) demonstrates that the damping c_h and stiffness k_h of the human can be calculated using the mass of the human m_h and the direct receptance of the empty structure $h_{nn}^{s}(s)$ that is evaluated at a pair of eigenvalues μ_k^{sh} and $\overline{\mu}_k^{sh}$. Eq. (25) always results in real solutions for c_h and k_h due to the use of the complex conjugate pair μ_k^{sh} and $\overline{\mu}_k^{sh}$.

Making use of the proposed approach for experimental identification of human properties is straightforward. It only requires measuring a direct receptance for the empty structure and a direct receptance or a cross receptance for the human-structure system.

If the measured quantity is accelerance rather than receptance, an alternative form of Eq. (25) should be used. The acceleration $\mathbf{a}(s)$ and the displacement $\mathbf{x}(s)$ are related via

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

$\mathbf{a}(s)=s^2\mathbf{x}(s)$. The receptance matrix $\mathbf{H}^s(s)$ and the accelerance matrix $\mathbf{H}_a^s(s)$ satisfy the relationship

$$\mathbf{H}^s(s)=\frac{\mathbf{H}_a^s(s)}{s^2} \tag{26}$$

leading to the estimate of the damping and stiffness of the human from Eq. (27)

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_h \\ k_h \end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix} \mu_k^{sh} & 1 \\ \bar{\mu}_k^{sh} & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} -\dfrac{\left(\mu_k^{sh}\right)^2 m_h}{m_h h_{a,nn}^s\left(\mu_k^{sh}\right)+1} \\ -\dfrac{\left(\bar{\mu}_k^{sh}\right)^2 m_h}{m_h h_{a,nn}^s\left(\bar{\mu}_k^{sh}\right)+1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{27}$$

As can be seen from Eqs. (25) and (27), the identification of human body dynamics relies on the quality of the curve fitting of the FRFs of the empty structure and also of the FRFs around the modes dominated by structural motion of the joint system. The strategies for performing curve fitting have been investigated elsewhere, e.g. [35, 36], and have not been elaborated in this paper.

3.2. Experimental case study: Dynamic properties of a human in a standing posture

The use of the proposed method is demonstrated on an example of identifying the dynamic properties of a human standing on a steel-concrete composite bridge situated in the Structures Laboratory at the University of Warwick (Fig. 4). The bridge has a mass of 16,500 kg whilst its deck is 19.9 m long and 2 m wide. It sits on two meccano frames that span 16.34 m. The mass of the human is 100 kg and his height is 180 cm. The experiments were approved by the Biomedical and Scientific Research Ethics Committee at the University of Warwick.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

299 Accelerances of the empty bridge and the human-bridge system were measured in a modal
300 testing programme. The measurement points are shown in Fig. 5. The bridge was excited
301 using an electrodynamic shaker (Model APS 400) placed at test point (TP) 1, as shown in Fig.
302 6. The generated force was indirectly measured using an accelerometer (Honeywell QA750)
303 of nominal sensitivity 1300 mV/g attached to the moving armature. Another two
304 accelerometers of the same type were placed at TP1 and TP2 to measure the vibration
305 responses of the bridge in the vertical direction. The data acquisition system consisted of a
306 laptop, a 4-channel data logger (SignalCalc Ace by Data Physics), a signal conditioner and a
307 power amplifier (Model APS 145). A chirp signal in the frequency range 1-9 Hz was applied
308 to the structure for 64 seconds. A data acquisition window was set to 128 seconds. No
309 window was used in data processing since the vibration responses returned to the ambient
310 level within the data acquisition window. Six averages were used to minimise the effects of
311 noise. The typical standing posture of the human is shown in Fig. 6.

312

313 **Fig. 4 The empty structure**

314

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015



315

316 **Fig. 5 Bridge geometry and measurement points**

317

318

319 **Fig. 6 A human and a shaker at TP1**

320 **3.2.1. Modal testing**

321 The dynamic properties of the human body were identified at three different force levels. The
322 induced maximum accelerations at the driving point on the empty bridge and the human-
323 bridge system ranged from 0.36 $m \cdot s^{-2}$ to 0.65 $m \cdot s^{-2}$ and from 0.34 $m \cdot s^{-2}$ to 0.62 $m \cdot s^{-2}$,
324 respectively. The frequencies and damping ratios of the empty structure showed negligible
325 variation with the response level. The same conclusion was drawn for the human-structure
326 system. These findings suggest that the empty bridge and the human-bridge system exhibited
327 relatively linear behaviour at the three different force levels and they all resulted in almost the
328 same properties of the human body. The force level chosen for presentation in this paper is
329 shown in Fig. 7 whilst the corresponding vibration response at TP1 for the unoccupied bridge

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

330 is shown in Fig. 8. The direct and cross accelerances for the empty bridge and the bridge
331 occupied by the test subject are shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, respectively. The two figures
332 show that the presence of the test subject affects the dynamics of the system slightly.



Fig. 7 Excitation force at TP1



Fig. 8 Acceleration at TP1

333



(a) **(b)**

334 **Fig. 9 Direct accelerances of the unoccupied and occupied structures: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase**

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015



(a) **(b)**

Fig. 10 Cross accelerances of the unoccupied and occupied structures: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase

The measured accelerance $h_{a,11}^{s}$ of the empty structure was curve fitted using the rational fraction polynomial method [35]. Good agreement between the curve-fitted (CF) accelereance and its measured counterpart is demonstrated in Fig. 11. The analytical expression of the curve-fitted accelerance is

$$h_{a,11}^{s}(s)=\frac{a_0 s^6+a_1 s^5+a_2 s^4+a_3 s^3+a_4 s^2+a_5 s+a_6}{b_0 s^2+b_1 s+b_2} \tag{28}$$

where $a_0=2.0486\times10^{-9}$ s^4, $a_1=-2.9053\times10^{-9}$ s^3, $a_2=1.4985\times10^{-6}$ s^2, $a_3=-1.6243\times10^{-6}$ s, $a_4=-5.9928\times10^{-4}$, $a_5=-2.1540\times10^{-4}$ s^{-1}, $a_6=0.0266$ s^{-2}, $b_0=1.8069$ $\mathrm{N\cdot s^2\cdot m^{-1}}$, $b_1=0.1606$ $\mathrm{N\cdot s\cdot m^{-1}}$ and $b_2=412.8475$ $\mathrm{N\cdot m^{-1}}$.

The pair of eigenvalues corresponding to the first mode of the human-structure system were identified to be $-0.0536\pm14.9840\mathrm{i}$ s^{-1} by curve fitting either the accelerance $h_{a,11}^{sh}$ or $h_{a,21}^{sh}$.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015



(a) **(b)**

346 **Fig. 11 Comparison between measured and curve-fitted accelerenace $h^s_{a,11}$: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase**

347 **3.2.2. Dynamic properties of the human body**

348 Based on the direct accelerance of the empty structure (Eq. (28)), the pair of eigenvalues of
349 the occupied bridge and Eq. (27), the undamped frequency and damping ratio of the test
350 subject were identified to be 4.85 Hz and 27.0%, respectively.

351 To validate the results, the accelerances $h^{sh}_{a,21}$ and $h^{sh}_{a,11}$ were synthesised using Eqs. (13) and
352 (14). Fig. 12 and Fig. 13 show that the synthesised accelerances (thick dashed curves) of the
353 human-structure system agree well with their measured counterparts (thin solid curves).



This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

(a) **(b)**

Fig. 12 Direct accelerances of unoccupied and occupied structures: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase



(a) **(b)**

Fig. 13 Cross accelerances of unoccupied and occupied structures: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase

To further validate the results of the identification of human body dynamics, the nonlinear optimisation method [2] was employed. The natural frequency identified in this way was 4.84 Hz and damping ratio was 30.0%. These results are close to those identified by the proposed method, confirming its validity.

3.2.3. Discussion on the working of the method for identifying the dynamics of the human body

According to Eq. (27), theoretically, if the eigenvalues μ_k^{sh} and $\overline{\mu}_k^{sh}$ of the human-structure system are different from the eigenvalues μ_k^{s} and $\overline{\mu}_k^{s}$ of the empty structure, the damping and stiffness of the human can be identified. In practice, only a reliable detection of the eigenvalue difference between the unoccupied and occupied structures leads to reliable damping and stiffness. The eigenvalue difference is composed of the frequency and damping differences, which correspond to the changes of the peak frequency and magnitude of FRF, respectively. Hence, the working of the proposed method relies on the reliable detection of the changes of the peak frequency and magnitude of FRF.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

A conservative criterion for reliable identification of two closely spaced spectral peaks states that the frequency separation between the two peaks should be at least twice the frequency resolution provided the rectangular window is used in signal processing [37]. The frequency separation is required to be four times greater than the frequency resolution in cases in which the Hann or Hamming window is used [37]. Therefore, the proposed method allows the reliable identification of human body dynamics if the frequency difference between the unoccupied and occupied structures is at least two times greater than the frequency resolution when the rectangular window is used in data processing or at least four times greater when the Hann or Hamming window is utilised.

Since the rectangular window was used in analysis of the human-structure system presented in Section 3.2 and the frequency separation of 0.03 Hz is approximately four times of the frequency resolution ($\Delta f = 1/128$ Hz), the identification of the human body dynamics is reliable in the example presented.

The structure (modal) to human mass ratio is one of the factors which affects the frequency difference [9]. Based on the criterion about the minimum frequency difference, the effect of the mass ratio may be investigated using parametric analysis. Let us consider the human-structure system presented in Section 3.2. Let us assume that the bridge has frequency of 2.41 Hz, damping ratio of 0.3% and varying modal mass while the properties of the human are as follows: mass 100kg, frequency 4.85Hz and damping ratio 27.0%. The frequency of the mode dominated by the structural motion of the joint system can be calculated (thick solid line in Fig. 14). The thick dashed line in Fig. 14 is separated by a distance of $2\Delta f$ (=0.0156 Hz) from the thick dash-dotted line, which indicates the frequency of the unoccupied system (2.41 Hz). The intersection of the thick solid line and the thick dashed line indicates that the frequency difference is greater than $2\Delta f$ for the mass ratio up to 98. For the human-structure

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

394 system presented in Section 3.2, the mass ratio was 70, and therefore the human body
395 dynamics were reliably identifiable.

396 The structure to human ratios for frequency and damping ratio are the other two factors
397 affecting the frequency difference [9]. The parametric analysis for investigating the effect of
398 the structure to human ratios for frequency and damping ratio is similar to that for the mass
399 ratio and therefore it is not presented here.



400

401 **Fig. 14 Frequency of the mode dominated by structural motion against the modal mass of the structure to**
402 **the human mass ratio (Δf = 1/128)**

403 ## 4. Identification of the dynamics of the empty structure

404 In this section, formulas for the identification of the properties of the empty structure from
405 the known dynamics of the human and human-structure system are presented. Their use is
406 illustrated utilising the experiment described in Section 3.2 and a numerical example of a
407 three-span glass FRP composite bridge.

408 ### 4.1. Theoretical derivations

409 Rearranging Eq. (14) generates the direct receptance of the unoccupied structure

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

410
$$h_{nn}^{s}(s)=\frac{\left(1+\frac{1}{m_h s^2}(c_h s+k_h)\right)h_{nn}^{sh}(s)}{1+\frac{1}{m_h s^2}(c_h s+k_h)-(c_h s+k_h)h_{nn}^{sh}(s)} \tag{29}$$

411 which is a function of the known direct receptance $h_{nn}^{sh}(s)$ of the human-structure system and
412 the known dynamic properties of the human.

413 Rewriting (13) leads to

414
$$h_{pn}^{s}(s)=h_{pn}^{sh}(s)+\frac{h_{nn}^{s}(s)(c_h s+k_h)h_{pn}^{sh}(s)}{\left(1+\frac{1}{m_h s^2}(c_h s+k_h)\right)} \tag{30}$$

415 Substituting (29) into (30) results in

416
$$h_{pn}^{s}(s)=h_{pn}^{sh}(s)+\frac{h_{pn}^{sh}(s)(c_h s+k_h)h_{nn}^{sh}(s)}{1+\frac{1}{m_h s^2}(c_h s+k_h)-(c_h s+k_h)h_{nn}^{sh}(s)} \tag{31}$$

417 which shows that the cross receptance of the unoccupied structure could be deduced from the
418 direct and cross receptance functions of the human-structure system and the dynamic
419 properties of the human. The natural frequency and damping ratio of the unoccupied structure
420 can then be calculated from the characteristic equation (i.e. denominator in Eqs. (29) or (31)
421 equated to zero).

422 As can be seen from Eqs. (29) and (31), the quality of the curve fitting of the FRFs around
423 the modes dominated by structural motion of the joint system plays a key role in identifying

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

the modes of the empty structure. The strategies for performing curve fitting have been investigated elsewhere, e.g. [35, 36], and have not been elaborated in this paper.

4.2. The effect of uncertainties in the human body dynamics on the identification of the dynamic properties of the empty structure

The expectation and standard deviation of the magnitude of the *pn*-th receptance of the empty structure system can be expressed as

$$E\left(\left|h_{pn}^{s}(s)\right|\right)\approx\left|h_{pn}^{s}(s)\right|\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\left|h_{pn}^{s}(s)\right|}{\partial c_h^2}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\sigma_{c_h}^2+\frac{\partial^2\left|h_{pn}^{s}(s)\right|}{\partial k_h^2}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\sigma_{k_h}^2\right) \tag{32}$$

and

$$\sigma\left(\left|h_{pn}^{s}(s)\right|\right)\approx\sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial\left|h_{pn}^{s}(s)\right|}{\partial c_h}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\right)^2\sigma_{c_h}^2+\left(\frac{\partial\left|h_{pn}^{s}(s)\right|}{\partial k_h}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\right)^2\sigma_{k_h}^2} \tag{33}$$

Similarly, the expectation and standard deviation of the phase of the *pn*-th receptance of the empty structure system can be expressed as,

$$\mathrm{E}\left(\angle h_{pn}^{s}(s)\right)\approx\angle h_{pn}^{s}(s)\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\angle h_{pn}^{s}(s)}{\partial c_h^2}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\sigma_{c_h}^2+\frac{\partial^2\angle h_{pn}^{s}(s)}{\partial k_h^2}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\sigma_{k_h}^2\right) \tag{34}$$

and

$$\sigma\left(\angle h_{pn}^{s}(s)\right)\approx\sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial\angle h_{pn}^{s}(s)}{\partial c_h}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\right)^2\sigma_{c_h}^2+\left(\frac{\partial\angle h_{pn}^{s}(s)}{\partial k_h}\Big|_{\substack{c_h=E_{c_h}\\k_h=E_{k_h}}}\right)^2\sigma_{k_h}^2} \tag{35}$$

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

4.3. Experimental case study: Dynamic properties of the structure

The use of the proposed method is demonstrated on an example of identifying the properties of the bridge from Section 3.2, by utilising the measured accelerances while the test subject was standing on the structure and known properties of the human. The measured direct and cross accelerances were curve fitted using the rational fraction polynomial method [35]. The analytical expression of the curve-fitted accelerance $h_{a,11}^{sh}(s)$ is

$$h_{a,11}^{sh}(s)=\frac{a_0s^6+a_1s^5+a_2s^4+a_3s^3+a_4s^2+a_5s+a_6}{b_0s^2+b_1s+b_2} \tag{36}$$

where $a_0=1.8385\times10^{-9}$ s^4, $a_1=-1.7167\times10^{-9}$ s^3, $a_2=1.3257\times10^{-6}$ s^2, $a_3=-9.7898\times10^{-7}$ s, $a_4=5.5414\times10^{-4}$, $a_5=-1.4522\times10^{-4}$ s^{-1}, $a_6=0.0228$ s^{-2}, $b_0=1.8417$ $\mathrm{N\cdot s^2\cdot m^{-1}}$, $b_1=0.1975$ $\mathrm{N\cdot s\cdot m^{-1}}$ and $b_3=413.5110$ $\mathrm{N\cdot m^{-1}}$.

The analytical expression of the curve-fitted accelerance $h_{a,21}^{sh}(s)$ is

$$h_{a,21}^{sh}(s)=\frac{a_0s^6+a_1s^5+a_2s^4+a_3s^3+a_4s^2+a_5s+a_6}{b_0s^2+b_1s+b_2} \tag{37}$$

where $a_0=1.8438\times10^{-9}$ s^4, $a_1=-1.6904\times10^{-9}$ s^3, $a_2=1.3114\times10^{-6}$ s^2, $a_3=-9.6580\times10^{-7}$ s, $a_4=5.4878\times10^{-4}$, $a_5=-1.4277\times10^{-4}$ s^{-1}, $a_6=0.0225$ s^{-2}, $b_0=2.2058$ $\mathrm{N\cdot s^2\cdot m^{-1}}$, $b_1=0.2366$ $\mathrm{N\cdot s\cdot m^{-1}}$ and $b_3=495.2469$ $\mathrm{N\cdot m^{-1}}$.

The accelerances $h_{a,11}^{s}$ and $h_{a,21}^{s}$ were then found using Eqs. (29) and (31). Fig. 15 and Fig. 16 show that the calculated accelerances (thick dashed lines) of the empty structure agree well

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

455 with their measured counterparts (thin solid lines). Utilising the characteristic equation for the
456 synthesised accelerances of the empty structure, the fundamental natural frequency and
457 damping ratio of the empty structure were identified as 2.41 Hz and 0.3 % (i.e. the same
458 values that would be obtained by curve fitting the measured accelerance for the empty
459 structure).



(a) **(b)**

460 **Fig. 15 Direct accelerances of unoccupied and occupied structures: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase**



(a) **(b)**

461 **Fig. 16 Cross accelerances of unoccupied and occupied structures: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase**

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

462 Let the expectations of the damping and stiffness of the human body be $E_{c_h} = 1.65 \times 10^3$
463 N·s·m^{-1} and $E_{k_h} = 9.28 \times 10^4$ N·m^{-1}, respectively. Let us assume that the standard deviations of
464 the damping and stiffness are $\sigma_{c_h} = 0.1 c_h$ N·s·m^{-1} and $\sigma_{k_h} = 0.1 k_h$ N·m^{-1}, respectively. The
465 corresponding expectations and standard deviations of the damping ratio and frequency of the
466 human body are $E_{\zeta_h} = 27.0\%$ and $\sigma_{\zeta_h} = 3.0\%$ and $E_{f_h} = 4.84$ Hz and $\sigma_{f_h} = 0.24$ Hz, estimated
467 using the second-order perturbation method [32]. By using the proposed uncertainty
468 estimation method, the expectation and standard deviation of the direct accelerance of the
469 empty bridge are plotted in Fig. 17. The CoV of 10% for both the damping and stiffness of
470 the human body led to the maximum CoV of 7% for the magnitude and phase of the
471 predicted FRF of the empty structure. The predicted expectation and standard deviation of
472 FRFs were verified using Monte Carlo simulations (sample size = 1000).

473



(a) **(b)**

474 **Fig. 17 Direct accelerance of the empty system: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase;**
475 **Solid line - Expectation; Error bar - Standard deviation**

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

4.4. Numerical example: correcting multiple modes of a bridge

A three-span continuous bridge (Fig. 18) made of glass FRP composite material is used to illustrate the robustness of the method. The bridge has a total length of $3L = 3 \times 20 = 60$ m, density $\rho = 1.9 \times 10^3$ kg·m^{-3}, area of cross section $A = 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ m^2, longitudinal modulus of elasticity $E = 2.47 \times 10^{10}$ N·m^{-2}, second moment of area $I = 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ m^4, shear modulus $G = 3.9 \times 10^9$ N·m^{-2} and shear coefficient $\kappa = 0.08$. A human having mass $m_h = 73$ kg, natural frequency $f_h = 4.41$ Hz and damping ratio $\zeta_h = 33.0\%$ is assumed to stand in the middle of the first span (point 1 in Fig. 18).

A two-dimensional finite element (FE) model of the bridge is developed using an improved two-node Timoshenko beam finite element [38]. The FE model consists of 120 elements of equal length. Proportional damping $\mathbf{C} = \alpha\mathbf{M} + \beta\mathbf{K}$ ($\alpha = \beta = 0.0006$) is assumed. Similarly, the FE model of the human-bridge system can be obtained.



Fig. 18 A three-span continuous bridge

Numerical integration was first carried out to calculate the time-domain responses of the human-bridge system driven by a linear chirp excitation force (having magnitude 100 N and sweeping from 1 Hz to 10 Hz) at point 1 for 112 seconds and then left to return to rest over the next 8 seconds. The actual direct receptance h_{11}^{sh} of the human-bridge system was then numerically estimated using the excitation force and the resultant vertical displacement response at point 1 and it is shown by the thin dash-dotted line in Fig. 19. This receptance

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

496 plays the role of a known (usually by measurement) FRF of the human-structure system. By
497 curve fitting the receptance h_{11}^{sh} in the frequency range from 2 Hz to 8 Hz, a rational fraction
498 polynomial of the direct receptance may be obtained as

499
$$h_{11}^{sh}(s)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{13} a_{i-1}s^{13-i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{9} b_{j-1}s^{9-j}} \tag{38}$$

500 where $a_{i-1}\left(i=1,2,\mathrm{L}\ ,13\right)$ and $b_{j-1}\left(j=1,2,\mathrm{L}\ ,9\right)$ are given the appendix. Its characteristic
501 equation gives the natural frequencies and damping ratios of the first four modes of the
502 human-bridge system, which are summarised in Table 2.

503 By using the proposed method, the known human body dynamics, Eqs. (38) and (29), the
504 direct receptance of the unoccupied bridge in the frequency range from 2 Hz to 8 Hz can be
505 synthesised, shown by the thick dashed line in Fig. 19. It can be seen that the synthesised
506 receptance of the unoccupied bridge is coincident with the actual receptance (denoted as Act
507 in Fig. 19) of the unoccupied bridge (the thin solid line in Fig. 19), which was obtained
508 numerically. The natural frequencies and damping ratios of the unoccupied bridge were then
509 determined by solving the characteristic equation obtained from the synthesised direct
510 receptance of the unoccupied bridge and they are presented in Table 2.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015



(a) **(b)**

Fig. 19 Direct receptances of the occupied and unoccupied structures: (a) Magnitude; (b) Phase

Table 2 Modal parameters of the human-bridge system and the unoccupied bridge (S Mode - Structural motion dominated mode; H Mode - Human motion dominated mode)

No.	Mode Description	Human-bridge system		Empty bridge		Relative difference (%)	
		Frequency (Hz)	Damping ratio (%)	Frequency (Hz)	Damping ratio (%)	Frequency	Damping ratio
1	S Mode	3.53	3.8	3.72	0.7	-5.1	443
2	S Mode	4.25	4.1	4.48	0.8	-5.1	413
3	H Mode	5.00	23.8	/	/	/	/
4	S Mode	5.95	2.7	5.97	1.1	-0.3	145

The occupancy of the human increases the damping ratios of the first three structural motion dominated modes by 443%, 413% and 145%, respectively (Table 2). By contrast, it decreases the frequencies of the first three modes by 5.1%, 5.1% and 0.3%, respectively. This example demonstrates that had the measured human-structure receptances not been corrected, the modal properties of the structure would be erroneous.

Fig. 19 shows that the proposed method corrects the dynamic properties of the first three modes (two of which are relatively closely spaced) simultaneously which is advantageous compared with the methods that rely on SDOF models of the structure.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

5. Conclusions

The paper presents a new theoretical framework which offers closed-form solutions in terms of curve-fitted FRFs and flexibility of being used for any of the three applications as and when needed, i.e. prediction of the dynamics of a structure occupied by a human when the properties of individual components are known, the identification of human body dynamics when the dynamics of the empty structure and the structure occupied by the human are known and the identification of the empty structure when the dynamics of the human and the structure occupied by the human are known. In addition, the influence of uncertainties in human body dynamics on the dynamic identification of the empty structure and human-structure system was quantified using the second-order perturbation method. The robustness and accuracy of the proposed framework were demonstrated in several numerical and experimental examples. The method is simple to use, it is computationally efficient and it provides an effective means of studying human-structure interaction problems that are especially relevant for light-weight, slender structures. The proposed method, which focuses on the presence of a single human in this paper, will be extended to the crowd-structure interaction in future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research work was supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [grant number EP/M021505/1: Characterising dynamic performance of fibre reinforced polymer structures for resilience and sustainability]. The data are self-contained in the paper.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

Appendix : Coefficients in Eq. (38)

$a_0 = -2.1394\times10^{-23}\ \mathrm{s}^{10}$, $a_1 = 5.0748\times10^{-21}\ \mathrm{s}^{9}$, $a_2 = -9.3199\times10^{-19}\ \mathrm{s}^{8}$, $a_3 = 3.8819\times10^{-17}\ \mathrm{s}^{7}$, $a_4 = 2.5281\times10^{-15}\ \mathrm{s}^{6}$, $a_5 = 2.6507\times10^{-13}\ \mathrm{s}^{5}$, $a_6 = 1.1073\times10^{-10}\ \mathrm{s}^{4}$, $a_7 = 2.2661\times10^{-9}\ \mathrm{s}^{3}$, $a_8 = 2.8389\times10^{-07}\ \mathrm{s}^{2}$, $a_9 = 3.6935\times10^{-6}\ \mathrm{s}$, $a_{10} = 2.4034\times10^{-4}$, $a_{11} = 0.0015\ \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, $a_{12} = 0.0658\ \mathrm{s}^{-2}$, $b_0 = 4.4691\times10^{-8}\ \mathrm{N\cdot s^8\cdot m^{-1}}$, $b_1 = 9.2806\times10^{-7}\ \mathrm{N\cdot s^7\cdot m^{-1}}$, $b_2 = 1.6473\times10^{-4}\ \mathrm{N\cdot s^6\cdot m^{-1}}$, $b_3 = 0.0024\ \mathrm{N\cdot s^5\cdot m^{-1}}$, $b_4 = 0.2132\ \mathrm{N\cdot s^4\cdot m^{-1}}$, $b_5 = 1.9781\ \mathrm{N\cdot s^3\cdot m^{-1}}$, $b_6 = 114.5197\ \mathrm{N\cdot s^2\cdot m^{-1}}$, $b_7 = 496.7671\ \mathrm{N\cdot s\cdot m^{-1}}$ and $b_8 = 2.1588\times10^{4}\ \mathrm{N\cdot m^{-1}}$.

References

[1] B.R. Ellis, T. Ji, Human-structure interaction in vertical vibrations, Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Structures and Buildings, 122 (1997) 1-9.

[2] R. Sachse, The influences of human occupants on the dynamic properties of slender structures, PhD Thesis, University of Sheffield, 2003.

[3] R. Sachse, A. Pavic, P. Reynolds, Human-structure dynamic interaction in civil engineering dynamics: A literature review, Shock and Vibration Digest, 35 (2003) 3-18.

[4] E. Shahabpoor, A. Pavic, V. Racic, Interaction between walking humans and structures in vertical direction: a lterature review, Shock and Vibration, 2016 (2016) 22.

[5] K. Van Nimmen, G. Lombaert, G. De Roeck, P. Van den Broeck, Reduced-order models for vertical human-structure interaction, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 744 (2016) 012030.

[6] X. Zheng, J.M.W. Brownjohn, Modeling and simulation of human-floor system under vertical vibration, in: L.P. Davis (Ed.) Proc. SPIE 4327, Smart Structures and Materials 2001: Smart Structures and Integrated Systems, Newport Beach, CA, USA, 2001, pp. 513-520.

[7] K. Van Nimmen, G. Lombaert, G. De Roeck, P. Van den Broeck, The impact of vertical human-structure interaction on the response of footbridges to pedestrian excitation, Journal of Sound and Vibration, 402 (2017) 104-121.

[8] P. Reynolds, A. Pavic, Z. Ibrahim, Changes of modal properties of a stadium structure occupied by a crowd, in: Proceedings of the 22nd international modal analysis conference, 2004, pp. 421-428.

572 [9] R. Sachse, A. Pavic, P. Reynolds, Parametric study of modal properties of damped two-
573 degree-of-freedom crowd–structure dynamic systems, Journal of Sound and Vibration, 274
574 (2004) 461-480.

575 [10] J. Sim, A. Blakeborough, M. Williams, Modelling effects of passive crowds on
576 grandstand vibration, Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Structures and
577 Buildings, 159 (2006) 261-272.

578 [11] J.M.W. Brownjohn, Energy dissipation from vibrating floor slabs due to human-
579 structure interaction, Shock and Vibration, 8 (2001).

580 [12] S.H. Kim, K. Cho, M. Choi, M.S. Choi, J.Y. Lim, Development of human body model
581 for the dynamic analysis of footbridges under pedestrian induced excitation, Steel Structures,
582 8 (2008) 333-345.

583 [13] E. Shahabpoor, A. Pavic, V. Racic, Identification of mass–spring–damper model of
584 walking humans, Structures, 5 (2016) 233-246.

585 [14] K. Van Nimmen, K. Maes, S. Zivanovic, G. Lombaert, G. De Roeck, P. Van den Broeck,
586 Identification and modelling of vertical human-structure interaction, in: J. Caicedo, S. Pakzad
587 (Eds.) Dynamics of Civil Structures, Volume 2: Proceedings of the 33rd IMAC, A
588 Conference and Exposition on Structural Dynamics, 2015, Springer International Publishing,
589 Cham, 2015, pp. 319-330.

590 [15] C.C. Caprani, E. Ahmadi, Formulation of human–structure interaction system models for
591 vertical vibration, Journal of Sound and Vibration, 377 (2016) 346-367.

592 [16] M. Zhang, C.T. Georgakis, W. Qu, J. Chen, SMD model parameters of pedestrians for
593 vertical human-structure interaction, in: J. Caicedo, S. Pakzad (Eds.) Dynamics of Civil
594 Structures, Volume 2: Proceedings of the 33rd IMAC, A Conference and Exposition on
595 Structural Dynamics, 2015, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015, pp. 311-317.

596 [17] L. Wei, M.J. Griffin, Mathematical models for the apparent mass of the seated human
597 body exposed to vertical vibration, Journal of Sound and Vibration, 212 (1998) 855-874.

598 [18] Y. Matsumoto, M.J. Griffin, Mathematical models for the apparent masses of standing
599 subjects exposed to vertical whole-body vibration, Journal of Sound and Vibration, 260 (2003)
600 431-451.

601 [19] R.O. Foschi, G.A. Neumann, F. Yao, B. Folz, Floor vibration due to occupants and
602 reliability-based design guidelines, Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 22 (1995) 471-
603 479.

604 [20] C.A. Jones, P. Reynolds, A. Pavic, Vibration serviceability of stadia structures subjected
605 to dynamic crowd loads: A literature review, Journal of Sound and Vibration, 330 (2011)
606 1531-1566.

607 [21] Y. Matsumoto, M.J. Griffin, Dynamic response of the standing human body exposed to
608 vertical vibration: Influence of posture and vibration magnitude, Journal of Sound and
609 Vibration, 212 (1998) 85-107.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

610 [22] J. Sim, Human-structure interaction in cantilever grandstands, PhD Thesis, The
611 University of Oxford 2006.

612 [23] A. Kyprianou, J.E. Mottershead, H. Ouyang, Assignment of natural frequencies by an
613 added mass and one or more springs, Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 18 (2004)
614 263-289.

615 [24] X. Wei, J.E. Mottershead, Y.M. Ram, Partial pole placement by feedback control with
616 inaccessible degrees of freedom, Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 70–71 (2016)
617 334-344.

618 [25] X. Wei, J.E. Mottershead, Block-decoupling vibration control using eigenstructure
619 assignment, Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 74 (2016) 11-28.

620 [26] X. Wei, J.E. Mottershead, Aeroelastic systems with softening nonlinearity, AIAA
621 Journal, 52 (2014) 1915-1927.

622 [27] IStructE/DTLR/DCMS, Dynamic performance requirements for permanent grandstands
623 subject to crowd action, recommendations for management, design and assessment, The
624 Institution of Structural Engineers (IStructE), London, 2008.

625 [28] A. Pavic, P. Reynolds, Experimental verification of novel 3DOF model for grandstand
626 crowdstructure dynamic interaction, in: 26th international modal analysis conference:
627 IMAC-XXVI, Orlando, Florida, 2008.

628 [29] C.A. Jones, A. Pavic, P. Reynolds, R.E. Harrison, Verification of equivalent mass–
629 spring–damper models for crowd–structure vibration response prediction, Canadian Journal
630 of Civil Engineering, 38 (2011) 1122-1135.

631 [30] K.A. Salyards, N.C. Noss, Experimental evaluation of the influence of human-structure
632 interaction for vibration serviceability, Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, 28
633 (2014) 458-465.

634 [31] G.H. Golub, C.F. Van Loan, Matrix computations, 3rd ed, Baltimore, MD: Johns
635 Hopkins, 1996.

636 [32] B. Sudret, Uncertainty propagation and sensitivity analysis in mechanical models–
637 Contributions to structural reliability and stochastic spectral methods, Habilitationa diriger
638 des recherches, Université Blaise Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand, France, (2007).

639 [33] ISO, ISO 5982:1981 Vibration and shock -- Mechanical driving point impedance of the
640 human body, International Organisation for Standarisation (ISO), Geneva, Switzerland, 1981.

641 [34] S. Zivanovic, X. Wei, J. Russell, J.T. Mottram, Vibration performance of two FRP
642 footbridge structures in the United Kingdom, in: Footbridge 2017, Berlin, Germany, 2017.

643 [35] M.H. Richardson, D.L. Formenti, Parameter estimation from frequency response
644 measurements using rational fraction polynomials, in: the 1st Internation Modal Analysis
645 Conference, Orlando, FL, 1982.

This paper has been published under the following reference: Wei, X. and Živanović, S. (2018) Frequency response function-based explicit framework for dynamic identification in human-structure systems. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 422, pp. 453-470, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2018.02.015

646 [36] D. Formenti, M.H. Richardson, Global frequency & damping estimates from frequency
647 response measurements, in: 4th International Modal Analysis Conference, Los Angeles, CA,
648 1986.

649 [37] M. Abe, J.O. Smith III, Design criteria for simple sinusoidal parameter estimation based
650 on quadratic interpolation of FFT magnitude peaks, in: Audio Engineering Society
651 Convention 117, Audio Engineering Society, 2004.

652 [38] Z. Friedman, J.B. Kosmatka, An improved two-node Timoshenko beam finite element,
653 Computers & Structures, 47 (1993) 473-481.

654