



2-Styrylchromones

An Overview of 2-Styrylchromones: Natural Occurrence, Synthesis, Reactivity and Biological Properties

Clementina M. M. Santos^[a,b] and Artur M. S. Silva*^[b]

Abstract: 2-Styrylchromones are a small class of oxygen-containing heterocycles. Despite their sparse occurrence in nature, several synthetic approaches have been developed in order to synthesize a large variety of derivatives, possessing different substituents in different positions of the main core. They are also versatile building blocks in the synthesis of new heterocyclic systems and important intermediates in the search for bioactive compounds. It is our intention in this review to give a general overview on the natural occurrence, synthesis, reactivity and biological properties of 2-styrylchromones, which were published up until 2016.

1. Introduction

4*H*-Chromen-4-ones, or simply chromones (cf. the **A** component in structure **1**, Figure 1), a well-known class of oxygenated heterocyclic compounds, play an important role in nature due to their recognized biological, pharmacological and biocidal activities.^[1-3] These effects of these naturally occurring compounds, associated with the large variety of substituents and positions in their skeleton, have resulted in strong demand over the years for their isolation in large amounts, for the development of efficient and eco-friendly routes for their synthesis, as

 [a] School of Agriculture, Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal E-mail: clems@ipb.pt

- [b] Department of Chemistry, QOPNA &University of Aveiro, Campus de Santiago 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal E-mail: artur.silva@ua.pt https://sites.google.com/site/artursilvaua/
 ORCID(s) from the author(s) for this article is/are available
- ORCID(s) from the author(s) for this article is/are available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201700003.

well as the pursuit of new and more strongly bioactive analogues. Moreover, the chromone ring system is a valuable starting material in many chemical reactions leading to the preparation of a wide variety of synthetic derivatives, some of them used nowadays as therapeutic agents.^[2,4] It is therefore the alliance of chemistry and biological activities that forms the basis of this review, dedicated to the versatility of a small group of



Figure 1. Structure and numbering system of 2-SC (1).



Dr. Clementina M. M. Santos graduated in chemistry (1996), obtained her Master in Sciences in the chemistry of natural products and foodstuffs (2000) and received her PhD in chemistry (2007), all at the University of Aveiro (Portugal). She began her career at the Institute Polytechnic of Bragança in 2000 as Assistant and was appointed Assistant Professor in 2010. She has published over 25 papers and nine book chapters. Her research interests range over the synthesis of heterocyclic compounds and evaluation of their biological properties, especially the antioxidant activity.



Prof. Artur M. S. Silva studied chemistry at the University of Aveiro (Portugal), where he graduated in chemistry physics in 1987. In 1993 he received his PhD in chemistry at Aveiro University. He began his independent career at Aveiro University as an Assistant Professor in 1994. He was appointed to Associate Professor with tenure in 1998 and Full Professor in 2001. He has published over 510 papers (h index of 40) and 28 book chapters. His research interests range over the chemistry of polyphenolic and nitrogen heterocyclic compounds, with special emphasis on the development of new synthetic routes and also on organocatalytic and metal-catalyzed transformations. A second line of his research is centred on the isolation and structural characterization of natural products from diverse terrestrial and marine sources.





chromones possessing a styryl moiety (**B** component in structure **1**, Figure 1) at position 2 and known as 2-styrylchromones **1** [or (*E*)-2-styryl-4*H*-chromen-4-one, 2-SC, Figure 1]. Here we cover the sparse natural occurrence, synthesis, reactivity and biological profile of 2-styrylchromones in a a single and general overview intended to highlight better the importance of this small group of oxygen-containing heterocycles.

NMR spectroscopy has been the most powerful technique used for the structural elucidation of 2-SCs. The configuration and conformation of 2-SCs were established through the analysis of their 1D and 2D NMR spectra. The vicinal coupling constant ($J_{H\alpha,H\beta}$) at about 15–17 Hz indicates a *trans* configuration for the double bond in all known natural 2-SC derivatives and almost all the synthetic derivatives.^[5–7] In addition, NOE cross peaks observed between H- α and H-3 and the absence of cross peaks between H- β and H-3 confirm that, in solution, parent 2-SC (1) exists in a C2-C α *s*-*trans* conformation. The delocalized C2=C3,C α =C β doubly unsaturated carbonyl system implies that the C2–C α bond has a character between a single and a double bond, preventing free rotation, and only the *s*-*trans* conformation was observed (Figure 2).^[6] These data were also confirmed by NOESY experiments with other substituted 2-SCs.^[5,7–9]



Figure 2. Four possible conformers of 2-SC and NOE effect in the *E*-s-trans conformer.

The X-ray crystal structure of hormothamnione triacetate^[10] corroborates the *E* configuration of the C α =C β double bond and the *s*-trans conformation of the C2-C α bond in the solid state.

To simplify the manuscript, the *E* configuration of $C\alpha = C\beta$ and the C2-C α *s*-*trans* conformation of 2-SC is assumed unless the appropriate stereochemistry is specifically mentioned.

2. Natural Occurrence of 2-Styrylchromones

2-SCs are scarce in nature. Thirty years after the isolation of the first natural 2-SC – hormothamnione (**2**, Figure 3) – there is still no report that confirms the isolation of the parent compound **1** from natural sources. Hormothamnione (**2**) was first isolated in 1986, from a chloroform/methanol extract of cyanobacterium *Hormothamnion enteromorphoides* collected on the north coast of Puerto Rico.^[10] Three years later, Gerwick revised the taxonomy of the material collected to the Cryptophycean alga *Chry*-

sophaeum taylori and even isolated the new, closely related derivative 6-desmethoxyhormotamnione (3, Figure 3).^[11] Yoon et al. obtained a new, less substituted natural 2-SC in the form of 5-hydroxylated derivative 4 (Figure 3), from the methanol extract of the rhizomes of Imperata cylindrica.^[12] The synthesis of 4 and its structural elucidation by NMR spectroscopy have been described previously by our group.^[13] 6,4'-Dihydroxy-3'methoxy-2-styrylchromone (5, Figure 3) was isolated from the ethanol extract of Chinese eaglewood as a yellow amorphous solid and fully characterized by NMR, MS and IR spectroscopy.^[14] In 2013, the five 5,7,4'-trihydroxy-2-styrylchromones 6-10 (Figure 3) were isolated from an ethanol extract of the shed bark of *Platanus x acerifolia*.^[15] Meanwhile, derivative 6 has also been isolated from heartwood of Juniperus chinensis (by heating at reflux in methanol)^[16] and from an ethanol extract of the bulbils of Dioscorea bulbifera.^[17] It is also worth noting that compound 6 was synthesized by us prior to its isolation.^[18] Of compounds 7–10, named platachromones A–D, the prenylated analogue platachromone B (8) has recently been synthesized by our group.[19]



Figure 3. Structures of natural 2-SC derivatives 2-10.

3. Synthesis of 2-Styrylchromones

As far as we know, the synthesis of 2-SCs goes back to 1923,^[20] and since then several approaches have been developed in order to prepare a wide variety of analogues. These approaches, also summarized in two previous papers,^[21,22] include: (1) cyclization of an acetylenic ketone, (2) Allan–Robinson condensation, (3) intramolecular Wittig reaction, (4) condensation of 2methylchromones with benzaldehydes, (5) aldol condensation followed by oxidative cyclization, and (6) Baker–Venkataraman rearrangement. It is our intention to describe these methodologies not chronologically but from the less frequently used to the most widely used ones.

3.1. Cyclization of an Acetylenic Ketone

A simple attempt using an acetylenic ketone in the synthesis of 2-ST was reported. Only parent 2-SC (1) was prepared, in a mul-

3116





tistep strategy involving the treatment of tetrahydro-2*H*-pyranyl-protected propargyl alcohol **11** with BuLi at -78 °C, addition of cinnamaldehyde, oxidation and removal of the protecting group to afford the conjugated acetylenic ketone **12** in 81 % yield. Subsequent HBr-catalyzed cyclization in 1,4-dioxane at 80 °C provided the unsubstituted 2-SC (**1**) in 82 % yield (Scheme 1).^[23]



Scheme 1. Synthesis of parent 2-SC (1) through cyclization of an acetylenic ketone. THP = tetrahydro-2H-pyranyl, PPTS = pyridinium *p*-toluenesulfonate.

3.2. Allan-Robinson Condensation

The method developed by Allan and Robinson for the synthesis of flavones was applied for the first time in the synthesis of 2-SC in 1925. Heating appropriate cinnamic anhydrides and the corresponding sodium cinnamates with 1-(2-hydroxyaryl)-2-methoxyethan-1-ones at 180 °C led to the synthesis of a few 3-methoxy-2-styrylchromones **13** ($R^2 = OMe$, Scheme 2), which after methyl cleavage provided the corresponding 3-hydroxy-2-styrylchromones.^[24] Years later other 3-unsubstituted ($R^2 = H$) and 3-methylated **13** ($R^2 = Me$) derivatives were prepared by using similar conditions in the condensation reaction (Scheme 2).^[25] The mechanism involves a one-step cinnamoylation of a 2'-hydroxyacetophenone, rearrangement to a 2-cinnamoyl-2'-hydroxyacetophenone and cyclization.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of 2-SCs 13 through Allan-Robinson condensation.

3.3. Intramolecular Wittig Reaction

Only two publications have reported the synthesis of 2-SCs through condensation of (2-hydroxybenzylidene)triphenylphosphoranes with cinnamoyl chloride. In the first one, 1-(2hydroxyphenacylidene)triphenylphosphorane (**15**, $R^1 = R^2 =$ $R^3 = H$), prepared through the reaction between 2-acetoxyphenacyl bromide (14) and triphenylphosphine, followed by ester hydrolysis, underwent condensation with cinnamoyl chloride in the presence of pyridine and toluene at reflux.^[26] The formed *o*-cinnamoyl ester **16** ($R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = H$) underwent an intramolecular Wittig reaction to give the parent 2-SC, in 65 % yield. The second approach is a more general strategy and involves reactions between [1-(2-hydroxybenzoyl)alkylidene]triphenylphosphoranes 15 (obtained by condensation of methyl 2-hydroxybenzoates17 with substituted triphenyl phosphines) and cinnamoyl chloride in dry pyridine at 60 °C for 24 h (Scheme 3). A range of substituted 2-SCs 18 were prepared in 47-70 % vields.^[27]

3.4. Condensation between 2-Methylchromones and Benzaldehydes

One of the oldest approaches to the synthesis of 2-SCs consists of base-promoted condensation between 2-methylchromones and benzaldehydes. In 1923, Heilbron et al. reported the first



Scheme 3. Synthesis of 2-SCs 18 through intramolecular Wittig reactions.





synthesis of various 3-methyl-2-styrylchromones 19 by condensation of 2,3-dimethylchromone with a variety of substituted benzaldehydes in the presence of sodium ethoxide in ethanol at room temperature for 24 h (Scheme 4).^[20] Naturally occurring hormothamnione (2) was synthesized both by this strategy,^[28] and also in the presence of sodium methoxide in methanol at reflux.^[29,30] Under the latter conditions, some 3-methylated and 3-unmethylated (2-styrylchromon-8-yl)acetic acids arose in 7-24 h in 40-55 % yields.^[5] A couple of 3-bromo-2-styrylchromones 20 were prepared by condensation of 3-bromo-2methylchromone with benzaldehyde/4-benzyloxybenzaldehyde in the presence of sodium methoxide in methanol at room temperature, in good yields (Scheme 4).^[31] Under the same reaction conditions, the condensation of 2-methyl-3-styrylchromones with parent benzaldehyde provided a few examples of 2,3distyrylchromones 21.^[32] Condensation between 2-methyl-3-



 R^1 = H, Br, Me, OBn, OEt, OMe, OPr, *p*-methoxybenzyloxy, CH₂N{[(CH₂)₂Ph][CH₂(*p*-OMe)Ph]}, -OCH=CH-

 R^2 = H, Br, CF₃, Cl, F, Me, NMe₂, NO₂, OBn, OCF₃, OMe, OCH₂cyclopropyl, -OCH₂O-,

(i) NaOEt, EtOH, r.t., 24 h; (ii) NaOMe, MeOH, r.t., 48 h; (iii) piperidine, MeOH, reflux, 30 min; (iv) NaOEt, EtOH, r.t., 12 h or 55 $^{\circ}$ C 1–2 h or reflux 10–15 min / NaOMe, MeOH, reflux, 18 h

Scheme 4. Synthesis of 2-SCs **19–23** through the condensation of 2-methylchromones with benzaldehydes. nitrochromones and benzaldehydes in dry methanol at reflux together with a few drops of piperidine afforded the corresponding 3-nitro-2-styrylchromones **22** in 70–80 % yields.^[33] A wide range of 2-SCs **23**, unsubstituted at C-3, were obtained by condensation between substituted 2-methylchromones and appropriate benzaldehydes in alkaline medium with ethanol as solvent at room temperature,^[25,34–36] 55 °C^[37] or boiling temperature^[38] or even in methanol at reflux^[39,40] (Scheme 4).

3.5. Aldol Condensation/Cyclodehydrogenation

A more general approach in the preparation of 2-SCs requires an initial step in which a typical base-catalyzed aldol condensation between a 2'-hydroxyacetophenone and an appropriate cinnamaldehyde affords the corresponding 2'-hydroxycinnamylideneacetophenone 24. The reaction is usually carried out in the presence of an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide in ethanol^[25,41–43] or methanol^[44,45] but the use of barium hydroxide in ethanol^[46–48] or methanol^[49] and LiHMDS [lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide] in THF^[50] has also been reported (Scheme 5). These 2'-hydroxycinnamylideneacetophenones 24 undergo cyclodehydrogenation to give the corresponding 2-SCs (E)-25 in the presence either of a catalytic amount of iodine in DMSO^[41,42,44-47,51-54] or of SeO₂ in pentanol or xylenes.^[55] Under the former conditions, y-substituted 2'-hydroxycinnamylideneacetophenones 24 afford not only the E isomers (E)-25 but also the Z isomers (Z)-25 in small amounts (11–15 % yields, Scheme 5).^[44,53]

It is noteworthy that Cheema et al. synthesized a few 2'hydroxycinnamylideneacetophenones that could not be converted into 2-styrylchromanones either in boiling alcoholic sulfuric acid or on prolonged contact with an alkaline solution.^[25] Another interesting aspect is the reaction time in the oxidative cyclization of 2'-benzyloxy-6'-hydroxycinnamylideneacetophenone 27 carried out in the presence of a catalytic amount of iodine in DMSO (Scheme 6). Heating the reaction mixture at reflux for 30 min led to the formation of the corresponding 5-benzyloxy-2-styrylchromone (28) whereas on heating at reflux for 2 h, removal of the 5-benzyloxy group also occurred and 5-hydroxy-2-styrylchromone (4) was isolated.^[51,54] Hydrogen iodide, formed during the cyclization step, reacts with the benzyl group of the 2-SC to form benzyl iodide, which undergoes oxidation promoted by DMSO to afford benzaldehyde and to regenerate hydrogen iodide to continue the debenzylation process (Scheme 6). In the presence of one equivalent of iodine or bromine, a subsequent electrophilic substitution reaction occurs, with the corresponding 6,8-(diiodo or dibromo)-5-hydroxy-2-styryl-chromones 29 being obtained.^[52] On the other hand, the presence of 0.5 equiv. of the halogen led to a mixture of C-6 or C-8 monohalogenated 5hydroxy-2-styrylchromones 30 (Scheme 6).^[54]

Other methodologies for preparing 3-hydroxy-2-styrylchromones **26** have also been developed, with 2'-hydroxycinnamylideneacetophenones **23** being treated with hydrogen peroxide in alkaline medium^[43,49,50,55–58] or diethylamine in a 2:1 mixture of DMSO/1,4-dioxane^[47] (Scheme 5). In these cases pyranone ring closure occurs through an Algar–Flynn–Oyamada







Scheme 5. Synthesis of 2-SCs **25–26** through aldol condensation between 2'-hydroxyacetophenones and cinnamaldehydes followed by cyclodehydrogenation reactions. LiHMDS = lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide.





Scheme 6. Reaction behaviour of 2'-benzyloxy-6'-hydroxycinnamylideneacetophenone (**27**) in the presence of different amounts of iodine/bromine in DMSO and reaction times.

(AFO) process. Although there is some controversy about the mechanism of the AFO reaction^[59–61] and the involvement or not (pathways B and C) of epoxide formation (Scheme 7), we can state that in the presence of base, cyclization of 2'-hydroxy-cinnamylideneacetophenones **23** with simultaneous hydroxyl-

ation promoted by hydrogen peroxide led to 3-hydroxy-2chromanones, which were subsequently oxidized to 3-hydroxy-2-styrylchromones **26**.



Scheme 7. Proposed mechanism for the Algar–Flynn–Oyamada (AFO) reaction of chalcones.

3.6. Baker-Venkataraman Rearrangement

This is the most common and general method for the preparation of a large variety of 2-SCs. The classical approach involves a three-step sequence: (i) condensation of appropriate 2'hydroxyacetophenones with cinnamoyl chlorides, (ii) base-promoted Baker–Venkataraman rearrangement^[62,63] of the formed esters **31** into the 5-aryl-3-hydroxy-1-(2-hydroxyaryl)penta-2,4dien-1-ones **32** (in equilibrium with the corresponding diketone form), and (iii) cyclodehydration to afford the desired 2-SCs **33** (Scheme 8). The cinnamoyl chlorides used in the esterification step may be commercially available or can be prepared in situ by treatment of the corresponding cinnamic acids with phosphoryl chloride in dry pyridine at room temperature or 60–





90 °C^[7,8,18,19,32,45,47,64–74] or with N,N-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) in the presence of a catalytic amount of 4-pyrrolidinepyridine (4-PPy) in dichloromethane at room temperature.^[13,75] Transposition of the cinnamoyl group from the 2'-position to position 2 of the acetophenone occurs in the presence of potassium hydroxide with DMSO^[13,18,19,7,8,32,67-69,71,73] or pyridine^[47,64,70,73,74] as solvent, of sodium hydride in THF at reflux^[8,45,65] or under less conventional conditions such as potassium carbonate in acetone at reflux^[66] or sodium hydroxide in DMSO at room temperature.^[75] The last step was originally achieved in a strongly acidic medium (sulfuric acid in acetic acid at reflux), but this is rarely used nowadays.^[64,70,74] It has been replaced by use of milder reagent systems, including the use of a few drops of diluted hydrochloric acid in acetic acid,^[73] of p-toluenesulfonic acid (PTSA) in DMSO^[13,18,19,7,8,65,67,68,72] or of a catalytic amount of iodine in DMSO.^[13,18,8,45,68] Individual attempts with use of concentrated sulfuric acid in ethanol at reflux,^[66] grinding with phosphorus pentoxide under solventfree conditions^[76] and with potassium carbonate in water under classical heating at reflux or under microwave-assisted conditions^[77] have also been reported (Scheme 8).

Nikam et al. performed a three-step approach to the synthesis of 2-SCs and compared the use of classical heating conditions and of ultrasound irradiation. The results indicate that shorter reaction times and better yields are obtained with the ultrasound-assisted method.^[73]

The synthesis of 3-substituted 2-SCs by this three-step strategy involves the previously mentioned penta-2,4-dien-1-ones. Various 3-bromo-2-styrylchromones 34 were obtained when 5aryl-3-hydroxy-1-(2-hydroxyaryl)penta-2,4-dien-1-ones 32 were treated with bromine in 1,4-dioxane^[64] and with phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide (PTT) in THF at room temperature (Scheme 9).^[32,69] Treatment of penta-2,4-dien-1-ones with Nbromosuccinimide (NBS) in CCl₄ in the presence of benzoyl peroxide produces inseparable mixtures of 2-bromopenta-4-en-1,3-diones **35** and 3-bromo-2-styrylchromones **34** ($R^3 = Br$). Cyclodehydration of the former compounds with sulfuric acid in acetic acid gives solely 3-bromo-2-styrylchromones 34 (R^3 = Br). The isolation of 2-bromopenta-4-en-1,3-diones 35 was also achieved when penta-2,4-dien-1-ones were treated with bromine either in a 1:1 mixture of 1,4-dioxane/DMF or in acetic acid in the presence of acetate buffer at room tempera-



Scheme 8. Three-step approaches to the synthesis of 2-SCs through Baker–Venkataraman rearrangement. DCC = N, N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, 4-PPy = 4-pyrrolidinepyridine, PTSA = p-toluenesulfonic acid, MW = microwave irradiation.



Scheme 9. Synthesis of 3-substituted 2-SCs 34 and 36 through cyclodehydration of the corresponding 5-aryl-3-hydroxy-1-(2-hydroxyaryl)penta-2,4-dien-1-ones 32. PTT = phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide, NBS = N-bromosuccinimide.







Scheme 10. Synthesis of 3-alkenyl-2-styrylchromones **37** and **38** through a multi-step approach starting from 2'-hydroxyacetophenone and cinnamoyl chlorides. DBU = 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene.

ture.^[64,78] Moreover, treatment of penta-2,4-dien-1-ones **32** with sulfuryl chloride in 1,4-dioxane afforded 3-chloro-2-styryl-chromones **34** ($R^3 = CI$) in good yields.^[64] One-pot tandem reactions between penta-2,4-dien-1-ones **32** and chromone-3-carboxylic acid in the presence of a catalytic amount of 4-PPy in chloroform at reflux provided a series of (2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enyl-2-styrylchromones **36** (Scheme 9).^[75]

A unique multi-step approach allowed the preparation of a few 3-alkenyl-2-styrylchromones **37** and **38** through DBU-promoted condensation between 2'-hydroxyacetophenone and cinnamoyl chlorides in acetonitrile, Baker–Venkataraman rearrangement with potassium *tert*-butoxide in THF to afford the 5-aryl-3-hydroxy-1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)penta-2,4-dien-1-ones **32**, acylation with Ac₂O or (EtCO)₂O in basic medium, reduction with sodium borohydride in pyridine and finally dehydrative rearrangement mediated by MeSO₃H at room temperature (Scheme 10).^[79]

Two-step strategies involving Baker–Venkataraman rearrangement have appeared over the years. Makrandi and Kumari reported condensation between 2'-hydroxyacetophenones and cinnamic anhydrides in the presence of tetrabutylammonium hydrogen sulfate in benzene/aqueous potassium carbonate biphasic medium to give 5-aryl-3-hydroxy-1-(2-hydroxyaryl)penta-2,4-dien-1-ones **32** directly; after cyclodehydration by treatment with PTSA in DMSO these afforded the desired 2-SCs **33** in 65–80 % yields.^[80] A similar procedure used cinnamoyl chlorides in potassium carbonate/acetone medium, and cyclodehydration occurred in the presence of concentrated sulfuric acid in ethanol at reflux (Scheme 11).^[49,81]

The synthesis of 3-cinnamoyl-5-hydroxy-2-styrylchromones **40** was achieved through a two-step procedure involving the condensation of 2',6'-dihydroxyacetophenone with 2 equiv. of appropriate cinnamic acids in the presence of DCC and 4-PPy in dichloromethane at room temperature to afford the 2',6'-dicinnamoyloxyacetophenones **39**, which underwent cyclo-dehydration on treatment with potassium carbonate in dry pyridine at 120 °C^[13,82] or under MW irradiation^[83] (Scheme 12).



Scheme 11. Two-step approaches to the synthesis of 2-SCs **33** through Baker– Venkataraman rearrangement. TBA = tetrabutylammonium.



Scheme 12. Synthesis of 3-cinnamoyloxy-2-styrylchromones **40** through a two-step modified Baker–Venkataraman method.

A convenient one-pot synthesis of 3-cinnamoyl-5-hydroxy-7methyl-2-styrylchromones was accomplished when 2',6'-di-





hydroxy-4'-methylacetophenone was treated with 2 equiv. of cinnamoyl chlorides in the presence of potassium carbonate in acetone at reflux. The target 2-SCs were obtained in quantitative yields on precipitation from the reaction medium, whereas after purification by column chromatography the yields were drastically decreased, due to crystallization during this process.^[84] On changing the acetophenone component to 2',4'-di-hydroxyacetophenone or 2',4',6'-trihydroxyacetophenone, 7-cinnamoyloxy- or 5,7-dicinnamoyloxy-2-styrylchromones, respectively, were obtained.^[85]

4. Reactivity of 2-Styrylchromones

The reactivity of the 2-SC nucleus towards photooxidative reactions, cycloadditions and nucleophilic addition reactions allowed the synthesis of a series of heterocyclic compounds. In a minor extension with the same goal, Heck reactions of 3-bromo derivatives, reduction and epoxidation reactions have also been performed.

4.1. Photooxidative Reactions

Photooxidative cyclization of 2-SCs **41** has been known since 1980, when Kumar et al. irradiated some derivatives in the pres-





Scheme 13. Synthesis of 12*H*-benzo[*a*]xanthen-12-ones **42** through photoirradiation of 2-SCs **41**.



Scheme 14. Synthesis of several tricyclic and tetracyclic compounds through photoirradiation of 2-SCs.





through a more eco-friendly methodology using daylight irradiation, chloroform as solvent and borosilicate glass vessels, in good yields (50–60 %, Scheme 13).^[44,53] These transformations involve isomerization, cyclization and oxidation reactions, in one-pot fashion.

Under photolytic conditions under nitrogen, (*E*)-3-benzyloxy-6-methyl-2-styrylchromones **43**, dissolved in benzene in a Pyrex glass reactor, underwent isomerization and cyclization to afford linear tricyclic tetrahydropyran[3,2-*b*]chromanones **44** in 20– 29 % yields.^[87] Under similar conditions, 3-methoxy-6-methyl-2styrylchromone **45**, dissolved in dry methanol, furnished a mixture of the (*Z*)-2-SC (*Z*)-**45**, 3-demethoxylated 2-SC **46** and oxetanochromanone **47**. The introduction of a 3-allyloxy or 3prenyloxy group instead of a 3-methoxy group, in 2-SC **48**, led to a mixture of the two linear tricyclic photoproducts **49** and **51** and their angular counterpart **50** (Scheme 14).^[43] A review on the photochemistry of chromones and bischromones, which includes the photoinduced H-abstraction from 2-SCs, has been published.^[88]

Irradiation of methanol solutions of (*E*)-3-hydroxy-2-(α -methylstyryl)chromones **52** with a mercury lamp led to mixtures containing the corresponding *Z* isomers (*Z*)-**52**, linear tricyclic 9*H*-furo[3,2-*b*]chromen-9-ones **53** and angular fused tetracyclic **54** (Scheme 14).^[89]

4.2. Cycloaddition

2-SCs can participate in a series of pericyclic reactions acting as dienes or dienophiles, as well as dipolarophiles, to provide other heterocyclic compounds. It was reported in 1954 that 2-SCs act as dienes in Diels–Alder (DA) cycloaddition with maleic anhydride (**55**, X = O) in boiling xylene, giving rise to the expected 3-aryl-1,2,3,9a-tetrahydro-9*H*-xanthen-9-one cycloadducts **56** (Scheme 15).^[90] Similar reactions were carried out with *N*-arylmaleimides (X = *N*Ar¹, Scheme 15).^[91] dibenzoylethyl-



Scheme 15. 2-SCs as dienes in DA cycloaddition with maleic anhydride and *N*-arylmaleimides. enes^[92] and 1,4-benzoquinones^[93,94] as dienophiles, and the obtained cycloadducts were assumed also to be based on the 1,2,3,9a-tetrahydro-9*H*-xanthen-9-one moiety. However, studies conducted by Letcher and Yue in 1992 on the reactivity of 2-SCs with maleic anhydride and with *N*-phenylmaleimide and extensive spectroscopic characterization established that the adduct structures were those of the isomeric 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9*H*-xanthen-9-ones **57** (Scheme 15).^[95,96] The formation of these compounds **57** was the result of a [1,3] proton shift in the formed adducts **56**, with the driving force of this isomerization being the stability of the reformed chromone moiety.

In addition, Letcher and Yue also studied the behaviour of an electron-rich dienophile in DA cycloaddition with 2-SCs. Use of one equimolar amount of the enamine 1-pyrrolidinylcyclopentene (**58**) in 95 % ethanol at reflux led to the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9*H*-xanthen-9-one cycloadducts **59** in 50–60 % yields (Scheme 15).^[97] The *trans* stereochemistry of the obtained xanthones suggests that cycloaddition occurred through an *exo*-DA addition with inverse electron demand.

Other pyrrolidine enamines, formed in situ from acetone, butan-2-one and propanal in the presence of a catalytic amount of pyrrolidine, underwent [4+2] cycloaddition with a variety of 2-SCs.^[98] From acetone at reflux, several 3-aryl-1-methyl-9*H*-xanthen-9-ones **60** were obtained as main products (56–76 % yields, Scheme 16). 8-Methoxy-1-methylidene-3-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9*H*-xanthen-9-one (**61**) was also isolated as a single minor product, which was converted into the corresponding 8-methoxy-1-methyl-3-phenyl-9*H*-xanthen-9-one (**60**, R¹ = 5-OMe) upon treatment with a mixture of acetic acid/sulfuric acid. The mechanism involves formation of tetrahydroxanthones through [4+2] inverse-electron-demand cycloaddition, migration of the exocyclic double bond and dehydrogenation of the C-ring to yield the fully aromatized 1-methyl-9*H*-xanthen-9-ones.

Two isomers were formed during pyrrolidine enamine preparation in situ from butan-2-one: 2-pyrrolidinobut-2-ene as the major product and 2-pyrrolidinobut-1-ene as a minor component. These underwent DA cycloaddition with 2-SCs to afford 3-aryl-2-methyl-1-methylidene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9*H*-xanthen-9-ones **62** (36–55 % yield) and small amounts of 3-aryl-1-ethyl-9*H*-xanthen-9-ones **63** (13–16 % yield, Scheme 16). Treatment of the former tetrahydro-9*H*-xanthen-9-one **62** ($R^1 = R^2 = H$) with strong acid for 3 h led to the corresponding 1,2-dimethyl-3-phenyl-9*H*-xanthen-9-one **(64)** in almost quantitative yield (Scheme 16). An extension of this approach was applied to the reaction between the pyrrolidine enamine of propanal and the parent 2-SC, with the expected 2-methyl-3-phenyl-9*H*-xanthen-9-one **(65)** being obtained in 12 % yield (Scheme 16).^[98]

Acting as dienophiles, a series of 2-SCs reacted with excess quantities of highly reactive *o*-benzoquinodimethane (**66**, formed in situ by the thermal extrusion of sulfur dioxide from 1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophene 2,2-dioxide) in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene at reflux to provide 2-(3-aryl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphth-2-yl)]chromones **67** ($\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{H}$) in 76–89 % yields (Scheme 17).^[99] Complete aromatization of the obtained cycloadducts was achieved by a two-step approach involving benzylic bromination with NBS in the presence of benzoyl peroxide and subse-







Scheme 16. 2-SCs as dienes in DA cycloaddition with pyrrolidine enamines.

quent dehydrobromination by heating the corresponding products in triethylamine at reflux. It is noteworthy that small amounts of brominated naphthylchromones **69** ($R^1 = H$) were

also obtained and easily converted into the desired naphthylchromones **68** ($R^1 = H$) by treatment with ammonium formate and Pd/C in methanol at reflux.



(iv) Nal, dry DMF, reflux, 16 h

Scheme 17. 2-SCs as dienophiles in DA cycloaddition with symmetric o-benzoquinodimethanes. DDQ = 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone.





To avoid the bromination step, *o*-benzoquinodibromodimethane (**70**), prepared in situ from $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha', \alpha'$ -tetrabromo-*o*-xylene, was allowed to react with 2-SCs in DMF at reflux; this also afforded naphthylchromones **68** (R¹ = H), in a one-pot reaction. Complete aromatization of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthylchromone **67** (R¹, R³ = H, R² = Me) derived from 2-(α -methylstyryl)chromone was not possible; instead, 2-(2-methyl-3-phenyl-1,2-dihydronaphth-2-yl)]chromone **71** was isolated in 78 % yield.^[99]

Years later, Silva's group extended the study to reactions between *o*-benzoquinodimethane (**66**) and 2-SCs substituted at C-5. The corresponding 1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthylchromones **67** (R¹ = OH) were prepared in lower yields (62–63 %). Subsequent dehydrogenation was performed in one-pot fashion with 2,3dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) as oxidant in 1,4dioxane or 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene at reflux under MW irradiation (Scheme 17). Better yields and shorter reaction times were accomplished in the MW-assisted reactions.^[100]

DA cycloaddition between 2-SCs and asymmetric pyrimidine *o*-quinodimethane **72**, prepared in situ by thermal extrusion of sulfur dioxide from 4-methoxy-2-methyl-5,7-dihydrothieno[3,4-*d*]pyrimidine 6,6-dioxide, led to mixtures of regioisomeric tetrahydroquinazoline-substituted chromones **73** and **74**, with 2-(7-aryl-4-methoxy-2-methyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinazolin-6-yl)-chromone derivatives **73** being the major isomers (Scheme 18).^[101] Variable amounts of the starting 2-SCs were also recovered (5–45 %).



Scheme 18. 2-SCs as dienophiles in DA cycloaddition with asymmetric pyrimidine *o*-quinodimethane **72**. Studies involving 2-SCs as dipolarophiles in 1,3-cycloaddition reactions with diazomethane or sodium azide have been reported. Treatment of 2-SCs with diazomethane in chloroform/ diethyl ether 1:3 mixtures at room temperature gave access mainly to 4-aryl-3-(chromon-2-yl)-2-pyrazolines **75** with higher R_f values (68–92 % yields) and, with lower R_f values, the 3-aryl-4-(chromon-2-yl)-1-pyrazoline regioisomers **76** (1–5 % yields, Scheme 19).^[65] Compounds **75** resulted from the isomerization of the formed cycloadducts **77** due to the acidity of H_a (Scheme 19).

The reactivity of the $C\alpha = C\beta$ double bond was also tested when 2-SCs were brominated with pyridinium tribromide in acetic acid at room temperature (Scheme 20). Mixtures of 3-bromo-2-styrylchromones **78**, 2-(2-aryl-1,2-dibromoethyl)chromones **79** and 2-(2-aryl-1,2-dibromoethyl)-3-bromochromones **80** were isolated, according to the substitution pattern of the 2-SC. Dehydrobromination of 2-(2-aryl-1,2-dibromoethyl)chromones **79** with triethylamine in toluene at re-



Scheme 20. 2-SC derivatives as dipolarophiles in the 1,3-cycloaddition reactions with sodium azide.



(i) CHCl₃/ethyl ether (1:3), r.t.

Scheme 19. 2-SCs as dipolarophiles in 1,3-cycloaddition with diazomethane.





flux gave both geometric isomers: (Z)-2-(α -bromostyryl)chromones (Z)-81 as major products and (E)-2-(α -bromostyryl)chromones (E)-81 as minor products. Independent treatment of isomers (Z)-81 and (E)-81 with sodium azide (excess) in DMF at reflux afforded mainly 4(5)-aryl-5(4)-chromon-2-yl-1,2,3-triazoles 82 (30-40 % yield) and 1-aryl-5-(chromon-2-ylmethyl)tetrazoles 83, in 10-12 % yields (Scheme 20).^[102] Later on, the group improved the protocol to include other (Z)-2-(α -bromostyryl)chromones (Z)-81 by increasing the amount of sodium azide from 2 to 5 molar equivalents and decreasing the reaction temperature from reflux to 120 °C for 24 h, with only 4(5)-aryl-5(4)-chromon-2-vl-1,2,3-triazoles 82 being obtained, in 61-83 % yields.^[103] Similar procedures with 2-SCs and 2-(2-aryl-1,2-dibromoethyl)chromones 79 as starting materials led to the same 4(5)-aryl-5(4)-chromon-2-yl-1,2,3-triazoles 82 in 47-65 % and 54-73 % yields, respectively (Scheme 20).^[103] These one-pot reactions showed that bromination and dehydrobromination steps can be avoided in the preparation of 1,2,3-triazole derivatives of this type.

4.3. Reactions with Nucleophiles

The most common transformation of 2-SCs involving 1,4-addition reactions involves the use of hydrazines in order to prepare pyrazole derivatives. A series of (*E*)-3-(2-benzyloxy-6-hydroxyphenyl)-5-styrylpyrazoles **84** were thus obtained by treatment of 5-benzyloxy-2-styrylchromones with hydrazine hydrate or methylhydrazine in excess, in methanol at reflux for 24 h, in moderate to good yields (Scheme 21).^[104,105] The proposed mechanism includes nucleophilic attack at C-2 of the 2-SC, ring opening and intramolecular hydrazone formation. A detailed investigation of the mother liquors from the reactions between 5-benzyloxy-2-styrylchromones and hydrazine hydrate in excess found not only the expected (E)-3-(2-benzyloxy-6-hydroxyphenyl)-5-styrylpyrazoles 84, but also the 3-(2-benzyloxy-6hydroxyphenyl)-5-(2-phenylethyl)pyrazoles 85 and 5-aryl-3-(2-benzyloxy-β,6-dihydroxystyryl)-2-pyrazolines **86**.^[45] The amounts of pyrazoles 84 increased with increasing amounts of hydrazine and/or on heating at reflux longer than necessary for the disappearance of the starting 2-SC. Reduction of the major pyrazoles 84 induced by diazene (N_2H_2) , formed by oxidation of hydrazine) explains the formation of the minor pyrazoles 85. 2-Pyrazolines 86 arise from nucleophilic attack at C-2 of the 2-SC, ring opening and conjugate addition of hydrazine to C- γ of the formed $\alpha_{i}\beta_{i}\gamma_{i}\delta$ -doubly unsaturated opened system. A series of 5(4)-aryl-4(5)-[3(5)-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazol-5(3)-yl]new 1H-1,2,3-triazoles 88 were prepared in moderate to good yields by treatment of 5(4)-aryl-4(5)-(chromon-2-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazoles 87 with hydrazine hydrate in excess (5 molar equivalents) in methanol at 60 °C (Scheme 21).[106]

1,6-Conjugate additions of various nucleophiles to 2-SCs have been reported in a couple of papers. Thus, treatment of 2-SCs with nitromethane in the presence of DBU at room temperature afforded the corresponding 2-(2-aryl-3-nitromethyl)-chromones **89** in moderate to good yields. Subsequent reduction with tin powder and hydrochloric acid provided 2-substituted 4-arylpyrrole derivatives **90** in better yields than achieved with ammonium formate and Pd/C (Scheme 22).^[107] On the other hand, a domino multicomponent 1,6-/1,6-/1,4-conjugate addition reaction between parent 2-SC (**1**) and nitromethane occurs under phase-transfer catalysis to give a mixture of



Scheme 21. 1,4-Addition reactions of hydrazine derivatives to 2-SCs.







Scheme 22. Conjugate addition of nitromethane, ethyl malonate and malononitrile to 2-SCs. TBAB = tetrabutylammonium bromide.



Scheme 23. Microwave-assisted tandem reactions between 3-bromo-2-styrylchromones and 1-(prop-1-en-2-yl)pyrrolidine for the synthesis of cyclo-hepta[b]chromene-9,11-diones **97** as major products.

pentasubstituted spirocyclohexanes **91** and **92** in poor yields and with recovery of an 11 % yield of 2-SC (**1**, Scheme 22).^[108]

2-SCs underwent 1,6-conjugate addition with ethyl malonate in the presence of sodium ethoxide as base, giving rise to adducts **93** after 2–4 days, although in low yields (8–54 %). Use of malononitrile as nucleophile in the presence of a catalytic amount of piperidine in ethanol at reflux for 3–4 days afforded β -malononitrile adducts **94** (14–53 % yield) as major products, together with the α -malononitrile derivatives **95** in poor yields (2–23 %) (Scheme 22). In these reactions it was also possible to recover some starting 2-SCs.^[108]

The synthesis of cyclohepta[b]chromene-9,11-diones **96** occurred through microwave-assisted tandem 1,6-conjugate addition/Michael-initiated ring closure reactions between 3-bromo-2-styrylchromones and 1-(prop-1-en-2-yl)pyrrolidine, prepared in situ from acetone and pyrrolidine, at 100 °C for 20 min. Subsequent acid-promoted cyclopropane ring-expansion led mainly to cyclohepta[*b*]chromene-9,11-diones **97**; small amounts of benzofuran-4(5*H*)-ones **98** were also isolated (Scheme 23).^[109]

4.4. Miscellaneous Reactions

Many synthetic routes require the protection of substituents in order to avoid the formation of by-products, to improve solubility in the reaction medium and to support the isolation and/ or purification processes. These protecting groups are usually removed in the last step to allow the synthesis of the target compounds. The most commonly used substituents for the protection of hydroxy groups in 2-SCs are the methyl and benzyl groups. Thus, demethylation of methoxy-substituted 2-styrylchromones is achieved by treatment with boron tribromide in



dry dichloromethane,^[40] whereas debenzylation of benzyloxysubstituted 2-styrylchromones occurs in the presence of hydrogen bromine in acetic acid at reflux.^[18,47] Simultaneous demethylation and debenzylation was performed with use of an excess of aluminium chloride and sodium iodide in dry acetonitrile at room temperature for 12 h.^[47] The cleavage of cinnamoyl groups was achieved when 7-cinnamoyloxy- and 5,7dicinnamoyloxy-substituted 2-styrylchromones were hydrolyzed with 5 % methanolic potassium hydroxide at reflux for 30 min.^[85] *p*-Methoxybenzyl cleavage was carried out in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane at room temperature for 30 min.^[37]

Boron tribromide also promoted simultaneous demethylation and cyclization of 3-cinnamoyl-5-hydroxy-2-styrylchromones, to afford (*E*)-3-aryl-4-benzylidene-8-hydroxy-3,4-dihydro-1*H*-xanthene-1,9(2*H*)-diones **99**,^[83] and of 5,7-dimethoxy-8prenyl-2-styrylchromones to give (*E*)-5-hydroxy-8,8-dimethyl-2-styryl-9,10-dihydropyrano[2,3-*f*]chromen-4(8*H*)-ones **100**^[19] (Scheme 24).



Scheme 24. Simultaneous demethylation and cyclization of 2-SCs promoted by boron tribromide.

A series of 2-cinnamoyl-3(2*H*)-benzofuranones **101** were synthesized when 3-bromo- and 3-chloro-2-styrylchromones underwent rearrangement in the presence of potassium hydroxide in ethanol at reflux (Scheme 25).^[64] Reductive cyclization of 3-nitro-2-styrylchromones promoted by triethyl phosphite at reflux for 9 h led to pyrrolo[3,2-*b*]chromones **102** in 45–50 % yields (Scheme 25).^[33]

Several 2-(2-phenylethyl)chromones were synthesized by selective reduction of the double bond of the styryl group with hydrogen and activated Pd/C in methanol at reflux^[40] or by addition of ammonium formate as hydrogen-transfer catalyst.^[110]

The conversion of 6-bromo-2-styrylchromones into 6-iodo-2styrylchromones involves a two-step approach based on a bromo-to-tributyltin exchange reaction catalyzed by Pd⁰ and subsequent treatment with iodine in chloroform. The tributyltin intermediates can also undergo iododestannylation, in the pres-





Scheme 25. Cyclization reactions of 3-substituted 2-SCs.

ence of hydrogen peroxide as oxidant, in order to prepare 6-radioiodinated 2-SCs. $^{[70,74]}$

A large variety of 2,3-diarylxanthones 103 result as major products from reactions between polysubstituted 3-bromo-2styrylchromones and styrenes, mediated by palladium catalysts, in one-pot fashion. The proposed mechanism involves Heck coupling between brominated chromones and styrenes to form 2,3-distyrylchromones, in situ electrocyclization, [1,5]-sigmatropic hydrogen migration to give 3,4-dihydroxanthones and finally oxidation to achieve the corresponding 2,3-diarylxanthones 103. From this reaction it was also possible to isolate 2,3diaryl-3,4-dihydroxanthone intermediates 104 and 6,7-diaryl-1hydroxy-9H-xanthen-9-ones 105 when 3-bromo-5-methoxy-2-styrylchromones were used as brominated derivatives (Scheme 26).^[31,32,69] A few 2,3-diarylxanthone derivatives were also obtained through one-pot cyclization and oxidation reactions of 2,3-distyrylchromones in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene at reflux.^[32]



Scheme 26. One-pot reactions between 2-SCs and styrenes, promoted by palladium catalysts.





The synthesis of 6,8-dibromo-5-hydroxy-2-styrylchromones or 5-hydroxy-6,8-diiodo-2-styrylchromones was achieved through halogenation of 5-hydroxy-2-styrylchromones with one equivalent of bromine or iodine, respectively, in DMSO at reflux.^[52,54] With use of 0.5 equiv. of the halogen, a mixture of monohalogenated 6- and 8-bromo/iodo derivatives was obtained.^[54]

Regioselective epoxidation of 2-SCs with hydrogen peroxide and iodosylbenzene in the presence of the Jacobsen catalyst (**107**) led to the synthesis of a range of α , β -epoxy-2-styrylchromones **106** (Scheme 27). Although the best results were accomplished with iodosylbenzene as oxidant, poor yields are generally obtained, due to the low reactivity of 2-SCs and the unstable character of the epoxides formed.^[111]



Scheme 27. Epoxidation of 2-SCs with hydrogen peroxide and iodosylbenzene in the presence of the Jacobsen catalyst (**107**).

5. Biological Properties of 2-Styrylchromones

A variety of biological properties have been ascribed to 2-SCs, including antiallergic,^[112] anti-inflammatory,^[113] antioxidant,^[114–118] antitumor^[10–12,36,39,119] and antiviral,^[49,58,66] as well as affinity and selectivity for A₃ adenosine receptors,^[38] reviewed by Gomes et al. in 2010.^[120] Here we update the biological assessment of 2-SCs, but also describe some studies not considered in that review. According to the literature available upon 2010 we thus consider four main topics in this chapter: antioxidant and anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antitumor and neuroprotective activities.

5.1. Antioxidant and Anti-Inflammatory Activities

Pawar reported the DPPH radical scavenging activity of a range of substituted 2-SCs **108–116** (Figure 4), which showed significant inhibitory activity (60–71 %). However, it is difficult to evaluate the importance of these data because no positive control was used.^[42] 2-SC has optimal features to be an excellent antioxidant,^[120] so some of us synthesized the new 3-hydroxy-2-styrylchromones **117–119**, bearing catechol moieties in the A and B rings (Figure 4). The scavenging activity of these compounds against reactive oxygen species (superoxide anion radi-

cal, hydrogen peroxide, hypochlorous acid, singlet oxygen and peroxyl radical) and reactive nitrogen species (nitric oxide and peroxynitrite anion) were structure- and concentration-dependent, with IC₅₀ values in the micromolar range, and in most cases more efficient than the quercetin used as positive control.^[50]



1 \mathbb{R}^1 , \mathbb{R}^2 , \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^4 , \mathbb{R}^5 = H **108** \mathbb{R}^1 = I, \mathbb{R}^2 = CI, \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^4 , \mathbb{R}^5 = H **109** \mathbb{R}^1 = I, \mathbb{R}^2 = CI, \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^4 = H, \mathbb{R}^5 = OMe **110** \mathbb{R}^1 , \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^4 = H, \mathbb{R}^2 = Br, \mathbb{R}^5 = OMe **111** \mathbb{R}^1 = I, \mathbb{R}^2 , \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^4 , \mathbb{R}^5 = H **112** \mathbb{R}^1 = I, \mathbb{R}^2 = CI, \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^5 = H, \mathbb{R}^4 = Me **113** \mathbb{R}^1 = I, \mathbb{R}^2 , \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^4 = H, \mathbb{R}^5 = OMe **114** \mathbb{R}^1 = I, \mathbb{R}^2 , \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^4 = H, \mathbb{R}^5 = Me **115** \mathbb{R}^1 , \mathbb{R}^2 , \mathbb{R}^4 = H, \mathbb{R}^3 = OH, \mathbb{R}^5 = OMe **116** \mathbb{R}^1 , \mathbb{R}^2 , \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^5 = H, \mathbb{R}^4 = Me



 $\begin{array}{l} 1 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^3, \ R^4 = H \\ 4 \ R^1, \ R^3, \ R^4 = H, \ R^2 = OH \\ 6 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^4 = OH, \ R^3 = H \\ 120 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^3 = H, \ R^4 = OH \\ 121 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^3 = H, \ R^4 = OH \\ 122 \ R^1 = OH, \ R^2, \ R^3, \ R^4 = H \\ 122 \ R^1, \ R^4 = OH, \ R^2, \ R^3 = H \\ 123 \ R^1, \ R^4 = OH, \ R^2, \ R^3 = H \\ 124 \ R^1, \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH, \ R^2 = H \\ 125 \ R^1, \ R^3 = H, \ R^2, \ R^4 = OH \\ 126 \ R^1 = H, \ R^2, \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ 127 \ R^1, \ R^2 = OH \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ 127 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ 128 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ 128 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ 128 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ 128 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ 128 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ 128 \ R^1, \ R^2, \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ 121 \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \\ R^3, \ R^4 = OH \ R^$



 R^2

Figure 4. Structures of 2-SC derivatives 1, 4, 6 and 120–128 possessing antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities.

During this period, studies of interference by 2-SCs in inflammatory pathways proceeded. Three of the twelve tested polyhydroxylated 2-SCs **1**, **4**, **6** and **120–128** (Figure 4) significantly inhibited NF-kB activation and reduced the production of proinflammatory cytokines/chemokines, with 5,3',4'-trihydroxy-2styrylchromone (**6**) being the most active compound.^[121]

Hormothamnione diacetylated in the B-ring can be used in the treatment of inflammatory diseases, due to its inhibitory action on cell-cell adhesion between promyolocytic human leukaemia-60 (HL-60) cells and Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) intercellular cell adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) cells.^[122]

5.2. Antimicrobial Activity

In the first study on the antibacterial activity of 2-SCs,^[72] a series of fluorinated 2-SCs – compounds **129–138** (Figure 5) – were prepared. They displayed higher antibacterial activity against Gram-positive than Gram-negative bacteria, with the activity being dependent on the number and position(s) of the fluorine substituent(s). The efficiency of these compounds follows the order: *Bacillus subtilis* more than *Staphylococcus aureus* and the single strain of *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922). Moreover, 3',5'-difluoro-2-styrylchromone (**132**) was active not only for *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) but also for *E. coli* (ATCC 35218).^[72]







Figure 5. Structures of 2-SC derivatives 1, 4, 116, 120 and 129–153, presenting antimicrobial activity.

Two years later, Nikam et al. evaluated the antibacterial (S. aureus, B. subtilis, E. coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa) and antifungal (Candida albicans, Aspergillus niger and A. flavus) activities of **139–146**, 2-SCs each bearing a 1,2,4-triazole ring as substituent at C-4' (Figure 5).^[73] Compounds **139** and **141** presented excellent activity against C. albicans (MIC 25 µg mL⁻¹) in comparison with the standard fluconazole (MIC 50 µg mL⁻¹). Docking studies indicated good binding interaction at the active site of fungal enzyme P-450 cytochrome lanosterol 14 α -demethylase, whereas good pharmacokinetics and drug-like properties were obtained from ADMET predictions.

A group of 2-SCs bearing substituents at C-3, C-5, C- α and C-4' – compounds **4**, **116**, **120**, **136** and **147–153** (Figure 5) – were screened for antinorovirus activity, together with parent **1**. Of these, 5-hydroxy-2-styrylchromone (**4**) and 4'-methoxy-2-styrylchromone (**136**) were the best agents, with IC₅₀ values around 7 μ M. The mechanism of action of these compounds was also disclosed.^[71]

5.3. Antitumor Activity

Natural platachromones A–D **7–10** (Figure 3) possess cytotoxic activity against human carcinoma cell lines with IC_{50} values ranging from 3.0 to 9.7 μ M against HepG2 (human hepatocarcinoma cell line) and KB (human epidermoid carcinoma cell line), although they are less active than taxol (IC_{50} 0.04–0.05 μ M), used as positive control.^[15] Lower sensitivity was observed for SMMC-7721 (human hepatocarcinoma cell line) and MDA-MB-231 (human breast cancer cell line), with IC_{50} values of 14.6–21.3 and 8.7–22.3 μ M, respectively.

Lin et al. demonstrated that polymethoxy-2-styrylchromones **154–158** are more active growth inhibitors of several carcinoma cells, especially gastric carcinoma cells, than their hydroxylated counterparts **159–163** (Figure 6). A common structural feature is the presence of a 3',4',5'-trimethoxy substituent, the 5-methoxylated derivative **154** being the most effective, with an Gl₅₀ value of 1.3 μ m. They also showed the importance of



Figure 6. Structures of 2-SC derivatives 154-169 presenting antitumor activity.





the C α =C β double bond, reduction of which drastically decreased the compounds' growth inhibitory activity.^[40]

The four 2-SC-based lavendustin A analogues **164–167** showed good anticancer activity against A-549 (human lung carcinoma), HCT-15 (human colon cancer cells) and KB cell lines (Figure 6). Compound **167** showed the highest activity (IC₅₀ 9.22 \pm 1.8 µg mL⁻¹) against HCT-15, whereas **164** showed good activity against A-549 and KB cell lines (IC₅₀ 17.9 \pm 2.9 and 34.98 \pm 6.6 µg mL⁻¹, respectively).^[37,123] Compound **168** (Figure 6) is a promising chemopreventive and therapeutic agent; selective inhibition of leukaemia K562 cell proliferation (IC₅₀ 4.5 \pm 1.9 µM, after 72 h) was accomplished.^[75]

Biochemical studies indicate the potential cancer chemopreventive activity of 3'-allyl-5,7,4'-trimethoxy-2-styrylchromone **169** (Figure 6) due to the induction of cytochrome c release.^[124]

5.4. Neuroprotective Activity

The protective effect of natural 6,4'-dihydroxy-3'-methoxy-2styrylchromone (5, Figure 3) at 10 µм concentration was tested against glutamate-induced neurotoxicity in P12 pheochromocytoma cells and corticosterone-induced neurotoxicity in human U251 glioma cells.^[14] The results showed moderate activity in the former case (58.3 \pm 2.8 %) and absence of activity in preventing neurotoxicity in the last cell line tested. For comparison, the standard fluoxetine showed neuroprotective activity of 92.5 \pm 3.2 and 93.7 \pm 2.1 % at the same concentration for glutamate-induced and corticosterone-induced neurotoxicity, respectively. At the same concentration, the absence of neuroprotective activity in glutamate-induced neurotoxicity in primary cultures of rat cortical cells by natural 5-hydroxy-2-styrylchromone (4, Figure 3) was also reported.^[12] The natural 5,7,4'trihydroxy-2-styrylchromone (6, Figure 3) exhibited weak inhibitory activity against acetylcholinesterase with an IC₅₀ value of $78.35 \pm 1.15 \ \mu\text{M}$ in comparison with the positive control berberine (IC₅₀ 0.67 \pm 0.02 μ M). However, a good inhibitory activity against butyrylcholinesterase was observed (6 IC₅₀ 28.80 \pm 1.57 $\,\mu\text{m};$ berberine IC_{50} 14.04 \pm 1.46 $\,\mu\text{m})$ and also against the β -site amyloid precursor protein cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1, **6** IC₅₀ 6.25 ± 0.16 μм; quercetin IC₅₀ 26.94 ± 0.10 μм).^[16] Several 6-iodinated 2-SCs were synthesized and screened for their application as in vivo imaging probes of β -amyloid plaques in Alzheimer's disease.^[70,74] In an in vitro binding assay, high affinity towards preformed synthetic A β (1–40) aggregates was observed for compounds [¹²⁵I]**170**, [¹²⁵I]**171** and [¹²⁵I]**172**, with K_d values of 32.0 ± 5.0, 17.5 ± 2.5 and 8.7 ± 2.7 nm, respectively (Figure 7). In addition, in vivo biodistribution of radioactivity after intravenous administration in normal mice revealed high initial brain uptake and fast washout from the brain only for compounds [¹²⁵I]**170** and [¹²⁵I]**171**, whereas the slow brain washout observed for [¹²⁵I]**172** made it unsuitable to be used as in vivo β -amyloid imaging agent.^[70]

Years later, a series of other 6-iodinated 2-SCs – compounds **173–180** in Figure 7 – mostly possessing an alkoxy group in the B-ring were tested in binding affinity assays. The inhibition constant (K_i) values for the A β aggregates varied from 21.9 to 553 nm, with compounds **174**, **175** and **180** presenting the strongest binding interactions. Radioiodinated derivatives **181** and **182** (Figure 7) were prepared and showed high initial brain uptake and favourable clearance from the brain tissue of normal mice, in the biodistribution of radioactivity assay. Singlephoton emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging and autoradiography helped to identify compound **182** as a more promising A β imaging probe.^[74]

Another study was performed to evaluate the potential of radioiodinated 2-SCs (Figure 7) for SPECT imaging of cerebral prion deposits. The results indicate that 2-SC 172 exhibited high in vitro binding affinity (K_d 24.5 nm) and capacity (B_{max} = 36.3 pmol/nmol protein) towards recombinant mouse prion protein aggregates and high accumulation in prion-proteinpositive regions of mouse brain infected with mouse-adapted bovine spongiform encephalopathy.^[125] Moreover, 2-SCs 174 and 175 revealed high binding affinity for recombinant mouse prion protein aggregates, with K_i values of 20.8 and 26.6 nm, respectively. The similar radioiodinated derivatives 181 and 182 showed pronounced accumulation in prion-deposit-rich regions of mouse brain infected with mouse-adapted bovine spongiform encephalopathy. SPECT imaging and autoradiography data indicate that 2-SC 181 might be a potential imaging probe for monitoring prion deposit levels in the living brain.^[125]



Figure 7. Structures of 2-SC derivatives 170-182 possessing neuroprotective activity.





6. Conclusions

The chemistry of 2-styrylchromones has been known since 1923 and continues to be relevant in the 21st century as a challenging topic of research in heterocyclic chemistry. Up until 2016, nine derivatives had been isolated from natural sources, and a huge variety of 2-styrylchromones had been synthesized by six main protocols, with aldol condensation/cyclodehydrogenation and Baker–Venkataraman rearrangement representing the most versatile approaches. Their involvement in photooxidative reactions, cycloadditions, reactions with nucleophiles and other transformations have been compiled and explored. A brief examination of the biological properties of 2-styrylchromones and structure–activity relationships is also included in this review.

Acknowledgments

Thanks are due to the Instituto Politécnico de Bragança and also to the University of Aveiro and the Formación en Centros de Trabajo, Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (FCT, MEC) for the financial support of the QOPNA research unit (FCT UID/QUI/ 00062/2013) through national funds and, where applicable, cofinanced by the Fundo Europeu De Desenvolvimento Regional (FEDER), within the PT2020 Partnership Agreement. Thanks are also due to the Portuguese NMR Network.

Keywords: Oxygen heterocycles · Chromones · 2-

Styrylchromones · Synthetic methods · Reactivity · Biological activity

- [1] R. S. Keri, S. Budagumpi, R. K. Pai, R. G. Balakrishna, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 78, 340–374.
- [2] A. Gaspar, M. J. Matos, J. Garrido, E. Uriarte, F. Borges, Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 4960–4992.
- [3] K. S. Sharma, S. Kumar, K. Chand, A. Kathuria, A. Gupta, R. Jain, Curr. Med. Chem. 2011, 18, 3825–3852.
- [4] H. A. Tawfik, E. F. Ewies, W. S. El-Hamouly, Int. J. Res. Pharm. Chem. 2014, 4, 1046–1085.
- [5] F. Zammattio, J. D. Brion, L. Belachmi, G. Le Baut, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1991, 28, 2013–2019.
- [6] A. M. S. Silva, D. C. G. A. Pinto, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, A. Martínez, A. Castro, J. Elguero, J. Chem. Res. Synop. 2002, 162–164.
- [7] M. Momin, D. Ramjugernath, N. A. Koorbanally, Magn. Reson. Chem. 2014, 52, 521–529.
- [8] A. I. R. N. A. Barros, A. M. S. Silva, Magn. Reson. Chem. 2009, 47, 885-896.
- [9] F. Zammattio, J. D. Brion, P. Hildgen, G. Le Baut, J. Chem. Res. Synop. 1995, 58–59.
- [10] W. H. Gerwick, A. Lopez, G. D. van Duyne, J. Clardy, W. Ortiz, A. Baez, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 1979–1982.
- [11] W. Gerwick, J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 252–256.
- [12] J. S. Yoon, M. K. Lee, S. H. Sung, Y. C. Kim, J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 290– 291.
- [13] D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, New J. Chem. 2000, 24, 85–92.
- [14] L. Yang, L. Qiao, D. Xie, Y. Yuan, N. Chen, J. Dai, S. Guo, *Phytochemistry* 2012, 76, 92–97.
- [15] C.-H. Yang, Y. Yang, J.-H. Liu, Phytochem. Lett. 2013, 6, 387–391.
- [16] H. J. Jung, H. A. Jung, B.-S. Min, J. S. Choi, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2015, 63, 955–960.
- [17] P. Chaniad, C. Wattanapiromsakul, S. Pianwanit, S. Tewtrakul, *Pharm. Biol.* 2016, 54, 1077–1085.

- [18] C. M. M. Santos, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 4575–4585.
- [19] F. R. Baptista, D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, Synlett 2014, 25, 1116-1120.
- [20] I. M. Helibron, H. Barnes, J. Chem. Soc. Trans. 1923, 123, 2559–2570.
- [21] W. A. Price, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, *Heterocycles* **1993**, *36*, 2601–2612.
- [22] A. M. S. Silva, D. C. G. A. Pinto, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, A. Levaí, T. Patonay, *ARKIVOC* 2004, vii, 106–123.
- [23] D. Obrecht, Helv. Chim. Acta 1989, 72, 447-456.
- [24] R. Robinson, J. Shinoda, J. Chem. Soc. 1925, 1973–1980.
- [25] U. S. Cheema, K. C. Gulati, K. Venkataraman, J. Chem. Soc. 1932, 925– 933.
- [26] A. Hercouet, M. Le Corre, Y. Le Floc'h, Synthesis 1982, 597-598.
- [27] F. Zammattio, J. D. Brion, P. Ducrey, G. Le Baut, Synthesis 1992, 375-376.
- [28] N. R. Ayyangar, R. A. Khan, V. H. Desphande, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, 29, 2347–2348.
- [29] R. Alonso, A. Brossi, Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 735-738.
- [30] L. W. McGarry, M. R. Detty, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4349-4356.
- [31] C. M. M. Santos, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, Synlett 2005, 3095–3098.
- [32] C. M. M. Santos, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 2642–2660.
- [33] C. Paparao, K. V. Rao, V. Sundaramurthy, Synthesis 1981, 234–236.
- [34] K. C. Gulati, S. R. Seth, K. Venkataraman, J. Chem. Soc. 1934, 1765–1767.
- [35] I. Yokoe, K. Higuchi, Y. Shirataki, M. Komatsu, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1981, 29, 2670–2674.
- [36] K. Momoi, Y. Sugita, M. Ishihara, K. Satoh, H. Kikuchi, K. Hashimoto, I. Iokoe, H. Nishikawa, S. Fujisawa, H. Sakagami, *In Vivo* 2005, 19, 157–164.
- [37] K. Y. Lee, D. H. Nam, C. S. Moon, S. H. Seo, J. Y. Lee, Y. S. Lee, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 41, 991–996.
- [38] Y. Karton, J.-L. Jiang, X.-D. Ji, N. Melman, M. E. Olah, G. L. Stiles, K. A. Jacobson, J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 2293–2301.
- [39] A. Y. Shaw, C.-Y. Chang, H.-H. Liau, P.-J. Lu, H.-L. Chen, C.-N. Yang, H.-Y. Li, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 44, 2552–2562.
- [40] C. Lin, P.-J. Lu, C.-N. Yang, C. Hulme, A. Y. Shaw, Med. Chem. Res. 2013, 22, 2385–2394.
- [41] J. A. S. Cavaleiro, J. Elguero, M. L. Jimeno, A. M. S. Silva, Chem. Lett. 1991, 20, 445–446.
- [42] S. P. Pawar, D. D. Kondhare, P. K. Zubaidha, Med. Chem. Res. 2013, 22, 753–757.
- [43] S. C. Gupta, M. Yusuf, S. Sharma, A. Saini, S. Arora, R. C. Kamboj, *Tetrahedron* 2004, *60*, 8445–8454.
- [44] A. M. S. Silva, D. C. G. A. Pinto, H. R. Tavares, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, M. L. Jimeno, J. Elguero, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, 2031–2038.
- [45] D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, C. Foces-Foces, A. L. Liamas-Saiz, N. Jagerovic, J. Elguero, *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 10187–10200.
- [46] J. K. Makrandi, Seema, Indian J. Chem. **1991**, 30B, 788–789.
- [47] N. Jain, G. Gambhir, H. G. Krishnamurty, Indian J. Chem. 2001, 40B, 278– 283.
- [48] M. Singhi, J. Pharm. Res. 2011, 4, 3040-3041.
- [49] C. Conti, P. Mastromarino, P. Goldoni, G. Portalone, N. Desideri, Antiviral Chem. Chemother. Antivir. Chem. Chemother. 2005, 16, 267–276.
- [50] J. L. C. Sousa, C. Proença, M. Freitas, E. Fernandes, A. M. S. Silva, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 119, 250–259.
- [51] A. M. S. Silva, D. C. G. A. Pinto, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, 35, 5899–5902.
- [52] D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 9459–9460.
- [53] A. M. S. Silva, H. R. Tavares, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, *Heterocycl. Commun.* 1996, 2, 251–254.
- [54] D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1996, 33, 1887–1893.
- [55] G. B. Marini-Bettòlo, Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1942, 72, 201.
- [56] F. M. Dean, V. Podimuang, J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 3978-3987.
- [57] S. P. Dhoubhadel, S. M. Tuladhar, S. M. Tuladhar, P. P. Wagley, Indian J. Chem. 1981, 20B, 511–512.
- [58] N. Desideri, P. Mastromarino, C. Conti, Antiviral Chem. Chemother. Antivir. Chem. Chemother. 2003, 14, 195–203.
- [59] See ref. [56].
- [60] M. Bennett, A. J. Burjke, W. I. O'Sullivan, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 7163– 7178.





- [61] S. Bhattacharyya, K. Hatua, RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 18702–18709.
- [62] W. Baker, J. Chem. Soc. 1933, 1381-1389.
- [63] H. S. Mahal, K. Venkataraman, J. Chem. Soc. 1934, 1767–1769.
- [64] H. L. Gaggad, K. N. Wadodkar, B. J. Ghiya, Indian J. Chem. 1985, 24B, 1244–1247.
- [65] D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, L. M. P. M. Almeida, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, A. Lévai, T. Patonay, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1998, 35, 217–224.
- [66] N. Desideri, C. Conti, P. Mastromarino, F. Mastropaolo, Antiviral Chem. Chemother. 2000, 11, 373–381.
- [67] P. Filipe, A. M. S. Silva, P. Morlière, C. M. Brito, L. K. Patterson, G. L. Hug, J. N. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, J.-C. Mazière, J. P. Freitas, R. Santus, *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2004, 67, 2207–2218.
- [68] A. I. R. N. A. Barros, A. M. S. Silva, *Heterocycl. Commun.* 2006, 12, 141– 150.
- [69] C. M. M. Santos, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, Synlett 2007, 3113-3116.
- [70] M. Ono, Y. Maya, M. Haratake, M. Nakayama, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2007, 15, 444–450.
- [71] J. R. Pereira, R. Cunha, D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, M. S. J. Nascimento, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *18*, 4195–4201.
- [72] M. Momin, D. Ramjugernath, H. Chenia, N. A. Koorbanally, J. Chem. 2013, article ID 436758, 13 pages.
- [73] M. D. Nikam, P. S. Mahajan, M. G. Damale, J. N. Sangshetti, A. V. Chate, S. K. Dabhade, C. H. Gill, *Lett. Drug Des. Discovery* **2015**, *12*, 1–11.
- [74] T. Fuchigami, A. Ogawa, Y. Yamashita, M. Haratake, H. Watanabe, M. Ono, M. Kawasaki, S. Yoshida, M. Nakayama, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *25*, 3363–3367.
- [75] O. Talhi, L. Brodziak-Jarosz, J. Panning, B. Orlikova, C. Zwergel, T. Tzanova, S. Philippot, D. C. G. A. Pinto, F. A. A. Paz, C. Gerhauser, T. P. Dick, C. Jacob, M. Diederich, D. Bagrel, G. Kirsch, A. M. S. Silva, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, 965–975.
- [76] D. Sharma, J. K. Makrandi, Green Chem. Lett. Rev. 2009, 2, 157–159.
- [77] J. Pinto, V. L. M. Silva, A. M. G. Silva, A. M. S. Silva, *Molecules* 2015, 20, 11418–11431.
- [78] B. D. Saraf, K. N. Wadodkar, Indian J. Chem. 1988, 27B, 771-772.
- [79] D. S. Clarke, C. D. Gabbutt, J. D. Hepworth, B. M. Heron, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 5515–5519.
- [80] J. K. Makrandi, V. Kumari, Synth. Commun. 1989, 19, 1919–1922.
- [81] B. P. Reddy, G. L. D. Krupadanam, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1996, 33, 1561– 1565.
- [82] D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, *Heterocycl. Commun.* 1996, 2, 145–148.
- [83] D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. L. Seca, S. B. Leal, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, Synlett 2011, 2005–2008.
- [84] P. Königs, O. Neumann, O. Kataeva, G. Schnakenburg, S. R. Waldvogel, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2010, 6417–6422.
- [85] C. R. Reddy, G. L. D. Krupadanam, G. Srimannarayana, Indian J. Chem. 1987, 26B, 974–976.
- [86] K. A. Kumar, G. Srimannarayana, Indian J. Chem. 1980, 19B, 615–617.
- [87] S. C. Gupta, N. S. Yadav, S. N. Dhawan, Indian J. Chem. 1991, 30B, 790– 792.
- [88] R. Kumar, M. Yusuf, ARKIVOC 2006, xi, 239-264.
- [89] S. Berar, U. Berar, S. C. Gupta, R. C. Kamboj, Chinese Chem. Lett. 2008, 19, 780–782.
- [90] A. Schonberg, A. Mustafa, G. Aziz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 4576– 4577.
- [91] A. Mustafa, M. I. Ali, J. Org. Chem. 1956, 21, 849-851.
- [92] G. Aziz, J. Org. Chem. **1962**, 27, 2954–2957.
- [93] M. A.-F. Elkashef, F. M. E. Abdel-Megeid, K.-E. M. Mokhart, F. A. Gad, Acta Chim. Acad. Sci. Hung. 1975, 84, 319.
- [94] H. Marona, Pol. J. Chem. 1979, 53, 1877.
- [95] R. M. Letcher, T.-Y. Yue, J. Chem. Res. Synop. 1992, 248.
- [96] R. M. Letcher, T.-Y. Yue, J. Chem. Res. Miniprint 1992, 2078–2089.
- [97] R. M. Letcher, T.-Y. Yue, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 1310–1311.

- [98] A. S. Kelkar, R. M. Letcher, K.-K. Cheung, K.-F. Chiu, G. D. Brown, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 3732–3741.
- [99] A. M. S. Silva, A. M. G. Silva, A. C. Tomé, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 135–139.
- [100] D. T. Patoilo, A. M. S. Silva, D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. C. Tomé, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2007, 44, 1345–1350.
- [101] D. T. Patoilo, A. M. S. Silva, D. C. G. A. Pinto, C. M. M. Santos, A. C. Tomé, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 2722–2725.
- [102] A. M. S. Silva, J. S. Vieira, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, T. Patonay, A. Lévai, J. Elguero, *Heterocycles* **1999**, *51*, 481–487.
- [103] A. M. S. Silva, J. S. Vieira, C. M. Brito, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, T. Patonay, A. Lévai, J. Elguero, *Monatsh. Chem.* **2004**, *135*, 293–308.
- [104] D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, *Heterocycl. Commun.* 1997, 3, 433–436.
- [105] D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2000, 37, 1629–1634.
- [106] J. P. A. Ferreira, V. L. M. Silva, J. Elguero, A. M. S. Silva, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, *54*, 5391–5394.
- [107] E. M. P. Silva, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, Synlett 2011, 2740-2744.
- [108] E. M. P. Silva, K. Grenda, I. N. Cardoso, A. M. S. Silva, Synlett 2013, 24, 2375–2382.
- [109] J. L. C. Sousa, A. M. S. Silva, Synlett 2017, 28, 316-322.
- [110] S. Goel, Shashi, J. K. Makrandi, Indian J. Chem. 2006, 45B, 535–536.
- [111] C. M. M. Santos, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, T. Patonay, A. Lévai, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2006, 43, 1319–1326.
- [112] G. Doria, C. Romeo, A. Forgione, P. Sberze, N. Tibolla, M. L. Corno, G. Cruzzola, G. Cadelli, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1979**, *14*, 347–351.
- [113] A. Gomes, E. Fernandes, A. M. S. Silva, D. C. G. A. Pinto, C. M. M. Santos, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, J. L. F. C. Lima, *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2009**, *78*, 171– 177.
- [114] E. Fernandes, F. Carvalho, A. M. S. Silva, C. M. M. Santos, D. C. G. A. Pinto, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, M. L. Bastos, *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.* 2002, 17, 45–48.
- [115] E. Fernandes, M. Carvalho, F. Carvalho, A. M. S. Silva, C. M. M. Santos, D. C. G. A. Pinto, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, M. L. Bastos, *Arch. Toxicol.* **2003**, *77*, 500–505.
- [116] See ref. [67].
- [117] A. Gomes, E. Fernandes, A. M. S. Silva, C. M. M. Santos, D. C. G. A. Pinto, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, J. L. F. C. Lima, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *15*, 6027– 6036.
- [118] A. Gomes, O. Neuwirth, M. Freitas, D. Couto, D. Ribeiro, A. G. P. R. Figueiredo, A. M. S. Silva, R. S. G. R. Seixas, D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. C. Tomé, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, E. Fernandes, J. L. F. C. Lima, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *17*, 7218–7226.
- [119] J. Marinho, M. Pedro, D. C. G. A. Pinto, A. M. S. Silva, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, C. E. Sunkel, M. S. J. Nascimento, *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2008**, *75*, 826– 835.
- [120] A. Gomes, M. Freitas, E. Fernandes, J. L. F. C. Lima, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.* 2010, 10, 1–7.
- [121] A. Gomes, J. P. Capela, D. Ribeiro, M. F, A. M. S. Silva, D. C. G. A. Pinto, C. M. M. Santos, J. A. S. Cavaleiro, J. L. F. C. Lima, E. Fernandes, *Medicinal Chemistry* **2015**, *11*, 560–566.
- [122] S. Takamatsu, D. G. Nagle, W. H. Gerwick, Planta Med. 2004, 70, 127– 131.
- [123] D. H. Nam, K. Y. Lee, C. S. Moon, Y. S. Lee, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2010, 45, 4288–4292.
- [124] F. Peixoto, A. I. R. N. A. Barros, A. M. S. Silva, J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol. 2002, 16, 220–226.
- [125] T. Fuchigami, Y. Yamashita, M. Kawasaki, A. Ogawa, M. Haratake, R. Atarashi, K. Sano, T. Nakagaki, K. Ubagai, M. Ono, S. Yoshida, N. Nishida, M. Nakayama, *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 18440–18410.

Received: January 2, 2017