

Letter to the Editor

Real-time feedback systems for cardiopulmonary resuscitation training: time for a paradigm shift

Andrea Cortegiani¹, Enrico Baldi^{2,3,4}, Pasquale Iozzo¹, Filippo Vitale¹, Santi Maurizio Raineri¹, Antonino Giarratano¹

¹Department of Biopathology and Medical Biotechnologies (DIBIMED), Section of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Intensive Care and Emergency, Policlinico Paolo Giaccone, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy; ²Pavia nel cuore ONLUS, Pavia, Italy; ³Robbio nel cuore ONLUS, Robbio, Italy; ⁴School of Cardiovascular Disease, University of Pavia, c/o Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy

Correspondence to: Andrea Cortegiani. Department of Biopathology and Medical Biotechnologies (DIBIMED), Section of Anesthesia, Analgesia Intensive Care and Emergency, Policlinico Paolo Giaccone, University of Palermo, Via del vespro 129, 90127 Palermo, Italy.

Email: cortegiania@gmail.com.

Submitted Dec 19, 2017. Accepted for publication Dec 27, 2017.

doi: [10.21037/jtd.2018.01.09](https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2018.01.09)

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2018.01.09>

Among the new tools to improve the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), real-time feedback systems (FS) have been largely studied during the last decade (1). These systems permit the real-time analysis of CPR. Their use has been primarily focused on the improvement during CPR performance with the goal of reducing the gap between high quality standard CPR parameters (2), described by the guidelines, and real-life performance by rescuers (3). As a matter of facts, rate, depth, chest recoil and hand position are frequently suboptimal during CPR in both in and out-of hospital by both lay and professional rescuers (1,2). Although effective in terms of enhanced quality (4), the widespread use of FS during CPR performance may be difficult due to the high incidence of both in and out-of-hospital cardiac arrests and the related costs (1). Moreover, their use may be technically difficult for rescuers with no experience with FS. In this regard, we believe that another important role of FS should be underlined: the use during rescuers' training. Feedback from instructors has always been the gold standard for both lay and professional rescuers' training. However, their ability to assess learners' skill and competence may not be accurate (5). Training is the phase of learning cycle where people acquire motor skills they will use, and eventually refine, in the future (6). Thus, rescuers in training should receive feedback on their CPR skill basing on objective methods, able to reliably

measure all high quality standard parameters. FS used for training vary widely: from simple audio tools (e.g., metronomes) to more complex visual systems (software with user-friendly interfaces connected to manikins) (7). Many FS are able to provide real-time feedback on CPR, giving the rescuers the possibility to measure and correct their performance. Importantly, with most of FS, the learners are aware of the achieved level of competence at the end of training session. Several studies demonstrated the efficacy of FS for CPR skill acquisition and retention in health care providers or students. Recently, two randomized controlled trials demonstrated the effect of newer FS versions also in lay persons of various age in terms of CPR skill level and subjective opinion of learners (8,9). Interestingly, although instructors still remain the main source of information and advices for training, in both trials, the use of FS was introduced in standard basic life support courses.

Future research should evaluate the impact of FS-based training on the duration of adequate skill, the effect on instructors' ability to assess CPR quality and the impact on patients' outcome (10).

In conclusion, available data support the wider use of FS for CPR training. Regarding the rationale, we believe that it can be explained as best by what was recently stated by a secondary school student at the end of his training, "It was so funny to know that I was still not able to save a life!"

Acknowledgements

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Nassar BS, Kerber R. Improving CPR Performance. *Chest* 2017;152:1061-9.
2. Idris AH, Guffey D, Pepe PE, et al. Chest compression rates and survival following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med* 2015;43:840-8.
3. Perkins GD, Handley AJ, Koster RW, et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 2. Adult basic life support and automated external defibrillation. *Resuscitation* 2015;95:81-99.
4. Kirkbright S, Finn J, Tohira H, et al. Audiovisual feedback device use by health care professionals during CPR: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised and non-randomised trials. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:460-71.
5. Lynch B, Einspruch EL, Nichol G, et al. Assessment of BLS skills: optimizing use of instructor and manikin measures. *Resuscitation* 2008;76:233-43.
6. Cortegiani A, Russotto V, Montalto F, et al. Effect of High-Fidelity Simulation on Medical Students' Knowledge about Advanced Life Support: A Randomized Study. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0125685.
7. Yeung J, Meeks R, Edelson D, et al. The use of CPR feedback/prompt devices during training and CPR performance: A systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:743-51.
8. Cortegiani A, Russotto V, Montalto F, et al. Use of a Real-Time Training Software (Laerdal QCPR®) Compared to Instructor-Based Feedback for High-Quality Chest Compressions Acquisition in Secondary School Students: A Randomized Trial. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0169591.
9. Baldi E, Cornara S, Contri E, et al. Real-time visual feedback during training improves laypersons' CPR quality: a randomized controlled manikin study. *CJEM* 2017;19:480-7.
10. Cortegiani A, Russotto V, Baldi E, et al. Is it time to consider visual feedback systems the gold standard for chest compression skill acquisition? *Crit Care* 2017;21:166.

Cite this article as: Cortegiani A, Baldi E, Iozzo P, Vitale F, Raineri SM, Giarratano A. Real-time feedback systems for cardiopulmonary resuscitation training: time for a paradigm shift. *J Thorac Dis* 2018;10(2):E162-E163. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.01.09