

Library

The University of Bradford Institutional Repository

http://bradscholars.brad.ac.uk

This work is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our Policy Document available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this work please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published online version may require a subscription.

Link to publisher's version: https://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/%28ASCE%29EE.1943-7870.0001360?af=R

Citation: Carstea EM, Zakharova YS and Bridgeman J (2018) Online fluorescence monitoring of effluent organic matter in wastewater treatment plants. Journal of Environmental Engineering. 144(5).

Copyright statement: ©2018 American Society of Civil Engineers. Reproduced in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy. This material may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the American Society of Civil Engineers. This material may be found at *https://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/%28ASCE%29EE.1943-7870.0001360?af=R*

1	Online fluorescence monitoring of effluent organic matter in wastewater treatment
2	plants
3	Elfrida M. Carstea ^{1,2*} , Yulia S. Zakharova ³ and John Bridgeman ⁴
4	¹ Senior Scientist, National Institute of R&D for Optoelectronics, Atomistilor 409, 077125,
5	Magurele, Romania; <u>elfrida.carstea@inoe.ro</u>
6	² Marie Curie Research Fellow, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Birmingham,
7	B15 2TT, UK
8	³ Research Fellow, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT,
9	UK, julia_ripe@hotmail.co.uk
10	⁴ Professor of Environmental Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, University of
11	Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK, J.Bridgeman@bham.ac.uk
12	
13	Wastewater treatment is an energy-intensive operation. Energy consumption is forecast
14	to increase by 60% in the forthcoming decade due to tightened legislation surrounding the
15	discharge of final effluent to watercourses. Treatment plants rely on the time-consuming and
16	unreliable biochemical oxygen demand to assess the quality of final effluent, leading to
17	process inefficiencies. Here, we show that fluorescence spectroscopy is a robust technique for
18	real-time monitoring of changes in effluent quality. We installed three portable fluorimeters
19	for 1 month at the final effluent discharge point of a large municipal wastewater treatment
20	plant. We show that organic matter composition of the wastewater varies diurnally depending
21	on the flow rate and antecedent rainfall. High fluorescence intensity and ammonia are
22	attributed to sewage sludge liquor, which is regularly discharged to the treatment plant.
23	Moreover, elevated fluorescence intensities were recorded as a result of process failure
24	following a power outage. Our study shows that on-line fluorescence analysis is capable of

detecting both minor changes in effluent quality and issues with treatment processperformance.

Keywords: real-time monitoring, fluorescence spectroscopy, wastewater treatment
plant, organic matter

29 Introduction

30 The most significant energy usage in wastewater treatment plants (WwTPs) arises from 31 the vigorous aeration of settled sewage in the activated sludge process (ASP, an aerobic 32 system involving entrainment of air for microbial degradation of organic matter - OM). This 33 process contributes to over 55% of the energy budget associated with wastewater treatment (Environmental Knowledge Transfer Network 2008). Due to the diurnal variations in 34 35 wastewater flow and load, and lack of rapid and reliable effluent monitoring (Bourgeois et al. 2001; Jouanneau et al. 2014), treatment plants often over-aerate the settled sewage to be 36 37 certain of achieving regulatory compliance, leading to excessive energy consumption and 38 unnecessary operating costs.

39 In the past two decades, several studies have demonstrated, through off-line monitoring 40 experiments, the potential of fluorescence spectroscopy for treatment process control (Ahmad 41 and Reynolds 1995; Bridgeman et al. 2013; Cohen et al. 2014; Murphy et al. 2011; Ou et al. 42 2014; Singh et al. 2012, 2015; Tartakovsky et al. 1996). The technique offers practical 43 advantages, such as: fast measurements, cost-effectiveness, lack of need for reagents, and 44 high sensitivity (Coble et al. 1990; Yang et al. 2015). However, no on-line fluorescence 45 monitoring studies have been performed at WwTPs. To date, Galinha et al. (2011) have 46 undertaken the only real-time monitoring study of wastewater on a pilot scale membrane 47 bioreactor system to predict performance parameters. They found that fluorescence was able 48 to describe influent and effluent chemical oxygen demand (COD), but could not predict other performance parameters. Singh et al. (2015) obtained promising results from an online 49

50 monitoring study on two water recycling sites. Using a single-wavelength fluorescence 51 sensor, they were able to prove the robustness of the technique in detecting reverse osmosis 52 membrane fouling and integrity. Moreover, Singh et al. (2015) showed that the sensor was 53 sufficiently sensitive to identify underperformance issues. Real-time monitoring of treated 54 wastewater at WwTPs has been hampered by numerous factors that can interfere with the 55 fluorescence signal: fouling, pH, inner filter effects, temperature and metal ion presence (Henderson et al. 2009; Reynolds 2002). To counteract these issues, regular, time consuming 56 57 cleaning of contact surfaces or subsequent data corrections are recommended.

Here, we report the first *in-situ* and on-line monitoring of treated wastewater, using three fluorescence portable devices, to test the robustness of the technique and the hypothesis that we can obtain valuable results from a 1-month monitoring experiment without major device cleaning or subsequent data correction. In addition, a laboratory scale activated sludge system was constructed to establish, before the in-situ experiment, the relationship between fluorescence and BOD.

64 Methodology

The real-time experiment was undertaken for 29 days, from the 10th of August until the 65 7th of September 2015, at a WwTP located in the West Midlands, UK. The treatment plant 66 serves a region of 450,000 population equivalent and collects on average 120 ML/day of 67 68 wastewater from various types of sources: household, surface runoff, industrial (soluble oil, 69 chemical laboratory waste, engine cleaning, painting wastes, laundering, meat processing, 70 slaughterhouse, print waste etc.). In addition, the WwTP receives activated sludge mixed 71 liquor from a nearby sewage sludge facility at periodic intervals. During the experiment, liquor was pumped, before noon, on the: 13th, 15th, 21st and 24th of August 2015. 72

The treatment process train consists of coarse and fine screens at the inlet, six primary
 sedimentation tanks, 3 activated sludge reactors and 12 final settlement tanks. The primary

treatment step removes solids as well as oil and grease, after which, the remaining wastewater is delivered to the ASP, comprising three basic components: 1) a reactor in which microorganisms are kept in suspension, aerated, and in contact with the wastewater they are treating; 2) liquid-solid separation; and 3) a sludge recycling system for returning activated sludge back to the beginning of the process.

80 *Real-time monitoring*

81

Laboratory scale ASP experiment

82 Before the in-situ measurements were undertaken, a laboratory scale ASP was 83 constructed to check the feasibility of the method and the relationship with BOD. Settled 84 sewage and returned activated sludge (RAS) were collected twice a week from the WwTP and stored at 4[°] C prior to use. The setup consisted of a feed primary tank (30 L volume), aeration 85 tank (10 L volume) and final settling tank (4 L volume) (Fig. S1). The settled sewage was 86 pumped into the aeration tank at a rate of 11 mL/min. Two aquarium air stones were inserted 87 88 in the aeration tank to replicate the aeration process and two stirrers ensured a greater degree 89 of mixing. A stirrer was inserted in the final settling tank to ensure settlement of the sludge 90 flocs. The settled sludge was returned to the aeration tank via a peristaltic pump at a rate of 11 91 mL/min. An average mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) concentration of 3,300 mg/l was 92 maintained in the aeration tank. When the quantity of MLSS decreased, additional RAS was 93 added without changing the volume of liquor in the aeration tank. The health and population 94 of microorganisms in the activated sludge reactor were checked regularly via microscope. The 95 experiment ran for six weeks and samples were collected daily for fluorescence, BOD₅, COD and total organic carbon (TOC) analyses. Dissolved oxygen concentration and pH were 96 97 monitored every 30 min in the ASP tank.

98 In situ measurements



Three portable fluorescence instruments were installed and left unattended at the WwTP

final effluent discharge point, before the discharge to the river. Specifically, these were two submersible probes (Cyclops 7, Turner Designs; EXO1 sonde, YSI Xylem) and a cuvettebased (DuoFluor; designed and manufactured at the University of Birmingham) (Bridgeman et al. 2015). The Cyclops 7 and EXO1 were inserted directly into the final effluent channel. Proprietary protective caps were placed over the two submersible sensors and they were not cleaned for the duration of the experiment. The sensors were also secured with ropes to prevent excessive movement caused by the fluid flow.

107 The cuvette-based DuoFluor device was installed in an adjacent shed for power 108 connection and protection from rainfall (Fig. S2). The final effluent was pumped to the 109 fluorimeter at a flow-rate of 340 mL/min. A mesh covered the pump end tube to prefilter the 110 water and prevent debris from entering the cuvette. However, biofilm growth was observed 111 with time on the cuvette walls and on the tubing. Consequently, the cuvette was washed (10 112 % nitric acid) and rinsed with de-ionised water on a weekly basis, and the tubing was replaced 113 after two weeks.

The measurement frequency was set at 15 min for all instruments. Cyclops 7 was initially set up to measure every 30 min, however the number of data points was insufficient to obtain an adequate assessment of water quality fluctuations. No problems occurred with the submersible devices. However, operation of the DuoFluor ceased one week before the end of the experiment due to power failure.

119 *Measurements*

120 Fluorescence peaks

121 This study focused on specific fluorescence components, assigned to spectral regions T 122 $(\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em} - 280 \text{ nm}/350 \text{ nm})$ and C $(\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em} - 330 \text{ nm}/425 \text{ nm})$, which can be used to 123 assess the quality of wastewater (Carstea et al. 2016). Peak T is generally associated with 124 living and dead cellular material and their exudates and indicates microbial activity

125 (Bridgeman et al. 2013). Peak T is also widely associated with material derived from 126 anthropogenic activities (Yu et al. 2014). Several fluorophores could contribute to these 127 regions (Carstea et al. 2016; Coble et al. 2014). Considering the variety of wastewater 128 discharges received by the WwTP and the wavelengths used by the devices, the following 129 components could fluoresce in the peak T region: lignins, aromatic hydrocarbons and indoles 130 originating from domestic waste (partially degraded foods, undigested dietary fibre, toilet 131 paper, proteins and peptides), petrochemical, pharmaceutical and paper industries. Peak C is 132 defined as reduced quinone-like and was identified in OM from a wide variety of aquatic 133 systems, especially those dominated by terrestrial and microbial inputs (Ishii and Boyer 134 2012). Potential contributors to the fluorescence of peak C could be: lignin breakdown 135 products, quinones, flavonoids, humic acids and fluorescent whitening agents (FWAs) 136 originating from municipal wastewater (food, plants, microbes, fungi, laundry detergents, 137 sanitary products, toilet paper and tissues) and paper making industry (Carstea et al. 2016). In 138 a recent study, it was shown that the removal rates of peaks T and C correlated with the 139 removal of pharmaceuticals, such as gemfibrozil, ibuprofen and naproxil, and with personal 140 care products, such as triclosan or caffeine (Sgroi et al. 2016). Thus, the exact composition of 141 fluorophores cannot be determined by the measurement of peaks T and C, however, these 142 peaks are highly effective in showing the removal of wastewater OM. Apart from these two 143 peaks, the common fluorescence regions reported for FWAs, at excitation wavelength 370 nm 144 and 400 nm (Coble et al. 2014), were also considered, due to the proximity of EXO1 145 excitation wavelength to one of the FWAs peaks. Past studies (Assaad et al. 2014; Chandler 146 and Lerner 2015; Graham et al. 2015), proposed FWAs as indicators of human faecal 147 contamination, sewer misconnections and landfill leachates.

148 Fluorescence measurements

149

Fluorescence was measured with three portable fluorimeters. Cyclops 7 measures the

150 fluorescence intensity at the excitation / emission wavelengths of 285 nm / 350 ± 55 nm, with 151 a limit detection range of 3 ppb to 5,000 ppb tryptophan standard. EXO1 sonde houses three 152 sensors: fDOM (fluorescence dissolved OM), conductivity/temperature and pH. The fDOM sensor records at $365 \pm 5 / 480 \pm 4$ nm (excitation / emission wavelength pair). The detection 153 154 range is 0 ppb - 300 ppb quinine sulphate units. DuoFluor is capable of detecting fluorescence 155 in real time at 280/350 nm (Peak T) with minimum limit of detection 1.5 ppb of L-tryptophan 156 and at 330/425 nm (Peak C) with minimum limit of detection 1.5 ppb of quinine sulphate. The 157 linearity between the portable devices and a benchtop spectrofluorimeter (Varian Cary 158 Eclipse) was checked with a series of dilutions of L-tryptophan and quinine sulphate 159 standards (Fig. S3). L-tryptophan solutions were varied between 50 ppb and 250 ppb, while 160 quinine sulphate was prepared in concentrations of 10 ppb to 700 ppb. The linearity of the 161 EXO1 was checked up to 400 ppb of quinine sulphate, as recommended by the manufacturer. R^2 values exceeded 0.98 for all instruments. 162

163 Excitation-emission matrices were produced using the benchtop spectrofluorimeter: by 164 scanning excitation wavelengths from 200 to 400 nm in 5 nm steps, and detecting the emitted 165 fluorescence in 2 nm steps between 280 and 500 nm. Excitation and emission slit widths were 166 set to 5 nm. Instrument stability was checked by recording the Raman values (at excitation 167 wavelength 348 nm and emission wavelength 395 nm) before each set of measurements. The 168 average Raman value was 9.94 a.u. with a standard deviation of 0.24. The fluorescence peaks 169 were extracted using the peak-picking method, in accordance with previous studies (Coble et 170 al. 2014).

171 Ancillary analyses

172 Rainfall, temperature, total phosphorus, iron, ammonia and suspended solids were 173 measured daily on-site at the WwTP outfall. In addition, samples were collected twice a week 174 for BOD₅, COD, TOC, nitrate and turbidity (Table S1). Low values were observed for all 175 parameters, indicating effective treatment of the wastewater. BOD₅ was measured based on 176 the standard method for wastewater testing using a HQ40d portable meter (Hach) with an 177 IntelliCAL LBOD101 LDO probe. The accuracy of the BOD₅ measurements was checked 178 using a 300 mg/L glucose-glutamic acid standard, and a coefficient of variation of 3.6 % was 179 observed. COD and nitrate were measured using a DR890 Hach colorimeter, following 180 standard procedures: viz. Reactor Digestion Method (USEPA) for COD, and Chromophoric 181 Acid Method (high range, Test 'N Tube) for water and wastewater for nitrate. Turbidity was 182 recorded using a Hach 2100N turbidimeter. TOC measurements were undertaken using a 183 Shimadzu TOC-Vcpn analyser, using the non-purgeable organic carbon determination 184 method.

185 **Results and discussion**

186 *Laboratory scale ASP*

Before the *in-situ* study, a laboratory-based experiment was undertaken replicating the ASP to establish the relationship with BOD and to determine the potential of using fluorescence spectroscopy for real-time measurements. WwTPs measure BOD on a daily basis; however, a qualitative method is used, which provides ranges of BOD values and the result cannot be compared with fluorescence intensity. The regulatory 5-day BOD test is performed only once per month. Therefore, the laboratory scale ASP was designed to identify this fluorescence/BOD relationship.

Figure 1 shows the fluorescence intensity of peaks T and C measured with the benchtop fluorimeter plotted against BOD. The Kendall correlation coefficients with BOD_5 are: 0.71 (p<0.001 – 2-tailed test of significance, N=87) for peak T; and 0.43 (p<0.001 – 2-tailed test of significance, N=87) for peak C. The correlation between BOD and fluorescence is challenging to identify at low BOD concentrations (Hudson et al. 2008), thus the values quoted above were determined using a combination of data from final effluent and settled 200 sewage samples. An improved correlation was observed for BOD with peak T compared to 201 the peak C/BOD relationship was reported in other studies (Bridgeman et al. 2013; Hudson et 202 al. 2007). The various types of fluorophores that contribute to the peaks T and C fluorescence 203 region explain the difference in correlation values. In addition, Reynolds (2002) found that 204 peak T is more representative for the biodegradable organic matter than peak C. Considering 205 the strong correlation between peak T and BOD, obtained in this study, and the relationship 206 reported in other studies (Bridgeman et al. 2013; Carstea et al. 2016; Coble et al. 2014; 207 Hudson et al. 2008), it is clear that peak T fluorescence can detect some of the components 208 measured with BOD. Furthermore, fluorescence spectroscopy provides more information on 209 the nature of OM than the BOD test does and may be used as an independent indicator test for 210 the presence of bioavailable OM (Hudson et al. 2008).

211 Similar relationships were obtained between fluorescence and COD and TOC. The 212 Kendall correlation coefficients with COD are: 0.72 (p<0.001 – 2-tailed test of significance, N=87) for peak T; and 0.44 (p<0.001 – 2-tailed test of significance, N=87) for peak C. While, 213 214 the Kendall correlation coefficients with TOC are: 0.82 (p<0.001 - 2-tailed test of 215 significance, N=81) for peak T; and 0.49 (p<0.001 – 2-tailed test of significance, N=81) for 216 peak C. The good correlation between peak T and TOC may be attributed to the sugars and 217 lignin (Baker 2002) degraded from sanitary products. However, the relationship between 218 fluorescence peaks and BOD, TOC and COD varies depending on the ratio of fluorescent to 219 non-fluorescent OM in a sample (Henderson et al. 2009).

220

In situ measurements

Peaks T and C data provided by the 3 devices are shown in Figure 2. Kendall correlation analysis showed an association between EXO1 data and DuoFluor peaks T and C $(R^2=0.49 \& 0.48, p<0.001)$, while Cyclops 7 data presented a slight correlation with the DuoFluor peak T ($R^2=0.28, p<0.001$) (Table 1). The analysis also revealed that the EXO1 and 225 the DuoFluor data correlated with peaks T, C and FWAs measured with the Varian benchtop spectrofluorimeter. The variation in correlation coefficients might be explained by the 226 227 differences in excitation and emission wavelengths used by the devices. For instance, the 228 EXO1 excitation wavelength is closer to the optical region of FWAs, compared to the region 229 where peak C is generally reported (Coble et al. 2014), and compared to the peak C excitation 230 wavelengths measured with the DuoFluor and Varian Cary Eclipse. In addition, the 231 correlations with Varian Cary Eclipse data were established using a small sample size (N=8), 232 a larger dataset being needed to obtain statistically significant correlations. However, the 233 results are sufficient to provide an indication of devices potential to measure peaks T and C in 234 situ.

235 During the experiment, the DuoFluor system recorded a constant decrease in peak C 236 fluorescence intensity (Fig. 2D) due to biofilm formation on the cuvette. Regular cuvette cleaning (twice per week) was required to ensure adequate DuoFluor fluorescence results. 237 238 The EXO1 and the Cyclops 7 sensors were not cleaned during the entire experiment and no 239 substantial reduction in fluorescence intensity was observed. However, further studies are 240 needed to test the time span until fouling interferes with the fluorescence signal. This 241 experiment shows that submersible instruments are more practical at WwTPs. The advantages 242 of needing less frequent cleaning (no cleaning for at least 1 month) and being battery powered 243 make them preferable for effluent monitoring. Fluorescence data were not corrected for thermal quenching as little impact was expected for a decrease of 0.5° C from day to night and 244 of 3⁰ C change over the entire period (Fig. S4A). Based on previous work (Carstea et al. 245 246 2014), it is estimated that the fluorescence intensity would increase by 0.3 % for a decrease in temperature of 0.5° C and by 2.6 % for a 3° C temperature change. Temperature correction 247 248 may be needed in areas with high seasonal variation. Inner filter effect is also known to impact the fluorescence measurements. However, Henderson et al. (Henderson et al. 2009) 249

250 showed that the inner filter effect is unlikely to occur in surface and wastewater samples with 251 a TOC concentration below 25 mg/l. The final effluent TOC concentrations measured within 252 the current experiment varied between 6.29 mg/L and 9.28 mg/L. Moreover, the same 253 samples showed absorbance values below 0.20 at 254 nm, this being the threshold 254 recommended by Aiken (Coble et al. 2014) for optically dilute samples. Metal ions have been 255 shown to affect the fluorescence intensity and peak position of OM components (Coble et al. 256 2014). The average iron concentration measured at the WwTP final effluent discharge point 257 was 0.30 mg/L (Table 2). Poulin et al. (Poulin et al. 2014) found that an iron:organic carbon 258 ratio of 0.3 would reduce the fluorescence intensity between 7 % and 23 % depending on the type of water sample. In this study, an average value of 0.03 for the iron:organic carbon ratio 259 260 was observed. Suspended solids have been shown to influence the results from in situ 261 fluorimeters (Coble et al. 2014). However, Belzile et al. (2006) found a strong correlation 262 between a submersible fluorimeter and a benchtop spectrofluorimeter, at unfiltered samples with suspended solids concentrations below 35 mg/L. In the current study, the effluent 263 264 suspended solids concentrations varied from 4.5 mg/L to 20.7 mg/L. Filtration, which would 265 reduce the quantity of suspended solids, may also contaminate the sample and remove a large 266 fraction of fluorescent components that are found in particulate or colloidal form (Coble et al. 267 2014). Furthermore, one aim of this study was to test the robustness of fluorescence 268 spectroscopy to monitor effluent quality without major intervention during or after 269 measurement. For this purpose, a qualitative analysis of effluent OM, i.e. without correction 270 for inner filter effect or extensive calibration, was sufficient to detect changes in effluent 271 water quality.

Peaks T and C displayed a diurnal variation with a cycle of approximately 12 h, the
highest intensity being recorded around midnight and the lowest intensity at noon (Fig. 2).
During dry weather days, peak T displayed a decrease in fluorescence intensity of < 9 % for

275 the Cyclops 7 and 16 % for the DuoFluor between midnight and noon, while peak C decreased by < 10 % for the EXO1 sensor and 17 % for the DuoFluor over the same period. 276 277 The diurnal variation in fluorescence intensity was consistent with the changes in effluent 278 flow rate, conductivity and pH (Fig. S4 (B) and (C), and Fig. S5). However, fluorescence 279 intensity was not directly proportional to the degree of increase in flow rate. The effluent flow 280 rate presented 2 peaks, every day, of almost equal intensity (Fig. S5). We also observed two 281 peaks in the fluorescence data; the first peak being recorded at midnight and the second peak 282 at approximately 2 pm (Fig. 3). This 2 pm peak was substantially lower in intensity compared 283 with the midnight peak, although high flow rate was recorded. It is concluded that these 284 midnight and 2 pm peaks correspond to intensive household water use during the mornings 285 and evenings. Considering the total wastewater retention time within the WwTP from inlet to 286 discharge point (12-16h) and the additional retention time in the sewerage network from 287 household to the WwTP, it is believed that the high values of peaks T and C observed at 288 midnight correspond to the previous day morning high wastewater input, while the 2 pm peak 289 represents the previous evening water usage.

290 Several rainfall periods, of different intensity and duration, were recorded during the real-time experiment (Table 1). We divided the precipitation days into 4 events: event $I - 13^{th}$ 291 to 14^{th} of August; event II – 19^{th} of August; event III – 23^{rd} to 27^{th} of August; event IV – 30^{th} 292 of August to 3rd of September. The WwTP is served by a combined sewerage system and 293 294 therefore rainfall increases the influent flow and modifies the properties of the influent 295 affecting process performance and effluent quality (Wilén et al. 2006). Therefore, it is 296 believed that the amount and frequency of precipitation affects most of the measured water 297 quality parameters, depending on the catchment and sewerage system. Rain events were seen 298 to trigger high ammonia and iron values (Table 2). Precipitation also increased the 299 concentration of total phosphorus; the highest value being recorded during or after the first day of the rain event. Conductivity and pH decreased after each rain event, depending on the
intensity of the event (Fig. S4). Conductivity showed a significant decrease after events I and
IV, while pH was the parameter least affected by precipitation.

A decrease in fluorescence intensity was observed one day after the beginning of each 303 304 precipitation event (Fig. 2). Precipitation events I and IV generated the greatest decrease in 305 Peak C (32 % & 42 % respectively) measured using the EXO1. Cyclops 7 recorded Peak T 306 reductions of 25 % (event I) and 28 % (event IV). DuoFluor measured a 26 % decrease in 307 peak C and 25 % in peak T following event I. The full impact of event IV was not assessed 308 with the DuoFluor due to data loss following a power outage at the WwTP. However, the 309 same effect is observed on peaks T and C after the other rain events. Overall, the decrease in 310 fluorescence intensity is consistent with the quantity of rain per event. After each rain event 311 the fluorescence intensity increased progressively until the next rainfall. Previous studies on 312 urban river monitoring (Carstea et al. 2009) showed that peaks T and C intensity increased 313 after precipitation events, due to the release of higher quantities of OM with surface runoff 314 compared to the receiving water. Here, a dilution of the wastewater's heavily concentrated 315 OM was observed. Others (Mines et al. 2007) also reported a dilution effect, reflected in a 316 decrease in BOD values. Since BOD correlates with fluorescence (Bridgeman et al. 2013), a 317 rainfall-generated decrease in fluorescence intensity is anticipated.

In addition to the daily variation and impact from precipitation, two data anomalies were identified on the 24th of August and 3rd of September, both immediately after midnight (Fig. 2– circled with red). These anomalies are most evident from the EXO1 sensor data. The data are higher than the normal daily variation, with or without precipitation, and may be associated with changes in influent quality or treatment processes. The first anomaly is explained by the release of liquor from the sewage sludge facility on the 24th of August at 12:00pm. The WwTP managers report that silt is occasionally released with the liquor, 325 resulting in elevated concentrations of ammonia in the effluent. Unusually high ammonia was 326 recorded at the same time as the high fluorescence intensity (Fig. S5). The high fluorescence 327 intensity during the first anomaly could indicate the production of autochthonous OM from 328 the sewage sludge liquor (Cohen et al. 2014; Riopel et al. 2014), as peak C components 329 increase in the soluble microbial products with increasing retention times (Yu et al. 2015). 330 Also, condensed polymerized humic-like material may form during biodegradation (Saadi et 331 al. 2006). Therefore, liquor may carry large quantities of autochthonous OM, some of it 332 biologically resistant, produced during the long retention times, along the stages of the 333 sewage sludge facility.

334 The second anomaly (Fig. 2) is a result of the power issues that occurred at the WwTP. On the 3rd of September, low power caused the aeration tank air blowers to fail. Fluorescence 335 336 data can be used to identify the process failure. The increase of peak C fluorescence from the 337 second anomaly may represent FWAs present in the sewage. Peak C wavelengths coincide 338 with the fluorescence regions of FWAs (Henderson et al. 2009). However, FWAs were also 339 measured in the excitation/emission wavelengths region of 250 nm / 344 nm and 422 nm 340 (Boving et al. 2004). Almost 80 % of FWAs are removed after the biological treatment and 341 these compounds may be used as molecular markers of less effective treatment processes 342 (Hayashi et al. 2002). Therefore, temporary interruption of the ASP tanks would have led to 343 the presence of untreated FWAs, as seen in the second anomaly.

Thus, real-time, *in situ* analysis demonstrated the ruggedness of fluorescence spectroscopy and the ability to detect minor changes in effluent quality. Fluorescence spectroscopy could be used to identify underperformance issues, albeit with a time lag between the failure and the feedback information. However, fluorescence spectroscopy still represents a fast and effective control method, and a reliable alternative to BOD. The benefits of improved treatment control via fluorescence spectroscopy go beyond CO₂ reductions and climate change mitigation, as they will also facilitate environmental improvements, reduceoperating costs and improve the financial performance of the global wastewater industry.

- 352 Conclusions
- This study reported the first real-time monitoring of effluent wastewater using fluorescence spectroscopy. Results show that fluorescence spectroscopy is a robust technique for monitoring changes in effluent quality. It also shows that
- portable devices can run continuously, for 1 month, without any cleaning procedure in the case of submersible systems (or with limited regular cleaning for cuvette-based fluorimeters). Further studies are needed to test the time span until fouling interferes with the fluorescence signal. In addition, multiple sites should be considered in future studies to account various peculiarities of wastewater input.
- Fluorescence peaks T and C showed that OM varied diurnally depending on the
 flow rate. Precipitation decreased the fluorescence intensity of both peaks due to
 dilution of wastewater with runoff. The degree of decrease in fluorescence
 intensity was found to be proportional to the quantity of rainfall.
- In measurement frequency yielded sufficient data to obtain a detailed
 assessment of daily variation, precipitation impact on influent quality and
 treatment process.
- A qualitative analysis of effluent OM, i.e. without correction for inner filter
 effect or extensive calibration can detect changes in effluent water quality.
 However, temperature correction may be needed in areas with high seasonal
 variation. Inner filter effect correction may be required when quantitative
 measurements are needed.
- Submersible instruments proved to be a more practical tool for *in situ*

measurement compared to the cuvette-based device. The advantages of reduced
cleaning frequency (no cleaning for at least 1 month) and battery operation make
them preferable for effluent OM monitoring.

378 Results showed that fluorescence intensity of peaks T and C was capable of • 379 detecting minor changes in influent OM quantity and issues with treatment 380 process. The substantial impact on peak C fluorescence intensity with changes in 381 the system was attributed to the input of autochthonous OM from sewage sludge 382 liquor and the presence of untreated FWAs. Although the variation in 383 fluorescence was more clearly observed at peak C compared to peak T, it is 384 recommended that both peaks are monitored due to variations in wastewater 385 composition.

386 Acknowledgements

The first author acknowledges the support of the European Commission Framework Programme 7, Marie Curie IEF (PIEF-GA-2012-329962) and the Core Program, ANCS (PN 16.40.01.01). The work of David Hoyland, John Bryant and Steve Brooks in the School of Physics and Astronomy at the University of Birmingham in the design and manufacture of the Duo Fluor instrument is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Severn Trent Water for allowing access to the treatment plant and wastewater quality data. We also thank Mr. Mark Carter for laboratory and field support.

394 St

Supplemental Data

395 Figs. S1-S5 and Table S1 are available online in the ASCE Library (ascelibrary.org).

396 **References**

Ahmad, S. R., and Reynolds, D. M. (1995). "Synchronous fluorescence spectroscopy of
wastewater and some potential constituents." *Water Research*, 29(6), 1599–1602.

399 Assaad, A., Pontvianne, S., and Pons, M. N. (2014). "Photodegradation-based detection of

- 400 fluorescent whitening agents in a mountain river." *Chemosphere*, Elsevier Ltd, 100, 27–
 401 33.
- 402 Baker, A. (2002). "Fluorescence excitation-emission matrix characterization of river waters
- 403 impacted by a tissue mill effluent." *Environmental Science and Technology*, 36(7),
 404 1377–1382.
- 405 Belzile, C., Roesler, C. S., Christensen, J. P., Shakhova, N., and Semiletov, I. (2006).
- 406 "Fluorescence measured using the WETStar DOM fluorometer as a proxy for dissolved
 407 matter absorption." *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 67(3), 441–449.
- 408 Bourgeois, W., Burgess, J. E., and Stuetz, R. M. (2001). "On-line monitoring of wastewater
- 409 quality: A review." *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 76(4), 337–348.
- 410 Boving, T. B., Meritt, D. L., and Boothroyd, J. C. (2004). "Fingerprinting sources of bacterial
- 411 input into small residential watersheds: Fate of fluorescent whitening agents."
- 412 *Environmental Geology*, 46(2), 228–232.
- 413 Bridgeman, J., Baker, A., Brown, D., and Boxall, J. B. (2015). "Portable LED fluorescence
- 414 instrumentation for the rapid assessment of potable water quality." *Science of the Total*
- 415 *Environment*, Elsevier B.V., 524–525, 338–346.
- 416 Bridgeman, J., Baker, A., Carliell-Marquet, C., and Carstea, E. (2013). "Determination of
- 417 changes in wastewater quality through a treatment works using fluorescence
- 418 spectroscopy." *Environmental Technology*, 34(23), 3069–3077.
- 419 Carstea, E. M., Baker, A., Bieroza, M., Reynolds, D. M., and Bridgeman, J. (2014).
- 420 "Characterisation of dissolved organic matter fluorescence properties by PARAFAC
- 421 analysis and thermal quenching." *Water Research*, 61, 152–161.
- 422 Carstea, E. M., Baker, A., Pavelescu, G., and Boomer, I. (2009). "Continuous fluorescence
- 423 assessment of organic matter variability on the Bournbrook River, Birmingham, UK."
- 424 *Hydrological Processes*, 23(13), 1937–1946.

- 425 Carstea, E. M., Bridgeman, J., Baker, A., and Reynolds, D. M. (2016). "Fluorescence
- 426 spectroscopy for wastewater monitoring: A review." *Water Research*, 95, 206–219.
- 427 Chandler, D. M., and Lerner, D. N. (2015). "A low cost method to detect polluted surface
- 428 water outfalls and misconnected drainage." *Water and Environment Journal*, 29(2), 202–
 429 206.
- 430 Coble, P. G., Green, S. A., Blough, N. V, and Gagosian, R. B. (1990). "Characterization of
- 431 dissolved organic matter in the Black Sea by fluorescence spectroscopy." *Nature*,
 432 348(6300), 432–435.
- 433 Coble, P. G., Spencer, R. G. M., Baker, A., and Reynolds, D. M. (2014). "Aquatic Organic
- 434 Matter Fluorescence." *Aquatic Organic Matter Fluorescence*, P. G. Coble, J. Lead, A.
- Baker, D. M. Reynolds, and R. G. M. Spencer, eds., Cambridge University Press, New
 York, 75–125.
- 437 Cohen, E., Levy, G. J., and Borisover, M. (2014). "Fluorescent components of organic matter
- 438 in wastewater: Efficacy and selectivity of the water treatment." *Water Research*, Elsevier
 439 Ltd, 55, 323–334.
- 440 Environmental Knowledge Transfer Network. (2008). *Energy Efficient Water and*441 *Wastewater Treatment*.
- 442 Galinha, C. F., Carvalho, G., Portugal, C. A. M., Guglielmi, G., Oliveira, R., Crespo, J. G.,
- 443 and Reis, M. A. M. (2011). "Real-time monitoring of membrane bioreactors with 2D-
- fluorescence data and statistically based models." *Water Science and Technology*, 63(7),
 1381–1388.
- 446 Graham, P. W., Baker, A., Andersen, M. S., and Acworth, I. (2015). "Field Measurement of
- 447 Fluorescent Dissolved Organic Material as a Means of Early Detection of Leachate
- 448 Plumes." *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 226(7).
- 449 Hayashi, Y., Managaki, S., and Takada, H. (2002). "Fluorescent whitening agents in Tokyo

- Bay and adjacent rivers: Their application as anthropogenic molecular markers in coastal
 environments." *Environmental Science and Technology*, 36(16), 3556–3563.
- 452 Henderson, R. K., Baker, A., Murphy, K. R., Hambly, A., Stuetz, R. M., and Khan, S. J.
- 453 (2009). "Fluorescence as a potential monitoring tool for recycled water systems: A
- 454 review." *Water Research*, Elsevier Ltd, 43(4), 863–881.
- 455 Hudson, N., Baker, A., and Reynolds, D. (2007). "Fluorescence analysis of dissolved organic
- 456 matter in natural, waste and polluted waters—a review." *River Research and*457 *Applications*, 23(6), 631–649.
- 458 Hudson, N., Baker, A., Ward, D., Reynolds, D. M., Brunsdon, C., Carliell-Marquet, C., and
- 459 Browning, S. (2008). "Can fluorescence spectrometry be used as a surrogate for the
- 460 Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) test in water quality assessment? An example from

461 South West England." *Science of the Total Environment*, 391(1), 149–158.

- 462 Ishii, S. K. L., and Boyer, T. H. (2012). "Behavior of reoccurring parafac components in
- 463 fluorescent dissolved organic matter in natural and engineered systems: A critical

464 review." *Environmental Science and Technology*, 46(4), 2006–2017.

- 465 Jouanneau, S., Recoules, L., Durand, M. J., Boukabache, A., Picot, V., Primault, Y., Lakel,
- 466 A., Sengelin, M., Barillon, B., and Thouand, G. (2014). "ScienceDirect Methods for
- 467 assessing biochemical oxygen demand (BOD): A review." *Water Research*, Elsevier
 468 Ltd, 49, 62–82.
- 469 Mines, R. O., Lackey, L. W., and Behrend, G. H. (2007). "The Impact of Rainfall on Flows
- 470 and Loadings at Georgia's Wastewater Treatment Plants." Water, Air, and Soil
- 471 *Pollution*, 179(1), 135–157.
- 472 Murphy, K. R., Hambly, A., Singh, S., Henderson, R. K., Baker, A., Stuetz, R., and Khan, S.
- 473 J. (2011). "Organic matter fluorescence in municipal water recycling schemes: Toward a
- 474 unified PARAFAC model." *Environmental Science and Technology*, 45(7), 2909–2916.

- 475 Ou, H. S., Wei, C. H., Mo, C. H., Wu, H. Z., Ren, Y., and Feng, C. H. (2014). "Novel insights
- 476 into anoxic/aerobic1/aerobic2 biological fluidized-bed system for coke wastewater
- 477 treatment by fluorescence excitation-emission matrix spectra coupled with parallel factor

478 analysis." *Chemosphere*, Elsevier Ltd, 113, 158–164.

- 479 Poulin, B. A., Ryan, J. N., and Aiken, G. R. (2014). "Effects of iron on optical properties of
- 480 dissolved organic matter." *Environmental Science and Technology*, 48(17), 10098–
 481 10106.
- 482 Reynolds, D. M. (2002). "The differentiation of biodegradable and non-biodegradable
- dissolved organic matter in wastewaters using fluorescence spectroscopy." *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 77(8), 965–972.
- 485 Riopel, R., Caron, F., and Siemann, S. (2014). "Fluorescence Characterization of Natural
- 486 Organic Matter at a Northern Ontario Wastewater Treatment Plant." *Water, Air, & Soil*487 *Pollution*, 225(9), 2126.
- 488 Saadi, I., Borisover, M., Armon, R., and Laor, Y. (2006). "Monitoring of effluent DOM
- 489 biodegradation using fluorescence, UV and DOC measurements." *Chemosphere*, 63(3),
 490 530–539.
- 491 Sgroi, M., Roccaro, P., Korshin, G. V., Greco, V., Sciuto, S., Anumol, T., Snyder, S. A., and
- 492 Vagliasindi, F. G. A. (2016). "Use of fluorescence EEM to monitor the removal of
- 493 emerging contaminants in full scale wastewater treatment plants." *Journal of Hazardous*494 *Materials*.
- 495 Singh, S., Henderson, R. K., Baker, A., Stuetz, R. M., and Khan, S. J. (2012).
- 496 "Characterisation of reverse osmosis permeates from municipal recycled water systems
- 497 using fluorescence spectroscopy: Implications for integrity monitoring." *Journal of*
- 498 *Membrane Science*, Elsevier, 421–422, 180–189.
- 499 Singh, S., Henderson, R. K., Baker, A., Stuetz, R. M., and Khan, S. J. (2015). "Online

- 500 fluorescence monitoring of RO fouling and integrity: analysis of two contrasting
- 501 recycled water schemes." *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, Royal Society of
- 502 Chemistry, 1, 689–698.
- 503 Tartakovsky, B., Lishman, L. A., and Legge, R. L. (1996). "Application of multi-wavelength
- fluorometry for monitoring wastewater treatment process dynamics." *Water Research*,
 30(12), 2941–2948.
- Wilén, B.-M., Lumley, D., Mattsson, A., and Mino, T. (2006). "Rain events and their effect
 on effluent quality studied at a full scale activated sludge treatment plant." *Water Science and Technology*, 54(10), 201–208.
- 509 Yang, L., Han, D. H., Lee, B. M., and Hur, J. (2015). "Characterizing treated wastewaters of
- 510 different industries using clustered fluorescence EEM-PARAFAC and FT-IR
- 511 spectroscopy: Implications for downstream impact and source identification."
- 512 *Chemosphere*, Elsevier Ltd, 127, 222–228.
- 513 Yu, H., Qu, F., Sun, L., Liang, H., Han, Z., Chang, H., Shao, S., and Li, G. (2015).
- 514 "Relationship between soluble microbial products (SMP) and effluent organic matter
- 515 (EfOM): Characterized by fluorescence excitation emission matrix coupled with parallel
- 516 factor analysis." *Chemosphere*, Elsevier Ltd, 121, 101–109.
- 517 Yu, H., Song, Y., Liu, R., Pan, H., Xiang, L., and Qian, F. (2014). "Identifying changes in
- 518 dissolved organic matter content and characteristics by fluorescence spectroscopy
- 519 coupled with self-organizing map and classification and regression tree analysis during
- 520 wastewater treatment." *Chemosphere*, Elsevier Ltd, 113, 79–86.
- 521
- 522

523 **Figure captions**

- 524 **Fig. 1.** Relationship between BOD and fluorescence. (A) peak T and (B) peak C (N=87).
- 525 Fig. 2. In situ fluorescence measurements. Peak T measured with (A) Cyclops 7 and (B)

526 DuoFluor. Peak C measured with (C) EXO1 and (D) DuoFluor. Rainfall events I-IV are

- 527 marked with blue and anomalies are circled with red. The DuoFluor stopped recording during
- 528 rain event IV due to a power failure at the WwTP. The large differences in the fluorescence
- 529 intensity observed at graph (D) for the dates Aug 17, Aug 20, Aug 24 and Aug 28 were
- 530 caused by cuvette cleaning on the DuoFluor.
- 531 Fig. 3. Examples of daily fluorescence variation for the 3 portable devices. (A) peak T
- 532 fluorescence and (B) peak C fluorescence. The 2 pm peak is marked with a blue square.

533 **Table 1.** Kendall Correlation Between the Data from Portable Devices and Varian Benchtop

534 Spectrofluorimeter.

Device		Cyclops 7	EXO1	DuoFluor		Varian			
				Peak	Peak	Peak	Peak	FWA	FWA
				Т	С	Т	С	370	400
Cyclops 7		1	0.19	0.28	0.02	0.21	0.21	0.29	0.36
EXO1		-	1	0.49	0.48	0.64	0.64	0.86	0.93
DucEluca	Peak T	-	-	1	-	0.33	0.20	0.47	0.47
Duoriuor	Peak C	-	-	-	1	0.60	0.47	0.73	0.73

535 Note: Correlation coefficients in bold have p values below 0.001 (p-values < 0.001 are considered significant).

Date	Precipitation (mm)	Temperature (⁰ C)	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Iron (mg/L)	Ammonia (mg/L)	
10.08	0.0	19.5	0.57	0.31	0.06	
11.08	0.0	18.9	0.73	0.29	0.06	
12.08	0.0	18.8	0.70	0.33	0.21	
13.08	33.0	19.4	0.44	0.34	0.21	
14.08	3.0	18.5	0.98	0.49	2.37	
15.08	0.0	19.2	0.47	0.35	0.35	
16.08	0.0	19.7	0.30	0.28	0.30	
17.08	0.0	18.5	0.62	0.28	0.06	
18.08	0.0	18.2	0.50	0.33	0.06	
19.08	8.0	18.9	0.44	0.37	0.08	
20.08	0.0	19.2	0.61	0.33	0.30	
21.08	0.0	19.5	0.46	0.25	0.06	
22.08	0.0	19.7	0.38	0.35	0.35	
23.08	9.0	20.1	0.37	0.46	0.32	
24.08	9.0	18.9	0.55	0.27	0.06	
25.08	7.0	18.4	0.66	0.30	1.81	
26.08	0.5	18.7	0.38	0.26	0.06	
27.08	0.5	18.3	0.48	0.25	0.06	
28.08	0.0	18.4	0.39	0.21	0.06	
29.08	0.0	18.9	0.49	0.24	0.06	
30.08	18.0	19.2	0.51	0.17	0.06	
31.08	12.0	18.3	0.39	0.25	0.06	
01.09	25.0	17.0	0.51	0.20	0.10	
02.09	4.0	17.0	0.30	0.19	0.27	
03.09	1.0	17.3	0.37	0.23	0.07	
04.09	0.0	17.1	0.50	0.40	0.68	
05.09	0.0	17.1	0.37	0.25	0.40	
06.09	0.0	17.4	0.49	0.24	0.30	
07.09	0.0	17.7	0.54	0.34	0.15	

Supplementary data

Online fluorescence monitoring of effluent quality in wastewater treatment plants

Elfrida M. Carstea^{1,2*}, Yulia S. Zakharova³ and John Bridgeman⁴

¹Senior Scientist, National Institute of R&D for Optoelectronics, Atomistilor 409, 077125, Magurele, Romania; <u>elfrida.carstea@inoe.ro</u>

²Marie Curie Research Fellow, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

³Research Fellow, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK, julia_ripe@hotmail.co.uk

⁴Professor of Environmental Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK, <u>J.Bridgeman@bham.ac.uk</u>



Fig. S1. The setup for the laboratory scale activated sludge process. BOD – biochemical oxygen demand; DO – dissolved oxygen. The orange and black arrows indicate the direction of the flow.



Fig. S2. The setup for the *in-situ* fluorescence measurements. The EXO1 and Cyclops 7 were connected to handheld devices and tightened with ropes to the cover grid above the effluent channel.



Fig. S3. Linearity check of the three portable devices and comparison with a benchtop spectrofluorimeter. The fluorescence intensity was corrected by extracting the blank spectrum.



Fig. S4. In situ measurements with the EXO1 sonde. (A) temperature, (B) conductivity and (C) pH.



Fig. S5. Flow rate (brown line) and quantity of ammonia (red line) at the effluent. Graph provided by the WwTP.

Table S1. Standard parameters and peak T and peak C fluorescence for grab samples offinal effluent. The fluorescence peaks were measured with a benchtopspectrofluorimeter.

	BOD C			Nitrates	Turbidity	Fluorescence intensity				
Date		COD	TOC			Peak T	Peak C	FWA 370*	FWA 400*	
		(n	ng/L)		(NTU)	(a.u.)				
13.08.2015	1.6	27	8.9	30.7	N/A	106	178	80	58	
17.08.2015	2.9	23	8.5	23.8	2.18	100	166	68	51	
20.08.2015	1.9	24	9.3	28.8	1.90	104	189	79	56	
25.08.2015	3.0	30	7.9	19.0	1.85	94	148	67	50	
28.08.2015	1.7	32	N/A	27.3	1.54	107	168	68	50	
01.09.2015	2.7	15	6.3	14.9	2.18	76	129	58	38	
03.09.2015	3.0	18	7.2	16.5	2.67	81	148	66	39	
07.09.2015	1.7	17	8.5	22.1	1.96	97	159	68	48	

* Fluorescence whitening agents' excitation wavelength