



The University of Bradford Institutional Repository

<http://bradscholars.brad.ac.uk>

This work is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our Policy Document available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this work please visit the publisher's website. Available access to the published online version may require a subscription.

Link to publisher's version: <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjhc.2017.23.4.146>

Citation for published version: Culpan G and McIntosh B (2017) Optimising diagnostics through imaging informatics: Costs and opportunities. *British Journal of Healthcare Management*. 23(4): 146-149.

Copyright statement: © 2017 Mark Allen Healthcare. Manuscript version reproduced in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy. The manuscript version differs from the published version which is available at: <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjhc.2017.23.4.146>

Optimising Diagnostic Imaging through Skills Mix: Costs and Opportunities

Professor Maryann Hardy, Professor of Radiography and Imaging Practice Research, University of Bradford

Dr Bryan McIntosh, Reader in Health Management and Organisational Behaviour, University of Bradford

Increasing diagnostic capacity is a National priority to expedite the timeliness and appropriateness of patient treatment interventions. Imaging, encompassing a range of technologies including X-ray, CT, MRI and ultrasound, is a key diagnostic service and central to decision making in most, if not all, disease pathways. However, imaging is an expensive discipline accounting for an estimated 3-5% of the annual NHS budget. As a result, it is imperative that we maximise service efficiency while optimising patient outcomes.

Demand for diagnostic imaging examinations has increased annually by up to 10%, depending on modality, for the last 20 years and projected figures anticipate continued growth in demand for the foreseeable future. Nowhere is this more prominent than within the cancer plans for England which call for greater capacity within diagnostic imaging to ensure the ambitious Cancer Targets are met. But this is just one area where diagnostic imaging is essential to patient diagnosis, treatment and survival. Imaging must also meet targets associated with stroke and trauma as well as operate efficiently to manage referrals from other medical specialties. The growth in technological advancements related to molecular imaging and biomarkers suggests that the role of imaging will continue to expand and be central to both diagnostic and preventative health programmes.

Technological advancements in the acquisition of images, combined with extended radiographer working hours, has moderated the impact of increasing demand on throughput targets as actual examination times and patient waiting times have been minimised. However, advancements in imaging technologies have also increased the complexity of diagnostic examinations possible and broadened the disease groups for whom imaging is appropriate. As a result, there is increasing demand for image reporting and long standing evidence suggests that this demand massively exceeds radiology capacity. As a result, departments have looked at alternative strategies for managing reporting demand, including out-sourcing, auto-reporting [non-reporting of certain examinations and referral groups] and delegation of reporting activities to radiographers as part of a skills mix initiative.

Skills mix was first introduced as part of the NHS modernisation agenda towards the end of the last century and promoted blurring of traditional professional boundaries and development of shared skills sets to maximise capacity and improve patient pathways. But within radiology, Swinburne (1971) had already suggested that the radiographer skill set could be expanded to include some tasks traditionally undertaken by radiologists, in particular, defined image reporting tasks. Since then, a large number of studies have been undertaken across different imaging technologies comparing the reporting accuracy of radiographers and consultant radiologists and significant evidence exists of radiographer competence to report diagnostic images across a range of modalities with positive financial impact. This was acknowledged in the 2010 spending review [REF

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+www.dh.gov.uk/en/MediaCentre/Pressreleases/DH_120676] which stated *“We will encourage the NHS to train radiographers to report on more of the straightforward x-rays in line with best practice so that consultant radiologists are free to assess the more complicated images, CT and MRI scans, saving the NHS an estimated £7.9 million annually”*

Despite government recognition of the potential of skills mix within diagnostic imaging, adoption has not been consistent nationally. As well as professional anxiety from radiologists with respect to radiographers undertaking activities traditionally seen to be part of their role, anxiety has also been reported within the radiography profession with regard to the delegation of appropriate radiographer tasks to assistant (unqualified) practitioners. These anxieties have been cited as key barriers to maximising the potential benefits of skills mix yet despite these anxieties, there are a small number of departments that have fully embraced skills mix at all levels to address demand and capacity with reported benefits to service operation.

What is not known is how these departments have developed as positive deviants and overcome the commonly cited barriers to skills mix implementation. Neither has an economic operational assessment of differing models of skills mix implementation been undertaken to determine the relationship between skills mix implementation, service efficiency and deliverables [reporting outcomes that will inform clinical decision making and guide patient treatment].

As imaging departments nationally are reportedly experiencing a crisis in capacity with radiography remaining on the UK government ‘shortage occupations list’ and the RCR calling for a massive increase in radiologists to address service demands, it is essential that we understand the enablers of service redevelopment and model the impact of different service delivery models, and levels of skills mix adoption, on the financial and operational efficiency of imaging departments. If not, then with no reduction in demand envisaged, and with continuation of need for efficiency savings, image acquisition and reporting targets will not be met, clinical decision making will be poorly informed or delayed and the timely implementation of treatment hindered.