

the Italian Journal of Mammalogy

# Manuscript Title: Rensch's and Bergmann's Rules in Cis-Andean South-American Howler Monkeys (Mammalia: Alouatta)

#### Type:

Research paper

#### Abstract:

Howler monkeys (genus Alouatta) are large folivorous primates living in South America. We tested for the application of both Rensch's rule and Bergmann's rule to body size variation in Alouatta. We found that Rensch's rule does apply in howlers. In Alouatta, males exploit dominance rank competition, and take advantage from seasonal abundance of high nutritious fruit supply in their diet. This mating system and dietary charateristics suggest positive male selection for body size is responsible for Rensch's rule. However, since folivory favors large body size in primates (to lower mass specific metabolic rate) and it is the primary dietary habitus in howlers, larger species do occur in the Amazon basin, originating a reversed Bergmann's rule pattern for both males and females at the interspecific level. The spatial and phylogenetic components of such body size patterns of variation are both important, implying Alouatta ecomorphological differences to occur above the species level, justifying their non-overlapping geographic distribution.

#### Keywords:

howler monkeys, body size, Rensch's rule, Bergmann's rule





1	1	Manuscript Title: Rensch's and Bergmann's Rules in Cis-Andean South-American Howler Monkeys
2	2	(Mammalia: Alouatta)
3	3	Jamile de Moura Bubadué <sup>1</sup> , George Lucas Sá Polidoro <sup>1</sup> , Geruza Melo <sup>2</sup> , Jonas Sponchiado <sup>1</sup> , Carmela Serio <sup>3</sup> ,
4	4	Marina Melchionna <sup>3</sup> , Alessandro Mondanaro <sup>3</sup> , Silvia Castiglione <sup>3</sup> , Carlo Meloro <sup>4</sup> , Pasquale Raia <sup>3</sup> , Nilton Carlos
5	5	Cáceres <sup>2</sup> & Francesco Carotenuto <sup>3</sup> *
6	6	
7	7	<sup>1</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biodiversidade Animal, Department of Biology, CCNE, Federal University of
8	8	Santa Maria, Santa Maria, RS, 97110-970, Brazil
9	9	<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolution, CCNE, Federal University of Santa Maria, Santa Maria, RS, 97110-970,
10	10	Brazil
11	11	<sup>3</sup> Department of Earth Science, Environment and Resources, University of Naples Federico II, 80138
12	12	Napoli, Italy
13	13	<sup>4</sup> Research Centre in EvolutionaryAnthropology and Palaeoecology, School of Natural Sciences and Psychology,
14	14	LiverpoolJohn Moores University, Liverpool, UK
15	15	
16	16	e-mail addresses:
17	17	Jamile de Moura Bubadué: jamilebubadue@gmail.com
18	18	George Lucas Sá Polidoro: george.sa89@gmail.com
19	19	Geruza Melo: geruzalm@yahoo.com.br
20	20	Jonas Sponchiado: jsponchiado@yahoo.com.br
21	21	Carmela Serio: karmserio@gmail.com
22	22	Marina Melchionna: marinaversity@gmail.com
23	23	Alessandro Mondanaro: ale.mondanaro92@gmail.com
24	24	Silvia Castiglione: silviacastiglione2@gmail.com
25	25	Carlo Meloro: C.Meloro@ljmu.ac.uk
26	26	Pasquale Raia: pasquale.raia@unina.it
27	27	Nilton Caceres: niltoncaceres@gmail.com
28	28	Francesco Carotenuto: f.carotenuto@ymail.com
29	29	
30	30	
31	31	*Corresponding author: f.carotenuto@ymail.com
32	32	
33	33	
34	34	
35	35	





### 36 **36 ABSTRACT**

37	37	Howler monkeys (genus Alouatta) are large folivorous primates living in South America. We tested for the
38	38	application of both Rensch's rule and Bergmann's rule to body size variation in Alouatta. We found that
39	39	Rensch's rule does apply in howlers. In Alouatta, males exploit dominance rank competition, and take advantage
40	40	from seasonal abundance of high nutritious fruit supply in their diet. This mating system and dietary
41	41	charateristics suggest positive male selection for body size is responsible for Rensch's rule. However, since
42	42	folivory favors large body size in primates (to lower mass specific metabolic rate) and it is the primary dietary
43	43	habitus in howlers, larger species do occur in the Amazon basin, originating a reversed Bergmann's rule pattern
44	44	for both males and females at the interspecific level. The spatial and phylogenetic components of such body size
45	45	patterns of variation are both important, implying Alouatta ecomorphological differences to occur above the
46	46	species level, justifying their non-overlapping geographic distribution.
47	47	
48	48	
49	49	
50	50	Keywords: Howler monkeys, body size, Rensch's rule, Bergmann's rule
51	51	
52	52	
53	53	
54	54	
55	55	
56	56	
57	57	
58	58	
59	59	
60	60	
61	61	
62	62	
63	63	
64	64	
65	65	





#### 66 66 **INTRODUCTION**

67 67 In 1847, Carl Bergmann observed that, among endothermic species, individuals living at high latidudes 68 68 tend to be larger than those standing closer to the equator (Bergmann 1847). This was once explained with the 69 69 higher body surface-to-volume ratio in smaller animals, which helps dissipating heat in warm habitats (Meiri et 70 70 al. 2007). The heat conservation hypothesis is not a sufficient explanation for it, and further justifications regard 71 71 fasting endurance (Linstedt and Boyce 1985), environmental predictability (Calder 1974), and productivity 72 72 (James 1970). 73 73 Whatever the reason for Bergmann's rule is, its application is not as universal as the term 'rule' would suggest 74 74 (Meiri, 2011). In small-sized animals, like rodents, there are several cases of reverse Bergmannian pattern 75 75 (Maestri et al. 2015; Medina et al. 2007; Belk and Houston 2002; Gohli and Vojie 2016). In the Neotropics,

Martínez et al. (2013) recorded a Bergmann's rule like pattern South to the equator for crab-eating fox
 *Cerdocyon*, while the reverse applies North to it. These examples suggest that, perhaps unsurprisingly, the

- 78 78 relationship between body size and the geography is far more complicated than a simplistic rule would suggest.
   79 79 While Bergmann's rule describes a latitudinal size cline, Rensch's rule predicts that sexual dimorphism
   80 80 (SSD) increases with body size for species whose males are larger, and the opposite if females are (Rensch 1950,
- 81 81 Fairbairn 1997, 2007, 2013; Weckerly 1998; Fairbairn et al. 2007). Male body size is in fact expected to be the
  82 82 primary locus of selection for Rensch's rule, due to male-male competition for mates (Blanckenhorn 2006;
- 83 83 Gordon 2004).

76

77

84 84 Since Bergmann's rule predicts larger body size with latitude, and Rensch's rule predicts larger SSD
85 85 with males larger than females, the effect of the two patterns may conflate, provided the largest species occur
86 86 farther from the equator (Eweleit et al. 2014; Werner et al. 2016). Thus, under Rensch's rule, the latitudinal trend
87 87 in male body size may steepen (Blanckenhorn et al. 2006).

88 88 In primates, both Bergmann's and Rensch's rules were explored a number of times (Gordon 2004;

- 89 89 Clauss et al 2013). Harcourt and Schreier (2009) found support for Bergmann's rule, and Smith and Cheverud
- 90 90 (2002) found Primate as a whole to obey Rensch's rule. Yet, when the model is controlled for the phylogeny, the
  - 91 relationship disappears for both Platyrrhini and Strepsirhini.
- 9292Howler monkeys (genus Alouatta) are an ideal study model to test Bergmann's rule, Rensch's rules, and9393their potential interaction. Howlers are highly sexually dimorphic (Ford 1994), and widely distributed in South9494America. Alouatta belongs to the Atelidae family. The genus comprises 11 species, which diversified during the9595Miocene, when their common ancestor expanded its geographical range through the Andean Cordillera (Meloro





et al. 2014; Lynch-Alfaro et al. 2012). Biogeographically, there are two distinct, monophiletic groups of howlers. Trans-Andean Alouatta include species distributed over Central America and Trans-Andean Colombia and Equador. Cis-Andean Alouatta include the South American species (Cortes-Ortiz et al. 2003). Although widely distributed, most Alouatta species are restricted to a single biome and show little geographic overlap with each other (i.e. they tend to be parapatric). Howler monkeys are highly-specialized leafs feeders. As with many folivorous taxa, these monkeys tend to have a low activity pattern as compared to other South-American primates such as capuchins (Cortes-Ortiz et al. 2003; Lynch-Alfaro et al. 2012a). We tested whether Rensch's and Bergmann's rules apply to Alouatta species and their interaction. We focused upon the Cis-Andean clade we have studied in the field. This is welcome because only Cis-Andean Alouatta occurs outside the Tropics, and occupy, as a group, a much wider latitudinal range than the Trans-Andean clade, making them better suited to study latitudinal effects on body size variation. We used latitude as the predictor variable in both cases, but since latitude is just a proxy for environmental variables (see Martinez et al. 2013; Maestri et al. 2016), such as temperature, precipitation and vegetation type, we further tested for the impact of these variables. Specifically, we stated three explicit hypotheses: 1 - Alouatta species follow Rensch's rule. In these primates, males tend to be larger than females and compete with each other (Meloro et al. 2014). Thus, we expect a stronger relationship between sexual dimorphism and the size of males rather than the size of females (i.e. male-driven increased SSD with size). 2 - Alouatta species follow Bergmann's rule at the interspecific level (Pincheira-Donoso 2010; Meiri 2011). 3 - Sexual size dimorphism (SSD) varies with the latitude. This hypothesis follows form hypotheses 1 and 2. If Rensch's and Bergmann's rule both apply in Alouatta, then sexual dimorphism will also correlate with latitude (as well as with the environmental variables latitude is a proxy for). MATERIALS AND METHODS We collected data for 227 skulls of *Alouatta*, belonging to the following six different species, A. belzebul, A. caraya, A. guariba, A. macconelli, A. nigerrima and A. seniculus (with the exclusion of A. sara because of the lack of specimens in the museums we visited) housed in the main Brazilian museums: Museu Nacional (MNRJ), Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi (MPEG), Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), Museu de História Natural Capão da Imbuia (MHNCI), Coleção Científica de Mastozoologia da UFPR (DZUP), Museu de ciências naturais da Fundação Zoobotânica do Rio Grande do Sul (MCN/FZB). We





included only specimens for which collection locality geographical coordinates were available. Unfortunately, body size data were not reported in most cases. We therefore relied on geometric morphometrics techniques to retrieve size information from the collected specimens. In geometric morphometrics, landmarks (placed on specimens' anatomically homologus points) are placed all along the structure of interest (in this case the skull). The specimen centroid size (a measure of the size of the landmarks configuration) is a very good proxy for body size (Zelditch et al. 2002). The data-acquisition protocol includes taking skull photographs taken at a fixed distance (1 m) to the digital camera appling zoom to correct possible deformations due to lenses (Meloro et al. 2008). Then, digital photographs were landmarked by a single investigator (N.C.), in order to prevent inter-observer error, using the software tpsDig2 2.16 (Rohlf, 2015). When taking photos, we positioned a scale bar adjacent to the specimen in order to transform digital pixels into linear measurements, allowing us to compute skull size directly from the configuration of landmarks. Twenty-three homologous landmarks were identified and digitized in order to extract skull size information, in the form of the natural logarithm of centroid size (LnCS, see configuration of landmarks used at Meloro et al. 2014). The protocol concluds with analytical and geometric transformation that reduce acquisition error and scales all the measured specimens to the unity (Rohlf and Slice 1990). In order to study the geographical patterns of species body size and SSD, we collated geographically the

specimens by performing a classic spatial sampling protocol. We overlaid the geographic dataset (sampling points) with a grid and then computed mean female body size, mean male body size, and SSD per species per each cell of the grid. This way, each body size mean and SSD datapoints acquire the geographical coordinates of the cell centroid they belong, separately for each species. We used a grid with a 250 x 250 km cell resolution in order to maximize the number of useful cells as to have at least one individual of both sexes for each species in a cell. In the end, the original dataset reduced from 227 specimens to 82 samples distributed in 38 total useful cells following the criteria explained above (Figure. 1; see also Figure S1 and Table S1).

- 149 149
- 150 150

### 151 151 ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES

152152For each specimen, we recorded the geographic coordinates of its collection locality and a set of four related153153environmental variables: Annual Mean Temperature (BIO1), Temperature Seasonality (BIO4), Annual154154Precipitation (BIO12) and Precipitation Seasonality (BIO15) (Hijmans et al. 2005). These variables are provided155155as grogrphical raster grids at 50x50 km cell resolution (WorldClim raster database, worldclim.org). Temperature





156	156	and precipitation, together with their variability, determine the dominant climate of a region. Two additional
157	157	variables were taken from the Atlas of the Biosphere [net primary productivity (NPP) and evapotranspiration,
158	158	https://nelson.wisc.edu/sage/data-and-models/atlas/maps.php], by using DIVA-GIS 7.5 software
159	159	(http://www.divagis.org/download). These variables are informative as per the energy (biomass) available to
160	160	species, which may impact on sexual dimorphism in primates (Plavcan et al. 2013).
161	161	
162	162	STATISTICAL ANALYSES
163	163	First, we used the cell-averaged LnCS to test for differences in sex and species (and their interaction) by using a
164	164	two-way ANOVA. To test the existence of Rensch's rule, we computed the Sexual Size Dimorphism (SSD) for
165	165	each species in each cell as the difference between male and female LnCS and used it as response variable versus
166	166	female and male LnCS as covariates in a linear regression. Then, to test for Bergmann's rule, we used the cell-
167	167	averaged female and male mean LnCS for each species against latitude of the cells centroids. Similarly, to test
168	168	for a potential role of climate on these species skull size variability, we ran regression models including
169	169	environmental variables as predictors and the sex-averaged LnCS for each species in each cell as response. The
170	170	interaction between Rensch's and Bergmann's rule was tested by using SDD per cell as the response variable,
171	171	and the latitude of the cell centroid, plus environmental variables in separate regression models (one for each
172	172	predictor).
173	173	
174	174	Controlling for the spatial autocorrelation and phylogenetic relatedness
175	175	When dealing with geographically distributed variables, their spatial autocorrelation must be accounted for
176	176	(Diniz-Filho et al. 2003). To this aim, we computed Moran's Index on both cell averaged SSD and male and
177	177	female LnCS by using the software Sam v.4.0 (Rangel et al. 2010). In the case of the detection of a significant
178	178	spatial autocorrelation, we took it into account in our analyses by including a new set of variables describing the
179	179	spatial structure of the variables. This is done by performing the Eigenvector-based Spatial Filtering (Griffith,
180	180	2013), which is a method that uses a distance or connectivity matrix to perform a Principal Coordinate Analysis
181	181	(PCOA). Then, the method selects the eigenvectors iteratively as to minimize spatial autocorrelation in the
182	182	residuals (Griffith and Peres-Neto 2006). The algorithm starts by using the eigenvectors as explanatory variables
183	183	in an Ordinary Least Square (OLS) regression with the trait (here cell averaged male, female LnCS, or SSD,
184	184	alternatively) as the response variable. The residual autocorrelation is computed and the eigenvector in the model

185 185 with smallest Moran's I coefficient is selected and becomes fixed. The algorithm proceeds iteratively by adding



## HYSTRIX the Italian Journal of Mammalogy

new eigenvectors in the (multiple) regression until the residuals autocorrelation is below a given threshold for p-values, usually 0.05 (Diniz-Filho et al. 2012; Carotenuto et al. 2015). Once the algorithm finds the most relevant eigenvectors, we can include them as additional covariates (herein named "spatial finters") in the regression modes The algorithm described above was performed by using the software SAM (Rangel et al. 2010). Due to species shared ancestry, we also needed to take into account possible phylogenetic effects. We used as a reference the *Alouatta* tree provided by Cortes-Ortiz et al. (2003). The tree was trimmed to our dataset (i.e. by including Cis-Andean clade species only) using the Mesquite 2.75 software (Maddison and Maddison 2011) (Figure S2). We excluded A. nigerrima from the phylogenetic analyses because of its unstable phylogenetic positioning. Branch lengths were based on the estimated minimum ages, as reported in Cortes-Ortiz et al. (2003). The ages of undated nodes were estimated using the BLADJ algorithm (branch length adjustment; Webb et al 2008) in the Phylocom software. Since specimens were used as our sample base for the phylogeny, polytomies within each species were employed when more than one specimen per species was in the tree, conventionally setting tips within species at 0.1 Ma. The inclusion of multiple specimens per species is particularly important here, since potential within-species variation related to sex, geographical distribution and climate are the focus of the present paper. The multispecimens phylogenetic regressions were performed applying phylogenetic generalized least squares regressions (Ives et al. 2007), between environmental variables and the cell averaged values of SSD, of male LnCS, and of female LnCS, respectively, while accounting for interspecific variability, using the function pgls.SEy in 'phytools' (Revell 2012). We performed all the regressions in four ways: by using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS); OLS with the spatial filters as additional covariates to account for spatial autocorrelation; performing PGLSs to account for phylogenetic relatedness; and drawing a more complex set of models by performing PGLS regressions including spatial filters as additional covariates to account for both phylogenetic relatedness and spatial autocorrelation at the same time. RESULTS By grouping specimens using the 250 x 250 km cell resolution grid we identified 38 cells. Where a species was present with individuals of one sex only it was excluded. By this criterion, the number of cells available to testing reduced to 34.





217	217	In the two-way ANOVA model using species and sex as factors, we found size to be significantly
218	218	different for both factors (Species: F = 15.626, Df = 5, P < 0.001; Sex: F = 392.251, Df = 1, P < 0.001), with no
219	219	interaction between them (F = 0.801; Df = 5, P = 0.553). Males are larger than females in all species, with A.
220	220	macconnelli and A. seniculus being the largest overall (Figure 2).
221	221	
222	222	Hypothesis 1. Rensch's Rule.
223	223	We found strong evidence in favour of Rensch's rule (Table 2, Figure 3). Males skull size is significantly related
224	224	to SSD, the same applies under PGLS, and when spatial filtering is applied. No significant result was found for
225	225	females (Table 2, Figure 3).
226	226	
227	227	Hypothesis 2. Begmann's rule
228	228	Against hypothesis 2, we found the reverse of Bergmann's rule to apply to both females and males in Alouatta
229	229	when using the Ordinary Least Squares regression model (Table 3, Figure 4). The slope is positive, which means
230	230	a decrease of males and females' LnCS southward. For males, the same applies when accounting for spatial and
231	231	phylogenetic effects (Table 3). For females, Bergmann's rule disappeared under PGLS, and under PGLS plus
232	232	spatial filter (Table 3). As regards the relationship between males LnCS and the environmental variables we
233	233	found that when considering the BIO1 as covariate, all the four models were positive but significant only with
234	234	the OLS and the OLS plus spatial filter (Table S3). When we considered the BIO4 as predictor, the model was
235	235	always positive and significant for all the models. All the models were negative and significant when considering
236	236	BIO12 as predictor, whereas no model was significant when considering BIO15. The relationships between male
237	237	LnCS and evapotranspiration were all positive and significant, whereas no significant result was found when
238	238	considering net primary productivity (Table S3).
239	239	For females, the relationship between LnCS and BIO1 was significant and positive only when considering the
240	240	spatial information. The relationships between females LnCS and BIO4 were negative and significant only for
241	241	the OLS and the OLS + spatial filter models, and the same applied when considering BIO4 except for the sign of
242	242	the slope. The relationship between BIO12 and females LnCS was positive and significant only for the OLS and
243	243	OLS + spatial filter, whereas no significant relationships were found for BIO15. Evapotranspiration was positive
244	244	and significant for the first two models (Table S3), whereas no model was singnificant when considering net
245	245	primary productivity as predictor (see Table S3).
246	246	





248 249

247 247 Hypothesis 3. Sexual size dimorphism and latitude

<sup>18</sup> 248 There is no significant relationship between the degree of sexual size dimorphism and latitude, irrespective of

249 249 whether spatial autocorrelation, or phylogeney are accounted for (Table 4). The same applies with environmental
250 250 variables (see Table S3).

- 251 251
- 252 252 **Discussion**

The body size of individuals within species can be shaped by environmental (Bergmann's rule), ethological, or ecological factors, like character displacement, or the mating system (Bubadue et al. 2016; Carotenuto et al. 2015; Lisle and Rowe 2015; Meiri et al. 2014; Schuster and Wade 2003; Lande 1980). The way individuals of both sexes within a species react to these drivers over the evolutionary time determines the degree of sexual dimorphism, and how it unfolds over space.

258 258 South American howler monkeys are folivorous primates. They are large, which helps food digestion 259 259 and lowers mass specific metabolic rates (Meloro et al. 2014a; Cáceres et al. 2014) as compared to other South-260 260 American primates, such as capuchins (Cáceres et al. 2014; Canale et al. 2009; Fragaszy et al. 2004). Howler 261 261 monkeys show dominance rank competition between males (Kay et al. 1988) meaning the intensity of male/male 262 262 context over mates is strong, which promotes sexual dimorphism (Kelaita et al. 2011; Plavcan et al. 1997; Ford, 263 263 1994). In general terms, folivory and arboreality correlate to little sexual size dimorphism in primates (Plavcan et 264 264 al. 1997), but Alouatta possibly makes an exception (Plavcan et al. 1997; Ford 1994). Competition takes place 265 265 between Alouatta species (Peres 1994), meaning the scope for sexual dimorphism is potentially counterbalanced 266 266 by interspecific competition pressure (so far as size overlap between species is minimized to avoid competition, 267 267 Dayan and Simberloff 2005). However, dietary differences between sexes are negligible in Alouatta species 268 268 (Pavelka et al. 2004; Glander and Tedford 1995; Bicca-Marques et al. 1994) meaning there is little competition 269 269 for food between males and females. Therefore, the positive relationship between male size and sexual size 270 270 dimorphism we found (in keeping with Rensch's rule) must be driven by male/male interactions, at least to some 271 271 extent. Ravosa and Ross (1994) found evidence for Rensh's rule in Alouatta, and similarly related their findings 272 272 to the prolonged growth of males in this genus. It has been suggested that an even distribution of resources 273 273 through the year decreases sexual dimorphism in polyginous species (Isaac and Johnson 2003). As Alouatta 274 274 experience a seasonal abundance of fruit in their diet (Bicca-Marques et al. 1994; Peres 1994), it is possible that 275 275 males are better in securing this occasional resource surplus than females, which would burst their growth 276 276 (Weckerly 1998) and help intrasexual competition over mates. We found that Brown howler monkeys A. guariba





277	277	follows Bergmann's rule. It is interesting noticing that the percentage of leaves in the diet of the brown howler
278	278	decreases with latitude in Belize (Chaves and Bicca-Marques 2013). Assuming this to be true for other species as
279	279	well, it suggests that folivory decreases body size differences with latitude within species, but increases it
280	280	between species. This would help explaining why we found evidence for a reverse Bergmann's pattern for both
281	281	males and females (Table 4), and why larger species do occur in the Amazon basin (Figure 1).
282	282	In summary, our results indicate that body size variation in Alouatta follows Rensch's rule. A possible
283	283	explanation of such a pattern can be addressed to the Howler monkeys' dominance rank competition mate
284	284	system(Kay et al. 1988) that, coupled with the seasonal abundance of fruits supply in the Amazon basin, favours
285	285	selection for large sized males in equatorial species. We found a reverse Bergmann's rule pattern between
286	286	species, although Bergmman's rule may be still valid within some individual species. This possibly depends on
287	287	the relative consumption of leaves versus fruit in the diet, which is higher in the Amazon bas in. Whereas larger
288	288	howlers are folivorous, the occasional inclusion of fruit in the diet may increase body size within species,
289	289	especially in males.
290	290	
291	291	Limitation of the study
292	292	We urge the reader to consider that the results we found are valid for some one half of the living Howler
293	293	species. While this does not weaken the validity and the soundness of our findings, it would be interesting to
294	294	explore, in the future, whether the same patterns accrue to Trans-Andean howlers.
295	295	
296	296	Acknowledgment
297	297	We want to acknowledge the editor and two anonymous referees whose comments helped us in improving the
298	298	readability of the manuscript.
299	299	
300	300	References
301	301	Andersson M. 1994. Sexual Selection. Princeton University Press .Princeton, NJ.
302	302	Ashton KG, Tracy MC Tracy, Queiroz A de 2000. Is Bergmann's rule valid for mammals? American Naturalist
303	303	156: 300-415
	505	
304	304	Badyaev AV, Hill GE, Whittingham LA. 2002. Population consequences of maternal effects: sex-bias in egg-
305	305	laying order facilitates divergence in sexual dimorphism between bird populations. Journal of Evolutionary





- 306 306 Biology. 15: 997–1003.
- 307 307 Belk MC, Houston D. 2002. Bergmann's Rule in Ectotherms: A Test Using Freshwater Fishes. The American
  308 308 Naturalist. 160(6).
- 309 Bicca-Marques JC, Calegaro-Marques C. 1994. Activity budget and diet of *Alouatta caraya*: an age-sex analysis.
- 310 310 Folia Primatol 63:216–220.
- 311 311 Bidau CJ, Martinez PA. 2016. Sexual size dimorphism and Rensch's rule in Canidae. Biological Journal of the
  312 312 Linnean Society. 1-15.
- 313 313 Blackburn TM, Gaston KJ, Loder N. 1999. Geographic gradients in body size: a clarification of Bergmann's rule.
- 314 314 Diversity and Distributions. 5: 165–174.
- 315 315 Blanckenhorn WU, Stillwell RC, Young KA, Fox CW, Ashton KG. 2006. When Rensch meets Bergmann: does
- 316 316 sexual size dimorphism change systematically with latitude? Evolution. 60(10):2004-11.
- 317 317 Blomberg SP, Garland T, Ives AR. 2003. Testing for Phylogenetic Signal in Comparative Data: Behavioral
- 318 318 Traits are More Labile. Evolution. 57: 717-745.
- 319 319 Bonvicino CR, Lemos B, Seuánez HN, 2001. Molecular phylogenetics of howler monkeys (Alouatta,
- 320 320 Platyrrhini). Chromosoma. 110: 241–246.
- 321 321 Brown, W. L., & Wilson, E. O. 1956. Character displacement. Systematic zoology, 5(2), 49-64.
- 322 322 Bubadué J, Cáceres N, dos Santos Carvalho, R. 2016. Ecogeographical Variation in Skull Shape of South-
- 323 323 American Canids: Abiotic or Biotic Processes? Evol Biol 43: 145.
- 324 324 Cáceres N, Meloro C, Carotenuto F, Passaro F, Sponchiado J, Melo GL, Raia, P. 2014. Ecogeographical
- 325 325 variation in skull shape of capuchin monkeys. Journal of Biogeography. 41:501–512.
- 326 Calder, W.A. 1974. Consequences of body size for avian energetics. Avian energetics (ed. by R.A.PaynterJr), pp.
- 327 327 86–151. Nuttall Ornithological Club, Cambridge, MA.
- 328 Canale GR, Guidorizzi CE, Kierulff MCM, Gatto C. 2009. First record of tool use by wild popula-tions of the
- 329 329 yellow-breasted capuchin monkey (*Cebus xanthosternos*) and new records for thebearded capuchin (*Cebus*



Download source file (4.33 MB)



- 330 330 *libidinosus* ). Am J Primatol. 71(5):366–372.
- 331 331 Carotenuto, F., Diniz-Filho, J. A. F., & Raia, P. 2015. Space and time: The two dimensions of Artiodactyla body
- 332 332 mass evolution. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 437, 18-25.
- 333 333 Chaves, O. M., & CÉSAR BICCA-MARQUES, J. Ú. L. I. O. 2013. Dietary flexibility of the brown howler
- 334 334 monkey throughout its geographic distribution. American journal of primatology, 75(1), 16-29.
- 335 335 Clauss M, Dittmann MT, Müller DWH, Meloro C, Codron D. 2013. Bergmann's rule in mammals: a cross-
- 336 336 species interspecific pattern. Oikos, 122: 1465–1472.
- 337 337 Cortés-Ortiz, L., Bermingham, E., Rico, C., Rodriguez-Luna, E., Sampaio, I., & Ruiz-Garcia, M. (2003).
- 338 338 Molecular systematics and biogeography of the Neotropical monkey genus, Alouatta. Molecular phylogenetics
- 339 339 and evolution, 26(1), 64-81.
- 340 340 De Lisle, S. P., & Rowe, L. 2015. Ecological character displacement between the sexes. The American
  341 341 Naturalist, 186(6), 693-707.
- 342 342 Diniz-Filho JAF, Bini LM, Hawkins BA. 2003. Spatial autocorrelation and red herrings in geographical ecology.
  343 343 Global Ecology and Biogeography. 12(1): 53-64.
- 344 344 Dray S, Legendre P, Blanchet G. 2007. Forward selection with permutation (Canoco p.46) (Version 0.0–7).
- 345 345 http://r-forge.r-project.org/R/?group\_id=195.
- 346 Eweleit, L., & Reinhold, K. 2014. Body size and elevation: do Bergmann's and Rensch's rule apply in the
- 347 347 polytypic bushcricket *Poecilimon veluchianus*?. Ecological Entomology, 39(1), 133-136.
- 348 Fairbairn DJ 1997. Allometry for sexual size dimorphism: pattern and process in the coevolution of body size in
- 349 349 males and females. Annual review of ecology and systematics. 659-687.
- 350 350 Fairbairn DJ. 2007. Introduction: the enigma of sexual size dimorphism. Sex, Size & Gender Roles:
- 351 351 Evolutionary Studies of Sexual Size Dimorphism, 1-10.
- 352 352 Fairbairn DJ. 2013. Odd Couples. Extraordinary Differences Between the Sexes in the Animal Kingdom.
- 353 353 Princeton University Press.





- 354354Fairbairn D, Blanckenhorn W, Szekely T. 2007. Sex, Size and gender Roles. Evolutionary Studies of Sexual Size
- 355 355 Dimorphism. Oxford University Press.
- 356 Ford, S. M. 1994. Evolution of sexual dimorphism in body weight in platyrrhines. American Journal of
- 357 357 Primatology, 34(2), 221-244.
- 358 358 Fragaszy D, Visalberghi E, Fedigan L. 2004. The complete capuchin. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
  359 359 339 p.
- 360 360 Glander KE, Teaford ME. 1995. Seasonal, sexual, and habitat effects on feeding rates of *Alouatta palliata*. Am
   361 361 Primatol 36:124–125.
- 362 362 Gohli, J., & Voje, K. L. 2016. An interspecific assessment of Bergmann's rule in 22 mammalian families. BMC
  363 363 evolutionary biology, 16(1), 222.
- 364 364 Gordon AD. 2004. Evolution of body size and sexual size dimorphism in the order Primates: Rench's rule,
   365 365 quantitative genetics, and phylogenetic effects. PhD dissertation, University of Texas, Austin.
- 366 366 Gregory-Wodzicki KM. 2000. Uplift history of the central and northern Andes: a review. Geol. Soc. Amer.
  367 367 Bullet. 112: 1091–1105
- 368 368 Harcourt AH, Schreier BM .2009. Diversity, Body Mass, and Latitudinal Gradients in Primates . Internaional
   369 369 Journal of Primatology. 30: 283.
- 370 370 Hijmans RJ, Cameron SE, Parra JL, Jones PG, Jarvis, A. 2005. Very high resolution interpolated climate
  371 371 surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of Climatology. 25: 1965–1978.
- 372 372 Isaac, J. L. 2005. Potential causes and life history consequences of sexual size dimorphism in mammals.
- 373 373 Mammal Review, 35(1), 101-115.
- 374 374 Isaac, J. L., & Johnson, C. N. 2003. Sexual dimorphism and synchrony of breeding: variation in polygyny
- 375 375 potential among populations in the common brushtail possum, *Trichosurus vulpecula*. Behavioral Ecology,
  376 376 14(6), 818-822.
- 377 377 Ives, A. R., P. E. Midford, and T. Garland Jr. 2007. Within-species measurement error in phylogenetic
- 378 378 comparative methods. Systematic Biology, 56, 252-270.





379 James, F.C. 1970. Geographic size variation in birds and its relationship to climate. Ecology, 51, 365–390.

- 380 380 Kay, R. F., Plavcan, J. M., Glander, K. E., & Wright, P. C. 1988. Sexual selection and canine dimorphism in
- 381 381 New World monkeys. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 77(3), 385-397.
- 382 382 Kelaita, M., Dias, P. A. D., Aguilar Cucurachi, M., Canales Espinosa, D., & Cortés Ortiz, L. 2011. Impact of
- 383 383 intrasexual selection on sexual dimorphism and testes size in the Mexican howler monkeys Alouatta palliata and
- 384 *A. pigra*. American journal of physical anthropology, 146(2), 179-187.
- 385 385 Lande, R. 1980. Sexual dimorphism, sexual selection, and adaptation in polygenic characters. Evolution, 34(2),
  386 386 292-305.
- 387 387 Lindstedt, S. L., & Boyce, M. S. 1985. Seasonality, fasting endurance, and body size in mammals. The

388 388 American Naturalist, 125: 873-878.

- 389 389 Lynch-Alfaro JW. 2012. How Different Are Robust and Gracile Capuchin Monkeys? An Argument for the Use
  390 of *Sapajus* and *Cebus*. Am J Primatol. 74(4):273-86.
- 391 391 Maddison WP, Maddison DR. 2011. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis, version 2.75.
   392 392 http://mesquiteproject.org.
- 393 393 Maestri R, Luza AL, de Barros LD, Hartz SM, Ferrari A, de Freitas TRO, Duarte LDS. 2016. Geographical
- 394 394 variation of body size in sigmodontine rodents depends on both environment and phylogenetic composition of
- 395 395 communities. J. Biogeogr. 43: 1192–1202.
- 396 396 Martinez PA, Marti DA, Molina WF, Bidau CJ. 2013. Bergmann's rule across the equator: A case study in
- 397 397 *Cerdocyon thous* (Canidae). Journal of Animal Ecology. 82: 997–1008.
- 398 398 Medina AI, Martí DA, Bidau CJ. 2007. Subterranean rodents of the genus *Ctenomys* (Caviomorpha,
- 399 399 Ctenomyidae) follow the converse to Bergmann's rule. Journal of Biogeography. 34: 1439–1454.
- 400 Meiri, S. 2011. Bergmann's Rule–what's in a name?. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 20(1): 203-207.
- 401 Meiri S, Yom-Tov Y, Geffen E. 2007. What determines conformity to Bergmann's rule?. Global Ecology and
- 402 402 Biogeography. 16: 788–794.





403	403	Meiri, S., Kadison, A. E., Novosolov, M., Pafilis, P., Foufopoulos, J., Itescu, Y., & Pincheira Donoso, D.
404	404	2014. The number of competitor species is unlinked to sexual dimorphism. Journal of Animal Ecology, 83(6),
405	405	1302-1312.
406	406	Meloro C, Cáceres N, Carotenuto F, Passaro F, Sponchiado J, Melo GL, Raia P. 2014a. Ecogeographical
407	407	variation in skull morphometry of howler monkeys (Primates: Atelidae). Zoologischer Anzeiger - A Journal of
408	408	Comparative Zoology. 253: 345-359.
409	409	Meloro C, Cáceres N, Carotenuto F, Sponchiado J, Melo GL, Passaro F, Raia P .2014b. In and out the
410	410	Amazonia: evolutionary ecomorphology in howler and capuchin monkeys. Evolutionary Biology. 41:38-51.
411	411	Pavelka, M. S., & Knopff, K. H. 2004. Diet and activity in black howler monkeys (Alouatta pigra) in southern
412	412	Belize: does degree of frugivory influence activity level?. Primates, 45(2), 105-111.
413	413	Peres, C. A. 1997. Effects of habitat quality and hunting pressure on arboreal folivore densities in neotropical
414	414	forests: a case study of howler monkeys (Alouatta spp.). Folia Primatologica, 68(3-5), 199-222.

415 415 Pincheira-Donoso, D. 2010. The balance between predictions and evidence and the search for universal

416 416 macroecological patterns: taking Bergmann's rule back to its endothermic origin. Theory in Biosciences, 129(4),

- 417 417 247-253.
- 418 418 Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D and R Core Team. 2016. \_nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects
  419 419 Models. R package version 3.1-128. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme.
- 420 420 Plavcan, J. M., & Van Schaik, C. P. 1997. Intrasexual competition and body weight dimorphism in anthropoid

421 421 primates. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 103(1), 37-68.

- 422 422 Rangel TF, Diniz-Filho JAF, Bini LM. 2010. SAM: a comprehensive application for Spatial Analysis in
  423 423 Macroecology. Ecography. 33(1): 46-50.
- 424 424 Rensch, B. 1950. Die Abhängigkeit der relativen Sexualdifferenz von der Körpergrösse. Bonner Zoologische
  425 425 Beiträge. 1:58-69.
- 426 426 Revell LJ. 2012. phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). Methods in
  427 427 Ecology and Evolution. 3: 217-223.





- 428 428 Rohlf FJ. 2015. The tps series of software. Hystrix, The Italian Journal of Mammalogy.
- 429 429 Rohlf FJ, Slice DE. 1990. Extensions of the Procrustes method for the optimal superimposition of landmarks.
  430 430 Systematic Zoology. 39: 40–59.
- 431 431 Rodríguez MÁ, Olalla-Tárraga1 MA, Bradford AH. 2008. Bergmann's rule and the geography of mammal body
- 432 432 size in the Western Hemisphere. Global Ecology and Biogeography. 17: 274–283.
- 433 433 Rosenberger AL. 1992. Evolution of feeding niches in new world monkeys. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 88: 525–
  434 434 562.
- 435 435 Shine R. 1989. Constraints, Allometry, and Adaptation: Food Habits and Reproductive Biology of Australian
- 436 436 Brownsnakes (Pseudonaja: Elapidae). Herpetologica. 45(2): 195-207.
- 437 437 Shuster, S. M., & Wade, M. J. 2003. Mating systems and strategies. Princeton University Press.
- 438 438 Smith, R. J., & Cheverud, J. M. 2002. Scaling of sexual dimorphism in body mass: a phylogenetic analysis of
  439 439 Rensch's rule in primates. International Journal of Primatology, 23(5), 1095-1135.
- 440 Strier KB, 1992. Atelinae adaptations: behavioral strategies and ecological con-straints. Am. J. Phys. Anthrop.
- 441 441 88: 515–524
- 442 442 Wallace AR. 1852. On the monkeys of the Amazon. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London. 20:107443 443 110.
- 444 444 Webb CO, Ackerly DD, Kembel, SW. 2008. Phylocom: software for the analysis of community phylogenetic
  445 445 structure and trait evolution, version 4.0.1. http://www.phylodiversity.net/phylocom.
- 446 446 Weckerly FW. 1998. Sexual-size dimorphism: influence of mass and mating systems in the most dimorphic
  447 447 mammals. Journal of Mammalogy. 79: 33–52.
- 448 448 Werner, Y. L., Korolker, N., Sion, G., & Göçmen, B. 2016. Bergmann's and Rensch's rules and the spur thighed
  449 449 tortoise (*Testudo graeca*). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 117(4), 796-811.
- 450 450 Zelditch, M. L., Swiderski, D. L., & Sheets, H. D. 2012. Geometric morphometrics for biologists: a primer.
- 451 451 Academic Press.





452 452

453 453

454 454





#### 455 **Table 1**. Skull sample size for the six Alouatta species considered in this study. The data reported are referred

to the whole sample of specimens and the reduced dataset after the spatial sampling by cell grid.

457 458 459	Species	#Specime ns	#Femal es	#Mal es	#Specime ns in cells	#Femal es in cells	#Male s in cells	Average fen	nales per cel	11	Average mal	es per cell
460	Alouatta belzebul	(Linnaeus, 1766)			65	36	29	16	8	8	1	1
461	Alouatta caraya (Humboldt, 1812)			44	19	25	22	11	11	1.1	1.1	
462	Alouatta guariba (Humboldt, 1812)			47	19	28	18	9	9	1	1	
463	Alouatta macconelli Elliot, 1910			11	5	6	6	3	3	1	1	
464	Alouatta nigerrima Lönnberg, 1941			10	5	5	2	1	1	1	1	
465	Alouatta seniculus	s (Linnaeus, 17	66)		50	29	21	20	9	9	1	1
466	Total				227	113	114	84	42	42		





Download source file (4.33 MB)

467Table 2. Results of regressions between body size and the degree of sexual dimorphism in Alouatta,468performed separately for males and females, respectively. male LnCS = natural logarithm of males'469centroid size, female LnCS = natural logarithm of females' centroid size, SSD = sexual size dimorphism.470The specification 'PGLS' indicates phylogenetic generalized least squares regression results. The471specification 's.filter' indicates spatial filtering was imposed on the regressor to account for spatial472autocorrelation.

473 474		male LnCS vs SSD	male LnCS vs SSD+ s.filter	male LnCS vs SSD in PGLS	male LnCS vs SSD + s.filter in PGLS
475	Slope	0.843	0.830	0.588	0.601
476	st.error	0.181	0.177	0.112	0.114
477	t	4.659	4.689	5.242	5.286
478	р	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
479	logLik	62.701	64.135	68.288	66.316

480 481		female LnCS vs SSD	female LnCS vs SSD + s.filter	female LnCS vs SSD in PGLS	female LnCS vs SSD + s.filter in PGLS
482	Slope	-0.156	-0.169	-0.323	-0.331
483	st.error	0.185	0.181	0.171	0.176
484	t	-0.845	-0.937	-1.893	-1.882
485	р	0.403	0.355	0.066	0.068
486	logLik	61.873	63.291	59.911	57.861

487 488		male LnCS vs female LnCS	male LnCS vs female LnCS + s.filter	male LnCS vs female LnCS in PGLS	male LnCS vs female LnCS + s.filter in PGLS
489	Slope	0.807	0.784	0.382	0.368
490	st.error	0.151	0.157	0.121	0.122
491	t	5.355	4.983	3.164	3.010
492	р	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.005
493	logLik	64.890	65.068	65.573	63.672



## HYSTRIX the Italian Journal of Mammalogy

Download source file (4.33 MB)

Table 3. Results of regressions between body size latitude in *Alouatta*, performed separately for males and females, respectively. male LnCS = natural logarithm of males' centroid size, female LnCS = natural logarithm of females' centroid size, Latitude = latitude of the grid cell in decimal degrees. The specification 'PGLS' indicates phylogenetic generalized least squares regression results. The specification 's.filter' indicates spatial filtering was imposed on the regressor to account for spatial autocorrelation.

499 500		Latitude vs male LnCS	Latitude vs male LnCS + s.filter	Latitude vs male LnCS in PGLS	Latitude vs male LnCS + s.filter in PGLS
501	Slope	84.723	85.950	0.002	0.002
502	st.error	21.199	22.112	0.001	0.001
503	t	3.997	3.887	2.298	2.104
504	р	0.000	0.000	0.027	0.042
505	logLik	-135.817	-135.788	51.671	49.722

506 507		Latitude vs female LnCS	Latitude vs female LnCS + s.filter	Latitude vs female LnCS in PGLS	Latitude vs female LnCS + s.filter in PGLS
508	Slope	88.925	90.029	0.001	0.001
509	st.error	27.315	28.637	0.001	0.001
510	t	3.256	3.144	1.423	1.119
511	р	0.002	0.003	0.163	0.271
512	logLik	-137.903	-137.891	57.165	55.322



#### Download source file (4.33 MB)



Table 4. The degree of sexual dimorphism (ssd) regressed against latitude in *Alouatta*. Regressions were performed separately for males and females, respectively. lat = latitude in decimal degrees. The specification 'PGLS' indicates phylogenetic generalized least squares regression results. The specification 'SF' indicates spatial filtering was imposed on the regressor to account for spatial autocorrelation.

517 518		Latitude vs SSD	Latitude vs SSD + s.filter	Latitude vs SSD in PGLS	Latitude vs SSD + s.filter in PGLS
519	Slope	47.288	46.432	0.001	0.001
520	st.error	34.289	34.649	0.001	0.001
521	t	1.379	1.340	1.125	1.202
522	р	0.176	0.189	0.268	0.237
523	logLik	-141.838	-141.672	53.671	51.760



## Download source file (4.33 MB)



- Figure 1. Map of South America showing the geographic distribution of *Alouatta* specimens. Sampling localities
  of different species and sexes are shown by different symbols.
- Figure 2. Box plot with standardized deviation of natural log transformed centroid size (LnCS) across sexes.
  Black string: median, white box: first interquartile, bar: second interquartile. Different species and sexes are
  shown by different symbols.
- Figure 3. Regression plots for Rensch's sexual size dimorphism and female and male natural log transformed
   centroid size (LnCS). Species and sexes are labelled by different symbols.
- Figure 4. Regression plots for Bergmann's rule on its original form, latitude, and female and male natural log
   transformed centroid size (LnCS). Species and sexes are labelled by different symbols.



























533	Supplemental	information
-----	--------------	-------------

534	Figure S1. The 250x250 cell resolution geographical grid used to average morphological and environmental
535	variables related to the recorded specimens. Red points indicate sampling localities, blue points indicate
536	centres of the related cells.

- Figure S2. Phylogenetic tree used in all the phylogenetic informed analyses. The colour of the branches
  represents the mapped Sexual Size Dimorhism (SSD). States of internal nodes are reconstructed via Maximum
  Likelihood Estimation.
- 540 **Table S1.** The dataset used in this study.
- 541 **Table S2.** Spatial autocorrelation results.
- 542 **Table S3.** Results of the regressions between males and females 'LnCS' and the environmental variables.





Manuscript body 1 - Download source file (4.33 MB)

#### **Supplementary Online Material**

File 1 - Download source file (4.83 MB)

File 2 - Download source file (1.37 MB)

File 3 - Download source file (41.88 kB)

File 4 - Download source file (48.28 kB)

File 5 - Download source file (14.33 kB)

